



Supplement of

Influence of climate variability, fire and phosphorus limitation on vegetation structure and dynamics of the Amazon–Cerrado border

Emily Ane Dionizio et al.

Correspondence to: Emily Ane Dionizio (emilyy.ane@gmail.com)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 3.0 License.

This document provides supplementary information for the publication
 "Influence of climate variability, fire and phosphorus limitation on vegetation structure
 and dynamics of the Amazon–Cerrado border" to appear in *Biogeosciences*.

- 4
- 5

S1. Regional phosphorus database

We used 54 samples of phosphorus content in the soil, of which 52 were
obtained via the Mehlich-1 extraction (H₂SO₄, 0.025mol L⁻¹ + HCl 0.05mol L⁻¹) 1:10
soil: solution ratio, and two are direct measurements of total phosphorus content (P_{total})
in the soil. In addition to these samples collected in the field, a phosphorus soil map of
the Amazon was also used (Quesada et al., 2010).

11 All 54 samples of P content were provided by researchers from the UNEMAT – 12 State University of Mato Grosso, collected for different vegetation types ranging from 13 sparse physiognomies like *Campo de Murundus*, open flooded field, *Cerrado típico* to 14 more dense forest formations such as *Cerradão*, semideciduous forest, evergreen 15 seasonal forest and gallery forest in the Amazon-Cerrado transition region in the state of 16 Mato Grosso.

The database of Quesada et al. (2010) provides data of physicochemical properties of the soil, and Hedley fractionation data with eight different fractions of phosphorus content in the soil, including: phosphorus extracted by resin (P_{resin}), P_{total} and residual. The location, name of the experimental sites, value of P_{total} , P_{Resin} and clay percent used to establish the relationship between $P_{-Mehlich-1}$ and P_{total} are shown in Table S1.

Based on the Freire (2001) equation, the amount of phosphorus remaining in the soil, i.e., the existing amount of P in the soil (P_{rem}) was estimated for each site, based on their clay content:

26	$P_{rom} = 52.44 -$	$0.9646 \text{ C} + 0.005 \text{ C}^2$	$R^2 = 0.747$	(1)
	- ieiii			(-)

where P_{rem} is expressed in mg L⁻¹ and C is the clay content in %. P_{rem} is the P concentration that remains in solution after shaking soil with 0.01 mol L⁻¹ CaCl₂ containing 60 mg L⁻¹ P (Alves and Lavorenti, 2006).

After obtaining of the remaining values (P_{rem}), it was necessary to estimate the phosphorus maximum adsorption capacity (CMAP) of each soil, in order to calculate how much phosphorus each soil is capable of adsorbing.

Based on significative data from several studies (Bognola, 1995; Campello et al.,
1994; Fabres, 1986; Gonçalves, 1988; Ker, 1995; Moreira, 1988; Muniz, 1983;
Novelino, 1999; Paula, 1993), Neves (2000) proposed Equation (2) to calculate CMAP
from P_{rem}:

37
$$CMAP = 1816.1 - 373.72 \log P_{rem}$$
 $R^2 = 0.751$ (2)

38 where CMAP is expressed in mg kg⁻¹ and P_{rem} in mg L⁻¹.

Knowing the CMAP, Neves (2000) also proposed a robust model (Equation 3),
which estimates how much the Mehlich-1 extraction is able to removing the P added in
each soil sample (P_{-Mehlich-1}/P_{Adc}). This relationship was adjusted based on 31 soil
samples data from the work of Bahia-Filho (1982), Muniz (1983), Gonçalves (1988)
and Novelino (1999);

44

45
$$\frac{P_{-Mehlich-1}}{P_{Adc}} = 380.96 \text{ CMAP}^{-1.2101} \text{ R}^2 = 0.734, (p<0.001)$$
 (3)

46 where P_{Adc} is the added dose of P in soil expressed in mg kg⁻¹.

47 Finally, knowing $P_{-Mehlich-1}/P_{Adc}$, $P_{resin}/P_{-Mehlich-1}$ was estimated using the Equation 48 (4) established by Neves (2000) with r=0.899, n=26.

49
$$\frac{P_{resin}}{P_{-Mehlich-1}} = 0.5553 \left(\frac{P_{-Mehlich-1}}{P_{Adc}}\right)^{0.6002} R^2 = 0.808, (p<0.001)$$

50 (4)

51 where P_{resin} is expressed in mg kg⁻¹.

With the P_{resin} values and the ratio estimated by Equation (4), $P_{-Mehlich-1}$ values for all stations in Quesada et al. (2010) were estimated (P_{m1}_{est} , expressed in mg kg⁻¹). Table 2 shows the estimates obtained by Equations (1), (2), (3) and (4) for the 26 sites in the Amazon.

Obtaining $P_{m1_{est}}$ values for locations where data for clay content and P_{total} (mg kg⁻¹) were available enabled the development of a linear regression model (Equation 5) that estimates P_{total} from $P_{m1_{est}}$ (mg kg⁻¹) and C (%) with r = 0.639.

59
$$P_{total} = 72.4628 + 0.639 (P_{m1_{est}} C) R^2 = 0.408, (p<0.001)$$
 (5)

Although the R² value is low, the regression is significant at p<0.01. The product (P_{_m1_est} C) was used to correct the effect of soil clay contribution on P_{-Mehlich-1} values, which tends to remove smaller amounts of P, for high values of clay. Applying the Equation (5) to the observated data, P_{total} was estimated for 54 field samples collected in Mato Grosso. These results are presented in Table S2 and were incorporated to the regional dataset of Quesada et al. (2010). Depending on the spatial distribution of the samples, the average for the P_{total} values was calculated inside each $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ pixel.

O	bservatio	on data		Estimated data				
Quesada et al. (2010)				P _{rem}	СМАР	$rac{P_{-Mehlich-1}}{P_{Adc}}$	$\frac{P_{\text{resin}}}{P_{-\text{Mehlich}-1}}$	P_m1_est
Plot	Presin	P _{total}	Clay					
ID	mg kg ⁻¹	mg kg ⁻¹	%	mg L ⁻¹	mg kg ⁻¹	mg kg ⁻¹	mg kg ⁻¹	mg kg ⁻¹
'RIO-12'	3.42	178.96	9.50	43.7	404.2	0.27	1.23	2.79
'ELD-12'	5.34	173.59	20.1	35.1	486.9	0.21	1.40	3.80
'SCR-01'	2.36	65.85	6.88	46.0	384.9	0.28	1.18	1.99
'TIP-05'	9.01	437.32	37.3	23.4	637.6	0.15	1.71	5.27
'JRI-01'	1.30	189.13	80.7	7.15	1081	0.08	2.51	0.52
'JAS-02'	8.79	423.65	29.1	28.6	563.1	0.18	1.56	5.64
'CAX-01'	5.17	115.18	41.8	20.9	680.6	0.14	1.79	2.88
'MBO-01'	3.14	101.38	11.5	42.0	419.1	0.26	1.26	2.49
'BNT-04'	4.82	68.67	57.7	13.4	845.2	0.11	2.10	2.30
'TAP-04'	4.50	192.34	89.3	6.18	1136	0.08	2.60	1.73
'ALP-12'	7.37	86.62	14.0	40.0	437.9	0.24	1.30	5.67
'SUC-02'	4.06	349.81	37.2	23.5	636.7	0.15	1.71	2.38
'AGP-01'	2.99	303.28	42.6	20.4	688.8	0.14	1.81	1.66
'ZAR-03'	4.81	177.19	31.1	27.3	580.7	0.17	1.60	3.01
'TAP-123'	1.65	78.89	66.1	10.5	936.4	0.1	2.26	0.73
'ZAR-04'	7.48	54.15	18.3	36.5	471.8	0.22	1.37	5.45
'JUR-01'	10.6	331.93	36.6	23.8	631.2	0.16	1.70	6.22
'RST-01'	8.29	240.19	25.4	31.2	530.8	0.19	1.49	5.55
'ALF-01'	3.51	118.14	11.4	42.1	418.7	0.26	1.26	2.79
'DOI-01'	7.18	203.19	19.1	35.9	478.5	0.22	1.39	5.18
'SIN-01'	2.58	61.25	9.8	43.5	406.4	0.27	1.23	2.10
'TAM-01'	5.85	343.51	37.8	23.2	641.9	0.15	1.72	3.41
'CUZ-03'	11.5		42.5	20.5	687.4	0.14	1.80	6.35
'CRP-01'	21.8		18.1	36.7	470.1	0.22	1.37	15.9
'HCC-21'	7.34	289.76	25.6	31.0	532.4	0.19	1.50	4.90

Table S1. Phosphorus samples in P_{resin} and clay fraction of different plots in the
Brazilian Amazon from the database Quesada et al. (2010). Estimates used to obtain
P_{Mehlich-1} values for the stations used in this study.

Table S2. Phosphorus content data (P_{-Mehlich-1}) and clay percentage for the 54 soil

samples collected in the Amazon-Cerrado transition region and P_{total} estimates.

Location				Physiognomy	gnomy Clay		P total
Latitude	Longitude	n	pixel		%	mg dm ⁻³	mg dm ⁻³
-15.55	-50.10	1	1	Cerrado rupestre ^a	30.6	0.89	89.87
-15.54	-50.10	2	1	Cerrado típico ^b	34.7	0.2	76.9
-14.17	-51.76	3	2	Cerrado ralo ^c	21.06	2.28	103.14
-14.17	-51.77	4	2	Cerrado ralo ^c	29.97	1.3	97.36
-14.15	-51.76	5	2	Cerrado típico ^b	40.53	2.93	148.35
-14.16	-51.77	6	2	Cerrado típico ^b	35.41	1.11	97.58
-14.71	-52.35	7	3	Cerrado típico ^b	35.84	3	141.17
-14.71	-52.35	8	3	Cerrado típico ^b	48.16	0.84	98.31
-14.71	-52.35	9	3	Cerrado típico ^b	49.33	0.42	85.7
-14.82	-52.17	10	3	Semi deciduous Forest	21.5	3.18	116.15
-14.71	-52.35	11	3	Cerrado típico ^b	17.28	0.34	76.22
-14.71	-52.35	12	3	Cerrado típico ^b	17.71	0.13	73.93
-14.70	-52.35	13	3	<i>Cerradão^d</i>	21.04	0.26	75.96
-14.70	-52.35	14	3	$Cerrad ilde{a}o^d$	24.35	0.1	73.96
-14.69	-52.35	15	3	$Cerrad ilde{ao}^d$	21.03	5.46	145.83
-14.69	-52.35	16	3	$Cerrad ilde{ao}^d$	33.53	3.8	153.88
-14.69	-52.35	17	3	$Cerrad ilde{ao}^d$	40.47	1.9	121.6
-14.69	-52.35	18	3	$Cerrad ilde{ao}^d$	44.03	0.8	94.97
-14.69	-52.35	19	3	$Cerrad ilde{ao}^d$	45.24	0.3	81.13
-14.72	-52.36	20	3	Gallery Forest	15.02	0.87	80.81
-14.72	-52.36	21	3	Gallery Forest	10.45	6.94	118.8
-14.72	-52.36	22	3	Gallery Forest ^f	11.65	1.71	85.19
-13.10	-53.39	23	4	Riparian Forest ^f	43	26	786.86
-13.10	-53.39	24	4	Riparian Forest ^f	49	18	636.06
-13.00	-50.25	25	5	Cerrado rupestre ^a	4.44	2.44	79.39
-12.38	-50.93	26	6	Campo de Murundus ^g	39.33	2.3	130.27
-12.36	-50.93	27	6	Campo de Murundus ^g	29.52	3.3	134.71
-12.56	-50.92	28	6	Campo de Murundus ^g	22	3.3	118.85
-12.04	-50.73	29	6	Campo de Murundus ^g	38.48	0.7	89.67
-12.57	-50.91	30	6	Campo de Murundus ^g	39.11	1.7	114.95
-12.62	-50.82	31	6	Campo de Murundus ^g	25.56	2.4	111.66
-12.43	-50.72	32	6	Campo de Murundus ^g	29.11	2.3	115.25
-12.23	-50.77	33	6	Campo de Murundus ^g	30.77	2.3	117.69
-12.38	-50.94	34	6	Campo de Murundus ^g	37.46	5.2	196.93
-12.38	-50.94	35	6	Campo de Murundus ^g	39.34	4	173.02
-12.38	-50.93	36	6	open field ^f	32.45	1.6	105.64

						_	
Location				Physiognomy	Clay	P _{-Mehlich-1}	P_total
Latitude	Longitude	n	pixel		%	mg dm ⁻³	mg dm ⁻³
-12.38	-50.93	37	6	open field ^f	20.78	2	99.02
-12.36	-50.93	38	6	open field ^f	17.08	1.6	89.93
-12.04	-50.73	39	6	open field ^f	22.83	0.8	84.13
-12.57	-50.91	40	6	open field ^f	24.95	0.7	83.62
-12.62	-50.82	41	6	open field ^f	20.77	2.2	101.66
-12.43	-50.72	42	6	open field ^f	19.55	1.6	92.45
-12.23	-50.77	43	6	open field ^f	27.03	1.9	105.28
-12.38	-50.94	44	6	open field ^f	29.93	3.1	131.75
-12.38	-50.94	45	6	open field ^f	30.75	0.9	90.15
-12.83	-52.35	46	7	Seasonal Evergreen Forest	49	-	141.54
-12.81	-51.85	47	8	Seasonal Evergreen Forest	16	-	117.03
-11.18	-50.23	48	9	Cerrado denso ^h	3.96	2.71	79.32
-11.18	-50.23	49	9	$Cerrad ilde{a}o^d$	4.16	1.66	76.88
-11.17	-50.23	50	9	Cerrado típico ^b	3.56	1.45	75.76
-11.86	-50.72	51	10	open field ^f	41.63	2	125.67
-11.86	-50.72	52	10	Campo de Murundus ^g	47.65	2.8	157.72
-9.11	-54.23	53	11	Cerrado rupestre ^a	4.56	4.17	84.61
-9.79	-50.43	54	12	Semi deciduous Forest	18.36	2.04	96.4

^aCerrado rupestre: a tree-shrub vegetation that grows in areas of accentuated topography with many rock outcrops and shallow soils, where individual trees establish themselves in clefts in the rocks so that their densities will vary as a function of the specific conditions of each site (Ribeiro and Walter, 2008).

80 ^bCerrado típico: a vegetation of trees and shrubs fairly regular and usually not more tall (approximately
 81 4m) (Ribeiro and Walter, 2008).

82 ^cCerrado ralo: a vegetation that is more open than *Cerrado típico*; the trees not exceeding 2 to 3 meters

83 in height, covering from 5 to 20% of the soil (Ribeiro and Walter, 2008).

84 **Cerradão**: a dense and tall woodland formation (Ribeiro and Walter, 2008).

^gCampo de Murundus: a typical landscape of Central Brazil characterized by countless rounded earth
mounds (the '*murundus*'), which are covered by woody '*Cerrado*' vegetation and are found scattered
over a grass-covered surface (the 'campo') (Ribeiro and Walter, 2008).

^hCerrado denso: this vegetation is more dense than *Cerrado típico*; the trees exceeding 2 to 3 meters in height, and covered with a woody cover ranging from 10 to 60% (Ribeiro and Walter, 2008).

- 90
- 91

S2. Difference between the global P_{total} map and regional P_{total} map (PG-PR)

The spatial difference of the soil phosphorus content between the global P_{total} map (PG) and the regional map (PR) showed that global data underestimates the P_{total} values in some Amazon-Cerrado transition areas, mainly in western Amazonia. PG overestimations are observed in northern Amazonia and in most of the Cerrado biome area. The differences between the absolute values of total phosphorus at a spatial 97 resolution of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ varied in the range of ±180 mg kg⁻¹, with an average of 98 24.19 mg kg⁻¹ (Figure S1).



99

Figure S1. Difference between the global P_{total} map (Yang et al., 2013) and regional P_{total} map (PG-PR) in mg kg⁻¹. The thick black line delimits the Amazon and Cerrado biomes.

103

104 S3. Spatial variability of precipitation and temperature from CRU 105 databases

The seasonality of precipitation for Amazon and Cerrado biomes used in this study is shown in Figure S2. The dry season duration is larger in the Cerrado domain (Figure S2a) than in the Amazonia domain (Figure S2b). In the Cerrado, dry season comprise a period of about 6 months with little or no rain.

110 Spatial variability of precipitation and temperature are shown in Figures S3 and 111 S4, respectively. These figures plot the difference between the average of the last 10 112 years of CV (1999-2008) and average climate CA (1961-1990). highlighting the spatial 113 variability of these climate variable throughout the study area. When comparing the interannual climate variability with the average climate, it is possible to observe that
precipitation decreases (Figure S3) and temperature increases up to 1.5°C (Figure S4) in
central Cerrado in October, November, December and January. The lower precipitation
associated with higher temperatures in central Cerrado can explain a low biomass, low
LAI vegetation and savanna existence without fire disturbance. Note that this is a 10year subset of the CV database. The actual year-to-year variations present much more
intense amplitudes.

121



Figure S2. Seasonal precipitation of Amazon and Cerrado domains for average climate

124 (CA) - black line - and the last ten years of interannual- climate variability (CV) - color
125 lines.

Spatial variability of precipitation (CV - CA)



126 127

Figure S3. Spatial variability of precipitation for the study area considering the average

of the last 10 years of CV (1999-2008) and average climate CA (1961-1990).

129



Spatial variability of temperature (CV - CA)

134

135 **References**

Alves, M. E. and Lavorenti, A.: Remaining Phosphorus Estimate Through
Multiple Regression Analysis, Pedosphere, 16(5), 566–571, doi:10.1016/S10020160(06)60089-1, 2006.

Bahia Filho, A. F. C.: Índices de disponibilidade de fósforo em latossolos do
Planalto Central com diferentes características texturais e mineralógicas, Ph.D thesis,
Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil,178pp., 1982.

Bognola, I. A.: Caracterização química, física e mineralógica de solos
intermediários entre Latossolos Brunos e Latossolos Roxos, M.S thesis, Federal
University of Viçosa, Brazil, 205pp., 1995.

145 Campello, M. R., Novais, R. F., Fernandez, R. I. E., Fontes, M. P. F. and Barros,
146 N. F.: Avaliação da reversibilidade de fósforo não-lábil para lábil em solos com
147 diferentes características, Rev. Bras. Ciência do Solo, 18, 157–165, 1994.

Fabres, A. S.: Disponibilidade de fósforo em solos e concentrações críticas de
diferentes frações de fósforo em plantas de alface cultivadas em amostras de diferentes
solos, M.S thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil, 39pp., 1986.

Freire, F. J.: Sistema para cálculo do balanço nutricional e recomendação de
corretivos e fertilizantes para cana-de-açúcar, Ph.D thesis, Federal University of Viçosa,
Brazil,144pp., 2001.

Gonçalves, J. L. M.: Cinética de transformação de fósforo lábil em não lábil em
amostras de solos de cerrado, M.S thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil, 62pp.,
1988.

157 Ker, J. C.: Mineralogia, sorção e dessorção de fosfato, magnetização e elementos
158 traços de Latossolos do Brasil, Ph.D thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil,181pp.,
159 1995.

Muniz, A. S.: Disponibilidade de fósforo avaliada por extratores químicos e pelo
crescimento de soja (Glycine max (L.) Merrill) em amostras de solo com diferentes
valores do fator capacidade, M.S thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil, 79pp.,
1983.

164 Neves, J. C. L.: Produção e partição de biomassa, aspectos nutricionais e
165 hídricos em plantios clonais de eucalipto na região litorânea do Espírito Santo, Ph.D
166 thesis, State University of North Fluminense, Brazil, 191pp., 2000.

- 167 Novelino, J. O.: Disponibilidade de fósforo e sua cinética em solos sob cerrado
 168 fertilizados com fósforo, avaliada por diferentes métodos de extração, Ph.D thesis,
 169 Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil, 70pp., 1999.
- Paula, J. R.: Avaliação da disponibilidade de fósforo por extratores orgânicos em
 latossolos de Minas Gerais, M.S thesis, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil, 58pp.,
 172 1993.
- 173 Quesada, C. A., Lloyd, J., Schwarz, M., Patiño, S., Baker, T. R., Czimczik, C.,
- 174 Fyllas, N. M., Martinelli, L., Nardoto, G. B., Schmerler, J., Santos, a. J. B., Hodnett, M.
- 175 G., Herrera, R., Luizão, F. J., Arneth, A., Lloyd, G., Dezzeo, N., Hilke, I., Kuhlmann, I.,
- 176 Raessler, M., Brand, W. A., Geilmann, H., Filho, J. O. M., Carvalho, F. P., Filho, R. N.
- 177 A., Chaves, J. E., Cruz, O. F., Pimentel, T. P. and Paiva, R.: Variations in chemical and
- 178 physical properties of Amazon forest soils in relation to their genesis, Biogeosciences,
- 179 7, 1515–1541, doi:10.5194/bg-7-1515-2010, 2010.
- 180 Ribeiro, J. F. and Walter, B. M. T.: As Principais Fitofisionomias do bioma
 181 Cerrado, in Cerrado: ecologia e flora, pp. 153–212, 2008.
- Yang, X., Post, W. M., Thornton, P. E. and Jain, A.: The distribution of soil
 phosphorus for global biogeochemical modeling, Biogeosciences, 10, 2525–2537,
 doi:10.5194/bg-10-2525-2013, 2013.
- 185
- 186