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Abstract. The youngest fossil record is a crucial source of data documenting the recent history of marine ecosystems and their long-term alteration by humans. However, human activities that reshape communities and habitats also alter sedimentary and biological processes that control the formation of the sedimentary archives recording those impacts. These diverse physical, geochemical, and biological disturbances include changes in sediment fluxes due to the alteration of alluvial and coastal landscapes, seabed disturbance by bottom trawling and ship traffic, ocean acidification and deoxygenation, removal of native species, and introduction of invasive ecosystem engineers. These novel processes modify sedimentation rates, the depth and intensity of sediment mixing, the pore-water saturation state, and the preservation potential of skeletal remains – the parameters controlling the completeness and spatiotemporal resolution of the fossil record. We argue that humans have become a major force transforming the nature of the marine fossil record in ways that can both impede and improve our ability to reconstruct past ecological and climate dynamics. A better understanding of the feedback between human impacts on ecosystem processes and their preservation in the marine fossil record offers new research opportunities and novel tools for interpreting geohistorical archives of the ongoing anthropogenic transformation of the coastal ocean.

1 Introduction

The fossil and sedimentary archives (geohistorical records) of the Anthropocene (sensu Gibbard et al., 2022; see Head et al., 2022, for an alternative view) are an indispensable source of data on ecosystem and climate states preceding the onset of ecological monitoring and instrumental records, allowing reconstruction of long-term human impacts on marine ecosystems (Dietl and Flessa, 2011; Kidwell, 2015; Yasuhara et al., 2020; Dillon et al., 2022). As with any historical record, the geohistorical data are most valuable when their information quality is well understood. However, humans are altering not only marine ecosystems but also the nature of the stratigraphic archives that record those changes (Wilkinson, 2005; Tyrrell, 2011; Oberle et al., 2016; Syvitski et al., 2022). In other words, humans affect not only what is preserved but also how it is preserved because key ecosystem processes affected by human impacts, such as bioturbation and remineralization of organic matter, also control the burial and preservation of skeletal remains. Although the resulting taphonomic and stratigraphic signatures may pose a challenge for accurately reconstructing past ecological and climate dynamics, they can also pinpoint historical shifts in ecosystem functioning (e.g., Gooday et al., 2009; Yasuhara et al., 2019; Tomašových et al., 2021) and thus improve our understanding of the consequences of global change.

Here, we propose a conceptual framework for understanding how human alteration of marine ecosystems changes the completeness and spatiotemporal resolution of geohistorical records that form in marginal marine, continental shelf, and slope environments, where human impacts are concentrated.
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In the marine realm, the completeness and resolution of geohistorical records are primarily controlled by four param-
eters: (1) net sediment accumulation rate, (2) depth and in-
tensity of sediment mixing below the seafloor, (3) disinte-
gration rates determined mainly by the pore-water saturation
state and bioerosion, and (4) skeletal production and
durability (Kidwell, 1986; Olszewski, 2004; Kowalewski and
Bambach, 2008; Tomašových et al., 2019). Skeletal produc-
duction depends on community composition and population
dynamics, which control the durability of skeletal remains
and the rate at which they enter a death assemblage. Sub-
sequently, sedimentation, sediment mixing, and pore-water
chemistry determine whether the remains disintegrate near
the sediment–water interface and if and at what rate they
undergo burial to historical layers sealed from mixing, dis-
solution, or erosion (Olszewski, 2004). High sedimentation
rates tend to increase both temporal resolution and complete-
ness by reducing exposure time of skeletal remains to mix-
ing and dissolution (Kidwell, 1986), while fast disintegra-
prevents the accumulation of older remains, resulting in
low completeness but high temporal resolution (Kowalewski,
1997).

The net effects of these parameters on the quality of geo-
historical archives often depends on complex interactions
between them (Fig. 1). For example, changes in the sedi-
mentation rate modulate benthic community composition
(Thrush et al., 2004) but organisms can also modify sedi-
mentation rates through sediment resuspension, stabiliza-
tion, and production (Meadows et al., 2012). High input of
skeletal carbonate, in turn, can buffer pore-water chemistry,
reducing carbonate dissolution even in undersaturated con-
ditions (Sulpis et al., 2022). Bioturbation and physical re-
working generally reduce the temporal resolution, increase
the physical wear of skeletal remains, and enhance carbon-
ate dissolution by facilitating sulfide oxidation through pore-
water irrigation (Aller, 2014). However, they can also lead
to the rapid burial of skeletal remains, protecting them from
higher skeletal disintegration rates near the sediment surface
(Tomašových et al., 2019). Skeletal preservation is also af-
fected by biotic interactions such as bioerosion, durophagous
predation, and encrustation (Kidwell and Bosence, 1991).

3 Anthropogenic processes altering geohistorical
records

The four parameters determining the completeness and reso-
lution of marine geohistorical records are controlled by nat-
ural biological and geological processes driven by tectonics,
climate, or biotic interactions. However, anthropogenic pro-
cesses, which started to operate when humans became a ma-
jor geological force, can exceed the spatial extent, frequency,
rate, and magnitude of the natural drivers (Wilkinson, 2005;
Tyrrell, 2011; Syvitski et al., 2022). Human activities can
modify the four parameters that determine the quality of the
record either directly (e.g., elevated sediment supply driven
by deforestation can increase sedimentation rates) or indi-
rectly by inducing changes in community composition and
ecosystem functioning (e.g., the exclusion of burrowers by
anoxia results in reduced sediment mixing: Fig. 1).

3.1 Physical disturbance

Humans alter the physical environment by changing rates and
routes of sediment mobilization, transport, and deposi-
tion (Syvitski et al., 2022). On land, these changes are
driven by river regulation, cropland and pasture expansion,
deforestation, mining, urbanization, and other landscape
alterations. In the sea, the direct physical impacts include
the exploitation of living resources (trawling), the mainte-
nance of global maritime trade (shipping), and interventions miti-
gating coastal erosion and sea-level rise. These activities af-
Figure 1. A simplified conceptual framework for understanding how human impacts can affect the quality of the currently forming marine fossil record with examples of physical (a), biological (b), and geochemical (c) disturbances to marine ecosystems. The four parameters controlling the quality of the fossil record (yellow fields) can be affected by human impacts both directly (blue arrows) and indirectly through changes in community composition (green arrows). The four parameters are linked in turn by complex feedback loops (orange arrows) and together determine the resolution and completeness of the record (black arrows). The direction and strength of the effects of human activities are often context dependent (see examples in the main text). (a) Disturbance to the seabed (5–10 cm deep furrow) caused by trawling – Gulf of Trieste, northern Adriatic Sea (image courtesy of Michael Stachowitsch). (b) Foraging ray causing intensive bioturbation; an older feeding pit, several decimeters in diameter, is visible to the right – San Salvador, The Bahamas (image courtesy of Michał Kowalewski). (c) A deep infaunal bivalve Solecurtus sp., which emerged and died at the sediment surface during a benthic mass mortality event in September 1983 triggered by hypoxia – Gulf of Trieste, northern Adriatic Sea (image courtesy of Michael Stachowitsch).

Over the last 3000 years, periods of human population growth and intense landscape transformation have been associated with a significant increase in fluvial sediment discharge to marine basins and faster growth of river deltas (Maselli and Trincardi, 2013; Anthony et al., 2014; Syvitski et al., 2022). However, over the last century, despite the continuous increase in sediment production, its delivery to the oceans has declined rapidly due to river damming, reservoir construction, and soil conservation programs (Syvitski et al., 2022). For example, following the construction of the Three Gorges Dam, sediment supply to the Yangtze River Delta declined to its lowest level in its history, while coastal erosion increased (Wang et al., 2018), which should reduce the temporal resolution and completeness of the currently forming geological record (Fig. 2a).

Bottom trawl fishing, seabed excavation, and shipping are drivers of anthropogenic sediment mixing (Zalasiewicz et al., 2014; Bunke et al., 2019), in marine ecosystems. Trawling is the most widespread source of physical disturbance to the seabed on continental shelves and slopes (Puig et al., 2012; Amoroso et al., 2018) (Fig. 1a). It can erode, mix, and resuspend large volumes of sediment; alter benthic community composition and functioning; and transform seascapes on a scale comparable to agriculture and deforestation on land (Martín et al., 2014; Paradis et al., 2021; Epstein et al., 2022). The impact of trawling on the seafloor ranges from minimal effects to intense winnowing and complete mixing of the top 30 cm (Oberle et al., 2016). For example, in the Baltic Sea, regularly trawled seabeds are thoroughly homogenized down to 25 cm, which significantly exceeds the depth of natural hydrodynamic mixing and bioturbation (< 10 cm) and erases the chronological and geochemical signal in sediment cores (Bunke et al., 2019) (Fig. 2b). Trawling-induced fine-sediment resuspension and off-shelf transport can, in turn, lead to a significant increase in sedimentation rates and thus improve the temporal resolution of the sedimentary record forming on the continental slope below the fishing grounds (Martín et al., 2014).

Ship traffic and anchoring, dredging of navigation channels, and mining of marine sand and gravel can leave an even stronger physical footprint on the seabed, although their impact is restricted to shallower waters and more localized than trawling (Schoellhamer, 1996; Rapaglia et al., 2015; Mielck...
et al., 2021; de Schipper et al., 2021; Watson et al., 2022). For instance, ship wakes resuspend $1.2 \times 10^6$ t of sediments per year in the Venetian Lagoon and contribute to the significant erosion of shoals (Rapaglia et al., 2015). Land reclamation, beach nourishment, and the construction of coastal infrastructure to counteract erosion represent a direct large-scale human intervention into sedimentary dynamics both in the coastal zone and in offshore source areas where the sand for these projects is extracted (Mielck et al., 2021; de Schipper et al., 2021). Finally, the emerging industry of deep-sea mining will extend the impacts of anthropoturbation to abyssal plains (Levin et al., 2020).

In addition to modifying sediment fluxes, humans are also introducing novel materials to sedimentary systems, such as concrete, plastic, and other types of marine litter. The presence of micro- and macroplastic debris alters the physical properties of sediments (Russell et al., 2023; Wang and Hou, 2023), and the materials interact with marine biota (e.g., Galloway et al., 2017; Hope et al., 2021), affecting sediment stability, erosion, transport, and bioturbation rates.

All these physical disturbances directly impact the parameters controlling the temporal resolution of the geohistorical archives by significantly altering sedimentation rates and increasing the depth of the surface mixed layer. Moreover, mixing-induced oxygenation of surface sediments increases organic matter remineralization (Epstein et al., 2022) and thus skeletal disintegration rates (Aller, 2014), decreasing the completeness of the currently forming fossil record. Sediment erosion, transport, and redeposition triggered by human activities can also decrease spatial resolution through mixing of skeletal assemblages originating from different habitats (Benavente et al., 2005; Bizjack et al., 2017).

### 3.2 Geochemical disturbance

The decline in seawater pH and the saturation state of carbonate minerals triggered by rising atmospheric CO$_2$ directly increase the carbonate dissolution rates (Tyrrell, 2011; Eyre et al., 2018; Fabricius et al., 2020). Consequently, as ocean acidification continues to escalate, the incipient fossil record forming at and directly below the seafloor will dissolve at an increasing pace. Some coral reefs are already undergoing net sediment loss due to dissolution, a pattern expected to accelerate globally (Eyre et al., 2018). More indirectly, acidification affects the ability of calcifying organisms to secrete their skeletons, which can become thinner, more porous, and fragile (Kroeker et al., 2013). For example, shells of gastropods living near submarine CO$_2$ seeps—a natural system for studying biotic effects of acidification—show high levels of dissolution and reduced mechanical strength (Duquette et al., 2017), which impairs their preservation and long-term accumulation on the seabed (Fig. 2c). Deep-sea fossil archives are also affected due to impaired calcification of planktic foraminifera and pteropods (Bednaršek et al., 2012; Béjard et al., 2023) and shallowing of the calcite compensation depth (Sulpis et al., 2018).

Ocean acidification can interact with anthropogenic eutrophication, reducing carbonate production and preservation rates (Silbiger et al., 2018). Eutrophication increases primary productivity and reduces light levels, which can induce shifts in benthic community structure and increase the abundance of bioeroders (Lescinsky et al., 2002; Fabricius, 2005; Rice et al., 2020). In nearshore coral reef ecosystems, high input of land-derived nutrients reduces coral calcification rates and carbonate sediment production (Chazottes et al., 2008; Silbiger et al., 2018). In contrast, rates of bioerosion can be orders of magnitude higher than in oligotrophic locations, as documented in Hawaiian reefs affected by nutrient-rich submarine groundwater discharge (Prouty et al., 2017). Moreover, in habitats not subjected to bottom-water oxygen limitation, the eutrophication-driven proliferation of bioturbators can increase oxic–anoxic recycling in the sediment and, thus, carbonate dissolution.

Eutrophication, however, can also result in hypoxia and anoxia (Rabalais et al., 2014). Under low-oxygen conditions, the emergence of stressed infauna ceases particle reworking long before the actual mortality occurs (Riedel et al., 2014) (Fig. 1c), and the subsequent decline in abundance or extirpation of burrowers limits bioturbation and bioirrigation (Schaffner et al., 1992; Bianchi et al., 2021), modifying early diagenetic pathways (Middelburg and Levin, 2009). The resulting decline in sediment ventilation by O$_2$ decreases carbonate dissolution by limiting reoxidation of reduced metabolites (Aller, 2014). Therefore, the increasing frequency of hypoxic events (Rabalais et al., 2014) improves both the completeness (increased shell preservation) and temporal resolution (reduced sediment mixing) of the Anthropocene record (Figs. 2d, 3).

### 3.3 Biological disturbance

Humans alter marine ecosystems by introducing non-native species, removing native taxa, or changing their relative abundances. Such widespread impacts often represent secondary consequences of physical and geochemical disturbances. If they affect ecosystem engineers or keystone species (Meadows et al., 2012; Guy-Haim et al., 2018; Bianchi et al., 2021), the resulting changes in bioturbation, sediment geochemistry, or even sedimentation rates (through biologically mediated sediment resuspension or stabilization) can rapidly alter the nature of the incipient fossil record.

The spread of non-native species can have profound sedimentological and taphonomic repercussions. For example, the invasion of the Barents Sea by red king crab (*Paralithodes camtschaticus*) feeding on burrowing invertebrates resulted not only in the intensification of shell-crushing predation but also in the decline of sediment reworking and bioirrigation, as indicated by the reduced thickness of the oxidized surface mixed layer (Oug et al., 2018). These functional changes...
Figure 2. Examples of expected shifts in the temporal resolution and completeness of the geohistorical record driven by human activities including physical (a–b), geochemical (c–d), and biological (e–f) disturbances. For most examples, quantitative estimates of changes in the resolution and completeness of the fossil record following a given disturbance are not available but the orientation of the arrows conveys the expected direction of the shifts in the nature of the geohistorical record. (a) Decrease in sedimentation rate on the Yangtze River Delta due to sediment retention by dams (Wang et al., 2018). (b) Mixing of surface sediments in regularly trawled areas of the southwestern Baltic Sea (Bunke et al., 2019). (c) Degradation of shell mechanical integrity in gastropods exposed to elevated $p$CO$_2$ near submarine CO$_2$ seeps north of Sicily, which represent a natural experiment on the long-term effects of ocean acidification (Duquette et al., 2017). (d) Decline in bioturbation and sediment ventilation due to seasonal anoxia in the northern Adriatic Sea (Tomašových et al., 2018). (e) Increase in shell-crushing predation and decrease in bioturbation caused by the red king crab invasion in northern Norway (Oug et al., 2018). (f) Increase in bioturbation and shell destruction due to the proliferation of cownose rays possibly triggered by a decline in shark abundance in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean (Myers et al., 2007).

should lead to a decrease in the preservation of skeletal benthos while simultaneously increasing the temporal resolution of their fossil record (Fig. 2e). In contrast, the proliferation in the Baltic Sea of the non-native polychaetes *Marenzelleria* spp., which burrow much deeper than native species, leads to more effective sediment reworking and bioirrigation (Kauppi et al., 2018), enhancing organic matter remineralization and facilitating the switch from a seasonally hypoxic system back to a normoxic one (Norkko et al., 2012).

Human activities can also result in the regional decline or extirpation of species that directly or indirectly influence sedimentary and taphonomic processes. For example, trophic cascades driven by overexploitation of large predators can have unexpected consequences for the biotic controls on skeletal preservation. In the northwestern Atlantic, a dramatic decline in shark abundance due to fishing pressure triggered a proliferation of cownose rays, *Rhinoptera bonasus* (Myers et al., 2007). As this durophagous species excavates large feeding pits (up to 1 m wide, 20–45 cm deep) to find its infaunal prey, increased ray abundance leads to intensive bioturbation and replacement of seagrass beds by unstable sands (Orth, 1975), thus affecting sediment mixing and shell fragmentation (Fig. 2f).

4 Interpreting marine geohistorical records of the Anthropocene

These physical, geochemical, and biological disturbances often interact (e.g., Norkko et al., 2012; Silbiger et al., 2018; Handley et al., 2020; Geraldi et al., 2020), and their net effect on the quality of the youngest geohistorical archives is context-specific. For example, the negative impact of bottom trawling on the continental shelf records can be accompanied by improved temporal resolution on the adjacent continental slope due to a resuspension-driven increase in sedimentation rates. The intensity and spatial extent of human alteration of marine sedimentary sequences also vary significantly – from global or regional drivers (e.g., acidification, changes in sediment fluxes) to highly localized ones (e.g., anchoring) – producing a complex mosaic on the seascape, with
Figure 3. An example of change in the quality of the post-1950 CE fossil record induced by anthropogenic alteration of a marine ecosystem (Tomašových et al., 2018). (a) The 20th-century eutrophication of the northern Adriatic Sea led to a decline in dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations, an increase in the frequency of seasonal hypoxia, and loss of hypoxia-sensitive infauna. (b) In the stratigraphic record of the Po prodelta, this regime shift is associated with increased temporal resolution, as expressed by an upcore decrease in bioturbation intensity (strongly homogenized biofabric in the lower part of the core is replaced by discrete traces of echinoids in the upper part as visible on X-ray images); reduced time averaging of molluskan assemblages; and increased preservation of thin silty layers corresponding to decadal floods. Time averaging was measured as the interquartile range of post-mortem ages of bivalve shells dated with $^{14}$C-calibrated amino acid racemization methods (30 shells dated per core increment). (c) Fossil assemblages from sediment cores document a significant increase in the abundance and body size of the hypoxia-tolerant bivalve Varicorbula gibba, offering a window into pre-impact molluskan community dynamics extending back to the late 19th century – several decades before the first benthic surveys and over a century before the onset of systematic ecological monitoring in the northern Adriatic Sea (Tomašových et al., 2018, 2020).

strongly distorted portions of the continental shelf neighboring areas with a minimal impact (Oberle et al., 2016; Paradis et al., 2021). Moreover, the consequences of global warming and accelerating sea-level rise, such as increased denudation and coastal erosion (Syvitski et al., 2022; de Schipper et al., 2021), changes in the distribution of ecosystem engineers (Aronson et al., 2015; Bianchi et al., 2021; Weinert et al., 2022), the spread of non-native species (McKnight et al., 2021), or the potential impacts of ocean-based climate interventions (Levin et al., 2023), can intensify many anthropogenic processes that affect the quality of the fossil record.

On the one hand, the interactive effects of humans on both marine ecosystem processes and the nature of the sedimentary archives that record those human-driven shifts (Fig. 1) can represent a challenge for studies relying on geohistorical data. Changes in the quality of the fossil record coinciding with a major restructuring of ecosystems can mask or exaggerate the magnitude of ecological changes as reconstructed from geohistorical archives. Trawling-induced disturbance can distort the stratigraphic order of top sediment layers; destroy original sedimentary fabric; and truncate, flatten, or reverse near-surface geochemical concentration profiles, potentially affecting environmental interpretations based on sediment cores (Oberle et al., 2016; Martín et al., 2014; Bunke et al., 2019). However, the effects of other factors are more insidious, producing stratigraphic artifacts that can be mistaken for biological signals. For instance, increased time averaging due to low sedimentation rates not only reduces the temporal resolution but also can spuriously inflate the evenness and richness of fossil samples while decreasing compositional turnover between them (Kidwell and Tomašových, 2013). Moreover, sediment mixing and time averaging can obliterate the stratigraphic expression of abrupt regime shifts, making them appear more gradual (Anderson, 2001; Tomašových et al., 2020), whereas breaks in deposition can turn gradual ecological transitions into abrupt changes in the stratigraphic record (Kidwell, 1986). Although such effects are most pronounced in the paleontological data, biogeochemical proxy records, including emerging ones such as biomarkers and sedimentary ancient DNA, are not immune to the human-induced changes in their preservation (Paradis et al., 2021) and the resolution of sedimentary archives. Skeletal remains are carriers of many key paleoenvironmental proxies (e.g., stable isotopes of foraminifera tests), while the interpretation of others ultimately relies on core age–depth models based on radiotrace profiles or radiocarbon dating of fossils and can be thus affected by changes in preservation, mixing, or sedimentation rates (Bunke et al., 2019; Kosnik et al., 2015; Lougheed et al., 2018).

On the other hand, alteration of sedimentary sequences induced by human-driven changes in ecosystem processes offers new opportunities for geohistorical research addressing different aspects of global change. Anthropogenic signatures
in the completeness and resolution of geohistorical records can serve as essential proxies for major shifts in ecosystem functioning (Fig. 3). For example, the decrease in bioturbation, increase in organic carbon content, and presence of finescale sedimentary structures like lamination are commonly used as indicators of impacted ecosystems and anoxic conditions (Goody et al., 2009; Tomašových et al., 2018), while the preservation state of skeletal remains observed in sediment cores can be used to track historical shifts in sediment bioirrigation efficiency (Tomašových et al., 2021) and aid in the identification of past coral mass mortality events (Wapnick et al., 2004).

Importantly, many human disturbances such as increased sediment supply or coastal hypoxia produce sedimentary records with higher temporal resolution than those that formed under the pre-impact conditions (Fig. 3), facilitating reconstructions of ecosystem response and recovery. Moreover, even when the quality of the record is reduced, a suite of novel multi-disciplinary approaches integrating ecological, taphonomic, sedimentological, biogeochemical, and stratigraphic data can provide robust interpretations of past ecosystem dynamics. Incorporating information on the preservation state, time averaging, and sedimentation rates into paleoecological reconstructions can help to pinpoint gaps in the record and facilitates disentangling the effects of major environmental perturbations from spurious patterns arising from changes in preservation or temporal resolution.

Recent advances in geochronological methods (e.g., Bush et al., 2013; Clark et al., 2014; Gottschalk et al., 2018) allow age dating of large numbers of individual fossil specimens, providing direct estimates of time averaging, stratigraphic disorder, and hiatus duration (e.g., Kosnik et al., 2007; Scarpini et al., 2013; Nawrot et al., 2022; Tomašových et al., 2022; reviewed by Tomašových et al., 2023) and thus more realistic assessment of the uncertainties associated with age–depth models (Kosnik et al., 2015; Lougheed et al., 2018; Dolman et al., 2021). Even more importantly, this approach can be used to unmix fossil assemblages and thus resolve temporal changes in production, body size, and community composition, which may not be evident in time-averaged records (e.g., Harnik et al., 2017; Tomašových and Kidwell, 2017; Tomašových et al., 2019, 2020; Clark et al., 2023). Radiocarbon dating of individual fossils (notwithstanding the alteration generated by nuclear testing; Dutta, 2016) can also be combined with analyses of geochemical proxies from the same specimens, allowing the extraction of well-resolved palaeoenvironmental time series from highly time-averaged sedimentary successions (Lougheed et al., 2018).

Finally, numerical simulations that jointly model ecological, sedimentological, and taphonomic processes provide valuable insights into how the taphonomic and stratigraphic overprints can modify biotic and environmental signals. For instance, a community model coupled with preservation processes were used to estimate the magnitude of change in community turnover expected under increasing loss in temporal resolution (Kidwell and Tomasovych, 2013), and the effect of time averaging on the detection of regime shifts in the stratigraphic record was explored by combining numerical simulation with evolutionary models (Tomašových et al., 2020). Contrasting the outputs of such models with the empirical data constrains the range of possible ecological scenarios that are consistent with the patterns observed in the stratigraphic record.

5 Conclusions

Somewhat analogously to the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, the very same human-driven processes that have been altering ecosystems also affect our ability to reconstruct these changes based on geohistorical data. The role of humans in transforming the currently forming palaeobiological record is already substantial on land (Bennett et al., 2018; Plotnick and Koy, 2020) and will only intensify in the ocean as exploitation of its resources and other human stressors intensify (O’Hara and Halpern, 2022), representing a potential challenge for conservation palaeobiology, historical ecology, and paleoecological research. At the same time, taking into account stratigraphic signatures left by past human-induced shifts in ecosystem functioning should further augment our ability to use fossils, geochemical proxies, ancient DNA (aDNA), and other geohistorical data to track the history of anthropogenic transformation of the coastal ocean. Therefore, we need a better understating of the effects of human actions on different parameters of the taphonomic equation that control the fidelity of the fossil record of the Anthropocene.
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