Biogeosciences, 15, 5169–5187, 2018
https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-5169-2018
© Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Biogeosciences Open Access EGU

Niche differentiation of ammonia and nitrite oxidizers along a salinity gradient from the Pearl River estuary to the South China Sea

Lei Hou[1,2,*], **Xiabing Xie**[1,*], **Xianhui Wan**[1], **Shuh-Ji Kao**[1,2], **Nianzhi Jiao**[1,2], **and Yao Zhang**[1,2]

[1]State Key Laboratory of Marine Environmental Science, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361101, China
[2]College of Ocean and Earth Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361101, China
[*]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence: Yao Zhang (yaozhang@xmu.edu.cn)

Received: 13 April 2018 – Discussion started: 9 May 2018
Revised: 26 July 2018 – Accepted: 31 July 2018 – Published: 29 August 2018

Abstract. The niche differentiation of ammonia and nitrite oxidizers is controversial because they display disparate patterns in estuarine, coastal, and oceanic regimes. We analyzed diversity and abundance of ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) and β-proteobacteria (AOB), nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB), and nitrification rates to identify their niche differentiation along a salinity gradient from the Pearl River estuary to the South China Sea. AOA were generally more abundant than β-AOB; however, AOB more clearly attached to particles compared with AOA in the upper reaches of the Pearl River estuary. The NOB *Nitrospira* had higher abundances in the upper and middle reaches of the Pearl River estuary, while *Nitrospina* was dominant in the lower estuary. In addition, AOB and *Nitrospira* could be more active than AOA and *Nitrospina* since significantly positive correlations were observed between their gene abundance and the nitrification rate in the Pearl River estuary. There is a significant positive correlation between ammonia and nitrite oxidizer abundances in the hypoxic waters of the estuary, suggesting a possible coupling through metabolic interactions between them. Phylogenetic analysis further revealed that the AOA and NOB *Nitrospina* subgroups can be separated into different niches based on their adaptations to substrate levels. Water mass mixing is apparently crucial in regulating the distribution of nitrifiers from the estuary to open ocean. However, when eliminating water mass effect, the substrate availability and the nitrifiers' adaptations to substrate availability via their ecological strategies essentially determine their niche differentiation.

1 Introduction

Nitrification, the biological oxidation of ammonia to nitrate (the largest pool of fixed inorganic nitrogen in water bodies), is a fundamental process in the nitrogen cycle and plays a key role in estuarine and marine ecosystems. Nitrification includes both ammonia and nitrite oxidation, which are catalyzed by different microorganisms that may occupy broad niches in estuarine and marine environments. The first nitrification step, ammonia oxidation, is predominantly carried out by ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) belonging to the phylum *Thaumarchaeota* and by ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB). *Thaumarchaeota* are more adapted to ammonia-limited oligotrophic conditions than AOB (Erguder et al., 2009; Martens-Habbena et al., 2009). The gene coding for ammonia monooxygenase subunit A (*amo*A) has been widely applied as a functional marker gene for ammonia oxidizers (Juretschko et al., 1998; Francis et al., 2005; Leininger et al., 2006; Tourna et al., 2008; Gubry-Rangin et al., 2011; Pester et al., 2012).

In sharp contrast to ammonia oxidation, nitrite oxidation, which is the second step in nitrification, has been investigated less in estuarine and marine ecosystems, despite bacterial nitrite oxidation being the only biochemical reaction known to form nitrate in aerobic conditions. In addition, a considerable fraction of recycled nitrogen or reduced nitrate is re-oxidized back to nitrate via nitrite oxidation in oxygen minimum zones (OMZs; Füssel et al., 2012; Beman et al., 2013; Casciotti et al., 2013; Bristow et al., 2016). Nitrite oxidation is catalyzed by nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB). To date,

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Figure 1. Site locations and bathymetry. The solid green circles indicate hypoxic sites in the PRE, the open green circles indicate (low-)oxygenated sites in the PRE, and the solid blue circle indicates SEATS in the central basin of the SCS. This figure was produced using Ocean Data View v. 4.6.2 (http://odv.awi.de; last access: April 2014). Isobaths are regarded as the background, and the color bar indicates depth.

seven genera of NOB have been described: *Nitrospira*, *Nitrospina*, *Nitrococcus*, *Nitrobacter*, *Nitrolancea*, *Nitrotoga*, and *Candidatus* Nitromaritima (Spieck and Bock, 2005; Alawi et al., 2007; Sorokin et al., 2012; Ngugi et al., 2016). Members of the genus *Nitrospira* appear to be the most diverse and widespread in a diverse range of habitats (Daims et al., 2001; Lücker et al., 2010), while *Nitrospina* are reported to be restricted to marine environments (Lücker et al., 2013; Pachiadaki et al., 2017). *Nitrobacter* and *Nitrococcus* are less abundant and confined mainly to freshwater/estuarine and oceanic settings, respectively (Koops and Pommerening-Roser, 2001; Füssel et al., 2012). *Nitrotoga* has been detected in a marine recirculation aquaculture system (Keuter et al., 2017). *Candidatus* Nitromaritima were recently identified based on metagenomic data in Red Sea brines (Ngugi et al., 2016), which were previously reported as a group in *Nitrospina*. The gene-encoding subunit beta of nitrite oxidoreductase (*nxr*B) is a functional and phylogenetic marker for NOB (Wertz et al., 2008; Pester et al., 2013; Schwarz, 2013). However, there is a *nxr*B-targeting primer sets coverage limitation, so that the NOB 16S rRNA gene has been used as a useful marker for quantifying the NOB community in various ecosystems (Mincer et al., 2007; Nunoura et al., 2015).

The niche differentiation of ammonia and nitrite oxidizers is controversial because it displays disparate patterns and partnerships in estuarine, coastal, and oceanic regimes. For example, both AOA and AOB are frequently found together in estuarine and coastal regimes and share the same ecosystem function (Bernhard et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2014a), but in many situations only AOA or AOB are predominant (Cébron et al., 2003; Hollibaugh et al., 2011; Li et al., 2014) as their physiological responses to environmental stressors may be different. Similarly, *Nitrospira*, *Nitrospina*, *Nitrococcus*, and/or *Nitrobacter* are frequently found together in estuarine and marine regimes, but there is no consistent distribution pattern between them (Cébron et al., 2005; Füssel et al., 2012; Nunoura et al., 2015; Pachiadaki et al., 2017), suggesting that niche partitioning and niche specialization support the coexistence of sympatric NOB. Moreover, between ammonia and nitrite oxidizers, there is a coupling in abundance and distribution in Monterey Bay and the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre (Mincer et al., 2007) or decoupling in the Gulf of Mexico (Bristow et al., 2015). A gradient from an estuary to the ocean, with various environmental gradients and distinct distribution patterns of various nutrient species, may provide diverse niches for the coexistence of microbial species (Martens-Habbena et al., 2009). It is thus an ideal system for studying the niche differentiation of AOA, AOB, and NOB as well as major controlling factors.

The Pearl River is the largest river in southern China. Human activity has seriously affected the regional environment over the past few decades. A persistent oxygen depletion zone was found in the upper reaches of the Pearl River estuary (PRE) (He et al., 2014), which has been attributed to organic matter degradation and nitrification (Dai et al., 2006, 2008; He et al., 2010). The Pearl River drains into the northern part of the tropical oligotrophic South China Sea (SCS), the largest deep (maximum water depth of ∼ 5560 m) semi-enclosed marginal sea in the western Pacific Ocean. Thus, the northern SCS is influenced by large amounts of freshwater and nutrient input from the Pearl River. The Southeast Asia Time-Series Study (SEATS) site, the only active time-series station located in a marginal sea (Wong et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2014b), is situated in the SCS central basin (18° N, 116° E) at a depth of 3850 m and characterized by low nutrient levels. This environment, spanning the PRE to the SCS, provides a great opportunity to explore the microbial groups driving ammonia and nitrite oxidation within complicated biogeochemical settings.

In this study, the diversity of AOA and AOB *amo*A and NOB *nxr*B genes was investigated by clone libraries, and distributions of AOA and AOB *amo*A and NOB 16S rRNA

genes were quantified by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) along a salinity gradient from the PRE to the SCS (Fig. 1). Moreover, nitrification rates were determined in the PRE using ^{15}N-labeled ammonium (Sigman et al., 2001). The objectives of this study were to (1) investigate the spatial patterns of diversity and abundance of AOA, AOB, and NOB; (2) explore the niche differentiation and relationship between AOA, AOB, and NOB; and (3) explain the possible environmental parameters governing niche differentiation.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Strains and genomic DNAs

We obtained strains *Candidatus* Nitrospira defluvii A17 and *Nitrospina gracilis* 3/211 and their genomic DNAs from the University of Hamburg, Germany. The full-length 16S rRNA gene fragments were used as the standards for construction of standard curves during qPCR amplification.

2.2 Study sites and sampling

Twelve sites (P1–12) along the PRE as well as the SEATS station in the SCS central basin were sampled during two summer research cruises in July–August 2012 and September 2014 (Fig. 1). Both the surface (1 m) and bottom waters (1.5–3.5 m above the seafloor) were sampled at the 12 PRE sites (Table S1 in the Supplement); there were exceptions for sites P2, P3, and P4, where only the bottom water was sampled, and P6, where only the surface water was sampled. The SEATS site was sampled at 75, 200, 800, and 3000 m water depth. Water samples were collected using a conductivity, temperature, and depth (CTD) rosette sampling system fitted with Go-Flo bottles (SBE 9/17plus; SeaBird Inc, USA). A total of 44 samples were subjected to gene analysis. A total of 10 samples from the bottom waters of sites P2–10 and the surface water of site P9 were amended with ^{15}N-labeled ammonium to measure nitrification rates.

2.3 Biogeochemical parameters

Temperature, salinity, and depth data were obtained from the CTD system. Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations were directly measured onboard via the Winkler method (Carpenter, 1965). Water samples for inorganic nutrients such as nitrate, nitrite, phosphate, and silicate were filtered through 0.45 µm cellulose acetate membranes and then analyzed onboard. Ammonium was analyzed by the indophenol blue spectrophotometric method (Pai et al., 2001). Nitrite and nitrate were measured with a four-channel continuous-flow Technicon AutoAnalyzer 3 (AA3; Bran-Lube GmbH, Germany) (Han et al., 2012). Water samples for total suspended material (TSM) were filtered onto pre-combusted and preweighed glass fiber filter membranes (Whatman), and then stored at −20 °C until weighing in the laboratory.

2.4 DNA extraction

One liter of water from each PRE sample was filtered through 3 µm and then 0.22 µm pore-size polycarbonate membranes (47 mm diameter; Millipore) at a pressure of $<$ 0.03 MPa to retain the particle-associated (PA) communities (size fraction $>$ 3 µm) and free-living (FL) communities (size fraction: 0.22–3 µm) for DNA extraction. For the SCS samples, 2 or 4 L water samples were directly filtered through 0.22 µm pore-size polycarbonate membranes (47 mm diameter; Millipore) for DNA extraction. All of the polycarbonate membranes were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at − 80°C until further analysis. DNA was extracted using the UltraClean Soil DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO; San Diego, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's protocols. Concentration and purity of the genomic DNA were checked with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific 2000/2000c) (Johnson, 1994).

2.5 PCR, cloning, sequencing, and phylogenetic analysis

Archaeal and β-proteobacterial *amo*A genes were amplified using primer sets Arch-amoAF and Arch-amoAR (Francis et al., 2005), and amoA-34F and amoA-2R (Kim et al., 2008), respectively. *Nitrospira*, *Nitrospina*, *Nitrobacter*, and *Nitrococcus nxr*B genes were amplified. Primer set sequences, PCR reaction mixtures, and conditions for each functional gene are listed in Table S2. We designed primers for the *Nitrospina nxr*B gene based on two *nxr*B gene sequences of *N. gracilis* 3/211 using PREMIER software (Biosoft International, USA). Forward primer nxrBNF (5′-GGG CGA CCA GAT GGA AAC-3′) and reverse primer nxrBNR (5′-GGG CCG GAC ATA GAA AGG-3′) target the 771–788 and 1237–1254 nucleotide regions, respectively, of the *nxr*B gene in *N. gracilis* 3/211. The specificity of this designed primer pair was tested by BLASTn searches in the GenBank database. The amplified target fragments were purified using an agarose gel DNA purification kit (Takara, Dalian, China), ligated into the pMD18-T vector (Takara), and transformed into competent cells of *Escherichia coli* DH5α. Positive clones were randomly selected for sequencing using an ABI model 3730 automated DNA sequence analyzer with BigDye terminator chemistry (Perkin-Elmer, Applied Biosystems, USA).

All sequences were analyzed with the Bellerophon program (http://comp-bio.anu.edu.au/bellerophon/bellerophon.pl; last access: August 2017) to detect chimeric sequences in multiple sequence alignments (Huber et al., 2004). The putative chimeras were further checked manually through BLASTp analysis to verify whether these were chimeras. After removing chimeric sequences, all sequences among the

libraries for each gene were grouped into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on a 5 % sequence divergence cutoff (Wankel et al., 2011; Pester et al., 2013; Rani et al., 2017) by using the DOTUR program (Schloss and Handelsman, 2005). Rarefaction, non-parametric coverage, and phylotype richness estimators (Chao 1, Shannon, and Simpson) were calculated. Representative nucleotide sequences were analyzed with the BLASTn tool to get the closest reference sequences. Neighbor-joining phylogenetic trees were constructed with MEGA5 software using a maximum-composite-likelihood model for archaeal *amo*A gene sequences (Zhang et al., 2014a) and Jukes–Cantor model for *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina nxr*B gene sequences (Pester et al., 2013). A phylogenetic tree was not constructed for bacterial *amo*A gene and *Nitrobacter nxr*B gene sequences because too few sequences were retrieved. The *Nitrococcus nxr*B gene was not amplified successfully from these samples.

2.6 Quantitative PCR amplification

Abundances of the archaeal and β-proteobacterial *amo*A genes, and *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina* 16S rRNA genes were quantified using a qPCR method and a CFX 96™ (BIO-RAD, Singapore) real-time system. Standard curves were constructed for archaeal and β-proteobacterial *amo*A genes using plasmid DNA (accession numbers KY387998 (targeted by the primers Arch-amoAF and Arch-amoAR) and MH638327 (targeted by the primers Arch-amoA-for and Arch-amoA-rev) for AOA and MH458281 for AOB) from clone libraries. For *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina* 16S rRNA genes, the target DNA fragments of the pure cultured strains were used. Quantitative PCR reactions were performed in triplicate and analyzed against a range of standards (1 to 10^7 copies per µL). Primer pair sequences, qPCR mixtures, and conditions for each gene are listed in Table S3. The efficiencies of qPCR amplification ranged from 90 to 104 % with $R^2 > 0.99$. The specificity of the qPCR reactions was checked by melting curve analysis and agarose gel electrophoresis. The uncertain products were sequenced to confirm their veracity. Inhibition tests were performed by 2-fold and 5-fold dilutions of all samples, and we concluded that our samples were not inhibited.

2.7 ^{15}N-labeled nitrification rate measurements

Nitrification rates (oxidation of ammonia to nitrate) were measured using the stable-isotope-tracer method described in Hsiao et al. (2014) with minor modifications. Briefly, six 115 mL narrow-necked gas-tight glass bottles were overflowed to more than twice their volume with seawater and sealed without headspace. Then, a syringe was used to replace 1 mL of sample with the ^{15}N–NH_4^+ tracer (98 % of ^{15}N atoms, Sigma-Aldrich) to attain a final tracer concentration of 1 µmol L^{-1}, which accounted for 1 %–10 % of total ammonia concentration in the upper PRE (P2–6; in situ rates of nitrification can be estimated) and > 10 % in the middle and lower reaches (P7–10; potential nitrification rates were obtained). Three bottles were filtered immediately after the tracer injection through 0.22 µm polycarbonate filters to represent the initial conditions. The remaining three bottles were kept in the dark for 6 h under in situ temperature (±1 °C) using a temperature control incubator. The incubations were terminated by filtering through 0.22 µm polycarbonate membranes, and the filtrate was frozen at −20 °C until laboratory analysis.

Ammonium, nitrite, and nitrate were detected as described above. The detection limits for ammonium, nitrite, and nitrate were 0.16, 0.03, and 0.05 µmol L^{-1}, respectively. The $\delta^{15}N$ of NO_X^- ($NO_2^- + NO_3^-$) was determined using a bacterial method (Sigman et al., 2001) and gas chromatography (GC; Thermo Finnigan GasBench, USA) with a cryogenic extraction and purification system interfaced to an isotopic ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS; Thermo Fisher Delta V^{PLUS}, USA). NO_X^- was quantitatively converted to N_2O using the bacterial strain *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* subsp. *aureofaciens* (ATCC 13985). The N_2O was then introduced to the GC-IRMS through the online N_2O cryogenic extraction and purification system. The $\delta^{15}N$ of NO_X^- was calibrated against nitrate isotope standards (USGS 34, IAEA N3, and USGS 32), which were run after every 10 samples during the run, as well as before and after each run. Accuracy (pooled standard deviation) was better than ±0.2 ‰ based on analyses of these standards at an injection level of 20 nmol N.

Nitrification rates were primarily determined by the accumulation of ^{15}N in the product pool relative to the initial conditions using Eq. (1):

$$NR = d\left[{}^{15}N_t\right]/dt \times \left(\left[{}^{14}NH_4^+\right] + \left[{}^{15}NH_4^+\right]\right) / \left[{}^{15}NH_4^+\right], \quad (1)$$

where NR is the nitrification rate, t is the incubation time, $[^{15}N_t]$ is the concentration of ^{15}N in nitrate plus the nitrite pool in the sample at time t, $[^{14}NH_4^+]$ is the observed natural ammonium concentration, and $[^{15}NH_4^+]$ is the final tracer concentration after the artificial addition of the stable isotope tracer. The detect limitation of this method is generally better than 0.01 µmol N L^{-1} d^{-1}.

2.8 Statistical analysis

Since normal distribution of the individual data sets was not always met, we used the non-parametric Wilcoxon tests to compare two variables. Polynomial and exponential growth models (SigmaPlot) were used to determine the relationships between variables. Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) was used to analyze the variations in the nitrifier communities under the constraint of environmental factors with automatic variable selection procedures in the CANOCO software (version 4.5, Microcomputer Power, USA) (Ter-Braak, 1989). The gene data were normalized as relative



Figure 2. Distributions of biogeochemical factors along the PRE transect. **(a)** Salinity, **(b)** temperature, **(c)** TSM, **(d)** DO, **(e)** pH, **(f)** ammonium, **(g)** nitrite, **(h)** nitrate, **(i)** phosphate, and **(j)** silicate concentration. P1–12 indicate PRE sampling sites. Black dots indicate sampling depths.

abundances. The environmental factors were normalized via Z transformation (Magalhães et al., 2008). The null hypothesis, that the community was independent of environmental parameters, was tested using constrained ordination with a Monte Carlo permutation test (999 permutations).

The standard and partial Mantel tests, which assess the correlations between two matrices controlling for the effects of a third matrix, were run in R (vegan) to determine the correlations between environmental factors or nitrification rates and nitrifier population compositions. Dissimilarity matrices of nitrifier communities were based on Bray–Curtis distances between samples, while environmental factors and nitrification rates were based on Euclidean distances between samples. The significance of the Mantel statistics based on Spearman or Kendall's product–moment correlation was obtained after 999 permutations. The results of the statistical tests were assumed to be significant at P values ≤ 0.05.



Figure 3. Unrooted neighbor-joining (NJ) phylogenetic tree of the archaeal *amo*A gene sequences. Clone sequences from this study are shown in bold, and sequences sharing 95 % DNA identity are grouped. GenBank accession numbers are shown. Groups A, Ba, Bb, and D were defined in Nunoura et al. (2015); group E was defined in Nunoura et al. (2013); and group F is defined in this study. The relative abundance of clones retrieved for each library in the six subgroups is indicated by a bar. Total number of clones for each library is shown in parentheses. Location of sites P8 and P9 (S and B indicate surface and bottom waters, respectively) and SEATS (S) are shown in Fig. 1. Ammonium concentrations are shown in square brackets. Phylogenetic relationships were bootstrapped 1000 times, and bootstrap values greater than 50 % are shown. The scale bar indicates 5 % estimated sequence divergence. HAC, high-ammonia cluster; LAC, low-ammonia cluster. N, not measured; B, below detection limit.

3 Results

3.1 Biogeochemical characteristics of the studied transect

According to the geomorphology and geochemical characteristics, the 12 sites in the PRE are situated in the upper (P1–6), middle (P7 and P8), and lower reaches (P9–12) of the estuary (Fig. 1). The upper reaches receive a small amount of freshwater, sewage, and industrial effluent discharge; the middle reaches receive about half of the freshwater from the North and West rivers, tributaries of the Pearl River, with little salinity stratification; and the lower reaches are controlled mainly by estuarine mixing of freshwater and seawater (Wang et al., 2012). Salinity exhibited consistently low values between 0.12 and 3.82 at sites P1–6 in the PRE upper reaches, but it sharply increased downstream from 1.23 to 31.92 at sites P7–12 in the middle and lower reaches of the PRE (Fig. 2a). Temperature varied from 26.34 to 30.14 °C and decreased seaward (Fig. 2b). TSM concentrations ranged from 1.78 $mg L^{-1}$ in the surface water of site P12 to 100 $mg L^{-1}$ in the bottom water of site P4 (Fig. 2c). DO concentrations showed a strong increasing trend seaward from 0.19 to 5.78 $mg L^{-1}$, with concentrations below 2 $mg L^{-1}$ at sites P1–6 (Fig. 2d). Accordingly, pH also showed a distinct increasing trend seaward from 7.04 to 8.17 (Fig. 2e). The nutrient (nitrate/nitrite/ammonium, phosphate, and silicate) concentrations showed distinctly decreasing trends seaward (Fig. 2f–j). The ammonium concentrations drastically decreased from 140.1 at site P1 to 9.9 µM at P6 in the upper PRE and had consistently low concentrations (below detection limit to 16.7 µM) in the middle and lower reaches (Fig. 2f). The nitrite concentrations varied from 1.9 µM in the bottom water (2 m above the seafloor) of site P12 to 44.2 µM in the bottom water (3.5 m above the seafloor) of site P4 (Fig. 2g). Overall, the upper PRE was characterized by hypoxic waters containing sufficient nutrients; DO concentrations increased seaward, while the nutrient and TSM concentrations distinctly decreased seaward.

Depth profiles of the biogeochemical parameters from SEATS are shown in Fig. S1 in the Supplement. Salinity slightly increased from 32.89 to 34.62 with depth. The sea surface temperature was 28.69 °C, while the temperature decreased sharply to 2.35 °C in the deep waters. The ammonium concentrations varied from below detection limit to 170.75 nM at 140 m depth. The nitrite concentrations ranged from below detection limit to 0.63 µM at 55 m. The nitrate concentrations ranged from below detection limit to 39.32 µM along the water column. Phosphate and silicate increased from below detection limit to 2.89 µM and from 2.40 to 145.46 µM, respectively, with increasing water depth.

3.2 Diversity of ammonia- and nitrite-oxidizing microbial communities

Archaeal and β-proteobacterial *amo*A and NOB (*Nitrospira*, *Nitrospina*, and *Nitrobacter*) *nxr*B gene clone libraries were constructed for the FL communities from the surface and bottom waters at site P8 and P9 because the most dramatic variations in biogeochemical properties along the PRE transect were present between these two sites (Fig. 2). In addition, archaeal *amo*A gene clone libraries were constructed at 75, 200, 800, and 3000 m water depth from SEATS, while a NOB *Nitrospina nxr*B gene clone library was constructed only at 800 m at SEATS as genes were not amplified successfully at the other three water depths. Rarefaction analyses showed that the diversity of β-AOB *amo*A genes observed in the PRE was nearly exhaustive, while the archaeal *amo*A gene libraries were composed of more phylotypes in both the PRE and SCS. Moreover, the richness of archaeal *amo*A genes was higher in the SCS than in the PRE (Fig. S2a). The *nxr*B gene clone libraries might have captured the majority of *Nitrobacter nxr*B gene types in the PRE with the primer sets used, based on the rarefaction curves, but not the *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina nxr*B genes in the PRE and SCS (Fig. S2b). The same conclusions are supported by the diversity indices (Table S4).

3.3 Phylogenetic analysis of archaeal *amo*A and *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina nxr*B genes

A total of 519 AOA *amo*A gene sequences were recovered and grouped into three clusters (six groups: A, Ba, Bb, D, E, and F) based on phylogenetic analysis (Figs. 3 and S3). According to the framework of Francis et al. (2005), groups A, Ba, and Bb were defined as water column cluster; group D was defined as sediment cluster; and groups E and F were defined as soil/sediment cluster. According to the framework of Sintes et al. (2013) for the Atlantic and Arctic oceans, high-ammonia clusters (HACs) were present in environments where ammonia concentrations ranged from 20 to 100 nM or even higher; however, low-ammonia clusters (LACs) were predominant in environments where ammonia concentrations were frequently below detection limit. About half of the sequences retrieved from the PRE fell into groups A and D, and almost all sequences retrieved from SEATS fell into groups Ba and Bb. Groups A and D have been identified as HAC and groups Ba and Bb as LAC by Nunoura et al. (2015) based on a phylogenetic analysis of archaeal *amo*A genes. Another half of the sequences retrieved from the PRE fell into the soil/sediment cluster (groups E and F) and had an 86 % to 100 % DNA sequence identity with sequences recovered from high-ammonia environments, such as soil, sediment, biofilters, rivers, lakes, and water treatment plants (Fig. 3). Tourna et al. (2011) and Hatzenpichler et al. (2008) have reported that two ammonia-oxidizing archaea *Nitrososphaera viennensis* and *Nitrososphaera gargensis* belonging to group



Figure 4. Rooted neighbor-joining (NJ) phylogenetic tree of the *Nitrospira nxr*B gene sequences. Clone sequences from this study are shown in bold, and sequences sharing 95 % DNA identity are grouped. GenBank accession numbers are shown. Groups A, B, C, D, E, F, and G are defined according to Pester et al. (2013), and group H (highlighted in yellow) is defined in this study. The relative abundance of clones retrieved for each library in the eight subgroups is indicated by a bar. Total number of clones for each library is shown in parentheses. Location of sites P8 and P9 (S and B indicate surface and bottom waters, respectively) is shown in Fig. 1. Phylogenetic relationships were bootstrapped 1000 times, and bootstrap values greater than 50 % are shown. The scale bar indicates 10 % estimated sequence divergence.

E (crenarchaeal group I. 1b) (Nunoura et al., 2013) tolerate high ammonia concentrations (1–15 and 0.14–3.08 mM, respectively). Thus, we defined groups E and F as HAC. The ammonium concentrations at sites where sequences were recovered further confirmed the categorization of groups A, Ba, Bb, D, E, and F. The sequences falling in groups A, D, E, and F (HAC) were retrieved from sites with ammonium concentrations of 0.032 to 8.09 µM with the exception of four sequences (group A) retrieved from 3000 m at SEATS (below detection limit). The sequences falling in group Ba and Bb (LAC) were retrieved from SEATS at depths with ammonium concentrations below detection limit, except for 200 m (0.035 µM) (Fig. 3). Phylogenetic analysis and the relative abundances of each group clearly revealed the distinct distribution of major *amo*A subgroups from the estuary (HAC) to the SCS central basin (LAC) and from the upper water (HAC) to the deep ocean (LAC) (Figs. 3 and S3). Although the niche separation among AOA subgroups may be influenced by some bias during PCR amplification, overall distribution of HAC and LAC subgroups is plausible.

A total of 345 *Nitrospira nxr*B gene sequences were recovered. Phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 4) grouped the sequences into previously described clusters (Pester et al., 2013), except for group H, which only contained sequences recovered from the PRE in this study. Despite containing 95 % of all of the *Nitrospira nxr*B sequences, groups B, C, D, and F all belong to *Nitrospira* lineage II. Notably, group C was the most dominant branch in the PRE, with 92 % to 98 % DNA sequence identity with *Nitrospira* sp. enrichment BS10 derived from activated sludge (Spieck et al., 2006). The sequences of group D have 91 % to 94 % DNA sequence identity with *Nitrospira moscoviensis* derived from a heating system (Ehrich et al., 1995), and the sequences of groups B and F are closely related with the *nxr*B sequences from Austrian forest soils (Pester et al., 2013). Around 2 % of sequences fell into group A, belonging to *Nitrospira* lineage I, which could have evolved from an ancestor in *Nitrospira* lineage II (Pester et al., 2013). The remaining ∼ 2 % of sequences were grouped into groups E (*Nitrospira* lineage V) and G (*Nitrospira* lineage IV). *Nitrospira* lineage IV were reported to contain *Nitrospira marina* isolated from the Gulf of Maine



Figure 5. Rooted neighbor-joining (NJ) phylogenetic tree of the *Nitrospina nxr*B gene sequences. Clone sequences from this study are shown in bold, and sequences sharing 95 % DNA identity are grouped. GenBank accession numbers are shown. Groups A, B, C, and D are defined in this study. The relative abundance of clones retrieved for each library in the four subgroups is indicated by a bar. Total number of clones for each library is shown in parentheses. Location of sites P8 and P9 (S and B indicate surface and bottom waters, respectively) and SEATS (S) are shown in Fig. 1. Phylogenetic relationships were bootstrapped 1000 times, and bootstrap values greater than 50 % are shown. The scale bar indicates 10 % estimated sequence divergence.

(Watson et al., 1986) and sponge-associated *Nitrospira* (Taylor et al., 2007; Off et al., 2010). The *nxr*B gene of *Nitrospira* was not detected at SEATS.

A total of 185 *Nitrospina nxr*B gene sequences were recovered. The phylogenetic tree grouped the sequences into four clusters (Fig. 5). The sequences recovered from SEATS all fell into a single branch (the SCS cluster), which showed high similarity (95 %–99 % gene sequence identity) with three sequences belonging to 1 OTU from the eastern tropical South Pacific (ETSP) OMZ. The sequences retrieved from the PRE fell into three other clusters. Around 9 % of total sequences clustered in the ETSP-OMZ-dominant cluster, and 48 % clustered as a unique branch (the PRE cluster), which only contained sequences obtained from this study. Around 23 % of total sequences fell in the 3/211 cluster with 88 % to 100 % gene sequence identity with *N. gracilis* 3/211, which was isolated from ocean surface water (Watson and Waterbury, 1971) and, in this study, was used to design the primers for amplifying the *nxr*B gene of *Nitrospina*. The phylogenetic analysis and relative abundance of each group revealed the distinct distribution of major *Nitrospina nxr*B subgroups from the PRE to the SCS (Fig. 5).

3.4 Abundance distribution of ammonia and nitrite oxidizers and nitrification rates

Abundances of the archaeal and β-proteobacterial *amo*A genes and *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina* 16S rRNA genes were quantified using the qPCR method at all 12 sites of the PRE for the FL and PA communities in the surface and bottom waters (Table S1). *Nitrobacter* and *Nitrococcus* were not quantified since they were not major NOB groups at either the PRE or SCS sites, as indicated by clone library analysis. Archaeal and β-proteobacterial *amo*A gene abundances varied from below detection limit to 6.82×10^5 copies L^{-1} (PA community in the bottom water of site P9) and from below detection limit to 3.42×10^4 copies L^{-1} (PA community in the



Figure 6. Gene abundance distribution of four nitrifier groups along the PRE transect. **(a)** Relative abundance of archaeal (AOA) and β-proteobacterial (AOB) *amo*A genes in total FL AOM (sum of archaea and β-proteobacteria) *amo*A genes. **(b)** Relative abundance of AOA and AOB *amo*A genes in total PA AOM *amo*A genes. **(c)** Relative abundance of *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina* 16S rRNA genes in total FL NOB (sum of *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina*) 16S rRNA genes. **(d)** Relative abundance of *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina* 16S rRNA genes in total PA NOB 16S rRNA genes. **(e)** Relative abundance of FL and PA AOM *amo*A genes in total *amo*A genes. **(f)** Relative abundance of FL and PA NOB 16S rRNA genes in total 16S rRNA genes. **(g)** Relative abundance of AOM *amo*A and NOB 16S rRNA genes in total FL nitrifier genes. **(h)** Relative abundance of AOM *amo*A and NOB 16S rRNA genes in total PA nitrifier genes. Depth-weighted abundances were used to calculate relative abundances for each site. B, only the bottom water was sampled; S, only the surface water was sampled.

bottom water of site P4), respectively. Overall, the archaeal *amo*A genes were significantly more abundant than the β-proteobacterial *amo*A genes (Wilcoxon, $P < 0.01$), but AOB were more distinctly attached to particles compared with AOA in the upper reaches of the PRE (sites P1–6; Fig. 6a and b). *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina* 16S rRNA gene abundances varied from below detection limit to 2.02×10^6 copies L^{-1} (PA community in the bottom water of site P4) and from 51 to 3.81×10^5 copies L^{-1} (PA community in the bottom water of site P4), respectively. The *Nitrospira* 16S rRNA genes were significantly more abundant than the *Nitrospina* 16S rRNA genes in the upper and middle reaches of the PRE (sites P1–8, Wilcoxon, $P < 0.01$), whereas the opposite trend was observed in the lower estuary (sites P9–12; Wilcoxon, $P < 0.01$; Fig. 6c and d). All of the genes were significantly more abundant in the PA than the FL communities (Wilcoxon, $P < 0.05$–0.01) (Fig. 6e and f).

Sites P1–6, located in hypoxic waters that are typically defined when DO concentrations fall below $2\,mg\,L^{-1}$ (Renaud, 1986), of the PRE upper reaches, have DO concentrations ranging from 0.19 to $1.93\,mg\,L^{-1}$ (Fig. 7). Generally, the abundance of NOB (sum of *Nitrospira* and *Ni-*



Figure 7. Nitrification rates and DO concentrations along the PRE transect. Nitrification rates were only measured in the bottom waters except for site P9, where rates were measured in both surface and bottom waters. DO concentrations were measured in both surface and bottom waters. The depth-weighted values were used when two depths were measured. N, not measured.

trospina) 16S rRNA genes was significantly higher than the ammonia-oxidizing microbes (AOM, sum of archaea and β-proteobacteria) *amo*A genes in the hypoxic waters (Wilcoxon, $P < 0.01$; Fig. 6g and h). Notably, significant positive relationships were observed between AOM and NOB groups for both the FL (Fig. 8a) and PA (Fig. 8b) communities (eight correlations, $P < 0.05$–0.01; the findings were the same excluding the maximum values), suggesting a coupling between ammonia and nitrite oxidizers in the hypoxic estuarine niche.

The hypoxic zone gradually disappears seaward, and the DO concentrations of sites P7–12 varied from 2.15 to 5.78 mg L^{-1} (Fig. 7). The significant relationship between AOM and NOB collapsed instantly. The abundance of the NOB 16S rRNA genes rapidly decreased; the AOM *amo*A genes increased (Fig. 6g and h); and archaea and *Nitrospina* became the dominant ammonia and nitrite oxidizers, respectively (Fig. 6a–f).

The nitrification rates generally decreased seaward with increasing DO concentrations, ranging from 0.19 µmol L^{-1} d^{-1} in the bottom water (2 m above the seafloor) of site P9 to 75.81 µmol L^{-1} d^{-1} in the bottom water (3.5 m above the seafloor) of site P5 (Fig. 7). Distinctly higher nitrification rates were observed in the hypoxic zone than the middle and lower reaches of the PRE (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, $P < 0.05$).

4 Discussion

4.1 Coverage of the primer pair for *Nitrospina nxr*B genes

The primer pair of nxrBNF and nxrBNR targeting the *Nitrospina nxr*B genes was designed in this study according to two *nxr*B gene sequences of *N. gracilis* 3/211, which is the only isolated *Nitrospina* strain from the oxygenated ocean (Watson and Waterbury, 1971) and the only genome-sequenced *Nitrospina* so far (Lücker et al., 2013). Despite very few reference sequences, phylogenetic analysis of the *Nitrospina nxr*B gene sequences retrieved based on this primer pair indicated diverse phylogenetic taxa, including 12 OTUs and four major phylogenetic clusters. The relative abundances of the four groups showed that 77 % of total sequences fell out of the 3/211 cluster (Fig. 5). Among 23 sequences of *Nitrospina nxr*B genes available in the databases, only seven sequences could not be targeted by the primers nxrBNF and nxrBNR due to more than three mismatching bases for either primer, indicating a $\sim$ 70 % coverage of the primers (100 % if allowing five mismatching bases). Feng et al. (2016) and Rani et al. (2017) also designed primer pairs targeting *nxr*B and *nxr*A subunit genes of *Nitrospina*, respectively. However, Feng et al. (2016) did not obtain any *nxr*B target fragments, and Rani et al. (2017) focused on the *nxr*A gene in marine sediments.

4.2 Coupling between ammonia and nitrite oxidizers in the estuarine hypoxic niche

The abundance of NOB 16S rRNA genes was significantly higher than the AOM *amo*A gene in PRE hypoxic waters. This is similar to previous observations that NOB can reach high abundances in oceanic OMZs, where *Nitrospina* and *Nitrococcus* are abundant (Füssel et al., 2012; Beman et al., 2013). However, in PRE hypoxic waters, *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina* were dominant NOB, particularly on the particles. With metaproteomic analysis, Hawley et al. (2014) reported higher expression of NXR from NOB *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina* than that of Amo from *Thaumarchaeota* in an oxygen-deficient water column, Saanich Inlet, British Columbia, Canada. Taken together, distinctly higher nitrification rates in the hypoxic zone and extremely low oxygen concentrations suggest that the PRE system could not supply oxygen fast enough to meet the demands of NOB, and thus oxygen may not be the only electron acceptor. It was hypothesized that abundant NOB in a hypoxic zone might benefit from utilizing alternative terminal electron acceptors for nitrite oxidation, such as iodate, Mn(IV), or Fe(III) (Lam and Kuypers, 2011; Casciotti and Buchwald, 2012), which could be more reactive in the particles in hypoxic waters (Hsiao et al., 2014).

Significant positive relationships between AOM and NOB groups in the PRE hypoxic waters for both PA and FL communities suggest a coupling between ammonia and nitrite oxidizers. Similar observations were also found by Mincer et al. (2007) and Santoro et al. (2010) where the distribution profiles of total AOA and *Nitrospina* were correlated in some coastal and open-ocean habitats. In Namibian soils, network analysis also indicated that AOA and *Nitrospira* communities were highly correlated (Pester et al., 2013). The tight coupling between ammonia and nitrite oxidizers in abundance and spatial distribution, known as the "nitrification aggre-



Figure 8. Correlations between ammonia and nitrite oxidizers in the hypoxic zone of the PRE (sites P1–6). There are significant positive correlations ($n = 8$) between archaeal and β-proteobacterial *amo*A genes and *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina* 16S rRNA gene abundances in **(a)** FL and **(b)** PA communities. Error bars represent standard deviations.



Figure 9. Canonical correspondence analysis. **(a)** Ammonia and **(b)** nitrite oxidizers under the constraint of environmental factors. Each diamond represents an individual subgroup. Vectors represent the environmental variables. Temp stands for temperature.

gate" (Arp and Bottomley, 2006), could reflect their interactions (Daebeler et al., 2014). The reciprocal feeding (Daims et al., 2016) supports such interactions between nitrifiers. For example, urease-positive (Koch et al., 2015) or cyanase-positive (Starkenburg et al., 2006; Lücker et al., 2010, 2013; Palatinszky et al., 2015) NOB can provide AOM with ammonia from urea and cyanate degradation, while NOB obtain nitrite from the AOM. In high-particle-load environments, such reciprocal feeding interactions might be more prominent than in the open ocean because particles, as well as sludge flocs or biofilms, could provide matrices for the complex interactions of these nitrifiers.

4.3 Succession of dominant nitrifier groups from the estuary to the open ocean

Although the archaeal *amo*A genes were generally more abundant than the β-AOB *amo*A genes, significant positive correlations were observed between the β-AOB *amo*A gene abundance and the nitrification rate (oxidation of ammonia to nitrate) in the PRE ($r = 0.785$, $P < 0.05$; the partial Mantel test controlling for the effects of the NOB abundance: $R = 0.786$, $P < 0.01$). This result suggests that AOB might be more active than AOA, prefer estuarine habitats, and thus dominate the nitrification rate. AOA have been detected in great numbers in coastal and estuarine waters, such as the Columbia River estuary, Monterey Bay, Southern California Bight, San Francisco Bay, Yangtze River estuary, and Bering Strait (Crump et al., 2000; Mincer et al., 2007; Beman et al., 2008; Mosier et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2014a; Damashek et al., 2017), while AOB often comprise less than 0.1 % of the microbial community (Bothe et al., 2000). However, high abundance does not necessarily indicate high turnover rates (Zhang et al., 2014b), and AOB in ammonium-enriched environments might be highly active (Füssel, 2014) and thus substantially contribute to ammonia oxidation despite their low abundance. Similarly, the β-AOB *amo*A gene abundances have been correlated with potential nitrifying activities in the waters of the Seine River estuary (Cébron et al., 2003).



Figure 10. Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA). **(a)** Ammonia-oxidizing archaea, **(b)** *Nitrospina*, and **(c)** *Nitrospira* phylogenetic taxa under the constraint of environmental factors. Each symbol represents an individual OTU. Vectors represent the environmental variables. Temp stands for temperature. DO and pH were not included in **(a)** and **(b)** because they were not measured at SEATS.

Nitrospira was more abundant than *Nitrospina* in the upper and middle reaches of the PRE. Moreover, a significant positive correlation was observed between the *Nitrospira* 16S rRNA gene abundance and the nitrification rate in the PRE ($r = 0.791$, $P < 0.05$; the partial Mantel test controlling for the effects of the *amo*A gene abundance: $R = 0.163$, $P < 0.05$). These results suggest that *Nitrospira* could be well adapted to eutrophic estuarine environments, with both higher abundance and nitrifying potential. *Nitrospira* is widespread in diverse habitat types and especially abundant in freshwater (Koch et al., 2015) and estuarine (Cébron et al., 2005; Nakamura et al., 2006) environments, but less abundant in marine ecosystems (Hoffmann et al., 2009; Off et al., 2010) despite the fact that the first *Nitrospira* described was isolated from an ocean (Watson et al., 1986).

Archaea and *Nitrospina* became the dominant ammonia and nitrite oxidizers, respectively, along the transect from the PRE to the SCS. This succession of dominant groups can be explained by niche differentiation of these nitrifiers, which involves different adaptations to environmental parameters, ecological strategies, and microbe–microbe interactions. For instance, AOB and *Nitrospira* might be enriched on particles or aggregates (Phillips et al., 1999; Lam et al., 2004; Lebedeva et al., 2008; Haaijer et al., 2013; Ganesh et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014a) and play an important role in estuarine ecosystems characterized by high particle densities, whereas AOA and *Nitrospina* might be relatively more adaptable to a FL life strategy (Watson and Waterbury, 1971; Woebken et al., 2007; Ganesh et al., 2014) and thus abundant in low-particle environments.

4.4 Environmental parameters allowing niche differentiation

The CCA analysis based on qPCR data (Fig. 9) revealed that AOB and *Nitrospira* were more adaptable to high nutrient and TSM concentrations; in contrast, AOA and *Nitrospina* FL communities were more adaptable to water masses high in salinity, DO, and pH and to environments low in nutrients and TSM. To some extent, AOA and *Nitrospina* PA communities were positively influenced by TSM. The CCA analysis based on clone libraries (Fig. 10a) further revealed that AOA HAC groups D, E, and F were under the constraint of high-nutrient conditions, and HAC group A was positively influenced by TSM to an extent. The LAC groups Ba and Bb were under the constraint of high-salinity and low-temperature water masses. This is consistent with the phylogenetic analysis that indicates niche differentiation of AOA subgroups by adaptation to different ammonia levels. Similarly, the *Nitrospina* SCS cluster was under the constraint of high-salinity and low-temperature water masses, and other clusters were positively correlated with nutrients or TSM (Fig. 10b). The *Nitrospira* OTU-based ordination was obviously correlated with nutrients, DO, TSM, and salinity in the PRE. Overall, groups D and G were positively correlated with salinity and TSM, and other groups were regulated by nutrients and DO (Fig. 10c). Taken together, these CCA analyses show how environmental parameters allow for the niche differentiation of these nitrifiers.

The environmental factors included three types: water mass parameters (temperature, salinity, and silicate), substrate parameters (ammonia/ammonium, nitrite, and nitrate), and parameters influencing substrate availability (DO, TSM, and pH). AOA have been shown to be adaptable to low ammonia concentrations (< 10 nM ammonium threshold, $K_{m(app)} = \sim 3$ nM NH_3; Martens-Habbena et al., 2009; Kits et al., 2017), whereas AOB require higher concentrations of ammonia than usually observed in the ocean ($K_{\mathrm{m(app)}} = 0.25$–$157.50$ µM NH_3; Kits et al., 2017). Therefore, AOA are the major ammonia oxidizers in estuarine, coastal, and oceanic environments (Francis et al., 2005; Lam et al., 2007; Beman et al., 2008; Santoro et al., 2010), and AOB are favored in high-ammonium environments (Verhamme et al., 2011). Furthermore, the niche differentiation of AOA subgroups also shows their adaptation to different ammonia concentration/flux (Sintes et al., 2013, 2016; Nunoura et al., 2015).

Nitrite, a central intermediate compound in nitrification, was positively correlated with NOB 16S rRNA and β-proteobacterial *amo*A gene abundances ($P < 0.05$–0.01, Table S5). *Nitrospira* displays stronger correlations to nitrite than *Nitrospina* in the PRE, suggesting that *Nitrospira* is likely adapted to a higher nitrite flux (Spieck et al., 2006;



Figure 11. Correlations between nitrifier community composition and water mass parameters (temperature, salinity, and silicate), substrate parameters (ammonia/ammonium, nitrite, and nitrate), or parameters influencing substrate availability (TSM, DO, and pH). Standard and partial Mantel tests were run to measure the correlation between two matrices. Dissimilarity matrices of nitrifier communities were based on Bray–Curtis distances; environmental factors were based on Euclidean distances between samples. Spearman or Kendall's correlation coefficient (R) values are shown for standard (first value) and partial Mantel (second and third) tests. The P values were calculated using the distribution of the Mantel test statistics estimated from 999 permutations. * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$. Matrix of the nitrifier community was calculated according to **(a–c)** ammonia-oxidizing archaeal and bacterial abundances (AOB vs. AOA), **(d–f)** *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina* abundances (*Nitrospira* vs. *Nitrospina*), **(g–i)** ammonia- and nitrite-oxidizing microbial abundance (AOM vs. NOB), **(j–l)** FL and PA ammonia-oxidizing archaeal and bacterial abundances (AOB vs. AOA, FL vs. PA), and **(m–o)** FL and PA *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina* abundances (*Nitrospira* vs. *Nitrospina*, FL vs. PA). **(a, d, g, j, m)** Matrix of substrate parameters included NH_4^+, NO_2^-, and NO_3^- concentrations; **(b, e, h, k, n)** matrix of water mass parameters included temperature (temp), salinity, and SiO_3^{2-}; and **(c, f, i, l, o)** matrix of parameters influencing substrate availability included TSM, DO, and pH.

Lebedeva et al., 2008; Nunoura et al., 2015). Nitrite might be one major factor causing niche differentiation of NOB groups (Both and Laanbroek, 1991). Nitrate, a final product of nitrification, was also significantly positively correlated with *Nitrospira* 16S rRNA and β-proteobacterial *amo*A gene abundances ($P < 0.05$–0.01, Table S5). Both nitrite and nitrate concentrations were negatively correlated with archaeal *amo*A gene abundance in the estuary ($P < 0.05$–0.01, Table S5), which is consistent with the observations from the present study and previous studies that AOA are more dominant in oligotrophic environments (Wuchter et al., 2006; Newell et al., 2013).

Notably, all genes were significantly positively correlated with TSM concentrations in PA communities ($P < 0.05$–0.01, Table S5). The suspended particulate microniche could be beneficial to microbial activity because of the vicinal supply of nutrients or substrates from particles (Belser, 1979; Crump et al., 1998; Ouverney and Fuhrman, 2000; Teira et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2014a). Lower light inhibition could also be a potential reason because of particle protection (Lomas et al., 2006; Merbt et al., 2012). The DO concentrations showed a significant negative correlation to the β-AOB *amo*A and *Nitrospira* 16S rRNA gene abundances ($P < 0.05$, Table S5). Previous studies have shown that ammonia oxidizers are highly abundant under low-oxygen conditions because of relatively high ammonia levels (Lam et al., 2007; Beman et al., 2008; Park et al., 2010; Yan et al., 2012), which might benefit the activity of AOB. Accumulations of nitrite under low-oxygen conditions would also help NOB *Nitrospira* to oxidize nitrite (Füssel et al., 2012; Beman et al., 2013). pH was also negatively correlated with the β-AOB *amo*A and *Nitrospira* 16S rRNA gene abundances but positively correlated with the archaeal *amo*A gene ($P < 0.05$–0.01, Table S5). A similar observation was found by Li et al. (2011) in mangrove sediments at the northwestern corner of the New Territories of Hong Kong. However, AOA and AOB *amo*A gene abundances were both previously found to increase with pH in soils (Gubry-Rangin et al., 2011) and the open ocean (Nunoura et al., 2015). This is probably related to lower availability of the substrate (ammonia) due to increased ionization to ammonium as pH decreases. In an estuary with sufficient nutrients, such as the PRE, negative correlations between gene abundances and pH could in fact be attributed to covarying of pH with DO concentrations.

In estuarine ecosystems, water mass mixing highly influences the distribution of microbial populations. Both silicate and salinity have been previously recognized as one of the most common indicators with which to discriminate river water sources in the ocean (Moore, 1986). In this study, silicate concentrations and salinity were found to be positively and negatively correlated, respectively, with the β-AOB *amo*A and *Nitrospira* 16S rRNA gene abundances; the opposite correlations were observed in archaeal *amo*A gene abundance ($P < 0.05$–0.01, Table S5). These results suggest that β-AOB and *Nitrospira* recovered in the PRE could partly originate from the Pearl River or upstream and that AOA could partly originate from the SCS.

Partial Mantel tests were further applied to the qPCR data set and environmental parameters to eliminate the covarying effect of water mass and substrate availability, and to identify the major process that influences the nitrifier distribution from the estuary to open ocean (Fig. 11). Variations in the distribution of nitrifier populations along the transect were significantly correlated with water mass mixing and substrate availability (standard and partial Mantel tests, $P < 0.05$–0.01), except that ammonia-oxidizing populations were only correlated with water mass properties (Fig. 11a–i). Notably, however, water mass parameters and those influencing substrate availability significantly controlled variations in the distribution of FL and PA nitrifier populations along the transect (standard and partial Mantel tests, $P < 0.05$–0.01, Fig. 11j–o). This suggests that nitrifiers' life strategies to some extent allow them to be adaptable to substrate availability.

5 Summary

Our work explored the niche differentiation of main nitrifier groups (AOA, β-AOB, NOB *Nitrospira* and *Nitrospina*) from an estuary (PRE) to the open ocean (SCS) and investigated possible environmental parameters allowing this niche differentiation. These environmental factors included water mass parameters (temperature, salinity, and silicate), substrate parameters (ammonia/ammonium, nitrite, and nitrate), and parameters influencing substrate availability (DO, TSM, and pH). We showed that, from the PRE to the SCS, niche differentiation of nitrifier populations is primarily regulated by water mass mixing and the availability of electron donors (substrate availability). Additionally, the nitrifier populations might have specific adaptations to different substrate conditions provided through their ecological/life strategies (e.g., particle-attached). Therefore, the abundance and activity of nitrifiers could reflect a possible substrate (e.g., ammonia/ammonium or nitrite) flux/availability in ecosystems, providing a biogeochemical clue for understanding carbon and nitrogen cycles.

Data availability. The sequences used for this study were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers KY387947–KY388465 and MG025956–MG026485. The qPCR data are available within this paper (Table S1). Other data can be accessed in the form of Excel spreadsheets via the corresponding author.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-5169-2018-supplement.

Author contributions. YZ conceived and designed the experiments. LH, XX, and XW performed the experiments. LH, XX, YZ, and XW analyzed the data. YZ, LH, and XX wrote the paper. XW, SJK, and NJ contributed to the interpretation of results and critical revision.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. We thank Minhan Dai for providing the sampling opportunity during the PRE cruise and nutrient data. We also thank Zuhui Zuo, Zhuoyu Chen, and Duo Zhao for their assistance in DNA/RNA extraction and qPCR measurements. This work was funded by the National Key Research and Development Programs (2016YFA0601400); National Program on Global Change and Air-Sea Interaction (GASI-03-01-02-03); and National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) projects 41676125, 41721005, and 91428308. This study is a contribution to the international IMBER project. We thank Kara Bogus, PhD, from Liwen Bianji, Edanz Editing China (www.liwenbianji.cn/ac; last access: April 2018), for editing the English text of a draft of this paper.

Edited by: Syed Wajih Ahmed Naqvi
Reviewed by: Takuro Nunoura and one anonymous referee

References

Alawi, M., Lipski, A., Sanders, T., and Spieck, E.: Cultivation of a novel cold-adapted nitrite oxidizing betaproteobacterium from the Siberian Arctic, ISME J., 1, 256–264, 2007.

Arp, D. J. and Bottomley, P. J.: Nitrifiers: More than 100 years from isolation to genome sequences, Microbe, 1, 229–234, 2006.

Belser, L. W.: Population ecology of nitrifying bacteria, Annu. Rev. Microbiol., 33, 309–333, 1979.

Beman, J. M., Popp, B. N., and Francis, C. A.: Molecular and biogeochemical evidence for ammonia oxidation by marine *Crenarchaeota* in the Gulf of California, ISME J., 2, 429–441, 2008.

Beman, J. M., Shih, J. L., and Popp, B. N.: Nitrite oxidation in the upper water column and oxygen minimum zone of the eastern tropical North Pacific Ocean, ISME J., 7, 2192–2205, 2013.

Bernhard, A. E., Landry, Z. C., Blevins, A., José, R., Giblin, A. E., and Stahl, D. A.: Abundance of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria along an estuarine salinity gradient in relation to potential nitrification rates, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 76, 1285–1289, 2010.

Both, G. J. and Laanbroek, H. J.: The effect of the incubation period on the result of MPN enumerations of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria: theoretical considerations, FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 85, 335–344, 1991.

Bothe, H., Jost, G., Schloter, M., Ward, B. B., and Witzel, K.-P.: Molecular analysis of ammonia oxidation and denitrification in natural environments, FEMS Microbiol. Rev., 24, 673–690, 2000.

Bristow, L. A., Sarode, N., Cartee, J., Caro-Quintero, A., Thamdrup, B., and Stewart, F. J.: Biogeochemical and metagenomic analysis of nitrite accumulation in the Gulf of Mexico hypoxic zone, Limnol. Oceanogr., 60, 1733–1750, 2015.

Bristow, L. A., Dalsgaard, T., Tiano, L., Mills, D. B., Bertagnolli, A. D., Wright, J. J., Hallam, S. J., Ulloa, O., Canfield, D. E., Revsbech, N. P., and Thamdrup, B.: Ammonium and nitrite oxidation at nanomolar oxygen concentrations in oxygen minimum zone waters, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 113, 10601–10606, 2016.

Carpenter, J. H.: The Chesapeake Bay Institute technique for the Winkler dissolved oxygen method, Limnol. Oceanogr., 10, 141–143, 1965.

Casciotti, K. L. and Buchwald, C.: Insights on the marine microbial nitrogen cycle from isotopic approaches to nitrification, Front. Microbiol., 3, 1–14, 2012.

Casciotti, K. L., Buchwald, C., and McIlvin, M.: Implications of nitrate and nitrite isotopic measurements for the mechanisms of nitrogen cycling in the Peru oxygen deficient zone, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. I, 80, 78–93, 2013.

Crump, B. C., Baross, J. A., and Simenstad, C. A.: Dominance of particle-attached bacteria in the Columbia River estuary, USA, Aquat. Microb. Ecol., 14, 7–18, 1998.

Crump, B. C. and Baross, J. A.: Archaeaplankton in the Columbia River, its estuary and the adjacent coastal ocean, USA, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol, 31, 231–239, 2000.

Cébron, A., Berthe, T., and Garnier J.: Nitrification and nitrifying bacteria in the Lower Seine River and Estuary (France), Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 69, 7091–7100, 2003.

Cébron, A., Garnier, J., and Billen, G.: Nitrous oxide production and nitrification kinetics by natural bacterial communities of the lowerSeine river (France), Aquat. Microb. Ecol., 41, 25–38, 2005.

Daebeler, A., Bodelier, P. L., Yan, Z., Hefting, M. M., Jia, Z., and Laanbroek, H. J.: Interactions between *Thaumarchaea*, *Nitrospira* and methanotrophs modulate autotrophic nitrification in volcanic grassland soil, ISME J., 8, 2397–2410, 2014.

Dai, M., Guo, X., Zhai, W., Yuan, L., Wang, B., Wang, L., and Cai, W. J.: Oxygen depletion in the upper reach of the Pearl River estuary during a winter drought, Mar. Chem., 102, 159–169, 2006.

Dai, M., Wang, L., Guo, X., Zhai, W., Li, Q., He, B., and Kao, S.-J.: Nitrification and inorganic nitrogen distribution in a large perturbed river/estuarine system: the Pearl River Estuary, China, Biogeosciences, 5, 1227–1244, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-1227-2008, 2008.

Daims, H., Nielsen, J. L., Nielsen, P. H., Schleifer, K.-H., and Wagner, M.: In situ characterization of *Nitrospira*-like nitrite-oxidizing bacteria active in wastewater treatment plants, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 67, 5273–5284, 2001.

Daims, H., Lücker, S., and Wagner, M.: A new perspective on microbes formerly known as nitrite-oxidizing bacteria, Trends Microbiol., 24, 699–712, 2016.

Damashek, J., Pettie, K. P., Brown, Z. W., Mills, M. M., Arrigo, K. R., and Francis, C. A.: Regional patterns in ammonia-oxidizing communities throughout Chukchi Sea waters from the Bering Strait to the Beaufort Sea, Aquat. Microb. Ecol., 79, 273–286, 2017.

Ehrich, S., Behrens, D., Lebedeva, E., Ludwig, W., and Bock, E.: A new obligately chemolithoautotrophic, nitrite-oxidizing bacterium, *Nitrospira moscoviensis* sp. nov. and its phylogenetic relationship, Arch. Microbiol., 164, 16–23, 1995.

Erguder, T. H., Boon, N., Wittebolle, L., Marzorati, M., and Verstraete, W.: Environmental factors shaping the ecological niches

of ammonia-oxidizing archaea, FEMS Microbiol. Rev., 33, 855–869, 2009.

Feng, G., Sun, W., Zhang, F., Karthik, L., and Li, Z.: Inhabitancy of active *Nitrosopumilus*-like ammonia-oxidizing archaea and *Nitrospira* nitrite-oxidizing bacteria in the sponge *Theonella swinhoei*, Sci. Rep., 6, 24966, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24966, 2016.

Francis, C. A., Roberts, K. J., Beman, J. M., Santoro, A. E., and Oakley, B. B.: Ubiquity and diversity of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in water columns and sediments of the ocean, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 102, 14683–14688, 2005.

Füssel, J.: Impacts and importance of ammonia and nitrite oxidation in the marine nitrogen cycle, PhD thesis, Max Planck Institute for Microbial Ecology, Bremen, Germany, 166 pp., 2014.

Füssel, J., Lam, P., Lavik, G., Jensen, M. M., Holtappels, M., Günter, M., and Kuypers, M. M.: Nitrite oxidation in the Namibian oxygen minimum zone, ISME J., 6, 1200–1209, 2012.

Ganesh, S., Parris, D. J., DeLong, E. F., and Stewart, F. J.: Metagenomic analysis of size-fractionated picoplankton in a marine oxygen minimum zone, ISME J., 8, 187–211, 2014.

Gubry-Rangin, C., Hai, B., Quince, C., Engel, M., Thomson, B. C., James, P., Schloter, M., Griffiths, R. I., Prosser, J. I., and Nicol, G. W.: Niche specialization of terrestrial archaeal ammonia oxidizers, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 108, 21206–21211, 2011.

Haaijer, S. C. M., Ji, K., Van Niftrik, L., Hoischen, A., Speth, D. R., Jetten, M. S., Damsté, J. S. S., and Op Den Camp, H. J.: A novel marine nitrite-oxidizing *Nitrospira* species from Dutch coastal North Sea water, Front. Microbiol., 4, 1–12, 2013.

Han, A., Dai, M., Kao, S. J., Gan, J., Li, Q., Wang, L., Zhai, W., and Wang, L.: Nutrient dynamics and biological consumption in a large continental shelf system under the influence of both a river plume and coastal upwelling, Limnol. Oceanogr., 57, 486–502, 2012.

Hatzenpichler, R., Lebedeva, E. V., Spieck, E., Stoecker, K., Richter, A., Daims, H., and Wagner, M.: A moderately thermophilic ammonia-oxidizing crenarchaeote from a hot spring, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 105, 2134–2139, 2008.

Hawley, A. K., Brewer, H. M., Norbeck, A. D., Paša-Tolić, L., and Hallam, S. J.: Metaproteomics reveals differential modes of metabolic coupling among ubiquitous oxygen minimum zone microbes, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 111, 11395–11400, 2014.

He, B., Dai, M., Zhai, W., Wang, L., Wang, K., Chen, J., and Xu, Y.: Distribution, degradation and dynamics of dissolved organic carbon and its major compound classes in the Pearl River estuary, China, Mar. Chem., 119, 52–64, 2010.

He, B., Dai, M., Zhai, W., Guo, X., and Wang, L.: Hypoxia in the upper reaches of the Pearl River Estuary and its maintenance mechanisms: A synthesis based on multiple year observations during 2000–2008, Mar. Chem., 167, 13–24, 2014.

Hoffmann, F., Radax, R., Woebken, D., Holtappels, M., Lavik, G., Rapp, H. T., Schläppy, M., Schleper, C., and Kuypers, M. M.: Complex nitrogen cycling in the sponge *Geodia barrette*, Environ. Microbiol., 11, 2228–2243, 2009.

Hollibaugh, J. T., Gifford, S., Sharma, S., Bano, N., and Moran, M. A.: Metatranscriptomic analysis of ammonia-oxidizing organisms in an estuarine bacterioplankton assemblage, ISME J., 5, 866–878, 2011.

Hsiao, S. S.-Y., Hsu, T.-C., Liu, J.-w., Xie, X., Zhang, Y., Lin, J., Wang, H., Yang, J.-Y. T., Hsu, S.-C., Dai, M., and Kao, S.-J.: Nitrification and its oxygen consumption along the turbid Chang Jiang River plume, Biogeosciences, 11, 2083–2098, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-2083-2014, 2014.

Huber, T., Faulkner, G., and Hugenholtz, P.: Bellerophon: a program to detect chimeric sequences in multiple sequence alignments, Bioinformatics, 20, 2317–2319, 2004.

Johnson, J. L.: Similarity analysis of DNAs, in: Methods for General and Molecular Bacteriology, edited by: Gerhardt, P., Murray, R. G. E., Wood, W. A., and Krieg, N. R., Washington, DC, American Society for Microbiology Press, 655–682, 1994.

Juretschko, S., Timmermann, G., Schmid, M., Schleifer, K.-H., Pommerening-Röser, A., Koops, H.-P., and Wagner, M.: Combined molecular and conventional analyses of nitrifying bacterium diversity in activated sludge: *Nitrosococcus mobilis* and *Nitrospira*-like bacteria as dominant populations, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 64, 3042–3051, 1998.

Keuter, S., Beth, S., Quantz, G., Schulz, C., and Spieck, E.: Longterm Monitoring of Nitrification and Nitrifying Communities during Biofilter Activation of Two Marine Recirculation Aquaculture Systems (RAS), Int. J. Aquac. Fish. Sci., 3, 51–61, 2017.

Kim, O. S., Junier, P., Imhoff, J. F., and Witzel, K. P.: Comparative analysis of ammonia monooxygenase (*amo*A) genes in the water column and sediment–water interface of two lakes and the Baltic Sea, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 66, 367–378, 2008.

Kits, K. D., Sedlacek, C. J., Lebedeva, E. V., Han, P., Bulaev, A., Pjevac, P., Daebeler, A., Romano, S., Albertsen, M., Stein, L. Y., Daims, H., and Wagner, M.: Kinetic analysis of a complete nitrifier reveals an oligotrophic lifestyle, Nature, 549, 269–272, 2017.

Koch, H., Lücker, S., Albertsen, M., Kitzinger, K., Herbold, C., Spieck, E., and Daims, H.: Expanded metabolic versatility of ubiquitous nitrite-oxidizing bacteria from the genus *Nitrospira*, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 112, 11371–11376, 2015.

Koops, H.-P. and Pommerening-Röser, A.: Distribution and ecophysiology of the nitrifying bacteria emphasizing cultured species, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 37, 1–9, 2001.

Lam, P., Cowen, J. P., and Jones, R. D.: Autotrophic ammonia oxidation in a deep-sea hydrothermal plume, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 47, 191–206, 2004.

Lam, P., Jensen, M. M., Lavik, G., McGinnis, D. F., Müller, B., Schubert, C. J., Amann, R., Thamdrup, B., and Kuypers, M. M.: Linking crenarchaeal and bacterial nitrification to anammox in the Black Sea, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 104, 7104–7109, 2007.

Lam, P. and Kuypers, M. M.: Microbial nitrogen cycling processes in oxygen minimum zones, Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci., 3, 317–345, 2011.

Lebedeva, E. V., Alawi, M., Maixner, F., Jozsa, P.-G., Daims, H., and Spieck, E.: Physiological and phylogenetic characterization of a novel lithoautotrophic nitrite-oxidizing bacterium, "*Candidatus* Nitrospira bockiana", Int. J. Syst. Evol. Micr., 58, 242–250, 2008.

Leininger, S., Urich, T., Schloter, M., Schwark, L., Qi, J., Nicol, G. W., Prosser, J. I., Schuster, S. C., and Schleper, C.: Archaea predominate among ammonia-oxidizing prokaryotes in soils, Nature, 442, 806–809, 2006.

Li, J., Nedwell, D. B., Beddow, J., Dumbrell, A. J., McKew, B. A., Thorpe, E. L., and Whitby, C.: *amo*A gene abundances and nitrification potential rates suggest that benthic ammonia-oxidizing

bacteria (AOB) not archaea (AOA) dominate N cycling in the Colne estuary, UK, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 81, 159–165, 2014.

Li, M., Cao, H., Hong, Y., and Gu, J. D.: Spatial distribution and abundances of ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) and ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) in mangrove sediments, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 89, 1243–1254, 2011.

Lomas, M. W. and Lipschultz, F.: Forming the primary nitrite maximum: Nitrifiers or phytoplankton?, Limnol. Oceanogr., 51, 2453–2467, 2006.

Lücker, S., Wagner, M., Maixner, F., Pelletier, E., Koch, H., Vacherie, B., and Daims, H.: A *Nitrospira* metagenome illuminates the physiology and evolution of globally important nitrite-oxidizing bacteria, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 13479–13484, 2010.

Lücker, S., Nowka, B., Rattei, T., Spieck, E., and Daims, H.: The genome of *Nitrospina gracilis* illuminates the metabolism and evolution of the major marine nitrite oxidizer, Front. Microbiol., 4, 1–18, 2013.

Magalhães, C., Bano, N., Wiebe, W. J., Bordalo, A. A., and Hollibaugh, J. T.: Dynamics of nitrous oxide reductase genes (*nos*Z) in intertidal rocky biofilms and sediments of the Douro River Estuary (Portugal), and their relation to N-biogeochemistry, Microb. Ecol., 55, 259–269, 2008.

Martens-Habbena, W., Berube, P. M., Urakawa, H., de La Torre, J. R., and Stahl, D. A.: Ammonia oxidation kinetics determine niche separation of nitrifying archaea and bacteria, Nature, 461, 976–979, 2009.

Merbt, S. N., Stahl, D. A., Casamayor, E. O., Martí, E., Nicol, G. W., and Prosser, J. I.: Differential photoinhibition of bacterial and archaeal ammonia oxidation, FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 327, 41–46, 2012.

Mincer, T. J., Church, M. J., Taylor, L. T., Preston, C., Karl, D. M., and DeLong, E. F.: Quantitative distribution of presumptive archaeal and bacterial nitrifiers in Monterey Bay and the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre, Environ. Microbiol., 9, 1162–1175, 2007.

Moore, W. S., Sarmiento, J. L., and Key, R. M.: Tracing the Amazon component of surface Atlantic water using ^{228}Ra, salinity and silica, J. Geophys. Res., 91, 2574–2580, 1986.

Mosier, A. C. and Francis, C. A.: Relative abundance and diversity of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria in the San Francisco Bay estuary, Environ. Microbiol., 10, 3002–3016, 2008.

Nakamura, Y., Satoh, H., Kindaichi, T., and Okabe, S.: Community structure, abundance, and in situ activity of nitrifying bacteria in river sediments as determined by the combined use of molecular techniques and microelectrodes, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 1532–1539, 2006.

Newell, S. E., Fawcett, S. E., and Ward, B. B.: Depth distribution of ammonia oxidation rates and ammonia-oxidizer community composition in the Sargasso Sea, Limnol. Oceanogr., 58, 1491–1500, 2013.

Ngugi, D. K., Blom, J., Stepanauskas, R., and Stingl, U.: Diversification and niche adaptations of *Nitrospina*-like bacteria in the polyextreme interfaces of Red Sea brines, ISME J., 10, 1383–1399, 2016.

Nunoura, T., Nishizawa, M., Kikuchi, T., Tsubouchi, T., Hirai, M., Koide, O., Miyazaki, J., Hirayama, H., Koba, K., and Takai, K.: Molecular biological and isotopic biogeochemical prognoses of the nitrification-driven dynamic microbial nitrogen cycle in hadopelagic sediments, Environ. Microbiol., 15, 3087–3107, 2013.

Nunoura, T., Takaki, Y., Hirai, M., Shimamura, S., Makabe, A., Koide, O., Kikuchi, T., Miyazaki, J., Koba, K., Yoshida, N., Sunamura, M., and Takai, K.: Hadal biosphere: insight into the microbial ecosystem in the deepest ocean on Earth, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 112, E1230–E1236, 2015.

Off, S., Alawi, M., and Spieck, E.: Enrichment and physiological characterization of a novel *Nitrospira*-like bacterium obtained from a marine sponge, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 76, 4640–4646, 2010.

Ouverney, C. C. and Fuhrman, J. A.: Marine planktonic archaea take up amino acids, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 66, 4829–4833, 2000.

Pachiadaki, M. G., Sintes, E., Bergauer, K., Brown, J. M., Record, N. R., Swan, B. K., Mathyer, M. E., Hallam, S. J., Lopez-Garcia, P., Takaki, Y., Nunoura, T., Woyke, T., Herndl, G. J., and Stepanauskas, R.: Major role of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria in dark ocean carbon fixation, Science, 358, 1046–1051, 2017.

Pai, S. C., Tsau, Y. J., and Yang, T. I.: pH and buffering capacity problems involved in the determination of ammonia in saline water using the indophenol blue spectrophotometric method, Anal. Chim. Acta., 434, 209–216, 2001.

Palatinszky, M., Herbold, C., Jehmlich, N., Pogoda, M., Han, P., von Bergen, M., and Berry, D.: Cyanate as an energy source for nitrifiers, Nature, 524, 105–108, 2015.

Park, B.-J., Park, S.-J., Yoon, D.-N., Schouten, S., Damsté, J. S. S., and Rhee, S.-K.: Cultivation of autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing archaea from marine sediments in coculture with sulfur-oxidizing bacteria, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 76, 7575–7587, 2010.

Pester, M., Rattei, T., Flechl, S., Gröngröft, A., Richter, A., Overmann, J., Reinhold-Hurek, B., Loy, A., and Wagner, M.: *Amo*A-based consensus phylogeny of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and deep sequencing of *amo*A genes from soils of four different geographic regions, Environ. Microbiol., 14, 525–539, 2012.

Pester, M., Maixner, F., Berry, D., Rattei, T., Koch, H., Lücker, S., Boris, N., Richter, A., Spieck, E., Lebedeva, E., Loy, A., Wagner, M., and Daims, H.: *Nxr*B encoding the beta subunit of nitrite oxidoreductase as functional and phylogenetic marker for nitrite-oxidizing *Nitrospira*, Environ. Microbiol., 16, 3055–3071, 2013.

Phillips, C. J., Smith, Z., Embley, T. M., and Prosser, J. I.: Phylogenetic differences between particle-associated and planktonic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria of the β subdivision of the class *Proteobacteria* in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 65, 779–786, 1999.

Rani, S., Koh, H. W., Rhee, S. K., Fujitani, H., and Park, S. J.: Detection and diversity of the nitrite oxidoreductase alpha subunit (*nxr*A) gene of *Nitrospina* in marine sediments, Microb. Ecol., 73, 111–122, 2017.

Renaud, M.: Hypoxia in Louisiana coastal waters during 1983: implications for fisheries, Fish. B., 84, 19–26, 1986.

Santoro, A. E., Casciotti, K. L., and Francis, C. A.: Activity, abundance and diversity of nitrifying archaea and bacteria in the central California Current, Environ. Microbiol., 12, 1989–2006, 2010.

Schloss, P. D. and Handelsman, J.: Introducing DOTUR, a computer program for defining operational taxonomic units and estimat-

ing species richness, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 71, 1501–1506, 2005.

Schwarz, J.: Exploring the distribution and activity of novel nitrite oxidizers in their natural and environmental habitats, Masterarbeit, Universit Wien, 2013.

Sigman, D. M., Casciotti, K. L., Andreani, M., Barford, C., Galanter, M., and Böhlke, J. K.: A Bacterial Method for the Nitrogen Isotopic Analysis of Nitrate in Seawater and Freshwater, Anal. Chem., 73, 4145–4153, 2001.

Sintes, E., Bergauer, K., De Corte, D., Yokokawa, T., and Herndl, G. J.: Archaeal *amo*A gene diversity points to distinct biogeography of ammonia-oxidizing *Crenarchaeota* in the ocean, Environ. Microbiol., 15, 1647–1658, 2013.

Sintes, E., De Corte, D., Haberleitner, E., and Herndl, G. J.: Geographic distribution of archaeal ammonia oxidizing ecotypes in the Atlantic Ocean, Front. Microbiol., 7, 1–14, 2016.

Sorokin, D. Y., Lücker, S., Vejmelkova, D., Kostrikina, N. A., Kleerebezem, R., Rijpstra, W. I. C., Damsté, J. S. P., Paslier, D. L., Muyzer, G., Wagner, M., Van Loosdrecht, M. C., and Daims, H.: Nitrification expanded: discovery, physiology and genomics of a nitrite-oxidizing bacterium from the phylum *Chloroflexi*, ISME J., 6, 2245, https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.70, 2012.

Spieck, E. and Bock, E.: The lithoautotrophic nitrite oxidizing bacteria, in: Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, edited by: Brenner, D. J., Krieg, N. R., Staley, J. T., and Garrity, G. M., New York, USA, Springer, 149–153, 2005.

Spieck, E., Hartwig, C., McCormack, I., Maixner, F., Wagner, M., Lipski, A., and Daims, H.: Selective enrichment and molecular characterization of a previously uncultured *Nitrospira*-like bacterium from activated sludge, Environ. Microbiol., 8, 405–415, 2006.

Starkenburg, S. R., Chain, P. S., Sayavedra-Soto, L. A., Hauser, L., Land, M. L., Larimer, F. W., and Hickey, W. J.: Genome sequence of the chemolithoautotrophic nitrite-oxidizing bacterium *Nitrobacter winogradskyi* Nb-255, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 72, 2050–2063, 2006.

Taylor, M. W., Radax, R., Steger, D., and Wagner, M.: Sponge-associated microorganisms: evolution, ecology, and biotechnological potential, Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev., 71, 295–347, 2007.

Teira, E., van Aken, H., Veth, C., and Herndl, G. J.: Archaeal uptake of enantiomeric amino acids in the meso- and bathypelagic waters of the North Atlantic, Limnol. Oceanogr., 51, 60–69, 2006.

Ter-Braak, C. J.: CANOCO – an extension of DECORANA to analyze species-environment relationships, Hydrobiologia, 184, 169–170, 1989.

Tourna, M., Freitag, T. E., Nicol, G. W., and Prosser, J. I.: Growth, activity and temperature responses of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria in soil microcosms, Environ. Microbiol. 10, 1357–1364, 2008.

Tourna, M., Stieglmeier, M., Spang, A., Könneke, M., Schintlmeister, A., Urich, T., Engel, M., Schloter, M., Wagner, M., Richter, A., and Schleper, C.: *Nitrososphaera viennensis*, an ammonia oxidizing archaeon from soil, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 108, 8420–8425, 2011.

Verhamme, D. T., Prosser, J. I., and Nicol, G. W.: Ammonia concentration determines differential growth of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria in soil microcosms, ISME J., 5, 1067, https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.191, 2011.

Wang, D., Lin, W., Yang, X., Zhai, W., Dai, M., and Chen, C. T. A.: Occurrences of dissolved trace metals (Cu, Cd, and Mn) in the Pearl River Estuary (China), a large river-groundwater-estuary system, Cont. Shelf. Res., 50, 54–63, 2012.

Wankel, S. D., Mosier, A. C., Hansel, C. M., Paytan, A., and Francis, C. A.: Spatial variability in nitrification rates and ammonia-oxidizing microbial communities in the agriculturally impacted Elkhorn Slough estuary, California, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 77, 269–280, 2011.

Watson, S. W. and Waterbury, J. B.: Characteristics of two marine nitrite oxidizing bacteria, *Nitrospina gracilis* nov. gen. nov. sp. and *Nitrococcus mobilis* nov. gen. nov. sp, Arch. Microbiol., 77, 203–230, 1971.

Watson, S. W., Bock, E., Valois, F. W., Waterbury, J. B., and Schlosser, U.: *Nitrospira marina* gen. nov. sp. nov.: a chemolithotrophic nitrite-oxidizing bacterium, Arch. Microbiol., 144, 1–7, 1986.

Wertz, S., Poly, F., Le Roux, X., and Degrange, V.: Development and application of a PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis tool to study the diversity of *Nitrobacter*-like *nxr*A sequences in soil, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 63, 261–271, 2008.

Woebken, D., Fuchs, B. M., Kuypers, M. M. M., and Amann, R.: Potential interactions of particle-associated anammox bacteria with bacterial and archaeal partners in the Namibian upwelling system, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 73, 4648–4657, 2007.

Wong, G. T., Ku, T. L., Mulholland, M., Tseng, C. M., and Wang, D. P.: The SouthEast Asian time-series study (SEATS) and the biogeochemistry of the South China Sea–an overview, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 54, 1434–1447, 2007.

Wuchter, C., Abbas, B., Coolen, M. J., Herfort, L., van Bleijswijk, J., Timmers, P., Strous, M., Teira, E., Herndl, G. J., Middelburg, J. J., Schouten, S., and Sinninghe Damste, J. S.: Archaeal nitrification in the ocean, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 103, 12317–12322, 2006.

Yan, J., Haaijer, S., Op den Camp, H. J., Niftrik, L., Stahl, D. A., Könneke, M., Rush, D., Damsté, J. S. S., Hu, Y., and Jetten, M. S.: Mimicking the oxygen minimum zones: stimulating interaction of aerobic archaeal and anaerobic bacterial ammonia oxidizers in a laboratory-scale model system, Environ. Microbiol., 14, 3146–3158, 2012.

Zhang, Y., Xie, X., Jiao, N., Hsiao, S. S.-Y., and Kao, S.-J.: Diversity and distribution of amoA-type nitrifying and nirS-type denitrifying microbial communities in the Yangtze River estuary, Biogeosciences, 11, 2131–2145, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-2131-2014, 2014a.

Zhang, Y., Zhao, Z., Dai, M., Jiao, N., and Herndl, G. J.: Drivers shaping the diversity and biogeography of total and active bacterial communities in the South China Sea, Mol. Ecol., 23, 2260–2274, 2014b.