

# Foliar nutrient uptake from dust sustains plant nutrition

Anton Lokshin<sup>1,2</sup>, Daniel Palchan<sup>2</sup>, Elnatan Golan<sup>3</sup>, Ran Erel<sup>3</sup>, Daniele Andronico<sup>4</sup>, and Avner Gross<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Department of Environment, Geoinformatics and Urban planning Sciences,

Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel

<sup>2</sup>The Department of Civil Engineering, Ariel University, Ariel, Israel

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Soil, Water and Environmental Sciences, Gilat Research Center, Agricultural Research Organization, Gilat, Israel <sup>4</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Catania – Osservatorio Etneo, Rome, Italy

Correspondence: Anton Lokshin (lokshinanton@gmail.com)

Received: 10 August 2024 – Discussion started: 19 September 2024 Revised: 19 February 2025 – Accepted: 24 February 2025 – Published: 12 June 2025

Abstract. Mineral nutrient uptake from soil through the roots is considered the main nutrition pathway for vascular terrestrial plants. Recently, desert dust was discovered as an alternative nutrient source to plants through direct uptake from dust deposited on their foliage. Here we study the uptake of nutrients from freshly deposited desert and volcanic dusts by chickpea plants under ambient and future elevated levels of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> through the roots and directly through the foliage. We find that within weeks, chickpea plants acquire phosphorus (P) from dust only through foliar uptake under ambient conditions and P, iron (Fe), and nickel (Ni) under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions, significantly increasing their growth. Using an additional chickpea variety with contrasting leaf properties, we show that the foliar nutrient uptake pathway from dust is facilitated by leaf surface chemical and physiological traits, such as low pH and trichome densities. We analyzed Nd radiogenic isotopes extracted from plant tissues after dust application to assess the contribution of mineral nutrients that were acquired through the foliage. Our results suggest that foliar mineral nutrient uptake from dust is an important pathway that may play an even bigger role in an elevated-CO2 world.

# 1 Introduction

Vascular plants obtain carbon (C) from the atmosphere and most of their mineral nutrients mainly from the soil. Hence, it is generally thought that mineral nutrients such as phosphorus (P), potassium (K), iron (Fe), and other macro- and micronutrients are acquired predominantly through the plant's root system (Marschner et al., 1997). Evidence gathered in recent decades demonstrates that the atmosphere is an important source of mineral nutrients to terrestrial ecosystems via dust deposition (Chadwick et al., 1999; Goll et al., 2023; Gross et al., 2015; Van Langenhove et al., 2020; Okin et al., 2004; Palchan et al., 2018; Kok et al., 2021). The concentration of P (and other nutrients) in mineral atmospheric particles such as desert dust and volcanic ash are enriched relative to most soils and are important plant nutrient sources, especially when soil fertility is low or in dusty regions (Arvin et al., 2017; Bauters et al., 2021; Ciriminna et al., 2022; Eger et al., 2013; Gross et al., 2016b). In a montane environment in California, dust P contribution to plants was documented to outpace the contribution from weathering of host bedrock (Arvin et al., 2017). In a recent study, we discovered that certain crop plants can gain P directly from the atmospheric dust via particles that accumulate on their leaves (Gross et al., 2021; Lokshin et al., 2024b). Over short timescales, foliar uptake was found as the only P uptake pathway from biomass fire ash particles (while the roots played a negligible role; Lokshin et al., 2024a, b). These recent findings highlight the need to better understand the role of the contribution of nutrient uptake from dust through the foliage (i.e., direct foliar nutrient uptake), a process that has been traditionally overlooked and has never been quantified before, even though foliar fertilization has been a well-known agricultural practice for many decades (Fageria et al., 2009; Ishfaq et al., 2022; Bukovac and Wittwer, 1957; Wittwer and Teubner, 1959). Foliar nutrient uptake occurs through two primary pathways: cuticle penetration and stomatal uptake. The cuticle, while largely hydrophobic, contains aqueous pathways that allow for the diffusion of small, polar molecules, particularly under high-humidity conditions (Schonherr, 2006). Stomata, which regulate gas exchange, can also act as entry points for hydrophilic solutes and small particles when they are open (Fernández and Eichert, 2009). These pathways can expand or contract dynamically in response to environmental factors, enabling, at times, solute penetration. Minerals are solid nutrient particles and hence need to partially dissolve into the aqueous film on the leaf surface before uptake by the plant. This dissolution can be facilitated by surface moisture, leaf exudates, and microbial activity in the phyllosphere, which enhances the solubility and bioavailability of nutrients (Burkhardt et al., 2012; Fernández et al., 2014; Eichert and Fernández, 2022; Parasuraman et al., 2019).

In the context of climate change, the foliar pathway may be even more pronounced for plants that will grow under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>) conditions because of two documented phenomena: the "dilution" effect, where accumulation of C exceeds that of mineral nutrients, which can lead to stoichiometric imbalance (Loladze, 2014), and partial inhibition of key root uptake mechanisms (Gojon et al., 2023) together with soil fertility degradation (Lal, 2009; St.Clair and Lynch, 2010). These changes may lead to the selection of plant traits that facilitate alternative nutrient uptake pathways. The use of the foliar pathway under eCO<sub>2</sub> may offset the alarming phenomenon where an increasing production of carbohydrates dilutes the concentration of macro- and micronutrients such as P, Fe, calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), K, zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), nickel (Ni) and others that are vital for the floral ecological systems (Clarkson and Hanson, 1980).

In this work, we design two experiments to study both the principal mechanisms and biological functions in the plants that benefit from foliar nutrient uptake from dust retained on the foliage and the chemical composition of the nutrients transferred in this process. Furthermore, we utilize Nd isotopes to attempt quantification of the ion flux from the foliage to the plant. Then we discuss the larger aspect of this newly discovered pathway and its importance.

#### 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Experimental design

To study the impact of dust deposition on plant nutrition, we selected two contrasting chickpea genotypes (*Cicer*) from the Hebrew University of Jerusalem chickpea collection. These genotypes were chosen based on previous studies (Gross et al., 2021) that demonstrated differences in their response to foliar dust application. The first genotype, CR934, is a non-responsive genotype of the wild progenitor *C. reticulatum*, sampled near Savur, Türkiye, showing minimal physiological or nutritional changes following dust application. In contrast, the second genotype, Zehavit, is a modern high-yield cultivar widely used by Israeli growers, which exhibits a

pronounced response to foliar dust application, such as increase in biomass and total P content. For further biogeochemical analysis of foliar nutrient uptake, the responsive genotype Zehavit was used. Experiments were conducted at the Gilat Research Center in southern Israel (31°21'N, 34°42′ E) in two separate glasshouse rooms. Temperature was fixed at 25±3 °C and relative humidity at 40 %-50 %. Inside the glasshouse, the pots were subjected to natural lighting partially concealed by transparent white walls and roof. Overall, the Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) levels were typical of the southern part of Israel during the months of September to November. In one room, we set the  $CO_2$  concentration to the ambient 412 ppm (a $CO_2$ ) and in the other room to elevated 850 ppm (eCO<sub>2</sub>), simulating current and future Earth CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations based on a high-emission scenario (business as usual, SSP8.5; IPCC, 2021). Following germination, plants were cultivated in 72 pots containing inert media (perlite 206, particle size of 0.075–1.5 mm; Agrekal, HaBonim, Israel). The pot size was 3L, with sufficient room for root growth during the experimental period. All the pots were supplied with a nutrition solution (fertigation) containing the following elements: nitrogen (N)  $(50 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$ , P  $(3.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$ , K  $(50 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$ , Ca  $(40 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ , Mg  $(10 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ , Fe  $(0.8 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ , Mn  $(0.4 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$ , Zn  $(0.2 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$ , boron (B)  $(0.4 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$ , Cu  $(0.3 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ , and molybdenum (Mo)  $(0.2 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ . The mineral concentrations were achieved by proportionally dissolving NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, KNO<sub>3</sub>, MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and NaNO<sub>3</sub>. The micronutrients were applied as EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) chelates as commercial liquid fertilizer (Koratin, ICL Ltd). The location of each pot within the glasshouse was randomized at the beginning and changed every 2 weeks over the course of the experiment. The plants were drip-irrigated four times per day for 5 min via an automated irrigation system from the germination stage. Once 14 d passed after germination, when plants were early in the vegetative phase (two or three developed leaves), we changed the nutrient solution of 60 out of the 72 pots to Pdeficient fertigation (P concentration of  $0.1 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) to create P starvation (-P treatment). Preliminary tests showed that our -P-deficient media allow chickpea plants to continue their growth cycle and increase their responsiveness to dust application, reflected in physiological, morphological, and biochemical changes and eCO<sub>2</sub> condition (Gross et al., 2021; Lokshin et al., 2024a). The remaining 12 pots continued to receive the full P sufficient nutrient media (+P treatment). Plants fertigated with -P solution started to show Pdeficiency symptoms such as the chlorosis of mature leaves, the slight symptoms of necrotic leaf tips, and an overall decrease in biomass accumulation at 35 d after germination. At this stage, we applied desert dust and volcanic ash on the -Pplants.

Of a total number of plants (72), 48 were treated with dust and 24 served as untreated control group. Dust was applied to the foliage of 24 plants by manually sprinkling dust through a 63 µm sieve in proximity to the foliage and 24 plants received root treatment by applying dust through a 63 µm sieve on the surface of the pot followed by gentle mixing of the surface to sink the dust particles deeper to enhance the physical contact between the roots and the particles, thereby increasing the chances of having a more significant impact. The experimental design is a three-factor design, considering the effects of dust type (desert or volcanic dust), application method (foliar or root), and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (412 or 850 ppm). This information is further explained in Table 1, which summarizes the treatments and experimental groups.

To mimic dust deposition which typically occurs during a few major desert storms or volcanic eruptions each year, we applied the dust in two equivalent doses between 35 and 42 d after germination. We calculated the average foliar area of the chickpea pots, taking into consideration the planting density and correcting values for the area covered by an individual plants. These values were used to determine the total application mass. In total, the average application mass was 3 g per pot, simulating the total dust deposition per square meter over the growing season in southern Israel. This method was based on our previous studies (Gross et al., 2021; Starr et al., 2023; Lokshin et al., 2024a). The dust treatments were done directly on either the foliage while covering the pot, preventing the dust from touching the roots, or the roots, where the pots were subsequently covered with nylon to equalize conditions with the foliage-treated plants. Afterwards, the plants were left undisturbed, with the settled dust particles on their foliage or surface of the root area.

Among the control plants, 12 plants received the +P fertigation, and 12 additional plants received -P fertigation. Each treatment group was divided into two  $CO_2$  levels, with 36 plants in each  $CO_2$  growing room. The plants were harvested 10 d after the last dust application (55 d after germination). To ensure that nutrients from dust particles were not washed by the irrigation during the experiment, we monitored the total P (i.e., P that dissolves in strong acid) in the water that drained from the pots (Longo et al., 2014; Gross et al., 2015) throughout the experiment.

We performed a parallel experiment under  $aCO_2$  where we grew six additional plants, in larger 5 L pots, filled with soil, to test whether our findings also apply to natural soil conditions (Fig. S1 in the Supplement).

#### 2.2 Mineral dust material

We applied plant foliage and the area near plants' roots, with desert dust and volcanic ash, the two main mineral dust types in the atmosphere (Langmann, 2013). To achieve enough mass for our experiment, we produced dust analogs from surface desert soil and surface volcanic ash soil following procedures described by others (Gross et al., 2021; Stockdale et al., 2016). The desert dust analog surface soil was collected from the southern Israel Negev Desert (30°320 N, 34°550 E) (Gross et al., 2021). Chemical and mineralogical proper-

ties of the resulted dust are comparable to dust collected in the Sahara and other places in the Middle East (Gross et al., 2016a; Palchan et al., 2018). The volcanic ash analog was collected from Mount Etna (Sicily, Italy) 2 months after the eruption of 21 February 2022. The ash was taken from the upper cable car station Funivia dell'Etna ( $37^{\circ}704$  N,  $14^{\circ}999$  E). The samples were then processed through a setup of sieves to achieve a particle size smaller than 63 µm which classifies them as windblown (Guieu et al., 2010). The chemical and mineralogical properties of the dust analogs are presented in Table 2.

#### 2.3 Plant biomass and elemental analysis

After harvesting, the plants were separated for roots and shoots, washed in 0.1 M HCl, and rinsed three times in distilled water to remove dust particle residue (Gross et al., 2021; Lokshin et al., 2024a). To ensure that the washing procedure removed all the applied dust particles from the leaf surfaces, we scanned surfaces of randomly selected dusted and washed leaves with scanning electron microscopy coupled with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS), which combines scanning electron microscope and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy to detect and analyze materials. After washing, plant tissue was dried and weighed, and root and shoot biomass was recorded. Afterwards, the dry shoot material was ground to powder and dry ashed at 550 °C in a furnace for 4 h (Tiwari et al., 2022). Approximately 1g of the ashed material was subsequently dissolved using 1 mL of concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> to achieve a clear solution. To prepare the dust types for elemental analysis, the samples were dissolved on a hotplate by sequential dissolution using concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub>, HF, and HCl, resulting in clear solutions (Palchan et al., 2018). The elemental composition of the plants, dusts, and nutrient solution was analyzed at the Hebrew University using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (Agilent 8900cx; Agilent Technology). Prior to analysis, the ICP-MS was calibrated with a series of multi-element standard solutions  $(1 \text{ pg mL}^{-1}-100 \text{ ng mL}^{-1} \text{ Merck ME VI})$  and standards of major metals  $(300 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}-3 \text{ mg mL}^{-1})$ . Internal standard  $(50 \text{ ng mL}^{-1} \text{ Sc and } 5 \text{ ng mL}^{-1} \text{ Re and Rh})$  was added to every standard and sample for drift correction. Standard reference solutions (USGS SRS T-207 and T-209) were examined at the beginning and end of the calibration to determine accuracy. The calculated accuracies for the major and trace elements are 3 % and 2 %, respectively.

# 2.4 Leaf surface pH

Leaf surface pH was measured by manually attaching a portable pH electrode designed for flat surfaces (HI-1413; HANNA pH instruments) onto the surface of three leaves from each plant. The measurements were performed four

Treatment group	P concentration	Dust application method	CO <sub>2</sub> Condition	Replicates (n)
-P treatment (P-deficient)	$0.1  { m mg}  { m L}^{-1}$	Foliar (desert dust)	412 ppm	6
-P treatment (P-deficient)	$0.1  { m mg}  { m L}^{-1}$	Foliar (volcanic ash)	412 ppm	6
-P treatment (P-deficient)	$0.1  { m mg}  { m L}^{-1}$	Root (desert dust)	412 ppm	6
-P treatment (P-deficient)	$0.1  { m mg}  { m L}^{-1}$	Root (volcanic ash)	412 ppm	6
+P treatment (P-sufficient)	Full P	No dust	412 ppm	6
-P control (untreated)	$0.1  { m mg}  { m L}^{-1}$	No dust	412 ppm	6
-P treatment (P-deficient)	$0.1  { m mg}  { m L}^{-1}$	Foliar (desert dust)	850 ppm	6
-P treatment (P-deficient)	$0.1  { m mg}  { m L}^{-1}$	Foliar (volcanic ash)	850 ppm	6
-P treatment (P-deficient)	$0.1  { m mg}  { m L}^{-1}$	Root (desert dust)	850 ppm	6
-P treatment (P-deficient)	$0.1  { m mg}  { m L}^{-1}$	Root (volcanic ash)	850 ppm	6
+P treatment (P-sufficient)	Full P	No dust	850 ppm	6
-P control (untreated)	$0.1  { m mg}  { m L}^{-1}$	No dust	850 ppm	6

Table 1. Experimental design summarizing treatments, P concentrations, dust application, CO<sub>2</sub> conditions, and replicates.

times throughout the growing season (19, 24, 35, and 40 DAG) in the morning, 2 h after sunrise.

## 2.5 Trichome density

Trichome density was determined in four young, fully developed leaves from four different plants per variety in the -P treatment only (n = 16). Leaves were scanned in a scanning electron microscope (VEGA3; Tescan, Czech Republic). From each leaf, three photos of a 1 mm<sup>2</sup> field were taken, and glandular and regular trichomes were counted.

## 2.6 Leaf exudates

For analysis of the organic exudates, 2g of fresh leaves were sampled randomly from the +P and -P treatments before harvesting. The leaves were rinsed in 2 mL of distilled water and methanol (50:50) for 10s. The extracted surface metabolites were supplied with 50 µL of internal standard (ribitol,  $0.2 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$ ) and stored at  $-80 \degree \text{C}$  until analysis. Before analysis, the extracted samples were vacuum dried overnight at 35 °C. The dried material was redissolved in 40  $\mu$ L of 20 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> methoxamine hydrochloride (CH<sub>3</sub>ONH<sub>2</sub>HCl) in pyridine (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>N) and derivatized for 90 min at 37 °C, followed by a spike of 70 µL MSTFA (N-methyl-N (trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide (CF<sub>3</sub>CON(CH<sub>3</sub>)Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>) at 37 °C for 30 min. The dissolved metabolites were then introduced to a mass spectrometry gas chromatograph (MS-GC; Agilent 6850 GC/5795C; Agilent Technology) for analysis. The metabolites were detected by a mass spectrometer, where 1 µL of each sample was injected in splitless mode at 230 °C to a helium carrier gas at a flow rate of 0.6 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. GC processing was carried out using an HP-5MS capillary column  $(30 \text{ m}, 0.250 \text{ mm}, 0.25 \mu\text{m})$ , and the spectrum was scanned for m/z 50–550 at 2.4 Hz. The ion chromatograms and mass spectra obtained were evaluated using the MSD CHEMSTA-

TION (E.02.00.493) software, and sugars and amino acids were identified via comparison of retention times and mass spectra with certified GC plant metabolite standards (Sigma Aldrich).

### 2.7 Nd isotopes

We characterized the radiogenic Nd isotope compositions of desert dust, volcanic ash, and different treated and control plants to evaluate the ion flux from the foliage into the plant. The use of Nd isotopes is common in fingerprinting studies in various fields (Aciego et al., 2017; Arvin et al., 2017; Chadwick et al., 1999) as it is a refractory element that has virtually no fractionation and reflects the composition of its source. In our experiments, the control plants reflect the original composition of the plant, and deviation from it can only occur if ions were supplemented through nutrient uptake. Nd was extracted by ion chromatography using specialized resins: first, the TRU resin to separate the REEs, followed by LN-spec resin to separate Nd from Sm (following Palchan et al., 2013). The isotope ratios were measured using a Thermo Neptune multi-collector ICP-mass spectrometer at the Weizmann Institute of Science. Along with the samples we measured several standards to ensure quality and accuracy of the measurements. Standard JNdi bracketed every five samples, resulting with  $^{143}\mathrm{Nd}\,/\,^{144}\mathrm{Nd}$  value of  $0.512035\pm10$  $(2\sigma, n = 60)$ . We normalized the data to <sup>143</sup>Nd / <sup>144</sup>Nd = 0.512115 (Tanaka et al., 2000). Standard BCR-2 was dissolved and analyzed along with the plant and dust samples yielding a  ${}^{143}$ Nd /  ${}^{144}$ Nd value of 0.512628 ± 6 (2 $\sigma$ , n = 3) that agrees with  $^{143}$ Nd /  $^{144}$ Nd = 0.512637 ± 13 (Jweda et al., 2016). We present the Nd isotope ratios in epsilon notation:

$$\varepsilon_{\rm Nd} = \left(\frac{\left(\frac{143}{\rm Nd}\right)_{\rm sample}}{\left(\frac{143}{\rm Nd}\right)_{\rm CHUR}} - 1\right) \times 10\,000,\tag{1}$$

Plant material	Shoot biomass (g)	p_value shoot biomass	Root biomass (g)	p_value root biomass	Root / shoot ratio	p_value root/shoot ratio	$p (\mu g g^{-1})$	p_value	Total $P$ ( $P \cdot$ shoot biomass)	p_value total
Control – P 412 ppm CO <sub>2</sub> average value Control – P 412 ppm CO <sub>2</sub> standard deviation	1.36 0.22		1.17 0.22		0.86 0.07		726 78		984 162	
Control – P 850 CO <sub>2</sub> ppm average value Control – P 850 CO <sub>2</sub> ppm standard deviation	1.50 0.25		1.22 0.20		0.82 0.05		712 89		1050 77	
<ul> <li>P + foliar application of desert dust 412 ppm CO2</li> <li>average value</li> <li>P + foliar application of desert dust 412 ppm CO2</li> <li>standard deviation</li> </ul>	1.95 0.24	0.004*	1.57 0.21	0.05	0.81	0.04	833 366	0.723	1664 917	0.141
<ul> <li>P + foliar application of desert dust 850 ppm CO2</li> <li>average value</li> <li>P + foliar application of desert dust 850 ppm CO2</li> <li>standard deviation</li> </ul>	1.93	0.013*	1.55 0.26	0.271	0.81	0.10	663 125	0.753	1258 151	0.026
<ul> <li>P + foliar application of volcanic ash 412 ppm CO<sub>2</sub></li> <li>- average value</li> <li>-P + foliar application of volcanic ash 412 ppm CO<sub>2</sub></li> <li>- standard deviation</li> </ul>	1.84 0.27	0.0055*	1.39	0.31	0.75	66.0	769 57	0.946	1416 207	0.006*
<ul> <li>P + foliar application of volcanic ash 850 ppm CO<sub>2</sub></li> <li>average value</li> <li>P + foliar application of volcanic ash 850 ppm CO<sub>2</sub></li> <li>standard deviation</li> </ul>	2.42 0.39	0.001*	2.05 0.44	0.004*	0.84	0.94	674 105	0.846	1607 168	< 0.0001*
Control +P 412 ppm CO <sub>2</sub> average value Control +P 412 ppm CO <sub>2</sub> standard deviation	10.74 0.71	< 0.0001*	4.01 0.66	< 0.0001*	0.37 0.04	< 0.0001*	2123 225	< 0.0001	22796 2654	< 0.0001
Control +P 850 ppm CO <sub>2</sub> average value Control +P 850 ppm CO <sub>2</sub> standard deviation Fertilizers and dusts (ppm)	15.99 1.86 Mg	< 0.0001*	7.98 1.94 K	0.0015* Ca	0.50 0.10 Mn	0.02 Fe	2417 363 Ni	0.143 Cu	38593 6875 Zn	0.001*
• •	$(\operatorname{mg} g^{-1})$	$(\mu g g^{-1})$	$(\mathrm{mgg}^{-1})$	$({\rm mg}{\rm g}^{-1})$	$(\mathrm{mgg}^{-1})$	$(\mu g g^{-1})$	$(\mu g g^{-1})$	$(\mu g g^{-1})$	$(\mu g  g^{-1})$	
+P fertilizer -P fertilizer Desert dust Volcanic ash no. 1 Volcanic ash no. 2	1.26 1.21 6.50 23.5 22.6	713 35 1387 1669 1788	6.00 7.80 8.60 12.5 12.0	0.01 0.01 136 64.4 61.6	0.1 0.1 0.24 1.1 1.1	0.15 0.13 12.7 63.7 61.9	0.4 0.4 18.0 49.5 48.3	5.6 5.1 10.0 118.6 115.6	50.1 47.5 39.0 80.0 73.7	

# A. Lokshin et al.: Foliar nutrient uptake from dust sustains plant nutrition

where the value of  $^{143}$ Nd /  $^{144}$ Nd = 0.512638 in CHUR (Wasserburg et al., 1981). A sample isotopic characterization is given in Table S1. We calculated the percentage of foliar contribution using a simple mixing equation of two components:

% Foliar contribution = 
$$\frac{\varepsilon \text{Nd}_{\text{sample}} - \varepsilon \text{Nd}_{\text{control}}}{\varepsilon \text{Nd}_{\text{end member}} - \varepsilon \text{Nd}_{\text{control}}} \cdot 100, (2)$$

where  $\varepsilon Nd_{sample}$  refers to plants that were treated either with desert dust or volcanic ash,  $\varepsilon Nd_{control}$  refers to the untreated control plants, and  $\varepsilon Nd_{endmember}$  is the measured endmember values of -10.3 for desert dust or 4.5 value for volcanic ash (Table S1 in the Supplement and Fig. 4). The calculation was done separately for the two different treatments (i.e., desert dust and volcanic ash).

# 2.8 Mineralogical analysis

Mineralogical composition of the dusts was determined with an X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) using a Panalytical Empyrean powder diffractometer equipped with a position-sensitive X'Celerator detector. Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation (k = 1.54178 Å) was used at 40 kV and 30 mA. Scans were done over a 2 h period, between 5 and 65° with an approximate step size of 0.033°.

# 2.9 Statistical analysis

Treatment comparisons for all measured parameters were tested using post hoc Tukey honest significant difference (HSD) tests (P < 0.05). The significant differences are denoted using different letters in the figures. The standard errors in the mean in the vertical bars (in the figures) were calculated using GraphPad Prism version 9.0.0.

# 3 Results

# 3.1 Plant biomass and total P under aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub>

Shoot biomass of the +P plants is significantly larger than that of -P plants. Among +P plants, those grown under elevated eCO<sub>2</sub> conditions are significantly larger than those grown under ambient aCO<sub>2</sub> conditions (p < 0.001; Table 2). In contrast, -P plants grown under eCO<sub>2</sub> exhibited similar biomass to -P plants grown under aCO<sub>2</sub> (p = 0.99; Table 2). Foliar desert and volcanic dust application increased plant biomass and total P content, primarily through shoot biomass gain rather than changes in shoot P concentration. As such, the P contribution in the dusted plants was expressed in increased aboveground plant biomass rather than in increased P concentrations in tissues (Table 2), probably as plants distributed the extra P to support growing biomass. Thus, the treatment effects are reflected by changes in total plant P (concentration multiplied by shoot biomass). Under aCO<sub>2</sub> conditions, desert dust application increased shoot biomass and total P content by 35 % and 21 %, respectively, while volcanic ash application led to increases of 28 % and 35 %, respectively (Fig. 1d, f). Root-treated plants showed no increase in shoot biomass or total P content (Fig. 1c, e). These trends were also observed under eCO<sub>2</sub> conditions (850 ppm). Desert dust application increased shoot biomass and total P content by 29 % and 20 %, respectively, while volcanic ash application led to increases of 62 % and 51 %, respectively (Fig. 2d, f). Similarly, root-treated plants showed no increase in shoot biomass or total P content (Fig. 2c, e). Unlike shoots, root biomass did not change significantly across treatments. Thus, changes in the root-to-shoot ratio reflected variations in shoot biomass rather than root biomass (Table 2).

# 3.2 Biochemical properties of chickpea varieties

The domesticated variety Zehavit showed a strong response to the foliar treatment with up 35 % increased biomass compared to the control group, whereas the wild variety CR934 showed increases of up to 5 % compared with the control group (Fig. 3a). The leaf pH of the Zehavit was 1.15, and of the CR934, it was 2.7 (Fig. 3b); trichome density, both glandular and non-glandular, was higher in the Zehavit compared to the CR934 (Fig. 3c–e). The exudates of oxalic, malic, and citric acids were significantly higher at the Zehavit in comparison to CR934 (Fig. 3f). The results indicate increased biomass, lower pH, higher trichome density, and higher exudate levels in the Zehavit variety.

# 3.3 Shoot nutrient status under eCO<sub>2</sub> conditions

To quantify the impact of elevated  $eCO_2$  conditions on plant nutrient status, we compared the ionome of chickpea plants grown under  $aCO_2$  and  $eCO_2$  with and without foliar dust and ash treatments. To this end, the nutrient content of plants under  $eCO_2$  was expressed relative to the average of the  $aCO_2$  treatment. We observed that  $eCO_2$  conditions led to a reduction in the concentrations of Mg, K, Ca, Mn, Zn, Cu, Fe, and Ni ranging from 29 % (Fe) to 90 % (Ni). The foliardesert-dust- and volcanic-ash-treated plants indicate a significant increase in the concentration of Fe and Ni (Fig. 5).

# 3.4 Nd isotopes

Volcanic ash and desert dust  $\varepsilon$ Nd values are 5 and -11, respectively; these values indeed reflect the compositions of young volcanism and local desert dust (Palchan et al., 2018). Control plants show a  $\varepsilon$ Nd value of -0.3; this reflects the inheritance value (i.e., arising from the seed Nd isotope composition). Desert-dust-treated plants were characterized by values of -8.8 to -5, and the volcanic ash-treated plants were characterized by values of 3.4 to 4 (Fig. 4). Both treated plant groups are significantly different than the inheritance value of -0.3 characterizing the control group.

Foliar treatment 412 ppm

#### Root treatment 412 ppm



Figure 1. (a-f) Biomass and total P content increases due to dust application treatments at aCO<sub>2</sub> of 412 ppm. (a) Image of experiment setting of the root treatment. Image was taken immediately after the dust application. (The actual amount of dust remaining on the plant leaves at the end of the experiment was significantly smaller than what is depicted in the picture image.) (b) Image of experiment setting of foliar treatment. (c) Shoot biomass of root-treated plants. (d) Shoot biomass of foliar-treated plants. (e) Shoot total P content of root-treated plants. (f) Shoot total P content of foliar-treated plants. The red color represents control plants, orange desert dust treatment, and purple volcanic ash treatment. Asterisks represent statistically significant differences in response to foliar application of desert dust and volcanic ash (P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test). The box and whisker plots represent the distribution of the data. The central line indicates the median, the edges of the box correspond to the 25th (Q1) and 75th (Q3) percentiles, and the whiskers span from the minimum to the maximum values. Individual data points (n = 5) are overlaid on the plot to illustrate the full distribution.



Root treatment 850 ppm

Figure 2. (a-d) Biomass and total P content increases due to dust application treatments at  $eCO_2$  of 850 ppm. (a) Shoot biomass of root treated plants. (b) Shoot biomass of foliar-treated plants. (c) Shoot total P content of root-treated plants. (d) Shoot P content of foliar-treated plants. The biomass and total P content in the root-treated plants do not show increases compared with the control groups. The red color represents control plants, orange desert dust treatment and purple volcanic ash treatment. Asterisks represent statistically significant differences in response to foliar application of desert dust and volcanic ash (P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test). The box and whisker plots represent the distribution of the data. The central line indicates the median, the edges of the box correspond to the 25th (O1) and 75th (O3) percentiles, and the whiskers span from the minimum to the maximum values. Individual data points (n = 5) are overlaid on the plot to illustrate the full distribution.

### 4 Discussion

#### 4.1 Foliar mineral-nutrient uptake

In our experiments, we simulated desert dust and volcanic ash deposition by manually applying them to chickpea plants (*Cicer arietinum 'Zehavit'*). The dust was applied separately to either the surface of the pot near the roots or its foliage (Fig. 1), while control plants were not treated with dust. After several weeks, a significant impact of the foliar treatment was already noticeable where shoot biomass and total P content in the foliage-treated plants had increased, following dust treatment, compared with the control group. In contrast, the root-treated plants did not show any increases in the biomass

Foliar treatment 850 ppm



**Figure 3.** (a–f) Comparison of two chickpea varieties – CR934 (dotted pink) and Zehavit (yellow) and their leaf properties under dust foliar fertilization. (a) Biomass and P uptake response to foliar dust P. Each column indicates the difference  $\Delta$  (%) between the foliar dusted plants and the control untreated plants (n = 6). (b) Leaf surface pH. Each value indicates an average of five measurements on a plant throughout the growth season in control treatment (n = 90) and two measurements in foliar dust treatment (n = 10). Three asterisks indicate significant differences between treatments using a *T* test and a one-way ANOVA ( $P \le 0.001$ ). (c) Leaf non-glandular (black column) and glandular (white column) trichrome density in CR934 and Zehavit control plants (-P and +P). Different letters indicate significant differences between varieties and treatments using the Tukey HSD test ( $P \le 0.05$ ) (n = 12). Capital letters refer to non-glandular trichomes, and small letters refer to glandular trichomes. (d) SEM scans of non-glandular (red circles) and glandular (yellow circles) trichomes of a typical Zehavit leaf. (e) SEM scans of leaves of CR934 (left) and Zehavit (right) varieties. (f) Exudates of organic acids. Each column indicates the average of leaf washing from four plants in -P control treatment (n = 4). Values are normalized to an internal standard (ribitol) for comparability. Two asterisks indicate significant differences between treatments using a *T* test and a one-way ANOVA ( $P \le 0.01$ ). Values are concentrations compared with an internal standard.

or P content, suggesting that over short timescales (i.e., several weeks), foliar uptake is the only nutrient uptake pathway from freshly deposited dust (Fig. 1c, e). These results were then replicated when a similar experiment was conducted with plants grown on sandy soil, in bigger pots (Fig. S1), emphasizing that our observations are not limited to the specific artificial experimental conditions in perlite (which may bias root behavior) but also applied for real soil conditions (Fig. S1).

#### 4.2 Foliar mineral-nutrient uptake mechanisms

Most of the P in dust is incorporated in the mineral lattice of minerals such as apatite (Dam et al., 2021; Nakamaru et al., 2000), which is largely insoluble under the natural rhizosphere pH range (Hinsinger, 2001). Hence, P in volcanic or desert dust has low bioavailability for root uptake as was also shown in Lokshin et al. (2024a) with fire ash. On the leaf surface, however, chemical, morphological, and microbial modifications may promote nutrient solubility and bioavailability and thus enable uptake through the leaf surface (Gross et al., 2021; Muhammad et al., 2019). Examining two chickpea varieties with contrasting responses to dust application,



Figure 4. Quantification of dust mineral-nutrient flux from foliage. Radiogenic isotopic ratios of <sup>143</sup>Nd / <sup>144</sup>Nd in the different sample groups (x axis) expressed in  $\varepsilon$ Nd values. Diamonds represent the two applied mineral fractions of volcanic ash and desert dust; circles represent plants treated with the desert and volcanic dusts and the control groups. Large circles represent plants growing in the 850 ppm eCO<sub>2</sub>, and small circles represent the 412 ppm aCO<sub>2</sub>. The color scale reflects the percent contribution of Nd originating from the dusts via the foliage, which was calculated using a twocomponent mixing model. The control plants' Nd signature reflects the inheritance value from the seed, where a value of  $\varepsilon Nd = -0.3$ is set as the control,  $\varepsilon Nd = -10.3$  as the desert dust value, and  $\varepsilon$ Nd = 4.6 as the volcanic ash value. A foliar contribution of more than 60 % is evident in the plants applied with desert dust and more than 70% in the plants applied with volcanic ash. Standard errors in the isotopic values are all smaller than the depicted data points.

wild variety CR934 and common domesticated variety Zehavit, we found a few properties that facilitate foliar P acquisition from dust (Fig. 3). These include structural, morphological, and chemical modifications that are comparable to those reported in the rhizosphere (Hinsinger, 2001). The foliar-uptake-efficient variety Zehavit has significantly more acidic leaf surface (pH  $\sim$  1, Fig. 3b) and thus promotes both dissolution and mobility of P from the pH sensitive mineral apatite (Gross et al., 2015) as well as other mineral nutrients in the dust (Bradl, 2004; Gross et al., 2021; Muhammad et al., 2019). Nutrient uptake through the leaf is mediated by two primary pathways: the cuticle and stomata (Eichert and Fernández, 2022). The cuticle, while a hydrophobic barrier, contains dynamic aqueous pathways that allow solutes to diffuse, particularly under high humidity. Stomata act as regulated pores, facilitating the direct uptake of hydrophilic solutes and dissolved nutrients. These mechanisms likely complement the observed properties in Zehavit, where acidic exudates, such as oxalate and malate, further facilitated P uptake by promoting the dissolution of insoluble P forms (Lambers et al., 2019; Tiwari et al., 2022). Similarly, increased sugar levels, such as glucose and sucrose (Figs. 3f, S2), likely



**Figure 5.** (**a**–**b**) Comparison of the percentage change in plant nutrient concentration under  $eCO_2$  and  $aCO_2$  control plants. The dashed line represents the average values of the control plants grown under  $aCO_2$  levels; the values below the dashed line indicate a decline in the respective nutrient under  $eCO_2$  levels. (**a**) The effect of foliar treatment of desert dust (orange triangles). (**b**) The effect of foliar treatment of volcanic ash (purple squares). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences in response to foliar application of desert dust and volcanic ash (P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test). Error bars represent standard deviations (n = 5).

stimulated the activity of nutrient-solubilizing microbes on the phyllosphere (Shakir et al., 2021). Additionally, Zehavit displayed higher trichome density on both leaf axial and leaf adaxial surfaces (Fig. 3c, d, e). These trichomes not only enhance metabolite release but also improve dust adhesion, increasing the contact time for nutrient solubilization and uptake (Gross et al., 2021) (Fig. S3). Together, these traits align with established foliar uptake pathways and highlight the synergistic roles of chemical, morphological, and microbial modifications in facilitating nutrient acquisition from dust. We postulate that other plant species share comparable leaf traits that enhance dust capture and solubility, such as wheat and various tree species that showed strong responses to foliar dust fertilization (Gross et al., 2021; Starr et al., 2023). Overall, our results suggest that the combination of leaf surface acidification, secretion of organic acids, and additional exudations combined with an increased trichome density enhance foliar dust capture and nutrient uptake in chickpeas.

# 4.3 Dust shading, photosynthesis, and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> effects

Desert dust deposition on plant foliage exhibits both beneficial and harmful effects, influenced by its physical and chemical attributes as well as environmental conditions. Starr et al. (2023) demonstrated that dust application can impact trees both positively and negatively: while it increased phosphorus (P) concentrations in some species, total plant P content showed only mild or stagnant increases, suggesting limited P utilization due to antagonistic dust-leaf interactions. On the harmful side, dust reduced final biomass across tree species, likely through disruptions in photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, and other physiological processes. Speciesspecific responses were noted, such as significant reductions in carbon assimilation and biomass in Schinus and a notable 58 % biomass reduction in Quercus. Similarly, Lokshin et al. (2024b) observed an initial reduction in carbon assimilation following dust application, likely due to shading effects or stomatal occlusion. However, the increased content of rare earth elements (REE) resulting from foliar dust application subsequently contributed to improving carbon assimilation. In contrast, Gross et al. (2021) found no significant impact on plant biomass when using inert silica dust, suggesting that the effects of dust strongly depend on its chemical composition. They also proposed that negative impacts might be mitigated by plants' increased internal P demand.

# 4.4 Dust impact on plant nutrient status under eCO<sub>2</sub>

Numerous studies reported that eCO<sub>2</sub> conditions reduce the concentrations of several nutrients in plant tissues such as Fe, Zn, Cu, Mn, Ni, and others (Loladze, 2002; Fernando et al., 2012; Myers et al., 2014; Gojon et al., 2023). The reduction in shoot nutrient concentrations was also observed in our experiments (Fig. 5). In accordance with previous knowledge (Loladze, 2002; Lowe, 2021), plants that were grown under  $eCO_2$  in our experiment showed a significant reduction in the concentrations of nutrients (Fig. 5). Although we did not observe statistically significant differences in biomass between control plants grown under aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub> conditions (P = 0.4), the reduction in essential macro- and micronutrient concentrations may be partly explained by the effect of nutrient dilution. Another potential reason for the nutrient decline under eCO<sub>2</sub> could be related to reduced efficiency in mineral nutrient absorption through the root system (Gojon et al., 2023). We found that foliar application of both volcanic and desert dust on plants that were grown under eCO2 replenished their Fe and Ni concentrations (both essential micronutrients for plant growth and in the human diet) compared with the control group (Fig. 5a, b). Desert-dust-treated plants showed increases in Fe and Ni concentrations of 44 % and 46 %, respectively (Fig. 5a). Volcanic-ash-treated plants showed Fe elevated concentrations of 66 % (Fig. 5b). The Ni concentrations had more moderate increases from volcanic ash, with values 40% higher than in the aCO<sub>2</sub>. These increases returned Fe and Ni back to standard, nontoxic levels (Shahzad et al., 2018). These results suggest that the role of foliar uptake of atmospheric nutrients in the mineral nutrition level of plants may increase under eCO<sub>2</sub>, potentially offsetting some of the nutrient reduction driven by the dilution effect and the downregulation of the root nutrient uptake pathway (Zhu et al., 2018). Despite adhering strictly to the washing protocol described in Gross et al. (2021) and Lokshin et al. (2024a), we acknowledge that some dust particles may remain on the plant surfaces. However, their influence on the results is negligible as the contribution of any residual particles to the measured values is minimal and does not affect the overall interpretation of the results.

# 4.5 Quantifying the contribution of foliar nutrient uptake from dust

Traditionally, radiogenic Nd isotopes serve as excellent tracers for sources of magmatic rocks (Stein and Goldstein, 1996), sediment archives (Chadwick et al., 1999; Palchan et al., 2018), and waterbodies (Farmer et al., 2019). Since Nd is found in high concentrations in nutrient-bearing minerals (Aciego et al., 2017; Arvin et al., 2017; Chadwick et al., 1999), Nd isotopes were recently used to trace P sources in plant tissues, where it was shown that the contribution of dust outpaces the weathering of the local bedrock over geological timescales (Aciego et al., 2017; Arvin et al., 2017). While the use of Nd isotopes to other elements such as P provides new knowledge on their sources, it should be done cautiously because different elements have differing speciation, uptake mechanisms, and transport kinetics in plant tissue. Here, we utilize the ratio of  $^{143}$ Nd /  $^{144}$ Nd in the  $\varepsilon$ Nd notation to trace the source of Nd in our experiments and quantify its flux into plant tissue from dust. From this measurement we can approximate the flux of P, Fe, and Ni via a foliar pathway (Fig. 4). We used a two-component mixing model, where the average  $\varepsilon$ Nd value of the control plants, -0.3, which arises from the Nd "inheritance" (i.e., the Nd composition of the seed since the amount of Nd in the chemical fertilizer was negligible) is regarded as one end member and dust  $\varepsilon$ Nd values are regarded as the second end member, with values of -11 (desert dust) and 5 (volcanic ash). We found that desert-dust-treated plants were characterized with  $\varepsilon$ Nd values of -8.8 to -5, which are values significantly different than the inheritance value of the control group. Simi-

#### A. Lokshin et al.: Foliar nutrient uptake from dust sustains plant nutrition

larly, the volcanic-ash-treated plants were characterized with  $\varepsilon$ Nd values of 3.4 to 4, which are values significantly different than the inheritance value of -0.3. Thus, it is evident that the  $\varepsilon$ Nd of the foliage-treated plants comprise a mixture of the inheritance and the type of dust applied. Based on the mixing model, the chickpea plant acquired over 60% of its Nd from desert dust deposited on the foliage. Volcanic ash deposited on the foliage contributed over 70% of its Nd (Fig. 4). However, Nd isotopes do not show the increased supplement of Fe and Ni in plants that were grown under eCO<sub>2</sub>. Thus, more data on the relation between Nd and other nutrients uptake will advance its use in future studies to quantify the immediate contribution of freshly deposited dust on plants nutrition in field and lab experimental settings.

In conclusion, we show here that dust nutrient uptake via the foliar pathway in responsive chickpea plants plays a significant role in their nutrition under P-limited conditions. Plant foliage captures and dissolves freshly deposited dust particles, making atmospheric mineral nutrients more accessible through the foliage on a short timescale than via the roots. Most of the P in the dust is incorporated in the mineral lattice of minerals such as apatite (Dam et al., 2021), which is largely insoluble under the natural rhizosphere pH range (Hinsinger, 2001). Hence, P in dust has low bioavailability for root uptake. On the leaf surface, however, chemical, morphological, and microbial modifications may promote nutrient solubility and bioavailability and facilitate uptake through the leaf surface (Gross et al., 2021; Muhammad et al., 2019). Thus, our findings highlight that dust serves as an alternative source of nutrients to plants from the foliage on short timescales of a few weeks. Furthermore, foliar dust acquisition compensates for the reduction in nutrients such as Fe and Ni induced by eCO<sub>2</sub> conditions (Gojon et al., 2023). The broader aspect of our findings emphasizes the central role of dust in plant nutrition through the foliar pathway and to global biogeochemical cycles. Our findings suggest that foliar uptake from natural dust could be a relevant pathway under future elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions and that this pathway may be a target for novel fertilization techniques to compensate for the expected decline in the crops' nutritional value.

*Data availability.* Information regarding the shoot biomass experiment in soil, dust holding capacity, isotopic data for Nd measurements, and phyllosphere sugar profile from the leaves of *Cicer arietinum* varieties CR934 and Zehavit can be found in the Supplement.

*Supplement.* The supplement related to this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-22-2653-2025-supplement.

*Author contributions.* Conceptualization, methodology, and supervision: DP, AG, and RE. Dust sampling: AL, DA, and AG. Investigation: AL, EG, and SF. Visualization: DP, AL, and EG. Funding

acquisition: AG, RE, and AL. Project administration: DP and AG. Writing (original draft): DP, AG, AL, and RE.

*Competing interests.* The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

*Disclaimer.* Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

Acknowledgements. We thank Yigal Erel and Ofir Tirosh from the Hebrew University of Jerusalem for their support in ICP-MS analyses and Yael Kiro from Weizmann Institute for conducting isotopic chromatography in their lab and Sthephen Fox for his support in MC-ICP-MS analyses.

*Financial support.* This research has been supported by the Keren Kayemeth LeIsrael–Jewish National Fund (KKL-JNF) climate team doctoral fellowship and the Israeli Science Foundation (ISF) (grant nos. 144/19 and 267/24). In addition, this research was partly supported by Research Grant 70 award no. IS-5443-21 R from BARD, the United States–Israel Binational Agricultural Research and Development fund.

*Review statement.* This paper was edited by Sara Vicca and reviewed by Thomas Eichert and one anonymous referee.

#### References

- Aciego, S. M., Riebe, C. S., Hart, S. C., Blakowski, M. A., Carey, C. J., Aarons, S. M., Dove, N. C., Botthoff, J. K., Sims, K. W. W., and Aronson, E. L.: Dust outpaces bedrock in nutrient supply to montane forest ecosystems, Nat. Commun., 8, 14800, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14800, 2017.
- Arvin, L. J., Riebe, C. S., Aciego, S. M., and Blakowski, M. A.: Global patterns of dust and bedrock nutrient supply to montane ecosystems, Sci. Adv., 3, eaao1588, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aao1588, 2017.
- Bauters, M., Drake, T. W., Wagner, S., Baumgartner, S., Makelele, I. A., Bodé, S., Verheyen, K., Verbeeck, H., Ewango, C., Cizungu, L., Van Oost, K., and Boeckx, P.: Fire-derived phosphorus fertilization of African tropical forests, Nat. Commun., 12, 5129, https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-021-25428-3, 2021.
- Bradl, H. B.: Adsorption of heavy metal ions on soils and soils constituents, J. Colloid Interf. Sci., 277, 1–18, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCIS.2004.04.005, 2004.
- Bukovac, M. J. and Wittwer, S. H.: Absorption and mobility of foliar applied nutrients, Plant Physiol., 32, 428–435, https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.32.5.428, 1957.

- Burkhardt, J., Basi, S., Paryar, S., and Hunsche, M.: Stomatal penetration by aqueous solutions- an update involving leaf surface particles, New Phytol., 196, 774–787, 2012.
- Chadwick, O. A., Derry, L. A., Vitousek, P. M., Huebert, B. J., and Hedin, L. O.: Changing sources of nutrients during four million years of ecosystem development, Nature, 397, 491–497, https://doi.org/10.1038/17276, 1999.
- Ciriminna, R., Scurria, A., Tizza, G., and Pagliaro, M.: Volcanic ash as multi-nutrient mineral fertilizer: Science and early applications, JSFA Reports, 2, 528–534, https://doi.org/10.1002/JSF2.87, 2022.
- Clarkson, D. T. and Hanson, J. B.: The mineral nutrition of higher plants, Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol, 31, 239–298, 1980.
- Dam, T. T. N., Angert, A., Krom, M. D., Bigio, L., Hu, Y., Beyer, K. A., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Santos-Figueroa, G., Pio, C., and Zhu, M.: X-ray Spectroscopic Quantification of Phosphorus Transformation in Saharan Dust during Trans-Atlantic Dust Transport, Environ. Sci. Technol, 55, 12694– 12703, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c01573, 2021.
- Eger, A., Almond, P. C., and Condron, L. M.: Phosphorus fertilization by active dust deposition in a super-humid, temperate environment – Soil phosphorus fractionation and accession processes, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 27, 108–118, https://doi.org/10.1002/GBC.20019, 2013.
- Fageria, N. K., Barbosa Filho, M. P., Moreira, A., and Guimarães, C. M.: Foliar fertilization of crop plants, J. Plant Nutr., 32, 1044– 1064, https://doi.org/10.1080/01904160902872826, 2009.
- Eichert, T. and Fernández, V.: Foliar nutrient absorption: principles and prospects, in: Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Plants, 4th Edn., edited by: Rengel, Z., Cakmak, I., and White, P. J., Academic Press, London, UK, 123–150, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819773-8.00014-9, 2022.
- Farmer, J. R., Hönisch, B., Haynes, L. L., Kroon, D., Jung, S., Ford, H. L., Raymo, M. E., Jaume-Seguí, M., Bell, D. B., Goldstein, S. L., Pena, L. D., Yehudai, M., and Kim, J.: Deep Atlantic Ocean carbon storage and the rise of 100,000-year glacial cycles, Nat. Geosci., 12, 355–360, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0334-6, 2019.
- Fernández, V. and Eichert, T.: Uptake of hydrophilic solutes through plant leaves: current state of knowledge and perspectives of foliar fertilization, Crit. Rev. Plant Sci., 28, 36–68, https://doi.org/10.1080/07352680902743069, 2009.
- Fernández, V., Guzmán, P., Peirce, C. A., McBeath, T. M., Khayet, M., and McLaughlin, M. J.: Effect of wheat phosphorus status on leaf surface properties and permeability to foliar-applied phosphorus, Plant Soil, 384, 7–20, 2014.
- Fernando, N., Panozzo, J., Tausz, M., Norton, R., Fitzgerald, G., and Seneweera, S.: Rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration affects mineral nutrient and protein concentration of wheat grain, Food Chem., 133, 1307–1311, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.02.032, 2012.
- Gojon, A., Cassan, O., Bach, L., Lejay, L., and Martin, A.: The decline of plant mineral nutrition under rising CO<sub>2</sub>: physiological and molecular aspects of a bad deal, Trends Plant Sci., 28, 185– 198, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TPLANTS.2022.09.002, 2023.
- Goll, D. S., Bauters, M., Zhang, H., Ciais, P., Balkanski, Y., Wang, R., and Verbeeck, H.: Atmospheric phosphorus deposition amplifies carbon sinks in simulations of a tropi-

cal forest in Central Africa, New Phytol., 237, 2054–2068, https://doi.org/10.1111/NPH.18535, 2023.

- Gross, A., Goren, T., Pio, C., Cardoso, J., Tirosh, O., Todd, M. C., Rosenfeld, D., Weiner, T., Custoio, D., and Angert, A.: Variability in Sources and Concentrations of Saharan Dust Phosphorus over the Atlantic Ocean, Environ. Sci. Tech. Let., 2, 31–37, https://doi.org/10.1021/ez500399z, 2015.
- Gross, A., Palchan, D., Krom, M. D., and Angert, A.: Elemental and isotopic composition of surface soils from key Saharan dust sources, Chem. Geol., 442, 54–61, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.09.001, 2016a.
- Gross, A., Turner, B. L., Goren, T., Berry, A., and Angert, A.: Tracing the Sources of Atmospheric Phosphorus Deposition to a Tropical Rain Forest in Panama Using Stable Oxygen Isotopes, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 1147–1156, https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.EST.5B04936, 2016b.
- Gross, A., Tiwari, S., Shtein, I., and Erel, R.: Direct foliar uptake of phosphorus from desert dust, New Phytol., 230, 2213–2225, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17344, 2021.
- Guieu, C., Dulac, F., Desboeufs, K., Wagener, T., Pulido-Villena, E., Grisoni, J.-M., Louis, F., Ridame, C., Blain, S., Brunet, C., Bon Nguyen, E., Tran, S., Labiadh, M., and Dominici, J.-M.: Large clean mesocosms and simulated dust deposition: a new methodology to investigate responses of marine oligotrophic ecosystems to atmospheric inputs, Biogeosciences, 7, 2765– 2784, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-2765-2010, 2010.
- Hinsinger, P.: Bioavailability of soil inorganic P in the rhizosphere as affected by root-induced chemical changes: A review, Plant Soil, 237, 173–195, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013351617532, 2001.
- IPCC: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2391 pp., https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896, 2021.
- Ishfaq, M., Kiran, A., ur Rehman, H., Farooq, M., Ijaz, N. H., Nadeem, F., Azeem, I., Li, X., and Wakeel, A.: Foliar nutrition: potential and challenges under multifaceted agriculture, Environ. Exp. Bot., 200, 104909, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2022.104909, 2022.
- Jweda, J., Bolge, L., Class, C., and Goldstein, S. L.: High Precision Sr-Nd-Hf-Pb Isotopic Compositions of USGS Reference Material BCR-2, Geostand. Geoanal. Res., 40, 101–115, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-908X.2015.00342.x, 2016.
- Kok, J. F., Adebiyi, A. A., Albani, S., Balkanski, Y., Checa-Garcia, R., Chin, M., Colarco, P. R., Hamilton, D. S., Huang, Y., Ito, A., Klose, M., Li, L., Mahowald, N. M., Miller, R. L., Obiso, V., Pérez García-Pando, C., Rocha-Lima, A., and Wan, J. S.: Contribution of the world's main dust source regions to the global cycle of desert dust, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 8169–8193, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-8169-2021, 2021.
- Lal, R.: Soil degradation as a reason for inadequate human nutrition, Food Secur., 1, 45–57, https://doi.org/10.1007/S12571-009-0009-Z, 2009.

#### A. Lokshin et al.: Foliar nutrient uptake from dust sustains plant nutrition

- Lambers, H., Albornoz, F. E., Arruda, A. J., Barker, T., Finnegan, P. M., Gille, C., Gooding, H., Png, K., Ranathunge, K., and Zhong, H.: Nutrient-acquisition strategies, in: A Jewel in the Crown of a Global Biodiversity Hotspot, edited by: Lambers, H., Kwongan Foundation and the Western Australian Naturalists' Club Inc., Perth, Australia, 227–248, ISBN 978-0-9590041-3-6, 2019.
- Langmann, B.: Volcanic ash versus mineral dust: atmospheric processing and environmental and climate impacts, ISRN Atmos. Sci., 2013, 245076, https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/245076, 2013.
- Lokshin, A., Palchan, D., and Gross, A.: Direct foliar phosphorus uptake from wildfire ash, Biogeosciences, 21, 2355–2365, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-21-2355-2024, 2024a.
- Lokshin, A., Gross, A., Dor, Y. B., and Palchan, D.: Rare earth elements as a tool to study the foliar nutrient uptake phenomenon under ambient and elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, Sci. Total Environ., 948, 174695, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2024.174695, 2024b.
- Loladze, I.: Rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and human nutrition: toward globally imbalanced plant stoichiometry, Trends Ecol. Evol., 17, 457–461, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02587-9, 2002.
- Loladze, I.: Hidden shift of the ionome of plants exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> depletes minerals at the base of human nutrition, Elife, 3, e02245, https://doi.org/10.7554/ELIFE.02245, 2014.
- Longo, A. F., Ingall, E. D., Diaz, J. M., Oakes, M., King, L. E., Nenes, A., Mihalopoulos, N., Violaki, K., Avila, A., and Benitez-Nelson, C. R.: P-NEXFS analysis of aerosol phosphorus delivered to the Mediterranean Sea, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 4043– 4049, 2014.
- Lowe, N. M.: The global challenge of hidden hunger: perspectives from the field, P. Nutr. Soc., 80, 283–289, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665121000902, 2021.
- Marschner, H., Kirkby, E. A., and Engels, C.: Importance of Cycling and Recycling of Mineral Nutrients within Plants for Growth and Development, Bot. Acta, 110, 265–273, https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1438-8677.1997.TB00639.X, 1997.
- Muhammad, S., Wuyts, K., and Samson, R.: Atmospheric net particle accumulation on 96 plant species with contrasting morphological and anatomical leaf characteristics in a common garden experiment, Atmos. Environ., 202, 328–344, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Atmosenv.2019.01.015, 2019.
- Myers, S. S., Zanobetti, A., Kloog, I., Huybers, P., Leakey, A. D. B., Bloom, A. J., Carlisle, E., Dietterich, L. H., Fitzgerald, G., Hasegawa, T., Holbrook, N. M., Nelson, R. L., Ottman, M. J., Raboy, V., Sakai, H., Sartor, K. A., Schwartz, J., Seneweera, S., Tausz, M., and Usui, Y.: Increasing CO<sub>2</sub> threatens human nutrition, Nature, 510, 139–142, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13179, 2014.
- Nakamaru, Y., Nanzyo, M., and Yamasaki, S. I.: Utilization of apatite in fresh volcanic ash by pigeonpea and chickpea, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 46, 591–600, https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2000.10409124, 2000.
- Okin, G. S., Mahowald, N., Chadwick, O. A., and Artaxo, P.: Impact of desert dust on the biogeochemistry of phosphorus in terrestrial ecosystems, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 18, GB2005, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GB002145, 2004.
- Palchan, D., Stein, M., Almogi-Labin, A., Erel, Y., and Goldstein, S. L.: Dust transport and synoptic conditions over the Sahara–Arabia deserts during the MIS6/5 and 2/1 tran-

sitions from grain-size, chemical and isotopic properties of Red Sea cores, Earth Planet Sc. Lett., 382, 125–139, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.09.013, 2013.

- Palchan, D., Erel, Y., and Stein, M.: Geochemical characterization of contemporary fine detritus in the Dead Sea watershed, Chem. Geol., 494, 30–42, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Chemgeo.2018.07.013, 2018.
- Parasuraman, P., Pattnaik, S., and Busi, S.: Chapter 10 Phyllosphere Microbiome: Functional Importance in Sustainable Agriculture, in: New and Future Developments in Microbial Biotechnology and Bioengineering, edited by: Singh, J. S. and Singh, D. P., Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 135–148, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-64191-5.00010-9, 2019.
- Shakir, S., Zaidi, S. S. e. A., de Vries, F. T., and Mansoor, S.: Plant genetic networks shaping phyllosphere microbial community, Trends Genet., 37, 306–316, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2020.09.010, 2021.
- Shahzad, B., Tanveer, M., Hassan, W., Shah, A. N., Anjum, S. A., Cheema, S. A., Ali, I., and Rehman, A.: Nickel; whether toxic or essential for plants and environment – A review, Plant Physiol. Biochem., 132, 641–651, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.10.014, 2018.
- Schonherr, J.: Characterization of aqueous pores in plant cuticles and permeation of ionic solutes, J. Exp. Bot., 57, 2471–2491, 2006.
- Starr, M., Klein, T., and Gross, A.: Direct foliar acquisition of desert dust phosphorus fertilizes forest trees despite reducing photosynthesis, Tree Physiol., 43, 794–804, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpad012, 2023.
- St.Clair, S. B. and Lynch, J. P.: The opening of Pandora's Box: climate change impacts on soil fertility and crop nutrition in developing countries, 335, 101–115, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0328-z, 2010.
- Stein, M. and Goldstein, S. L.: From plume head to continental lithosphere in the Arabian-Nubian shield, Nature, 382, 773–778, 1996.
- Stockdale, A., Krom, M. D., Mortimer, R. J. G., Benning, L. G., Carslaw, K. S., Herbert, R. J., Shi, Z., Myriokefalitakis, S., Kanakidou, M., and Nenes, A.: Understanding the nature of atmospheric acid processing of mineral dusts in supplying bioavailable phosphorus to the oceans, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 113, 14639–14644, 2016.
- Tanaka, T., Togashi, S., Kamioka, H., Amakawa, H., Kagami, H., Hamamoto, T., Yuhara, M., Orihashi, Y., Yoneda, S., Shimizu, H., Kunimaru, T., Takahashi, K., Yanagi, T., Nakano, T., Fujimaki, H., Shinjo, R., Asahara, Y., Tanimizu, M., and Dragusanu, C.: JNdi-1: a neodymium isotopic reference in consistency with LaJolla neodymium, Chem. Geol., 168, 279–281, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(00)00198-4, 2000.
- Tiwari, S., Erel, R., and Gross, A.: Chemical processes in receiving soils accelerate solubilisation of phosphorus from desert dust and fire ash, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 73, e13270, https://doi.org/10.1111/EJSS.13270, 2022.
- Van Langenhove, L., Verryckt, L. T., Bréchet, L., Courtois, E. A., Stahl, C., Hofhansl, F., Bauters, M., Sardans, J., Boeckx, P., Fransen, E., Peñuelas, J., and Janssens, I. A.: Atmospheric deposition of elements and its relevance for nutrient budgets of tropical forests, Biogeochemistry, 149, 175–193, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-020-00673-8, 2020.

- Wasserburg, G. J., Jacobsen, S. B., DePaolo, D. J., McCulloch, M. T., and Wen, T.: Precise determination of Sm / Nd ratios, Sm and Nd isotopic abundances in standard solutions, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 45, 2311–2323, https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(81)90085-5, 1981.
- Wittwer, S. H. and Teubner, F. G.: Foliar absorption of mineral nutrients, Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol., 10, 13–30, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pp.10.060159.000305, 1959.
- Zhu, C., Kobayashi, K., Loladze, I., Zhu, J., Jiang, Q., Xu, X., Liu, G., Seneweera, S., Ebi, K. L., Drewnowski, A., Fukagawa, N. K., and Ziska, L. H.: Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) levels this century will alter the protein, micronutrients, and vitamin content of rice grains with potential health consequences for the poorest rice-dependent countries, Sci. Adv., 4, eaaq1012, https://doi.org/10.1126/Sciadv.aaq1012, 2018.