



Supplement of

Stand structural diversity rather than species diversity enhances above-ground carbon storage in secondary subtropical forests in Eastern China

Arshad Ali et al.

Correspondence to: En-Rong Yan (eryan@des.ecnu.edu.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC-BY 3.0 licence.

1 **Supplementary information**

2 **Table S1.** Summary of plot variables used in the bivariate relationship and structural equation
3 models (SEMs) for the quantification of forest diversity and aboveground C storage in the
4 secondary subtropical evergreen broadleaved forests of Eastern China. $n = 80$; ln = natural
5 log.

Variable	Unit	Transformation	Minimum	Maximum
<i>Dependent variable</i>				
Aboveground C (AGC) storage	Mg ha ⁻¹	ln and standardized	-3.42	1.58
<i>Explanatory variables</i>				
Stand age (SA)	years	ln and standardized	-2.06	1.07
Tree species diversity (Hs)	unitless	ln and standardized	-4.06	1.54
Tree DBH diversity (Hd, 2 cm)	unitless	ln and standardized	-3.27	1.30
Tree DBH diversity (Hd, 4 cm)	unitless	ln and standardized	-3.02	1.14
Tree DBH diversity (Hd, 6 cm)	unitless	ln and standardized	-4.07	1.17
Tree DBH diversity (Hd, 8 cm)	unitless	ln and standardized	-2.44	1.26
Tree height diversity (Hh, 2 m)	unitless	ln and standardized	-3.53	1.55
Tree height diversity (Hh, 3 m)	unitless	ln and standardized	-4.20	1.22
Tree height diversity (Hh, 4 m)	unitless	ln and standardized	-2.87	1.70
Tree height diversity (Hh, 5 m)	unitless	ln and standardized	-4.16	1.45

6 DBH, tree diameter at breast height

7

8 **Table S2.** Pearson's correlation coefficients between variables used in this study for testing
9 structural equation models (SEMs) of aboveground C storage. The highlighted gray portion
10 in the table indicates the variables used in the selected SEMs (see Fig. 2). All variables were
11 natural log transformed and standardized. Coefficients are significant at $P < 0.05$ (*), < 0.01
12 (**), and < 0.001 (***)�. See Table S1 for abbreviations and units of variables.

	Hs	Hh (2 m)	Hd (8 cm)	SA	AGC	Hh (3 m)	Hh (4 m)	Hh (5 m)	Hd (2 cm)	Hd (4 cm)	Hd (6 cm)
Hs											
Hh (2 m)	0.05										
Hd (8 cm)	0.21	0.53***									
SA	0.02	0.52***	0.66***								
AGC	-0.10	0.49***	0.74***	0.82***							
Hh (3 m)	-0.06	0.94***	0.59***	0.56***	0.50***						
Hh (4 m)	0.02	0.89***	0.58***	0.60***	0.53***	0.90***					
Hh (5 m)	-0.02	0.80***	0.60***	0.59***	0.51***	0.84***	0.87***				
Hd (2 cm)	0.28*	0.40***	0.80***	0.51***	0.62***	0.38***	0.34***	0.38***			
Hd (4 cm)	0.27*	0.50***	0.94***	0.63***	0.71***	0.52***	0.52***	0.56***	0.93***		
Hd (6 cm)	0.24*	0.42***	0.89***	0.61***	0.69***	0.45***	0.47***	0.50***	0.87***	0.95***	

13

14 **Table S3.** Model selection of good-fit structural equation model (SEM) for aboveground
15 carbon storage. Model fit summary, particularly AIC, was employed to determine the best-fit
16 SEM model. Selected models, based on three conceptual models in the study, are highlighted
17 in bold. All possible combinations of discrete classes for DBH and height diversity along
18 with stand age and species diversity were tested. (see Figure 1 for conceptual models).
19 df: degrees of freedom; CFI: comparative fit index; GFI: goodness of fit index; SRMR:
20 standardized root mean square residual; AIC: Akaike information criterion; R^2 indicates the
21 total variation in aboveground C storage that is explained by the combined independent
22 variables. Note: df is based on the number of ‘knowns’ minus the number of free parameters
23 in the model, not on the sample size.

1c	4	2	2	---	---	---	---	---	---	Bad-fit
1a	4	4	2	0.96	0.95	0.05	36.45	0.83	10.45 (0.005)	Rejected
1b	4	4	2	0.96	0.95	0.05	36.45	0.83	10.45 (0.005)	Rejected
1c	4	4	2	0.96	0.95	0.05	36.45	0.83	10.45 (0.005)	Rejected
1a	4	6	2	0.96	0.96	0.05	34.75	0.87	8.75 (0.013)	Rejected
1b	4	6	2	0.96	0.96	0.05	34.75	0.87	8.75 (0.013)	Rejected
1c	4	6	2	0.96	0.96	0.05	34.75	0.87	8.75 (0.013)	Rejected
1a	4	8	2	0.96	0.96	0.04	35.44	0.81	9.44 (0.009)	Rejected
1b	4	8	2	0.96	0.96	0.04	35.44	0.81	9.44 (0.009)	Rejected
1c	4	8	2	0.96	0.96	0.04	35.44	0.81	9.44 (0.009)	Rejected
1a	5	2	2	0.93	0.94	0.07	41.01	0.91	15.01 (0.001)	Rejected
1b	5	2	2	0.93	0.94	0.07	41.01	0.91	15.01 (0.001)	Rejected
1c	5	2	2	0.93	0.94	0.07	41.01	0.91	15.01 (0.001)	Rejected
1a	5	4	2	0.94	0.94	0.07	40.81	0.79	14.81 (0.001)	Rejected
1b	5	4	2	0.94	0.94	0.07	40.81	0.79	14.81 (0.001)	Rejected
1c	5	4	2	0.94	0.94	0.07	40.81	0.79	14.81 (0.001)	Rejected
1a	5	6	2	0.95	0.95	0.06	38.27	0.81	12.27 (0.002)	Rejected
1b	5	6	2	0.95	0.95	0.06	38.27	0.81	12.27 (0.002)	Rejected
1c	5	6	2	0.95	0.95	0.06	38.27	0.81	12.27 (0.002)	Rejected
1a	5	8	2	0.95	0.94	0.06	39.71	0.79	13.07 (0.001)	Rejected
1b	5	8	2	0.95	0.94	0.06	39.71	0.79	13.07 (0.001)	Rejected
1c	5	8	2	0.95	0.94	0.06	39.71	0.79	13.07 (0.001)	Rejected