



Supplement of

Water mass distributions and transports for the 2014 GEOVIDE cruise in the North Atlantic

Maribel I. García-Ibáñez et al.

Correspondence to: Maribel I. García-Ibáñez (maribel.garcia-ibanez@uni.no)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.

Text S1

The standard deviations (STD) of the potential temperature and salinity that define the source water types (SWTs) were taken from other publications. For Central Waters and SPMWs, the STDs were set as $\pm 0.6^{\circ}$ C for temperature and ± 0.06 for salinity, according to the thermohaline variability reported by Robson et al. (2016) for the upper 700 m of the water column of the subpolar gyre. For LSW, the STDs were set as $\pm 0.4^{\circ}$ C for temperature and ± 0.01 for salinity, to include both the thermohaline properties used in García-Ibáñez et al. (2015) and those used in this work. For SAIW, the STDs were set as $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C for temperature and ± 0.03 for salinity, based on the thermohaline variability of its source waters, i.e., Central Waters and LSW (Iselin, 1936; Arhan, 1990; Read, 2000). For MW, the STDs were set as $\pm 0.2^{\circ}$ C for temperature and ± 0.02 for salinity, to include both the thermohaline properties used in the work of Carracedo et al. (2016). For ISOW, the STDs were set as $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C for temperature and ± 0.02 for salinity, to include both the thermohaline properties used in García-Ibáñez et al. (2015). For temperature and ± 0.03 for salinity, according to the work of STDs were set as $\pm 0.16^{\circ}$ C for temperature and ± 0.03 for salinity, according to the work of carracedo et al. (2016). For ISOW, the STDs were set as $\pm 0.10^{\circ}$ C for temperature and ± 0.02 for salinity, to include both the thermohaline properties used in García-Ibáñez et al. (2015) and those used in this work. For DSOW, the STDs were set as $\pm 0.16^{\circ}$ C for temperature and ± 0.03 for salinity, according to the work of Falina et al. (2012). For NEADW_L, the STDs were set as $\pm 0.03^{\circ}$ C for temperature and ± 0.03 for salinity, according to the work of García-Ibáñez et al. (2015). For NEADW_U, the STDs for potential temperature and ± 0.003 for salinity, according to the work of García-Ibáñez et al. (2015). For NEADW_U, the STDs for potential temperature and salinity were calculated using the STDs of

For oxygen, the STDs were set equal to 3% of the saturation value (Najjar and Keeling, 2000; Ito et al., 2004), whereas for nutrients they were obtained by one of the following methods:

- a) For to LSW, ISOW and NEADW_L, the STDs for the nutrients were calculated using the STDs in the water samples with more than 95% of those SWTs, following Karstensen and Tomczak (1998). This method was used when the number of water samples for a SWT was greater than 50.
- b) For the Central Waters, DSOW and SPMW, which are defined by more than one SWT (multi-SWTs), the multi-SWT contributions were obtained by adding the contributions of their respective components. Then, water samples with proportions of the multi-SWT greater than 95% were selected. The property values of each component of the multi-SWT were subtracted from the values of the water samples and linear regressions were performed between potential temperature and nutrients. The STDs of the multi-SWT nutrients were taken equal to the error of the intercept. We used the STDs of the properties of the multi-SWTs to each of their components.
- c) A modification of the methodology (b) was applied to MW, where samples with proportions greater than 75% were selected to perform the linear regressions.

The STDs of the nutrients of SAIW were assigned equal to those of the Central Waters, because not enough water samples presented proportions greater than 95%. The STDs of the nutrients of NEADW_U were calculated using the STDs of its components: MW, LSW, ISOW and NEADW_L (Sect. 2.3 of the main text).

References:

Carracedo, L. I., Pardo, P. C., Flecha, S., and Pérez, F. F.: On the Mediterranean Water Composition, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 46, 1339–1358, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-15-0095.1, 2016.

Ito, T., Follows, M. J., and Boyle, E. A.: Is AOU a good measure of respiration in the oceans?, Geophysical Research Letters, 31, L17305, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020900, 2004.

Jochumsen, K., Quadfasel, D., Valdimarsson, H., and Jónsson, S.: Variability of the Denmark Strait overflow: moored time series from 1996–2011, Journal of Geophysical Research, 117, C12003, doi:10.1029/2012JC008244, 2012.

Najjar, R.G., and Keeling, R.F.: Mean annual cycle of the air-sea oxygen flux: a global view, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 14 (2), 573–584, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GB900086, 2000.



Figure S1: (a) Total residual from the extended optimum multiparameter (eOMP) analysis and individual residuals from each eOMP parameter: (b) potential temperature (θ , in °C, in black) and salinity (S, in grey); (c) silicic acid (Si(OH)₄, in black) and nitrate (NO₃, in grey) (both in µmol kg-1); and (d) oxygen (O₂) (in µmol kg⁻¹).



Figure S2: Water mass distribution resulting from the eOMP analysis for the 2014 GEOVIDE cruise (GEOTRACES-GA01 section, inset in subplot a) using the same properties to define the Source Water Types (SWTs) as in García-Ibáñez et al. (2015). Sample locations appear as grey dots. ABR refers to Azores–Biscay Rise. Consult Table 1 for SWT acronyms.



Figure S3: Differences in the silicic acid concentration (in μ mol kg⁻¹) along OVIDE line (inset) between 2014 and the average of 2002–2010, from Portugal (right) to Greenland (left). Sample locations appear as grey dots. Differences were calculated by subtracting the average silicic acid values for 2002–2010 to the values measured in 2014, all gridded to the sampling locations of OVIDE 2010 (see Section 4.1 of the main text).

Table S1: Standard deviations of the properties of the water samples (ϵ in Table 1) were obtained by considering both the accuracy of each measurement and the variability in the study region.

	Θ	S	Si(OH)4 ⁰	NO ₃ ⁰	O_2^0
	(°C)		(µmol kg ⁻¹)	(µmol kg ⁻¹)	(µmol kg ⁻¹)
3	0.005	0.002	0.3	0.2	1