



Supplement of

Dissolved organic matter concentration and composition discontinuity at the peat–pool interface in a boreal peatland

Antonin Prijac et al.

Correspondence to: Antonin Prijac (antonin.prijac@gmail.com) and Laure Gandois (laure.gandois@cnrs.fr)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

MIC:VEG



Figure S1. Correlation plot of punctual variables as physico-chemical parameters (water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen and specific Conductivity), DOC concentrations, DOC:DON ratio. DOC:Cl ratio, absorbance indices (SUVA₂₅₄, E2:E3 ratio and S_R), and molecular index (deoxyC6:C5 ratio, fVEG, C/V ratio), molecular family proportions (%Phenols, %SmallOrganicAcids, %Microbial Carbohydrates, %LowMolecularWeight FattyAcids, %HighMolecularWeight FattyAcids).



Figure S2. Variation of pool depth as the difference between peat surface at a reference point and water surface and water table depth at the reference point.

Year	Campaign	Environment	DOC; DOC:DON	Isotopic	Absorbance	Fluorescence	Molecular	Incubation
	T	Porewater	5	1	5			
	June	Pools	6	2	4			
	Jul.	Porewater	4	2	4		3	
	July	Pools	6	3	6		3	
2018	Anoust	Porewater	6		6		3	
2018	August	Pools	6		6		3	
	September	Porewater	4	2	4		3	
		Pools	6	3	6		3	
	October	Porewater						
		Pools	6	2	6			
	т	Porewater	6	3	6	6	2	х
	June	Pools	11	3	11	11	2	х
	Anoust	Porewater	6	3	5	5	1	х
2010	August	Pools	11	3	11	11	3	х
2019	Santamhan	Porewater	5	5	5	4	3	х
	September	Pools	11	3	11		2	х
	Oataban	Porewater	5	3	5	5	3	
	October	Pools	5	3	5	5	3	

Table S1. Synthesis of samples number per analyses per campaigns.



Figure S3. Daily average air, peat temperature and pool temperature (for 2019 exclusively) and precipitation in a) 2018 and b) 2019. Vertical band represented sampling period and color depending on seasons (blue = spring, yellow = summer, red = tumn). Box plot of seasonal c) air temperature, punctual measurements of d) peat porewater and e) pool water temperatures. For boxplot, box represented the lower (25^{th} percentile) and the upper quartile (75^{th} percentile) and median (50^{th} percentile), whiskers represented the interquartile range.

Samples from the two studied years were pooled according to seasons. In this study, seasons were defined based on air and water temperatures measured at the site (Fig. SI.3). Spring was defined from the end of the seasonal thaw that occurred in May to the end of June. Summer included the months of July and August when air and water temperatures were at their warmest. Finally, the autumn season corresponded to the months of September and October when air and water temperature decreased to zero.



Figure S4. a) Picture of the sampled pool, hollow and lawn. **b)** Variations of DOC concentrations (in mg C L⁻¹), DOC:DON ratio, SUVA₂₅₄ (in L mg C⁻¹ cm⁻¹), E2:E3 ratio and spectral slope ratio in two contiguous microforms (one lawn and one hollow) and their adjacent pool (M11 on Fig. 1) at 3 depths in peat porewater (-0.3 m, -0.75 m and -1 m) and at the surface and in depth of the pool. Samples were taken in June, August, and September 2019 using a tubes of 3 mm of diameter inserted into peat and collected into a bottle connected to a manual peristaltic pump. Points represents the average of each variable and error bar the standard deviation. Variables were always significantly different between pool and lawn and pool and hollow, except for E2:E3 ratio, but never significantly different between lawn and hollow.

Table S2. List of compounds analyzed by THM-GC-MS and their related m/z and mass specra factor (msf) used for their integration and molecular groups associated with them

Compounds	m/z	msf	Groups
2-butenedioic acid dimethyl ester (fumaric acid)	113	3.3	SOA
Butanedioic acid dimethyl ester (succinic acid)	115	4.5	SOA
heptadienoic acid methyl ester	111	3.9	SOA
2-methylbutanedioic acid dimethyl ester (methylsuccinic acid)	59	2.4	SOA
Pentanedioic acid dimethyl ester (glutaric acid)	59	5.6	SOA
Pentose	129	4	CAR
Desoxyhexose	129	4	CAR
Hexose	129	4	CAR
C8:0	74	3	FA
C9:0	74	3.6	FA
C10:0	74	3	FA
C12:0	74	3	LMW FA
<i>α</i> , ωC _{9:0}	74	10.2	FA
C _{13:0}	74	4.1	LMW FA
<i>br</i> C _{14:0}	74	3.1	LMW FA
C14:0	74	3.1	LMW FA
<i>i</i> C _{15:0}	74	3.3	LMW FA
$\alpha C_{15:0}$	74	3.3	LMW FA
C _{15:0}	74	3.3	LMW FA
brC _{16:0}	74	4.9	LMW FA
C _{16:1}	74	14.5	LMW FA
C16:1	74	14.5	LMW FA
C _{16:0}	74	4.9	FA
<i>i</i> C _{17:0}	74	3.9	LMW FA
aC17:0	74	3.9	LMW FA
C _{17:1}	74		LMW FA
C17:0	74	3.9	LMW FA
C _{18:1}	74	14.6	LMW FA
C _{18:0}	74	4.5	FA
<i>ωOH</i> C _{16:0}	74	11.3	HMW FA
C20:0	74	4.9	HMW FA
<i>ωOH</i> C _{18:0}	74	17.3	HMW FA
C21:0	74	7.5	HMW FA
$\alpha, \omega C_{18:0}$	74	17.7	HMW FA
C22:0	74	4.9	HMW FA
<i>ωOH</i> C _{20:0}	74	12.9	HMW FA
C23:0	74	8.4	HMW FA
$\alpha, \omega C_{20:0}$	74	10.8	HMW FA
C24:0	74	4.4	HMW FA
ωOHC22:0	74	13.5	HMW FA
C _{25:0}	74	7.7	HMW FA
$\alpha, \omega C_{22:0}$	74	10.8	HMW FA
C _{26:0}	74	4.5	HMW FA
ωOHC24:0	74	13.5	HMW FA
C27:0	74	5.1	HMW FA
$\alpha, \omega C_{24:0}$	74	9.7	HMW FA
C28:0	74	4.9	HMW FA
benzoic acid methyl ester	105	2.9	PHE
1,2-dimethoxybenzene	138	4.7	PHE
1,4-dimethoxybenzene	138	6	PHE
1,3-dimethoxybenzene	138	3.8	PHE
dimethoxytoluene	152	5.7	PHE
3-methoxybenzaldehyde	136	4.3	PHE

4-methoxybenzaldehyde	136	3.8	PHE
methoxyacetophenone	135	3.5	PHE
3-methoxybenzoic acid methyl ester	135	3.9	PHE
4-methoxybenzoic acid methyl ester	135	2.8	PHE
1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene	168	3.8	PHE
1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene	168	4.3	PHE
1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene	168	3	PHE
trimethoxytoluene	167	7	PHE
1,2,3,4-tetramethoxybenzene	198	3.5	PHE
1,2,3,5-tetramethoxybenzene	198	13.5	PHE
3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (vanilaldehyde)	166	4.2	PHE
3,4-dimethoxyacetophenone (acetovanilone)	165	2.8	PHE
3,5-dimethoxybenzoic acid methyl ester	196	5.1	PHE
3,4-dimethoxybenzoic acid methyl ester (vaniclic acid)	196	5.6	PHE
3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde (syringealdehyde)	196	6.7	PHE
3-(4-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-enoic acid methyl ester	192	6.7	PHE
3,4,5-trimethoxyacetophenone (acetosyringeone)	195	4.8	PHE
cis-1,2-Dimethoxy-4-(2-methoxyethenyl)benzene	194	5.1	PHE
trans-1,2-Dimethoxy-4-(2-methoxyethenyl)benzene	194	5.1	PHE
3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoic acid emthyl ester (syringic acid)	226	5.3	PHE
3-(4-methoxyphenyl)but-2-enoic methyl ester	206	8.8	PHE
4-Methoxycarbonylmethoxybenzoic acid methyl ester	193	5.1	PHE
cis-1,2,3-Trimethoxy-5-(2-methoxyethenyl)benzene	224	7.0	PHE
trans-1,2,3-Trimethoxy-5-(2-methoxyethenyl)benzene	224	7.0	PHE
3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-enoic acid methyl ester	222	3.7	PHE

Table S3. Results of statistical tests for physico-chemical variables (water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen and specific conductivity), DOC concentrations, DOC:DON ratio, absorbance indices (SUVA₂₅₄, E2:E3 ratio and S_R) fluorescence indices (FI and β : α index), molecular index (deoxyC6:C5 ratio, fVEG, C/V ratio and Ac:Al(V) ratio) and δ^{13} C-DOC. Statistical tests were based on models for comparison between years of sampling, peat porewater and pools and between seasons of sampling for peat porewater and pools. Significant differences were represented in bold. In the table, statistical test was abbreviating as K-W for Kruskal and Wallis test, WAOV for Welsh analyses of variances and AOV for analyses of variances and environments were abbreviated as P-P for peat porewater and Po for pools. There was no test to compare the effect of years on fluorescent indices considering all data came from samples of the same year of sampling periods.

	~ Year		~ Env		~ Season									
Variable	Stat	p-value	Test	Stat	p-value	Test	Env	Stat	p-value	Test	SPR/SUM	SPR/FAL	SUM/FAL	
Water	1.(2	0.202	IZ MI	14.00	0.0002		P-P	11.36	0.0003	WAOV	0.048	0.963	0.00023	
Temperature	1.62	0.203	K-W	14.06	0.0002	K-W	Ро	66.15	<0.0001	WAOV	<0.0001	0.534	<0.0001	
	15.96	-0.0001	V W	25.24	<0.0001	WAOW	P-P	10.9	0.0003	WAOV	0.001	0.108	0.0499	
рн	15.80	<0.0001	K-W	25.24	<0.0001	WAUV	Ро	7.16	0.002	AOV	0.752	0.501	0.00107	
Dissolved	1.42	0.222	V W	80.24	<0.0001	K W	P-P	1.41	0.26	AOV	0.227	0.627	0.618	
Oxygen	1.42	0.233	K-W	89.34	<0.0001	<0.0001 K-W	Ро	12.88	0.0016	K-W	0.771	0.00236	0.00835	
Specific	0.120	0.710	V W	15 (7	<0.0001	< 0.0001 K-W	P-P	2.91	0.23	K-W	0.0914	0.408	0.311	
Conductivity	0.129	0.719	K-W	45.07			~0.0001 K-W	Ро	47.1	<0.0001	WAOV	0.0003	<0.0001	<0.0001
DOC	0.1	0.751	V W	27.5	27.5 <0.0001	7.5 <0.0001	WAOV	P-P	14.183	<0.0001	AOV	0.0003	0.00003	0.605
DOC	0.1	0.751	K- W	27.5			21.3 \0.0001	WAUV	Ро	9.376	0.0003	AOV	0.0431	0.000156
DOC:DON	1.84	0.028	K W	30.00	< 0.0001 K-W	K W	P-P	15.3	<0.0001	WAOV	0.015	<0.0001	0.804	
DOC.DON	4.04	0.028	K- W	30.99		~0.0001 1	~0.0001	J01 K-W	Ро	3.93	0.024	AOV	0.0367	0.0405
813C DOC	0.616	0 422	V W	0.764	0.282	V W	P-P	11.706	0.0006	AOV	0.00516	0.000555	0.721	
8C-DOC	0.010	0.432	K- W	0.764	0.382	K- W	Ро	2.1	0.151	AOV	0.676	0.148	0.546	
SUVA	0.086	0 222	AOV	57	~0.0001	V W	P-P	0.11	0.0173	K-W	0.0513	0.826	0.00748	
50 V A254	0.980	0.323	AUV	57	<0.0001	K- W	Ро	16.5	0.0002	K-W	0.773	0.00298	0.000606	
E2.E3	1.05	0 306	K W	312 305	<0.0001	AOV	P-P	1.683	0.199	AOV	0.814	0.195	0.43	
E2.E3	1.05	0.300	K- W	512.393	~0.0001	AUV	Ро	29.196	<0.0001	AOV	0.0487	<0.0001	<0.0001	
SR	1.68	0.198	WAOV	108	<0.0001	WAOV	P-P	2.264	0.118	AOV	0.185	0.994	0.17	

							Ро	26.6	<0.0001	K-W	0.141	0.0417	<0.0001
Fluorescence	*	*	*	10.6	0.0002	WAOV	P-P	1.459	0.259	AOV	0.158	0.602	0.0547
Index		·	·	19.0	0.0002	WAUV	Ро	0.353	0.705	AOV	0.726	0.991	0.464
0	*	*	*	0.808	0.247	AOV	P-P	1.256	0.308	AOV	0.872	0.583	0.313
p.u maex				0.898	0.347	AUV	Ро	5.41	0.013	WAOV	0.015	0.998	0.026
	5 324	0.027	AOV	1 655	0.038	AOV	P-P	7.412	0.006	AOV	0.918	0.148	0.00545
IVEG	5.524	0.027	AUV	4.055	0.030	AOV	Ро	0.395	0.68	AOV	0.701	0.937	0.804
doorwC6:C5	4.05	0.054	WAOV	17.2	<0.0001 K-W	V W	P-P	1.183	0.333	AOV	0.987	0.562	0.377
deoxyCo.C3	4.05	0.034	WAUV	17.5		~0.0001 K-W	K- W	Ро	7.38	0.025	K-W	0.0156	0.364
C·W	0.102	0.749	K-W	8.65	0.0033	K-W	P-P	5.129	0.02	AOV	0.995	0.187	0.0217
C.V							Ро	0.542	0.763	K-W	0.48	0.511	0.946
%Phenols	0.474	0 495	AOV	0.38	0.846	AOV	P-P	0.27	0.767	AOV	0.805	0.749	0.991
701 menors	0.474	0.495	AUV	0.38	0.840	AUV	Po 0	0.509	0.611	AOV	0.584	0.719	0.951
%Car	0.452	0.832	K-W	0.0231	0.879	K-W	P-P	0.42	0.675	WAOV	0.635	0.955	0.883
7000	0.432	0.052	1x- w	0.0251			Ро	0.0145	0.993	K-W	0.904	0.930	0.965
%SOA	4 918	0 033	AOV	0.016	0.9	AOV	P-P	4.352	0.032	AOV	0.92	0.341	0.0295
/050/1	1.910	0.000	nov	0.010	0.9	0.7 AUV	Ро	2.122	0.152	AOV	0.908	0.54	0.137
%LMW FA	0.00831	0 927	K-W	0.208	0 649	K-W	P-P	0.96	0.405	AOV	0.908	0.54	0.137
	0.000001	0.927		0.200	0.072	12- 11	Ро	2.67	0.263	K-W	0.475	1	0.593
%HMW FA	7.82	0.00518	K-W	2.13	0.145	K-W	P-P	0.96	0.405	AOV	0.628	0.991	0.423
70ΠΙΝΙ ΝΥ ΓΑ	,2	5100010	11	2.13	0.110	12 11	Ро	2.67	0.263	K-W	0.475	1	0.593

Table S4. Synthesis of published DOC concentrations (mean \pm sd) in peat porewater and pool in northern hemisphere peatlands. All DOC concentrations derived from water sampled during growing season, except for Beer and Blodau (2007) who presented DOC concentrations from sampling in October and December 2005 and Tipping et al. (2010) who presented samples taken weekly, including winters, between 1993 and 2007.

Reference	Peatland type	Location	Biome	Sampled environments	DOC concentrations (mg L ⁻¹)
Peat Porewate	er				
This study	Bog	Bouleau peatland (Québec, Canada)	Boreal	6 wells collecting porewater	18.0 ± 8.4
Arsenault et al., 2019	Bog	Grande Plée Bleue (Québec, Canada)	Temperate	10 wells collecting porewater	39.0 ± 9.1
Austnes et al., 2010	Bog	Afon Ddu (Wales)	Temperate	Sphagnum porewater (Sph) and 3 porewater samples: one in micro rhizons (MR), one from a lysimeter at 5cm (ZT5) below surface and one from a lysimeter at 10cm bellow surface (ZT10)	Sph : 27-55 MR : 25.5-32 ZT5 : 21.5- 33.5 ZT10 : 27-38
Beer and Blodau, 2007	Bog	Mer Bleu Peatland (Ontario, Canada)	Temperate	A multi-level piezometer collecting water from 35 to 165 cm at 10 cm interval and one well collecting peat porewater	Ranged between 10 and 50 mg L-1 and concentrations always higher to 20 mg L-1 in first to 100 cm of peat column
Deshpande et al., 2016	Bog	Sasapimakwananisikw River Valley (Québec, Canada)	Subarctic	Concentration measured from peat solubilized in Milli-Q water from 3 depths at every 2 cores sampled in active layer of peat	Ranged between 13.9 and 28.8
Gandois et al., 2019	Thermokarst bogs	Igarka region (Siberia, Russia)	Subarctic	Water collected by wells in the active layer	Small bogs: 44.1 \pm 10.1 Large bogs: 16.7 \pm 1.2

Raudina et al., 2017	5 bogs along a longitudinal gradient	Western Siberia Lowlands (Russia)	Artic	Porewater collected in histosols with tension lysimeter	Ranged between 33.7 and 97.9
Tipping et al., 2010	<i>Sphagnum</i> peat bog	Cottage Hill Sike (North Pennies, England)	Temperate	Porewater collected weekly with tension lysimeter at 10 and 50 cm depth	20.6 ± 4.1
Pools					
This study	Bog	Bouleau Peatland (Québec, Canada)	Boreal	9 pools	10.5 ± 4.1
Arsenault et al., 2018	Bog	Grande Plée Bleue (Québec, Canada)	Temperate	62 pools	25.8 ± 6.2
Arsenault et al., 2019	Bog	Grande Plée Bleue (Québec, Canada)	Temperate	5 pools	18.3 ± 4.7
Deshpande et al., 2016	Bog	Sasapimakwananisikw River Valley (Québec, Canada)	Subarctic	3 thermokarst lakes	Ranged between 8.5 and 11
Laurion and Mladenov, 2013	Bog	Sirmilik National Park on Bylot Island (Nunavut, Canada)	Arctic	2 pool types: 1. ponds on top of low-centred polygons 2. water from degraded ice wedges	Ranged between 8.5 and 13.1
Laurion et al., 2021	Bog	Sirmilik National Park on Bylot Island (Nunavut, Canada)	Arctic	11 pools from low centred polygons	9.2
Peura et al., 2016	Palsa bog	Whapmagoostui- Kuujjuarapik (Québec, Canada)	Arctic	12 thaw pools (development stage: emerging, developing, and mature pools)	25.8 ± 10.1
Turner et al., 2016	Bog	3 regions: Flow Country (north Scotland), Silver Flowe (southwest Scotland), Northern Ireland	Temperate	66 pools in 6 peatlands	Flow Country: 13.58 ± 4.58 Silver Flowe: 6.7 ± 2.0 Northern Ireland: 14.5 ± 3.1

Table S5. Results of statistical test of the effect of pools surface and depth on DOC concentration, DOC:DON ratio, SUVA₂₅₄, E2:E3 ratio and S_R . Significant differences were represented in bold. In the table, statistical test was abbreviating as K-W for Kruskal and Wallis test, WAOV for Welsh analyses of variances and AOV for analyses of variances.

Variable		Surface			Depth	
variable	Statistic	p-value	Test	Statistic	p-value	Test
DOC	5.3	0.258	K-W	3.24	0.356	K-W
DOC:DON	0.48	0.75	AOV	1.65	0.266	WAOV
SUVA ₂₅₄	3.328	0.039	AOV	4.39	0.02	AOV
E2:E3	0.561	0.694	AOV	0.741	0.543	AOV
S _R	3.89	0.421	K-W	3.69	0.297	K-W