

Effects of sterilization techniques on chemodenitrification and N₂O production in tropical peat soil microcosms

Steffen Buessecker¹, Kaitlyn Tylor¹, Joshua Nye², Keith E. Holbert³, Jose D. Urquiza Muñoz^{4,5,6}, Jennifer B. Glass⁷, Hilairy E. Hartnett^{2,8}, and Hinsby Cadillo-Quiroz^{1,9}

¹School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA

²School Molecular Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA

³School of Electrical, Computer and Energy Engineering, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA

⁴Laboratory of Soil Research, Research Institute of Amazonia's Natural Resources,

National University of the Peruvian Amazon, Iquitos, Loreto, Peru

⁵School of Forestry, National University of the Peruvian Amazon, Pevas 584, Iquitos, Loreto, Peru

⁶Department for Biogeochemical Processes, Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany

⁷School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

⁸School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA

⁹Biodesign Institute, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA

Correspondence: H. Cadillo-Quiroz (hinsby@asu.edu)

Received: 15 July 2019 – Discussion started: 2 August 2019 Revised: 14 October 2019 – Accepted: 21 October 2019 – Published: 5 December 2019

Abstract. Chemodenitrification - the non-enzymatic process of nitrite reduction - may be an important sink for fixed nitrogen in tropical peatlands. Rates and products of chemodenitrification are dependent on O₂, pH, Fe²⁺ concentration, and organic matter composition, which are variable across peat soils. Assessing abiotic reaction pathways is difficult because sterilization and inhibition agents can alter the availability of reactants by changing iron speciation and organic matter composition. We compared six commonly used soil sterilization techniques – γ irradiation, chloroform, autoclaving, and the use of three different chemical inhibitors (mercury, zinc, and azide) - for their compatibility with chemodenitrification assays for tropical peatland soils (organic-rich, low-pH soil from the eastern Amazon). Out of the six techniques, γ irradiation resulted in soil treatments with the lowest cell viability and denitrification activity and the least effect on pH, iron speciation, and organic matter composition. Nitrite depletion rates in γ -irradiated soils were highly similar to untreated (live) soils, whereas other sterilization techniques showed deviations. Chemodenitrification was a dominant process of nitrite consumption in tropical peatland soils assayed in this study. Nitrous oxide (N2O) is one possible product of chemodenitrification reactions. Abiotic N2O production was low to moderate (3 %-16 % of converted nitrite), and different sterilization techniques lead to significant variations on production rates due to inherent processes or potential artifacts. Our work represents the first methodological basis for testing the abiotic denitrification and N₂O production potential in tropical peatland soil.

1 Introduction

Across ecosystems, physical and chemical factors, such as solar radiation or redox gradients, can drive abiotic chemical transformations. The nitrogen (N) cycle, in particular, includes abiotic reactions that can affect the retention of nutrients or substrates (Clark, 1962; McCalley and Sparks, 2009; Parton et al., 2007). Abiotic formation of N-containing gases has long been known (Jun et al., 1970; Wullstein and Gilmour, 1966). A major abiotic process in the N cycle is chemodenitrification, the stepwise reduction of nitrite (NO₂⁻) to gaseous products, namely nitric oxide (NO), nitrous oxide (N₂O), or dinitrogen (N₂), often coupled to iron (Fe²⁺) oxidation, as described in Eqs. (1) and (2) (Davidson et al., 2003; Kampschreur et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2013; Zhu-Barker et al.,

2015).

$$NO_2^- + Fe^{2+} + 2H^+ \to NO + Fe^{3+} + H_2O$$
 (1)

$$2NO + 2Fe^{2+} + 2H^+ \to N_2O + 2Fe^{3+} + H_2O$$
(2)

Equations (1) and (2) are plausible in soils and sediments (Jones et al., 2015). The abiotic reduction of N_2O to N_2 is not well known. It has been associated with the presence of copper (Moraghan and Buresh, 1977), but this species is unlikely to be present at sufficient levels in peat soils to promote this reaction. Anoxic tropical peat soils are expected to have the ideal conditions for chemodenitrification: low O_2 , low pH, high organic matter (OM), and high Fe²⁺ (Kappelmeyer et al., 2003; Nelson and Bremner, 1969; Porter, 1969; Van Cleemput et al., 1976). In these ecosystems, NO_r^- is supplied by nitrification fueled by organic N mineralization or from external sources (fertilization, wet or dry deposition). Besides metals, reduction of NO_x^- compounds can also be mediated by organic functional groups found in soils. Abiotic phenol oxidation occurs at oxic-anoxic interfaces in tropical soils and may be linked to the N cycle (Hall and Silver, 2013). In such reactions, NO_2^- can be reduced by phenolic groups to form the nitrosonium cation NO⁺, which can either (1) remain fixed within the organic compound as nitrosophenol (Thorn and Mikita, 2000; Thorn et al., 2010) or (2) be emitted in gaseous form. After tautomerization to an oxime (Raczyńska et al., 2005) and reaction with NO⁺ derived from a second NO_2^- ion, hyponitrous acid $(H_2N_2O_2)$ can be produced, which further decomposes to N₂O (e.g., Scheme 1; Porter, 1969; Stevenson et al., 1970).

Other OM-dependent NO_2^- reduction pathways can produce NO and N₂ (McKenney et al., 1990; Thorn et al., 2010) instead of N₂O.

The importance of abiotic N transformations in environmental samples has been notoriously difficult to quantify due to the artifacts emerging from physical or chemical "killing" methods intended to eliminate biological activity but affecting metals, organic matter, or other pools. In order to distinguish denitrification from chemodenitrification, enzymes contributing to gaseous N production must be inactivated, most commonly by addition of sterilants or inhibitors. An efficient sterilization treatment ideally (1) contains a negligible number of live cells, (2) eliminates biological activity, and (3) has little or no effect, directly or indirectly, on abiotic reactions (e.g., it should neither alter mineral structure nor lyse cells because release of cellular contents could influence abiotic reactions). Because rates and products of chemodenitrification are dependent on O₂, pH, Fe²⁺ concentration, and OM composition, it is important to assess whether a sterilant or inhibitor elicits a physicochemical change that can affect the availability or interaction of these reactants.

Soil sterilization techniques include γ irradiation, chloroform (CHCl₃) fumigation, autoclaving, and addition of chemical inhibitors such as mercury (Hg), zinc (Zn), or azide (N₃). Highly energetic γ irradiation damages enzymes and cell components, rendering cells nonviable and inactive, generally with minimal effect on soil chemistry (Trevors, 1996). Autoclaving with high-pressure steam disrupts cell membranes, denatures proteins, and decreases aromaticity and polycondensation of soil OM (Berns et al., 2008; Jenkinson and Powlson, 1976b; Trevors, 1996). Fumigation with CHCl₃ induces cell lysis and has minimal effect on enzymes (Blankinship et al., 2014). Chemicals like Hg, Zn, and N₃ do the opposite: they inhibit enzymes (Bowler et al., 2006; McDevitt et al., 2011) but do not lyse cells (Wolf et al., 1989).

We evaluated the appropriateness of six sterilants (γ irradiation, autoclaving, CHCl₃, Hg, Zn, and N₃) for chemodenitrification measurements in low-O₂, low-pH, high-OM soils from a tropical peatland. First, we tested the effects of sterilants on cell membrane viability and biological denitrification activity. Next, we evaluated the effects of sterilants on soil chemistry (pH, OM composition, and extractable Fe). Finally, we assessed the effects of the six sterilants on chemodenitrification measured by NO₂⁻ depletion and N₂O production.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Sample characteristics

Soil samples were collected in October 2015 from a tropical peatland, locally known as Quistococha (03°50.024' S 73°19.235' W), near Iquitos (Loreto, Peru). The soil geochemistry of this site has been described previously (Lawson et al., 2014; Lähteenoja et al., 2009). The samples were obtained from depths of 15-30 cm below the water table and kept strictly anoxic during transport and storage at 4 °C in the dark. Water saturation and organic carbon content were determined by oven drying and loss on ignition, respectively. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was determined by high-temperature combustion using a Shimadzu TOC-V Total Organic Carbon Analyzer (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Columbia, MD). Inorganic N species were quantified photometrically using an AQ2 Discrete Analyzer (Seal Analytical, Southampton, UK) and method EPA-103-A Rev.10 for ammonium (NH₄⁺; LoD 0.004 mg NL^{-1} , range $0.02-2.0 \text{ mg N L}^{-1}$) and method EPA-127-A for nitrate (NO_3^-) /nitrite $(NO_2^-; LoD \ 0.003 \text{ mg} \text{ NL}^{-1}, \text{ range } 0.012 2 \text{ mg} \text{NL}^{-1}$). Hydroxylamine was measured photometrically using the iodate method (Afkhami et al., 2006).

2.2 Soil sterilization and slurry incubations

Experiments were started within 6 weeks of soil collection. For each sterilization procedure, anoxic wet soil was exposed to the chemical sterilant 48 h prior to start of the NO_2^- incubation or sterilized by physical treatment and allowed to equilibrate for at least 12 h. The untreated (live) control was incubated as a slurry without any additions or treatments for 48 h prior to start of the NO_2^- incubation. Anoxic vials filled with



Scheme 1. Putative nitrous oxide formation pathway formed by NO_2^- reduction by phenolic groups.

wet soil were irradiated with a 60 Co source for 7 d, yielding a final radiation dose of 4 Mrad (40 kGy). The irradiated soil was then prepared for incubation in an anoxic glove box (0.5 % H₂ in N₂) with disinfected surfaces and sterilized materials to prevent contamination. For autoclaved samples, soil was prepared for incubation in closed vials and autoclaved at 121 °C and 1.1 atm for 90 min. The CHCl₃-treated samples were fumigated for 48 h under a 100 % N₂ atmosphere. Because volatilized CHCl₃ corrodes electron capture detectors used for N₂O detection (see below), CHCl₃ was removed by flushing the vials with N₂ for 5–7 min immediately before the start of incubations.

In contrast to the physical sterilization treatments, soil samples were continuously exposed to the chemical inhibitors throughout their incubation. Sodium azide (NaN₃, Eastman Organic Chemicals), zinc chloride (ZnCl₂, Fisher Scientific), and mercuric chloride (HgCl₂, 99.5 %, Acros Organics) were added from anoxic stock solutions to final concentrations of 150, 87.5, and 3.7 mM, respectively. The Hg concentration was the minimum needed to eliminate microbial heterotrophic growth based on visual inspection of soil extract on agar plates exposed to 0.5 to 92.1 mg L⁻¹, which includes concentrations demonstrated to be effective previously (Tuominen et al., 1994).

After the initial physical or chemical treatment, triplicate incubations were diluted 1:10 in 20 mL of autoclaved $18.2 \,\text{M}\Omega \,\text{cm}$ water in 60 mL glass serum vials. All microcosms were prepared in an anaerobic glove box (0.5 % H₂ in N₂) prior to incubation. Triplicate soil slurries were amended from an anoxic, sterile stock solution to a final concentration of $300 \,\mu M \, \text{NO}_2^-$ (6 μmol in 20 mL) and sealed with thick butyl rubber stoppers. A parallel set of samples was amended with $300 \,\mu M \, NO_3^-$ to evaluate denitrification potential with CO2 measurements. Control incubations received an equivalent volume of autoclaved 18.2 M Ω cm water without NO_r⁻. Soil microcosms were incubated in the dark at a constant temperature of 25 °C. NO₂⁻ was quantified in all soil treatments using the Griess assay (Promega, Kit G2930). pH measurements were taken with an Orion 3 Star meter (Thermo Scientific) before and after sterilization, and at the end of the experiment after 70-76 h of incubation.

2.3 Gas chromatography

To quantify N₂O and CO₂ production, 200 µL of headspace gas was sampled with a gas-tight syringe (VICI Precision Sampling) and injected onto a gas chromatograph (GC, SRI Instruments) equipped with both an electron-capture detector (ECD) and a flame-ionization detector (FID). Two continuous HayeSep-D columns were kept at 90 °C (oven temperature); N2 (UHP grade 99.999 %, Praxair Inc.) was used as carrier gas, and for FID combustion H₂ was supplied by a H₂ generator (GCGS-7890, Parker Balston). For CO₂ measurements, a methanizer (which reduces CO₂ to the detectable CH₄ via a Ni catalyst at 355 °C) was run in line before the FID. The ECD current was 250 mV and the ECD cell was kept at 350 °C. The N₂O and CO₂ measurements were calibrated using customized standard mixtures (Scott Specialty Gases, accuracy $\pm 5\%$) over a range of 1–400 and 5-5000 ppmv, respectively. Gas accumulation in the incubation vials was monitored over time. Gas concentrations were corrected using Henry's law and the dimensionless concentration constants $k_{\rm H}^{\rm cc}({\rm N_2O}) = 0.6112$ and $k_{\rm H}^{\rm cc}({\rm CO_2}) = 0.8313$ (Stumm and Morgan, 2012) to account for gas partitioning into the aqueous phase at 25 °C.

2.4 Live or dead cell staining

To assess the efficacy of sterilants or inhibitors visually, the bacterial viability kit LIVE/DEAD BacLight L7012 (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) containing SYTO9 and propidium iodide dyes was used to stain and distinguish dead and living cells on the basis of intact cell walls. The green (live) and red (dead) signals were counted at $60 \times$ magnification from 10 squares of 0.01 mm² randomly distributed in the center of a 5 µL Neubauer chamber, using an Olympus BX-61 microscope with the FITC/Cy5 filter set. Photographs were taken with an Olympus DP-70 camera attached to the microscope. Particles were counted with ImageJ software version 1.50i (Abràmoff et al., 2004).

2.5 Fe extraction and quantification

Dissolved Fe species were extracted from peat soil incubations following the protocol of Veverica et al. (2016). The method is based on an ionic liquid extraction using *bis*-2ethylhexyl phosphoric acid (Pepper et al., 2010), which was shown to be more suitable for extraction of Fe from humicrich matrices than the traditional ferrozine or phenanthroline methods. Briefly, 2.5 mL of soil slurry was filtered (0.2 µm nylon filter; Celltreat Scientific Products) and mixed with 7.5 mL of HCl (0.67 N) in an extraction vial in a 0.5 % H₂ in N₂ glove box. The O₂ concentration in the glove box was continuously monitored and remained < 10 ppm. To separate Fe³⁺ from Fe²⁺, 10 mL of 0.1 M bis-2-ethylhexyl phosphate (95%, Alfa Aesar) in n-heptane (99.5%, Acros Organics) was added to the acidified sample. Next, the organoaqueous emulsion was shaken at 250 rpm in closed extraction vials for 2 h. The *bis*-2-ethylhexyl phosphate chelates Fe^{3+} more effectively than it chelates Fe²⁺. The Fe²⁺-containing aqueous phase was sampled into a 3-fold HCl-washed HDPE vial (Nalgene) in the glove box. The Fe^{3+} fraction chelated in the organic phase was then back-extracted into an aqueous phase by the addition of 10 mL 4 N HCl and shaking at 250 rpm in closed extraction vials for 20 min. Fe^{3+} and Fe²⁺ fractions were quantified separately in acidified aqueous solution by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES; Thermo iCAP6300 at the Goldwater Environmental Laboratory at Arizona State University). The ICP-OES pump rate for the Ar carrier was set to 50 rpm, and Fe2395 and Fe2599 lines were used for Fe quantification. Iron concentrations were determined from a calibration curve $(0.01-10 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$ by diluting a standard solution $(100 \text{ mg L}^{-1}, \text{VHG Labs, product no. SM75B-500})$ in 0.02 N HNO₃.

2.6 Dissolved organic matter fluorescence analysis

Three-dimensional fluorescence analysis was performed on a Horiba Jobin-Yvon Fluoromax 4 spectrofluorometer. Excitation-emission matrices (EEMs) were generated by obtaining emission spectra ($\lambda_{Em} = 300-550$ nm, at a step size of 2 nm) at excitation wavelengths from 240 to 450 nm at a 10 nm step size. All EEMs were blank-corrected and normalized daily to the Raman peak of ultrapure water (deionized, carbon-free,18.2 MΩcm; Barnstead[™] NanoPure). The samples were taken at the same time as those for Fe analysis. Prior to analysis, soil slurries were filtered using a solvent-rinsed Whatman Glass Microfiber Grade F GF/F filters (nominal pore size $0.7 \,\mu\text{m}$) to obtain $\sim 10 \,\text{mL}$ filtrate. Samples were diluted with ultrapure water if their UV absorbance exceeded 0.3 so that inner-filter corrections could be made (Stedmon et al., 2003). We calculated total fluorescence as the matrix sum of all signals in the EEM. Fluorescence indices were used to characterize various classes of fluorophores in the dissolved organic matter (DOM) pool. Fluorescence index (FI) was calculated as the sum of the intensity signal in the emission spectra from 470 to 520 nm collected at an excitation wavelength of 370 nm (Cory and McKnight, 2005). Humification index (HIX) was determined from the peak area under the emission spectrum from 435 to 480 nm, divided by the area from 300 to 445 nm, both collected at an



Figure 1. Live and dead microbial cell counts of tropical peatland soils. The numbers above the bars indicate the live to dead signal ratio \pm SD. No detectable signal was observed in autoclaved samples. The *x* axis represents treatments (live: no treatment; Zn: ZnCl₂; Hg: HgCl₂; Azide: NaN₃).

excitation wavelength of 254 nm (Ohno, 2002). The "freshness" was determined to be β/α , the ratio of emission intensity at 380 nm to the emission intensity maximum between 420 and 435 nm, both collected at an excitation wavelength of 310 nm (Wilson and Xenopoulos, 2009).

2.7 Statistical analyses

All basic statistical tests were performed with JMP Pro software (Version 13.1.0, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

3 Results

3.1 Composition of high-OM tropical soils

The tropical peat soil used for the incubation experiments had 5.5–5.8 pH, 92.2 % water content, $307 \pm 5 \text{ mg TOC g}^{-1}$ dry weight, and $3.8 \pm 0.9 \text{ g}$ total Fe kg⁻¹ soil. The extractable iron fraction partitioned as $54 \pm 3 \mu \text{M}$ extractable Fe³⁺ and $213 \pm 16 \mu \text{M}$ extractable Fe²⁺. The native soil pore water had $13.2 \pm 1.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ DOC, $436 \pm 79 \mu \text{g NL}^{-1} \text{NH}_4^+$, $9.7 \pm 1.3 \mu \text{g NL}^{-1} \text{NO}_3^-$, and $3.9 \pm 0.2 \mu \text{g NL}^{-1} \text{NO}_2^-$. Hydroxy-lamine was below detection in all cases (< 3 μ M). Soil pH dropped from 5.5 to 5.8 in untreated soil to 3.6, 4.8, 5.0, 5.2, and 5.4 after treatment with Hg, Zn, γ irradiation, autoclaving, and CHCl₃, respectively. Only N₃ treatment increased soil pH (to 6.4).

3.2 Effects of sterilants on cell integrity and potential of denitrifying activity

Live and dead dyes were used to assess microbial viability by means of membrane integrity, where a "dead" signal indicates disrupted or broken cell membranes (Stiefel et al., 2015). The majority (74%) of cells in the live incubation displayed the "live" signal (Fig. 1). The CHCl₃ and

S. Buessecker et al.: Effects of sterilization techniques on chemodenitrification and N₂O production



Figure 2. CO₂ production rates in 3 d soil slurry incubations of Quistococha peat soil amended with and without 0.3 mM NO_3^- . Error bars are 1 SD (n = 3). Columns marked with the same letter are not statistically different from each other (Student's *t* test, p > 0.05, n = 3). The *x* axis represents treatments, as in the legend of Fig. 1.

 γ -irradiated treatments were most effective at reducing the number of viable cells (~ 15% intact membranes after sterilization). Chemical inhibitors (Hg, Zn, and N₃) were less effective at killing cells (~ 30% intact membranes after sterilization). Autoclaved samples did not fluoresce, likely due to cell lysis during steam pressurization.

Biological denitrification activity was measured over 3 d in live and sterilized soils based on the difference in CO₂ production with and without added NO₃⁻. An efficient sterilization treatment would show no changes in CO₂ beyond those due to equilibration between the gas phase and aqueous phase. Nitrate stimulated CO₂ production in live soil (ANOVA, p < 0.05) and not in the γ -irradiated, Zn, Hg, N₃, or autoclaved incubations (Fig. 2), indicating that residual cells in the sterilized treatments were not capable of denitrification.

3.3 Effects of sterilants on soil chemistry

In general, sterilization increased extractable Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ relative to live controls (Fig. 3). This trend was particularly pronounced in Zn treatments, which had 9× higher extractable Fe²⁺ (1915 \pm 26 μ M) and 1.6 \times higher extractable Fe^{3+} (87 ± 3 µM) than live controls. The Hg treatment showed the second-largest increases. In the presence of NO₂⁻, extractable Fe²⁺ decreased and extractable Fe³⁺ increased in live, Zn, and CHCl3-fumigated treatments, as expected if Fe^{2+} was oxidized by NO_2^- during chemodenitrification. However, autoclaving, γ irradiation, and N₃ lowered Fe³⁺ concentrations, suggesting the influence of unknown concomitant reactions. For instance, autoclaving (largest drop in Fe³⁺) already showed lower Fe³⁺ concentrations after sterilization. Production of Fe³⁺-reduction artifacts in treatments could lead to Fe³⁺ depletion and, hence, mask increase in Fe^{3+} due to chemodenitrification. NO₂⁻ addition resulted in near-complete depletion of extractable Fe²⁺ in live, CHCl₃-



Figure 3. Changes in extractable Fe²⁺ (left) and Fe³⁺ (right) concentration in Quistococha peat soil incubations after sterilization (difference between sterilization baseline and live baseline value) and after NO₂⁻ amendment and incubation (difference between NO₂⁻ and control incubations). Note the difference in scales. Values represent the extractable fraction of both species. Error bars are 1 SD (n = 2). The y axis represents treatments, as in the legend of Fig. 1.

fumigated, and γ -irradiated soils. Changes in Fe speciation with other sterilants were more moderate. Minimal changes were observed for other metals in soil samples (e.g., Mn, Al, Cu, and Zn; data not shown).

Fluorescence analysis of soil extracts using excitationemission matrices (EEMs) was used to evaluate changes in DOM-containing aromatic moieties or conjugated double bonds (Stedmon et al., 2003; Fig. 4). The N₃ treatment was excluded from this analysis due to an interference with N₃ absorbance that prevented inner-filter corrections from being made. The EEM signals showed the greatest change in the "humic" region ($\lambda_{Ex} < 240-270$ nm, and $\lambda_{Em} = 460-$ 500 nm; Fellman et al., 2010), especially in Zn and Hg treatments, which significantly increased the FI from 1.20 (in live soil baseline, prior to NO_2^- incubation) to 1.49 (Table 1). Zn and Hg may elicit direct fluorescence quenching through the formation of Zn and Hg metal complexes (McKnight et al., 2001) or possibly due to indirect quenching by more highly dissolved Fe²⁺. Signal strength in the humic region was enhanced by NO₂⁻ addition in the live, CHCl₃-fumigated, and γ -irradiated treatments. All five sterilization treatments had lower aromaticity (HIX) than live controls (Table 1). Autoclaved samples had 10-fold higher total fluorescence compared to live soils, suggesting that autoclaving degraded insoluble humics into more soluble and less condensed OM.



Figure 4. Representative plots of DOM fluorescence in soil slurry incubations of Quistococha peat soils. DOM fluorescence is presented as excitation–emission matrices (EEMs) collected for each treatment (rows) after the sterilization procedure or live control (left column), after incubation with no amendment ("after incubation" control, middle column), and after incubation with $300 \,\mu M \, NO_2^-$ (same time point as control, right column). The colored bar shows the individual signal intensity. All but the "autoclaving" treatment have same scale of signal intensity, autoclaving effects increased to about 5 times the signal intensity scale. Treatments are as in the legend of Fig. 1.

3.4 Effects of sterilants on chemodenitrification and abiotic N₂O production

In the first 48 h, NO_2^- consumption rates were the highest in live soil $(5.2 \,\mu M h^{-1})$, closely followed by irradiated samples ($4.5 \mu M h^{-1}$, Fig. 5). The major chemodenitrification pathway for N_2O formation was likely NO_2^- reduction by Fe²⁺, resulting in consumption of $\sim 1.5 \,\mu mol \, Fe^{2+}$ and accumulation of $\sim 1.1\,\mu mol\,Fe^{3+}$ in the live control (Fig. 3). After 48 h, NO₂⁻ depletion continued to completion in the live control but slowed in all treatments other than the metal additions. After 72h of incubation, 3%-16% of NO₂⁻-N was converted to N₂O-N across treatments. N₂O production rates were assessed by linear regression of data points over the whole duration of the experiment. Higher rates were observed in live, Zn²⁺, and N₃ treatments (0.5–0.7 nmol N₂O g⁻¹ h⁻¹, $r^2 > 0.95$) than in γ -irradiated, CHCl₃-fumigated, autoclaved, and Hg treatments (0.1–0.2 nmol N₂O g⁻¹ h⁻¹, $r^2 > 0.9$). Production rates within treatments showing high or low rates were not significantly different (Student's t, p > 0.05), although comparisons across treatments with high or low rates were statistically different (Student's t, p < 0.05). Thus, we identified a higher and lower group of sterilant-dependent N₂O production rates from the same soil samples. The live control showed logarithmic N₂O accumulation, while the sterilized treatments had linear accumulation over time; the latter is expected in abiotic accumulation (Fig. 5).

4 Discussion

4.1 Chemodenitrification is a dominant NO₂⁻ consumption process in slurry incubations of tropical peat soils

Similar NO_2^- consumption rates between live and irradiated treatments imply that NO_2^- depletion was dominated by abiotic processes over the first 48 h. In general, abiotic reactions tend to be linear processes, whereas microbially mediated reactions can be affected by enhanced expression of genes or

S. Buessecker et al.: Effects of sterilization techniques on chemodenitrification and N₂O production

Table 1. Characteristics of dissolved organic matter in soil extracts from incubations of peat from Quistococha, Peru. FI, HIX, and freshness indices were calculated as described in the Methods section. The "tyrosine-like" region is defined at an excitation of 270–275 nm and an emission of 304-312 nm (Fellman et al., 2010). The signal for that region was averaged across replicates and expressed as percent difference between NO₂⁻ additions and controls±SD of replicates. A drop in the signal intensity was consistently apparent but clear differences between the treatments were not, due to high standard deviation of replicates.

Treatment		FI**		HIX***		Freshness	Drop in mean fluorescence of the tyrosine-like region (% over control)
Live soil	Baseline	1.20	a	5.57	а	0.44	
	Control	1.21		4.72		0.41	
	Nitrite-added	1.16	*	7.11	*	0.40	12.1 ± 6.1
Zn	Baseline	1.49	b	2.70	b	0.58	
	Control	1.50		2.27		0.59	
	Nitrite-added	1.55	*	2.05		0.62	5.9 ± 4.0
Autoclaving	Baseline	1.20	а	2.54	b	0.47	
	Control	1.20		2.83		0.46	
	Nitrite-added	1.20		2.97		0.43	31.5 ± 24.6
Chloroform	Baseline	1.23	с	2.79	b	0.43	
	Control	1.27		2.70		0.44	
	Nitrite-added	1.14	*	4.12	*	0.40	13.5 ± 6.4
γ irradiation	Baseline	1.30	d	1.90	b	0.57	
	Control	1.27		2.35		0.56	
	Nitrite added	1.21	*	2.95		0.52	2.4 ± 0.8
Hg	Baseline	1.49	b	2.20	b	0.57	
	Control	1.50		1.60		0.56	
	Nitrite added	1.44	*	2.12		0.51	13.8 ± 3.9

* indicates significant difference to control.

** Fluorescence index.

** Humification index.

Mean values marked with the same letter are insignificantly different from each other.

cell reproduction in a nonlinear fashion (Duggleby, 1995). Linearity is more reflected in the N_2O curve than in the NO_2^- curve. The difference in linearity of N_2O production in sterilized vs. live treatments (Fig. 5) suggests that biological denitrification did not occur in sterilized soils.

Compared to our study, incubations of artificial media with $200 \mu MNO_2^-$, 0.5–8.1 mMFe²⁺, and pH 7–8 had similar rates of Fe²⁺ depletion but 10× higher rates of NO₂⁻ reduction, and higher (~ 10%–50%) N₂O yields (Buchwald et al., 2016; Jones et al., 2015). In our peat incubations, reactive OM likely trapped NO₂⁻ in the soil matrix via OM-bound nitrosation reactions (Thorn and Mikita, 2000; Thorn et al., 2010) and the lower pH likely promoted conversion of NO₂⁻ to NO (Kappelmeyer et al., 2003; Porter, 1969) or N₂ (Stevenson et al., 1970). Studies in low-pH northern temperate peat soils have shown the primary product of abiotic NO₂⁻ reduction was NO and not N₂O (McKenney et al., 1990).

4.2 Artifacts due to sterilization methods for chemodenitrification assays

Azide and Zn exhibited enhanced NO_2^- conversion to N_2O , at rates at least 2 to 5 times as high as those measured for the other sterilants (Fig. 5), likely due to higher pH and Fe availability, respectively. In the N₃ treatments, elevated N₂O production could be explained by the reaction of protonated NO_2^- with N_3 in a pH-dependent manner (Stedman, 1959) and other changes in soil solution that originated from the increase in pH. Nitrite reaction with N₃ has been characterized in marine and freshwater solutions reaching its maximum at pH 4.5 and proceeding slowly yet significantly (20% conversion in 1 h) at pH > 5 (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005), as in our slurries. Moreover, N3's self-fluorescence impeded OM measurements, making N3 an incompatible sterilizing agent for chemodenitrification studies. Zn increased Fe availability and may have increased NO₂⁻ affinity for reactive OM groups; both effects would lead to an abiotic increase in N2O production (Clark, 1962; McCalley and Sparks, 2009; Parton

4608



Figure 5. NO_2^- consumption (left) and N_2O production (right) for different sterilant treatments in soil slurry incubations of Quistococha peat soil. Both N species were simultaneously measured in all treatments. The product yield represents N_2O -N as molar fraction of NO_2^- -N. Note the difference in the left and right *y* axis scales. Error bars are 1 SD (n = 3). Treatments are as in the legend of Fig. 1.

et al., 2007). Zinc treatment lowered the soil pH, which may have promoted cation displacement and stability of dissolved Fe^{2+} (Hutchins et al., 2007), thus enhancing N₂O production. Several studies have used Zn treatments as a valuable agent for field applications (Babbin et al., 2015; Ostrom et al., 2016). Zn is less hazardous to humans than some of the other sterilants. We propose that the use of Zn could provide useful information about abiotic in situ rates as long as Zninduced chemodenitrification is accounted for. A correction could be applied if a complementary laboratory assessment (using the more efficient γ irradiation) were used to develop an ecosystem-specific correction factor.

Divalent Hg²⁺ can be abiotically methylated by fulvic acid-type substances (Rogers, 1977). The reaction oxidizes OM and can diminish its reducing power, as indicated by decreased reactivity of humic acid with NO₂⁻ (Gu et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2011), thus interfering with the abiotic assay. Given the pH effect of the Hg treatment, we cannot rule out that decomposition of nitrous acid (HNO₂) contributed to NO₂⁻ consumption (Fig. 5, Park and Lee, 1988). Another potential factor associated with the Hg treatments is metal sorption. At low pH (3.6), 98 % of Hg was sorbed to humic acids, whereas only 29 % of Zn was sorbed at pH \sim 4.8 (Kerndorff and Schnitzer, 1980). Full sorption capacity of peat is presumably reached in seconds (Bunzl et al., 1976), and the differing sorption behavior of Hg and Zn may play a role in the reaction potential of NO₂⁻ with OM. It has been demonstrated that Hg introduced into peat soil leads to sorption of Hg ions to various functional groups, including phenols (Drexel et al., 2002; Xia et al., 1998). Hence, it is plausible that Hg sorbed to functional groups subject to electrophilic attack by NO⁺ (e.g., nitrosophenol, Scheme 1) may hamper nitrosation, and therefore protect OM from reacting with NO_2^- . This could lead to a selective suppression of the OMdependent N₂O production pathway.

Chloroform fumigation resulted in potential N₂O production rates within the lower production range treatments with minor differences in Fe speciation and DOM fluorescence. However, unlike the other sterilized samples, CHCl3fumigated samples showed enhanced CO₂ production stimulated by NO₃⁻ addition. Removal of CHCl₃ from our samples before substrate addition could have provided an opportunity for a few surviving heterotrophs to regrow and use the easily degradable organic material derived from dead cells. Indeed, chloroform can lyse cells, providing substrates for growth to CHCl₃-resistant microorganisms (Zelles et al., 1997). Continued exoenzyme activity has been also described as a CO₂ source; however, this would not include denitrification enzymes, since no enzymes involved in the denitrification pathway are exoenzymes (Blankinship et al., 2014; Jenkinson and Powlson, 1976a). Chlorination of natural OM may prompt formation of quinones (Criquet et al., 2015), which are intermediates in the OM-based abiotic N2O production (Thorn and Mikita, 2000); indeed, regions of the EEMs corresponding to hydroquinones (Cory and McKnight, 2005) appear to be slightly higher in CHCl₃ treatments. The benzene derivative produced during nitrosophenol reaction with NO_2^- leads to reduced π electron delocalization (Scheme 1). Because excitation of π electrons produces fluorescence, reactions with NO_2^- might be expected to reduce OM fluorescence. However, the experiment duration is important, and if microbial cells indeed reproduce after the treatment, short experimental periods (e.g., hours or days) or reapplication of CHCl₃ might keep down the numbers of any potential denitrifiers improving the use of this method.

Autoclaved peat soil revealed abiotic N_2O production rates close to the average of the lower production range group, along with ICP-OES and fluorescence spectroscopy results that showed significant changes in Fe speciation and DOM composition. EEMs demonstrate lower values for the HIX in autoclaved peats (Table 1), consistent with fluorescence data from a study that demonstrated a decrease in the aromaticity and polycondensation of soil extracts from autoclaved soil (Berns et al., 2008). Autoclaving likely caused degradation and solubilization of insoluble humic components. The direct effects of autoclaving are very much dependent on the heat and pressure stability of the indigenous soil constituents, but the substantial soil structural changes likely introduce chemical artifacts that are absent in the native live soil.

4.3 Gamma irradiation is the preferred sterilization method for chemodenitrification assays

The fewest chemical artifacts were observed in γ -irradiated samples. Soil that had been exposed to γ rays showed the lowest N₂O production rates, approximately one-fifth of those observed in live samples. Irradiation also caused only very small changes in Fe speciation relative to live controls and yielded EEMs that were remarkably similar to those obtained from live soil extracts. Our measurements of sterility and respiratory activity indicated the lowest potential for biological activity and hence the least amount of interference for the time period tested. We therefore confirmed γ irradiation to be a preferred method for sterilizing soil (Trevors, 1996) and for assessing abiotic N₂O production potential. In practice, the long preparation time needed to reach a sufficient dose (dependent on radiation source; see Methods) was compensated for by the lack of chemical artifacts during the experiment and the reduced number of hazardous waste products. Limited accessibility to irradiation facilities and the absence of a field portable option remain the main challenges to wide distribution of this approach.

5 Conclusion

High N₂O emissions occur in tropical regions with watersaturated soils (Liengaard et al., 2014; Park et al., 2011; Pérez et al., 2001). Whether these tropical N emissions are solely biotic or have abiotic contributions is not well known because rates of chemodenitrification are not commonly evaluated. Abiotic processes in the N cycle remain overlooked, partly due to the lack of reliable means of quantifying abiotic reactions. This study showed that chemodenitrification occurs in a tropical peat soil, leading to a low to moderate fraction of N2O conversion from nitrite amendment. We also demonstrated that γ irradiation is the "gold standard" for chemodenitrification assays. The application of N₃ to quantify abiotic N₂O production is unsuitable because changes associated with the fraction of the sterilant itself may react to form N₂O and affect increased pH. CHCl₃ and γ rays have slightly reducing effects on the soil Fe pool and might lead to a weak discrimination against pathways involving Fe as a reactant. CHCl₃ fumigation was another approach with limited effects on Fe chemistry that lowered the number of viable cells greatly; however, the potential for microbial regrowth after CHCl₃ removal is its main drawback. Autoclaving seemed to have minor disadvantages for abiotic N₂O production, despite the substantial changes to soil OM.

Unlike other lab-intensive treatments, the application of Zn and Hg are amenable for field experiments; however, we observed distinct chemical artifacts when using both of these options. Care is warranted if using Zn and Hg chemical inhibitors, which can increase Fe availability and may thus overestimate Fe-dependent abiotic N₂O production rate. A potential disadvantage of the application of toxic metals is a decrease in soil pH. We cannot exclude pH-driven effects on N intermediates; however, no major deviation in the final N₂O production rate related to acidification was observed. With the methodological evaluation presented here, we determined that a directed selection of approaches can allow for better constrained and more detailed studies of the role of

abiotic pathways and soil components shaping denitrification and N₂O fluxes from soil ecosystems.

Data availability. All data presented in this paper are available in the Figshare repository under the following DOI: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.10043177.v1 (Buessecker, 2019).

Author contributions. HCQ and SB designed study; SB, KT, JN, KEH, JDUM, JBG, HEH, and HCQ contributed to field collection, laboratory experiments, and data analysis; SB and HCQ developed the first manuscript draft; and all authors contributed to final manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. We thank Chris Laurel, Roy Erickson, and Cathy Kochert for training and assistance with the ICP-OES analysis at ASU's Goldwater Environmental Laboratory and Steven Hart for advice on optimizing the epifluorescence microscopy. We also thank Nabil Fidai, Jaime Lopez, Analissa Sarno, and Mark Reynolds of the Cadillo Lab for their enduring support during the experimental phase. The results reported herein also benefited from collaborations and/or information exchange within NASA's Nexus for Exoplanet System Science (NExSS) research coordination network, sponsored by NASA's Science Mission Directorate.

Financial support. This research has been supported by the National Sciences Foundation (grant no. 1355066), a NASA award (grant no. NNX15AD53G) to Hilairy E. Hartnett, and an NSF-DEB award (no. 1355066) to Hinsby Cadillo-Quiroz.

Review statement. This paper was edited by Perran Cook and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

References

- Abràmoff, M. D., Magalhães, P. J., and Ram, S. J.: Image processing with ImageJ, Laurin Publishing, Pittsfield, MA, 2004.
- Afkhami, A., Madrakian, T., and Maleki, A.: Indirect Kinetic Spectrophotometric Determination of Hydroxylamine Based on Its Reaction with Iodate, Anal. Sci., 22, 329–331, https://doi.org/10.2116/analsci.22.329, 2006.
- Babbin, A. R., Bianchi, D., Jayakumar, A., and Ward, B. B.: Rapid nitrous oxide cycling in the suboxic ocean, Science, 348, 1127– 1129, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa8380, 2015.
- Berns, A. E., Philipp, H., Narres, H. D., Burauel, P., Vereecken, H., and Tappe, W.: Effect of gamma?sterilization and autoclaving on soil organic matter structure as studied by solid state NMR,

UV and fluorescence spectroscopy, J. Soil Sci., 59, 540–550, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2008.01016.x, 2008.

- Blankinship, J. C., Becerra, C. A., Schaeffer, S. M., and Schimel, J. P.: Separating cellular metabolism from exoenzyme activity in soil organic matter decomposition, Soil Biol. Biochem., 71, 68– 75, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.01.010, 2014.
- Bowler, M. W., Montgomery, M. G., Leslie, A. G. W., and Walker, J. E.: How azide inhibits ATP hydrolysis by the F-ATPases, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 103, 8646–8649, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0602915103, 2006.
- Buchwald, C., Grabb, K., Hansel, C. M., and Wankel, S. D.: Constraining the role of iron in environmental nitrogen transformations: Dual stable isotope systematics of abiotic NO₂⁻ reduction by Fe(II) and its production of N₂O, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 186, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.04.041, 2016.
- Bunzl, K., Schmidt, W., and Sansoni, B.: Kinetics of Ion Exchange in Soil Organic Matter. Iv. Adsorption and Desorption of Pb²⁺, Cu²⁺, Cd²⁺, Zn²⁺ and Ca²⁺ by Peat, J. Soil Sci., 27, 32–41, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1976.tb01972.x, 1976.
- techniques Buessecker, S.: Effects of sterilization chemodenitrification on and N_2O production in soil microcosms, figshare, Dataset, tropical peat https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.10043177.v1, 2019.
- Clark, F. E.: Losses of nitrogen accompanying nitrification, Trans. Int. Soc. Soil Sci., Com. IV and V, 173–176, 1962.
- Cory, R. M. and McKnight, D. M.: Fluorescence Spectroscopy Reveals Ubiquitous Presence of Oxidized and Reduced Quinones in Dissolved Organic Matter, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 8142– 8149, https://doi.org/10.1021/es0506962, 2005.
- Criquet, J., Rodriguez, E. M., Allard, S., Wellauer, S., Salhi, E., Joll, C. A., and von Gunten, U.: Reaction of bromine and chlorine with phenolic compounds and natural organic matter extracts – Electrophilic aromatic substitution and oxidation, Water Res., 85, 476–486, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.08.051, 2015.
- Davidson, E. A., Chorover, J., and Dail, D. B.: A mechanism of abiotic immobilization of nitrate in forest ecosystems: the ferrous wheel hypothesis, Global Change Biol., 9, 228–236, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00592.x, 2003.
- Drexel, T. R., Haitzer, M., Ryan, J. N., Aiken, G. R., and Nagy, K. L.: Mercury(II) Sorption to Two Florida Everglades Peats: Evidence for Strong and Weak Binding and Competition by Dissolved Organic Matter Released from the Peat, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 4058–4064, https://doi.org/10.1021/es0114005, 2002.
- Duggleby, R. G.: Analysis of enzyme progress curves by nonlinear regression, in: Methods in Enzymology, 249, 61–90, Academic Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.
- Fellman, J. B., Hood, E., and Spencer, R. G. M.: Fluorescence spectroscopy opens new windows into dissolved organic matter dynamics in freshwater ecosystems: A review, Limnol. Oceanogr., 55, 2452–2462, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.6.2452, 2010.
- Gu, B., Bian, Y., Miller, C. L., Dong, W., Jiang, X., and Liang, L.: Mercury reduction and complexation by natural organic matter in anoxic environments, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 108, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1008747108, 2011.
- Hall, S. J. and Silver, W. L.: Iron oxidation stimulates organic matter decomposition in humid tropical forest soils, Global Change Biol., 19, 2804–2813, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12229, 2013.

- Hutchins, C. M., Teasdale, P. R., Lee, J., and Simpson, S. L.: The effect of manipulating sediment pH on the porewater chemistry of copper- and zinc-spiked sediments, Chemosphere, 69, 1089– 1099, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.04.029, 2007.
- Jenkinson, D. S. and Powlson, D. S.: The effects of biocidal treatments on metabolism in soil – I. Fumigation with chloroform, Soil Biol. Biochem., 8, 167–177, https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(76)90001-8, 1976a.
- Jenkinson, D. S. and Powlson, D. S.: The effects of biocidal treatments on metabolism in soil – V: A method for measuring soil biomass, Soil Biol. Biochem., 8, 209–213, https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(76)90005-5, 1976b.
- Jones, L. C., Peters, B., Pacheco, J. S. L., Casciotti, K. L., and Fendorf, S.: Stable Isotopes and Iron Oxide Mineral Products as Markers of Chemodenitrification, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 3444–3452, https://doi.org/10.1021/es504862x, 2015.
- Jun, L. A. B., Gilmour, C. M., and Bollen, W. B.: Nonbiological Reduction of Nitrite in Soil, Nature, 225, 664–664, https://doi.org/10.1038/225664a0, 1970.
- Kampschreur, M. J., Kleerebezem, R., de Vet, W. W. J. M., and van Loosdrecht, M. C. M.: Reduced iron induced nitric oxide and nitrous oxide emission, Water Res., 45, 5945–5952, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.08.056, 2011.
- Kappelmeyer, U., Kuschk, P., and Stottmeister, U.: Model Experiments on the Influence of Artificial Humic Compounds on Chemodenitrification, Water Air Soil Pollut., 147, 317–330, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024518027312, 2003.
- Kerndorff, H. and Schnitzer, M.: Sorption of metals on humic acid, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 44, 1701–1708, https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(80)90221-5, 1980.
- Lähteenoja, O., Ruokolainen, K., Schulman, L., and Alvarez, J.: Amazonian floodplains harbour minerotrophic and ombrotrophic peatlands, CATENA, 79, 140–145, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2009.06.006, 2009.
- Lawson, I. T., Jones, T. D., Kelly, T. J., Coronado, E. N. H., and Roucoux, K. H.: The Geochemistry of Amazonian Peats, Wetlands, 34, 905–915, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-014-0552-z, 2014.
- Liengaard, L., Figueiredo, V., Markfoged, R., Revsbech, N. P., Nielsen, L. P., Prast, A. E., and Kühl, M.: Hot moments of N₂O transformation and emission in tropical soils from the Pantanal and the Amazon (Brazil), Soil Biol. Biochem., 75, 26–36, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.03.015, 2014.
- McCalley, C. K. and Sparks, J. P.: Abiotic Gas Formation Drives Nitrogen Loss from a Desert Ecosystem, Science, 326, 837–840, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1178984, 2009.
- McDevitt, C. A., Ogunniyi, A. D., Valkov, E., Lawrence, M. C., Kobe, B., McEwan, A. G., and Paton, J. C.: A Molecular Mechanism for Bacterial Susceptibility to Zinc, edited by: Imlay, J., PLoS Pathog., 7, 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002357, 2011.
- McIlvin, M. R. and Altabet, M. A.: Chemical Conversion of Nitrate and Nitrite to Nitrous Oxide for Nitrogen and Oxygen Isotopic Analysis in Freshwater and Seawater, Anal. Chem., 77, 5589– 5595, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac050528s, 2005.
- J., С., Findlay, McKenney, D. Lazar, and W. J.: Kinetics of the Nitrite to Nitric Oxide Reaction in Peat, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 54, 106-112,

https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1990.03615995005400010016x, 1990.

- McKnight, D. M., Boyer, E. W., Westerhoff, P. K., Doran, P. T., Kulbe, T., and Andersen, D. T.: Spectrofluorometric characterization of dissolved organic matter for indication of precursor organic material and aromaticity, Limnol. Oceanogr., 46, 38–48, 2001.
- Moraghan, J. T. and Buresh, R. J.: Chemical Reduction of Nitrite and Nitrous Oxide by Ferrous Iron, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 41, 47– 50, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1977.03615995004100010017x, 1977.
- Nelson, D. W. and Bremner, J. M.: Factors affecting chemical transformations of nitrite in soils, Soil Biol. Biochem., 1, 229–239, https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(69)90023-6, 1969.
- Ohno, T.: Fluorescence Inner-Filtering Correction for Determining the Humification Index of Dissolved Organic Matter, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 742–746, https://doi.org/10.1021/es0155276, 2002.
- Ostrom, N. E., Gandhi, H., Trubl, G., and Murray, A. E.: Chemodenitrification in the cryoecosystem of Lake Vida, Victoria Valley, Antarctica, Geobiology, 14, 575–587, https://doi.org/10.1111/gbi.12190, 2016.
- Park, J. Y. and Lee, Y. N.: Solubility and decomposition kinetics of nitrous acid in aqueous solution, J. Phys. Chem., 92, 6294–6302, https://doi.org/10.1021/j100333a025, 1988.
- Park, S., Pérez, T., Boering, K. A., Trumbore, S. E., Gil, J., Marquina, S., and Tyler, S. C.: Can N₂O stable isotopes and isotopomers be useful tools to characterize sources and microbial pathways of N₂O production and consumption in tropical soils?, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 25, 1–16, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GB003615, 2011.
- Parton, W., Silver, W. L., Burke, I. C., Grassens, L., Harmon, M. E., Currie, W. S., King, J. Y., Adair, E. C., Brandt, L. A., Hart, S. C., and Fasth, B.: Global-Scale Similarities in Nitrogen Release Patterns During Long-Term Decomposition, Science, 315, 361– 364, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1134853, 2007.
- Pepper, S. E., Borkowski, M., Richmann, M. K., and Reed, D. T.: Determination of ferrous and ferric iron in aqueous biological solutions, Anal. Chim. Acta, 663, 172–177, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2010.01.056, 2010.
- Pérez, T., Trumbore, S. E., Tyler, S. C., Matson, P. A., Monasterio, I. O., Rahn, T., and Griffith, D. W. T.: Identifying the agricultural imprint on the global N₂O budget using stable isotopes, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106, 9869–9878, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900809, 2001.
- Porter, L. K.: Gaseous Products Produced by Anaerobic Reaction of Sodium Nitrite with Oxime Compounds and Oximes Synthesized from Organic Matter 1, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 33, 696–702, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1969.03615995003300050023x, 1969.
- Raczyńska, E. D., Krygowski, T. M., Zachara, J. E., Ośmiałowski, B., and Gawinecki, R.: Tautomeric equilibria, Hbonding and π -electron delocalization in o-nitrosophenol. A B3LYP/6-311+G(2df,2p) study, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 18, 892– 897, https://doi.org/10.1002/poc.963, 2005.
- Rogers, R. D.: Abiological Methylation of Mercury in Soil 1, J. Environ. Qual., 6, 463–467, https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq1977.00472425000600040029x, 1977.

- Stedman, G.: Mechanism of the azide–nitrite reaction Part II, J. Chem. Soc., 2949–2954, https://doi.org/10.1039/JR9590002949, 1959.
- Stedmon, C. A., Markager, S., and Bro, R.: Tracing dissolved organic matter in aquatic environments using a new approach to fluorescence spectroscopy, Mar. Chem., 82, 239–254, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(03)00072-0, 2003.
- Stevenson, F. J., Harrison, R. M., Wetselaar, R., and Leeper, R. A.: Nitrosation of Soil Organic Matter: III. Nature of Gases Produced by Reaction of Nitrite with Lignins, Humic Substances, and Phenolic Constituents Under Neutral and Slightly Acidic Conditions 1, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 34, 430–435, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1970.03615995003400030024x, 1970.
- Stiefel, P., Schmidt-Emrich, S., Maniura-Weber, K., and Ren, Q.: Critical aspects of using bacterial cell viability assays with the fluorophores SYTO9 and propidium iodide, BMC Microbiology, 15, 36, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-015-0376-x, 2015.
- Stumm, W. and Morgan, J. J. (Eds.): Aquatic Chemistry, 3rd ed., John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, 2012.
- Thorn, K. A. and Mikita, M. A.: Nitrite Fixation by Humic Substances Nitrogen-15 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Evidence for Potential Intermediates in Chemodenitrification, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 64, 568–582, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2000.642568x, 2000.
- Thorn, K. A., Younger, S. J., and Cox, L. G.: Order of Functionality Loss during Photodegradation of Aquatic Humic Substances, J. Environ. Qual., 39, 1416–1428, https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2009.0408, 2010.
- Trevors, J. T.: Sterilization and inhibition of microbial activity in soil, J. Microbiol. Methods, 26, 53–59, https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7012(96)00843-3, 1996.
- Tuominen, L., Kairesalo, T., and Hartikainen, H.: Comparison of Methods for Inhibiting Bacterial Activity in Sediment, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 60, 3454–3457, 1994.
- Van Cleemput, O., Patrick, W. H., and McIlhenny, R. C.: Nitrite Decomposition in Flooded Soil Under Different pH and Redox Potential Conditions, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 40, 55– 60, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1976.03615995004000010018x, 1976.
- Veverica, T. J., Kane, E. S., Marcarelli, A. M., and Green, S. A.: Ionic Liquid Extraction Unveils Previously Occluded Humic-Bound Iron in Peat Soil Pore Water, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 80, 771–782, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2015.10.0377, 2016.
- Wilson, H. F. and Xenopoulos, M. A.: Effects of agricultural land use on the composition of fluvial dissolved organic matter, Nat. Geosci., 2, 37–41, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo391, 2009.
- Wolf, D. C., Dao, T. H., Scott, H. D., and Lavy, T. L.: Influence of Sterilization Methods on Selected Soil Microbiological, Physical, and Chemical Properties, J. Environ. Qual., 18, 39– 44, https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq1989.00472425001800010007x, 1989.
- Wullstein, L. H. and Gilmour, C. M.: Non-enzymatic Formation of Nitrogen Gas, Nature, 210, 1150–1151, https://doi.org/10.1038/2101150a0, 1966.
- Xia, K., Skyllberg, U. L., Bleam, W. F., Bloom, P. R., Nater, E. A., and Helmke, P. A.: X-ray Absorption Spectroscopic Evidence for the Complexation of Hg(II) by Reduced Sulfur in

Soil Humic Substances, Environ. Sci. Technol., 33, 257–261, https://doi.org/10.1021/es980433q, 1998.

- Zelles, L., Palojärvi, A., Kandeler, E., Von Lützow, M., Winter, K., and Bai, Q. Y.: Changes in soil microbial properties and phospholipid fatty acid fractions after chloroform fumigation, Soil Biol. Biochem., 29, 1325–1336, 1997.
- Zheng, W., Liang, L., and Gu, B.: Mercury Reduction and Oxidation by Reduced Natural Organic Matter in Anoxic Environments, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 292–299, https://doi.org/10.1021/es203402p, 2011.
- Zhu, X., Silva, L. C. R., Doane, T. A., and Horwath, W. R.: Iron: The Forgotten Driver of Nitrous Oxide Production in Agricultural Soil, edited by: Bond-Lamberty, B., PLOS ONE, 8, e60146, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060146, 2013.
- Zhu-Barker, X., Cavazos, A. R., Ostrom, N. E., Horwath, W. R., and Glass, J. B.: The importance of abiotic reactions for nitrous oxide production, Biogeochemistry, 126, 251–267, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-015-0166-4, 2015.