Biogeosciences, 12, 6169–6180, 2015 www.biogeosciences.net/12/6169/2015/ doi:10.5194/bg-12-6169-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.





Sediment properties and CO₂ efflux from intact and cleared temperate mangrove forests

R. H. Bulmer¹, C. J. Lundquist^{1,2}, and L. Schwendenmann³

¹Institute of Marine Science, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand ²National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research Ltd (NIWA), Hamilton, New Zealand ³School of Environment, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

Correspondence to: R. H. Bulmer (bulmer.richard@gmail.com)

Received: 2 February 2015 – Published in Biogeosciences Discuss.: 25 February 2015 Revised: 14 September 2015 – Accepted: 9 October 2015 – Published: 28 October 2015

Abstract. Temperate mangrove forests in New Zealand have increased in area over recent decades. Expansion of temperate mangroves in New Zealand is associated with perceived loss of other estuarine habitats, and decreased recreational and amenity values, resulting in clearing of mangrove forests. In the tropics, changes in sediment characteristics and carbon efflux have been reported following mangrove clearance. This is the first study in temperate mangrove (*Avicennia marina*) forests investigating the impact of clearing on sediment CO₂ efflux and associated biotic and abiotic factors.

Sediment CO₂ efflux rates from intact (168.5 ± 45.8) mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) and cleared $(133.9 \pm 37.2 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$ mangrove forests in New Zealand are comparable to rates measured in tropical mangrove forests. We did not find a significant difference in sediment CO₂ efflux rates between intact and cleared temperate mangrove forests. Pre-shading the sediment for more than 30 min prior to dark chamber measurements was found to have no significant effect on sediment CO_2 efflux. This suggests that the continuation of photosynthetic CO₂ uptake by biofilm communities was not occurring after placement of dark chambers. Rather, above-ground mangrove biomass, sediment temperature and chlorophyll a concentration were the main factors explaining the variability in sediment CO₂ efflux in intact mangrove forests. The main factors influencing sediment CO₂ efflux in cleared mangrove forest sites were sediment organic carbon concentration, nitrogen concentration and sediment grain size. Our results show that greater consideration should be given regarding the rate of carbon released from mangrove forest following clearance and the relative contribution to global carbon emissions.

1 Introduction

Mangroves are generally confined to the tropics, between latitudes 30° N and 30° S. However, approximately 1.4% of the global mangrove forests are located outside this latitudinal range, growing in conditions which may be broadly characterised as temperate (Morrisey et al., 2010). Temperate mangrove forests mainly occur in Australia, New Zealand, the USA and South Africa (Morrisey et al., 2010; Giri et al., 2011). These forests are subject to colder and generally more variable climatic conditions, and are typically associated with lower diversity of tree species and lower faunal abundance and diversity than in the tropics (Alfaro, 2006; Morrisey et al., 2010). However, little is known about sediment properties and the factors driving the storage and exchange of carbon (C) in temperate mangrove sediments (Livesley and Andrusiak, 2012).

Temperate mangrove forest cover has increased significantly over the last 50–60 years (Morrisey et al., 2010; Saintilan et al., 2014). A landward expansion of mangroves into salt marsh has been observed in Australia and the USA (Cavanaugh et al., 2014; Saintilan et al., 2014), while mangrove expansion into tidal flats is typically observed in New Zealand (Stokes et al., 2009; Lundquist et al., 2014a). The expansion of mangroves in New Zealand has been linked to increased sedimentation leading to vertical accretion of tidal flats (Swales et al., 2007; Stokes, 2010), increased nutrient inputs (Lovelock et al., 2007), and climatic factors (Burns and Ogden, 1985).

The recent expansion of temperate mangrove forests has led to a push towards mangrove removal in New Zealand, largely from local communities concerned about the loss of diversity of estuarine habitats caused by mangrove expansion, or for human amenities such as recreational access and water views (Harty, 2009). Numerous legal and illegal mangrove clearings have occurred in recent decades, ranging in scale from < 0.1 to > 100 ha (Morrisey et al., 2010; Lundquist et al., 2014a).

Carbon cycling and storage are important ecosystem services provided by mangrove forests (Twilley et al., 1992; Bouillon et al., 2008; Kristensen et al., 2008; Alongi, 2014). The global net primary productivity in mangrove forests has been estimated at 218 ± 72 Tg C a⁻¹, which includes the rate of litterfall and above- and below-ground biomass production (Bouillon et al., 2008). An important component of the C cycle is the efflux of carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the sediment into the atmosphere (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992). Sediment CO₂ efflux is the total of CO₂ released through root/mycorrhizae respiration (autotrophic respiration) and microbial respiration (heterotrophic respiration) associated with the decomposition of organic matter (Bouillon et al., 2008). Quantifying C emissions and understanding the factors influencing C storage and exchange has become increasingly important due to the rapid rise in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and associated impact on global climate (IPCC, 2013).

Clearing of mangrove forests has an impact on tree and sediment C storage and fluxes (Lovelock et al., 2011; Sidik and Lovelock, 2013; Lang'at et al., 2014). Following mangrove clearing, the accumulation of mangrove-derived C into the sediment is halted, yet the release of CO₂ from the sediment continues (Lovelock et al., 2011; Sidik and Lovelock, 2013). The rates of sediment CO₂ efflux from cleared tropical mangrove peat forests in Belize, Central America, have been shown to be significantly higher compared to intact mangrove forests (Lovelock et al., 2011). However, the impact of clearing on sediment CO₂ efflux and C content has not been investigated in temperate mangrove ecosystems.

Studies from tropical mangrove forests have shown that sediment CO₂ efflux is influenced by abiotic and biotic sediment characteristics including sediment C and nutrient quantity and quality (Kristensen, 2000), sediment grain size (Chen et al., 2010), redox potential (Chen et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2012; Leopold et al., 2013), sediment water content (Alongi, 2009) and sediment temperature (Chen et al., 2012). A study by Lovelock (2008) on temperate and tropical mangrove forests reported a positive correlation between leaf area index and sediment CO₂ efflux. Further, biofilm communities, which are present on the sediment surface, may play an important role in mediating CO_2 flux from the sediment (Alongi et al., 2012; Leopold et al., 2013; Leopold et al., 2015). Biofilm communities include a wide variety of diatoms, bacteria, fungi, and microfauna (Decho, 2000). The autotrophic biofilm communities contribute significantly to the primary productivity in estuarine ecosystems and supply energy to biofilm and other primary and secondary consumers, whereas the heterotrophic biofilm communities mineralise organic matter (Van Colen et al., 2014).

The aim of this study was to assess the effect of temperate mangrove forest clearing on sediment CO_2 efflux and sediment characteristics. The specific objectives were to (1) quantify the sediment CO_2 efflux from intact and cleared mangrove forest, (2) investigate the relative contribution of abiotic and biotic factors on sediment CO_2 efflux and (3) measure the effect of pre-shading on sediment CO_2 efflux. This was to test whether CO_2 uptake during dark chamber measurements can be attributed to the continuation of photosynthetic activity by surface biofilm communities at the onset of dark measurements (Leopold et al., 2015).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study species

The only mangrove species in New Zealand, *Avicennia marina* subsp. *australasica*, occurs from the top to the central North Island (Morrisey et al., 2010). The southernmost limit (38°) is most likely due to low temperatures (Duke, 1990), lack of suitable conditions for propagule dispersal, and lack of suitable habitat (Lange and Lange, 1994). The height of mature mangrove trees in New Zealand ranges from less than 1 to over 6 m, with smaller trees often occurring towards the southern range limit (Morrisey et al., 2010).

2.2 Study area and selection of study sites

This study was conducted at 23 sites covering a large proportion of the geographic range of mangroves (35 to $37^{\circ}41'$ S) in New Zealand (Fig. 1). We investigated cleared (n = 23)and, where possible, adjacent intact mangrove forest sites (n = 13). The time since mangrove removal ranged from 1 month to over 8 years. Cleared mangrove sites ranged in size from < 0.1 to > 13 ha. Besides the difference in size and time since clearing, the sites differed in shape of cleared area, hydrodynamic conditions (sheltered: protected from direct wind and wave action, generally located in the upper reaches of the estuary; exposed: exposed to wind and wave action, generally located in the lower reaches of the estuary), and method of mangrove removal (Table S1 in the Supplement). Mean air temperature ranges from 19° C during summer to 11° C during winter. Mean monthly rainfall varies from 77 to 152 mm, respectively (NIWA, 2014). Tides for the sites are semi-diurnal with a range of 1.3–4.1 m (LINZ, 2014).

Field measurements and sampling were undertaken during late spring and summer (November 2013–January 2014). Weather conditions during sampling were sunny or overcast, with no rainfall. Additional measurements were undertaken during winter (May–June 2015) within intact mangrove forest at one site (Hatea 1; Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Location of the cleared mangrove sites (•) and estuary locations throughout the North Island, New Zealand.

2.3 Sediment CO₂ efflux measurements

2.3.1 Pre-shading the sediment

The effect of pre-shading the sediment prior to dark chamber measurements was investigated at site Hatea 1. Three frames (0.5 m^2) were deployed throughout the mangrove forest, at least 10 m from each other and the mangrove edge. Frames were located approximately 20 cm above the sediment surface. The frame was completely covered by layered cloth to exclude light penetration. After 30 min of shading, two CO₂ efflux measurements using a dark respiration chamber were conducted at different locations within the 0.5 m^2 area, before and after the removal of the surface biofilm. The biofilm (top $\sim 2 \text{ mm}$ of surface sediment) was scraped off using a spatula. Biofilm removal measurements were collected immediately following biofilm intact measurements in the identical location. Corresponding dark CO₂ efflux measurements were also conducted at locations that had not been pre-shaded (control) adjacent to each shaded measurement, as well as corresponding biofilm removal measurements to account for heterogeneity in sediment conditions.

2.3.2 Sediment CO₂ efflux from intact and cleared temperate mangrove

Sediment CO_2 efflux was measured in the centre of the cleared sites at three randomly selected locations. Locations in the intact mangrove forest were > 10 m from the cleared areas. No pre-shading of the sediment was undertaken prior to measurements.

The sediment CO₂ efflux was measured at low tide, between 08:00 and 18:00 local time, using an infrared CO₂ analyser (environmental gas monitor (EGM-4) with a dark sediment respiration chamber (SRC-1, PP Systems Ltd., Amesbury, MA, USA)). Using a dark chamber prevents the photosynthetic activity of biofilm communities which results in the uptake of CO2. A PVC collar (10 cm height) was attached to the base of the respiration chamber to protect the chamber from potential flooding. The collar was inserted approximately 5 mm into the sediment, avoiding damage to surface roots. Sediment within the chamber included crab burrows and pneumatophores $< 7 \,\mathrm{cm}$ which fit within the respiration chamber. The sediment area covered by each chamber was 0.00785 m^2 . Chamber height was measured during each measurement as collar insertion varied based on sediment characteristics. Total chamber volume varied between 1.72 and 1.98 L depending on the depth of collar insertion. The CO₂ concentration in the chamber was measured at 5 s intervals over a 90 s period. Air and sediment temperature (Novel Ways temperature probe) and moisture (CS620, Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA) at a depth of 12 cm were measured with each CO₂ efflux measurement.

In addition to measuring CO_2 efflux in intact (undisturbed) sediment, sediment CO_2 efflux was re-measured at the same location after the removal of the surface biofilm. Measurements were made within 30 s following the removal of the surface biofilm.

Sediment CO₂ efflux was calculated from linear regression of the CO₂ concentration within the chamber over time. Only regressions with r^2 values ≥ 0.8 were used for flux calculations.

The sediment CO₂ efflux rate $(\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1})$ was calculated as follows:

Sediment CO₂ efflux =
$$(\Delta CO_2/\Delta t) \cdot ((P \cdot V)/(R \cdot T)/A)$$
, (1)

where $\Delta CO_2/\Delta t$ is the change in CO₂ concentration over time, based on the slope of the linear regression (µmol mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ = ppm s⁻¹); *t* is time (s); *P* is the atmospheric pressure (mbar); *V* is the volume of the chamber including collar (L); *A* is the surface area covered by each chamber (m²); *T* is the temperature (K); and *R* is the ideal gas constant (83.144621 L mbar K⁻¹ mol⁻¹). Daily sediment CO₂ efflux (mmol CO₂ m⁻² d⁻¹) was estimated by extrapolating the measured efflux rates, assuming constant efflux rates.

2.4 Sediment characteristics

At each site, three sediment samples, next to the location of the sediment CO_2 efflux measurements, were collected using two small sediment cores (2 cm deep, 2 cm in diameter). After collection the samples were immediately frozen and stored in the dark before analysis.

Sediment carbon and nitrogen concentration

Samples were dried (60 °C for 48 h) and then pulverised using mortar and pestle. Total carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) concentration was determined using an elemental analyser (TruSpec LECO CNS, Leco Corporation, St. Joseph, MI). A subset of samples (14% of samples, ranging from 0.17 to 12.63% total C) were acidified to remove the inorganic C (Brodie et al., 2011). Briefly, 300 mg of sediment was mixed with 0.5 mL of distilled water and 1.5 mL of 20% HCl and then dried on a hot plate at 60 °C. Organic C concentration was then determined using the elemental analyser. A linear regression function between total C and organic C ($r^2 = 0.98$, p < 0.001) was used to calculate organic C concentrations of non-treated samples.

Grain size

One sediment sample per site was analysed for grain size. The samples were homogenised and a subsample of approximately 5 g of sediment was taken and digested in ~9% hydrogen peroxide until bubbling ceased (Day, 1965). The sediment sample was then wet-sieved through 2000 and 63 µm mesh sieves. Pipette analysis was used to separate the <63 µm fraction into >3.9 and \leq 3.9 µm. All fractions were then dried at 60° C until a constant weight was achieved (fractions were weighed at ~40 h and then again at 48 h). Grain size fractions were calculated as percentage weight of gravel/shell hash (>2000 µm), sand (63–2000 µm), silt (3.9–62.9 µm) and clay (\leq 3.9 µm).

Chlorophyll a

One sediment sample per site was analysed for chlorophyll *a*. The samples were freeze-dried within a month of sampling, weighed, and homogenised and then a subsample (~ 5 g) was taken for extraction. Chlorophyll *a* was extracted by boiling the sediment in 90% ethanol. The absorption of the extract was measured at 665 and 750 nm using a spectrophotometer (UV-1800, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Immediately after the absorbance reading, 0.05 mL of 1 mol HCl was added to separate degradation products from chlorophyll *a*. The absorption of the acidified extract was re-measured after 30 s (Hansson, 1988; Moed and Hallegraeff, 1978). Chlorophyll *a* con-

R. H. Bulmer et al.: Sediment properties and CO₂ efflux

centration was calculated based on the following equation:

Chlorophyll
$$a(\mu g g^{-1} \text{ sediment}) = (750a - 665a)$$

-(750 - 665) · Abs · (ethanol in extraction (l)/sediment analysed (g)), (2)

where 750 and 665 are the absorptions at wavelengths 750 and 665 nm, 750*a* and 665*a* are the absorptions at wavelengths 750 and 665 nm after acidification, and Abs is the absorbance correction factor for chlorophyll a in ethanol (28.66).

2.5 Tree and root biomass

Within intact mangrove forests the tree height of the closest five mangrove trees to each measurement/sampling point and the density (number of mangroves within a $2 \text{ m} \times 2 \text{ m}$ area) was recorded. Above-ground biomass was estimated using the allometric equations developed for *Avicennia marina* in New Zealand (Woodroffe, 1985):

At two sites, Mangere 1 (Auckland) and Hatea 1 (Northland) mangrove height exceeded the range the allometric equation was designed for (determined from trees ranging in height from 40 to 248 cm). Here, trunk diameter (at 30 cm height) of the closest five mangrove trees to each sampling point was used to estimate biomass for all trees at Mangere 1 and Hatea 1:

Total above-ground biomass^{-1/3} (g dry weight)
=
$$0.264 + 2.597 \cdot \text{diameter}$$
 (cm). (4)

At each cleared site a quadrat $(0.5 \text{ m} \times 0.5 \text{ m})$ was sampled at three randomly selected locations (within a 10 m radius). The following characteristics were recorded within each quadrat: the proportion of surface covered by mangrove leaf litter, proportion of surface covered by macroalgae, number of mangrove seeds and seedlings, and number of pneumatophores. Further, three randomly located root biomass cores (13 cm diameter, 15 cm depth) were collected at each clearing site. After sorting, all vegetative material was airdried for 1 week on aluminium trays, and then oven-dried at 70 °C for approximately 4 days until dry weight stabilised. Surface characteristics and root biomass were not measured at intact mangrove forest sites.

2.6 Data analysis

Replicates per site were averaged to provide mean site values. Mean site values were used in subsequent data analysis. Coefficient of variation (CV) values were determined (standard deviation/mean) to compare variation within and among sites.



Figure 2. Mean sediment (\pm SE) CO₂ efflux (mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) before and after surface biofilm removal, from control (n = 6), and pre-shaded sediment (n = 6) at intact mangrove site Hatea 1. *Significant difference (p < 0.01).

Data were tested for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test. The Mann–Whitney rank-sum test was used to determine differences in sediment CO_2 efflux and other site characterises between shaded and control measurements and between intact and cleared mangrove sites as data did not conform to normality.

Backward multiple linear regression analysis was used to identify the sediment and ecosystem characteristics that predicted CO₂ efflux. Levene's test was used to verify the homogeneity of variance. Sediment CO₂ efflux values from intact mangrove forest site Matua and cleared mangrove forest site Waiuku 2 were considered outliers (mean values were >3fold the overall mean and >2-fold the next highest value) and not included in the regression analysis. A significance level of p < 0.05 was used for the linear models and the individual coefficients.

A significance level of p < 0.05 was used for the linear models and the individual coefficients, unless otherwise stated.

3 Results

3.1 Shading experiment

No significant difference was detected in mean CO₂ efflux between shaded $(103.6 \pm 17.8 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$ and control $(51.1 \pm 5.5 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$ treatments (p = 0.08; Fig. 2). Removing the surface biofilm resulted in significantly higher CO₂ efflux for both shaded $(391.5 \pm 53.1 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$ and control $(278.0 \pm 29.9 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$ treatments (p < 0.01; Fig. 2).



Figure 3. Mean sediment (\pm SE) CO₂ efflux (mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) before and after surface biofilm removal, from intact mangrove forest (13 sites), and cleared mangrove forest (23 sites). *Significant difference (p < 0.01).

3.2 Sediment CO₂ efflux and sediment characteristics from intact and cleared mangrove forest sites

No significant difference in sediment CO₂ efflux was found between intact (168.5 ± 45.8 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, n = 13) and cleared (133.9 ± 37.2 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, n = 23) mangrove sites (p > 0.05; Fig. 3). Removing the surface biofilm resulted in significantly higher CO₂ efflux at intact (2.34-fold increase) and cleared (1.66-fold increase) mangrove forest sites (p < 0.01; Fig. 3).

Mangrove above-ground biomass ranged from 0.5 to 13.5 kg dry weight m⁻² with an average value of 4.5 kg dry weight m⁻² (Table 1). Sediment characteristics varied considerably among sites, and no significant differences (p > 0.05) were detected in sediment characteristics between intact and cleared mangrove forest sites (Table 1).

Sediment CO₂ efflux varied considerably within and among sites. However, the mean variability within individual sites (CV = 0.55 for intact mangrove and CV = 1.1 for cleared mangroves) was lower than mean variability among sites (CV = 0.99 for intact mangroves and CV = 1.34 for cleared mangroves).

Individual sites were grouped based on whether CO₂ efflux exceeded ("high-efflux group") or was below ("lowefflux group") the mean CO₂ efflux rate for intact mangrove forests ($168.5 \pm 45.8 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) in order to determine whether site characteristics were significantly different between high- and low-efflux groups. Mean sediment CO₂ efflux of the high-efflux group (Matua, Tairua 2, Uretara 1, Waikareao, and Welcome Bay 1) was $310.8 \pm 80.7 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, significantly higher (p < 0.05) than the $80.1 \pm 23.4 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ measured in the low efflux group. Chlorophyll *a* concentration was significantly



Figure 4. (a) Modelled values of mangrove forest CO₂ efflux compared to measured CO₂ efflux (modelled CO₂ efflux (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) = 2.454 – 0.215 × mangrove biomass, $r^2 = 0.49$, p < 0.05). (b) Modelled values of cleared mangrove forest CO₂ efflux compared to measured CO₂ efflux (modelled CO₂ efflux (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) = 0.0713 + 0.430 × sediment organic carbon concentration, $r^2 = 0.32$, p < 0.05).

higher in the high-efflux group $(53.3 \pm 7.0 \,\mu g^{-1} \, g^{-1} \, \text{sediment})$ than in the low-efflux group $(26.6 \pm 7.0 \,\mu g^{-1} \, g^{-1} \, \text{sediment}; p < 0.05)$. In addition, sediment temperature (°C) was significantly higher in the high-efflux group (21.3 ± 1.0) than in the low-efflux group $(17.9 \pm 0.8; p < 0.05; \text{Table S2})$.

Similarly, cleared mangrove sites were grouped based on whether CO₂ efflux exceeded (high-efflux group) or was below (low-efflux group) the mean CO₂ efflux rate for cleared mangrove $(133.9 \pm 37.2 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$. Mean sediment CO₂ efflux of the high-efflux group (Mangere 1; Pahurehure 4; Waiuku 1, 2 and 3; Welcome Bay 1; Whangamata E) was $338.0 \pm 71.3 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, significantly higher (p < 0.05) than $45.2 \pm 18.3 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ measured in the low-efflux group. Sediment organic C concentration $(4.2 \pm 0.8\% \text{ vs. } 2.1 \pm 0.4\%)$, N concentration $(0.5 \pm 0.1\%$ vs. $0.3 \pm 0.1\%)$, and sediment clay content $(28.2 \pm 4.3 \% \text{ vs. } 15.1 \pm 2.6 \%)$ were significantly higher and sediment sand content $(15.5 \pm 9.9\% \text{ vs. } 43.0 \pm 8.0\%)$ was significantly lower in the high-efflux group than in the lowefflux group for cleared mangrove forest sites (p < 0.05; Table S3).

3.3 Regression analysis

Backward multiple linear regression analysis revealed that mangrove biomass was the only significant predictor of sediment CO₂ efflux within intact mangrove forest sites ($r^2 =$ 0.49, F = 9.43, p = 0.01; Fig. 4a). Within the cleared sites, backward multiple linear regression analysis revealed that sediment organic C concentration was the only significant predictor of CO₂ efflux ($r^2 = 0.32$, F = 9.23, p < 0.01; Fig. 4b). No other significant relationships were observed (p > 0.05 for individual coefficients).

4 Discussion

4.1 Sediment CO₂ efflux and sediment characteristics from intact temperate mangrove forests

The magnitude of dark sediment CO2 efflux in intact Avicennia marina forests measured in this study $(168.5 \pm 45.8 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$ is similar to values reported for intact Avicennia marina forests in New Zealand (Lovelock, 2008; Lovelock et al., 2014), Australia (Livesley and Andrusiak, 2012) and tropical locations (New Caledonia: Leopold et al., 2013, 2015; Table 2). However, our values are higher than the global estimates of sediment CO₂ efflux from intact tropical mangrove forests (75 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹: Kristensen et al., 2008; $61 \pm 56 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$: Bouillon et al., 2008; $69 \pm 8 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$: Alongi, 2014; Table 2). The differences in CO₂ efflux may be related to the methods applied. The global estimates were primarily determined in the laboratory by incubating sediment cores extracted from the field (Kristensen et al., 2008). CO₂ efflux is generally lower in these studies than that observed in studies using chamber-based techniques where CO₂ is measured continuously over a short period of time in the field (this study; Lovelock, 2008; Lovelock et al., 2014; Livesley and Andrusiak, 2012; Leopold et al., 2013; Leopold et al., 2015). Higher sediment CO₂ efflux observed in our study may also be explained by the inclusion of crab burrows and short pneumatophores in the flux measurements. The omission of crab burrows and pneumatophores has previously been proposed as a potential explanation of why global estimates may be underestimated (Bouillon et al., 2008). Crab burrows have been shown to increase CO2 efflux by increasing the surface area for sediment-air exchange of CO2 (Kristensen et al., 2008) and enhancing organic matter decomposition (Pülmanns et al., 2014). Pneumatophores have been associated with increased CO₂ emissions by efficient translocation of CO₂ exchange from deeper sediments (Bouillon et al., 2008; Kristensen et al., 2008).

Sediment organic C concentrations in the intact mangrove sites $(3.6 \pm 0.7 \%)$ are comparable to the sediment organic C concentration measured in mangrove forests in New Zealand (Auckland: Yang et al., 2013; Firth of Thames: Lovelock et al., 2010) and *Avicennia marina* forests south of Melbourne, Australia (Livesley and Andrusiak, 2012). The mean sediment organic C concentration in mangrove sediments collected across the globe is 2.2 % (Kristensen et al., 2008). The main sources of organic C in intact mangrove sediments are litter and root material and suspended matter from other terrestrial and estuarine sources (Bouillon et al., 2003). The relative contribution of each source has been shown to vary considerably depending on site characteristics and histories (Bouillon et al., 2003).

The above-ground biomass across the investigated Avicennia marina forests $(0.5-13.5 \text{ kg dry weight m}^{-2})$ is lower than the above-ground biomass in many tropical mangrove

Table 1. Tree and sediment characteristics from intact and cleared mangrove sites. Values are means	\pm SI	E
--	----------	---

	Intact $(n = 13)$	Cleared $(n = 23)$
Tree characteristics		
Above-ground biomass (kg dry weight m^{-2})	4.35 ± 0.9	0
Mangrove root mass (kg dry weight m^{-3})	no data	9.5 ± 1.0
Mangrove pneumatophore abundance $(n m^{-2})$	no data	257.3 ± 86.7
Time since clearance (yr^{-1})	-	2.9 ± 0.4
Sediment characteristics		
Organic carbon (%)	3.6 ± 0.7	2.7 ± 0.4
Nitrogen (%)	0.5 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1
Gravel (%)	3.7 ± 2.3	1.5 ± 0.9
Sand (%)	29.4 ± 10.6	34.6 ± 6.8
Silt (%)	47.5 ± 7.8	44.8 ± 5.2
Clay (%)	16.6 ± 2.7	19.1 ± 2.6
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> ($\mu g^{-1} g^{-1}$ sediment)	36.9 ± 6.2	26.8 ± 4.4
Sediment temperature (°C)	19.2 ± 0.2	20.2 ± 0.5

Table 2. Comparison of mean estimates of sediment CO_2 efflux in intact and cleared mangrove forests. Values are means $\pm SE$.

Species	Location, number of sites	Sediment CO_2 efflux (mmol $CO_2 m^{-2} d^{-1}$)	Reference
Intact mangrove forests			
Avicennia marina	New Zealand, 13	168.5 ± 45.8	This study
Avicennia marina	New Zealand, 4	114.0 ± 19.9	Lovelock et al. (2014)
Avicennia marina	South and north Australia, 4	107.1 ± 45.8	Lovelock et al. (2014)
Avicennia marina	New Caledonia, 1	88.2 ± 23.7	Leopold et al. (2013)
Avicennia marina	New Caledonia, 1	*Ranging from –17.3 to 212.4 throughout the year	Leopold et al. (2013)
Avicennia marina	South Australia, 3	*Ranging from 73.7 to 117.9 throughout the year	Livesley and Andrusiak (2012)
Mixed species	Global estimate, 16	75	Kristensen et al. (2008)
Mixed species	Global estimate, 82	61 ± 56	Bouillon et al. (2008)
Mixed species	Global estimate, 140	69 ± 8	Alongi (2014)
Cleared mangrove forests			
Avicennia marina	New Zealand, 23	133.9 ± 37.2 ; 0–8 years since clearing	This study
Rhizophora mangle – peat soils	Twin Cays, Belize, 5	*Declining from 658.3 to 181.4 over 20 years	Lovelock et al. (2011)
Tropical mangrove	Bali, Indonesia, 1	*Shrimp pond floors: 99.4; Shrimp pond walls: 272.2	Sidik and Lovelock (2013)
Tropical mangrove	Gazi Bay, Mombasa, Kenya	88.6, 343 days since clearing	Lang'at et al. (2014)

* Note: no overall mean values provided.

forests (3.5–40 kg dry weight m⁻²). This is in line with previous findings reporting a decrease in mangrove biomass with increasing latitude (Saenger and Snedaker, 1993; Komiyama et al., 2008). We found a negative relationship between mangrove above-ground biomass and sediment CO₂ efflux across the 13 intact mangrove forests. Lower tree biomass associated with lower forest cover may result in increased light availability and sediment temperature (Lovelock, 2008). Higher sediment temperature may in turn lead to a higher abundance and activity of the sediment microbial decomposer community (Zogg et al., 1997) and higher sediment CO₂ efflux.

Respiration from heterotrophic biofilm communities also contributes a considerable proportion to total CO₂ efflux from mangrove sediments, as shown in a New Caledonian *Avicennia marina* forest (Leopold et al., 2013). High sediment chlorophyll *a* concentrations and the presence of algal mats characterising the intact "high-efflux sites" suggest that respiration by heterotrophic biofilm communities may be a significant contributor to CO₂ efflux (Decho, 2000). Further, higher sediment temperature resulting in higher sediment CO₂ efflux in the high-efflux sites is in line with findings from other mangrove forests (e.g. Leopold et al., 2015) and many terrestrial systems (e.g. Davidson and Janssens,

2006). Soil temperature is one of the key abiotic factors influencing both the autotrophic and heterotrophic activity (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992).

We note that all sediment CO_2 efflux measurements in this study were made at low to mid-tide while surface sediments were exposed to air and that they likely overestimate maximum efflux rates across a tidal cycle. Mangrove sediment CO_2 efflux during low tide can be up to 40% greater than during tidal immersion as molecular diffusion of CO_2 is faster when sediments are aerated and the surface area for aerobic respiration and chemical oxidation increases (Alongi, 2009). Further, benthic light availability is also reduced during tidal immersion, which may result in increased respiration by heterotrophic biofilm communities (Billerbeck et al., 2007).

4.2 Sediment CO₂ efflux and sediment characteristics of cleared mangrove forests

Our results show that dark sediment CO_2 efflux rates from cleared *Avicennia marina* forests $(133.9 \pm 37.2 \text{ mmol})$ $CO_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) are similar to sediment CO_2 efflux following mangrove clearing in the tropics (Lovelock et al., 2011; Sidik and Lovelock, 2013; Lang'at et al., 2014). Higher sediment CO_2 efflux rates (181.4 to 656.6 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ depending on the time since clearing) were measured in cleared peat mangrove forests in Belize, Central America (Lovelock et al., 2011). The mangroves in New Zealand grow on mineral sediments, which may explain lower CO_2 emissions compared to those from the study in Belize, where mangroves are growing on carbon-rich peat soils (Lovelock, 2008).

We did not find a significant difference in sediment CO_2 efflux between intact and cleared mangrove forest sites. Further, there was no relationship to be found between time since clearing and sediment CO_2 efflux. It is likely that a number of factors (such as differences in site sediment characteristics, size, hydrodynamic conditions, and method of clearing) are concealing the effect of time since clearing on sediment CO_2 efflux in our study. In contrast, sediment CO_2 efflux from cleared peat mangrove forests in Belize declined logarithmically over a 20-year period (Lovelock et al., 2011). In Kenya, 2 months after mangrove removal, sediment CO_2 efflux increased approximately 2-fold compared to intact mangroves. However, 5 months after clearing, sediment CO_2 efflux rates returned to levels similar to adjacent intact mangrove forests (Lang'at et al., 2014).

Sediment CO_2 efflux in cleared sites was positively related to sediment organic C concentration. This was also observed following the clearing of peat mangroves in Belize, where the rate of CO_2 efflux was related to the microbial degradation of organic matter in the sediments (Lovelock et al., 2011). While no significant correlation was found between CO_2 efflux and mangrove root biomass in our study, increased CO_2 efflux following mangrove clearing has previously been attributed to the rapid decomposition of fine root material related to increased sediment temperatures following the loss of canopy cover (Lang'at et al., 2014).

The highest sediment CO_2 efflux rates were measured at sites where large areas were cleared (>1 ha) using mechanical diggers and the tree mulch was left in place. Increased sediment organic C and N concentrations resulting from the mulch input may explain higher sediment CO_2 efflux at these locations. Decomposition and thus sediment CO_2 efflux rates are controlled not only by the amount of C and N but also by the quality of the substrate and activity of the decomposer community (Kristensen, 2000). As C quality was not measured in this study, it remains unknown whether the observed positive correlation between sediment organic C concentration and sediment CO_2 efflux is driven by C quality or quantity.

High clay content and sediment organic C concentration characterised the high-efflux sites. Spatial covariation of clay and organic C has been found in terrestrial soils (Davidson, 1995) but also applies to coastal sediments (Hu et al., 2006). For example, both clay and organic C settle out on the sediment surface in areas where there is low current velocity. Clay content has been shown to be associated with higher CO_2 efflux in tropical mangrove forests (Leopold et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014).

The mangrove clearance process typically includes considerable sediment disturbance, particularly when mechanical diggers are used (Lundquist et al., 2014a). The tracking and raking of the sediment creates areas where deeper anoxic sediment is brought to the surface (personal observation, 2015). Elsewhere, increased sediment CO_2 efflux has been observed within intact mangrove forests following disturbance of the top 30 cm of the sediment; however, the effect was transitory, returning to pre-disturbed levels within 2 days (Lovelock et al., 2011).

Hydrodynamic conditions and the area and shape of clearings may also influence CO_2 efflux as these factors influence site recovery. For example, smaller more exposed cleared sites at the edge of mangrove forests may transition towards tidal flat characteristics (i.e. coarser sediment grain size, lower organic C and chlorophyll *a* concentration) than larger, less exposed sites where limited sediment mobilisation occurs (Lundquist et al., 2014b). Higher sediment organic C concentrations have been measured in mangroves located further inland compared to areas at the seaward edge (Lovelock et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2013).

4.3 Sediment CO₂ uptake

Sediment CO_2 uptake (negative flux) was observed at one intact (Hatea 1) and three cleared (Tairua 3, Whangamata 1, Hatea 1) mangrove forest sites. CO_2 uptake has also been reported in other mangrove CO_2 flux studies (Lovelock, 2008; Leopold et al., 2015; Lovelock et al., 2014). CO_2 uptake has been explained by the presence of autotrophic biofilm communities, as net CO_2 uptake changed to net CO_2 loss through efflux following biofilm removal (Leopold et al., 2015).

Autotrophic biofilm communities have been shown to be significant contributors to CO₂ uptake and thus benthic primary productivity (Oakes and Eyre, 2014; Kristensen and Alongi, 2006; Bouillon et al., 2008). CO₂ uptake may occur at the onset of dark measurements as photosynthetic activity by autotrophic biofilm communities continues until coenzymes are depleted (NADPH, ATP; Leopold et al., 2015). Due to the short duration of our measurements (90 s) the proportion of CO2 uptake versus loss may be higher compared to studies where the dark chamber is left in place for longer. However, the results from our shading experiment suggest that this was not the case, as we did not see significantly higher sediment CO2 efflux rates after pre-shading compared to control. We note that spatial variation in sediment CO₂ efflux may partly explain the lack of a pre-shading effect. A higher number of replicates may have resulted in significant differences in CO₂ efflux between control and shaded locations. However, even with a significant difference we cannot rule out that higher sediment CO_2 efflux in the shaded treatment was due to other factors associated with the shade structure such as differences in sediment temperature, moisture, or the behaviour of shaded fauna. Further, our shading experiment was restricted to an intact mangrove forest site. A study by Granek and Ruttenberg (2008) investigating the effect of mangrove clearing on abiotic and biotic factors in Panama showed that cleared mangrove sediments are exposed to higher light levels. Thus the activity and the response of photosynthesising biofilm communities to preshading may differ in cleared mangrove forests.

Other processes for CO₂ uptake include drawdown of CO₂ into the sediment during large ebbing or very low tides (Krauss and Whitbeck, 2012). In terrestrial shrub ecosystems, sediment CO₂ uptake has been attributed to sediment effusion-dissolution processes driven by sediment pH and moisture (Ma et al., 2013). Chemoautotrophs have also been shown to fix C in intertidal sediment under dark conditions (Boschker et al., 2014; Lenk et al., 2011) and may contribute to the decrease in CO₂ concentration measured in the dark chamber, in particular at the interface of aerobic and anaerobic zones, where large amounts of reduced compounds, such as sulfur, accumulate (Santoro et al., 2013; Boschker et al., 2014; Thomsen and Kristensen, 1997; Lenk et al., 2011). This is consistent with what is observed in mangrove sediments, where aerobic to anaerobic transitions typically occur close to the sediment surface, with sulfur-driven processes likely to dominate in anaerobic conditions (Kristensen et al., 2008).

4.4 Biofilm removal

Sediment CO_2 efflux was consistently higher across both intact and cleared mangrove sites following the removal of the top 2 mm of sediment. Other studies have suggested that the surface biofilm may act as a barrier to the flow of CO₂ from deeper sediment, which when removed results in a rapid increase in CO₂ efflux (Leopold et al., 2015; Leopold et al., 2013). It is also possible that the increase in CO_2 efflux following biofilm removal is related to the modification of sediment profiles, changing the oxygen distribution and anoxicoxic interface, and resulting in increasing diffusion gradients (Kristensen, 2000). Our findings demonstrate that relatively small disturbances to the sediment column such as biofilm removal have significant impacts on sediment CO₂ efflux. This illustrates the complexity of processes influencing sediment CO₂ efflux in coastal wetlands and generates further questions (for example, what is the duration of this effect, does the magnitude of the effect change depending on the clearance method, and what effect does wind or wave disturbance have on efflux rates?).

5 Conclusions

Rates of mangrove clearing are increasing in temperate forests, and the impacts on C cycling and sediment properties are of potential environmental concern. This is the first study investigating the effect of clearing on sediment CO2 efflux in temperate Avicennia marina forests grown on mineral sediments. We found that rates of sediment CO₂ efflux from cleared and intact temperate Avicennia marina forests are comparable to rates observed in other temperate and tropical forests. No significant differences were found in sediment CO₂ efflux between cleared and intact mangrove forests due to high spatial variability in sediment characteristics and environmental factors. However, mangrove forest clearing resulted in a long-term modification of the sediment carbon cycle. Our results show that greater consideration should be given regarding the rate of carbon released from mangrove forest following clearance and the relative contribution to global carbon emissions.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/bg-12-6169-2015-supplement.

Acknowledgements. We thank the NIWA Hamilton benthic ecology group for field and laboratory assistance. We would also like to acknowledge NIWA Freshwater and Estuaries Centre core funding for this project (project #FWEH1402 and FWEH1502, "Mangrove ecosystem services").

Edited by: S. Bouillon

References

Alfaro, A. C.: Benthic macro-invertebrate community composition within a mangrove/seagrass estuary in north-

ern New Zealand, Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci., 66, 97–110, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2005.07.024, 2006.

- Alongi, D., de Carvalho, N., Amaral, A., Costa, A., Trott, L., and Tirendi, F.: Uncoupled surface and below-ground soil respiration in mangroves: implications for estimates of dissolved inorganic carbon export, Biogeochemistry, 109, 151–162, doi:10.1007/s10533-011-9616-9, 2012.
- Alongi, D. M.: The energetics of mangrove forests, Springer, doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-4271-3, 216 pp., 2009.
- Alongi, D. M.: Carbon Cycling and Storage in Mangrove Forests, Annu. Rev. Marine. Sci., 6, 195–219, doi:10.1146/annurevmarine-010213-135020, 2014.
- Billerbeck, M., Røy, H., Bosselmann, K., and Huettel, M.: Benthic photosynthesis in submerged Wadden Sea intertidal flats, Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci., 71, 704–716, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2006.09.019, 2007.
- Boschker, H. T. S., Vasquez-Cardenas, D., Bolhuis, H., Moerdijk-Poortvliet, T. W. C., and Moodley, L.: Chemoautotrophic carbon fixation rates and active bacterial communities in intertidal marine sediments, PLoS ONE, 9, 1–12, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101443, 2014.
- Bouillon, S., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Rao, A. V. V. S., Koedam, N., and Dehairs, F.: Sources of organic carbon in mangrove sediments: variability and possible ecological implications, Hydrobiologia, 495, 33–39, doi:10.1023/A:1025411506526, 2003.
- Bouillon, S., Borges, A. V., Castañeda-Moya, E., Diele, K., Dittmar, T., Duke, N. C., Kristensen, E., Lee, S. Y., Marchand, C., Middelburg, J. J., Rivera-Monroy, V. H., Smith Iii, T. J., and Twilley, R. R.: Mangrove production and carbon sinks: A revision of global budget estimates, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 22, GB2013, doi:10.1029/2007GB003052, 2008.
- Brodie, C. R., Leng, M. J., Casford, J. S. L., Kendrick, C. P., Lloyd, J. M., Yongqiang, Z., and Bird, M. I.: Evidence for bias in C and N concentrations and δ^{13} C composition of terrestrial and aquatic organic materials due to pre-analysis acid preparation methods, Chem. Geol., 282, 67–83, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2011.01.007, 2011.
- Burns, B. R. and Ogden, J.: The demography of the temperate mangrove *Avicennia marina* at its southern limit in New Zealand, Aust. J. Ecol., 10, 125–133, doi:10.1111/j.1442-9993.1985.tb00874.x, 1985.
- Cavanaugh, K. C., Kellner, J. R., Forde, A. J., Gruner, D. S., Parker, J. D., Rodriguez, W., and Feller, I. C.: Poleward expansion of mangroves is a threshold response to decreased frequency of extreme cold events, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 111, 723–727, doi:10.1073/pnas.1315800111, 2014.
- Chen, G. C., Tam, N. F. Y., and Ye, Y.: Summer fluxes of atmospheric greenhouse gases N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ from mangrove soil in South China, Sci. Total Environ., 408, 2761–2767, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.03.007, 2010.
- Chen, G. C., Tam, N. F. Y., and Ye, Y.: Spatial and seasonal variations of atmospheric N_2O and CO_2 fluxes from a subtropical mangrove swamp and their relationships with soil characteristics, Soil Biol. Biochem., 48, 175–181, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.01.029, 2012.
- Chen, G. C., Ulumuddin, Y. I., Pramudji, S., Chen, S. Y., Chen, B., Ye, Y., Ou, D. Y., Ma, Z. Y., Huang, H., and Wang, J. K.: Rich soil carbon and nitrogen but low atmospheric greenhouse gas fluxes from North Sulawesi mangrove swamps in Indonesia, Sci.

Total Environ., 487, 91–96, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.140, 2014.

- Davidson, E.: Spatial covariation of soil organic carbon, clay content, and drainage class at a regional scale, Landscape Ecol., 10, 349–362, doi:10.1007/BF00130212, 1995.
- Davidson, E. A. and Janssens, I. A.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change, Nature, 440, 165–173, doi:10.1038/nature04514, 2006.
- Day, P. R.: Particle fractionation and particle-size analysis, in: Methods of soil analysis, Part 1. Physical and mineralogical properties, including statistics of measurement and sampling, edited by: Black, C. A., Agronomy Monograph, American Society of Agronomy, Soil Sci. Soc. Am., 9.1, 545–567, 1965.
- Decho, A. W.: Microbial biofilms in intertidal systems: an overview, Cont. Shelf Res., 20, 1257–1273, doi:10.1016/S0278-4343(00)00022-4, 2000.
- Duke, N. C.: Phenological trends with latitude in the mangrove tree Avicennia marina, J. Ecol., 78, 113–133, doi:10.2307/2261040, 1990.
- Giri, C., Ochieng, E., Tieszen, L., Zhu, Z., Singh, A., Loveland, T., Masek, J., and Duke, N.: Status and distribution of mangrove forests of the world using earth observation satellite data, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 20, 154–159, doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00584.x, 2011.
- Granek, E. and Ruttenberg, B. I.: Changes in biotic and abiotic processes following mangrove clearing, Estuar. Coast Shelf S., 80, 555–562, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2008.09.012, 2008.
- Hansson, L.-A.: Chlorophyll *a* determination of periphyton on sediments: identification of problems and recommendation of method, Freshwater Biol., 20, 347–352, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.1988.tb00460.x, 1988.
- Harty, C.: Mangrove planning and management in New Zealand and South East Australia – A reflection on approaches, Ocean Coast. Manage., 52, 278–286, doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2009.03.001, 2009.
- Hu, J., Peng, P. a., Jia, G., Mai, B., and Zhang, G.: Distribution and sources of organic carbon, nitrogen and their isotopes in sediments of the subtropical Pearl River estuary and adjacent shelf, Southern China, Mar. Chem., 98, 274–285, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2005.03.008, 2006.
- IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the first assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp., 2013.
- Komiyama, A., Ong, J. E., and Poungparn, S.: Allometry, biomass, and productivity of mangrove forests: A review, Aquat. Bot., 89, 128–137, doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2007.12.006, 2008
- Krauss, K. and Whitbeck, J.: Soil greenhouse gas fluxes during wetland forest retreat along the lower Savannah river, Georgia (USA), Wetlands, 32, 73–81, doi:10.1007/s13157-011-0246-8, 2012.
- Kristensen, E.: Organic matter diagenesis at the oxic/anoxic interface in coastal marine sediments, with emphasis on the role of burrowing animals, Hydrobiologia, 426, 1–24, doi:10.1023/A:1003980226194, 2000.

- Kristensen, E. and Alongi, D. M.: Control by fiddler crabs (*Uca vocans*) and plant roots (*Avicennia marina*) on carbon, iron, and sulfur biogeochemistry in mangrove sediment, Limnol. Oceanog., 51, 1557–1571, doi:10.4319/lo.2006.51.4.1557, 2006.
- Kristensen, E., Bouillon, S., Dittmar, T., and Marchand, C.: Organic carbon dynamics in mangrove ecosystems: A review, Aquat. Bot., 89, 201–219, doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2007.12.005, 2008.
- Lang'at, J. K. S., Kairo, J. G., Mencuccini, M., Bouillon, S., Skov, M. W., Waldron, S., and Huxham, M.: Rapid losses of surface elevation following tree girdling and cutting in tropical mangroves, PLoS ONE, 9, e107868, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107868, 2014.
- Lange, W. P. D. and Lange, P. J. D.: An appraisal of factors controlling the latitudinal distribution of mangrove (*Avicannia marina* var. *resinifera*) in New Zealand, J. Coastal Res., 10, 539–548, doi:10.2307/4298251, 1994.
- Lenk, S., Arnds, J., Zerjatke, K., Musat, N., Amann, R., and Mußmann, M.: Novel groups of Gammaproteobacteria catalyse sulfur oxidation and carbon fixation in a coastal, intertidal sediment, Environ. Microbiol., 13, 758–774, doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02380.x, 2011.
- Leopold, A., Marchand, C., Deborde, J., Chaduteau, C., and Allenbach, M.: Influence of mangrove zonation on CO₂ fluxes at the sediment-air interface (New Caledonia), Geoderma, 202–203, 62–70, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.03.008, 2013.
- Leopold, A., Marchand, C., Deborde, J., and Allenbach, M.: Temporal variability of CO₂ fluxes at the sediment-air interface in mangroves (New Caledonia), Sci. Total Environ., 502, 617–626, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.03.008, 2015.
- LINZ (Land Information New Zealand Tide predictions), available at: http://www.linz.govt.nz/hydro/tidal-info/tide-tables, last access: 17 October 2014.
- Livesley, S. J. and Andrusiak, S. M.: Temperate mangrove and salt marsh sediments are a small methane and nitrous oxide source but important carbon store, Estuar. Coast. Shelf. S., 97, 19–27, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2011.11.002, 2012.
- Lovelock, C. E.: Soil respiration and belowground carbon allocation in mangrove forests, Ecosystems, 11, 342–354, doi:10.1007/s10021-008-9125-4, 2008.
- Lovelock, C. E., Feller, I. C., Ellis, J., Schwarz, A. M., Hancock, N., Nichols, P., and Sorrell, B.: Mangrove growth in New Zealand estuaries: the role of nutrient enrichment at sites with contrasting rates of sedimentation, Oecologia, 153, 633–641, doi:10.1007/s00442-007-0750-y, 2007.
- Lovelock, C. E., Sorrell, B. K., Hancock, N., Hua, Q., and Swales, A.: Mangrove forest and soil development on a rapidly accreting shore in New Zealand, Ecosystems, 13, 437–451, doi:10.1007/s10021-010-9329-2, 2010.
- Lovelock, C. E., Ruess, R. W., and Feller, I. C.: CO₂ effux from cleared mangrove peat, PLoS ONE, 6, 4 pp., doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021279, 2011.
- Lovelock, C. E., Feller, I. C., Reef, R., and Ruess, R. W.: Variable effects of nutrient enrichment on soil respiration in mangrove forests, Plant Soil, 379, 135–148, doi:10.1007/s11104-014-2036-6, 2014.
- Lundquist, C. J., Morrisey, D. J., Gladstone-Gallagher, R. V., and Swales, A.: Managing mangrove habitat expansion in New Zealand, in: Mangrove Ecosystems of Asia, edited by: Faridah-

Hanum, I., Latiff, A., Hakeem, K. R., and Ozturk, M., Springer New York, 415–438, 2014a.

- Lundquist, C. J., Hailes, S. F., Carter, K. R., and Burgess, T. C.: Ecological status of mangrove removal sites in the Auckland region, Prepared by the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research for the Auckland Council, Auckland Council Technical Report 2014, 90 pp., 2014b.
- Ma, J., Wang, Z.-Y., Stevenson, B. A., Zheng, X.-J., and Li, Y.: An inorganic CO₂ diffusion and dissolution process explains negative CO₂ fluxes in saline/alkaline soils, Scientific Reports, 3, 2025, doi:10.1038/srep02025, 2013.
- Moed, J. R. and Hallegraeff, G. M.: Some problems in the estimation of chlorophyll-a and phaeopigments from pre- and postacidification spectrophotometrie measurements, Int. Rev. Ges. Hydrobio., 63, 787–800, doi:10.1002/iroh.19780630610, 1978.
- Morrisey, D. J., Swales, A., Dittmann, S., Morrison, M., Lovelock, C., and Beard, C.: The ecology and management of temperate mangroves, in: Oceanogr. Mar. Biol., Oceanography and Marine Biology – An Annual Review, CRC Press, 43–160, doi:10.1201/EBK1439821169-c2, 2010.
- NIWA (National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research climate data): https://www.niwa.co.nz/education-and-training/ schools/resources/climate, last access: 1 November 2014.
- Oakes, J. M. and Eyre, B. D.: Transformation and fate of microphytobenthos carbon in subtropical, intertidal sediments: potential for long-term carbon retention revealed by 13C-labeling, Biogeosciences, 11, 1927–1940, doi:10.5194/bg-11-1927-2014, 2014.
- Pülmanns, N., Diele, K., Mehlig, U., and Nordhaus, I.: Burrows of the semi-terrestrial crab Ucides cordatus enhance CO₂ release in a North Brazilian mangrove forest, PLoS ONE, 9, e109532, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109532, 2014.
- Raich, J. and Schlesinger, W. H.: The global carbon dioxide flux in soil respiration and its relationship to vegetation and climate, Tellus B, 44, 81–99, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.1992.t01-1-00001.x, 1992.
- Saenger, P. and Snedaker, S.: Pantropical trends in mangrove aboveground biomass and annual litterfall, Oecologia, 96, 293–299, doi:10.1007/BF00317496, 1993.
- Santoro, A. L., Bastviken, D., Gudasz, C., Tranvik, L., and Enrich-Prast, A.: Dark carbon fixation: An important process in lake sediments, PLoS ONE, 8, e65813, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065813, 2013.
- Saintilan, N., Wilson, N. C., Rogers, K., Rajkaran, A., and Krauss, K. W.: Mangrove expansion and salt marsh decline at mangrove poleward limits, Glob. Change Biol., 20, 147–157, doi:10.1111/gcb.12341, 2014.
- Sidik, F. and Lovelock, C. E.: CO₂ efflux from shrimp ponds in Indonesia, PLoS ONE, 8, e66329, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066329, 2013.
- Stokes, D. J.: The physical and ecological impacts of mangrove expansion and mangrove removal: Tauranga Harbour, New Zealand, PhD Thesis, University of Waikato, 195 pp., 2010.
- Stokes, D. J., Healy, T. R., and Cooke, P. J.: Surface elevation changes and sediment characteristics of intertidal surfaces undergoing mangrove expansion and mangrove removal, Waikaraka Estuary, Tauranga Harbour, New Zealand, Int. J. Ecol. Develop., 12, 88–106, doi:10.2112/08-1043.1, 2009.
- Swales, A., Bentley, S. J., Lovelock, C., and Bell, R. G.: Sediment processes and mangrove-habitat expansion on a rapidly-

prograding Muddy Coast, New Zealand, Coast. Sediments, 1441–1454, doi:10.1061/40926(239)111, 2007.

- Thomsen, U. and Kristensen, E.: Dynamics of sigma CO₂ in a surficial sandy marine sediment: the role of chemoautotrophy, Aquat. Microb. Ecol., 12, 165–176, doi:10.3354/ame012165, 1997.
- Twilley, R. R., Chen, R. H., and Hargis, T.: Carbon sinks in mangroves and their implications to carbon budget of tropical coastal ecosystems, Water Air Soil Pollut., 64, 265–288, doi:10.1007/bf00477106, 1992.
- Van Colen, C., Underwood, G. J. C., Serôdio, J., and Paterson, D. M.: Ecology of intertidal microbial biofilms: Mechanisms, patterns and future research needs, J. Sea Res., 92, 2–5, doi:10.1016/j.seares.2014.07.003, 2014.
- Woodroffe, C. D.: Studies of a mangrove basin, Tuff Crater, New Zealand: I. Mangrove biomass and production of detritus, Estuar. Coast Shelf. S., 20, 265–280, doi:10.1016/0272-7714(85)90042-3, 1985.

- Yang, J., Gao, J., Cheung, A., Liu, B., Schwendenmann, L., and Costello, M. J.: Vegetation and sediment characteristics in an expanding mangrove forest in New Zealand, Estuar. Coast Shelf S., 134, 11–18, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2013.09.017, 2013.
- Zogg, G. P., Zak, D. R., Ringelberg, D. B., White, D. C., MacDonald, N. W., and Pregitzer, K. S.: Compositional and Functional Shifts in Microbial Communities Due to Soil Warming, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 61, 475–481, doi:10.2136/sssaj1997.03615995006100020015x, 1997.