

Annual greenhouse gas budget for a bog ecosystem undergoing restoration by rewetting

Sung-Ching Lee¹, Andreas Christen¹, Andrew T. Black², Mark S. Johnson^{3,4}, Rachhpal S. Jassal², Rick Ketler¹, Zoran Nesic^{1,2}, and Markus Merkens⁵

¹Department of Geography/Atmospheric Science Program, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada ²Faculty of Land and Food Systems, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

³Institute of Resources, Environment and Sustainability, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

This ture of Resoluces, Environment and Sustainability, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

⁴Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada ⁵Parks, Planning and Environment Department, Metro Vancouver, Vancouver, Canada

Correspondence to: Sung-Ching Lee (sungching.lee@geog.ubc.ca)

Received: 21 October 2016 – Discussion started: 1 November 2016 Revised: 2 May 2017 – Accepted: 2 May 2017 – Published: 8 June 2017

Abstract. Many peatlands have been drained and harvested for peat mining, agriculture, and other purposes, which has turned them from carbon (C) sinks into C emitters. Rewetting of disturbed peatlands facilitates their ecological recovery and may help them revert to carbon dioxide (CO₂) sinks. However, rewetting may also cause substantial emissions of the more potent greenhouse gas (GHG) methane (CH₄). Our knowledge of the exchange of CO₂ and CH₄ following rewetting during restoration of disturbed peatlands is currently limited. This study quantifies annual fluxes of CO2 and CH4 in a disturbed and rewetted area located in the Burns Bog Ecological Conservancy Area in Delta, BC, Canada. Burns Bog is recognized as the largest raised bog ecosystem on North America's west coast. Burns Bog was substantially reduced in size and degraded by peat mining and agriculture. Since 2005, the bog has been declared a conservancy area, with restoration efforts focusing on rewetting disturbed ecosystems to recover Sphagnum and suppress fires. Using the eddy covariance (EC) technique, we measured year-round (16 June 2015 to 15 June 2016) turbulent fluxes of CO₂ and CH₄ from a tower platform in an area rewetted for the last 8 years. The study area, dominated by sedges and Sphagnum, experienced a varying water table position that ranged between 7.7 (inundation) and -26.5 cm from the surface during the study year. The annual CO₂ budget of the rewetted area was $-179 \pm 26.2 \text{ g CO}_2$ -C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (CO₂ sink) and the annual CH₄ budget was 17 ± 1.0 g CH₄- $Cm^{-2}yr^{-1}$ (CH₄ source). Gross ecosystem productivity

(GEP) exceeded ecosystem respiration (R_e) during summer months (June-August), causing a net CO₂ uptake. In summer, high CH₄ emissions (121 mg CH₄–C m⁻² day⁻¹) were measured. In winter (December-February), while roughly equal magnitudes of GEP and R_e made the study area CO₂ neutral, very low CH₄ emissions $(9 \text{ mg CH}_4 - \text{C m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$ were observed. The key environmental factors controlling the seasonality of these exchanges were downwelling photosynthetically active radiation and 5 cm soil temperature. It appears that the high water table caused by ditch blocking suppressed Re. With low temperatures in winter, CH_4 emissions were more suppressed than R_e . Annual net GHG flux from CO₂ and CH₄ expressed in terms of CO₂ equivalents (CO₂ eq.) during the study period totalled $-22 \pm 103.1 \text{ g CO}_2 \text{ eq. m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (net CO₂ eq. sink) and $1248 \pm 147.6 \text{ g CO}_2 \text{ eq. m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (net CO₂ eq. source) by using 100- and 20-year global warming potential values, respectively. Consequently, the ecosystem was almost CO₂ eq. neutral during the study period expressed on a 100year time horizon but was a significant CO₂ eq. source on a 20-year time horizon.

1 Introduction

Wetland ecosystems play a disproportionately large role in the global carbon (C) cycle compared to the surface area they occupy. Wetlands cover only 6-7% of the Earth's terrestrial surface (Lehner and Döll, 2004; Mitsch et al., 2010) but C storage in wetlands has been estimated to be up to 450 Gt C or approximately 20 % of the total C storage in the terrestrial biosphere (Bridgham et al., 2006; Lal, 2008; Wisniewski and Sampson, 2012). However, they emit significant quantities of methane (CH₄), a powerful greenhouse gas (GHG). Wetlands are responsible for 30 % of all global CH₄ emissions (Bergamaschi et al., 2007; Bloom et al., 2010; Ciais et al., 2013) due to anaerobic microbial decomposition (Aurela et al., 2001; Rinne et al., 2007). Peatlands are the most widespread of all wetland types in the world, representing 50 to 70 % of global wetlands (Roulet, 2000; Yu et al., 2010). Peatlands around the world sequester around $50 \text{ g } \text{CO}_2 - \text{C } \text{m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Roulet et al., 2007; Christensen et al., 2012; Humphreys et al., 2014; McVeigh et al., 2014; Peichl et al., 2014; Pelletier et al., 2015) and emit around 12 g CH₄–C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Abdalla et al., 2016; Brown et al., 2014; Jackowicz-Korczynski et al., 2010; Lai et al., 2014; Urbanová et al., 2013). Furthermore, it has been shown that it is crucial to include peatlands in the modelling and analysis of the global C cycle (Frolking et al., 2013; Kleinen et al., 2010; Wania et al., 2009).

Many peatlands have been harvested and continue to be disturbed by the extraction of peat for horticultural use and conversion to agriculture as well as other purposes. In the case of Burns Bog, peat was also used for fire bombs during World War II (Cowen, 2015). Generally, during harvesting, the surface vegetation is removed, and then wetlands are drained by a network of ditches (Price and Waddington, 2000; Waddington and Roulet, 2000). When no longer economical, many harvested peatlands are abandoned and kept at artificially low water tables due to the drainage ditches. This environmental condition limits the disturbed and abandoned peatlands ability to return to their prior state. Drainage results in increased oxidation in peat soils, which then can become a strong source of CO₂ (Langeveld et al., 1997; Petrescu et al., 2015; Joosten, 2012). Additionally, degraded peat increases the risk of peatland fires, which could consequently cause significant CO₂ emissions (Gaveau et al., 2014; Page et al., 2002; van der Werf et al., 2004). These consequences could be worse if nothing is done after the peat extraction. Therefore, and for reasons of conservation ecology (unique habitat), disturbed peatlands may be restored.

Restoration efforts typically rely on elevating the water table and managing vegetation. The water table depth and the amount of vegetation are the most important factors affecting land-atmosphere C exchange. Rewetting by ditch blocking can have an immediate impact on the C exchange between the peatland surface and the atmosphere (Limpens et al., 2008). Rewetting has strong direct and indirect effects on CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes. Raising the water level has been found to suppress the CO₂ efflux from the soil and result in an increase in net CO₂ uptake by native bog vegetation (Komulainen et al., 1999). CH₄ emissions from rewetted sections in a bog in Finland were 3 times higher than the release from the disturbed and dry area (Tuittila et al., 2000). Another study found similar rates of CH_4 production in disturbed and restored wetlands in the southern United States (Schipper and Reddy, 1994). Revegetation of degraded peat leads to faster re-establishment of peat formation that can have significant effects on C exchange. However, the increased aboveand below-ground biomass of plants and litter enhances organic matter oxidation, which raises CO_2 emissions (Finér and Laine, 1998; Minkkinen and Laine, 1998). In other studies, re-establishing the conditions permitting peat formation also initially increased CH_4 emissions, but the C exchange did not reach the level of seasonal emissions from pristine peatlands (Crill et al., 1992; Dise et al., 1993; Shannon and White, 1994).

Very few studies provide continuous, year-round measurements to determine how restored and rewetted peatland ecosystems recover in terms of their productivity and GHG exchange. It remains unclear when, or even if, restored peatland ecosystems could show a similar magnitude of C fluxes as in pristine (undisturbed) peatland ecosystems. Furthermore, most investigations focusing on GHG exchange of restored peatlands only measured CO_2 and/or CH₄ fluxes during short periods, e.g. the growing season. There are few studies that measured continuously and year-round fluxes (Anderson et al., 2016; Järveoja et al., 2016; Knox et al., 2015; Richards and Craft, 2015; Strack and Zuback, 2013), relying instead on sporadic, or repeating, chamber measurements, which are difficult to upscale to annual totals.

In this study, we (a) quantified seasonal and annual CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes, using the eddy covariance (EC) technique, in a disturbed ecosystem that is representative of areas subject to recent restoration efforts (ditch blocking for the last 8 years); (b) identified key environmental controls and their effects on CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes; and (c) quantified whether the study ecosystem is net source or sink of C and its net climate forcing at different timescales by considering the global warming potential (GWP) of CO_2 and CH_4 .

2 Study area

Burns Bog in Delta, BC, on Canada's Pacific coast, is part of a remnant peatland ecosystem that is recognized as the largest raised bog ecosystem (2042 ha) on North America's west coast. During the last century, it was significantly disturbed as a result of it being used for housing, peat mining, and agriculture (Metro Vancouver, 2007). The Burns Bog Ecological Conservancy Area (BBECA) was established in 2005 to conserve this large coastal raised bog and restore ecological integrity to the greatest extent possible. Christen et al. (2016) measured summertime CO_2 and CH_4 exchanges using primarily chamber systems in several plots representative of disturbed areas of the BBECA, where some plots were rewetted and others were not. The study found substantial emissions of CH_4 primarily in recently rewetted plots, with the highest emissions associated with high water tables. Nevertheless, a significant spatial and temporal variability was found between and within plots. In order to constrain these emission estimates, it was suggested to extend the year-round monitoring of CO_2 and CH_4 exchanges using EC technique to provide spatially more representative fluxes at a recently rewetted plot.

The current study site is located in a harvested, disturbed, and rewetted area in the centre of the BBECA (122°59′5.60″ W, 49°07′45.59″ N; WGS-84) with dimensions of 400 m by 250 m (Fig. 1). The field is surrounded by a windbreak to the west and an abandoned (now blocked) drainage ditch to the north (see Figs. S1 and S2 in the Supplement). The study area was harvested between 1957 and 1963 using the Atkins-Durbrow hydropeat method to remove the peat (Heathwaite and Göttlich, 1993). In 2007, the study site was rewetted via ditch blocking using dams built with plywood and using wooden stakes as bracing (Howie et al., 2009). Based on the weather data for 1981 to 2010 from the closest Environment Canada weather station, Vancouver International Airport, the average annual temperature was 10.4° and average annual precipitation was 1189 mm. Following rewetting, water table height (WTH) in the study area fluctuates between 30 cm above ground and 30 cm below ground over the year. In all years since rewetting started in 2007, water table positions were lower in late summer and early fall and high all winter and spring. WTH decreases steadily between June and September. In September and October, a water table rise due to the increase in precipitation and reduced evapotranspiration (ET) (Fig. 2) as a consequence of reduced available energy and senescence of sedges was observed, which is similar to water table observations in other temperate wetlands (Lafleur et al., 2005; Rydin et al., 2013). The depth of peat at the study site is 5.83 m. A silty clay layer is located below the peat layer (Chestnutt, 2015). The plant communities in the study ecosystem are dominated by Sphagnum spp. and Rhynchospora alba. The average height of the vegetation during the growing season is about 0.3 m (Madrone Consultants Ltd., 1999). Plants are separated by shallow open water pools, some of them populated by algae developing. Birch trees are dispersed and appear to be growing on the remnants of baulks but none of them was taller 2 m. Sphagnum covers over 25 % of the surface inside the study area (Hebda et al., 2000). The area of the open water ponds was estimated to be about 20 % of the surface in summer by aerial photo.

3 Materials and methods

3.1 Climate measurements

Weather variables were continuously measured in order to determine climatic controls of CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes. Four components of radiation (shortwave, longwave, incoming, and outgoing) were continuously measured by a four-

Figure 1. Flux tower on floating platform with EC-1 and EC-2 systems facing south and instruments that measured climate variables indicated (friction velocity (u_*) , sensible heat flux (H), latent heat flux (LE), CO₂ flux (NEE), CH₄ flux (F_m) , incoming shortwave radiation $(K \downarrow)$, outgoing shortwave radiation $(K \uparrow)$, incoming longwave radiation $(L \downarrow)$, outgoing longwave radiation $(L \uparrow)$, net all-wave radiation (Q^*) , incoming PAR (PAR \downarrow), outgoing PAR (PAR \uparrow), air temperature (T_a) , relative humidity (RH), soil temperature (T_s) , water table height (WTH), and precipitation (P)).

component net radiometer (CNR1, Kipp and Zonen, Delft, Holland) on top of the tower. Two quantum sensors (LI-190, LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) measured incoming and outgoing photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). Precipitation was measured with an unheated tipping bucket rain gauge (TR-525M, Texas Electronics, Dallas, TX, USA) at 1 m height, 10 m north of the tower. Air temperature (T_a) and relative humidity (RH) were measured at the heights of 2.0 and 0.3 m (HMP-35 A, Vaisala, Finland), and soil thermocouples (type T) were recording soil and water temperatures at the depths of 0.05, 0.10, and 0.50 m ($T_{s,5 \text{ cm}}$, $T_{s,10 \text{ cm}}$, and $T_{s,50 \text{ cm}}$). A pressure transducer (CS400, Campbell Scientific) was installed on 28 July 2015 in an observation well west of the tower to continuously measure WTH for the remainder of the study period. A soil volumetric water content $(\theta_{\rm w})$ sensor (CS616, Campbell Scientific) was inserted vertically to measure integrated θ_w from the surface to a depth of 0.30 m.

3.2 Eddy-covariance measurements

Over the entire annual study period, from 16 June 2015 to 15 June 2016, a long-term eddy covariance system (EC-1) was operated on a floating scaffold tower (Fig. 1) at a height of 1.8 m (facing south). The EC-1 system consisted of an ultrasonic anemometer–thermometer (CSAT-3, Campbell Scientific) and an open-path CO_2 –H₂O infrared gas analyzer (IRGA, LI-7500, LI-COR Inc.). The path separation

S.-C. Lee et al.: Annual greenhouse gas budget for a bog ecosystem





Figure 2. The annual course of weather variables $(T_a, T_s, P, \text{ and } PAR)$, ET, and WTH. The 30-year climate normals (30-year T_a and P) were measured at Vancouver International Airport (data: Environment Canada).

between CSAT-3 and LI-7500 was 5 cm. The CSAT-3 measured the longitudinal, transverse, and vertical components of the wind vector and sonic temperature and output data at 10 Hz. The IRGA measured water vapour density (ρ_v) and CO₂ density (ρ_c) at 10 Hz. The 10 Hz data from both instruments were sampled on a data logger (CR1000, Campbell Scientific) and processed fluxes of CO₂ (net ecosystem exchange, NEE) were calculated in post-processing of 30 min data blocks following the procedures documented in Crawford et al. (2013).

An additional, independent EC system (EC-2) was added on 10 June 2015 to measure CH₄ fluxes. The EC-2 system was also located at a height of 1.8 m, 1.8 m to the west of EC-1, and faced south (Fig. 1). EC-2 consisted of a similar ultrasonic anemometer-thermometer (CSAT-3, Campbell Scientific, 20 Hz), an enclosed-path H₂O-CO₂ IRGA (LI-7200, LI-COR Inc., 20 Hz) and an open-path gas analyzer to measure the partial density of CH₄ (ρ_m) (LI-7700, LI-COR Inc., 20 Hz). The northward separation of LI-7200 was 20 cm. The northward separation of LI-7700 was 40 cm and eastward separation of LI-7700 was 20 cm. Data from EC-2 were collected by an analyzer interface unit (LI-7550, LI-COR Inc.) and processed on site. Fluxes of CH_4 (F_m) were processed in advanced mode using EddyPro® (V6.1.0, LI-COR Inc.) with a missing sample allowance of 30 %. Fm data were quality checked using the flagging system proposed by Foken et al. (2004).

3.3 Gap-filling algorithms

Some gaps in climate and flux measurements are unavoidable due to challenging weather and low-light situations (the station was solar powered) and need to be filled in for estimating seasonal and annual fluxes. Gaps in the climate data (< 1%of the year) were filled using measurements at nearby climate stations. Small gaps (< 60 min) of missing CO₂, H₂O, and CH₄ fluxes were filled by linear interpolation. Longer gaps in H₂O fluxes were filled with the online tool developed by the Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry in Jena, Germany. This tool uses the look-up table method documented in Falge et al. (2001) and Reichstein et al. (2005). Longer gaps in CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes were filled using empirical relationships between CO₂ or CH₄ fluxes and environmental variables. Two years (from July 2014 to June 2016) of measurements of CO₂ fluxes were used for modelling ecosystem respiration $(R_{\rm e})$ and gross ecosystem productivity (GEP) to achieve better statistical relationships. Since there were two EC systems running with redundant fluxes of CO₂, the sensitivity of different combinations of data (EC-1 vs. EC-2 or using an average of the two) has been explored in Lee et al. (2016). For the data presented in this study, CO_2 fluxes, H, latent heat flux from EC-1, and CH₄ fluxes from EC-2 were used. Valid data from EC-1 were obtained for 59% of the year (after quality control). Valid data from EC-2, which were restricted by power availability, were 32 % of the year (after quality control). Data availability was the lowest in winter (38% and 4% in winter, 71% and 6% in spring, 67% and 70% in summer, and 60 % and 51 % in fall for EC-1 and EC-2, respectively). In this study, net fluxes of CO₂ and CH₄ toward the ecosystem surface are negative and net fluxes from the ecosystem surface to the atmosphere are positive. Therefore, negative NEE and F_m represent net CO₂ and CH₄ uptake, respectively.

3.3.1 Gap filling of CO₂ flux data

For gaps longer than 2 h in CO₂ fluxes, the CO₂ flux (i.e. NEE) was modelled as the difference between R_e and GEP, i.e. NEE = R_e – GEP. Nocturnal NEE values were R_e as there is no photosynthesis at night.

 $R_{\rm e}$ was modelled based on soil temperature at the 5 cm depth ($T_{\rm s,5\,cm}$) using a logistic fit (Neter et al., 1988):

$$R_{\rm e} = \frac{1}{r_1 r_2^{T_{\rm s,5\,cm}} + r_3}.$$
 (1)

A comparable logistic function was proposed and used by FLUXNET Canada (Barr et al., 2002; Kljun et al., 2006). In this study, we used this logistic model available in IDL (version 8.5.1, Exelis Visual Information Solutions, Boulder, Colorado). r_1 , r_2 , and r_3 are empirical parameters: r_1 controls the slope of exponential phase, r_2 determines where the transitional phase starts, and r_3 determines the height of plateau phase. For each day of the year, the parameters r_1 , r_2 ,

S.-C. Lee et al.: Annual greenhouse gas budget for a bog ecosystem

and r_3 for R_e were determined independently using a moving ±60-day window centred on that day based on all measured nighttime data from 2014 to 2016 when friction velocity was higher than 0.08 m s⁻¹. Lee (2016) determined the effect of using different window sizes (60, 90, 120, and full year) on the annual modelled and gap-filled R_e and showed that a moving window size of 120 days was least sensitive to errors while still allowing for seasonal changes. However, sensitivity of choosing different window sizes on gap-filled R_e was small, varying the annual value between 226 and 245 g CO₂-C m⁻² yr⁻¹.

GEP was first partitioned from measured daytime NEE using modelled R_e . Any missing GEP data were then modelled using the photosynthetic light-response curves (Ögren and Evans, 1993) based on photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD in µmol m⁻² s⁻¹):

$$GEP = \frac{MQY \cdot PPFD + P_M - ((MQY \cdot PPFD + P_M)^2 - 4 \cdot C_v \cdot MQY \cdot PPFD \cdot P_M)^{0.5}}{2 \cdot C_v}.$$
 (2)

Maximum photosynthetic rate at light saturation ($P_{\rm M}$) and maximum quantum yield (MQY) are fitted parameters with GEP estimated as measured daytime NEE minus daytime $R_{\rm e}$ calculated using Eq. (1). Convexity (C_v) was fixed at 0.7 (Farquhar et al., 1980). For each day of the year, the timevarying parameters MQY and $P_{\rm M}$ were determined independently using a moving ±45-day window centred on that day using all data from 2014 to 2016 when friction velocity was higher than 0.08 m s⁻¹. The sensitivity of window size on gap-filled GEP was small, resulting in annual value to vary between 385 and 415 g CO₂-C m⁻² yr⁻¹.

3.3.2 Gap filling of CH₄ flux data

CH₄ fluxes with quality flags 0 and 1 according to Foken et al. (2004) were plotted against all relevant variables including NEE, WTH, θ_w , T_a , $T_{s,5 \text{ cm}}$, $T_{s,10 \text{ cm}}$, and $T_{s,50 \text{ cm}}$. The highest correlation between a single variable and the CH₄ flux was found for soil temperature using an exponential relationship (Fig. S3). Of the soil temperatures measured at three different depths, $T_{s,10 \text{ cm}}$ explained the highest proportion of the variance in CH₄ flux (Table S1 in the Supplement). Therefore, $T_{s,10 \text{ cm}}$ was used to build an initial model and a logarithmic transformation of the CH₄ fluxes was applied to remove the heteroscedasticity and permit the use of a linear regression model. Then the residual analysis was applied to explore whether the variance in the residual could be explained by other controls. The residual was defined as the ratio of the measured CH₄ fluxes to the modelled CH₄ fluxes from the initial model. Based on the residual analysis, the main contributor to the residual, WTH, explained 7 % of the variance (Table S2). Additionally, there was a hysteresis relationship between CH₄ flux and WTH (Fig. S4). In order to have a more robust gap-filling model, $T_{s,10 \text{ cm}}$ and WTH were used to fill the gaps in CH₄ fluxes. We used a combination of an exponentional temperature response function and a linear WTH function as follows:

$$F_{\rm m} = (aWTH + b)e^{cT_{\rm s,10\,cm}},\tag{3}$$

where *a*, *b*, and *c* are time-varying empirical parameters. The three parameters were fitted separately for each day, using a moving window of ± 105 days using all data from the study period when friction velocity was greater than 0.08 m s^{-1} . Overall, 76% of the variance of the CH₄ fluxes was explained by $T_{s,10 \text{ cm}}$ and WTH. The combination of soil temperature and WTH has also been shown to explain a large proportion of the observed variances in CH₄ fluxes in peatlands in other studies (Brown et al., 2014; Goodrich et al., 2015).

3.3.3 Error estimates

The uncertainty associated with annual estimates of NEE, GEP, R_e , and CH₄ fluxes resulting from gap filling and due to different window sizes was quantified as follows: first, in the annual dataset of half-hourly fluxes random gaps were inserted using Monte Carlo simulation (Griffis et al., 2003; Krishnan et al., 2006; Paul-Limoges et al., 2015); The maximum number of gaps were set to 40 and the maximum length was set to 10 days, resulting in total gaps of on average 28 % of the year (and up to 40 % of the year). The Monte Carlo simulation was run 500 times and the 95 % confidence intervals were used to calculate the uncertainty of the annual sums.

Secondly, the uncertainty associated with choosing different window sizes for the derivation of the relationships in the gap filling (see Sect. 3.3.1 and 3.3.2) was estimated from a range of annual values obtained using window sizes of 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 120, 150, 180, and 365 days for GEP, R_e , and NEE; the same selections of window sizes with three additions (210, 240, and 270 days) were applied for calculating the uncertainty of the annual CH₄ budget. The overall uncertainty in the annual estimates of NEE, GEP, R_e , and CH₄ fluxes was then obtained by taking the square root of the sum of squares of the error from the gap filling (Monte Carlo simulation) and the uncertainty of the estimates due to different window sizes.

3.4 Calculating CO₂ eq.

The combined effect of all long-lived greenhouse gases was compared for CO_2 and CH_4 by converting the molar fluxes of CO_2 and CH_4 into time-integrated radiative forcing (i.e. GWP) expressed on a mass basis in terms of CO_2 equivalents (g CO_2 eq. m⁻² s⁻¹) as follows:

$$CO_2 \operatorname{eq.}(g) = m_{CO_2} F_c + GWP_{CH_4} m_{CH_4} F_m, \qquad (4)$$

where GWP_{CH4} is the mass-based GWP for the CH₄ (g g⁻¹), m_{CO_2} is the molecular mass of CO₂ (44.01 g mol⁻¹), and m_{CH_4} is the molecular mass of CH₄ (16.04 g mol⁻¹). In this

study, a 100-year GWP of CH₄ of 28 and a 20-year GWP of CH₄ of 84 were used (IPCC, 2014). N₂O fluxes have been neglected in this study because previous chamber-based measurements during the growing season found no significant emissions or uptake of N₂O in all study plots in the BBECA (Christen et al., 2016).

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Weather

During the study period (16 June 2015 to 15 June 2016), the site experienced an annual average T_a (2 m height) of 11.3 °C. Mean monthly T_a ranged between 4.4 (January 2016) and 19.3 °C (July 2015). The study site received a total annual precipitation of 1062 mm, of which 16 % (174 mm) fell during the warm half of the year (April– September) and 84 % (888 mm) during the cold half of the year (October–March) (Fig. 2). There was no lasting snow cover during the study year. However, the surface was frozen over 10 days in January 2016, with an ice thickness of up to 5 cm.

Winds at this site were often influenced by a sea-land breeze circulation. Under sea-breeze situations, wind mainly came from the south (40 % of all cases). Sometimes, however, the sea-land breeze blew from the west, primarily between 17:00 and 19:00 PST. The wind direction on average turned to the east during the nighttime (land breeze), and generally at night the winds were weaker.

4.2 Surface conditions

4.2.1 Turbulent flux footprints

Cumulative turbulent source areas were calculated using the analytical turbulent source area (turbulent footprint) model (Kormann and Meixner, 2001) following the procedure outlined in Christen et al. (2011). The 80% contour line (enclosing 80% of the cumulative probability for a unit source) was entirely inside the field in spring and summer. It reached beyond the ditches at the north side in fall and winter. Unstable conditions during daytime allowed for a more constrained footprint surrounding the tower. Stable conditions at night led to larger footprints, primarily from the east. The cumulative footprint for each of the four seasons for the EC-1 overlaid on the satellite image of the site are documented in Fig. S1 (Supplement).

4.2.2 Vegetation cover and water table changes

Mosses and white beak sedge (the common name of *Rhynchospora alba*) started to grow in March and grasses grew up to a maximum of 0.3 m height in summer. In summer, vegetation covered almost the entire study area of the surface, including ponds (some with algae), so the surface was less



Figure 3. Monthly gap-filled R_e (*x* axis) drawn against GEP (*y* axis). The resulting NEE can be read off the diagonal lines. The thick 1:1 line shows carbon neutrality, while lines in the upper right are of increasingly negative NEE (uptake) and lines towards the lower right are positive NEE (net source).

patchy in summer compared to other seasons, when standing water ponds were intermixed with vegetation in fall, winter, and spring (Fig. S2).

Winter was the wettest season when WTH was mostly above the bare soil (reference surface). The highest water table position was 7.7 cm above the reference surface in December. In the dry season, the water table position dropped to 26.5 cm beneath the bog surface in August. The WTH decreased in spring, and dry hummocks could be seen from April to September. The water table started to rise above the surface after receiving the fall precipitation. The study site was flooded in winter during the study year.

4.3 CO₂ exchange

4.3.1 Annual, seasonal, and monthly NEE, *R*_e, and GEP

Overall, the study area was a CO_2 sink in spring (MAM, $-1.10 \text{ g } CO_2\text{-}C \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) and in summer (JJA, $-0.82 \text{ g } CO_2\text{-}C \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$). Net CO_2 fluxes were near zero in fall (SON, $+0.03 \text{ g } CO_2\text{-}C \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) and winter (DJF, $-0.07 \text{ g } CO_2\text{-}C \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$). Over the entire year, the annual $CO_2\text{-}C$ budget (i.e. NEE) was $-179 \pm 26.2 \text{ g } CO_2\text{-}C \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Almost in each month of the calendar year, the site was a weak sink for CO_2 except in October, November, and December (Fig. 3, Table 1). Monthly net fluxes of CO_2 (NEE) ranged from $+1.77 \text{ g } CO_2\text{-}C \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$ in November 2015 to $-56.20 \text{ g } CO_2\text{-}C \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$ in May 2016.

The annual R_e and GEP during the study year were 236 ± 16.4 and 415 ± 28.8 g CO₂-C m⁻² yr⁻¹, respectively. The relative changes in R_e and GEP were closely linked to the seasonality of the plant phenology. Based on GEP

Month	R _e (g C	GEP 0 ₂ –C m ⁻² mo	NEE onth ⁻¹)	$\begin{array}{c} CH_4 \text{ fluxes} \\ (\text{mg CH}_4\text{-}\text{C}\text{m}^{-2}\text{month}^{-1}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	100-year CO_2 eq. fluxes (g CO_2 eq. m ⁻² month ⁻¹)
January	6.17	7.50	-1.33	93	2.06	-2.57
February	6.94	12.46	-5.52	224	-10.82	-17.09
March	17.33	25.89	-8.59	465	-7.18	-23.38
April	23.52	59.73	-36.21	1170	-35.33	-100.29
May	36.46	92.63	-56.20	1643	-39.42	-150.53
June	26.13	71.10	-44.97	2670	144.23	-61.85
July	38.53	61.47	-22.94	4371	474.88	102.22
August	36.15	42.97	-6.82	3813	492.32	147.44
September	24.84	25.08	-0.21	1650	180.67	59.71
October	10.76	9.58	1.18	930	77.23	28.62
November	5.16	3.39	1.77	240	19.93	10.97
December	3.63	2.79	0.87	155	10.13	5.50
Study year	$g CO_2 - C m^{-2} yr^{-1}$			g CH ₄ -C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	$g CO_2 eq. m^{-2} yr^{-1}$	
	236 ± 16.4	415 ± 28.8	-179 ± 26.2	17±1	1248 ± 147.6	-22 ± 103.1

Table 1. Monthly EC-measured and gap-filled NEE (CO₂ fluxes), CH₄ fluxes, CO₂ eq. fluxes using 20-year GWP, and CO₂ eq. fluxes using 100-year GWP at the study site during the study period.

trends, we can divide the study period into three segments: "winter" (October–March), "early growing season" (April–June), and "late growing season" (July–September). The rising temperature triggered growth in the early growing season (GEP = 59.73 g CO_2 -C m⁻² month⁻¹), while the later growing season had limited growth (GEP = 25.08 g CO_2 -C m⁻² month⁻¹). Winter had lowest productivity (GEP = 7.58 g CO_2 -C m⁻² month⁻¹) (Table 1). Compared to a large seasonal amplitude in monthly GEP, R_e showed less variability over the year. The highest rate of increase in the magnitude of NEE and the highest magnitude of NEE both occurred early in the growing season (Fig. 3). This was caused by the onset of R_e being delayed compared to GEP, resulting in the greatest imbalance between respiratory and assimilatory fluxes in May.

Table 2 compares annual NEE, R_{e} , and GEP at the study site to FLUXNET sites over other land covers in the same region that experienced similar climate forcings, although from different years. An unmanaged grassland site 15 km to the west of the study area in the Fraser River delta (Westham Island, Delta, BC; Crawford et al., 2013) had about 1.3 times higher NEE than this rewetted area. Annual $R_{\rm e}$ and GEP values at this grassland site were higher than the study site by a factor of 5.2 and 3.5. A mature 55-year-old Douglas fir forest on Vancouver Island (200 km NW of the study area; Krishnan et al., 2009) showed an NEE of 1.8 times higher than the study area. The R_e and GEP were even higher by factors of 7.8 and 5.2, respectively. A young forest plantation (Buckley Bay, 150 km W of the study area; Krishnan et al., 2009), which was a weak C source, had Re and GEP of 6- and 3-fold higher than the study site, respectively. Compared to these other sites under similar climatic conditions, the rewetted area of the bog was not an ecosystem of high productivity but one with considerably limited R_e that permits more efficient CO_2 sequestration (-NEE is 43 % of GEP, as opposed to 15 % for the unmanaged grassland site and mature forest).

The annual NEE in this study was more negative than in the majority of previously reported NEE values for undisturbed temperate peatlands, which were weak sinks, typically in the range of -50 g CO_2 -C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Christensen et al., 2012; Humphreys et al., 2014; Peichl et al., 2014; McVeigh et al., 2014; Pelletier et al., 2015; Roulet et al., 2007). Values that are comparable to the current restored wetland were reported in five pristine temperate wetlands: -248 g CO₂- $Cm^{-2} yr^{-1}$ (Lafleur et al., 2001), -234 g CO₂-C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Campbell et al., 2014), -210 g CO_2 -C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Fortuniak et al., 2017), $-189 \text{ g CO}_2\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Flanagan and Syed, 2011), and -103 g CO_2 -C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Lund et al., 2010). The few datasets in the literature for NEE of restored wetlands showed a wide range of values. Some were CO₂ sources, with NEE ranging from +103 to +142 g CO₂-C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Järveoja et al., 2016; Richards and Craft, 2015; Strack and Zuback, 2013). Other measurements, however, showed that restored wetlands were sinks, all of them stronger than in this study, with NEE values ranging from -446 to -270 g CO_2 - $Cm^{-2}yr^{-1}$ (Badiou et al., 2011; Hendriks et al., 2007; Herbst et al., 2013; Knox et al., 2015). In this study, values of $R_{\rm e}$ and GEP were lower than those found for a restored wetland at a comparable latitude in the central Netherlands with slightly lower annual temperature and precipitation (Hendriks et al., 2007). $R_{\rm e}$ and GEP in this study area were also lower than values for most pristine peatlands at comparable latitudes (Helfter et al., 2015; Levy and Gray, 2015). Comparably low R_e and GEP were reported from the Mer Bleue boreal raised bog (Lafleur et al., 2001; Moore, 2002) and from an Atlantic blanket bog (McVeigh et al., 2014; Sottocornola and Kiely, 2010), both of which had a lower mean annual temperature than Burns Bog.

Site	Land cover	NEE	R _e	GEP	-NEE / GEP	
		$g CO_2$ -C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹				
Burns Bog (this study) Delta, BC	Rewetted raised bog ecosystem	-179	236	415	43 %	
Westham Island (CA-Wes) ^a Delta, BC	Unmanaged grassland	-222	1215	1438	15 %	
Campbell River (CA-Ca1) ^a Vancouver Island	Douglas fir forest (~ 55 years)	-328 ^b	1830 ^b	2158 ^b	15 %	
Buckley Bay (CA-Ca3) ^a Vancouver Island	Douglas fir forest (~ 15 years)	64 ^b	1487 ^b	1423 ^b	-4 %	

Table 2. Comparison of annual NEE, R_e and GEP, over different ecosystems (vegetation covers) in the Vancouver region using EC measurements. Sorted by magnitude of -NEE / GEP ratio.

^a Site identifier in global FLUXNET database (http://fluxnet.ornl.gov). ^b Data from Krishnan et al. (2009) before fertilization.

It is important to estimate dissolved organic carbon (DOC) export to determine a more complete ecosystem C budget. DOC lost from restored and pristine peatlands have been found typically to range from 3.4 to 16.1 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Hendriks et al., 2007; Koehler et al., 2011; Roulet et al., 2007; Waddington et al., 2010), although Chu et al. (2014) reported a net DOC import for a marsh of 23 ± 13 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹. Estimation of DOC fluxes was based on regular (approximately monthly) water samples collected at five locations within the flux tower footprint. Water samples were analyzed for DOC concentrations using a TOC analyzer (model TOC-VCSH, Shimadzu Scientific, Kyoto, Japan). Lateral water export was estimated as the residual of the water balance. D'Acunha et al. (2016) estimated DOC export for the current study area for January–December 2016 to be 22.4 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (15%) of annual NEE).

4.3.2 Diurnal variability in CO₂ fluxes

The seasonally changing diurnal course of gap-filled NEE with isopleths over time of day and year is shown in Fig. 4. The daily maximum in GEP changed with season resulting in the high magnitude of NEE during midday between May and July ($\sim -3.5 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$) with the highest magnitude of NEE occurring in May. Nighttime NEE, i.e. R_e , showed relatively small variation with season and on average was $\leq 1 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$ for most of the study period. The rapid decrease in monthly R_e from May to June (Table 1) was caused by low R_e in early morning or at nightfall in June.



Figure 4. Isopleths of gap-filled NEE (net CO₂ fluxes) from the EC-1 system plotted as a composite in the study year. The graph uses a Gaussian filter of $\sigma = 45$ days (which conserves total NEE) to graphically smooth horizontal variations.

4.3.3 Ecosystem respiration

Figure 5 shows the relationship between nighttime R_e and $T_{s,5 cm}$ using the data for the entire study period. R_e increased with increasing $T_{s,5 cm}$ as expected and annually followed a logistic curve rather than an exponential relationship. R_e response curves were also calculated every 2 months (Fig. S5). R_e showed different curves depending on season. In winter, R_e varied little with $T_{s,5 cm}$ and was close to zero. From



Figure 5. Relationship between R_e (nighttime 30 min CO₂ flux measurements) and $T_{s,5 \text{ cm}}$ during the entire study period. The u_* threshold was 0.08 m s⁻¹. The fitted curve is a logistic relationship following Eq. (1). $T_{s,5 \text{ cm}}$ was binned for 32 classes from minimum of $T_{s,5 \text{ cm}}$ to maximum of $T_{s,5 \text{ cm}}$. See Fig. S5 for seasonal differences. Negative R_e values were caused by measurement uncertainties.

February to May, the relationship became closer to logistic. In June and July, due to general warm condition (> 15 °C), R_e remained nearly constant at ~ 1 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (the fitted curve stayed in the plateau phase). The study area had the highest R_e in these 2 months. In fall, R_e curves were closer to an exponential relationship, which could be due in part to leaf senescence (Shurpali et al., 2008). Decomposition of dead plant organic matter on the soil surface may have caused a higher R_e in fall compared to spring and winter at the same $T_{s,5 \text{ cm}}$. Another factor could be the WTH, which in fall was not high enough to suppress R_e as it did in winter (Juszczak et al., 2013). The differences between March and September R_e at the same $T_{s,5 \text{ cm}}$ were up to 0.4 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹.

Two other controls on R_e explored were air temperature (T_a) and WTH. The role of WTH was described above and T_a had a similar impact on R_e as $T_{s,5 \text{ cm}}$ when $T_a < 16 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$, but for warmer temperatures T_a did not correlate with R_e . The explanation for this is that heterotrophic component of R_e depends on T_s , not the rapidly changing T_a (Davidson et al., 2002; Edwards, 1975; Lloyd and Taylor, 1994).

It is widely reported that in most terrestrial ecosystems, the activity of soil microbes is also governed by soil moisture status, having little activity when the soil is excessively dry or excessively wet. Accordingly, and like other wetlands, R_e was small when the water table was above the surface because this situation suppressed aerobic decomposition of peat (Rochefort et al., 2002; Weltzin et al., 2000). When the water table was below surface, R_e increased to near 1 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and became stable no matter how low the water table position was. This relationship was also found in many other peatlands (Bridgham et al., 2006; Ellis et al., 2009; Strack et al., 2006). There was no obvious relationship between θ_w (integrated from 0 to 30 cm depth) and R_e . R_e



Figure 6. Annual light-response curve determined from the daytime 30 min NEE measurements and Eq. (1), i.e. GEP = R_e + -NEE. The curves are the best fit of the Eq. (2). PPFD was binned for 30 classes from 0 to 1500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Annual MQY was 4.00 mmol C mol⁻¹ photons, P_M was 4.68 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, and C_v was 0.7 (fixed).

slightly decreased from 1.0 to 0.6 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ when θ_w increased from 84 to 88 %. Other than this range, θ_w had no more impact on R_e .

4.3.4 Gross ecosystem productivity

Figure 6 shows the average light-response curve, with half-hourly GEP as a function of PPFD. Due to different phenology over the year and the changes in solar altitude, light-response curves were also calculated every 2 months (Fig. S6). GEP reached a maximum in May with 92.63 g CO₂–C m⁻² month⁻¹ and a minimum of 2.79 g CO₂–C m⁻² month⁻¹ in December (Fig. 3, Table 1). GEP at light saturation reached roughly 5.09 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in summer and remained below 2.49 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in winter due to reduced leaf area, flooding, and lower temperatures. From March to May, GEP increased much more rapidly than R_e . In fall, GEP decreased faster than R_e . The magnitude of R_e already was close to GEP in the late August to make the study area become CO₂ neutral in late summer.

Other possible controls on GEP explored were WTH and T_a . We found that WTH was not a control on GEP ($R^2 = 0.08$) in the current study as the study area remained fairly wet throughout the year. Furthermore, the effect of T_a on GEP was less and limited to a smaller temperature range compared to T_s .

4.4 CH₄ exchange

4.4.1 Annual and seasonal CH₄ budgets

Overall, the study area was a source of CH₄ in each of the 12 months (Table 1). The annual CH₄–C budget was $17 \pm 1.0 \text{ g CH}_4$ –C m⁻² yr⁻¹. CH₄ emissions were close to zero in winter (5.2 mg CH₄–C m⁻² day⁻¹). Seasonally, it

was a weaker CH₄ source in fall $(31.3 \text{ mg CH}_4\text{-C} \text{ m}^{-2})$ day^{-1}) and spring (36.4 mg CH₄-C m⁻² day⁻¹) and then became a much larger source in summer (126.0 mg CH₄- $Cm^{-2}day^{-1}$). Monthly emissions of CH₄ ranged from 93 (January) to 4371 (July) mg CH₄–C m⁻² month⁻¹. The rising T_a did not trigger CH₄ production immediately, and CH₄ fluxes remained low in April and May. But once the subsurface and water became warm enough, CH₄ emissions increased from to 1.4 to $2.7 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{CH}_4 - \mathrm{C}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}\,\mathrm{month}^{-1}$ in June (Table 1). CH₄ emissions reached the peak in July $(4.4 \text{ g CH}_4 - \text{C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1})$ and held similar magnitude $(3.8 \text{ g CH}_4-\text{C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1})$ in August even though the $T_{\rm a}$ had dropped. Although it has been suggested that in some peatlands, WTH acts as a main control on CH₄ fluxes (Drösler et al., 2008; Knorr et al., 2009; Romanowicz et al., 1995; Roulet et al., 1993; Windsor et al., 1992), it has also been found that CH₄ emissions from wet soils (where the water table fluctuates within a small range near the surface) are highly dependent on T_s because the oxidation in a shallow top soil is negligible (Jackowicz-Korczynski et al., 2010; Long et al., 2010; Olson et al., 2013; Rinne et al., 2007; Song et al., 2009). In our study, CH₄ emissions in the summer months were relative high even when the water table dropped to around 20 cm below the surface, likely because the peat maintained anaerobic conditions above the water table (as discussed in Hendriks et al., 2007). In addition, one needs to consider the transport pathways for CH₄ which may help explain the higher CH₄ fluxes in summer. First, the presence of sedges created an effective additional diffusion pathway for CH₄ through the plants' aerenchyma (Herbst et al., 2011; Treat et al., 2007). Second, a high water table, especially when it rises above the soil surface, increases the diffusion resistance to CH₄ transport (Brown et al., 2014; Walter and Heimann, 2000).

The annual CH₄ flux in this study area was lower than CH₄ fluxes reported for other restored wetlands (Anderson et al., 2016; Hendriks et al., 2007; Knox et al., 2015; Mitsch et al., 2010). Despite the study area being flooded for most of the study year, CH₄ emissions were closer to fluxes measured over drained peatlands (Kroon et al., 2010; Schrier-Uijl et al., 2010). Only Herbst et al. (2013) reported an annual CH₄ flux from a restored wetland in Denmark that was lower than in this study (9 to 13 g CH_4 –C m⁻² yr⁻¹). Our annual CH₄ flux at 17 ± 1.0 g CH₄–C m⁻² yr⁻¹ was comparable to an average natural temperate wetland CH₄ flux, which is typically around 15 g CH_4 –C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Abdalla et al., 2016; Fortuniak et al., 2017; Nicolini et al., 2013; Turetsky et al., 2014). The CH₄ fluxes from a number of temperate and tropical pristine wetlands exceeded the CH₄ fluxes reported in this study, including emissions from marshes in the southwestern US $(130 \text{ g CH}_4\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1})$; Whiting and Chanton, 2001), tropical wetlands in Costa Rica $(82 \text{ g CH}_4\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}; \text{ Nahlik and Mitsch, 2010}), \text{ marshes}$ in the midwestern US (50 g CH₄–C m⁻² yr⁻¹, Koh et al., 2009), all three studies based on chamber measurements, and



Figure 7. The ensemble-averaged diurnal course of measured CH₄ fluxes from the EC-2 system in summer.

an ombrotrophic bog in New Zealand (29 and 21 g CH_4 – $C m^{-2} yr^{-1}$ based on EC measurements; Goodrich et al., 2015).

4.4.2 Diurnal variability in CH₄ fluxes

The ensemble-averaged diurnal courses of the CH₄ fluxes measured by the EC-2 system are shown in Fig. 7 during the summer months due to the lack of missing wintertime data caused by power restriction. Surprisingly, there was only a small diurnal variation observed for CH4 fluxes in the summer months, while larger diurnal variations have been found in other studies (Juutinen et al., 2004; Long et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2013; Wang and Han, 2005). In the current study area, with changes in WTH and vegetation growth occurring during the year, there were likely several processes affecting CH₄ transport, which masked the diurnal pattern of CH₄ fluxes. Furthermore, $T_{s,5 \text{ cm}}$ appeared to be the main environmental control on CH₄ fluxes in this study but did not have as strong effect on CH₄ emissions as found in previous studies. Thus CH₄ was continuously emitted at a similar rate during daytime and nighttime. From January to March and October to December, the winter half-year, the study site had constant CH₄ emissions of less than 50 nmol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$, and almost no diurnal variation was observed. July had the greatest CH₄ emissions, and the highest magnitude (> 150 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹) appeared in the evening (15:00 to 21:00). This corresponded to the lagged effect of soil temperature and may be partly due to convective turbulent mixing caused by cooling during the evening (Godwin et al., 2013).

4.5 CO₂ eq. balance

Figure 8a and b show CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes expressed in terms of CO_2 eq. using 100- and 20-year GWPs, respectively. Considering fluxes of both GHGs together, this rewetted area was annually near to CO_2 eq. neutral at 100-year scale with a net uptake by CO_2 ($-656 \text{ g } CO_2 \text{ eq. m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) balanced by CH_4 emissions ($634 \text{ g } CO_2 \text{ eq. m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). On a shorter time horizon of 20 years, the study area repre-



Figure 8. EC-measured monthly CO_2 , CH_4 , and net GHGs fluxes shown as CO_2 eq. totals by using (a) 100-year and (b) 20-year GWPs. Missing data were gap-filled.

sented a significant C source in CO₂ eq. terms as the net uptake of CO_2 (-656 g CO_2 eq. m⁻² yr⁻¹) was one-third that of CH₄ emissions (1904 g CO₂ eq. m^{-2} yr⁻¹). In late spring and early summer, the early onset of CO₂ sequestration in May and the time lag in CH₄ fluxes combined to represent a negative net GHG forcing, no matter which GWP time horizon was considered. The quick drop in CO₂ sequestration in August and September allowed the highest net GHG forcing to be observed at both time horizons in late summer. In short, the critical time period for both, CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in terms of CO₂ eq., was the growing season when magnitude of fluxes changed differently across the growing season. The results show that measurements made during a part of the growing season are not necessarily representative for the entire growing season or the year; a short-term campaign can be a good way to identify important site processes but the determination of the annual budget requires reliable annual measurements.

Using GWP to classify a study area as a net GHG source or sink is useful; however, the appropriateness of this method in computing the actual radiative forcing has been questioned and alternative models have been proposed (Frolking and Roulet, 2007; Fuglestvedt et al., 2000; Neubauer and Megonigal, 2015; Petrescu et al., 2015; Smith and Wigley, 2000).

5 Conclusions

The study area, a rewetted plot in the BBECA undergoing ecological restoration, was a net CO₂ sink over the study period $(-179 \text{ g} \pm 26.2 \text{ CO}_2 - \text{C} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1})$. The study area was not a highly productive ecosystem (annual $GEP = 415 \pm 28.8 \text{ g CO}_2 - \text{C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) but exhibited low R_e (annual $R_e = 236 \pm 16.4 \text{ g CO}_2 - \text{C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), likely due to oxygen limitations. The annual CO₂ fluxes reported here from a restored and rewetted peatland are comparable with data reported from pristine temperate peatlands in temperate mid latitudes (Campbell et al., 2014; Flanagan and Syed, 2011; Fortuniak et al., 2017; Lund et al., 2010). The study area sequestered less CO₂ than the few other restored wetlands reported in the literature (Badiou et al., 2011; Hendriks et al., 2007; Herbst et al., 2013; Knox et al., 2015). The major controls on CO₂ fluxes were PAR irradiance and $T_{s,5 \text{ cm}}$. The magnitude of PAR strongly controlled GEP, and the $T_{s,5 \text{ cm}}$ regulated R_e . WTH also had influence on R_e , especially when the ecosystem was flooded.

The annual CH₄ emissions were $17 \pm 1.0 \text{ g CH}_4$ -C m⁻² yr⁻¹, which is lower than values reported for other restored wetlands (Anderson et al., 2016; Hendriks et al., 2007; Knox et al., 2015; Mitsch et al., 2010). CH₄ emissions in the summer were 60 times stronger than in the winter. The ditch blocking resulted in anaerobic conditions with the water table being within 30 cm of the surface throughout the year. Effects of changing WTH on CH₄ fluxes at the study area were not clearly apparent. $T_{s,10 \text{ cm}}$ and WTH explained CH₄ fluxes best ($R^2 = 0.76$).

In terms of the C balance (excluding DOC fluxes), our results suggest that our study area in BBECA was a net C sink $(-163 \pm 26.2 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1})$ during the eighth year following rewetting. Combining CO₂, CH₄, and DOC fluxes resulted in a net C balance of $-141 \pm 26.2 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. These results are consistent with those of several disturbed peatlands that have become a net annual C sink after restoration by rewetting (Karki et al., 2016; Schrier-Uijl et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2013). In terms of net climate forcing of the system related to CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes expressed by GWPs, our results show that the ecosystem was almost CO₂ eq. neutral (CO₂ eq. (g) = $-22 \pm 103.1 \text{ g CO}_2 \text{ eq. m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) over a 100-year time horizon.

Data availability. Data from this study/site are available through AMERIFLUX (https://ameriflux.lbl.gov). The site ID is CA-DBB.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-2799-2017-supplement.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. This research was primarily funded through research contracts between Metro Vancouver and UBC (PI: Christen). Selected equipment was supported by the Canada Foundation for Innovation (Christen, Johnson) and NSERC RTI (Christen). Financial support through scholarships and training were provided by UBC Faculty of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies and UBC Geography. We appreciate the substantial technical and logistical support by Joe Soluri (Metro Vancouver) in operating the site and scientific contributions and data provided by C. Reynolds (Metro Vancouver) and S. Howie (Delta, BC).

Edited by: A. V. Eliseev

Reviewed by: D. Campbell and one anonymous referee

References

- Abdalla, M., Hastings, A., Truu, J., Espenberg, M., Mander, Ü., and Smith, P.: Emissions of methane from northern peatlands: a review of management impacts and implications for future management options, Ecol. Evol., 6, 7080—7102, 2016.
- Anderson, F. E., Bergamaschi, B., Sturtevant, C., Knox, S., Hastings, L., Windham-Myers, L., Detto, M., Hestir, E. L., Drexler, J., Miller, R. L., Matthes, J. H., Verfaillie, J., Baldocchi, D., Snyder, R. L., and Fujii, R.: Variation of energy and carbon fluxes from a restored temperate freshwater wetland and implications for carbon market verification protocols, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 121, 777–795, 2016.
- Aurela, M., Laurila, T., and Tuovinen, J.-P.: Seasonal CO₂ balances of a subarctic mire, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106, 1623–1637, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900481, 2001.
- Badiou, P., McDougal, R., Pennock, D., and Clark, B.: Greenhouse gas emissions and carbon sequestration potential in restored wetlands of the Canadian prairie pothole region, Wetl. Ecol. Manag., 19, 237–256, 2011.
- Barr, A. G., Griffis, T. J., Black, T. A., Lee, X., Staebler, R. M., Fuentes, J. D., Chen, Z., and Morgenstern, K.: Comparing the carbon budgets of boreal and temperate deciduous forest stands, Can. J. Forest Res., 32, 813–822, 2002.
- Bergamaschi, P., Frankenberg, C., Meirink, J. F., Krol, M., Dentener, F., Wagner, T., Platt, U., Kaplan, J. O., Körner, S., Heimann, M., Dlugokencky, E. J., and Goede, A.: Satellite chartography of atmospheric methane from SCIA-MACHY on board ENVISAT: 2. Evaluation based on inverse model simulations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D2304, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007268, 2007.
- Bloom, A. A., Palmer, P. I., Fraser, A., Reay, D. S., and Frankenberg, C.: Large-Scale Controls of Methanogenesis Inferred from Methane and Gravity Spaceborne Data, Science, 327, 322–325, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1175176, 2010.
- Bridgham, S., Megonigal, J. P., Keller, J., Bliss, N., and Trettin, C.: The carbon balance of North American wetlands, Wetlands, 26, 889–916, 2006.
- Brown, M. G., Humphreys, E. R., Moore, T. R., Roulet, N. T., and Lafleur, P. M.: Evidence for a nonmonotonic relationship between ecosystem-scale peatland methane emissions and water table depth, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 119, 826–835, 2014.
- Campbell, D. I., Smith, J., Goodrich, J. P., Wall, A. M., and Schipper, L. A.: Year-round growing conditions explains large CO₂

sink strength in a New Zealand raised peat bog, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 192–193, 59–68, 2014.

- Chestnutt, C.: For peat's sake: A water balance study and comparison of the eddy covariance technique and semi-empirical calculation to determine summer evapotranspiration in Burns Bog, British Columbia, BSc, The University of Edinburgh, The University of British Columbia, 2015.
- Christen, A., Coops, N. C., Crawford, B. R., Kellett, R., Liss, K. N., Olchovski, I., Tooke, T. R., van der Laan, M., and Voogt, J. A.: Validation of modeled carbon-dioxide emissions from an urban neighborhood with direct eddy-covariance measurements, Atmos. Environ., 45, 6057–6069, 2011.
- Christen, A., Jassal, R. S., Black, T. A., Grant, N. J., Hawthorne, I., Johnson, M. S., Lee, S. C., and Merkens, M.: Summertime greenhouse gas fluxes from an urban bog undergoing restoration through rewetting, Mires and Peat, 18, 1–24, 2016.
- Christensen, T. R., Jackowicz-Korczyński, M., Aurela, M., Crill, P., Heliasz, M., Mastepanov, M., and Friborg, T.: Monitoring the Multi-Year Carbon Balance of a Subarctic Palsa Mire with Micrometeorological Techniques, AMBIO, 41, 207–217, 2012.
- Chu, H., Chen, J., Gottgens, J. F., Ouyang, Z., John, R., Czajkowski, K., and Becker, R.: Net ecosystem methane and carbon dioxide exchanges in a Lake Erie coastal marsh and a nearby cropland, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 119, 722–740, 2014.
- Ciais, P., Sabine, C., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Canadell, J., Chhabra, A., DeFries, R., Galloway, J., Heimann, M., Jones, C., Le Quéré, C., Myneni, R. B., Piao, S., and Thornton, P.: Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 465– 570, 2013.
- Cowen, G. J.: Social and environmental interaction in urban wetlands, Burns Bog Conservation Society, 2015.
- Crawford, B., Christen, A., and Ketler, R.: Processing and quality control procedures of turbulent flux measurements during the Vancouver EPiCC experiment, The University of British Columbia, 2013.
- Crill, P., Bartlett, K., and Roulet, N.: Methane flux from boreal peatlands, International workshop on carbon cycling in boreal peatlands and climatic change, Hyytiaelae, Finland, 10, 1992.
- D'Acunha, B., Johnson, M. S., Lee, S.-C., and Christen, A.: Carbon fluxes in dissolved and gaseous forms for a restored peatland in British Columbia, Canada: Net ecosystem carbon balance (NECB) determined using eddy covariance for CO₂ and CH₄ and dissolved C fluxes, 2016 AGU Fall meeting, San Francisco, 2016.
- Davidson, E. A., Savage, K., Verchot, L. V., and Navarro, R.: Minimizing artifacts and biases in chamber-based measurements of soil respiration, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 113, 21–37, 2002.
- Dise, N. B., Gorham, E., and Verry, E. S.: Environmental factors controlling methane emissions from peatlands in northern Minnesota, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 98, 10583–10594, 1993.
- Drösler, M., Freibauer, A., Christensen, T. R., and Friborg, T.: Observations and Status of Peatland Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Europe, in: The Continental-Scale Greenhouse Gas Balance of

S.-C. Lee et al.: Annual greenhouse gas budget for a bog ecosystem

Europe, edited by: Dolman, A. J., Valentini, R., and Freibauer, A., Springer New York, New York, NY, 243–261, 2008.

- Edwards, N. T.: Effects of Temperature and Moisture on Carbon Dioxide Evolution in a Mixed Deciduous Forest Floor1, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 39, 361–365, 1975.
- Ellis, T., Hill, P. W., Fenner, N., Williams, G. G., Godbold, D., and Freeman, C.: The interactive effects of elevated carbon dioxide and water table draw-down on carbon cycling in a Welsh ombrotrophic bog, Ecol. Eng., 35, 978–986, 2009.
- Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Olson, R., Anthoni, P., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Burba, G., Ceulemans, R., Clement, R., Dolman, H., Granier, A., Gross, P., Grunwald, T., Hollinger, D., Jensen, N. O., Katul, G., Keronen, P., Kowalski, A., Lai, C. T., Law, B. E., Meyers, T., Moncrieff, J., Moors, E., Munger, J. W., Pilegaard, K., Rannik, U., Rebmann, C., Suyker, A., Tenhunen, J., Tu, K., Verma, S., Vesala, T., Wilson, K., and Wofsy, S.: Gap filling strategies for defensible annual sums of net ecosystem exchange, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 107, 43–69, 2001.
- Farquhar, G. D., von Caemmerer, S., and Berry, J. A.: A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C3 species, Planta, 149, 78–90, 1980.
- Finér, L. and Laine, J.: Root dynamics at drained peatland sites of different fertility in southern Finland, Plant Soil, 201, 27–36, 1998.
- Flanagan, L. B. and Syed, K. H.: Stimulation of both photosynthesis and respiration in response to warmer and drier conditions in a boreal peatland ecosystem, Glob. Change Biol., 17, 2271–2287, 2011.
- Foken, T., Gockede, M., Mauder, M., Mahrt, L., Amiro, B. D., and Munger, J. W.: Post-field data quality control, in: Handbook of Micrometeorology: A Guide for Surface Flux Measurements, edited by: Lee, X., Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2004.
- Fortuniak, K., Pawlak, W., Bednorz, L., Grygoruk, M., Siedlecki, M., and Zieliński, M.: Methane and carbon dioxide fluxes of a temperate mire in Central Europe, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 232, 306–318, 2017.
- Frolking, S. and Roulet, N. T.: Holocene radiative forcing impact of northern peatland carbon accumulation and methane emissions, Glob. Change Biol., 13, 1079–1088, 2007.
- Frolking, S., Roulet, N., and Lawrence, D.: Issues Related to Incorporating Northern Peatlands into Global Climate Models, in: Carbon Cycling in Northern Peatlands, American Geophysical Union, 19–35, 2013.
- Fuglestvedt, J. S., Berntsen, T. K., Godal, O., and Skodvin, T.: Climate implications of GWP-based reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 409–412, 2000.
- Gaveau, D. L. A., Salim, M. A., Hergoualc'h, K., Locatelli, B., Sloan, S., Wooster, M., Marlier, M. E., Molidena, E., Yaen, H., DeFries, R., Verchot, L., Murdiyarso, D., Nasi, R., Holmgren, P., and Sheil, D.: Major atmospheric emissions from peat fires in Southeast Asia during non-drought years: evidence from the 2013 Sumatran fires, Scientific Reports, 4, 6112, 2014.
- Godwin, C. M., McNamara, P. J., and Markfort, C. D.: Evening methane emission pulses from a boreal wetland correspond to convective mixing in hollows, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 118, 994–1005, 2013.
- Goodrich, J. P., Campbell, D. I., Roulet, N. T., Clearwater, M. J., and Schipper, L. A.: Overriding control of methane flux temporal

variability by water table dynamics in a Southern Hemisphere, raised bog, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 120, 819–831, 2015.

- Griffis, T. J., Black, T. A., Morgenstern, K., Barr, A. G., Nesic, Z., Drewitt, G. B., GaumontGuay, D., and McCaughey, J. H.: Ecophysiological controls on the carbon balances of three southern boreal forests, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 117, 53–71, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(03)00023-6, 2003.
- Heathwaite, A. L. and Göttlich, K.: Mires: process, exploitation, and conservation, Wiley, Chichester, 1993.
- Hebda, R. J., Gustavson, K., Golinski, K., and Calder, A. M.: Burns Bog Ecosystem Review Synthesis for Burns Bog, Fraser River Delta, South-western British Columbia, Canada, Environmental Assessment Office, Victoria, B.C., 2000.
- Helfter, C., Campbell, C., Dinsmore, K. J., Drewer, J., Coyle, M., Anderson, M., Skiba, U., Nemitz, E., Billett, M. F., and Sutton, M. A.: Drivers of long-term variability in CO₂ net ecosystem exchange in a temperate peatland, Biogeosciences, 12, 1799–1811, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-1799-2015, 2015.
- Hendriks, D. M. D., van Huissteden, J., Dolman, A. J., and van der Molen, M. K.: The full greenhouse gas balance of an abandoned peat meadow, Biogeosciences, 4, 411–424, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-4-411-2007, 2007.
- Herbst, M., Friborg, T., Ringgaard, R., and Soegaard, H.: Interpreting the variations in atmospheric methane fluxes observed above a restored wetland, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 151, 841–853, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.02.002, 2011.
- Herbst, M., Friborg, T., Schelde, K., Jensen, R., Ringgaard, R., Vasquez, V., Thomsen, A. G., and Soegaard, H.: Climate and site management as driving factors for the atmospheric greenhouse gas exchange of a restored wetland, Biogeosciences, 10, 39–52, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-39-2013, 2013.
- Howie, S. A., Whitfield, P. H., Hebda, R. J., Munson, T. G., Dakin, R. A., and Jeglum, J. K.: Water Table and Vegetation Response to Ditch Blocking: Restoration of a Raised Bog in Southwestern British Columbia, Can. Water Resour. J., 34, 381–392, 2009.
- Humphreys, E. R., Charron, C., Brown, M., and Jones, R.: Two Bogs in the Canadian Hudson Bay Lowlands and a Temperate Bog Reveal Similar Annual Net Ecosystem Exchange of CO₂, Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res., 46, 103–113, 2014.
- IPCC: Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Field, C. B., Barros, V. R., Dokken, D. J., Mach, K. J., Mastrandrea, M. D., Bilir, T. E., Chatterjee, M., Ebi, K. L., Estrada, Y. O., Genova, R. C., Girma, B., Kissel, E. S., Levy, A. N., MacCracken, S., Mastrandrea, P. R., and White, L. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2014.
- Jackowicz-Korczynski, M., Christensen, T. R., Bäckstrand, K., Crill, P., Friborg, T., Mastepanov, M., and Ström, L.: Annual cycle of methane emission from a subarctic peatland, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 115, G02009, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JG000913, 2010.
- Järveoja, J., Peichl, M., Maddison, M., Soosaar, K., Vellak, K., Karofeld, E., Teemusk, A., and Mander, Ü.: Impact of water table level on annual carbon and greenhouse gas balances of a restored peat extraction area, Biogeosciences, 13, 2637–2651, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-2637-2016, 2016.

- Joosten, H.: Peatlands: Guidance for Climate Change Mitigation Through Conservation, Rehabilitation and Sustainable Use, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 2012.
- Juszczak, R., Humphreys, E., Acosta, M., Michalak-Galczewska, M., Kayzer, D., and Olejnik, J.: Ecosystem respiration in a heterogeneous temperate peatland and its sensitivity to peat temperature and water table depth, Plant Soil, 366, 505–520, 2013.
- Juutinen, S., Alm, J., Larmola, T., Saarnio, S., Martikainen, P. J., and Silvola, J.: Stand-specific diurnal dynamics of CH₄ fluxes in boreal lakes: Patterns and controls, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D19313, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004782, 2004.
- Karki, S., Elsgaard, L., Kandel, T. P., and Lærke, P. E.: Carbon balance of rewetted and drained peat soils used for biomass production: a mesocosm study, GCB Bioenergy, 8, 969–980, 2016.
- Kleinen, T., Brovkin, V., von Bloh, W., Archer, D., and Munhoven, G.: Holocene carbon cycle dynamics, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L02705, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL041391, 2010.
- Kljun, N., Black, T. A., Griffis, T. J., Barr, A. G., Gaumont-Guay, D., Morgenstern, K., McCaughey, J. H., and Nesic, Z.: Response of Net Ecosystem Productivity of Three Boreal Forest Stands to Drought, Ecosystems, 9, 1128–1144, 2006.
- Knorr, K.-H., Lischeid, G., and Blodau, C.: Dynamics of redox processes in a minerotrophic fen exposed to a water table manipulation, Geoderma, 153, 379–392, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.08.023, 2009.
- Knox, S. H., Sturtevant, C., Matthes, J. H., Koteen, L., Verfaillie, J., and Baldocchi, D.: Agricultural peatland restoration: effects of land-use change on greenhouse gas (CO₂ and CH₄) fluxes in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, Glob. Change Biol., 21, 750– 765, 2015.
- Koehler, A.-K., Sottocornola, M., and Kiely, G.: How strong is the current carbon sequestration of an Atlantic blanket bog?, Glob. Change Biol., 17, 309–319, 2011.
- Koh, H.-S., Ochs, C. A., and Yu, K.: Hydrologic gradient and vegetation controls on CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes in a spring-fed forested wetland, Hydrobiologia, 630, 271–286, 2009.
- Komulainen, V.-M., Tuittila, E.-S., Vasander, H., and Laine, J.: Restoration of Drained Peatlands in Southern Finland: Initial Effects on Vegetation Change and CO₂ Balance, J. Appl. Ecol., 36, 634–648, 1999.
- Kormann, R. and Meixner, F. X.: An analytical footprint model for non-neutral stratification, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 99, 207–224, 2001.
- Krishnan, P., Black, T. A., Grant, N. J., Barr, A. G., Hogg, E. H., Jassal, R. S., and Morgenstern, K.: Impact of changing soil moisture distribution on net ecosystem productivity of a boreal aspen forest during and following drought, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 139, 208–223, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.07.002, 2006.
- Krishnan, P., Black, T. A., Jassal, R. S., Chen, B., and Nesic, Z.: Interannual variability of the carbon balance of three different-aged Douglas-fir stands in the Pacific Northwest, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 114, G04011, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JG000912, 2009.
- Kroon, P. S., Schrier-Uijl, A. P., Hensen, A., Veenendaal, E. M., and Jonker, H. J. J.: Annual balances of CH₄ and N₂O from a managed fen meadow using eddy covariance flux measurements, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 61, 773–784, 2010.

- Lafleur, P. M., Roulet, N. T., and Admiral, S. W.: Annual cycle of CO₂ exchange at a bog peatland, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106, 3071–3081, 2001.
- Lafleur, P. M., Hember, R. A., Admiral, S. W., and Roulet, N. T.: Annual and seasonal variability in evapotranspiration and water table at a shrub-covered bog in southern Ontario, Canada, Hydrol. Process., 19, 3533–3550, 2005.
- Lai, D. Y. F., Roulet, N. T., and Moore, T. R.: The spatial and temporal relationships between CO₂ and CH₄ exchange in a temperate ombrotrophic bog, Atmos. Environ., 89, 249–259, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.02.034, 2014.
- Lal, R.: Carbon sequestration, Philos. T. R. Soc. B, 363, 815–830, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2185, 2008.
- Langeveld, C. A., Segers, R., Dirks, B. O. M., van den Pol-van Dasselaar, A., Velthof, G. L., and Hensen, A.: Emissions of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O from pasture on drained peat soils in the Netherlands, in: Developments in Crop Science, edited by: Ittersum, M. K. v. and Geijn, S. C. v. d., Elsevier, 1997.
- Lee, S.-C.: Annual greenhouse gas budget for a bog ecosystem undergoing restoration by rewetting, MSc, Geography, UBC, Vancovuer, 2016.
- Lehner, B. and Döll, P.: Development and validation of a global database of lakes, reservoirs and wetlands, J. Hydrol., 296, 1–22, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.03.028, 2004.
- Levy, P. E. and Gray, A.: Greenhouse gas balance of a semi-natural peatbog in northern Scotland, Environ. Res. Lett., 10, 094019, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/10/9/094019, 2015.
- Limpens, J., Berendse, F., Blodau, C., Canadell, J. G., Freeman, C., Holden, J., Roulet, N., Rydin, H., and Schaepman-Strub, G.: Peatlands and the carbon cycle: from local processes to global implications – a synthesis, Biogeosciences, 5, 1475–1491, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-1475-2008, 2008.
- Lloyd, J. and Taylor, J. A.: On the Temperature Dependence of Soil Respiration, Funct. Ecol., 8, 315–323, 1994.
- Long, K. D., Flanagan, L. B., and Cai, T.: Diurnal and seasonal variation in methane emissions in a northern Canadian peatland measured by eddy covariance, Glob. Change Biol., 16, 2420–2435, 2010.
- Lund, M., Lafleur, P. M., Roulet, N. T., Lindroth, A., Christensen, T. R., Aurela, M., Chojnicki, B. H., Flanagan, L. B., Humphreys, E. R., Laurila, T., Oechel, W. C., Olejnik, J., Rinne, J., Schubert, P. E. R., and Nilsson, M. B.: Variability in exchange of CO₂ across 12 northern peatland and tundra sites, Glob. Change Biol., 16, 2436–2448, 2010.
- Madrone Consultants Ltd.: Burns Bog Ecosystem Review, Plants and Plant Communities, Madrone Consultants Ltd., Duncan, BC, 1999.
- McVeigh, P., Sottocornola, M., Foley, N., Leahy, P., and Kiely, G.: Meteorological and functional response partitioning to explain interannual variability of CO₂ exchange at an Irish Atlantic blanket bog, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 194, 8–19, 2014.
- Metro Vancouver: Burns Bog Ecological Conservancy Area Management Plan, Metro Vancouver, Burnaby, BC, 2007.
- Minkkinen, K. and Laine, J.: Long-term effect of forest drainage on the peat carbon stores of pine mires in Finland, Can. J. Forest Res., 28, 1267–1275, 1998.
- Mitsch, W., Nahlik, A., Wolski, P., Bernal, B., Zhang, L., and Ramberg, L.: Tropical wetlands: seasonal hydrologic pulsing, carbon

sequestration, and methane emissions, Wetl. Ecol. Manag., 18, 573–586, 2010.

- Moore, P. D.: The future of cool temperate bogs, Environ. Conserv., 29, 3–20, 2002.
- Nahlik, A. M. and Mitsch, W. J.: Methane Emissions From Created Riverine Wetlands, Wetlands, 30, 783–793, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-010-0038-6, 2010.
- Neter, J., Wasserman, W., and Whitmore, G. A.: Applied Statistics, Allyn & Bacon, Newton, Massachusetts, 1988.
- Neubauer, S. C. and Megonigal, J. P.: Moving Beyond Global Warming Potentials to Quantify the Climatic Role of Ecosystems, Ecosystems, 18, 1000–1013, 2015.
- Nicolini, G., Castaldi, S., Fratini, G., and Valentini, R.: A literature overview of micrometeorological CH₄ and N₂O flux measurements in terrestrial ecosystems, Atmos. Environ., 81, 311–319, 2013.
- Ögren, E. and Evans, J. R.: Photosynthetic light-response curves, Planta, 189, 182–190, 1993.
- Olson, D. M., Griffis, T. J., Noormets, A., Kolka, R., and Chen, J.: Interannual, seasonal, and retrospective analysis of the methane and carbon dioxide budgets of a temperate peatland, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 118, 226–238, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrg.20031, 2013.
- Page, S. E., Siegert, F., Rieley, J. O., Boehm, H.-D. V., Jaya, A., and Limin, S.: The amount of carbon released from peat and forest fires in Indonesia during 1997, Nature, 420, 61–65, 2002.
- Paul-Limoges, E., Black, T. A., Christen, A., Nesic, Z., and Jassal, R. S.: Effect of clearcut harvesting on the carbon balance of a Douglas-fir forest, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 203, 30–42, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.12.010, 2015.
- Peichl, M., Öquist, M., Löfvenius, M. O., Ilstedt, U., Sagerfors, J., Grelle, A., Lindroth, A., and Nilsson, M.: A 12-year record reveals pre-growing season temperature and water table level threshold effects on the net carbon dioxide exchange in a boreal fen, Environ. Res. Lett., 9, 055006, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/5/055006, 2014.
- Pelletier, L., Strachan, I. B., Roulet, N. T., Garneau, M., and Wischnewski, K.: Effect of open water pools on ecosystem scale surface-atmosphere carbon dioxide exchange in a boreal peatland, Biogeochemistry, 124, 291–304, 2015.
- Petrescu, A. M. R., Lohila, A., Tuovinen, J.-P., Baldocchi, D. D., Desai, A. R., Roulet, N. T., Vesala, T., Dolman, A. J., Oechel, W. C., Marcolla, B., Friborg, T., Rinne, J., Matthes, J. H., Merbold, L., Meijide, A., Kiely, G., Sottocornola, M., Sachs, T., Zona, D., Varlagin, A., Lai, D. Y. F., Veenendaal, E., Parmentier, F.-J. W., Skiba, U., Lund, M., Hensen, A., van Huissteden, J., Flanagan, L. B., Shurpali, N. J., Grünwald, T., Humphreys, E. R., Jackowicz-Korczyński, M., Aurela, M. A., Laurila, T., Grüning, C., Corradi, C. A. R., Schrier-Uijl, A. P., Christensen, T. R., Tamstorf, M. P., Mastepanov, M., Martikainen, P. J., Verma, S. B., Bernhofer, C., and Cescatti, A.: The uncertain climate footprint of wetlands under human pressure, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 112, 4594–4599, 2015.
- Price, J. S. and Waddington, J. M.: Advances in Canadian wetland hydrology an biogeochemistry, Hydrol. Process., 14, 1579–1589, 2000.
- Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Gilmanov, T., Granier, A., Grünwald, T., Havránková, K., Ilvesniemi, H.,

Janous, D., Knohl, A., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J.-M., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen, J., Seufert, G., Vaccari, F., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., and Valentini, R.: On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved algorithm, Glob. Change Biol., 11, 1424–1439, 2005.

- Richards, B. and Craft, C. B.: Greenhouse Gas Fluxes from Restored Agricultural Wetlands and Natural Wetlands, Northwestern Indiana, in: The Role of Natural and Constructed Wetlands in Nutrient Cycling and Retention on the Landscape, edited by: Vymazal, J., Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015.
- Rinne, J., Riutta, T., Pihlatie, M., Aurela, M., Haapanala, S., Tuovinen, J.-P., Tuittila, E.-S., and Vesala, T.: Annual cycle of methane emission from a boreal fen measured by the eddy covariance technique, Tellus B, 59, 449–457, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.2007.00261.x, 2007.
- Rochefort, L., Campeau, S., and Bugnon, J.-L.: Does prolonged flooding prevent or enhance regeneration and growth of Sphagnum?, Aquat. Bot., 74, 327–341, 2002.
- Romanowicz, E. A., Siegel, D. I., Chanton, J. P., and Glaser, P. H.: Temporal variations in dissolved methane deep in the Lake Agassiz Peatlands, Minnesota, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 9, 197–212, doi10.1029/95GB00634, 1995.
- Roulet, N.: Peatlands, carbon storage, greenhouse gases, and the Kyoto Protocol: Prospects and significance for Canada, Wetlands, 20, 605–615, 2000.
- Roulet, N. T., Ash, R., Quinton, W., and Moore, T.: Methane flux from drained northern peatlands: Effect of a persistent water table lowering on flux, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 7, 749–769, https://doi.org/10.1029/93GB01931, 1993.
- Roulet, N. T., Lafleur, P. M., Richard, P. J. H., Moore, T. R., Humphreys, E. R., and Bubier, J.: Contemporary carbon balance and late Holocene carbon accumulation in a northern peatland, Glob. Change Biol., 13, 397–411, 2007.
- Rydin, H., Jeglum, J. K., Jeglum, J. K., and Bennett, K. D.: The Biology of Peatlands, 2e, OUP Oxford, 2013.
- Schipper, L. A. and Reddy, K. R.: Methane Production and Emissions from Four Reclaimed and Pristine Wetlands of Southeastern United States, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 58, 1270–1275, 1994.
- Schrier-Uijl, A. P., Kroon, P. S., Leffelaar, P. A., van Huissteden, J. C., Berendse, F., and Veenendaal, E. M.: Methane emissions in two drained peat agro-ecosystems with high and low agricultural intensity, Plant Soil, 329, 509–520, 2010.
- Schrier-Uijl, A. P., Kroon, P. S., Hendriks, D. M. D., Hensen, A., Van Huissteden, J., Berendse, F., and Veenendaal, E. M.: Agricultural peatlands: towards a greenhouse gas sink – a synthesis of a Dutch landscape study, Biogeosciences, 11, 4559–4576, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-4559-2014, 2014.
- Shannon, R. and White, J.: A three-year study of controls on methane emissions from two Michigan peatlands, Biogeochemistry, 27, 35–60, 1994.
- Shurpali, N. J., HyvÖNen, N. P., Huttunen, J. T., Biasi, C., NykÄ-Nen, H., Pekkarinen, N., and Martikainen, P. J.: Bare soil and reed canary grass ecosystem respiration in peat extraction sites in Eastern Finland, Tellus B, 60, 200–209, 2008.
- Smith, S. J. and Wigley, M. L.: Global Warming Potentials: 1. Climatic Implications of Emissions Reductions, Climatic Change, 44, 445–457, 2000.

- Song, C., Xu, X., Tian, H., and Wang, Y.: Ecosystem–atmosphere exchange of CH₄ and N₂O and ecosystem respiration in wetlands in the Sanjiang Plain, Northeastern China, Glob. Change Biol., 15, 692–705, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01821.x, 2009.
- Sottocornola, M. and Kiely, G.: Hydro-meteorological controls on the CO₂ exchange variation in an Irish blanket bog, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 150, 287–297, 2010.
- Strack, M. and Zuback, Y. C. A.: Annual carbon balance of a peatland 10 yr following restoration, Biogeosciences, 10, 2885–2896, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-2885-2013, 2013.
- Strack, M., Waddington, J. M., Rochefort, L., and Tuittila, E. S.: Response of vegetation and net ecosystem carbon dioxide exchange at different peatland microforms following water table drawdown, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 111, G02006, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JG000145, 2006.
- Sun, L., Song, C., Miao, Y., Qiao, T., and Gong, C.: Temporal and spatial variability of methane emissions in a northern temperate marsh, Atmos. Environ., 81, 356–363, 2013.
- Treat, C. C., Bubier, J. L., Varner, R. K., and Crill, P. M.: Timescale dependence of environmental and plant-mediated controls on CH₄ flux in a temperate fen, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 112, G01014, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JG000210, 2007.
- Tuittila, E.-S., Komulainen, V.-M., Vasander, H., Nykänen, H., Martikainen, P. J., and Laine, J.: Methane dynamics of a restored cutaway peatland, Glob. Change Biol., 6, 569–581, 2000.
- Turetsky, M. R., Kotowska, A., Bubier, J., Dise, N. B., Crill, P., Hornibrook, E. R. C., Minkkinen, K., Moore, T. R., Myers-Smith, I. H., Nykänen, H., Olefeldt, D., Rinne, J., Saarnio, S., Shurpali, N., Tuittila, E.-S., Waddington, J. M., White, J. R., Wickland, K. P., and Wilmking, M.: A synthesis of methane emissions from 71 northern, temperate, and subtropical wetlands, Glob. Change Biol., 20, 2183–2197, 2014.
- Urbanová, Z., Bárta, J., and Picek, T.: Methane Emissions and Methanogenic Archaea on Pristine, Drained and Restored Mountain Peatlands, Central Europe, Ecosystems, 16, 664–677, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-013-9637-4, 2013.
- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Collatz, G. J., Giglio, L., Kasibhatla, P. S., Arellano, A. F., Olsen, S. C., and Kasischke, E. S.: Continental-Scale Partitioning of Fire Emissions During the 1997 to 2001 El Niño/La Niña Period, Science, 303, 73–76, 2004.
- Waddington, J. M. and Roulet, N. T.: Carbon balance of a boreal patterned peatland, Glob. Change Biol., 6, 87–97, 2000.

- Waddington, J. M., Strack, M., and Greenwood, M. J.: Toward restoring the net carbon sink function of degraded peatlands: Short-term response in CO₂ exchange to ecosystemscale restoration, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 115, G01008, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JG001090, 2010.
- Walter, B. P. and Heimann, M.: A process-based, climate-sensitive model to derive methane emissions from natural wetlands: Application to five wetland sites, sensitivity to model parameters, and climate, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 14, 745–765, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GB001204, 2000.
- Wang, Z.-P. and Han, X.-G.: Diurnal variation in methane emissions in relation to plants and environmental variables in the Inner Mongolia marshes, Atmos. Environ., 39, 6295–6305, 2005.
- Wania, R., Ross, I., and Prentice, I. C.: Integrating peatlands and permafrost into a dynamic global vegetation model: 1. Evaluation and sensitivity of physical land surface processes, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 23, GB3014, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003412, 2009.
- Weltzin, J. F., Pastor, J., Harth, C., Bridgham, S. D., Updegraff, K., and Chapin, C. T.: Response of bog and fen plant communities to warming and water-table manipulations, Ecology, 81, 3464– 3478, 2000.
- Whiting, G. J. and Chanton, J. P.: Greenhouse carbon balance of wetlands: methane emission versus carbon sequestration, 53, 521–528, https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.2001.530501.x, 2001.
- Wilson, D., Farrell, C., Mueller, C., Hepp, S., and Renou-Wilson, F.: Rewetted industrial cutaway peatlands in western Ireland: a prime location for climate change mitigation?, Mires and Peat, 11, 1, 2013.
- Windsor, J., Moore, T. R., and Roulet, N. T.: Episodic fluxes of methane from subarctic fens, Can. J. Soil Sci., 72, 441–452, https://doi.org/10.4141/cjss92-037, 1992.
- Wisniewski, J. and Sampson, R. N.: Terrestrial Biospheric Carbon Fluxes Quantification of Sinks and Sources of CO₂, Springer Netherlands, 2012.
- Yu, Z., Loisel, J., Brosseau, D. P., Beilman, D. W., and Hunt, S. J.: Global peatland dynamics since the Last Glacial Maximum, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L13402, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL043584, 2010.