



Supplement of

Estimating the seasonal impact of optically significant water constituents on surface heating rates in the western Baltic Sea

Bronwyn E. Cahill et al.

Correspondence to: Bronwyn E. Cahill (bronwyn.cahill@io-warnemuende.de)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

1 In situ and remotely sensed data used for climatologies

- 2 In situ measurements and remotely sensed data from the MERIS ocean colour archive of CDOM absorption at 443 nm
- 3 were used to develop a climatologies of CDOM absorption which support the evaluation of our modelled estimates of
- 4 CDOM absorption. Below, the source and processing of the different data sets are briefly described.

5 In situ CDOM measurements and climatology

6 A time series (1994 - 2017) of in situ observations of CDOM absorption at 443 nm was reprocessed into seasonal means 7 for our study area (Figure 2 in article). This data set was collected as a result of the implementation of numerous 8 research projects and statutory research programs conducted by the Remote Sensing Laboratory at the Institute of 9 Oceanology, Polish Academy of Sciences (IOPAN), Sopot Poland in the whole Baltic Sea. The main aim of the study on 10 CDOM optical properties was the assessment of its temporal and spatial variability (Kowalczuk and Kaczmarek, 1996, 11 Kowalczuk, 1999) and its relation to hydrodynamic conditions and Baltic Sea productivity (Kowalczuk et al., 2006). As 12 the primary goal of this research was the development and validation of ocean colour remote sensing algorithms 13 (Kowalczuk et al., 2005a), the vast majority of samples for determination of CDOM absorption spectrum were collected 14 in the surface layer. However, since 2014, samples were also collected within the water column, depending on the 15 thermohaline stratification of water masses and depth distribution of autotrophic protists, in order to better resolve the 16 impact of non-linear processes (i.e. photo-degradation, autochthonous production by phytoplankton, diffusion from 17 bottom sediments) influencing CDOM optical properties (Kowalczuk et al., 2015). The sampling program is conducted 18 in the whole Baltic Sea and is designed to resolve the spatial variability of the CDOM absorption coefficient. We use a 19 subset of this time series located in our study area. Most of the samples were taken in spring and autumn, with a smaller 20 number of samples collected in winter and summer mostly due to adverse weather conditions or unavailability of 21 research vessels in summer months. Water samples were collected by Niskin bottle and were filtered first through acid-22 washed Whatman glass fibre filters (GF/F, nominal pore size 0.7 mm). The water was then passed through acid washed 23 membrane filters with 0.2 mm pore to remove fine-sized particles. From 2014 until the present, water for CDOM 24 absorption spectra were gravity filtered directly from Niskin bottles through Millipore Opticap XL4 Durapore filter 25 cartridge with nominal pore size 0.2 µm. Filtered water was kept in acid washed amber glass 200 ml sample bottles 26 until spectrophotometric analysis, which was performed with use of various models of bench top research grade, double 27 beam spectrophotometers both in land base laboratory (Kowalczuk and Kaczmarek, 1996; Kowalczuk, 1999) and on the 28 ship (Kowalczuk et al., 2005a,b, 2006). The cuvette pathlength was 5 or 10 cm depending on the spectrophotometer 29 model. MilliQ water was used as the reference for all measurements. The absorption coefficient aCDOM(λ) was 30 calculated as follows:

31
$$a_{CDOM}(\lambda) = \frac{2.303A(\lambda)}{L}$$
(S1)

32 where L is the optical path length, A is the absorptance (the flux that has been absorbed) and the factor 2.303 is the 33 natural logarithm of 10.

The whole CDOM absorption data base in the IOPAN repository, collected between 1994 and 2017, was reprocessed to calculate the spectrum slope coefficient, S. A nonlinear least squares fitting method using a Trust-Region algorithm implemented in Matlab was applied (Stedmon et al., 2000, Kowalczuk et al., 2006) in the spectral range 300-600 nm, as follows:

$$38 a_{CDOM}(\lambda) = a_{CDOM}(\lambda_0)e^{-S(\lambda_0 - \lambda)} + K (S2)$$

39 where λ_0 is 350 nm, and K is a background constant that allows for any baseline shift caused by residual 40 scattering by fine size particle fractions, micro-air bubbles or colloidal material present in the sample, refractive index 41 differences between sample and the reference, or attenuation not due to CDOM. The parameters aCDOM (350), S, and 42 K were estimated simultaneously via non-linear regression using Eq. (S2).

43 Remotely sensed data

44 MERIS FRS L2 (full resolution level 2) product from 2003 to 2012 was used to create a monthly climatology of CDOM 45 absorption for the Western Baltic Sea region. The MERIS FRS L2 product was processed with the C2RCC algorithm 46 (Doerffer and Schiller, 2007) which has been trained with data-sets from European coastal waters. Full details of the 47 post processing of the MERIS data into a climatology can be found in Röhrenbach (2019). A monthly climatology for 48 the complete time frame of the MERIS archive was created and includes the mean value, standard deviation and number 49 of observations for each point.

50 Figure S1 shows the difference between a snapshot of the MERIS data product (01.04.2004) and the 51 corresponding April climatology. The snapshot has almost complete data coverage, which is quite rare compared to 52 other time periods where only a small part of the region of interest is in the frame or free of cloud coverage. The 53 climatology smooths the spatial variability, providing the average spatial distribution and gradients in CDOM 54 absorption. High values of aCDOM(443) can be seen around the river mouths of the Vistula river ($\approx 1.7 \text{ m}^{-1}$) and the 55 Oder river ($\approx 0.7 \text{ m}^{-1}$), whereas offshore areas show lower values ($\approx 0.2 \text{ m}^{-1}$) and spatial variability. The snapshot image 56 presents the typical situation at the beginning of the spring freshet. Both Vistula and Oder rivers have similar 57 hydrographic properties with maximum flow observed in April and May and minimum flow in June and February. The 58 land use in the catchment is also similar and consists of a mixture of agriculture, forestry and urbanised areas. The 59 difference in aCDOM(443) values and the spatial extent of fresh water plumes seen as areas with elevated CDOM 60 absorption results from the geomorphology of the outlets. The Vistula River has artificial outlets, built in 1895, and this 61 channel carries up to 90 % of the flow with only a small fraction feeding old deltaic branches, cut off by locks and dikes. 62 The Oder river outlet is less transformed by human activity, and the Oder River feeds the Szczecin Lagoon which is 63 connected to the coastal Baltic Sea via three inlets: two located in Poland (Swina and Dziwna) and one in Germany 64 (Peene). The shallow Szczecin Lagoon acts as a buffer and biogeochemical reactor, where photochemical, microbial and 65 physical (flocculation) transformation of CDOM may occur leading to effective decreased absorption values recorded 66 on the marine side of the estuary.

67



Figure S1: April climatology (top) and snapshot (01.04.2004) (bottom) of CDOM absorption at 443 nm (adapted from
 Röhrenbach, 2019).



Figure S2: Modelled surface water constituent concentrations in 2018 at Oder Bank, Darß Sill, Arkona Sea and

Bornholm Basin.





Figure S3: Modelled monthly mean surface irradiance in the Western Baltic Sea, ROMS-BioOptic versus Dera &
 Wozniak, 2010 (Dashed green lines represent Dera & Wozniak +/- one standard deviation).

86 Supplementary References

- 87 Dera, J., and Woźniak, B.: Solar radiation in the Baltic Sea, Oceanologia, 52(4), 533–582, 2010.
- Boerffer, R. and Schiller, H.: The MERIS case 2 water algorithm, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 28(3-4),
 517 535, https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160600821127, 2007.
- Kowalczuk, P.: Seasonal variability of yellow substance absorption in the surface layer of the Baltic Sea, Journal of
 Geophysical Research Oceans, 104(C12), 30 047-30 058, 1999.
- Kowalczuk, P. And Kaczmarek, S.: Analysis of temporal and spatial variability of "yellow substance" absorption in the
 Southern Baltic, Oceanologia, 38(1), 3-32, 1996.
- Kowalczuk, P., Olszewski, J., Darecki, M. and Kaczmarek, S.: Empirical relationships between Coloured Dissolved
 Organic Matter (CDOM) absorption and apparent optical properties in Baltic Sea waters, International Journal of
 Remote Sensing, 26(2), 345-370, 2005a.
- 87 Kowalczuk, P., Stoń-Egiert, J., Cooper, W.J., Whitehead, R.F. and Durako, M.J.: Characterization of
 88 Chromophoric Dissolved Organic Matter (CDOM) in the Baltic Sea by Excitation Emission Matrix fluorescence
 99 spectroscopy. Marine Chemistry, 96, 273-292, 2005b.
- Kowalczuk P., Stedmon, C.A. and Markager, S.: Modelling absorption by CDOM in the Baltic Sea from season,
 salinity and chlorophyll, Marine Chemistry, 101, 1-11, 2006.
- Kowalczuk, P., Sagan, S., Zablocka, M. and Borzycka, K.: Mixing anomaly in deoxygenated Baltic Sea deeps indicates
 benthic flux and microbial transformation of chromophoric and fluorescent dissolved organic matter, Estuarine,
 Coastal and Shelf Science, 163, 206 217, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.06.027, 2015.
- Röhrenbach, J.: Seasonal variability in the absorption of coloured dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in the western and
 southern Baltic Sea, Bachelor Thesis, Department of Earth Sciences, Free University Berlin, November 2019.
- Stedmon, C., Markager, S. and Kaas, H.: Optical properties and signatures of chromophoric dissolved organic matter
 (CDOM) in Danish coastal waters, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 51(2), 267 278,
 https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.2000.0645, 2000.

110