

Towards a more complete quantification of the global carbon cycle

Miko U.F. Kirschbaum, Guang Zeng, Fabiano Ximenes, Donna L. Giltrap, John R. Zeldis

Supplemental Information

Calculating the Transfer of Organic Compounds to the Oceans

Here we have estimated the production of organic carbon compounds originating from partial oxydation of methane and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) and their transfer to the oceans. We have calculated dry and wet deposition of the volatile organic compounds (VOCs) simulated in a global chemistry-climate model. We used the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research – United Kingdom Chemistry and Aerosol (NIWA-UKCA) model (O'Connor et al., 2014; Zeng et al., 2015), which contains a fairly detailed VOC oxidation mechanism. Dry deposition follows the formulation of Wesely (1989), and wet deposition depends on rainfall rates and Henry's Law coefficients (Giannakopoulos et al., 1999).

We have adopted the model simulations used in the study by Zeng et al. (2015), which includes primary emissions of carbon monoxide (CO), ethane (C₂H₆), ethene (C₂H₄), propane (C₃H₈), propene (C₃H₆), formaldehyde (HCHO), acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO), acetone (CH₃COCH₃), methanol (CH₃OH), isoprene (C₅H₈), monoterpene (C₁₀H₁₆), and a lumped compound accounting for other NMVOCs that are not explicitly represented in the chemical mechanism. In this simulation, methane mixing ratios at the surface are prescribed at 1758 ppb, which is equivalent to annual emissions of ~450 MtC yr⁻¹. Isoprene and monoterpene emissions are 448 and 126 MtC yr⁻¹, respectively, and emissions of other VOCs account for ~155 MtC yr⁻¹. The simulation is based on the conditions in 2006.

For species that are subject to dry and wet deposition, we partitioned the ocean flux as follows. We used the modelled global distribution of dry deposition fluxes to the Earth's surface of each species and accounted for deposition to the ocean using the model's land-sea mask information. Total dry deposition to the land and the ocean were then calculated by integrating the respective fluxes over the land and the ocean. In the model version used here, wet deposition fluxes were output as zonally averaged 2-dimensional fields. Therefore, we needed to partition the global wet deposition fluxes to the ocean using 3-dimensional global distributions of the species and weighted them by the global distribution of total precipitation rates.

For comparison, there have been previous studies of the global methanol budget that derived a wide range of possible deposition estimates (see Jacob et al., 2005 and references therein), due mainly to the large uncertainties in terrestrial methanol sources. Those models used estimated total terrestrial emissions of 114–320 Mt(CH₃OH) yr⁻¹, which resulted in total dry deposition of 24–120 Mt(CH₃OH) yr⁻¹, of which 0.3–50 Mt(CH₃OH) yr⁻¹ were estimated to have been deposited on the oceans. In addition, total wet deposition was estimated to be 9–50 Mt(CH₃OH) yr⁻¹, which was not partitioned between ocean and the land deposition in these studies. The terrestrial methanol emissions used in our model simulation (103 Mt(CH₃OH) yr⁻¹, or 39 MtC yr⁻¹) was therefore near the lower end of the estimates used in previous studies, while the estimated source from atmospheric production was 34 Mt(CH₃OH) yr⁻¹, or 13 MtC yr⁻¹, which is similar to the estimates obtained by Jacob et al. (2005). Our deposition estimates are also comparable to the estimates of Jacob et al. (2005) after taking account of the different total terrestrial emission estimates used in the two studies.

References

- Giannakopoulos, C., Chipperfield, M.P., Law, K.S., and Pyle, J.A.: Validation and intercomparison of wet and dry deposition schemes using ²¹⁰Pb in a global three-dimensional off-line chemical transport model. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 23761–23784, 1999.
- Jacob, D.J., Field, B.D., Li, Q., Blake, D.R., de Gouw, J., Warneke, C., Hansel, A., Wisthaler, A., Singh, H.B., and Guenther, A.: Global budget of methanol: Constraints from atmospheric observations. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, Article DO8303, 2005.
- O'Connor, F.M., Johnson, C.E., Morgenstern, O., Abraham, N.L., Braesicke, P., Dalvi, M., Folberth, G.A., Sanderson, M.G., Telford, P.J., Voulgarakis, A., Young, P.J., Zeng, G., Collins, W.J., and Pyle, J.A.: Evaluation of the new UKCA climate-composition model. Part II: The Troposphere. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 7, 41–91, 2014.
- Wesely, M.L.: Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in regional-scale numerical models. *Atmos. Environ.*, 23, 1293–1304, 1989.
- Zeng, G., Williams, J.E., Fisher, J.A., Emmons, L.K., Jones, N.B., Morgenstern, O., Robinson, J., Smale, D., Paton-Walsh, C., and Griffith, D.W.T.: Multi-model simulation of CO and HCHO in the Southern Hemisphere: comparison with observations and impact of biogenic emissions. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 7217–7245, 2015.