



## Supplement of

## **Elephant megacarcasses increase local nutrient pools in African savanna soils and plants**

Courtney G. Reed et al.

Correspondence to: Courtney G. Reed (courtneyreed@ucsb.edu) and Deron E. Burkepile (dburkepile@ucsb.edu)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

2	<b>Table S1.</b> Generalized linear mixed model results for soil variables. The same five models were
3	run for each response variable, including a null model, and each included site as a random effect
4	to account for repeat measurements. AICc is Akaike's Information Criterion, and $\Delta$ AICc is the
5	difference between a given model and the best fit model for that response variable. Cum.Wt
6	stand for cumulative weight; it gives the sum of Akaike's weights and indicates the likelihood
7	that the models up to that point are the best in the set. Models with a $\Delta AICc$ value of 2 are
8	considered roughly equivalent in fit and are italicized. Marginal R <sup>2</sup> is the proportion of variance
9	explained by both fixed and random effects in a model, and conditional R <sup>2</sup> is the proportion of
10	variance explained by fixed effects. Coefficients ( $\pm$ standard error) are shown for each predictor
11	and model and are in log units. Rows are organized in blocks by response variable. Within
12	blocks, models are listed in order of increasing $\Delta$ AICc.

Model	Model Fi	t				Coefficients ±	SE	
	AICc	<b>AAICc</b>	Cum.Wt	Mar. R <sup>2</sup>	Con. R <sup>2</sup>	Soil	Distance	Soil × Distance
Nitrogen ( <sup>9</sup>	%)							
Soil $\times$	-227.32	0.00	0.99	0.54	0.74	$-0.26 \pm 0.22$	$0.00\pm0.01$	$-0.03 \pm 0.01$
Distance								
Soil +	-216.13	11.20	1.00	0.46	0.67	$-0.48 \pm 0.21$	$\textbf{-0.01} \pm 0.00$	
Distance								
Distance	-214.95	12.37	1.00	0.04	0.52		$\textbf{-0.01} \pm 0.00$	
Soil	-212.36	14.97	1.00	0.40	0.62	$-0.47 \pm 0.21$		
Null	-211.23	16.09	1.00					
δ <sup>15</sup> N								
Soil $\times$	180.87	0.00	0.77	0.55	0.70	$0.39 \pm 0.16$	$-0.02 \pm 0.01$	$-0.02 \pm 0.01$
Distance								
Soil+	184.66	3.79	0.88	0.50	0.66	$0.26\pm0.15$	$\textbf{-0.03}\pm0.00$	
Distance								
Distance	184.67	3.79	1.00	0.34	0.60		$\textbf{-0.03}\pm0.00$	
Soil	219.35	38.47	1.00	0.20	0.34	$0.28\pm0.14$		
Null	219.96	39.09	1.00					
Nitrate (mg	g/kg)							
Distance	624.84	0.00	0.70	0.48	0.52		$-0.14 \pm 0.02$	

Soil +	627.06	2.23	0.93	0.48	0.52	$-0.14 \pm 0.27$	$-0.14 \pm 0.02$	
Distance								
Soil ×	629.51	4.67	1.00	0.48	0.52	$-0.24 \pm 0.39$	$\textbf{-0.14} \pm 0.03$	$0.02\pm0.04$
Distance								
Null	649.77	24.93	1.00					
Soil	651.82	26.99	1.00	0.01	0.04	$-0.18 \pm 0.31$		
Ammonium	n (mg/kg)							
Soil +	219.52	0.00	0.65	0.58	0.77	$2.49 \pm 0.66$	$-0.18 \pm 0.03$	
Distance								
Soil ×	220.94	1.43	0.97	0.60	0.77	$2.91 \pm 0.73$	$-0.15 \pm 0.04$	$-0.07 \pm 0.06$
Distance								
Distance	225.87	6.35	1.00	0.21	0.77		$-0.18\pm0.02$	
Soil	244.57	25.05	1.00	0.34	0.70	$2.51\pm0.76$		
Null	249.38	29.86	1.00					
Phosphate	(mg/kg)					·	•	
Soil ×	167.99	0.00	0.98	0.52	0.79	$2.20 \pm 0.96$	$0.00 \pm 0.05$	$-0.46 \pm 0.08$
Distance								
Soil +	178.68	10.69	1.00	0.18	0.18	$-0.38 \pm 0.70$	$-0.14 \pm 0.06$	
Distance								
Null	180.65	12.66	1.00					
Soil	Model die	d not conv	erge	•	•		•	
Distance	Model die	d not conv	erge					
Plant Avai	lable Phos	phorus (n	ng/kg)					
Soil ×	447.18	0.00	0.94	0.34	0.63	0.16 ± 0.62	$-0.04 \pm 0.03$	$-0.13 \pm 0.04$
Distance								
Distance	453.68	6.50	0.98	0.20	0.55		$-0.10 \pm 0.02$	
Soil +	454.80	7.62	1.00	0.26	0.55	$-0.66 \pm 0.55$	$-0.11 \pm 0.02$	
Distance								
Null	467.35	20.17	1.00					
Soil	469.19	22.01	1.00	0.03	0.30	$-0.35 \pm 0.47$		
Mineral Ph	nosphorus	(mg/kg)				·	•	
Soil ×	537.77	0.00	1.00	0.86	0.95	$-1.09 \pm 0.32$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$-0.04 \pm 0.01$
Distance								
Soil +	560.48	22.71	1.00	0.82	0.92	$-1.35 \pm 0.31$	$\textbf{-0.02}\pm0.00$	
Distance								
Distance	566.38	28.61	1.00	0.04	0.76		$-0.02 \pm 0.00$	
Soil	573.55	35.78	1.00	0.78	0.89	$-1.33 \pm 0.31$		
Null	579.62	41.85	1.00					
Sodium (m	g/kg)							
Soil ×	438.56	0.00	0.73	0.29	0.59	$0.22 \pm 0.35$	$-0.03 \pm 0.01$	$-0.04 \pm 0.02$
Distance								
Distance	441.09	2.53	0.94	0.22	0.54		$-0.05 \pm 0.00$	
Soil +	443.53	4.97	1.00	0.22	0.54	$-0.06 \pm 0.35$	$-0.05 \pm 0.01$	
Distance								
Null	464.02	25.45	1.00					

Soil	466 38	27.82	1.00	0.00	0.34	$0.00 \pm 0.00$		
Potassium	(mg/kg)	27.02	1.00	0.00	0.54	0.00 ± 0.00		
Soil X	(mg/Kg) 676.07	0.00	0.01	0.20	0.81	$-0.23 \pm 0.42$	$0.01 \pm 0.00$	$-0.02 \pm 0.01$
Distance	070.07	0.00	0.94	0.29	0.01	$-0.25 \pm 0.42$	$0.01 \pm 0.00$	$-0.02 \pm 0.01$
Null	682.93	6.86	0.97					
Soil	684.55	8.48	0.99	0.25	0.78	$-0.37 \pm 0.41$		
Distance	685.17	9.10	1.00	0.00	0.72		$0.00 \pm 0.00$	
Soil +	686.89	10.82	1.00	0.26	0.78	$-0.37 \pm 0.41$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	
Distance								
Calcium (n	ng/kg)							
Soil	749.09	0.00	0.60	0.82	0.94	$-1.45 \pm 0.41$		
Soil +	751.01	1.92	0.83	0.82	0.94	$-1.45 \pm 0.01$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	
Distance								
Soil ×	753.00	3.91	0.91	0.82	0.94	$-1.42 \pm 0.41$	$0.00 \pm 0.01$	$-0.01 \pm 0.01$
Distance								
Null	753.55	4.46	0.97					
Distance	755.37	6.27	1.00	0.00	0.81		$0.00\pm0.00$	
Iron (mg/k	g)			1		1	L	
Soil	914.44	0.00	0.67	0.88	0.96	$-1.22 \pm 0.28$		
Soil +	916.83	2.39	0.87	0.88	0.96	$-1.22 \pm 0.28$	$0.00\pm0.00$	
Distance								
Soil ×	918.54	4.10	0.95	0.88	0.96	$-1.19 \pm 0.28$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.01$
Distance								
Null	920.27	5.83	0.99					
Distance	922.55	8.11	1.00	0.00	0.82		$0.00\pm0.00$	
Magnesiun	n (mg/kg)	•	•				•	
Soil	700.88	0.00	0.63	0.87	0.96	$-1.53 \pm 0.37$		
Soil +	703.33	2.45	0.81	0.87	0.96	$-1.53 \pm 0.37$	$0.00\pm0.00$	
Distance								
Soil ×	703.97	3.09	0.95	0.88	0.96	$-1.48 \pm 0.37$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$-0.01 \pm 0.01$
Distance								
Null	706.40	5.52	0.99					
Distance	708.75	7.87	1.00	0.00	0.84		$0.00\pm0.00$	
Water (mn	nol/mol)							
Null	111.87	0.00	0.32					
Distance	112.09	0.22	0.61	0.03	0.38		$0.02 \pm 0.01$	
Soil	112.92	1.05	0.80	0.12	0.40	$0.45 \pm 0.38$		
Soil +	113.27	1.40	0.96	0.14	0.42	$0.45 \pm 0.38$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	
Distance								
Soil ×	115.86	3.99	1.00	0.14	0.42	$0.44\pm0.42$	$0.02\pm0.02$	$0.00\pm0.03$
Distance								
pН								
Soil ×	55.04	0.00	0.37	0.07	0.44	$0.05 \pm 0.07$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$-0.01 \pm 0.00$
Distance								
Null	55.26	0.22	0.71					

Distance	56.94	1.90	0.86	0.01	0.38		$0.00\pm0.00$	
Soil	57.63	2.59	0.96	0.00	0.37	$0.00\pm0.07$		
Soil +	59.41	4.37	1.00	0.01	0.38	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	
Distance								
10								

14	Table S2. Generalized linear mixed model results for leaf variables. The same five models were
15	run for each response variable, including a null model, and each included site as a random effect
16	to account for repeat measurements. AICc is Akaike's Information Criterion, and $\Delta$ AICc is the
17	difference between a given model and the best fit model for that response variable. Cum.Wt
18	stand for cumulative weight; it gives the sum of Akaike's weights and indicates the likelihood
19	that the models up to that point are the best in the set. Models with a $\triangle$ AICc value of 2 are
20	considered roughly equivalent in fit and are italicized. Marginal R <sup>2</sup> is the proportion of variance
21	explained by both fixed and random effects in a model, and conditional $R^2$ is the proportion of
22	variance explained by fixed effects. Coefficients ( $\pm$ standard error) are shown for each predictor
23	and model and are in log units. Rows are organized in blocks by response variable. Within
24	blocks, models are listed in order of increasing $\Delta$ AICc.

Model	Model Fi	t				Coefficients ±	SE	
	AICc	ΔAICc	Cum.Wt	Mar. R <sup>2</sup>	Con. R <sup>2</sup>	Soil	Distance	Soil × Distance
Nitrogen (%	<b>%</b> )							
Distance	56.12	0.00	0.64	0.40	0.60		$-0.03 \pm 0.00$	
Soil +	57.79	1.67	0.92	0.43	0.61	$0.13 \pm 0.14$	$-0.03 \pm 0.00$	
Distance								
Soil ×	60.33	4.20	1.00	0.43	0.61	$0.15 \pm 0.15$	$-0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.00 \pm 0.01$
Distance								
Null	89.78	33.66	1.00					
Soil	91.66	35.53	1.00	0.03	0.21	$0.10 \pm 0.13$		
$\delta^{15}N$								
Soil ×	229.95	0.00	0.95	0.51	0.77	$-0.52 \pm 0.43$	-0.11 ± 0.01	$0.06 \pm 0.02$
Distance								
Distance	236.55	6.60	0.99	0.44	0.70		$\textbf{-0.08} \pm 0.01$	
Soil +	238.97	9.02	1.00	0.45	0.70	$\textbf{-0.12}\pm0.40$	$\textbf{-0.08} \pm 0.01$	
Distance								
Null	282.45	52.50	1.00					
Soil	284.30	54.34	1.00	0.04	0.36	$\textbf{-0.30} \pm 0.41$		
Phosphoru	s (%)							
Soil ×	-87.04	0.00	0.99	0.47	0.75	$-0.24 \pm 0.31$	$0.02\pm0.01$	$-0.04 \pm 0.01$
Distance								
Soil	-76.10	10.94	1.00	0.38	0.68	$-0.55 \pm 0.31$		
Null	-75.98	11.06	1.00					

Soil +	-73.69	13.34	1.00	0.38	0.68	$-0.55 \pm 0.31$	$0.00 \pm 0.01$	
Distance								
Distance	-73.68	13.36	1.00	0.00	0.56		$0.00 \pm 0.01$	
N:P Ratio							1	
Soil ×	209.64	0.00	0.86	0.41	0.71	$0.34 \pm 0.38$	$-0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$
Distance								
Distance	214.60	4.96	0.94	0.09	0.59		$-0.03 \pm 0.01$	
Soil +	214.85	5.21	1.00	0.36	0.67	$0.62 \pm 0.01$	$-0.03 \pm 0.00$	
Distance								
Null	225.74	16.10	1.00					
Soil	226.21	16.57	1.00	0.23	0.57	$0.55\pm0.37$		
Sodium (m	g/kg)						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Soil +	839.97	0.00	0.60	0.62	0.78	$-0.99 \pm 0.32$	$-0.03 \pm 0.01$	
Distance								
Soil ×	841.56	1.59	0.88	0.62	0.79	$-0.88 \pm 0.34$	$-0.03 \pm 0.01$	$-0.02 \pm 0.01$
Distance								
Distance	843.18	3.21	1.00	0.09	0.64		$-0.03 \pm 0.01$	
Soil	852.98	13.02	1.00	0.53	0.71	$-1.00 \pm 0.32$		
Null	856.49	16.52	1.00					
Magnesiun	n (mg/kg)							
Soil ×	722.20	0.00	0.99	0.45	0.80	$-0.20 \pm 0.28$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$-0.02 \pm 0.01$
Distance								
Distance	731.74	9.54	0.99	0.07	0.66		$\textbf{-0.01} \pm 0.00$	
Soil +	732.78	10.58	1.00	0.39	0.76	$-0.36 \pm 0.28$	$\textbf{-0.01}\pm0.00$	
Distance								
Null	743.56	21.36	1.00					
Soil	744.46	22.26	1.00	0.31	0.69	$\textbf{-0.37} \pm 0.28$		
Potassium	(mg/kg)			-				
Distance	936.99	0.00	0.73	0.20	0.57		$-0.03 \pm 0.00$	
Soil +	939.50	2.51	0.94	0.20	0.57	$0.02\pm0.25$	$\textbf{-0.03}\pm0.00$	
Distance								
Soil ×	941.96	4.97	1.00	0.20	0.57	$0.05\pm0.26$	$\textbf{-0.02}\pm0.01$	$0.00\pm0.01$
Distance								
Null	956.55	19.57	1.00					
Soil	958.95	21.96	1.00	0.00	0.38	$0.00\pm0.24$		
Calcium (n	ng/kg)					1	. <u></u>	
Null	799.64	0.00	0.42					
Distance	800.68	1.04	0.67	0.01	0.50		$0.00 \pm 0.00$	
Soil	801.22	1.58	0.86	0.14	0.53	$-0.20 \pm 0.21$		
Soil +	802.36	2.72	0.96	0.14	0.54	$-0.20 \pm 0.21$	$0.00\pm0.00$	
Distance								
Soil ×	804.45	4.81	1.00	0.15	0.54	$-0.16 \pm 0.22$	$0.01\pm0.01$	$\textbf{-0.01} \pm 0.01$
Distance								
Iron (mg/k	g)	[	[	T	ſ	T		
Distance	591.87	0.00	0.69	0.21	0.57		$-0.08 \pm 0.01$	

Soil +	594.14	2.27	0.92	0.23	0.58	$-0.26 \pm 0.50$	$\textbf{-0.08} \pm 0.01$		
Distance									
Soil ×	596.15	4.27	1.00	0.23	0.59	$\textbf{-0.09} \pm 0.39$	$\textbf{-0.07}\pm0.00$	$\textbf{-0.02}\pm0.02$	
Distance									
Null	616.95	25.08	1.00						
Soil	619.06	27.19	1.00	0.02	0.48	$-0.31 \pm 0.00$			

26	Table S3. Generalized linear mixed model results testing for correlations between leaf and soil
27	micronutrients. The same model was run for each of five micronutrients (Na, K, Ca, Mg, and Fe)
28	with leaf micronutrient concentration as the response variable, soil micronutrient + distance as
29	the main effects, and site as a random effect. Marginal R <sup>2</sup> is the proportion of variance explained
30	by both fixed and random effects in a model, and conditional $R^2$ is the proportion of variance
31	explained by fixed effects. Coefficients ( $\pm$ standard error) are shown for each predictor and
32	model.

Leaf Micronutrient	Mar. R <sup>2</sup>	Con. R <sup>2</sup>	Soil Micronutrient	Distance
			Coefficient ± SE	Coefficient ± SE
Sodium	0.08	0.82	$11.56 \pm 11.67$	$-146.47 \pm 43.04$
Potassium	0.29	0.73	$0.00\pm0.00$	$-0.06 \pm 0.01$
Calcium	0.12	0.58	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$
Magnesium	0.17	0.79	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$
Iron	0.11	0.32	$0.00\pm0.01$	$-52.85 \pm 20.57$



34

Figure S1. Representative photos of two elephant carcass sites of different ages and soil types. (A) The first site is 67 days postmortem and is on granitic soil. (B) The second site is 811 days postmortem and is on basaltic soil. In both images, there is a visible impact zone with reduced vegetation coverage. At the first site, elephant bones have all been dispersed, though some are still present at the second site. Photos taken by Deron Burkepile at time of sample collection in March 2023.



Figure S2. Results from principal component analysis of soil micronutrient composition using
Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. Statistical results are from permutational analysis of variance using
distance and soil type as covariates. (A) Soil micronutrient composition did not differ

45 significantly with distance from the carcass but (B) was distinct in different soil types.



47 Figure S3. Effects of elephant carcasses on soil micronutrient concentrations in granitic and 48 basaltic soils. (A) Soil sodium and (B) potassium decreased significantly with distance from the 49 carcass, but only in granitic soils. (C) Iron, (D) magnesium, and (E) calcium were greater in 50 basaltic soils. Distance appeared in the top model for calcium, but the effect size was minimal. 51 Points represent individual measurements from soil samples taken at 0, 2.5, 5, 10, and 15m and 52 are offset to be visible when they would otherwise overlap. Lines show predictions calculated 53 from the top generalized linear mixed model, which may include soil type, distance, and soil type 54 by distance interaction as covariates (Table S1). Only significant relationships are shown on 55 plots. Shading indicates the 95% confidence interval.



Figure S4. Effects of elephant carcasses on soil water concentration and soil pH. Neither (A) soil
water nor (B) soil pH differed with distance or soil type. For both metrics, the set of top models
included the null (Table S1). Points represent individual measurements taken at 0, 2.5, 5, 10, and

60 15m and are offset to be visible when they would otherwise overlap.



Figure S5. Results from principal component analysis of foliar micronutrient composition using
Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. Statistical results are from permutational analysis of variance using
distance and soil type as covariates. (A) Foliar micronutrient composition did not differ
significantly with distance from the carcass but (B) was distinct in different soil types.



66

67 Figure S6. Effects of elephant carcasses on grass foliar micronutrient concentrations in granitic 68 and basaltic soils. (A) Foliar Na and (B) Mg were greatest in basaltic soil and decreased 69 significantly with distance. (C) Foliar K and (D) Fe decreased with distance but did not differ 70 with soil type. (E) Foliar Ca did not differ with distance or soil type. Points represent individual 71 measurements from U. trichopus leaf samples taken at 0, 2.5, 5, 10, and 15m and are offset to be 72 visible when they would otherwise overlap. Lines show predictions calculated from the top 73 generalized linear mixed model, which may include soil type, distance, and soil type by distance 74 interaction as covariates (Table S2). Only significant relationships are shown on plots. Shading 75 indicates the 95% confidence interval.