



## Supplement of

## Contrasting drought legacy effects on gross primary productivity in a mixed versus pure beech forest

Xin Yu et al.

Correspondence to: Xin Yu (xyu@bgc-jena.mpg.de)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.



Figure S1. The minimum of GPP anomalies (minimum GPP<sub>anom</sub>) and WAI anomalies during the day when minimum GPP<sub>anom</sub> occurs and previous 14 days (mean WAI<sub>anom\_15</sub>) at a) DE-Hai and b) DE-Lnf.



**Figure S2.** Out of bag scores of RF models at DE-Hai and DE-Lnf. Since using leave-one-year-out strategy (see Section 3.4), each RF model for a resulting time series has its own OOB score.



**Figure S3. Variable importance, indicated by increased MSE, of RF models at DE-Hai and DE-Lnf.** Since using leave-one-year-out strategy (see Section 3.4), each RF model for a resulting time series has its own variable importance.



- 15 Figure S4. Residuals of GPP anomalies from RF and RF<sub>EVI</sub> (see Section 3.6) in legacy years at a) DE-Hai and b) DE-Lnf. Residuals of GPP anomalies are characterized by observed minus predicted GPP anomalies (GPP<sub>anom</sub> residuals). The color lines and bands show the median and 5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> percentile GPP<sub>anom</sub> residuals of ensemble model runs (see Section 3.4), respectively. The solid and dashed lines show the residuals based on RF and RF<sub>EVI</sub>, respectively. The model uncertainties from RF<sub>EVI</sub> (dark and light grey shaded area, respectively) are characterized by the 25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> quantile ranges of GPP<sub>anom</sub> residuals in non-legacy years. The black dashed line was the median
- 20 of GPP<sub>anom</sub> residuals from RF<sub>EVI</sub> in non-legacy years. The ticks denoted the start of each month. Panel c and d show in more detail results in April-June and August-October at DE-Hai, respectively.



Figure S5. Daily EVI in the selected drought and legacy years at a) DE-Hai 2003, b) DE-Hai 2018 and c) DE-Lnf 2003 showing the
droughts and following legacy years, respectively. Colored points and lines showed original and smoothed (7-days average) EVI, respectively, in drought and legacy years. The grey lines and shaded areas showed the median, 25th-75th (dark grey), and 5th-95th (light grey) percentiles of EVI, respectively, over non-drought and non-legacy years. The shaded coral areas indicated the average growing seasons of DE-Hai and DE-Lnf.



30 Figure S6. Enhanced vegetation index (EVI) time series at a) DE-Hai and b) DE-Lnf. Colored lines were EVI anomalies in legacy years (2004, 2005, 2019, and 2020), while grey lines were EVI anomalies in non-legacy years (normal and drought years).



Figure S7. NPP of leaves in the footprint of eddy-covariance tower at DE-Hai. Colored points were leaves NPP in the drought year (2003) and legacy years (2004 and 2005). The boxplot showed NPP of leaves in other years.





45

Figure S8. Residuals of transpiration (Tr) anomalies from RF and RF<sub>EVI</sub> (see Section 3.6) in legacy years at a) DE-Hai and b) DE-Lnf. Residuals of GPP anomalies were characterized by observed minus predicted GPP anomalies (GPP<sub>anom</sub> residuals). The color lines and bands showed the median and 5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> percentile GPP<sub>anom</sub> residuals of ensemble model runs (see Section 3.4), respectively. The solid and dashed lines showed the residuals based on RF and RF<sub>EVI</sub>, respectively. The model uncertainties from RF<sub>EVI</sub> (dark and light grey shaded area, respectively) were characterized by the 25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> quantile ranges of Tr<sub>anom</sub> residuals in non-legacy years. The black dashed line was the median of Tr<sub>anom</sub> residuals from RF<sub>EVI</sub> in non-legacy years. The ticks denoted the start of each month.



Figure S9. Residuals of GPP anomalies at seasonal scale in legacy years at DE-Hai from a) the model using observed soil moisture
(SM), b) the model using cumulative water deficit (CWD), c) the model using estimated water availability index from a bucket model (WAI), and d) the model using soil moisture from ERA5 (ERA5). Legacy effects on GPP was characterized by observed minus predicted GPP anomalies (GPP<sub>anom</sub> residuals). The model uncertainty (dark and light grey area, respectively) was characterized by the 25%-75% and 5%-95% quantile ranges of GPP<sub>anom</sub> residuals in non-legacy years. The black line was the median of GPP<sub>anom</sub> residuals in non-legacy years. CWD was estimated from cumulative differences between observed precipitation and evapotranspiration over dry periods at daily scale.



**Figure S10.** Observed (OBS) and predicted (RF) GPP anomalies in a) 2019 and b) 2020 at DE-Hai. The green area was 5-95% of predicted GPP anomalies from all loops (see Method).

DE-Hai



Figure S11. Soil water content at the third layer (30cm) anomalies (SWC\_3 anomaly) at DE-Hai. Colored lines were SWC\_3 anomalies in legacy years (2004, 2005, 2019, and 2020), while grey lines were SWC\_3 anomalies in non-legacy years (normal and drought years).

ET during drydown events in 2019 and 2020



Figure S12. Cumulative evapotranspiration at 0~30cm (ET\_30) and at the whole soil (ET) during dry-down periods (grey areas) in 2019 and 2020 at DE-Hai. Dry-down periods were identified as the periods when soil moisture at 0~30cm is continuously decreasing.
ET\_30 was estimated by summed soil moisture decreases at 0~30cm during dry-down periods. ET was the summed observation from eddy-covariance measurements during dry-down periods.