

Sediment and carbon deposition vary among vegetation assemblages in a coastal salt marsh

Jeffrey J. Kelleway^{1,2}, Neil Saintilan², Peter I. Macreadie^{1,3}, Jeffrey A. Baldock⁴, and Peter J. Ralph¹

¹Climate Change Cluster, School of Life Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW 2007, Australia
²Department of Environmental Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia
³School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Centre for Integrative Ecology, Deakin University, Victoria 3216, Australia
⁴CSIRO Agriculture and Food, Glen Osmond, SA 5064, Australia

Correspondence to: Jeffrey J. Kelleway (jeffrey.kelleway@mq.edu.au)

Received: 19 January 2017 – Discussion started: 3 February 2017 Revised: 15 June 2017 – Accepted: 10 July 2017 – Published: 17 August 2017

Abstract. Coastal salt marshes are dynamic, intertidal ecosystems that are increasingly being recognised for their contributions to ecosystem services, including carbon (C) accumulation and storage. The survival of salt marshes and their capacity to store C under rising sea levels, however, is partially reliant upon sedimentation rates and influenced by a combination of physical and biological factors. In this study, we use several complementary methods to assess short-term (days) deposition and medium-term (months) accretion dynamics within a single marsh that contains three salt marsh vegetation types common throughout southeastern (SE) Australia.

We found that surface accretion varies among vegetation assemblages, with medium-term (19 months) bulk accretion rates in the upper marsh rush (Juncus) assemblage $(1.74 \pm 0.13 \text{ mm yr}^{-1})$ consistently in excess of estimated local sea-level rise $(1.15 \text{ mm yr}^{-1})$. Accretion rates were lower and less consistent in both the succulent (Sarcocornia, $0.78 \pm 0.18 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$) and grass (Sporobolus, $0.88 \pm 0.22 \,\mathrm{mm} \,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$) assemblages located lower in the tidal frame. Short-term (6 days) experiments showed deposition within Juncus plots to be dominated by autochthonous organic inputs with C deposition rates ranging from $1.14 \pm 0.41 \text{ mg C cm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ (neap tidal period) to 2.37 ± 0.44 mg C cm⁻² d⁻¹ (spring tidal period), while minerogenic inputs and lower C deposition dominated Sar*cocornia* $(0.10 \pm 0.02 \text{ to } 0.62 \pm 0.08 \text{ mg} \text{ C} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$ and Sporobolus $(0.17 \pm 0.04 \text{ to } 0.40 \pm 0.07 \text{ mg C cm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$ assemblages.

Elemental (C:N), isotopic (δ^{13} C), mid-infrared (MIR) and ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analyses revealed little difference in either the source or character of materials being deposited among neap versus spring tidal periods. Instead, these analyses point to substantial redistribution of materials within the Sarcocornia and Sporobolus assemblages, compared to high retention and preservation of organic inputs in the Juncus assemblage. By combining medium-term accretion quantification with short-term deposition measurements and chemical analyses, we have gained novel insights into above-ground biophysical processes that may explain previously observed regional differences in surface dynamics among key salt marsh vegetation assemblages. Our results suggest that Sarcocornia and Sporobolus assemblages may be particularly susceptible to changes in sea level, though quantification of below-ground processes (e.g. root production, compaction) is needed to confirm this.

1 Introduction

1.1 Coastal salt marshes

Coastal salt marshes are dynamic ecosystems, vegetated by herbs, grasses and rushes, that are found in a range of sedimentary settings along low-energy coastlines. Globally, vegetation type and floristic assemblage have been used to classify general types of salt marsh (Adam, 1990, 2002). On the local scale, vegetation zonation is one of the most striking ecological features of many salt marshes, reflecting the elevation requirements of a small number of dominant species, although mosaics of species within a zone are also common (Adam, 2002; Hickey and Bruce, 2010). Whilst the biodiversity values and exceptionally high productivity of salt marshes have long been recognised, increasing attention is now being focused upon ecosystem services such as carbon (C) accumulation and storage (Chmura et al., 2003; Duarte et al., 2013) and the response of these coastal ecosystems to changes in climate (Kirwan and Mudd, 2012) and sea level (Rogers et al., 2014).

Sedimentation dynamics partially determine the survival of salt marshes under rising sea level (Baustian et al., 2012; Kirwan and Megonigal, 2013; Kirwan et al., 2016) and the delivery and storage of organic matter (OM; Duarte et al., 2013; Lovelock et al., 2013). Salt marsh soils may be minerogenic (dominated by mineral inputs) or organogenic (dominated by biomass and litter production and/or allochthonous OM inputs), although most comprise both mineral and organic fractions (Adam, 2002; Baustian et al., 2012). Consequently, soil properties and surface dynamics may be influenced by both physicochemical and biological factors. Physical drivers of accretion (the vertical accumulation of sediments) in intertidal wetlands include the suspended sediment supply of inundating waters (Zhou et al., 2007), the tidal range of a site and position within the tidal range (Ouyang and Lee, 2014; Saintilan et al., 2013; van Proosdij et al., 2006). High tides may play an important role in importing sediment into salt marshes (Rosencranz et al., 2016), while low-tide rainfall may act to redistribute or export materials, including particulate organic carbon (Chen et al., 2015).

Numerous studies have investigated the interactions between vegetation and marsh surface dynamics (Langley et al., 2009; Rooth et al., 2003), although the majority of these studies have focussed on the genus Spartina (e.g. Baustian et al., 2012; Mudd et al., 2010, 2009; Nyman et al., 2006). Generally, these studies have shown that the presence of vegetation may have a significant positive influence on surface accretion through (1) accumulating organic matter and (2) facilitating sediment trapping (Morris et al., 2002; Mudd et al., 2010; Nyman et al., 2006). Findings of comparative studies of the effect of vegetation composition on deposition rates, however, vary from no difference in accretion among different vegetation species (e.g. Culberson et al., 2004) to substantial differences among mangroves and different salt marsh species (e.g. Saintilan et al., 2013). Little is known about the extent to which surface materials are redistributed among neighbouring salt marsh species assemblages, though stable isotope approaches have been used to demonstrate the smallscale (i.e. a few metres) movement of OM at mangrove-salt marsh interfaces (Guest et al., 2004, 2006).

1.2 C storage

Average global rates of soil carbon accumulation is extremely high in salt marshes, relative to most terrestrial and coastal ecosystems, with a mean \pm standard error (SE) accumulation rate of $0.024 \pm 0.003 \text{ g C cm}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Ouyang and Lee, 2014). While much of this OM is produced belowground by roots and rhizomes, contributions from aboveground sources may be significant (Boschker et al., 1999; Zhou et al., 2006). Sources of above-ground C may include both autochthonous (produced within the community) and allochthonous (deposited from outside the community) OM, although their relative contributions may vary within and among salt marsh settings (Kelleway et al., 2016a). The contribution of C redistributed within the community (i.e. within and among different species assemblages) to surface deposition and longer-term C accumulation remains unquantified and may vary with vegetation structure and geomorphic position. Regardless of OM source, the capacity of salt marshes to store carbon in the long-term remains dependent upon the balance between OM input and its decay (Mueller et al., 2016). While there is considerable debate as to which factors most influence the long-term retention of C in soils, litter quality has long been identified as a key driver of decay rates (Cleveland et al., 2014; Enríquez et al., 1993; Hemminga and Buth, 1991; Josselyn and Mathieson, 1980; Kristensen, 1994) and is of particular relevance to C stock accumulation in surface soils.

1.3 Measuring surface deposition and accretion

A variety of methods have been developed for measuring and monitoring surface dynamics in tidal wetlands (for reviews see Nolte et al., 2013; Thomas and Ridd, 2004). These include techniques relevant to short-term deposition events (of sediments and plant litter) through to medium- and longterm measures of accretion or accumulation (the net effect of multiple deposition and removal events) as well as surface elevation change. Because methods vary in their effectiveness of trapping and retaining different materials, a combination of techniques may be required to identify the different physical and biotic influences on deposition and accretion (Nolte et al., 2013). In this study, we combine several methods to assess short-term (days) deposition and medium-term (months) accretion dynamics within three salt marsh vegetation assemblages common throughout SE Australia. Our aim is to use three different measurement methods to identify the role of vegetation and physical factors in surface deposition and/or accretion. We hypothesise that (1) mineral deposition and accretion will be highest in lower-elevation assemblages but organic deposition and accretion will be highest in the Juncus assemblage; (2) the source and character of material deposited will vary temporally according to tidal inundation patterns, with a greater proportion of allochthonous material deposited during times of high inundation frequency; and (3) there will be no difference in biomass-litter-sediment decay patterns among the vegetation assemblages. Together, we expect this information will improve our understanding of how materials (including C) are deposited and accumulate in



Figure 1. Location of experimental plots within Weeney Bay salt marsh of Towra Point Nature Reserve (a), located along the southern shoreline of Botany Bay (b) in SE Australia (c). Location of the nearest tidal gauge is marked by an X in inset B. SARC = *Sarcocornia quinqueflora* assemblage; SPOR = *Sporobolus virginicus* assemblage; JUNC = *Juncus kraussii* assemblage.

coastal wetlands and how these ecosystems might respond under rising sea levels.

2 Methods

2.1 Study setting

Broadly, the salt marshes of SE Australia have been classified within the temperate group of salt marshes, which also includes those of Europe, the Pacific coast of North America, Japan and South Africa (Adam, 1990). These are distinct from the well-studied Spartina-dominated marshes of North America's Atlantic coast. Towra Point Nature Reserve is located within the oceanic embayment Botany Bay, approximately 16 km south of central Sydney, Australia's largest city. The intertidal estuarine wetland complex at this site is the largest remaining within the Sydney region and is listed as a Ramsar Wetland of International Importance. Within the site, a large salt marsh area adjacent to Weeney Bay was chosen as a study site as this area exhibits vegetation zonation typical of SE Australian salt marshes (Fig. 1). The lower salt marsh is bordered by the mangrove species Avicennia marina, beyond which seagrass meadows (including Posidonia australis) occur within the subtidal zone. In some areas, the upslope limit of the salt marsh extends into small patches of the supratidal trees Casuarina glauca and Melaleuca ericifo*lia*, but for the most part the salt marsh is bordered by a levee, which was constructed between 1947 and 1951. Previous investigation has revealed vegetation zonation across the site coinciding with ranges in elevation and tidal extent (Hickey and Bruce, 2010).

The salt marsh within this site comprises two broad vegetation communities. The lower and middle marsh is characterised by an association of the perennial succulent *Sarcocornia quinqueflora* (C3 photosynthetic pathway) and the perennial grass *Sporobolus virginicus* (C4 photosynthetic pathway). The upper marsh assemblage is dominated by the rush *Juncus kraussii* (C3), with *S. virginicus* (C4) ubiquitous as a subdominant lower stratum across this assemblage.

On the basis of salt marsh vegetation zonation, 15 plots were selected – five plots randomly chosen within the *Juncus*-dominated assemblage and 10 plots strategically selected within the *Sarcocornia–Sporobolus* association (five plots vegetated exclusively by *Sarcocornia*, and five vegetated exclusively by *Sporobolus*). Hereafter, these three assemblages are referred to by genus (*Sarcocornia, Sporobolus, Juncus*), while reference to the plant species themselves involves the species name (*S. quinqueflora, S. virginicus, J. kraussii*).

Data previously collected within the study region showed a substantial difference in above-ground biomass of the rush assemblage (Juncus mean = 1116 g DW m^{-2} ; range = 51- 4832 g DW m^{-2}), compared to that of the non-rush assemblages (Sarcocornia mean = 320 g DW m^{-2} , range = 52- 1184 g DW m^{-2} ; Sporobolus mean = 350 g DW m^{-2} , range = $148-852 \text{ g DW m}^{-2}$). Moreover, there do not appear to be distinct seasonal patterns of biomass stock for any of these species (Clarke and Jacoby, 1994). Both Sarcocornia and Sporobolus are perennial species, while J. kraussii culms undergo initiation and senescence throughout the year, but with peak culm initiation before and after summer flowering and fruiting (Clarke and Jacoby, 1994). Below-ground biomass data are rare, though on the basis of 0-20 cm depth data presented by Clarke and Jacoby (1994) we have calculated a mean above-ground : below-ground biomass ratio of 1.5 for Juncus. No below-ground data have been reported for either Sarcocornia and Sporobolus.

Tides along the New South Wales coast are semidiurnal (two flood and two ebb periods each lunar day) with a maximum spring tidal range of 2.0 m (Roy et al., 2001). Astronomical (i.e. predicted) maxima occur during the new moon in summer and during the full moon in winter (spring tides). Tidal inundation of and recession from the study area occurs via Weeney Bay, with the causeway acting as a barrier to surface water exchange with the western section of the Nature Reserve and Woolooware Bay. The linear rate of sealevel rise in Botany Bay since local records commenced in 1981 is 1.15 mm yr^{-1} (Kelleway et al., 2016b). Rainfall in the region is spread throughout the year, with an annual rainfall of 1084 mm yr⁻¹ in Botany Bay (Bureau of Meteorology, 2016).

2.2 Surface elevation measurements

Plot elevation was recorded to assess relationships between deposition dynamics and plot position within the tidal frame. Elevation was measured using a modified version of the tidal inundation method described by English et al. (1994), whereby three vertical rods marked with water-soluble dye were inserted into the ground immediately prior to a summer spring tide (23 January 2015; measured tidal height of 1.897 m above lowest astronomical tide (LAT) datum at nearest tidal gauge). Depth of inundation above the salt marsh surface was measured immediately after the tide receded and was subtracted from the measured tide height to obtain an estimate of surface elevation. Care was taken during the measurement procedure and in the selection of a calm day (to minimise wind and wave effects) to minimise discrepancies between measurements at different plots. Comparison of three replicate rods revealed a SE of the mean < 1.3 cm for each plot.

2.3 Feldspar marker horizons

The feldspar marker horizon (MH) technique (Cahoon and Turner, 1989) has been proposed as a suitable method to investigate the effects of above-ground vegetation structure on the accretion (vertical accumulation) of material on the marsh surface over the medium term (Nolte et al., 2013). The feldspar MH technique was used to record the amount of accretion of bulk materials at each plot on the temporal scale of multiple months. A total of 45 feldspar MHs were installed across the study site on 23 January 2014, comprising three replicates in each of the 15 study plots. Accretion was determined at later dates as the height difference between the marsh surface and the feldspar (i.e. the material accumulated above the MH) and was recorded as the mean of three replicate measurements from within the marker horizon at each sampling event. Measurements were taken 11, 13, 15, 17 and 19 months after installation. During the later sampling events, many MHs in Sarcocornia and Sporobolus plots became increasingly difficult to discern within the soil, probably due to bioturbation and mixing of sediments (Cahoon and Turner, 1989; Krauss et al., 2003). Consequently, monitoring of all plots was terminated after 19 months.

2.4 Sediment traps

Two complementary types of sediment trap were installed concurrently for the purpose of quantifying short-term (days) deposition of materials among the three vegetation assemblages. These types of traps were selected on the basis of the types of materials that they are most likely to collect, with the aim of providing insights into the processes driving deposition among assemblages. First, pre-weighed 50 mL centrifuge vials (30 mm mouth diameter, 115 mm depth) were placed into the ground, so that the "lip" of each tube was 10 mm above the ground surface. This vial method has a bias towards the collection of non-buoyant materials washing over the mouth of the tube (i.e. mineral matter) and a bias against collection of coarse and/or buoyant materials, including large fragments of plant litter. Second, a modified version of the filter paper method described by Reed (1989) and Adame et al. (2010) was used to quantify passive sedimentation and litter accretion on the salt marsh surface. Preweighed 90 mm hydrophilic nylon filters (pore size $0.45 \,\mu$ m) were placed over 90 mm upturned plastic Petri dishes and attached to the salt marsh surface by two small staples, so that the nylon filter lay level with the surface. The resolution of this method (i.e. the smallest accumulation increment detectable), using a 90 mm filter, has been calculated as $0.0015 \,\text{mg cm}^{-2}$ (Thomas and Ridd, 2004).

Three replicates of each short-term trap were installed at the centre of each of the study areas described above during the summer of 2014/15. We chose to base our sampling strategy upon expected tidal inundation patterns rather than capturing seasonal variability for several reasons. First, based on relevant literature (Rogers et al., 2014) we expect tidal inundation patterns to be of primary importance to deposition and accretion dynamics. Second, we do not expect there to be substantial seasonal variability due to factors other than tidal pattern variation. That is, the study region does not experience high seasonal variability in rainfall, nor are there clear seasonal patterns in terms of biomass standing stock or senescence (Clarke and Jacoby, 1994).

Short-term traps were deployed for 6-day (12 high tides) periods on four instances on the basis of tide chart predictions. Two neap ("December neap" and "January neap") periods were selected to reflect periods when high tides were at their lowest. While these neap periods were intended to measure periods without any inundation, higher than predicted tides occurred in both neap periods. Although unconfirmed, inundation of some plots within lower-elevation zones of the study area were expected to have occurred at least once during the December neap (up to 80% of Sarcocornia plots and 100% of Sporobolus plots) and/or the January neap (up to 60% of Sarcocornia plots only; Table S1 in the Supplement). Two other periods ("December spring" and "January spring") were selected as maximum salt marsh inundation events with between five and 10 high tides inundating each plot in each period (Table S1). Although unintended, the fact that a small number of inundations were likely captured during neap tides more accurately reflects the differences in tidal behaviour that naturally occur among the three vegetation assemblages (i.e. lower-elevation assemblages are subject to a greater number of high tides throughout the year than higherelevation assemblages). Consequently, all results from shortterm measures were considered in the context of these varied inundation patterns.

Great care was taken not to disturb sediments or litter collected on or surrounding the removable traps during their installation and collection. Filters with visible crab-excavated sediment (n = 23/180) or that were physically upturned during inundation (n = 3 January spring inundation only) were excluded from analysis, although all plant (autochthonous

and allochthonous) materials were retained for analysis as we considered these to be largely unaffected by crab excavation.

In the laboratory, vials were centrifuged, the supernatant decanted and the vial was placed in an oven for drying. All samples and vessels (filters and centrifuge vials) were dried at 60 °C until constant weight was achieved (\leq 72 h) and subtracted from initial vessel mass to obtain the dry weight of material collected. In addition, all identifiable litter was removed from each filter, identified to the species level and weighed. Litter samples of the main salt marsh species encountered (*S. quinqueflora, S. virginicus* and *J. kraussii*), wrack of the seagrass *Posidonia australis* and macroalga *Hormosira banksii*, fresh leaves of the mangrove *Avicennia marina*, and composite samples of all residual deposits (mineral component and unidentified organic matter; referred to hereafter as residues) from filters were also prepared for chemical analyses.

2.5 Elemental and isotopic analysis

Elemental C and N content was measured in order to quantify C deposition rates and infer biomass, litter and soil consumption quality (C:N, by weight). To infer the source of samples relative to reference source material and literature values, δ^{13} C was analysed. Dried above-ground plant biomass, litter and residues were homogenised and ground into a fine powder using a ball mill. The Champagne test (Jaschinski et al., 2008) was used to determine that no residue samples contained inorganic C. Consequently, acidification of samples was deemed unnecessary. Organic %C, %N and δ^{13} C were measured for all samples using an isotope ratio mass spectrometry – elemental analyzer (Thermo Delta V) at the University of Hawaii (HILO).

2.6 MIR

Diffuse reflectance mid-infrared (MIR) spectroscopy was used to assess the composition of biomass, litter and residue samples. MIR spectroscopy characterises the bulk composition and is therefore inclusive of both mineral and organic components. Spectra were acquired using a Nicolet 6700 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) following the specifications and procedures outlined by Baldock et al. (2013a). Spectra were acquired over $8000-400 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ with a resolution of 8 cm^{-1} , but were truncated to 6000– 600 cm⁻¹. This spectral range was chosen to include significant signal intensity (including the first near-infrared overtones of the MIR spectra) in the range $4000-6000 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and allow appropriate baseline correction, but also to exclude noise in the acquired signal intensity outside the selected spectral range. Spectra were baseline corrected using a baseline-offset transformation and were then mean centred using the Unscrambler 10.2 software (CAMO Software AS, Oslo, Norway) before conducting principal component analysis (PCA).

2.7 ¹³C NMR

Solid-state ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy was used to quantify the contribution of C functional groups to live plant biomass, litter and residue samples. This was carried out to identify what compositional changes occurred between the different sample types and to what extent this differed between vegetation assemblages and inundation periods. Residue samples were treated with 2 % hydrofluoric acid (HF) according to the method of Skjemstad et al. (1994) to remove paramagnetic materials and concentrate organic C for ¹³C NMR analyses. Cross-polarisation ¹³C NMR spectra were acquired using a 200 MHz Avance spectrometer (Bruker Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA) following the instrument specifications, experimental procedures and spectral processing outlined by Baldock et al. (2013b). The ¹³C NMR data are presented as the proportion of integral area under each of eight chemical shift regions corresponding to the main types of organic functional groupings found in natural organic materials: alkyl C (0-45 ppm), N-alkyl/methoxyl (45-60 ppm), O-alkyl (60-95 ppm), di-O-alkyl (95–110 ppm), aryl (110–145 ppm), O-aryl (145– 165 ppm), amide/carboxyl (165-190 ppm) and ketone (190-215 ppm; Baldock and Smernik, 2002).

2.8 Statistical analyses

Separate simple linear regression analyses were conducted using all feldspar MH measurements for each of the three vegetation assemblages for the purpose of obtaining accumulation rates over 19 months and to assess the strength of linear fits for these data. We chose to use regression models that do not force a y intercept of 0, as doing so would place an unrealistic weight upon nil accretion values at time t_0 . We present a comparison of regression statistics with and without y-intercept forcing in Table S2.

Bulk short-term deposition variables (bulk material collected in vials, bulk material collected on filters) were logtransformed to achieve normality and analysed with separate linear mixed models to test main and interactive effects of vegetation assemblage (Sarcocornia, Sporobolus, Juncus) and tidal event (repeated measures: December neap, December spring, January neap, January spring) on the amount of material retained at the end of a deployment period. Elevation was included as a covariate for each of these analyses. Covariance structure was selected for each model through comparison of Akaike's information criterion (AIC) of four covariance structures (unstructured, compound symmetry, diagonal, scaled identity). Where main effects presented significance differences (P < 0.05), post hoc tests (with conservative Bonferroni adjustment) were used to determine the difference among levels of vegetation and tidal event factors. Data are presented as the mean \pm SE as there was some minor variation in sample numbers among assemblages and events (as described above). Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS v19 (IBM, USA), Origin Pro 2015 (Originlab, USA) and PRIMER v6 (PRIMER-E, UK).

2.8.1 Isotope mixing model

A two-source, single-isotope mixing model (Phillips, 2012) was used to estimate the proportion of C3 (f_1 in Eq. 1) and C4 (f_2 in Eq. 2) plants to the unidentified organic residue (i.e. the material leftover after macrolitter was removed):

$$f_1 = \frac{\delta^{13} C_{\text{residue}} - \delta^{13} C_{C4}}{\delta^{13} C_{C3} - \delta^{13} C_{C4}} \tag{1}$$

$$f_2 = 1 - f_1,$$
 (2)

in which δ^{13} C denotes the isotopic signal of different sources of organic C: C_{residue} (the residue organic C), C_{C3} (relevant C3 plants – *S. quinqueflora* litter for *Sarcocornia– Sporobolus* association residues or *J. kraussii* litter for *Juncus* assemblage residues) and C_{C4} (litter of the C4 species *S. virginicus*).

2.8.2 MIR analysis

PCA was performed using the transformed MIR spectra to (1) identify differences in composition among samples due to sample type and vegetation assemblage and (2) define the MIR spectral components most important to differentiating the samples. Loadings were plotted for the first two principal components to assist in the latter and to guide interpretation of differences in composition among samples.

3 Results

3.1 Feldspar MHs

Net accretion (i.e. vertical surface accumulation) was measured among Juncus plots throughout the entire 19 months, reflected in the moderate-strong linear fit ($R^2 = 0.68$, P < 0.001) and a mean accumulation rate with relatively low variance $(1.74 \pm 0.13 \text{ mm yr}^{-1})$. In contrast, accumulation above the feldspar MHs was more varied and slower overall in the Sarcocornia ($R^2 = 0.16$, P < 0.001, $0.76 \pm 0.18 \,\mathrm{mm}\,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$) and Sporobolus plots ($R^2 = 0.14$, P < 0.001, 0.88 ± 0.22 mm yr⁻¹; Fig. 2). Accretion varied both spatially and temporally within the Sarcocornia and Sporobolus assemblages. Across Sporobolus plots, there was relatively high accretion recorded at the 11-month interval, followed by multiple peaks and troughs in the height of material measured above MHs, with some similarity among replicate plots in the timing of these (Fig. 2b). After modest gains at the 11-month interval, Sarcocornia accretion diverged among plots with two plots (Sarcocornia 2 and 5) experiencing continued accretion, whilst Sarcocornia 3 and 4



Figure 2. Surface accretion above feldspar marker horizons. Data are presented as the mean \pm standard error of three replicate plots at each of five locations for each vegetation assemblage. A linear fit was applied on the basis of all data points (n = 90) for each vegetation assemblage.

appeared to lose surface material through the remainder of the study. The pattern of accumulation and loss observed between 13 and 19 months at *Sarcocornia* 1 was mirrored in the nearby *Sporobolus* 1 plot.

3.2 Short-term deposition

3.2.1 Vials

Mean bulk material deposition rates as determined by vials were higher than filter bulk deposition rates across all sampling events and vegetation assemblages (Table 1). Observations of materials retained within vials suggested a dominance of mineral matter and unidentified detritus, except in *Juncus* plots in which *Juncus kraussii* fragments were the dominant material.

Deposition varied significantly among tidal events $(F_{6,42} = 10.01, P < 0.001)$, with post hoc tests revealing

Table 1. Summary of sedimentation measurement techniques; mean and standard error values for feldspar marker horizon (MH), vial and filter bulk sediment measures; mean and standard error of organic C deposition rates; and contributions of C3 and C4 vegetation to deposition among vegetation assemblages. Accretion rates slower than local sea-level rise $(1.15 \text{ mm yr}^{-1})$ are in red. DN: December neap; DS: December spring; JN: January neap; JS: January spring.

Technique	Parameter Measurement Temporal scale Biases/issues	Period	Sarcocornia		Sporo		Juncus					
Feldspar MH	Bulk measure				accretion ra							
1 and	sediment accumulation	0.10 ma	mean	SE 0.18	mean	SE 0.22	I	nean	SE 0.12			
	MH may be lost through erosion or bioturbation	0=19 mo. 95% CI lower	0.78	0.18	0.88	0.22		1.74	0.15			
		95% CI upper	1.14		1.32	E: 2		2.00				
Vial					see also i	Figure 2						
AN ASSALLAN AND	Bulk measure			bulk deposition rate (mg cm ⁻² d ⁻¹)								
	sediment deposition		mean	SE	mean	SE	ľ	nean	SE			
	short-term (hours to days)	DN	9.33	3.12	7.49	2.48	1	0.37	3.41			
	Biased towards materials entrained by water Biased	DS	68.97	10.63	182.11	28.67	4	19.63	8.94			
	against coarse litter larger than vial mouth	JN	105.88	25.18	51.59	15.02	1	3.66	3.65			
		JS	275.93	89.62	221.61	24.43	2	0.85	5.86			
Filter			bulk deposition r	bulk deposition rate (mg cm ⁻² d ⁻¹)								
	Bulk measure		mean	SE	mean	SE	I	nean	SE			
	sediment deposition	DN	4.03	0.52	2.99	0.72		3.40	2.7E-03			
	short-term (hours to days)	DS	5.97	0.95	3.59	0.27		6.49	6.7E-03			
		JIN	2.10	0.55	6.84	0.15		2.01 6.07	7.7E 02			
		13	0.51	2.15	0.04	1.27		0.97	7.712-03			
	Filter + material identification	Filter + material identification										
	- composition of material deposited				see rig	$\frac{1}{1}$						
	Finter + elemental analysis		-	6F	organic C deposition	rate (mg C C	cm a)		SE			
	organic C aeposition rate	DN	0.27	5E 0.04	0.21	5E 0.05	1	1 21	0.17			
		DS	0.27	0.04	0.21	0.05		2 37	0.17			
		JN	0.10	0.02	0.17	0.04		1.14	0.41			
		JS	0.62	0.08	0.40	0.07		1.99	0.46			
	Filter + isotopic analysis		isotope mixing model - plant contribution (%)									
	sources contributing to soil organic C		C3	C4	C3	C4		C3	C4			
		DN	59.6	40.4	38.3	61.7		80.2	19.8			
		DS	77.5	22.5	25.0	75.0		84.6	15.4			
		JN	67.9	32.1	19.9	80.1		81.0	19.0			
		JS	72.4	27.6	26.0 see also	74.0 Table 2		78.8	21.2			
	Filter + MIR & ¹³ C NMR											
	character of deposited materials		see Figure 4 & Table 2									

each event as significantly different from the others. Despite large differences in mean deposition among the three vegetation assemblages during December spring, January neap and January spring events (Table 1), vegetation assemblage was not a significant factor when elevation was included as a covariate ($F_{2, 45.8} = 1.06$, P = 0.36). There was, however, a significant event times assemblage interaction ($F_{6, 42} = 10.01$, P < 0.001), with deposition in *Sarcocornia* vials higher during January neap relative to December spring for *Sarcocornia* plots, but not so for *Sporobolus* and *Juncus* vials (Table 1). Deposition into vials was lowest for all three assemblages during December neap (Table 1) and was highest overall in *Sarcocornia* vials during January spring (275.93 ± 89.62 mg cm⁻² d⁻¹).

Regression of the log (mass of material retained within vials) versus plot surface elevation revealed no clear relationship between the two variables during the December neap period (Fig. S1a in the Supplement), but negative relationships (P < 0.001, $R^2 = 0.35$ to 0.59) existed for all other time pe-

riods (Fig. S1b–d). That is, there were broad trends of higher sedimentation at lower-elevation plots than higher-elevation plots during these periods.

3.2.2 Filters

Retention of bulk materials on filters also varied among all four tidal periods ($F_{3, 109.3} = 48.82$, P < 0.001), with overall deposition highest in January spring, followed by December spring (Table 1, Fig. 3). Bulk deposition on filters varied among vegetation assemblages ($F_{2, 30.85} = 48.82$, P = 0.004), with significantly lower deposition in *Sporobolus* plots relative to both *Sarcocornia* (Bonferroni-adjusted *P* value = 0.010) and *Juncus* (Bonferroni-adjusted *P* value = 0.023) plots across all tidal events (Fig. 3, Table 1). In contrast to the vials, there was no clear relationship between bulk material retained on filter papers and plot surface elevation during either of the neap or spring tidal events (Fig. S2).



Figure 3. Mean mass of autochthonous litter, allochthonous litter and mineral matter–organic residue retained on filters at the end of a 6-day deployment during December neap (a), December spring (b), January neap (c) and January spring (d) tidal periods. Error bars are presented for each component and represent 1 standard error each side of the mean.

Although the mass of bulk material retained on filters was similar across Sarcocornia and Juncus plots, Fig. 3 demonstrates that different materials were contributing to surface accumulation among the two vegetation assemblages. In Juncus plots, autochthonous plant litter (that is, from the dominant species Juncus kraussii and the subdominant species Sporobolus virginicus) contributed between 66 % (December neap) and 78 % (both December spring and January neap) of all deposited material mass. In contrast, litter contributions were low (< 12 % of all deposited material) in both Sarcocornia and Sporobolus assemblages, regardless of the tidal period. Contributions from identifiable allochthonous materials were low in all cases, with negligible quantities of Posidonia australis litter (recorded in five out of all 60 Sporobolus filters) and a single large piece of Hormosira banksii deposited on a Sporobolus filter during December spring - the latter was considered an outlier and was therefore excluded from Fig. 3.

Chemical analysis of the unidentified portion of material deposited on filters also highlights differences between the vegetation assemblages. The organic content (%C, %N) of unidentified material pooled across *Juncus* plots was much higher than for the other assemblages (Table S3), with this difference also apparent in the disparity between C accumulation rates in *Juncus* versus *Sarcocornia* and *Sporobolus* assemblages (Table 1).

3.3 Elemental and isotopic ratios

Elemental C: N ratios and δ^{13} C values of plant biomass, litter and unidentified residues are presented in Table 2. The

J. J. Kelleway et al.: Salt marsh surface deposition

biomass and litter samples of the C4 grass *Sporobolus* were more enriched in ¹³C relative to those of the C3 species *Sarcocornia* and *Juncus* (Table 2). This distinction, however, was not as great for the unidentified residue samples, with δ^{13} C values from all assemblages sitting between the δ^{13} C values of the C3 and C4 salt marsh plants.

Outputs from the isotope mixing model (Table 1) highlighted differences in source contribution among the vegetation assemblages. *Sarcocornia* residues showed a higher contribution of C3 plant material during spring tides relative to the neap tides. Further, similar contribution from the host plant (i.e. C3 in *Sarcocornia* and C4 in *Sporobolus*) to residues was apparent for all tidal periods except January neap, when the C4 contribution to *Sporobolus* residue was higher. Overall, contributions of the host plant ranged from 59.6 to 77.5 % in *Sarcocornia* plots and from 61.7 to 80.1 % in *Sporobolus* plots.

Source contributions across the four tidal periods were most consistent in the *Juncus* assemblage, in which estimates ranged between 78.8–84.6 % for C3 plant material and 15.4–21.2 % for C4 plant material. These contributions aligned well with visual observations of plant cover across plots (in which the C3 plant *J. kraussii* is dominant over the C4 plant *S. virginicus* in approximately an 80 : 20 % biomass mix). Quantification of litter fall onto filters, however, highlighted a skew towards *J. kraussii* litter (85.9–97.0 %) over *S. virginicus* litter (3.0–14.1 %) across the *Juncus* assemblage. Residue C : N ratios were also highest for *Juncus*, followed by *Sporobolus* and then *Sarcocornia*. While *Juncus* litter samples had a higher C : N, relative to all other *Juncus* biomass (Table 2), this difference was not noted for *Sarcocornia* or *Sporobolus*.

3.4 MIR and ¹³C NMR

Together, the first two principal components explained 96.4 % of the variation in MIR spectra of all samples assessed. A clear separation of residue samples from litter and biomass is apparent along PC1 (Fig. 4a) with inspection of the loadings plot (Fig. 4b) highlighting variation in the range of $600-2000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (quartz) and distinct troughs at 3400 cm^{-1} (water) and 2900 cm^{-1} (OM-alkyl). Residue samples are separated along PC2, with differentiation among vegetation assemblages, regardless of tidal event. The loadings plot for PC2 (Fig. 4C) also exhibits variation in the range of $600-2000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (quartz), a peak at 2900 cm^{-1} (OM-alkyl) and $3600-3700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (kaolinite).

The proportions of C within each of eight organic functional groupings for each sample analysed with ¹³C NMR are presented in Table 2. For all samples O-alkyl C was the most abundant. O-alkyl C content was higher in live plant biomass than litter for both *Sarcocornia*, but less so for *Sporobolus* and essentially unchanged for *Juncus*. Generally, residues were higher in alkyl C, and amide/carboxyl C, and lower in O-alkyl, di-O-alkyl and aromatics relative to litter and

Table 2. Results of ¹³C NMR, δ^{13} C and elemental (C:N) analyses for each component of three salt marsh plant assemblages and other potential sources. ¹³C NMR outputs are the average of two samples for each of the biomass and litter components (except *Sporobolus* litter, for which only one reliable spectrum was obtained). δ^{13} C and C:N values are the mean (± SE) of biomass (*n* = 3) and litter (*n* = 4) samples. Residue samples were pooled from 15 filters in each vegetation assemblage. The tidal period was deemed not appropriate (n/a) for live biomass sample collection.

Community	Component	Tide	Tide ¹³ C NMR chemical assignment and region (ppm)									C : N
			Alkyl (0-45)	N-alkyl/methoxyl (45-60)	O-alkyl (60–95)	Di-O-alkyl (95–110)	Aryl (110–145)	O-aryl (145–165)	Amide/carboxyl (165–190)	Ketone (190–215)		
Sarcocornia	biomass	n/a	14.4	6.6	45.0	10.6	10.5	4.4	7.1	1.4	-26.5 ± 0.5	38.0 ± 4.2
	litter	combined	10.2	5.1	34.0	9.0	16.3	8.6	13.2	3.6	-25.7 ± 0.1	33.0 ± 1.2
	residue	neap	23.1	8.2	33.7	7.3	11.3	4.3	11.0	1.1	-22.1 ± 0.3	14.2 ± 0.4
		spring	25.1	8.1	32.8	7.1	10.5	4.0	11.3	1.1	-23.3 ± 0.2	14.2 ± 0.7
Sporobolus	biomass	n/a	8.6	4.6	51.0	12.0	11.5	4.6	6.5	1.3	-14.9 ± 0.1	59.8 ± 6.3
	litter	spring	5.2	4.5	47.1	12.0	14.6	6.6	8.0	2.0	-15.8 ± 0.2	59.4 ± 5.2
	residue	neap	19.2	7.9	36.5	8.3	12.6	5.0	9.4	1.2	-18.7 ± 0.6	15.5 ± 0.5
		spring	18.5	7.3	36.6	8.4	13.0	5.2	9.5	1.4	-18.4 ± 0.0	16.3 ± 1.1
Juncus	biomass	n/a	8.6	5.4	51.8	12.2	11.2	4.6	5.2	1.1	-24.8 ± 0.3	61.3 ± 5.6
	litter	combined	5.6	5.4	52.2	12.8	11.9	5.4	5.4	1.3	-25.6 ± 0.1	89.9 ± 4.5
	residue	neap			Insufficient material available for ¹³ C NMR analysis						-23.7 ± 0.0	19.6 ± 0.0
		spring	15.4	7.2	35.7	8.8	15.4	6.6	9.2	1.7	-23.8 ± 0.2	18.2 ± 0.4
Other sources	algal mat	n/a	14.3	5.6	37.5	8.7	11.5	4.9	14.8	2.6	-15.0 ± 0.4	13.2 ± 0.1
	mangrove leaf	n/a	16.4	6.4	40.6	9.1	12.8	4.8	8.7	1.1	-28.7 ± 0.3	24.8 ± 1.7
	seagrass wrack	spring	11.6	3.9	33.3	8.0	14.4	7.7	16.4	4.6	-12.3	29.2
	macroalga wrack	spring	6.0	2.6	52.5	14.0	5.5	6.6	11.5	1.3	-17.7	57.8



Figure 4. Principal component analysis of MIR spectra with the proportion of variance explained by each component given in parentheses (**a**); spectral loading plots for PC1 (**b**) and PC2 (**c**). S: spring tide samples; N: neap tide samples; H: *Hormosira banksii* (macroalga) wrack; P: *Posidonia australis* (seagrass) wrack; A: *Avicennia marina* (mangrove) leaf; al: benthic algae mat.

biomass samples. There were differences in residue C composition according to which vegetation assemblage they were collected from – aromatics (higher in *Juncus* and *Sporobolus*), alkyl C and amide/carboxyl (higher in *Sarcocornia*). There was high similarity between residues collected under the two different tides, however, for both the *Sarcocornia* and *Sporobolus* assemblages. These similarities among tides are mirrored in the similarity of the residue C : N values. There was insufficient residue material available for analysis from *Juncus* neap tide, even though samples were pooled across a large number of filters, further highlighting the small contribution of unidentifiable sedimentary components within this assemblage.

4 Discussion

In this study we have compared sediment and C accretion dynamics among three vegetation assemblages within a single intertidal wetland complex. Our findings, across a range of methods, showed that there were substantial differences among assemblages in (1) the types of materials deposited on the marsh surface in the short term (days) and (2) the quantities of material accumulated over the medium term (19 months). Here, we first consider the accumulation differences among assemblages over the medium term and then discuss the interactions among vegetation, physical and degradation processes that are likely driving short-term and medium-term differences among assemblages. We conclude with an assessment of the implications for C accumulation and response to relative sea-level rise (RSLR).

4.1 Accretion varies among vegetation assemblages

Surface accretion above feldspar MHs over a period of 19 months and deposition measured with short-term sediment traps provide evidence of the multiple ways in which deposition and accretion dynamics differ between salt marsh vegetation assemblages. First, feldspar MHs highlight a record of continued and consistent accretion across the upper marsh Juncus assemblage, amounting to a reliable $(R^2 = 0.68)$ accretion rate of 1.74 ± 0.13 mm yr⁻¹ (Fig. 2). This value is remarkably similar to the mean accretion rate measured over 10 years above feldspar MHs of 1.76 mm yr^{-1} by Saintilan et al. (2013) for Juncus salt marshes across a range of sites in SE Australia. In contrast, accretion above MHs in Sarcocornia and Sporobolus assemblages varied substantially - both spatially and temporally - in our study (Fig. 2), possibly due in part to the influence of erosion, bioturbation and sediment mixing above MHs (Cahoon and Turner, 1989; Krauss et al., 2003). While our mean accretion estimates for both Sarcocornia and Sporobolus are lower than the regional estimate for Sarcocornia-Sporobolus associations $(1.11 \pm 0.08 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}; \text{Saintilan et al., 2013})$, this regional mean is within the 95 % confidence interval for both species at Towra Point (Table 1). Critically, medium-term accretion rates in the Juncus assemblage consistently exceed contemporary rates of sea-level rise within Botany Bay $(1.15 \text{ mm yr}^{-1})$, while mean accretion rates for both Sarcocornia and Sporobolus (including the upper 95 % confidence interval of Sarcocornia) are below the contemporary rate of sea-level rise. These patterns of high marsh versus lower marsh accretion are also atypical of results reported outside of the study region (see Sect. 4.2.2), and while in general agreement within previous data from our region, these accretion patterns would benefit from validation across a broader network of sites.

4.2 Processes driving spatial variability in deposition and accretion

One of the key strengths of using short-term deposition methods is the ability to identify and quantify the composition of inputs that may be contributing to differences observed over the medium term. In this study, a distinction was observed between the *Juncus* assemblage (in which mediumterm accretion rates were consistently high and organic deposition was higher) and the *Sarcocornia* and *Sporobolus* assemblages (in which medium-term accretion rates were generally lower but more varied and deposition was dominated by mineral material; Table 1, Fig. 3). This distinction was best exemplified by the results of the short-term filter method (Fig. 3), in which differences in the contributions of autochthonous litter and the residual sediment (comprising mineral and organic residue components) were stark. There was further evidence of this in the short-term vial results, where mineral-biased deposition was high in the lower-elevation, non-rush assemblages and low in the higherelevation Juncus assemblage during multiple experimental periods (Table 1, Fig. S1). Although higher-than-predicted tides likely influenced some short-term traps during neap experimental periods (Table S1), the fact that deposition into vials was lower during December neap (when up to 80 % of Sarcocornia plots and 100 % of Sporobolus plots would have been subjected to at least one tidal inundation) relative to January neap (up to 60 % of Sarcocornia plots; no inundation of Sporobolus plots) suggests that this had a small impact relative to other influences. Non-tidal processes, such as rain- or wind-driven sedimentation and/or bioturbation, are the most likely factors behind sedimentation when inundation was absent. Although filters with visible crab-excavated sediment (n = 23/180) were excluded from analysis, such clear identification was not able to be determined for sediments deposited in vials.

In the following sections we interpret the influence of biological, physical and interactive processes on salt marsh surface dynamics on the sub-site scale. We do so by assessing the response of different surface deposition measures (two short term, one medium term) among the three vegetation assemblages studied.

4.2.1 The influence of vegetation on salt marsh surface deposition

The results of this study partially support our first hypothesis. That is, there were broad differences among the vegetation assemblages regarding the amount and type of materials deposited in the short term and rates of accretion in the medium term. The high spatial and temporal variability in marker horizon measurements across *Sarcocornia* and *Sporobolus* plots (Table 1; Fig. 2a, b) limit interpretation of medium-term processes between these two assemblages. In contrast, the relative stability of medium-term accretion patterns in *Juncus* plots (Fig. 2b) and unique short-term deposition results from *Juncus* plots (Table 1, Fig. 3, plus Sect. 4.3.2) allow some interpretation of the potential role of vegetation structure on surface dynamics.

There are fundamental differences in vegetation structure and function that can at least partly account for the variations in the quantity and type of materials being retained in rush (*Juncus*) versus non-rush (*Sarcocornia* and *Sporobolus*) assemblages. First, *Juncus* assemblages have large potential for litter production through the annual replacement of their significant above-ground biomass (1116 g m⁻²; Clarke and Jacoby, 1994). No clear patterns of annual turnover have been observed in *Sarcocornia* and *Sporobolus* assemblages, in which standing biomass is only about one-third that of the *Juncus* assemblage (Clarke and Jacoby, 1994). There may

also be indirect vegetation effects on the deposition and accumulation of surface materials. For instance, the tall ($\sim 1 \text{ m}$), dense structure of the Juncus assemblage is likely to enhance (1) the retention of autochthonous litter (Fig. 3), which may have otherwise been exported during tidal recession, and (2) the capture of mineral particles on plant stems (Morris et al., 2002; Mudd et al., 2004). Dense salt marsh vegetation also has the capacity to enhance sedimentation by reducing the turbulent energy of inundating waters, with Mudd et al. (2010) demonstrating that this phenomenon was responsible for virtually all of the sedimentation increase observed when standing plant biomass of Spartina alterniflora was artificially increased. The high short-term litter deposition rates we observed during neap tides (Fig. 3a, c) and the increased contribution of both mineral and litter components during spring tides (Fig. 3b, d) suggested that each of these direct and indirect plant mechanisms may be contributing to the relatively high medium-term accretion rates observed within Juncus assemblages.

4.2.2 The influence of physical factors on salt marsh surface deposition

Differences in suspended sediment supply and tidal flooding characteristics (tidal range, position within the tidal prism) have been identified as key physical drivers of salt marsh accretion (Chmura and Hung, 2004; Rogers et al., 2014). Generally, lower elevation within the tidal frame and closer proximity to the source of tidal inundation result in higher sedimentation rates. This is because (1) greater flooding depth allows for greater suspended sediment volume and higher sedimentation, (2) the increase in flooding duration increases the time for sediment deposition to occur and (3) flooding frequency is higher at lower elevations (Baustian et al., 2012; Harter and Mitsch, 2003; Morris, 2007; Oenema and De-Laune, 1988). If these processes were operating at our site, we would have expected to observe higher sedimentation rates in the Sarcocornia and Sporobolus assemblages, which were generally both lower in the tidal frame (Table S1) and nearer to tidal sources (Fig. 1). Indeed, when measurements relevant to the mineral component were considered, our results appeared to be consistent with this. First, overall mineral retention on filters (Fig. 3) was highest in the Sarcocornia and Sporobolus assemblages. Second, short-term vials (i.e. the method that is biased toward retention of heavy minerals over organic matter) showed a significant log-linear relationship between deposition and elevation during the periods of greatest tidal inundation (December spring, January spring) and during January neap when significant rainfall (Fig. S3) as well as some inundation of low-elevation sites likely occurred (Table S3). Further, observations made during the first measurement of feldspar MHs at 11 months suggested a high mineral contribution in all Sporobolus and Sarcocornia plots, though it is unclear why this accretion trend reversed in many plots after this sampling event. Overall, mineral-specific deposition results are largely supportive of the role of the physical position within the salt marsh towards differences among assemblages.

Importantly, the deposition–elevation relationship expressed by the mineral component did not apply when bulk results of the passive short-term filter method were considered. With the mineral bias effectively removed, no clear relationship between elevation and bulk deposition (i.e. mineral plus organic matter) was observed across any of the tidal periods (see Fig. S2). Instead, total deposition was similar between the minerogenic, lower-elevation *Sarcocornia–Sporobolus* plots, and the organogenic higher-elevation *Juncus* plots.

The lack of an elevation relationship in terms of bulk material deposition is somewhat contrary to spatial patterns expected on the basis of physical sedimentary processes in the tidal zone. This disparity extended to medium-term accretion results, in which lower marsh (*Sarcocornia* and *Sporobolus*) assemblages accrete at a slower rate than upper marsh (*Juncus*), both in our study and regionally (Saintilan et al., 2013). This relationship does not necessarily downplay the importance of tidal influence on surface dynamics in SE Australian salt marshes. An alternative explanation is that these physical processes, in interaction with biological factors, instead remobilise and redistribute materials across the lower marsh assemblages, rather than depositing significant amounts of new allochthonous material.

4.2.3 Redistribution of surface materials

The second hypothesis of our study was that the source and character of materials deposited would vary temporally with tidal inundation patterns. For the most part, however, this was not observed, with high degrees of within-assemblage similarity for neap and spring tide samples across the various analyses undertaken (Table 2, Fig. 4). Instead, our results provide multiple lines of evidence that suggest a redistribution of surface materials across the salt marsh, mediated by a range of biological, physical and interactive processes.

The first indication of redistribution of surface materials was the mismatch between rates of short-term bulk deposition and patterns of medium-term accretion among vegetation assemblages. This was best exhibited in the Sarcocornia plots, in which short-term measures showed deposition to be as high or higher in Sarcocornia plots relative to the other assemblages (Table 1, Fig. 3), while medium-term accretion was actually lowest here (Fig. 2). This suggests that shortterm measures in this assemblage were capturing materials that were being moved or redistributed across the salt marsh but not necessarily retained in a given location over longer time periods (i.e. months). While the short- versus mediumterm discrepancy was not as large for the Sporobolus assemblage, the temporal variability in medium-term feldspar MH measurements (i.e. multiple peaks and troughs across the 19month period for most plots) also suggested significant redistribution of materials over time in this assemblage. Such movement of materials within the Sarcocornia and Sporobolus assemblages also fits with the expectation that hydrodynamic energy, and therefore potential for sediment redistribution, would be highest in the salt marsh zones lower in the tidal frame and located closer to tidal sources (Fig. 1, Table S1). We also attribute the fading of feldspar horizons in many Sarcocornia and Sporobolus plots over time to mixing of sediments (Cahoon and Turner, 1989) in this active zone, with assistance from bioturbation (Cahoon and Turner, 1989; Krauss et al., 2003). In contrast, these temporal discrepancies and variations (including fading of MHs) were not observed in the Juncus assemblage, in which hydrodynamic energy is expected to be greatly reduced as a result of both its position within the marsh and the influences of plant biomass (see discussion in Sect. 4.2.2).

Next, it was not expected that tidal inundation would substantially increase salt marsh plant litter production. We therefore interpret the increased concentration of autochthonous litter in Juncus plots during spring tides relative to neap tides (Fig. 3) as evidence of the redistribution and trapping of autochthonous material within this assemblage. That is, the extra spring tide litter was material that had been remobilised by inundating water and redistributed within the same community, resulting in a larger amount of material being caught on the Juncus filters. The fact that no identifiable Juncus litter was collected on any of the Sporobolus shortterm filters, despite their position being within the expected path of receding tides (Fig. 1), further highlights the retaining capacity within the Juncus assemblage. While it is not known over what scale the litter redistribution occurs in the Juncus assemblage, we expect it to be highly localised, given the dense structure of standing vegetation here and its capacity to impede movement of coarse litter particles.

Finally, by placing our Sarcocornia and Sporobolus plots within small patches vegetated exclusively by either the C3 species (S. quinqueflora) or the C4 species (S. virginicus), we are able to estimate the contribution of each resident plant to the short-term residue collected from within its assemblage. While the dominance of resident plant signatures suggested a strong autochthonous contribution in all instances (see mixing model results in Table 1), residue signatures across all tidal periods reveal a mixture of sources both present (i.e. the resident plant) or neighbouring (i.e. the other co-dominant plant in the association) to the plots. The fact that contributions of sources other than the resident plant were of the order of 20-40% (Table 1) during the neap tides suggests significant mixing across scales greater than the monospecific patches (i.e. several metres or more). While some of this movement of materials may have been due to the creep of the highest neap period tides into the lower elevation plots (though this appears small - see Sect. 4.2), non-tidal agents such as redistribution by rainfall (Chen et al., 2015) and faunal activity (Guest et al., 2004) may have also contributed. It should be noted that our isotopic mixing model does not account for any degradation-related kinetic fractionation from plants to litter and sediments. Data in Table 2 suggest little to no fractionation between fresh biomass and partially decomposed litter samples, consistent with other studies comparing δ^{13} C between fresh and decomposing leaves of estuarine plant species (e.g. Zieman et al., 1984; Fry and Ewel, 2003; Saintilan et al., 2013). We cannot rule out the potential for isotopic fractionation occurring in the decay from litter to residue samples, however, and we recommend this as an area of future research.

A two-source (C3 plant vs. C4 plant) mixing model probably presents an overly simplified estimate of source matter contributions. This is because it does not account for other potential sources that have δ^{13} C values within or near the range of salt marsh plant sources prescribed in the mixing model. These include mangroves $(-28.7 \pm 0.3 \%)$, seagrass (-12.3%), macroalgae (-17.7%) and benthic algae $(-15.0 \pm 0.4\%)$. Of these, benthic algae would have the greatest potential for contributing to Sarcocornia residue, as vegetation is sparsest here (and therefore light penetration to benthos the greatest), while the MIR PC plot (Fig. 4) also points to a similarity in chemical composition between the two. However, the fact that Sporobolus residues are consistently depleted in ${}^{13}C$, relative to both the resident plant (S. virginicus) and benthic algae, shows that our interpretation of mixing between both C3 and C4 sources is warranted at least in that assemblage. In contrast, the constancy of isotope signatures and their overall similarity with the mix of C3and C4-derived biomass in the Juncus plots provide further evidence of the autochthonous nature and trapping capacity of this assemblage.

Together, these findings allow several hypotheses about redistribution of surface materials to be made. First, short-term deposition measures may capture a significant proportion of within-marsh redistribution and therefore may not necessarily equate with longer-term accretion. Second, the capacity of vegetation to retain autochthonous materials appears to vary substantially among species assemblages. Third, redistribution is likely to be greatest in more exposed, lower-biomass assemblages. These findings also highlight the importance of considering redistributed materials in quantifications of wetland surface dynamics and likely shortcomings for studies that attempt to assess surface dynamics using only short-term methods.

4.3 Implications for wetland functioning

Understanding the biological and physical feedbacks that affect surface dynamics is critical to predict the survival of intertidal wetlands and their associated ecosystem services under changing environmental conditions (Kirwan and Megonigal, 2013). To this end, the data collected as part of this study reveal patterns of how C deposition and accumulation, organic matter decomposition and vulnerability to sea-level rise vary among salt marsh assemblages.

4.3.1 C deposition and accumulation rates

The distinction between organogenic and minerogenic deposits, and their respective locations within the tidal frame, has important implications for surface C deposition and accumulation rates. Here we estimate mean C deposition rates ranging from 0.10 to $0.62 \text{ mg C cm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ across the four tidal periods for the minerogenic Sarcocornia and Sporobo*lus* assemblages and from 1.14 to 2.37 mg C cm⁻² d⁻¹ for the organogenic Juncus assemblage (Table 1). It should be noted that such short-term C deposition rates inclusive of plant litter will likely represent a massive overestimation of C that is retained and sequestered over longer timescales, due to diagenesis of deposited OM (Duarte and Cebrian, 1996), and the potential for materials to be redistributed or even exported by tidal and non-tidal processes (see Sect. 4.2.3). Therefore, these deposition rates are not directly comparable to C accumulation rates determined by medium-term (e.g. feldspar MH) or longer-term (e.g. radiometric dating) techniques. Notwithstanding this, the magnitude of the differences we report among assemblages above fit broadly with differences in regional estimates of C accumulation over the medium term (10-year MH experiments), which have been estimated as 4.5 times higher in Juncus relative to Sarcocornia-Sporobolus salt marsh (Saintilan et al., 2013). Similarly, our results are also in agreement with findings further north in Moreton Bay, where Lovelock et al. (2013) reported much higher C sequestration rates on oligotrophic sand island marshes dominated by J. kraussii than S. quinqueflora-dominated marshes on the western side of that bay.

4.3.2 Chemical composition of deposits varies among assemblages

We have assessed the chemistry of above-ground biomass, litter and unidentified residues through elemental (C:N) and spectrometric (MIR spectroscopy, ¹³C NMR) methods. Most importantly, our results highlight assemblage differences in the transformation of OM along the biomass-litter-soil decay continuum. Shifts in the bulk composition of materials were best seen in the principal component plots of MIR spectra, in which biomass, litter and soil residue samples varied across PC1 (Fig. 4a). Broadly, the separation of residues from litter and biomass was primarily due to the addition of mineral components in the residues; however, there was also evidence of a shift in alkyl OM. Specifically, the presence of a single peak at $\sim 2900 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in the loadings plot (Fig. 4b) was indicative of a declining cellulose content across PC1, that is, in the general order live biomass – litter – residue. Importantly, cellulose also appears to be a factor in the separation of residues from the three different salt marsh assemblages along PC2 (Fig. 4c), suggesting higher content in the two Juncus samples, followed by Sporobolus and then Sarcocornia samples. This finding was confirmed by ¹³C NMR data, which showed that greater proportions of plant compounds (carbohydrates more broadly, as well as lignin) were retained within the *Juncus* litter and residue relative to the other species (Table 2). In contrast, the higher proportions of alkyl-C and amide/carboxyl-C within *Sarcocornia* and *Sporobolus* residues were indicative of higher protein and lipid contents, consistent with bacterial biomass and marine algae signatures (Dickens et al., 2006). However, they may also be partly explained by the selective retention of resistant plant waxes, such as suberin and cutan. We therefore reject our third hypothesis that decay patterns would show no differences among the vegetation assemblages.

There are multiple mechanisms that may explain the greater retention of plant-derived C along the biomass-litterresidue pathway for Juncus, relative to the other assemblages. The simplest explanation is that a high turnover of Juncus biomass (and its exclusion of other sources through shading and/or structural impedance) ensures ample supply of plant-derived C to the benthos. Our data, however, reveal an important biomass to litter transformation in Juncus that was not observed in either the Sarcocornia or Sporobolus assemblage. That is, the C:N of Juncus litter increased substantially relative to live biomass. Such an increase is commonly observed in terrestrial (McGroddy et al., 2004) and marine (Stapel and Hemminga, 1997) plants and may be explained by the selective resorption of nutrients (but not carbon) by the plant prior to, or during, senescence (McGroddy et al., 2004; Stapel and Hemminga, 1997). Such a mechanism was supported by the constancy of molecular C composition between Juncus biomass and litter (Table 2). The selective resorption of N by a plant has important implications for the fate and processing of the resulting litter and residue, as tissue C: N is considered a primary determinant on salt marsh OM decomposition (Minden and Kleyer, 2015). By retaining nutrients within the living tissues, the plant effectively decreases the lability of resulting litter and residual soils and makes them less attractive to the microbial decomposer community (Hessen et al., 2013; Sterner and Hessen, 1994). This will have the effect of lowering OM remineralisation rates in Juncus relative to other assemblages, a result that also coincides with the bacterial and/or marine algae signatures (Dickens et al., 2006) inferred for Sarcocornia and Sporobolus but not apparent within the more recalcitrant Juncus residues (Table 2).

Together, these data from SE Australia contribute to a broader pattern of plant assemblage differences in salt marsh surface dynamics and C sequestration potential (Minden and Kleyer, 2015; Saintilan et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2003). They also highlight short-term processes that may contribute to the high capacity of *Juncus* salt marshes to accumulate significant C stocks globally $(0.034 \text{ g C cm}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ or } 0.093 \text{ mg C cm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$, relative to most other salt marsh genera (mean C accumulation rate = $0.024 \text{ g C cm}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ or $0.066 \text{ mg C cm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$; Ouyang and Lee, 2014).

4.3.3 Vulnerability to sea-level rise

There is growing evidence of the capacity of coastal wetlands to maintain surface elevation with relative sea-level rise, in certain situations, by increasing surface elevation through below-ground production, enhanced trapping of sediments or a combination of the two (Baustian et al., 2012; Kelleway et al., 2016b; McKee et al., 2007). Where wetland assemblages are unable to maintain a suitable elevation relative to inundating water levels, vegetation shifts may occur, including the loss of marsh vegetation (Day et al., 1999, 2011; Rogers et al., 2006). While wetland surface elevation is a function of multiple factors, including below-ground production and decomposition, groundwater dynamics, and sedimentary and regional subsidence (Cahoon et al., 1999; Rogers and Saintilan, 2008), the retention of above-ground inputs plays a critical role in wetland survival under changing hydrological conditions (Day et al., 2011).

With this in mind, medium-term accretion data suggest that Sporobolus and Sarcocornia assemblages at our study site may be particularly vulnerable to current RSLR. That is, mean surface accretion rates were either lower (Sarco $cornia = 0.92 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$) or only marginally higher (Sporobo $lus = 1.30 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$) than contemporary rates of local sealevel rise within Botany Bay $(1.15 \text{ mm yr}^{-1})$. Across much of the Towra Point Nature Reserve (as well as elsewhere in the region) upslope encroachment of mangrove shrubs into Sarcocornia-Sporobolus association is occurring, possibly in response to sea-level rise (Kelleway et al., 2016b). In contrast, vegetation change (either in the form of mangrove encroachment or dieback) has not been widely reported for Juncus assemblages across SE Australia over recent decades, suggesting relative stability during a time of changing sea levels. While below-ground biomass production likely plays a role, average Juncus surface accretion rates $(1.70 \text{ mm yr}^{-1} \text{ in this study}, 1.76 \text{ mm yr}^{-1} \text{ regionally})$ in excess of local RSLR suggest a potential role of aboveground inputs towards maintaining surface elevation. Dependence upon organogenic inputs for accretion, however, also means that the response of Juncus assemblages to RSLR may vary with shifts in productivity or decomposition dynamics (e.g. changes in climate and/or nutrient status). Under present conditions, at least, our analyses have shown these organic inputs to be relatively resistant to early decomposition. In all, our findings are also supportive of recent research that suggests organic accretion may be of critical importance in marsh survival under RSLR, particularly in areas most removed from inorganic sediment delivery (D'Alpaos and Marani, 2015). Whether below-ground organic matter production makes substantial contributions to Australian salt marsh surface elevation dynamics and vulnerability to sealevel rise remains unknown and represents an important area for further research. Better understanding of the temporal dynamics of organic and mineral contributions to elevation

J. J. Kelleway et al.: Salt marsh surface deposition

maintenance is also required, including in relation to expected non-linear increases in sea level.

By combining medium-term accretion quantification with short-term deposition measurements and chemical analyses, we have gained insights into the various processes behind observed differences in accretion among salt marsh vegetation assemblages. While our study highlights assemblage-scale differences in potential response to RSLR, it represents only a small part of the information needed to accurately predict the future of SE Australian salt marsh assemblages. Further measures of short-term deposition and medium-term accretion across a broader range of sites and geographical settings, longer-term studies of surface elevation change among assemblages and modelling of vegetation response thresholds are all required.

Data availability. It is intended that data from this research will be made public as part of the CSIRO Coastal Carbon Cluster.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-3763-2017-supplement.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. Charlie Hinchcliffe, Mikael Kim, Sarah Meoli and Frederic Cadera assisted with field measurements. Janine Mc-Gowan and Bruce Hawke assisted with sample preparation and spectroscopic methods. Field collections were undertaken in accordance with NSW Office of Environment and Heritage scientific licence SL101217 and NSW Department of Primary Industries Scientific permit P13/0058-1.0. We also thank NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service for supporting access to Towra Point Nature Reserve. This research was supported by the CSIRO Coastal Carbon Cluster. Peter I. Macreadie was supported by an Australian Research Council DECRA fellowship (DE130101084).

Edited by: Steven Bouillon Reviewed by: two anonymous referees

References

- Adam, P. (Ed.): Saltmarsh Ecology, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- Adam, P.: Saltmarshes in a time of change, Environ. Conserv., 29, 39–61, 2002.
- Adame, M. F., Neil, D., Wright, S. F., and Lovelock, C. E.: Sedimentation within and among mangrove forests along a gradient of geomorphological settings, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 86, 21– 30, 2010.

- Baldock, J. A. and Smernik, R. J.: Chemical composition and bioavailability of thermally altered *Pinus resinosa* (Red pine) wood, Org. Geochem., 33, 1093–1109, 2002.
- Baldock, J. A., Hawke, B., Sanderman, J., and Macdonald, L. M.: Predicting contents of carbon and its component fractions in Australian soils from diffuse reflectance mid-infrared spectra, Soil Res., 51, 577–595, https://doi.org/10.1071/sr13077, 2013a.
- Baldock, J. A., Sanderman, J., Macdonald, L. M., Puccini, A., Hawke, B., Szarvas, S., and McGowan, J.: Quantifying the allocation of soil organic carbon to biologically significant fractions, Soil Res., 51, 561–576, 2013b.
- Baustian, J. J., Mendelssohn, I. A., and Hester, M. W.: Vegetation's importance in regulating surface elevation in a coastal salt marsh facing elevated rates of sea level rise, Glob. Change Biol., 18, 3377–3382, 2012.
- Boschker, H., De Brouwer, J., and Cappenberg, T.: The contribution of macrophyte-derived organic matter to microbial biomass in salt-marsh sediments: Stable carbon isotope analysis of microbial biomarkers, Limnol. Oceanogr., 44, 309–319, 1999.
- Bureau of Meteorology: Climate Data Online: Station 066037 Sydney Airport, available at: http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/, last access: 15 June 2016.
- Cahoon, D. and Turner, R. E.: Accretion and canal impacts in a rapidly subsiding wetland II. Feldspar marker horizon technique, Estuaries, 12, 260–268, 1989.
- Cahoon, D. R., Day Jr, J. W., and Reed, D. J.: The influence of surface and shallow subsurface soil processes on wetland elevation: A synthesis, Current Topics In Wetland Biogeochemistry, 3, 72– 88, 1999.
- Chen, S., Torres, R., and Goñi, M. A.: Intertidal zone particulate organic carbon redistribution by low-tide rainfall, Limnol. Oceanogr., 60, 1088–1101, 2015.
- Chmura, G. L. and Hung, G. A.: Controls on salt marsh accretion: A test in salt marshes of Eastern Canada, Estuaries, 27, 70–81, 2004.
- Chmura, G. L., Anisfeld, S. C., Cahoon, D. R., and Lynch, J. C.: Global carbon sequestration in tidal, saline wetland soils, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 17, 22:21–22:12, 2003.
- Clarke, P. J. and Jacoby, C. A.: Biomass and above-ground productivity of salt-marsh plants in south-eastern Australia, Mar. Freshwater Res., 45, 1521–1528, 1994.
- Cleveland, C. C., Reed, S. C., Keller, A. B., Nemergut, D. R., O'Neill, S. P., Ostertag, R., and Vitousek, P. M.: Litter quality versus soil microbial community controls over decomposition: a quantitative analysis, Oecologia, 174, 283–294, 2014.
- Culberson, S. D., Foin, T. C., and Collins, J. N.: The role of sedimentation in estuarine marsh development within the San Francisco Estuary, California, USA, J. Coast. Res., 20, 970–979, 2004.
- D'Alpaos, A. and Marani, M.: Reading the signatures of biologic–geomorphic feedbacks in saltmarsh landscapes, Adv. Water Resour., 93, 265–275, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.09.004, 2015.
- Day Jr, J., Rybczyk, J., Scarton, F., Rismondo, A., Are, D., and Cecconi, G.: Soil accretionary dynamics, sea-level rise and the survival of wetlands in Venice Lagoon: a field and modelling approach, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 49, 607–628, 1999.
- Day, Jr, J., Kemp, G. P., Reed, D. J., Cahoon, D. R., Boumans, R. M., Suhayda, J. M., and Gambrell, R.: Vegetation death and rapid

loss of surface elevation in two contrasting Mississippi delta salt marshes: The role of sedimentation, autocompaction and sealevel rise, Ecol. Eng., 37, 229–240, 2011.

- Dickens, A. F., Baldock, J. A., Smernik, R. J., Wakeham, S. G., Arnarson, T. S., Gélinas, Y., and Hedges, J. I.: Solid-state 13C NMR analysis of size and density fractions of marine sediments: Insight into organic carbon sources and preservation mechanisms, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 70, 666–686, 2006.
- Duarte, C. M. and Cebrian, J.: The fate of marine autotrophic production, Limnol. Oceanogr., 41, 1758–1766, 1996.
- Duarte, C. M., Losada, I. J., Hendriks, I. E., Mazarrasa, I., and Marbà, N.: The role of coastal plant communities for climate change mitigation and adaptation, Nature Climate Change, 3, 961–968, 2013.
- English, S. S., Wilkinson, C. C., and Baker, V. V.: Survey manual for tropical marine resources, Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS), 1994.
- Enríquez, S., Duarte, C. M., and Sand-Jensen, K.: Patterns in decomposition rates among photosynthetic organisms: the importance of detritus C: N:P content, Oecologia, 94, 457–471, 1993.
- Fry, B. and Ewel, K. C.: Using stable isotopes in mangrove fisheries research-a review and outlook, Isot. Environ. Health S., 39, 191– 196, 2003.
- Guest, M. A., Connolly, R. M., and Loneragan, N. R.: Carbon movement and assimilation by invertebrates in estuarine habitats at a scale of metres, Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser., 278, 27–34, 2004.
- Guest, M. A., Connolly, R. M., Lee, S. Y., Loneragan, N. R., and Breitfuss, M. J.: Mechanism for the small-scale movement of carbon among estuarine habitats: organic matter transfer not crab movement, Oecologia, 148, 88–96, 2006.
- Harter, S. K. and Mitsch, W. J.: Patterns of short-term sedimentation in a freshwater created marsh, J. Environ. Qual., 32, 325–334, 2003.
- Hemminga, M. and Buth, G.: Decomposition in salt marsh ecosystems of the SW Netherlands: the effects of biotic and abiotic factors, Plant Ecol., 92, 73–83, 1991.
- Hessen, D. O., Elser, J. J., Sterner, R. W., and Urabe, J.: Ecological stoichiometry: An elementary approach using basic principles, Limnol. Oceanogr., 58, 2219–2236, 2013.
- Hickey, D. and Bruce, E.: Examining Tidal Inundation and Salt Marsh Vegetation Distribution Patterns using Spatial Analysis (Botany Bay, Australia), J. Coast. Res., 26, 94–102, https://doi.org/10.2112/08-1089.1, 2010.
- Jaschinski, S., Hansen, T., and Sommer, U.: Effects of acidification in multiple stable isotope analyses, Limnol. Oceanogr.-Meth., 6, 12–15, 2008.
- Josselyn, M. N. and Mathieson, A. C.: Seasonal influx and decomposition of autochthonous macrophyte litter in a north temperature estuary, Hydrobiologia, 71, 197–207, 1980.
- Kelleway, J. J., Saintilan, N., Macreadie, P. I., and Ralph, P. J.: Sedimentary factors are key predictors of carbon storage in SE Australian saltmarshes, Ecosystems, 19, 865–880, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-016-9972-3, 2016a.
- Kelleway, J. J., Saintilan, N., Macreadie, P. I., Skilbeck, C. G., Zawadzki, A., and Ralph, P. J.: Seventy years of continuous encroachment substantially increases "blue carbon" capacity as mangroves replace intertidal salt marshes, Glob. Change Biol., 22, 1097–1109, 2016b.

- Kirwan, M. L. and Megonigal, J. P.: Tidal wetland stability in the face of human impacts and sea-level rise, Nature, 504, 53–60, 2013.
- Kirwan, M. L. and Mudd, S. M.: Response of salt-marsh carbon accumulation to climate change, Nature, 489, 550–553, 2012.
- Kirwan, M. L., Temmerman, S., Skeehan, E. E., Guntenspergen, G. R., and Fagherazzi, S.: Overestimation of marsh vulnerability to sea level rise, Nature Climate Change, 6, 253–260, 2016.
- Krauss, K. W., Allen, J. A., and Cahoon, D. R.: Differential rates of vertical accretion and elevation change among aerial root types in Micronesian mangrove forests, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 56, 251–259, 2003.
- Kristensen, E.: Decomposition of macroalgae, vascular plants and sediment detritus in seawater use of stepwise thermogravimetry, Biogeochemistry, 26, 1–24, 1994.
- Langley, J. A., McKee, K. L., Cahoon, D. R., Cherry, J. A., and Megonigal, J. P.: Elevated CO₂ stimulates marsh elevation gain, counterbalancing sea-level rise, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 106, 6182–6186, 2009.
- Lovelock, C. E., Adame, M. F., Bennion, V., Hayes, M., O'Mara, J., Reef, R., and Santini, N. S.: Contemporary Rates of Carbon Sequestration Through Vertical Accretion of Sediments in Mangrove Forests and Saltmarshes of South East Queensland, Australia, Estuar. Coast., 37, 763–771, 2013.
- McGroddy, M. E., Daufresne, T., and Hedin, L. O.: Scaling of C: N: P stoichiometry in forests worldwide: implications of terrestrial Redfield-type ratios, Ecology, 85, 2390–2401, 2004.
- McKee, K. L., Cahoon, D. R., and Feller, I. C.: Caribbean mangroves adjust to rising sea level through biotic controls on change in soil elevation, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 16, 545–556, 2007.
- Minden, V. and Kleyer, M.: Ecosystem multifunctionality of coastal marshes is determined by key plant traits, J. Veg. Sci., 2015.
- Morris, J. T.: Ecological engineering in intertidial saltmarshes, Hydrobiologia, 577, 161–168, 2007.
- Morris, J. T., Sundareshwar, P., Nietch, C. T., Kjerfve, B., and Cahoon, D.: Responses of coastal wetlands to rising sea level, Ecology, 83, 2869–2877, 2002.
- Mudd, S. M., Fagherazzi, S., Morris, J. T., and Furbish, D. J.: Flow, sedimentation, and biomass production on a vegetated salt marsh in South Carolina: Toward a predictive model of marsh morphologic and ecologic evolution, Coast. Estuar. Stud., 59, 165–188, 2004.
- Mudd, S. M., Howell, S. M., and Morris, J. T.: Impact of dynamic feedbacks between sedimentation, sea-level rise, and biomass production on near-surface marsh stratigraphy and carbon accumulation, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 82, 377–389, 2009.
- Mudd, S. M., D'Alpaos, A., and Morris, J. T.: How does vegetation affect sedimentation on tidal marshes? Investigating particle capture and hydrodynamic controls on biologically mediated sedimentation, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth, 115, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JF001566, 2010.
- Mueller, P., Jensen, K., and Megonigal, J. P.: Plants Mediate Soil Organic Matter Decomposition In Response To Sea Level Rise, Glob. Change Biol., 22, 404–414, 2016.
- Nolte, S., Koppenaal, E. C., Esselink, P., Dijkema, K. S., Schuerch, M., De Groot, A. V., Bakker, J. P., and Temmerman, S.: Measuring sedimentation in tidal marshes: a review on methods and their applicability in biogeomorphological studies, J. Coast. Conserv., 17, 301–325, 2013.

- Nyman, J. A., Walters, R. J., Delaune, R. D., and Patrick Jr, W. H.: Marsh vertical accretion via vegetative growth, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 69, 370–380, 2006.
- Oenema, O. and DeLaune, R. D.: Accretion rates in salt marshes in the Eastern Scheldt, south-west Netherlands, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 26, 379–394, 1988.
- Ouyang, X. and Lee, S. Y.: Updated estimates of carbon accumulation rates in coastal marsh sediments, Biogeosciences, 11, 5057– 5071, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-5057-2014, 2014.
- Phillips, D. L.: Converting isotope values to diet composition: the use of mixing models, J. Mammal., 93, 342–352, 2012.
- Reed, D. J.: Patterns of sediment deposition in subsiding coastal salt marshes, Terrebonne Bay, Louisiana: the role of winter storms, Estuaries, 12, 222–227, 1989.
- Rogers, K. and Saintilan, N.: Relationships between surface elevation and groundwater in mangrove forests of southeast Australia, J. Coast. Res., 24, 63–69, 2008.
- Rogers, K., Wilton, K. M., and Saintilan, N.: Vegetation change and surface elevation dynamics in estuarine wetlands of southeast Australia, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 66, 559–569, 2006.
- Rogers, K., Saintilan, N., and Copeland, C.: Managed Retreat of Saline Coastal Wetlands: Challenges and Opportunities Identified from the Hunter River Estuary, Australia, Estuar. Coast., 37, 67–78, 2014.
- Rogers, K., Saintilan, N., and Woodroffe, C. D.: Surface elevation change and vegetation distribution dynamics in a subtropical coastal wetland: Implications for coastal wetland response to climate change, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 149, 46–56, 2014.
- Rooth, J. E., Stevenson, J. C., and Cornwell, J. C.: Increased sediment accretion rates following invasion by Phragmites australis: the role of litter, Estuar. Coast., 26, 475–483, 2003.
- Rosencranz, J. A., Ganju, N. K., Ambrose, R. F., Brosnahan, S. M., Dickhudt, P. J., Guntenspergen, G. R., MacDonald, G. M., Takekawa, J. Y., and Thorne, K. M.: Balanced Sediment Fluxes in Southern California's Mediterranean-Climate Zone Salt Marshes, Estuar. Coast., 39, 1035–1049, 2016.
- Roy, P., Williams, R., Jones, A., Yassini, I., Gibbs, P., Coates, B., West, R., Scanes, P., Hudson, J., and Nichol, S.: Structure and function of south-east Australian estuaries, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 53, 351–384, 2001.
- Saintilan, N., Rogers, K., Mazumder, D., and Woodroffe, C.: Allochthonous and autochthonous contributions to carbon accumulation and carbon store in southeastern Australian coastal wetlands, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 128, 84–92, 2013.
- Skjemstad, J., Clarke, P., Taylor, J., Oades, J., and Newman, R.: The removal of magnetic materials from surface soils-a solid state 13C CP/MAS NMR study, Soil Res., 32, 1215–1229, 1994.
- Stapel, J. and Hemminga, M.: Nutrient resorption from seagrass leaves, Mar. Biol., 128, 197–206, 1997.
- Sterner, R. W. and Hessen, D. O.: Algal nutrient limitation and the nutrition of aquatic herbivores, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 25, 1–29, 1994.
- Thomas, S. and Ridd, P. V.: Review of methods to measure short time scale sediment accumulation, Mar. Geol., 207, 95–114, 2004.
- van Proosdij, D., Davidson-Arnott, R. G. D., and Ollerhead, J.: Controls on spatial patterns of sediment deposition across a macrotidal salt marsh surface over single tidal cycles, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 69, 64–86, 2006.

- Wang, X. C., Chen, R. F., and Berry, A.: Sources and preservation of organic matter in Plum Island salt marsh sediments (MA, USA): long-chain n-alkanes and stable carbon isotope compositions, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 58, 917–928, 2003.
- Zhou, J., Wu, Y., Zhang, J., Kang, Q., and Liu, Z.: Carbon and nitrogen composition and stable isotope as potential indicators of source and fate of organic matter in the salt marsh of the Changjiang Estuary, China, Chemosphere, 65, 310–317, 2006.
- Zhou, J., Wu, Y., Kang, Q., and Zhang, J.: Spatial variations of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorous and sulfur in the salt marsh sediments of the Yangtze Estuary in China, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 71, 47–59, 2007.
- Zieman, J., Macko, S., and Mills, A.: Role of seagrasses and mangroves in estuarine food webs: temporal and spatial changes in stable isotope composition and amino acid content during decomposition, B. Mar. Sci., 35, 380–392, 1984.