

Carbon degradation in agricultural soils flooded with seawater after managed coastal realignment

Kamilla S. Sjøgaard¹, Alexander H. Treusch¹, and Thomas B. Valdemarsen²

¹Nordcee, Department of Biology, University of Southern Denmark, Odense M, 5230, Denmark ²Department of Biology, University of Southern Denmark, Odense M, 5230, Denmark

Correspondence to: Kamilla S. Sjøgaard (kamillasjogaard@biology.sdu.dk)

Received: 30 September 2016 – Discussion started: 4 November 2016 Revised: 6 July 2017 – Accepted: 28 August 2017 – Published: 29 September 2017

Abstract. Permanent flooding of low-lying coastal areas is a growing threat due to climate change and related sea-level rise. An increasingly common solution to protect coastal areas lying below sea level is intentional flooding by "managed coastal realignment". However, the biogeochemical implications of flooding agricultural soils with seawater are still not well understood. We conducted a 1-year mesocosm experiment to investigate microbial carbon degradation processes in soils flooded with seawater. Agricultural soils were sampled on the northern coast of the island Fyn (Denmark) at Gyldensteen Strand, an area that was subsequently flooded in a coastal realignment project. We found rapid carbon degradation to TCO₂ 1 day after experimental flooding and onwards and microbial sulfate reduction established quickly as an important mineralization pathway. Nevertheless, no free sulfide was observed as it precipitated as Fe-S compounds with Fe acting as a natural buffer, preventing toxic effects of free sulfide in soils flooded with seawater. Organic carbon degradation decreased significantly after 6 months, indicating that most of the soil organic carbon was refractory towards microbial degradation under the anoxic conditions created in the soil after flooding. During the experiment only 6-7 % of the initial soil organic carbon pools were degraded. On this basis we suggest that most of the organic carbon present in coastal soils exposed to flooding through sea-level rise or managed coastal realignment will be permanently preserved.

1 Introduction

Sea-level rise driven by global climate change is expected to continue for centuries and will in the near future impact about 70 % of the global coastlines (Church et al., 2013). Rising sea level causes higher and more frequent storm surges and leads to more incidences of floodwaters overtopping and breaking coastal defenses (FitzGerald et al., 2008). Reclaimed coastal areas with low elevation are especially vulnerable to flooding. A low-cost strategy of coastal protection is "managed coastal realignment", whereby old coastal defenses are deliberately breached, and new ones are constructed further inland (Cooper, 2003; French, 2008; Roman and Burdick, 2012). The flooded areas created by managed coastal realignment act as buffer zones, protecting populated areas or valuable assets against flooding (Gedan et al., 2011). There are an increasing number of projects in which coastal soils are flooded with seawater by managed costal realignment and similar techniques (Herbert et al., 2015; Pethick, 2002; Wolters et al., 2005).

Many studies have been performed on freshwater wetlands experiencing salinization from seawater intrusion and less on dyked and drained agricultural soil systems exposed to flooding (Ardon et al., 2016, 2013; Portnoy, 1999; Portnoy and Giblin, 1997). Existing studies show that flooding with seawater has dramatic consequences for soil biogeochemistry. Depending on soil porosity and moisture content, soil environments can have deep oxygen penetration (75–100 cm) (Dziejowski et al., 1997; MacDonald et al., 1993; Neira et al., 2015), since oxygen (O₂) can rapidly be supplied from the overlying atmosphere via diffusion. Therefore, surface soils are predominantly oxic environments, in which soil organic matter is degraded by a wide variety of microorganisms, fungi and fauna (Boer et al., 2005; Kalbitz et al., 2000). Aerobic degradation is catalysed by hydrolytic enzymes and reactive oxygen radicals that can break bonds in refractory organic compounds, such as lignin and cellulose, and facilitate complete degradation of soil organic carbon (SOC) to CO₂ (Canfield, 1994). However, when soils are flooded, O₂ penetration is dramatically reduced, since O₂ solubility in water is low and O_2 diffusion in water is 10^4 times slower than in air (Neira et al., 2015). O2 will therefore be depleted by microbial and abiotic O₂ consuming processes in soils flooded with seawater, and become anoxic except for the upper few millimetres. In aquatic anoxic soils and sediments, mutualistic consortia of microorganisms degrade organic macromolecules into smaller moieties by the excretion of exoenzymes and extracellular hydrolysis, which are then fermented into smaller organic molecules, mainly acetate (Valdemarsen and Kristensen, 2010). The fermentation products are taken up by other microorganisms and oxidized to carbon dioxide (CO_2) by the reduction of alternative electron acceptors (e.g. nitrate, Mn oxides, Fe oxides and sulfate) (Arnosti, 2011; Glud, 2008). Sulfate is abundant in seawater, and microbial sulfate reduction (SR) is therefore expected to become a major mineralization pathway in soils flooded with seawater (Sutton-Grier et al., 2011; Weston et al., 2011).

While some studies have looked at SOC mineralization pathways in different types of soils introduced to saltwater (Ardon et al., 2016; Chambers et al., 2013; Neubauer et al., 2013; Weston et al., 2006, 2011), a lot is still unknown about how the dynamics between initial SOC degradation to DOC and the terminal mineralization are affected by the introduction of saltwater (Herbert et al., 2015). Many soils subject to managed coastal realignment contain considerable amounts of SOC (Franzluebbers, 2010; Wolters et al., 2005). The degradation of SOC after flooding will depend on the rate of establishment of heterotrophic microbial communities and their ability to degrade SOC (Schmidt et al., 2011). Labile organic carbon may be easily degraded by marine microorganisms, while more complex organic carbon, and especially structurally complex organic compounds, such as cellulose and lignin, may be virtually non-degradable in anoxic environments (Kim and Singh, 2000; Kristensen and Holmer, 2001). Flooding of coastal soils by sea level rise and coastal realignment may therefore cause significant preservation of the SOC contained in the soils at the time of flooding.

In this study the fate of SOC after flooding with seawater was investigated in soils collected at Gyldensteen Strand on the northern coast of Fyn, Denmark, an area that was designated to be flooded in a coastal realignment project. We were especially interested in following the temporal establishment of dominating microbial pathways and quantifying the rates and temporal trajectories of SOC degradation in newly flooded soils. We hypothesized that (1) total SOC degradation activity in soils after flooding depends on SOC content and lability, and that (2) a large proportion of SOC will be non-degradable due to the anoxic soil conditions forming after the flooding. To test these hypotheses we performed parallel mesocosm experiments with two different types of soils that were experimentally flooded with seawater. SOC degradation and other biogeochemical developments in the mesocosms were traced with high temporal and spatial resolution for the next 12 months. The results showed how flooding with seawater impacts C degradation and soil biogeochemistry and formed the basis for an initial evaluation of potential feedbacks of flooding on atmospheric CO_2 concentrations.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study site

This study was conducted in relation to the nature restoration project at Gyldensteen Strand funded by the Danish Aage V. Jensen Nature Foundation. The sampling site $(55^{\circ}34'26.4'' \text{ N} 10^{\circ}08'17.0'' \text{ E})$ was a shallow intertidal habitat until 1871 (size of ~ 600 ha), when it was dyked and continuously drained to create new land for agriculture. The reclaimed area was for the following 140 years mainly used for production of different crops such as onions and grains (Stenak, 2005). As a part of the nature restoration project, selected sections of the dykes were removed in March 2014 and 211 ha of the area were permanently flooded with seawater and turned into a shallow and mostly subtidal marine lagoon.

2.2 Experimental design and sampling

Sampling for the mesocosm experiment was performed in November 2013, half a year before the flooding of the site, at two different stations representing uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soils (Fig. 1). Station UC was located in an area with low elevation, which never could be properly drained. Station UC was therefore abandoned for agriculture and became a reed swamp that accumulated plant material and litter. Station C, however, resembled the majority of the reflooded area that was farmed since the land reclamation (fertilized, ploughed and used for monoculture; also illustrated in Fig. 1). From each station, 15 soil cores were sampled in 30 cm long, 8 cm internal diameter stainless steel core liners. The core liners were hammered 25 cm down into the soil, dug up with a spade and closed at both ends with rubber stoppers.

In the laboratory, the headspaces of individual soil cores were gently flooded with 22–26 salinity seawater collected from the shoreface directly north of station UC (Fig. 1). Soil cores were then transferred to 70 L incubation tanks filled with seawater. During the whole experiment the flooded cores were maintained at 15 °C and kept in darkness. The water in the tanks was rigorously aerated through air diffuser stones and 10–20 L of the seawater in the tanks was exchanged with fresh seawater (also collected from the shoreface) every 14 days. Thus soil cores were incubated under constant environmental conditions, while factors such as



Figure 1. Map of Gyldensteen Strand with the location of the two sampling stations for collecting uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soil cores. The dashed red line indicates the area flooded with seawater in March 2014.

diurnal temperature variations, tidal exchange, benthic primary production and bioturbation were omitted by the experimental set-up.

The flooded soil cores were incubated for 12 months. Flux experiments were conducted with three random soil cores from each station at various times (weekly in the first month, biweekly for the next 3 months and monthly thereafter). Core sectionings were performed on three randomly selected soil cores from each station at different times during the experiment (before the flooding, 1 week after and after 2, 4, 6 and 12 months).

2.2.1 Flux experiments

Fluxes of O₂, dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and TCO₂ (= $CO_3^{2-} + HCO_3^{-} + H_2CO_3$) between soil and overlying water were measured regularly, as described above. Cores were equipped with stirring magnets, closed with rubber stoppers and placed around a central magnet rotating at 60 rpm and thereafter incubated for about 4 h in darkness. O₂ was measured and water samples were taken in the headspace of the soil cores at the beginning and end of incubations. O₂ was measured with an optical dissolved oxygen meter (YSI ProODO). DOC samples were stored at -20 °C until analysis using a Shimadzu TOC-5000 total organic carbon analyser. Samples for TCO₂ analysis were kept in 3 mL gas-tight Exetainers for a maximum of 1 week until analysis by flow injection (Hall and Aller, 1992).

2.2.2 Core sectioning

Core sectioning was performed by slicing each soil core into 6 depth intervals (0-1, 1-2, 3-5, 5-10, 10-15 and 15-20 cm). Porewater was extracted from each depth interval by centrifugation and GF/C filtration in double centrifuge tubes (500 g, 10 min). The porewater was sampled for various pa-

rameters: 500 µL porewater was preserved with 30 µL saturated HgCl₂ for TCO₂, 250 µL porewater were preserved with 50 µL 1 M zinc acetate (ZnAc) for total dissolved sulfide (TH₂S = H₂S + HS⁻ + S₂⁻) analysis, 250 µL porewater were preserved with 100 µL 0.5 M HCl for Fe²⁺ analysis and the remaining porewater was stored at -20 °C until analysis for sulfate (SO₄²⁻) and DOC. TCO₂ and DOC samples were stored and analysed as described above. TH₂S samples were analysed using the method of Cline (1969). Fe²⁺ samples were analysed using the ferrozine method (Stookey, 1970). SO₄²⁻ was analysed using liquid ion chromatography on a Dionex ICS-2000 system.

Reactive iron, RFe, was extracted from soil subsamples from every depth interval with 0.5 M HCl for 30 min while being shaken (Lovley and Phillips, 1987). After centrifugation (500 g, 10 min) the supernatant was transferred to sampling vials and stored at room temperature until analysis for reactive Fe(II) and Fe(III) – RFe(II) and RFe(III), respectively. The supernatant was analysed for Fe²⁺ and RFe using the ferrozine method (Stookey, 1970) before and after reduction with hydroxylamine (Lovley and Phillips, 1987). RFe(II) was calculated directly, while RFe(III) was calculated from the difference between RFe and RFe(II). An estimate of total Fe content was obtained by boiling combusted soil subsamples in 1 M HCl for 1 h at 120 °C. The supernatant was stored at room temperature until analysis by the ferrozine method.

Acid volatile sulfides (AVS) (Rickard and Morse, 2005) and chromium reducible sulfides (CRS) were determined on soil subsamples preserved with 1 M ZnAc and stored at -20 °C until analysis. AVS and CRS were extracted by 2-step distillation as described in Fossing and Jørgensen (1998). Sulfide concentrations in the distillates were analysed using the method described by Cline (1969).

Soil characteristics were also determined for every depth interval during every core sectioning. Soil density was determined gravimetrically and soil subsamples were dried (24 h, 105 °C) to determine water content and porosity. Soil organic matter content was measured as the weight loss of dry sediment after combustion (520 °C, 5 h). SOC on selected soil samples (samples obtained after 1 week and 6 months) was also measured by elemental analysis with a Carlo Erba CHN EA-1108 elemental analyzer according to Kristensen and Andersen (1987).

2.2.3 Anoxic incubations (jar experiments)

Depth distributions of microbial TCO₂ and DOC production and SR were estimated from anoxic soil incubations (Kristensen and Hansen, 1995; Quintana et al., 2013). The excess soil from core sectionings was pooled into four depth intervals (0–2, 2–5, 5–10 and 15–20 cm), thoroughly homogenized and tightly packed into 6–8 glass scintillation vials (20 mL). The vials were closed with screw caps, buried headdown in anoxic mud and incubated at 15 °C in darkness. Two jars from each jar series were used every week for porewater extraction in the following 4 weeks. The screw caps were changed to a perforated lid containing a GF/C filter and the jars were centrifuged upside-down in a centrifuge tube (10 min at 500 g). The extracted porewater was sampled and analysed for TCO₂, DOC and SO_4^{2-} as described above.

2.3 Data analysis

Fluxes of TCO₂, DOC and O₂ were calculated from the concentration differences between start and end samples. Microbial rates in jar experiments (DOC and TCO₂ production and SR) were calculated for 0-2, 2-5, 5-10, 15-20 cm depth intervals by fitting the time-dependent concentration changes by linear regressions after removing obvious outliers (visual check). When the slopes were significant (p < 0.05), the volume specific reaction rates (nmol $cm^{-3} d^{-1}$) in individual depth layers were calculated from the regression slopes corrected for sediment porosity. Microbial reaction rates, porewater and solid pools were depth integrated over 0-20 cm and converted to area-specific units. Linear data interpolation was used to correct for missing data points, e.g. for the depth interval 10-15 cm at which microbial rates were not measured. There was a significant linear correlation between organic matter content and SOC for both sampling stations $[OC(\%) = 0.442 \cdot LOI(\%) + 0.178, r^2 = 0.987, n =$ 36]. This correlation was used to convert organic matter into SOC for the time points at which SOC was not directly measured. A one-way ANOVA was performed on area-specific SOC pools at the different time points to test for significant changes in the SOC pools over time. Depth-integrated SR rates were normalized to C units since an almost 2:1 relationship between TCO₂ production and SR (Jørgensen, 2006) was observed throughout the experiment. Errors for soil characteristics, fluxes, porewater and solid pools were calculated as standard errors of the mean (SEM). Errors for depth-integrated values of microbial rates and solid pools were calculated as standard errors propagation (SEP) of standard deviation (SD) values following \pm Eq. (1):

$$SEP = \sqrt{SD_{0-1\,cm}^2 + \ldots + SD_{15-20\,cm}^2}.$$
 (1)

In a carbon budget estimating SOC degradation during the experiment, total degradation of SOC ($mol m^2$) was calculated as the sum of the time integrated TCO₂ efflux, time-integrated DOC efflux and area-specific TCO₂ and DOC in porewater by the end of the experiment. The percentage of the initial SOC pool degraded during the experiment was calculated from the estimated total degradation of SOC and mean bulk SOC pool. In a time-specific carbon degradation budget, total degradation to TCO₂ was calculated as the sum of time-integrated TCO₂ efflux and accumulated porewater TCO₂ at different time points after flooding (1 week and 2, 4, 6 and 12 months). Based on the jar experiments, total anaerobic TCO₂ production and TCO₂ production by SR (according to a 2 : 1 relationship between TCO2 production and SR)

Table 1. Mean values of water content, porosity and soil organic carbon (SOC) for all core sectionings. Error indicated as SEM (n = 15).

	Depth (cm)	Water content (%)	Porosity	SOC (%)
Station UC	0.5	82.9 ± 0.7	0.82 ± 0.04	16.2 ± 0.8
	1.5	75.5 ± 1.6	0.97 ± 0.02	16.1 ± 1.2
	3.5	60.5 ± 1.8	0.79 ± 0.01	11.0 ± 0.8
	7.5	39.3 ± 0.9	0.60 ± 0.01	5.2 ± 0.2
	12.5	33.0 ± 0.7	0.54 ± 0.01	3.5 ± 0.2
	17.5	34.5 ± 0.8	0.56 ± 0.01	3.5 ± 0.2
Station C	0.5	32.0 ± 0.6	0.58 ± 0.02	1.4 ± 0.0
	1.5	24.8 ± 0.5	0.53 ± 0.01	1.1 ± 0.0
	3.5	21.6 ± 0.3	0.40 ± 0.01	1.0 ± 0.0
	7.5	18.9 ± 0.4	0.35 ± 0.01	0.8 ± 0.1
	12.5	17.9 ± 0.3	0.34 ± 0.00	0.9 ± 0.0
	17.5	19.8 ± 0.4	0.37 ± 0.01	1.0 ± 0.0

was calculated by time integration at different time points after flooding (1 week and 2, 4, 6 and 12 months). Relative contributions of SR to anaerobic degradation to TCO_2 were estimated from TCO_2 production and TCO_2 production by SR measured in jar experiments.

3 Results

3.1 Soil characteristics

Soil at the two sampled stations had a very different appearance, as a result of different use after land reclamation (i.e. no cultivation and cultivation). Station UC was overgrown with mosses and grasses, and a dense layer of roots and litter characterized the upper 5 cm of the soil, while the deeper parts of the soil (> 10 cm depth) consisted of clay. At station C only relatively small amounts of grass and root material were evident in the upper 5 cm. Some of the vegetation was still alive 2 months after the flooding, as indicated by long green grass leaves seeking light, but it slowly died out thereafter. The soil at both stations contained partially degraded shell material from gastropods and bivalves remaining from before 1871, when the area was a marine lagoon.

There was very little variation in the soil characteristics between successive core sectionings, so results were averaged for the whole experiment (Table 1). The water content at station UC decreased with depth from 83 % at the top to 35 % at the bottom, while water content only decreased from 32 to 20 % at station C. The same depth trend was observed for porosity. The high water content and porosity at station UC was caused by high amounts of plant material (e.g. roots), while the soil at station C was sandy, homogenous and poor in organic debris. Soil organic content varied greatly with depth at station UC, and the topsoil was enriched with SOC (16%) in contrast to the bottom (1%) (Table 1). SOC varied between 0.8 and 1.4% at station C but there was no variation in depth. A one-way ANOVA showed no significant difference between the SOC contents at the different time points at either station UC or C (df = 17, F = 1.9, p = 1.16 for both stations).

3.2 CO₂ and DOC efflux, and O₂ consumption

TCO₂ effluxes in UC soil were highest at the beginning of the experiment with a maximum of $239\pm30 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ measured on day 13 (Fig. 2a). Subsequently it decreased to about 130 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ 31–199 days after flooding and stabilized around 67 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ from day 220 to the end. The TCO₂ effluxes in C soil were relatively constant around an average of 29 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹.

High DOC efflux was evident 1 day after flooding at station UC ($108 \pm 3 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) (Fig. 2b), but it decreased to around 60 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ 6–20 days after flooding and to 17 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ after approximately 2 months before the end. DOC effluxes at station C showed a similar pattern, averaging 25 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ in the first 2 months after flooding and decreasing to an average of 5 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ for the remaining time of the experiment.

 O_2 consumption decreased almost linearly during the 1-year experiment on both stations (Fig. 2c). At station UC initial O_2 consumption was $57 \pm 3 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$, 1–45 days after flooding, and then it steadily decreased to $19 \pm 3 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ by the end. At station C there was a less pronounced temporally decreasing trend. O_2 consumption was highest initially with about 26 mmol m $^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ at day 1–13 and then decreased to $9 \pm 0.6 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ by the end.

3.2.1 Porewater chemistry

Porewater DOC was high 1 week after flooding at both stations (on average 10.4 and 3.8 mM at stations UC and C, respectively; Fig. 3a). Over the experiment porewater DOC decreased slightly in UC soil, while it increased slightly in C soil.

Porewater TCO₂ concentrations in UC soil were in the range of 5–13 mM between 1 week and 2 months after flooding, and profiles showed a slightly increasing pattern with depth (Fig. 3b). Afterwards an unexpected drop in TCO₂ concentrations, especially in the deep soil (> 2 cm depth), was observed. This was likely an experimental artefact; however, it was caused by extremely high Fe²⁺ concentrations > 2 mM in the porewater. During sample storage the Fe²⁺ was oxidized to Fe-oxyhydroxides and formed an orangebrown precipitate at the bottom of the sample containers, probably leading to sample acidification and TCO₂ degassing (Moses et al., 1987; Hedin, 2006). Porewater TCO₂ concentrations in UC soil after 4 months were affected by this artefact. In C soil, porewater Fe²⁺ did not accumulate at the



Figure 2. Fluxes of total carbon dioxide (TCO₂, **a**), dissolved organic carbon (DOC, **b**) and oxygen (O₂) consumption (**c**) in soil cores with uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soil after flooding. Error bars indicate SEM (n = 3).

same rate as in UC soil and only exceeded 2 mM in the 10– 20 cm depth layer after 6 months. Here porewater TCO₂ accumulated gradually over time as expected (Fig. 3b). Rapid TCO₂ accumulation occurred in the first 2 months, when TCO₂ increased from 3–5 to 11 mM below 3 cm depth. After 2 months, TCO₂ increased further in the 2–10 cm depth interval, while a decrease occurred below 10 cm depth, which was probably related to Fe²⁺ exceeding 2 mM.

High concentrations of SO_4^{2-} were introduced to the soil when it was flooded with seawater. Yet the initial water infiltration and diffusion was the only transport mechanism for dissolved SO_4^{2-} in the mesocosm set-up, and the experimen-



Figure 3. Porewater profiles for dissolved organic carbon (DOC, **a**), total carbon dioxide (TCO₂, **b**), sulfate (SO₄²⁻) (**c**) and Fe²⁺ (**d**) in uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soil flooded with seawater. Error bars indicate SEM (n = 3).

tal period was evidently not sufficiently long to achieve equilibrium in SO_4^{2-} in porewater concentrations down to 20 cm depth. As a result, porewater SO_4^{2-} decreased steeply with depth at both stations (Fig. 3c). By the end of the experiment in UC soil, SO_4^{2-} decreased from ~ 17 mM at the surface to zero below 10 cm depth. In C soil SO_4^{2-} decreased linearly from ~ 17 mM at the surface to 0–2 mM at the bottom.

After 7 days of flooding the Fe^{2+} depth distribution in porewater was constant with depth, with on average 0.02 and 0.2 mM at stations UC and C, respectively (Fig. 3d). Af-



Figure 4. Temporal and spatial variability in production of dissolved organic carbon (DOC, **a**) and carbon dioxide (TCO_2 , **b**) and sulfate reduction (SR, **c**) measured in jar experiments with uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soils flooded with seawater. Note the different *x* axis scaling for UC and C measurements. Error bars indicate SEM.

terwards a progressive increase in porewater Fe²⁺ was observed at both stations. At station UC Fe²⁺ increased to up to 1.3 ± 0.6 mM at 5–15 cm depth after 2 months and stabilized after 6 months, when Fe²⁺ exceeded 4 mM below 5 cm depth. The same trend was observed at station C, where Fe²⁺ accumulated to up to 3.7 mM at 15–20 cm depth after 12 months.

3.3 Anaerobic net DOC production in jar experiments

Net DOC production after 1 week of flooding was high at the surface 0–2 cm at station UC ($2666\pm695 \text{ nmol cm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$; Fig. 4a) and decreased exponentially with depth to $203\pm23 \text{ nmol cm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$ at 15-20 cm depth. A gradually decreasing net DOC production was observed in all depth layers over the experiment, and by the end significant net DOC pro-



Figure 5. Results from jar experiments showing area-specific net production of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and total carbon dioxide (TCO₂), and sulfate reduction (SR, based on SR rate measurements converted to C units) in uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soil at different times after flooding at 1 week (1W) and 2, 4, 6 and 12 months (2M, 4M, 6M and 12M), respectively. In columns marked with *, TCO₂ production was corrected with $2 \cdot$ SR. Error bars indicate SEP (n = 4).

duction $(121-172 \text{ nmol cm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1})$ was only detected in the upper 0–5 cm. A similar pattern in net DOC production was observed at station C, although rates were much lower than at station UC. After 1 week of flooding, net DOC production at station C was $1155 \pm 158 \text{ nmol cm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the upper 0–2 cm of the soil but only 66–83 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ below. After 4 months it had decreased to $135 \text{ nmol cm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the top 0–2 cm and no net DOC production was detected below 5 cm depth. Very low rates (21–25 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹) were detected in the top 0–5 cm by the end.

Depth-integrated net DOC production at station UC was initially 118–133 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ in the first 2 months after flooding and then gradually declined to 8 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ after 12 months (Fig. 5). Initial depth-integrated net DOC production at station C was 4-fold lower than at station UC. Net DOC production in C soil decreased by 75 % in the first 2 months after flooding and almost no net DOC production occurred after 6 months.

3.4 Anaerobic TCO₂ production in jar experiments

Initial depth trends in TCO₂ production were generally similar to those observed for DOC, but temporal trends were markedly different (Fig. 4b). At station UC, TCO₂ production was initially almost $1000 \text{ nmol cm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the top 0-2 cm and decreased to 380 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ at 15-20 cm depth. After 2 months, TCO₂ production had increased in the surface 0-2 cm to $6250 \text{ nmol cm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$, while rates below 10 cm depth remained relatively low. After 4 months, TCO₂ production decreased to about $2500 \text{ nmol cm}^{-3} \text{d}^{-1}$ in the top 0-2 cm, while it was not possible to determine TCO_2 production rates directly for soil deeper than 5 cm due to the problem with extremely high porewater Fe^{2+} described above. As seen below, porewater SO_4^{2-} concentrations were not affected by the high porewater Fe^{2+} concentrations. For the affected data points TCO₂ production was calculated as a rate of $SR \times 2$, assuming that SR was the dominating CO₂ producing process in the anoxic soil (Jørgensen, 2006). The calculations showed that TCO₂ production had decreased further after 6 and 12 months in the top $5 \text{ cm} (600-1000 \text{ nmol cm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1})$ and was quite stable below $(0-85 \text{ nmol cm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1})$. TCO₂ production rates were generally much lower in C soil, while relative trends for TCO₂ production and their development over time were quite similar between stations. Maximum TCO₂ production rates occurred at 0-2 cm depth, where TCO₂ production varied from 400 to 780 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ between 1 week and 2 months and then gradually decreased to $110 \,\mathrm{nmol}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}\,\mathrm{d}^{-1}$ by the end. Similar trends were observed in the deeper soil, where TCO₂ production decreased from 180 to $310 \text{ nmol cm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$ after 7 days to 7–53 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ after 12 months.

Area-specific TCO₂ production at station UC was initially 115–200 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ in the first 2 months, and decreased to 40 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ after 6 months (Fig. 5). At station C area specific TCO₂ production was relatively stable around 44 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ for the first 4 months and decreased to 21 and 10 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ after 6 and 12 months, respectively.

3.5 SR in jar experiments

Significant SR was measured in the top 0-5 cm (470 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) in UC soil 1 week after flooding, while no SR was detected below (Fig. 4c). After 2 months, high SR was only measured in the top 0-2 cm(3128 ± 190 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹). After 4 months SR was still highest in the topsoil (1217 ± 147 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹), while significant SR was detected down to 10 cm depth. From 4 months to the end of the experiment, SR gradually decreased at all depths to 338 ± 147 and 43 ± 6 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ at 0-2 and 5-10 cm depth, respectively. Since SO₄²⁻ did not reach the bottom (15-20 cm) during the experiment at station UC, no SR occurred here. In C soil SR occurred at considerably lower rates than in UC soil. After 1 week SR was $177 \pm 25 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ at 0-2 cm depth and decreased ex-



Figure 6. Upper panels (**a**, **b**) show concentration of reactive Fe(II) and Fe(III) in uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soils before flooding (BFF) and 12 months after flooding. Lower panels (**e**, **f**) show the relative contributions of reactive Fe(II) and Fe(III) in the upper 20 cm at various times after flooding at 1 week (1W) and 2, 4, 6 and 12 months (2M, 4M, 6M and 12M), respectively. Error bars indicate SEM (n = 3).

ponentially with depth to zero at 15–20 cm depth. By months 2 and 4, SR occurred at all depths $(20-159 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$. Afterwards SR decreased in the upper 15 cm while no SR was detected in the 15–20 cm depth interval.

Depth-integrated SR at station UC increased from 24 to $63 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} d^{-1}$ between week 1 and month 2, corresponding to 48 and 126 mmol m $^{-2} d^{-1}$ carbon mineralization, respectively (Fig. 5). SR had decreased to 27.7 mmol m $^{-2} d^{-1}$ after 12 months. SR increased during the first 4 months in C soil (6–12 mmol m $^{-2} d^{-1}$) and then decreased to 4 mmol m $^{-2} d^{-1}$ after 12 months.

3.6 Solid pools of Fe and S

Before flooding, RFe(II) in UC soil increased with depth from $4 \,\mu$ mol cm⁻³ at 0–1 cm depth to 13 μ mol cm⁻³ at 15–

20 cm depth, while a corresponding increase in RFe(III) occurred from 19 to 44 μ mol cm⁻³ (Fig. 6). The RFe pools at station C were relatively constant with depth, on average 2.5 and 23 μ mol cm⁻³ for RFe(II) and RFe(III), respectively. Twelve months after flooding, RFe(II) in UC soil had increased to 34–59 μ mol cm⁻³, while RFe(III) had accumulated to 134.5 ± 85 μ mol cm⁻³ in the top and decreased to an average of 4 μ mol cm⁻³ below. A similar trend was obtained in C soil with RFe(III) accumulating to 51.9±1.4 μ mol cm⁻³ on the surface. In UC and C soil, total RFe initially consisted of 78 and 92 % Fe(III), respectively, while it was reduced to 19 and 10 % by the end. Clearly, RFe(III) became reduced to RFe(II) during the experiment due to the anoxic conditions created by flooding.



Figure 7. Upper panels (**a**, **b**) show concentration of chromium reducible sulfides (CRS) and acid volatile sulfides (AVS) in uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soils before flooding (BFF) and 12 months after flooding. Lower panels (**e**, **f**) show the depth-integrated pools of AVS and CRS in the upper 20 cm at various times after flooding at 1 week (1W) and 2, 4, 6 and 12 months (2M, 4M, 6M and 12M), respectively. Error bars indicate SEM (n = 3).

The RFe content was quite heterogeneous at the study sites and there were large variations between soil cores. Based on all the depth profiles obtained over the experiment, average total Fe content in UC and C soil was 19.3 ± 2.8 and $26.7 \pm$ 1.8 mol m^{-2} , respectively.

Although the jar experiments suggested high SR in both soil types, dissolved sulfide (TH₂S) was never detected in the porewater. Instead, a large fraction of the sulfide produced during SR accumulated as AVS and CRS in both soil types (Fig. 7). One week after flooding, AVS and CRS in UC soil were low (0.2–2.7 μ mol cm⁻³), except at 2–5 cm depth, where AVS content was slightly elevated. Twelve months after flooding, AVS and CRS had increased to 25 ± 10 and 41 ± 11 μ mol cm⁻³ at 2–5 cm depth, respectively, while no accumulation occurred below 10 cm depth.

A similar pattern was observed in C soil, in which AVS and CRS were initially constant with depth averaging 0.1 and 3.5 μ mol cm⁻³, respectively, and accumulated to 6.4 ± 1 and 8.4±0.7 μ mol cm⁻³ after 12 months of flooding, respectively. Over the whole experiment total sulfide accumulated as AVS and CRS gradually increased, from 0.5 mol m⁻² before flooding to 4.7 mol m⁻² after 12 months in UC soil, and from 0.63 to 2 mol m⁻² in C soil.

3.7 Budgets for SOC degradation

Area-specific SOC pools were 710.9 ± 54 and $232.5 \pm 22 \text{ mol m}^{-2}$ (n = 18) in UC and C soil, respectively (Table 2). Total SOC degradation estimated as the sum of TCO₂ and DOC effluxes, and porewater accumulation over the 1-year

Table 2. Carbon budget table showing mean soil organic carbon $(SOC) \pm SEP (n = 18)$ in uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soil. Total time integrated efflux and accumulation of total carbon dioxide (TCO₂) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in porewater are also shown.

Carbon budget $(mol m^{-2})$	Station UC	Station C		
Initial SOC pool	710.9 ± 54	232.5 ± 22		
TCO ₂ efflux	39.9	11.2		
DOC efflux	8.9	2.4		
TCO ₂ porewater accumulation	0.1	0.8		
DOC porewater accumulation	0.7	0.5		
Total SOC degradation	49.6	14.8		
Percentage of SOC pool degraded	7%	6%		

experiment was 49.6 and 14.8 mol m⁻² at stations UC and C, respectively, corresponding to 7 and 6% of the SOC pools.

Total SOC mineralization to TCO₂ was estimated as the sum of TCO₂ efflux and porewater accumulation during the whole experiment (Table 3), which was 40.0 and 12.0 mol m^{-2} at stations UC and C respectively. The importance of anaerobic SOC degradation for total TCO2 mineralization could be calculated from jar experiments, and a total of 32.6 and 10.8 mol m^{-2} SOC was converted to TCO₂ anaerobically, corresponding to 82 and 90 % of flux-based total TCO₂ production at stations UC and C, respectively. The SR measured in jar experiments corresponded to 25.3 and $4.3 \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ CO}_2$ production at stations UC and C during the experiment. Thus 63 and 36% of the flux-based total TCO₂ production was driven by SR in UC and C soil, respectively, starting at 30-40 % after 1 week and gradually increasing up to 100 % by the end of the experiment. This means that the remaining 19 and 54 % of the flux-based total TCO₂ production was produced by other anaerobic processes than SR in UC and C soil, respectively (e.g. nitrate or Fe reduction).

4 Discussion

4.1 Temporal trends in SOC degradation

The UC and C soil had very different organic contents. UC soil had not been used for agriculture and organic matter consisting of dead and alive plant matter had accumulated in the topsoil (Table 1), while lower organic matter content was evident in C soil due to lower plant cover and regular mechanical soil reworking during agricultural cultivation (Benbi et al., 2015; Six et al., 1998). Consequently, the bulk SOC pool was three times higher in UC soil than in C soil. The source of soil organic matter at both stations was terrestrial and wetland plants, such as grasses, reed and herbs rich in cellulose and lignified tissues (Arndt et al., 2013; Sullivan, 1955). Such organic matter is refractory towards degradation in anaerobic marine sediments (Kristensen, 1990, 1994)

compared to structurally simple phytoplankton, microphytobenthos and macroalgae, which are common organic carbon sources in coastal marine sediments (Dubois et al., 2012; Fry et al., 1977). It was therefore uncertain as to what extent the SOC at Gyldensteen Strand could serve as a substrate for developing microbial communities after the flooding with seawater. Nevertheless, we observed high heterotrophic activity (e.g. O_2 uptake and TCO₂ production) right after the flooding, indicating that at least part of the SOC in both soil types was readily available for microbial degradation.

Cleavage of particulate organic carbon to DOC by extracellular enzymes is the primary degradation step in waterlogged anoxic soils and sediments (Arnosti, 2011; Weiss et al., 1991). The produced DOC is thereafter converted into short chain fatty acids and acetate by microbially mediated fermentation and hydrolysis, which then are terminally oxidized to CO₂, e.g. by SR (Canfield et al., 2005; Valdemarsen and Kristensen, 2010). DOC production can therefore generally be considered the rate-limiting step for organic carbon degradation. However, a small proportion of produced DOC is recalcitrant and may accumulate in soil porewater over time in an experimental set-up without advective porewater transport. In this experiment we observed high DOC concentrations in porewater and the highest DOC production in jar experiments as soon as 7 days after flooding with seawater (Figs. 3a, 5). Part of this DOC may have leached to the porewater, e.g. as a result of cell lysis due to flooding (Kalbitz et al., 2000), while the rest was produced by microbial degradation of particulate SOC (Kim and Singh, 2000). Microbial degradation of soil organic matter to DOC was initiated immediately after flooding irrespective of the shift to anoxic conditions. Differences in DOC production rates indicated that the availability of degradable SOC was clearly higher in UC soil compared to C soil following the overall difference in total SOC content. However, total DOC production ceased rapidly in both soil types and was close to zero after 1 year. Valdemarsen et al. (2014) similarly observed gradually decreasing DOC production over 2 years in eight different sediment types from Odense Fjord, indicating gradual depletion of degradable organic matter despite high sediment organic content and abundance of energetically favourable electron acceptors. It therefore appears that only a minor portion of SOC (6-7 %; Table 2) is available for microbial degradation under the present conditions (flooded with seawater and anoxic conditions). The low degradability of SOC after flooding probably reflects limitations of the anaerobic microbial communities on degradation of complex organic matter of terrestrial origin (Fors et al., 2008; Yucel et al., 2013).

Heterotrophic DOC oxidizing microbes were also active immediately after flooding as shown by initial TCO₂ effluxes and high TCO₂ production in the jar experiments 7 days after flooding (Figs. 2a, 5). Rapid microbial CO₂ production has previously been observed in experiments with experimentally flooded soils (Chambers et al., 2011; Neubauer et

Table 3. Budget table showing cumulated time-integrated total degradation to carbon dioxide (TCO_2) in flooded uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soil, based on TCO_2 fluxes and total anaerobic TCO_2 production based on jar experiments. Estimated partitioning between aerobic respiration, sulfate reduction and other anaerobic respiration processes is also shown. Different times after flooding are indicated by 1W (1 week) and 2M, 4M, 6M and 12M (2, 4, 6 and 12 months, respectively).

	Station UC				Station C					
	1W	2M	4M	6M	12M	1W	2M	4M	6M	12M
Degradation to $TCO_2 \pmod{m^{-2}}$ Anaerobic degradation to $TCO_2 \pmod{m^{-2}}$		10.4 8.7	18.8 19.9	27.4 24.2	40.0 32.6	0.5 0.3	2.7 2.5	5.0 6.0	6.6 8.0	12.0 10.8
Aerobic respiration (% of total) Sulfate reduction (% of total) Other anaerobic respiration processes (% of total)		16 45	0 65	12 62	18 62	40 20	7 30	0 37	0 39	10 36
		39	35	26	20	40	63	63	61	54

al., 2013; Weston et al., 2011). In both soil types, TCO₂ production in the surface soil increased over the first 2 months, peaked, and then decreased gradually towards the end. These temporal dynamics were out of phase with DOC availability, indicating that microbes oxidizing DOC to CO₂ adapt slower to flooded conditions than fermenting and hydrolysing microbes. Similar cases of initial DOC production due to leaching and/or substrate hydrolysis outpacing fermentation and SR has been observed before (Arnosti et al., 1994), maybe due to lag response in the microbial community (Bruchert and Arnosti, 2003). Nevertheless, the majority (~ 80 %; Table 2) of produced DOC over the whole experiment was oxidized completely to TCO₂, while the rest effluxed to the overlying water (~ 19 %) or accumulated in porewater (~ 1 %).

4.2 SOC degradation pathways

 SO_4^{2-} was an important electron acceptor in both soils and SR accounted for 63 and 36% of the total TCO₂ production during the experiment in UC and C soil, respectively (Table 3). One week after flooding, active SR corresponding to 30-40% of anaerobic TCO₂ production was detected in the jar experiment. The relative importance of SR increased gradually over the experiment and by the end accounted for up to 100 % of the anaerobic TCO₂ production in both soil types. This is in accordance with Weston et al. (2006), who measured SR in freshwater marsh soil exposed to saltwater in anoxic flow through reactors, and found that the relative importance of SR for total TCO2 production increased from 18 % initially to > 95 % after 4 weeks. The delay in SR probably reflects a lag phase for the community of SO_4^{2-} reducing microbes to respond to elevated SO_4^{2-} levels. The delay in SR could also reflect initial competition with other TCO2 producing pathways (e.g. NO_3^- and Fe reduction) in the time right after flooding when NO₃⁻ and oxidized Fe might have been abundant. However, as the soil became reduced due to increased SOC degradation activity and limited O2 supply, electron acceptors other than SO_4^{2-} were rapidly depleted and SR became the dominant respiration pathway.

By combining results from flux and jar experiments, it was possible to confine the relative importance of different microbial respiration pathways in flooded soils. The difference between TCO₂ effluxes (aerobic and anaerobic processes) and TCO₂ production in jar experiments (anaerobic processes) suggested that aerobic respiration only played a minor role in the flooded soils (18 and 10% in UC and C soil, respectively). On the other hand, SR was quantitatively a very important pathway, constituting 63 and 36% of total C-mineralization to TCO₂ in UC and C soil, respectively. Hence 19 (UC) to 54 % (C) of TCO₂ production occurred by respiration processes that are not directly accounted for. Weston et al. (2006) found that Fe reduction was responsible for about 60 % of CO₂ production in the first 4 days after saltwater intrusion in coastal soils. When considering the high initial concentrations and the rapid decrease in soil RFe(III) in our experiment (Fig. 6), respiratory Fe-reduction was probably an important respiration process initially. However, based on this experiment it was not possible to distinguish between biological and chemical Fe-reduction.

4.3 Fate of SOC

In this study we observed that only 6-7% of the total SOC pools in coastal soils were degraded by microbial processes in the first year after flooding with seawater. The low final SOC degradation rates, and especially the very low final DOC production in both soil types, suggest that the majority of SOC present in soils at the time of flooding will be permanently buried due to the limited ability of anaerobic microbial communities to degrade complex organic matter of terrestrial origin (Burdige, 2007; Canfield, 1994; Hedges and Keil, 1995). For comparison Neubauer et al. (2013) similarly found long-term reduction of degradation rates and lability of SOC pools in a tidal freshwater marsh experiencing saltwater intrusion, which also supports preservation of SOC. Hence flooding of coastal soils due to sea-level rise or intentional flooding by managed realignment may lead to significant C-preservation. At Gyldensteen Strand SOC burial will be in the order of $48 \pm 6 \times 10^3$ kg SOC ha⁻¹ (average \pm SEM,

n = 30) when considering a detailed investigation of the soil characteristics down to 20 cm depth (T. Valdemarsen, unpublished results). However, this C-preservation does not constitute a permanent C-sink as it only relates to the SOC buried in the soils at the time of flooding.

4.4 Efficient Fe-driven sulfide buffering in flooded soils

Accumulation of free H₂S is often seen in metabolically active organic enriched marine sediments, where it has toxic effects on benthic fauna (Hargrave et al., 2008; Valdemarsen et al., 2010). It was therefore a concern whether free H₂S would accumulate in the soils from Gyldensteen after flooding, since this could hamper the succession of benthic fauna as well as overall ecological developments. However, despite the extremely high initial SR rates in the flooded soils, comparable to SR measured beneath fish farms (Bannister et al., 2014; Holmer et al., 2003), no accumulation of free H₂S occurred in any of the soil types. Dent (1986), Portnoy and Giblin (1997), and Weston et al. (2011) observed a similar lack of H₂S accumulation in soils introduced to saltwater, suggesting that newly flooded soils have a high capacity to buffer H₂S. Budget considerations suggest that most of the produced H₂S was immediately re-oxidized, e.g. with O₂ in the surface soils, while a significant proportion (37 and 93 % in UC and C soil, respectively) precipitated as different Fe-S compounds, for instance FeS and Fe₃S₄ in AVS and FeS₂ and S⁰ in CRS (Reddy and DeLaune, 2008; Rickard and Morse, 2005; Valdemarsen et al., 2010). The depth profiles of solid Fe and S showed that sulfide precipitation occurred at the same depths at which active SR was measured, i.e. in the upper 10 cm in UC soil and down to 20 cm depth in C soil. The decreasing microbial activity and increasing Fe(II) over time will create a long-term sulfide buffering capacity in the soil (Schoepfer et al., 2014).

5 Conclusions

In this study a rapid stimulation of heterotrophic microbial degradation of SOC was observed in two different soils (uncultivated or cultivated) following flooding with seawater. Degradation rates peaked in the first 2 months after flooding, and thereafter gradually declined to low levels after 1 year. Microbial SR was rapidly established in both soil types and was the dominating respiration pathway. Nevertheless, despite extremely high SR rates, H₂S did not accumulate in the soils as it was re-oxidized with O2 at the soil-water interface or precipitated with Fe to form AVS and CRS. All three hypotheses stated initially were confirmed. Total SOC degradation activity in the tested soils clearly did depend on SOC content (hypothesis 1) and was 3-fold higher in organic rich uncultivated soil compared to the organic poor cultivated soil. However, only a small proportion of SOC (6-7%) was degraded in the first year after flooding, and when considering the low final SOC degradation rates, it appears that a large proportion of SOC is non-degradable under anoxic marine conditions and will essentially be preserved after flooding (hypothesis 2). Hence this study suggests that in soils flooded with seawater the majority of SOC will be permanently preserved.

Data availability. Values from Figs. 2 to 7 can be downloaded at https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.880926.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. We thank technician Birthe Christiansen for help with chemical analyses. Further we thank Erik Kristensen and Marianne Holmer for valuable discussions and for initiating research at Gyldensteen Strand. This work was supported by a grant from the Danish National Research Foundation (grant number: DNRF53) and the Aage V. Jensen Nature Foundation.

Edited by: Caroline P. Slomp Reviewed by: Edouard Metzger and two anonymous referees.

References

- Ardon, M., Morse, J. L., Colman, B. P., and Bernhardt, E. S.: Drought-induced saltwater incursion leads to increased wetland nitrogen export, Glob. Change Biol., 19, 2976–2985, 2013.
- Ardon, M., Helton, A. M., and Bernhardt, E. S.: Drought and saltwater incursion synergistically reduce dissolved organic carbon export from coastal freshwater wetlands, Biogeochemistry, 127, 411–426, 2016.
- Arndt, S., Jorgensen, B. B., LaRowe, D. E., Middelburg, J. J., Pancost, R. D., and Regnier, P.: Quantifying the degradation of organic matter in marine sediments: A review and synthesis, Earth-Sci. Rev., 123, 53–86, 2013.
- Arnosti, C.: Microbial extracellular enzymes and the marine carbon cycle, Annual Review of Marine Science, 3, 401–425, 2011.
- Arnosti, C., Repeta, D. J., and Blough, N. V.: Rapid bacterial degradation of polysaccharides in anoxic marine systems, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 58, 2639–2652, 1994.
- Bannister, R. J., Valdemarsen, T., Hansen, P. K., Holmer, M., and Ervik, A.: Changes in benthic sediment conditions under an Atlantic salmon farm at a deep, well-flushed coastal site, Aquacult. Environ. Interact., 5, 29–47, 2014.
- Benbi, D. K., Brar, K., Toor, A. S., and Singh, P.: Total and labile pools of soil organic carbon in cultivated and undisturbed soils in northern India, Geoderma, 237, 149-158, 2015.
- Boer, W., Folman, L. B., Summerbell, R. C., and Boddy, L.: Living in a fungal world: impact of fungi on soil bacterial niche development, FEMS Microbiol. Rev., 29, 795–811, 2005.
- Bruchert, V. and Arnosti, C.: Anaerobic carbon transformation: experimental studies with flow-through cells, Mar. Chem., 80, 171– 183, 2003.

- Burdige, D. J.: Preservation of organic matter in marine sediments: controls, mechanisms, and an imbalance in sediment organic carbon budgets?, Chem. Rev., 107, 467–485, 2007.
- Canfield, D. E.: Factors influencing organic carbon preservation in marine sediments, Chem Geol, 114, 315-329, 1994.
- Canfield, D. E., Kristensen, E., and Thamdrup, B.: Aquatic geomicrobiology, Adv. Mar. Biol., 48, 1–599, 2005.
- Chambers, L. G., Reddy, K. R., and Osborne, T. Z.: Short-Term Response of Carbon Cycling to Salinity Pulses in a Freshwater Wetland, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 75, 2000–2007, 2011.
- Chambers, L. G., Osborne, T. Z., and Reddy, K. R.: Effect of salinity-altering pulsing events on soil organic carbon loss along an intertidal wetland gradient: a laboratory experiment, Biogeochemistry, 115, 363–383, 2013.
- Church, J. A., Clark, P. U., Cazenave, A., Gregory, J. M., Jevrejeva, S., Levermann, A., Merrifield, M. A., Milne, G. A., Nerem, R. S., Nunn, P. D., Payne, A. J., Pfeffer, W. T., Stammer, D., and Unnikrishnan, A. S.: Sea Level Change, in: Climate Change: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgle, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- Cline, J. D.: Spectrophotometric Determination of Hydrogen Sulfide in Natural Waters, Limnol. Oceanogr., 14, 454–458, 1969.
- Cooper, N. J.: The use of 'managed retreat' in coastal engineering, P. I. Civil Eng., 156, 101–110, 2003.
- Dent, D.: Acid sulphate soils: a baseline for research and development, International Institute for Land Reclamation and Improvement, Netherlands, 1986.
- Dubois, S., Savoye, N., Gremare, A., Plus, M., Charlier, K., Beltoise, A., and Blanchet, H.: Origin and composition of sediment organic matter in a coastal semi-enclosed ecosystem: An elemental and isotopic study at the ecosystem space scale, J. Marine Syst., 94, 64–73, 2012.
- Dziejowski, J. E., Rimmer, A., and Steenhuis, T. S.: Preferential movement of oxygen in soils?, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 61, 1607–1610, 1997.
- FitzGerald, D. M., Fenster, M. S., Argow, B. A., and Buynevich, I. V.: Coastal impacts due to sea-level rise, Annu. Rev. Earth Pl. Sc., 36, 601–647, 2008.
- Fors, Y., Nilsson, T., Risberg, E. D., Sandström, M., and Torssander, P.: Sulfur accumulation in pinewood (*Pinus sylvestris*) induced by bacteria in a simulated seabed environment: Implications for marine archaeological wood and fossil fuels, Int. Biodeter. Biodegr., 62, 336–347, 2008.
- Fossing, H. and Jørgensen, B.: Measurement of Bacterial Sulfate Reduction in Sediments: Evaluation of a Single-Step Chromium Reduction Method, Biogeochemistry, 8, 205–222, 1998.
- Franzluebbers, A. J.: Soil organic carbon in managed pastures of the southeastern United States of America, in: Grassland carbon sequestration: management, policy and economics, edited by: Abberton, M., Conant, R., and Batello, C., Integrated Crop Management, Rome, 2010.
- French, J. R.: Hydrodynamic modelling of estuarine flood defence realignment as an adaptive management response to sea-level rise, J. Coastal Res., 24, 1–12, 2008.

- Fry, B., Scalan, R. A., and Parker, P. L.: Stable carbon isotope evidence for two sources of organic matter in coastal sediments: seagrasses and plankton, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 41, 1875– 1877, 1977.
- Gedan, K. B., Kirwan, M. L., Wolanski, E., Barbier, E. B., and Silliman, B. R.: Tiohe present and future role of coastal wetland vegetation in protecting shorelines: answering recent challenges to the paradigm, Climatic Change, 106, 7–29, 2011.
- Glud, R. N.: Oxygen dynamics of marine sediments, Mar. Biol. Res., 4, 243–289, 2008.
- Hall, P. O. J. and Aller, R. C.: Rapid, Small-Volume, Flow Injection Analysis for ΣCO₂ and NH⁴₄ in Marine and Freshwaters, Limnol. Oceanogr., 37, 1113–1119, 1992.
- Hargrave, B. T., Holmer, M., and Newcombe, C. P.: Towards a classification of organic enrichment in marine sediments based on biogeochemical indicators, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 56, 810–824, 2008.
- Hedges, J. I. and Keil, R. G.: Sedimentary organic matter preservation: an assessment and speculative synthesis, Mar. Chem., 49, 81–115, 1995.
- Hedin, R. S.: The Use of Measured and Calculated Acidity Values to Improve the Quality of Mine Drainage Datasets, Mine Water and the Environment, 25, 146–152, 2006.
- Herbert, E. R., Boon, P., Burgin, A. J., Neubauer, S. C., Franklin, R. B., Ardón, M., Hopfensperger, K. N., Lamers, L. P. M., and Gell, P.: A global perspective on wetland salinization: ecological consequences of a growing threat to freshwater wetlands, Ecosphere, 6, 206, https://doi.org/10.1890/ES14-00534.1, 2015.
- Holmer, M., Duarte, C. M., Heilskov, A., Olesen, B., and Terrados, J.: Biogeochemical conditions in sediments enriched by organic matter from net-pen fish farms in the Bolinao area, Philippines, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 46, 1470–1479, 2003.
- Jørgensen, B. B.: Bacteria and Marine Biogeochemistry, in: Marine Geochemistry, edited by: Schulz, H. D. and Zabel, M., Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2006.
- Kalbitz, K., Solinger, S., Park, J. H., Michalzik, B., and Matzner, E.: Controls on the dynamics of dissolved organic matter in soils: A review, Soil Sci., 165, 277–304, 2000.
- Kim, Y. S. and Singh, A. P.: Micromorphological characteristics of wood biodegradation in wet environments: A review, IAWA J., 21, 135–155, 2000.
- Kristensen, E.: Characterization of Biogenic Organic Matter by Stepwise Thermogravimetry (STG), Biogeochemistry, 9, 135– 159, 1990.
- Kristensen, E.: Decomposition of Macroalgae, Vascular Plants and Sediment Detritus in Seawater: Use of Stepwise Thermogravimetry, Biogeochemistry, 26, 1–24, 1994.
- Kristensen, E. and Andersen, F. O.: Determination of organic carbon in marine sediments: a comparison of two CHN-analyzer methods, Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 109, 15–23, 1987.
- Kristensen, E. and Hansen, K.: Decay of plant detritus in organicpoor marine sediment: Production rates and stoichiometry of dissolved C and N compounds, J. Mar. Res., 53, 675–702, 1995.
- Kristensen, E. and Holmer, M.: Decomposition of plant materials in marine sediment exposed to different electron acceptors (O_2 , NO_3^- , and SO_4^{2-}), with emphasis on substrate origin, degradation kinetics, and the role of bioturbation, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 65, 419–433, 2001.

K. S. Sjøgaard et al.: Organic carbon degradation in flooded coastal soils

- Lovley, D. R. and Phillips, E. J.: Rapid assay for microbially reducible ferric iron in aquatic sediments, Appl. Environ. Microb., 53, 1536–1540, 1987.
- MacDonald, J. D., Costello, L. R., and Berger, T.: An evaluation of soil aeration status around healthy and declining oaks in an urban environment in California, Journal of Aboriculture, 19, 209–219, 1993.
- Moses, C. O., Nordstrom, D. K., Herman, J. S., and Mills, A. L.: Aqueous Pyrite Oxidation by Dissolved-Oxygen and by Ferric Iron, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 51, 1561–1571, 1987.
- Neira, J., Ortiz, M., Morales, L., and Acevedo, E.: Oxygen diffusion in soils: Understanding the factors and processes needed for modeling, Chil. J. Agr. Res., 75, 35–44, 2015.
- Neubauer, S. C., Franklin, R. B., and Berrier, D. J.: Saltwater intrusion into tidal freshwater marshes alters the biogeochemical processing of organic carbon, Biogeosciences, 10, 8171–8183, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-8171-2013, 2013.
- Pethick, J.: Estuarine and tidal wetland restoration in the United Kingdom: Policy versus practice, Restor. Ecol., 10, 431–437, 2002.
- Portnoy, J. W.: Salt Marsh Diking and Restoration: Biogeochemical Implications of Altered Wetland Hydrology, Environ. Manage., 24, 111–120, 1999.
- Portnoy, J. W. and Giblin, A. E.: Biogeochemical effects of seawater restoration to diked salt marshes, Ecol. Appl., 7, 1054–1063, 1997.
- Quintana, C. O., Kristensen, E., and Valdemarsen, T.: Impact of the invasive polychaete Marenzelleria viridis on the biogeochemistry of sandy marine sediments, Biogeochemistry, 115, 95–109, 2013.
- Reddy, K. R. and DeLaune, R. D.: Biogeochemistry of Wetlands: Science and Applications, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2008.
- Rickard, D. and Morse, J. W.: Acid volatile sulfide (AVS), Mar. Chem., 97, 141–197, 2005.
- Roman, C. T. and Burdick, D. M.: Tidal Marsh Restoration: A Synthesis of Science and Management, Island Press, Washington, DC, 2012.
- Schmidt, M. W. I., Torn, M. S., Abiven, S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I. A., Kleber, M., Kogel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Manning, D. A. C., Nannipieri, P., Rasse, D. P., Weiner, S., and Trumbore, S. E.: Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property, Nature, 478, 49–56, 2011.
- Schoepfer, V. A., Bernhardt, E. S., and Burgin, A. J.: Iron clad wetlands: Soil iron-sulfur buffering determines coastal wetland response to salt water incursion, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 119, 2209–2219, 2014.
- Six, J., Elliott, E. T., Paustian, K., and Doran, J. W.: Aggregation and soil organic matter accumulation in cultivated and native grassland soils, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 62, 1367–1377, 1998.

- Sjøgaard, K., Treusch, A., and Valdemarsen, T.: Carbon degradation rates, sulfate reduction rates and iron composition in soils flooded with seawater at Gyldensteen Strand, Denmark, PAN-GAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.880926, 2017.
- Stenak, M.: Inddæmningerne på Nordfyn, in: De inddæmmede landskaber – en historisk geografi, Landbohistorisk Selskab, Sønderborg, 2005.
- Stookey, L. L.: Ferrozine A New Spectrophotometric Reagent for Iron, Anal. Chem., 42, 779–781, 1970.
- Sullivan, J. T.: Cellulose and Lignin in Forage Grasses and Their Digestion Coefficients, J. Anim. Sci., 14, 710–717, 1955.
- Sutton-Grier, A. E., Keller, J. K., Koch, R., Gilmour, C., and Megonigal, J. P.: Electron donors and acceptors influence anaerobic soil organic matter mineralization in tidal marshes, Soil Biol. Biochem., 43, 1576–1583, 2011.
- Valdemarsen, T. and Kristensen, E.: Degradation of dissolved organic monomers and short-chain fatty acids in sandy marine sediment by fermentation and sulfate reduction, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 74, 1593–1605, 2010.
- Valdemarsen, T., Kristensen, E., and Holmer, M.: Sulfur, carbon, and nitrogen cycling in faunated marine sediments impacted by repeated organic enrichment, Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser., 400, 37-53, 2010.
- Valdemarsen, T., Quintana, C. O., Kristensen, E., and Flindt, M. R.: Recovery of organic-enriched sediments through microbial degradation: implications for eutrophic estuaries, Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser., 503, 41–58, 2014.
- Weiss, M. S., Abele, U., Weckesser, J., Welte, W., Schiltz, E., and Schulz, G. E.: Molecular architecture and electrostatic properties of a bacterial porin, Science, 254, 1627–1630, 1991.
- Weston, N. B., Dixon, R. E., and Joye, S. B.: Ramifications of increased salinity in tidal freshwater sediments: Geochemistry and microbial pathways of organic matter mineralization, J. Geophys. Res., 111, G01009, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JG000071, 2006.
- Weston, N. B., Vile, M. A., Neubauer, S. C., and Velinsky, D. J.: Accelerated microbial organic matter mineralization following saltwater intrusion into tidal freshwater marsh soils, Biogeochemistry, 102, 135–151, 2011.
- Wolters, M., Garbutt, A., and Bakker, J. P.: Salt-marsh restoration: evaluating the success of de-embankments in north-west Europe, Biol. Conserv., 123, 249–268, 2005.
- Yucel, M., Galand, P. E., Fagervold, S. K., Contreira-Pereira, L., and Le Bris, N.: Sulfide production and consumption in degrading wood in the marine environment, Chemosphere, 90, 403–409, 2013.