

Supplement of Biogeosciences, 13, 2453–2473, 2016
<http://www.biogeosciences.net/13/2453/2016/>
doi:10.5194/bg-13-2453-2016-supplement
© Author(s) 2016. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Biogeosciences  Open Access

Supplement of

Changes in optical characteristics of surface microlayers hint to photochemically and microbially mediated DOM turnover in the upwelling region off the coast of Peru

Luisa Galgani and Anja Engel

Correspondence to: Anja Engel (aengel@geomar.de)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC-BY 3.0 licence.

Table S1. (a). Absorption coefficients $a(325)$ [m^{-1}], spectral slopes $S(275-295)$, [nm^{-1}], DOC [mg L^{-1}] and specific UV absorption at 254 nm SUVA_{254} [$\text{mg C L}^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}$] in the ULW.

Station	Sample	$a(325)[\text{m}^{-1}]$	$S(275-295)[\text{nm}^{-1}]$	DOC[mg L^{-1}]	$\text{SUVA}_{254}[\text{mg C L}^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}]$
S1	ulw	0.28	0.025	1.01	0.46
S2	ulw	0.21	0.034	0.83	0.65
S2_2	ulw	0.30	0.029	0.84	0.66
S3	ulw	0.37	0.029	0.92	0.73
S4	ulw	0.44	0.027	0.91	0.96
S4_2	ulw	0.39	0.029	0.73	1.00
S6	ulw	0.30	0.028	0.81	0.71
S7	ulw	0.71	0.021	0.84	1.15
S7_2	ulw	0.32	0.031	0.76	0.90
S8	ulw	1.01	0.017	1.11	0.95
S9	ulw	0.32	0.031	1.06	0.64
S9_2	ulw	0.39	0.029	1.12	0.69
S10_1	ulw	1.01	0.025	0.92	1.10
S10_2	ulw	1.47	0.022	0.96	0.99
S10_3	ulw	0.97	0.026	0.95	1.10
S10_4	ulw	1.24	0.028	1.16	1.14
S11	ulw	0.30	0.023	0.81	1.21
S12_1	ulw	0.12	0.037	0.94	0.68
S12_2	ulw	0.07	0.043	0.88	0.63
S12_3	ulw	0.14	0.035	0.89	0.72
S13_1	ulw	0.14	0.030	0.87	0.78
S13_2	ulw	0.09	0.035	0.89	0.68
S13_3	ulw	0.21	0.028	1.09	0.72
S14_1	ulw	0.37	0.022	1.14	0.82
S14_2	ulw	0.35	0.025	1.17	0.84
S15_1	ulw	0.23	0.025	1.13	0.69
S15_2	ulw	0.32	0.024	1.24	0.79
S15_3	ulw	0.37	0.020	1.36	0.72
S16_1	ulw	0.35	0.023	0.95	1.01
S16_2	ulw	0.32	0.024	1.03	0.85
S16_3	ulw	0.30	0.025	1.05	0.82
S17_1	ulw	0.21	0.029	1.28	0.59
S17_2	ulw	0.28	0.026	1.15	0.78
S19	ulw	0.16	0.032	1.16	0.57
S19_2	ulw	0.12	0.037	1.05	0.63
S20	ulw	0.09	0.038	1.09	0.50
S20_2	ulw	0.07	0.040	1.19	0.49
#1778	ulw	0.07	0.040	1.09	0.53

Table S1. (b). Absorption coefficients $a(325)$ [m^{-1}], spectral slopes $S(275-295)$, [nm^{-1}], DOC [$mg L^{-1}$] and specific UV absorption at 254 nm $SUVA_{254}$ [$mg C L^{-1} m^{-1}$] in the SML.

Station	Sample	$a(325)[m^{-1}]$	$S(275-295)[nm^{-1}]$	DOC[$mg L^{-1}$]	$SUVA_{254}[mg C L^{-1} m^{-1}]$
S1	sml	0.37	0.021	1.22	0.49
S2	sml	0.35	0.029	0.90	0.89
S2_2	sml	0.76	0.020	1.01	1.00
S3	sml	0.41	0.030	0.99	0.84
S4	sml	0.41	0.032	0.96	0.91
S4_2	sml	0.44	0.027	0.96	0.91
S6	sml	0.41	0.027	0.90	0.82
S7	sml	0.37	0.029	0.86	0.96
S7_2	sml	0.41	0.032	0.97	1.05
S8	sml	0.37	0.033	1.05	0.99
S9	sml	0.46	0.031	1.49	0.70
S9_2	sml	0.35	0.034	1.41	0.77
S10_1	sml	1.11	0.028	1.15	1.15
S10_2	sml	1.24	0.030	1.25	1.36
S10_3	sml	1.15	0.034	1.02	1.74
S10_4	sml	1.47	0.029	1.39	1.26
S11	sml	0.12	0.038	0.90	0.91
S12_1	sml	0.12	0.036	0.97	0.68
S12_2	sml	0.09	0.038	0.95	0.70
S12_3	sml	0.23	0.029	0.96	0.89
S13_1	sml	0.09	0.036	0.96	0.67
S13_2	sml	0.14	0.031	0.97	0.76
S13_3	sml	0.18	0.029	1.14	0.74
S14_1	sml	0.44	0.024	1.20	0.95
S14_2	sml	0.48	0.020	1.21	1.02
S15_1	sml	0.37	0.025	1.26	0.86
S15_2	sml	0.35	0.024	1.25	0.86
S15_3	sml	1.01	0.012	1.47	1.10
S16_1	sml	0.32	0.024	1.07	0.84
S16_2	sml	0.37	0.024	1.13	0.90
S16_3	sml	0.35	0.025	1.15	0.89
S17_1	sml	0.25	0.026	1.24	0.74
S17_2	sml	0.23	0.030	1.28	0.70
S19	sml	0.14	0.034	1.20	0.60
S19_2	sml	0.30	0.025	1.27	0.74
S20	sml	0.23	0.031	1.26	0.59
S20_2	sml	0.12	0.036	1.34	0.54
#1778	sml	0.09	0.037	1.20	0.55




Figure S1. 5-components model validation for multiple comparisons - excitation.




Figure S2. 5-components model validation for multiple comparisons - emission.




Figure S3. Contour plots of the five fluorescent components identified in this study, visualized with their excitation/emission ranges in the full spectrum.