



Seasonal variations and controlling factors of nitrogen fluxes at the sediment-water interface in a semi-enclosed inland sea

Zhaosen Wu^{1,2}, Xinyu Guo², Jie Shi^{1,3}, Xiaokun Ding⁴, Masatoshi Nakakuni^{5,6}, and Kuninao Tada^{5,6}

¹Key Laboratory of Marine Environment and Ecology, Ministry of Education of China, Ocean University of China, 238 Songling Road, Qingdao 266100, China

²Center for Marine Environmental Studies, Ehime University, 2-5 Bunkyo-Cho, Matsuyama 790-8577, Japan

³Laboratory for Marine Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao, 266071, China

⁴School of Ocean, Yantai University, Yantai, 264005, China

⁵Faculty of Agriculture, Kagawa University, Ikenobe, Kita, Miki, Kagawa 761-0701, Japan

⁶Seto Inland Sea Regional Research Center, Kagawa University, Saiwai, Takamatsu, Kagawa 761-0016, Japan

Correspondence: Xinyu Guo (guo.xinyu.mz@ehime-u.ac.jp)

Received: 11 December 2025 – Discussion started: 18 December 2025

Revised: 29 July 2026 – Accepted: 25 August 2026 – Published: 4 September 2026

Abstract. Nitrogen fluxes across the sediment-water interface and nitrogen removal from sediments are essential components of nitrogen cycle in semi-enclosed inland seas. However, the difficulty in observational sampling hinders continuous data availability that is necessary to understand their seasonal variations and underlying mechanisms. To address this issue, we developed a one-dimensional sediment nitrogen-cycle model and used sensitivity experiments to quantify the relative roles of environmental and biogeochemical drivers. Model results indicate that 48 % of particulate organic nitrogen (PON) settling into sediments is returned to the bottom water as dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN), while 6 % is removed via N-loss flux (dinitrogen gas and nitrous oxide). The seasonal variations of PON and DIN fluxes are controlled by fundamentally different mechanisms, with PON flux primarily regulated by bottom-water PON concentration and bottom stress, while DIN flux is mainly governed by temperature-dependent biogeochemical transformations and nitrogen availability. These contrasting responses reveal a decoupling between particulate and dissolved nitrogen fluxes, reflecting the buffering capacity of sediments. Denitrification controls nitrogen removal but its amount is not large because the oligotrophic conditions of the study site limits the nitrate availability.

1 Introduction

Sediments play a crucial role in regulating the storage and removal of nitrogen within semi-enclosed inland seas, which significantly influences the balance and stability of the ecosystem (Huettel et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2020; Kalvelage et al., 2013; Thamdrup, 2012). On one hand, the sediment can bury substantial amounts of nitrogen, potentially on par with river inputs (Liu et al., 2021; Han et al., 2021; Lønborg and Markager, 2021). On the other hand, because of remineralization, the sediment continuously releases dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) into the overlying water (Devol, 2015; Boynton et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2012). In semi-enclosed inland seas, vertical mixing facilitates the contribution of DIN from sediments as a vital nutrient source for primary production because of shallow water depth (Huettel et al., 2014; Yi et al., 2023; Leng et al., 2023; Liu and Yin, 2007; Berelson et al., 2013; Leynaert et al., 2011; Mei Liu et al., 2011). Notably, the sediment serves as the primary anoxic environment in these regions, where key nitrogen removal processes, such as denitrification and anammox, occur. These processes are essential for regulating nitrogen inventories and influencing nutrient structure in these areas. Some research indicates that continental shelves, which constitute merely 7.5 % of the global seafloor, are responsible for 44 % of fixed nitrogen losses (Devol, 2015; Mctigue et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2021; Jäntti and Hietanen, 2012; Khalil

and Rasmussen, 2012). Furthermore, nitrous oxide, one of the gaseous forms of nitrogen removal, is a significant greenhouse gas that contributes to global warming (Quick et al., 2019; Wilson et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2022).

To clarify the function of the sediment in ecological and environmental changes, it is necessary to conduct a quantitative analysis of nitrogen fluxes at the sediment–water interface in semi-enclosed inland seas, along with their seasonal variations. Direct observation of particulate organic nitrogen (PON) flux at this interface poses challenges due to the influence of resuspension processes. PON flux measurement techniques are confined to in situ observations, with relatively limited studies. In contrast, DIN flux can be obtained not only through in situ methods but also via laboratory experiments. Consequently, numerous studies focused on DIN flux and its seasonal variations primarily through in situ observations, incubation experiments, or estimations based on Fick's First law (De Vittor et al., 2012; Mu et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2023). For example, studies in the northern Adriatic Sea and the Baltic Sea have demonstrated the same conclusion that DIN flux exhibits seasonal variations, with higher levels in summer and lower levels in winter, likely influenced by water temperature (De Vittor et al., 2012; Niemistö et al., 2018). There are also studies identifying organic matter deposition as an important factor influencing sedimentary nitrogen cycling and DIN fluxes (Albert et al., 2021; Ratmaya et al., 2022). However, the relative contributions of organic matter supply and temperature to seasonal variations in nitrogen fluxes remain poorly quantified. Furthermore, the directions and controlling mechanisms of ammonium (NH_4^+) and nitrate (NO_3^-) fluxes vary considerably among coastal environments, with sediments acting either as sources or sinks of these nitrogen species. In addition to DIN exchange, nitrogen removal processes such as denitrification and anammox have also been reported to exhibit pronounced seasonal variability, generally with higher rates in summer and lower rates in winter (Zhang et al., 2018; Rich et al., 2020; Teng and Lin, 2024). Previous studies suggest that dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, organic matter availability, bioturbation, and hydrodynamic conditions can all influence these processes (Mu et al., 2017; De Vittor et al., 2015; Dale et al., 2022; Bohlen et al., 2011; Ratmaya et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2022).

However, sedimentary nitrogen cycling involves a cascade of interconnected transformations linking particulate organic nitrogen deposition, mineralization, nitrification, denitrification, and nitrogen removal. As a result, changes in organic matter input do not necessarily lead to proportional changes in DIN release or nitrogen loss. Different nitrogen pools and fluxes may respond to environmental forcing through distinct pathways and timescales, potentially causing decoupled responses between particulate and dissolved nitrogen fluxes. Consequently, despite extensive observational studies, a mechanistic framework capable of explaining the seasonal variability of sedimentary nitrogen cycling and the con-

trasting responses of different nitrogen fluxes remains lacking. Quantifying nitrogen fluxes at the sediment–water interface in semi-enclosed inland seas and elucidating their seasonal dynamics and controlling mechanisms are crucial for understanding benthic nutrient recycling, evaluating the contribution of sediments to ecosystem functioning, and improving predictions of biogeochemical responses to environmental change.

Numerical modelling is a valuable tool for understanding the factors that govern nitrogen fluxes at the sediment–water interface. Typically, nitrogen fluxes at this interface derived from empirical formulas serve as essential boundary conditions for modelling the aquatic nitrogen cycle in semi-enclosed inland seas (Lønborg and Markager, 2021). However, this approach largely overlooks the changes occurring within the sediment and the corresponding flux responses to these alterations. An alternative approach is the implementation of a box model, which investigates nitrogen processes within the sediment. Nonetheless, the box model's treatment of all sediment as a single domain, coupled with its failure to account for the vertical distribution of chemical substances – particularly oxidants such as DO – limits its capacity to provide a comprehensive understanding of the nitrogen cycling processes within the sediment (Yang et al., 2022). A one-dimensional vertical sediment model addresses this limitation, albeit at the cost of increased complexity and computational demands (Radtke et al., 2019; Umlauf et al., 2023). It not only reproduces seasonal variations in nitrogen fluxes but also provides a framework for disentangling the relative roles of environmental forcing and internal biogeochemical processes in regulating sedimentary nitrogen cycling. Despite the clear advantages of this modelling approach over empirical formulas and box models, well-validated applications remain relatively scarce.

This study focuses on the unclear seasonal variations in the magnitude and direction of nitrogen fluxes at the sediment–water interface in semi-enclosed inland seas, alongside the insufficiently understood underlying mechanisms with controlling factors driving these changes. We developed a one-dimensional vertical sediment model to simulate nitrogen cycling and accurately quantify nitrogen fluxes at the interface. Supported by monthly continuous observations conducted in the bottom water and sediment of Harima Nada in Japan, a typical semi-enclosed inland sea characterized by restricted water exchange, seasonal stratification, and active sediment–water nutrient cycling, we obtained a robust dataset for model validation. The model successfully reproduced the seasonal variations in the concentrations of three nitrogen species within the sediment and nitrogen fluxes at the sediment–water interface. Additionally, numerical experiments were further conducted to disentangle the relative roles of organic matter supply, temperature, DO, and benthic processes in controlling seasonal nitrogen cycling. Particular attention was given to the contrasting responses of particulate and dissolved nitrogen fluxes and to the mechanisms that reg-




Figure 1. Study area of the Harima Nada, Japan. The red star represents the site where the observational data used in this study were collected.

ulate the transfer of deposited organic nitrogen into DIN and nitrogen loss pathways. By identifying the dominant controls on different nitrogen pools and fluxes, this study provides new insights into the mechanisms governing sedimentary nitrogen cycling in semi-enclosed coastal systems and improves our ability to predict benthic responses to environmental change and eutrophication management.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Study area

Harima Nada is situated within Seto Inland Sea, the largest semi-enclosed sea in Japan (Fig. 1). It has an average water depth of 26 m and is connected to Osaka Bay and Hiuchi Nada on the eastern and western sides, respectively. To the south, it connects to the Pacific Ocean through the Naruto Strait and Kii Channel (Zhu et al., 2019; Chang et al., 2009). Harima Nada is strongly controlled by seasonal variations in wind forcing and density structure (Tong-u-dom et al., 2023). Its hydrographic circulation exhibits clear seasonal patterns: in winter, a wind-driven downwind circulation dominates under the prevailing north-westerly winds, whereas in summer, a density-driven cyclonic circulation develops due to the horizontal change in stratification. The water column structure also shows pronounced seasonality. Stratification intensifies in summer, promoting the formation of bottom cold water, while in winter, the water column becomes vertically well mixed. These seasonal changes in circulation and stratification jointly regulate the spatial distribution of temperature, salinity, and currents within the basin.

Based on the dataset from the Japanese Ministry of the Environment (<https://water-pub.env.go.jp/water-pub/mizu-site/mizu/kouiki/dataMap.asp>, last access: 2 September 2026), DO concentrations in Harima Nada range from 180 to 300 mmol m⁻³ (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). Surface DO exhibits clear seasonal variability, with the lowest values ob-

served in autumn and the highest in winter. Controlled by hydrodynamic conditions, bottom DO reach its minimum in summer due to the intensification of stratification, while vertical mixing in winter leads to the highest bottom DO concentrations.

Chlorophyll concentrations and primary production in the water column are primarily regulated by nutrient availability (Leng et al., 2023; Tong-u-dom et al., 2025). During summer, elevated chlorophyll levels are observed in the estuarine region due to increased riverine nutrient input (Fig. S2 in the Supplement). In autumn and winter, enhanced vertical mixing redistributes DO, promoting higher chlorophyll concentrations in bottom waters. A previous study estimated the annual primary production in Harima Nada to be approximately 320 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Tada, 2021).

Most of the sediments in the Harima Nada are muddy, with a mud content exceeding 70 %. Such fine-grained sediments support abundant macrobenthic communities throughout Harima Nada (Tsujino, 2018; Umehara et al., 2019). Previous studies have shown that sediment properties, particularly mud content, organic matter content, and redox conditions, strongly influence the abundance and community structure of benthic organisms in this region (Nishijima et al., 2015). Through bioturbation, bioirrigation, and organic matter processing, benthic organisms can affect sediment biogeochemical cycling and nutrient transformations in this region.

Over the past several decades, the environment of the Seto Inland Sea has undergone significant changes (Ishii et al., 2014; Yamamoto et al., 2021). With the continuous observations of sediments in Harima Nada by our collaborators, we have realized that the DIN input from sediments in this area is comparable to that from rivers, exhibiting notable seasonal variations (Leng et al., 2023; Nakakuni et al., 2024). However, the driving factors and mechanisms behind these seasonal changes remain unclear. Therefore, Harima Nada serves as an excellent study area, and these observational data can provide a sufficient validation to ensure the reliability of model results (Nakakuni et al., 2024). This allows us to examine the seasonal variations in sediment nitrogen cycling and interfacial nitrogen fluxes, as well as their controlling mechanisms. Additionally, this study lays the groundwork for future exploration of how sediment nitrogen cycling responds to long-term changes in the aquatic environment.

2.2 Model descriptions

Based on the theory of early diagenesis (Berner, 1980), we have developed a biogeochemical model for sediment (Fig. 2). This model encompasses two components: solid matter and pore water. The state variables consist of the concentrations of two types of PON (fast-decayed and slow-decayed ones) for the solid matter and the concentrations of NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, DO, and ODU (the oxygen demand units) in the pore water. Throughout this study, PON refers

to particulate organic nitrogen in the sediment solid phase and excludes dissolved nitrogen species in porewater. ODU is a lumped variable representing reduced metabolites generated by anoxic mineralization (e.g. reduced Fe, Mn, and sulfur species), expressed in oxygen-equivalent units to quantify their potential oxygen demand upon re-oxidation (Soetaert et al., 1996). Additionally, we set a fluff layer at the top of sediment, where the active benthos and material exchange processes with the above water column occur (Lee et al., 2002; Kuhrts et al., 2006; Laima et al., 2002).

In the solid part, the fast-decayed and slow-decayed PON are treated with a large and small mineralization rate, respectively. Both of them undergo identical vertical transport processes, including downward sedimentation driven by gravity and vertical diffusion caused by bioturbation. Their mineralization occurring within the sediment provides a flux of NH_4^+ into the pore water.

In the pore water, the dissolved NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , NO_3^- , DO, and ODU are transported and diffused vertically, as corresponding to both bioturbation and molecular diffusion. The biogeochemical processes occurring within the pore water include nitrification, denitrification, anammox, dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA), ODU oxidation, and ODU burial. ODU oxidation denotes the oxygen-mediated re-oxidation of reduced compounds represented by ODU, resulting in oxygen consumption. ODU burial represents the permanent removal of these reduced compounds from the active sediment layer through downward sediment transport into deeper sediments (Soetaert et al., 1996).

At the sediment-water interface, the exchange of solid matter is controlled by the bottom shear stress. The solid matter in the water column sinks into the fluff layer when the bottom stress is below a critical value, while resuspension occurs when the bottom stress exceeds this threshold. The exchange fluxes of NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , NO_3^- , DO, and ODU at the interface are determined by the product of the diffusion coefficient, including both bioturbation and molecular diffusion, and their concentration gradient between the fluff layer and the overlying water.

In the deepest layer of sediment, only the slow-decayed PON is allowed to move downward and leave the model domain via burial. The exchange fluxes of NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , and ODU between the model domain and the underlying pore water are calculated by the product of the diffusion coefficient and the concentration gradient derived from the model results at the nearest grid point and the observations in the underlying pore water. Because NO_3^- and DO are assumed absent in the underlying deep anoxic sediments, no diffusive exchange across the lower boundary is allowed, and their bottom-boundary fluxes are therefore set to zero.

2.3 Equations and parameters

The equations for the concentrations of solid matter (C_s , mmol m^{-3}) and dissolved matter (C_d , mmol m^{-3}) are as

follows:

$$\frac{\partial [(1 - \Phi) \cdot C_s]}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \left[(1 - \Phi) \cdot D_B \cdot \frac{\partial C_s}{\partial z} \right]}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial [\omega \cdot (1 - \Phi) \cdot C_s]}{\partial z} + (1 - \Phi) \cdot \sum R_s \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial [\Phi \cdot C_d]}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \left[\Phi \cdot (D_B + D_M) \cdot \frac{\partial C_d}{\partial z} \right]}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial [\omega \cdot \Phi \cdot C_d]}{\partial z} + \Phi \cdot \alpha \cdot (C_d^w - C_d) + \Phi \cdot \sum R_d \quad (2)$$

Where z (m) is vertical axis for sediment depth, t (s) is time, Φ is the sediment porosity, D_B ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$) is the bioturbation coefficient, D_M ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$) is the molecular diffusion coefficient, ω (m s^{-1}) is the sedimentation velocity, α (s^{-1}) is the bioirrigation coefficient, C_d^w (mmol m^{-3}) is the concentration of dissolved matter in the bottom water, R_s is the biochemical reaction rate of PON, and R_d is the biochemical reaction rate of dissolved matter, including NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , NO_3^- , DO, and ODU. Since the sediment type in the study area is muddy, the advection of pore water in sandy sediments controlled by pressure is neglected.

The vertical profile of D_B is expressed as Eq. (3) (Radtke et al., 2019).

$$D_B(z) = \begin{cases} D_{B\max}, & 0 < z < z_{\max} \\ D_{B\max} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{z - z_{\max}}{z_d}\right), & z \geq z_{\max} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Where $z_{\max}$ (m) is the depth down to which the maximum bioturbation coefficient ($D_{B\max}$) is applied, z_d (m) is the decaying length scale of $D_{B\max}$ ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$).

D_M of dissolved matter in the pore water is a function of porosity, and is expressed as follows (Boudreau et al., 1998; Radtke et al., 2019):

$$D_M(z) = \frac{D^T}{1 - 2.02 \ln(\Phi)} \quad (4)$$

where D^T ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$) is the diffusion coefficient of the dissolved matter in the particle-free liquid at T °C. The relationship between D^T and temperature (T) is expressed as (Soetaert et al., 1996):

$$D^T = D_0 + a \cdot T \quad (5)$$

where D_0 ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$) is the diffusion coefficient of the dissolved matter in the particle-free liquid at 0 °C, a ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} (\text{°C})^{-1}$) is an ion-specific coefficient.

Bioirrigation characterizes the dissolved matter exchange caused by biological burrowing activities, and the coefficient decreases with depth (Dale et al., 2011):

$$\alpha(z) = \alpha_1 \cdot \frac{\exp(\alpha_2 - z)}{1 + \exp(\alpha_2 - z)} \quad (6)$$




Figure 2. Physical and biogeochemical processes for nitrogen cycle in the sediment model. Six state variables in the model are PON, NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , NO_3^- , DO, and ODU (the oxygen demand units). PON is a solid substance (enclosed by solid lines), while NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , NO_3^- , DO, and ODU are solutes in the pore water (enclosed by dash lines). The physical processes and biogeochemical processes are denoted by black arrows and coloured arrows, respectively. The numbers with circle denote the transport processes included in the model. The panel on the right represents the sediment separated into two distinct phases: solid and dissolved components, with porosity diminishing with increasing depth. The surface layer of the model is identified as the fluff layer.

The parameters α_1 (s^{-1}) and α_2 (m) represent the magnitude of bioirrigation and the depth at which it decreases to half of α_1 , respectively.

The biochemical reactions are expressed in Eqs. (7)–(12), and their formulations are summarized in Table 1 (Soetaert et al., 1996; Capet et al., 2016; Akbarzadeh et al., 2018). The reaction rates are regulated by DO availability, electron acceptor competition, and temperature. Following Soetaert et al. (1996), the limitation functions associated with different mineralization pathways are normalized by their sum ($\sum \text{lim}$) to partition organic matter mineralization among oxic mineralization, denitrification, and anoxic mineralization, ensuring that the combined mineralization rate does not exceed the prescribed first-order degradation rate. In the model, temperature correction is applied only to organic matter mineralization, which serves as the primary source of reactive nitrogen and reduced substances in the sediment. The influence of temperature on other nitrogen transformation processes is represented indirectly through coupled biogeochemical interactions and temperature-dependent molecular diffusion. Following Akbarzadeh et al. (2018), several pathway-partitioning and leakage coefficients are introduced to account for the incomplete conversion of nitrogen intermediates and the distribution of products among nitrate reduction pathways. All related coefficients and parameters are listed in Table 2. The sensitivity analysis of model parameters

is presented in Fig. S3 and Sect. S1 in the Supplement.

$$\sum R_{\text{PON}} = -R_{\text{Min}}^{\text{OM}} - R_{\text{Den}} - R_{\text{Min}}^{\text{AM}} - R_{\text{DNRA}} \quad (7)$$

$$\sum R_{\text{NH}_4^+} = R_{\text{Min}}^{\text{OM}} + R_{\text{Den}} + R_{\text{Min}}^{\text{AM}} - R_{\text{Nit1}} + (1 + 0.5 \cdot (1 - \delta) \cdot r_{\text{C:N}}) R_{\text{DNRA}} - R_{\text{Ana}} \quad (8)$$

$$\sum R_{\text{NO}_2^-} = 0.8 \cdot \alpha \cdot r_{\text{C:N}} \cdot R_{\text{den}} + R_{\text{Nit1}} - R_{\text{Nit2}} + 0.5 \cdot \delta \cdot r_{\text{C:N}} \cdot R_{\text{DNRA}} - R_{\text{Ana}} \quad (9)$$

$$\sum R_{\text{NO}_3^-} = R_{\text{Nit2}} - 0.8 \cdot r_{\text{C:N}} \cdot R_{\text{den}} - 0.5 \cdot r_{\text{C:N}} \cdot R_{\text{DNRA}} \quad (10)$$

$$\sum R_{\text{O}_2} = -r_{\text{C:N}} \cdot R_{\text{Min}}^{\text{OM}} - 1.5 \cdot R_{\text{Nit1}} - 0.5 \cdot R_{\text{Nit2}} - R_{\text{ODUox}} \quad (11)$$

$$\sum R_{\text{ODU}} = r_{\text{C:N}} \cdot (1 - r_{\text{ODUsolid}}) \cdot R_{\text{Min}}^{\text{AM}} - R_{\text{ODUox}} \quad (12)$$

Due to the high benthic biomass and rapid organic matter turnover within the fluff layer, mineralization in this layer was assumed to be substantially enhanced relative to the underlying sediment (Lee et al., 2002; Kuhrts et al., 2006; Laima et al., 2002). The enhancement factor was treated as a tuning parameter and was finally set to 50 based on model calibration against observed sediment biogeochemical characteristics.

At the sediment–water interface, the sinking and resuspension of PON depend on the bottom stress. The exchange flux

Table 1. Reaction formulas and rate expressions.

Process	Formula	Rate expression
Oxic mineralization (R_{Min}^{OM})	$(CH_2O)_x(NH_3)_y + xO_2 \rightarrow yNH_4^+ + (x-y)CO_2 + yHCO_3^- + (x-y)H_2O$	$R_{Min}^{OM} = r_{min} \cdot PON \cdot \bar{Q}_{10}^{T-20} \cdot \frac{O_2}{O_2 + K_{S_{O_2}^{OM}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum \lim \frac{Kin_{O_2}^{AM}}{NO_3^- + Kin_{O_2}^{AM}}}$
Denitrification (R_{Den})	$(CH_2O)_x(NH_3)_y + 0.8xNO_3^- \rightarrow yNH_4^+ + 0.8axNO_2^- + 0.4(1-\alpha-\beta)xN_2 + 0.4\beta xN_2O + (0.2x-y+0.8ax)CO_2 + (0.8x-0.8ax+y)HCO_3^- + (0.6x-y-0.8ax-0.4\beta x)H_2O + (0.8ax-0.4\beta x)H_2$	$R_{Den} = r_{min} \cdot PON \cdot \bar{Q}_{10}^{T-20} \cdot \frac{NO_3^-}{NO_3^- + K_{S_{NO_3}^{Den}}} \cdot \frac{Kin_{O_2}^{Den}}{O_2 + Kin_{O_2}^{Den}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum \lim \cdot \gamma}$
Anoxic mineralization (R_{Min}^{AM})	$(CH_2O)_x(NH_3)_y + \text{an oxidant} \rightarrow yNH_4^+ + xCO_2 + xODU + xH_2O$	$R_{Min}^{AM} = r_{min} \cdot PON \cdot \bar{Q}_{10}^{T-20} \cdot \frac{Kin_{O_2}^{AM}}{O_2 + Kin_{O_2}^{AM}} \cdot \frac{Kin_{NO_3^-}^{AM}}{NO_3^- + Kin_{NO_3^-}^{AM}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum \lim}$
Nitrification_step1 (R_{Nitr1})	$NH_4^+ + 1.5O_2 + 2HCO_3^- \rightarrow NO_2^- + 3H_2O + 2CO_2$	$R_{Nitr1} = r_{nitr1} \cdot \frac{NH_4^+}{NH_4^+ + K_{S_{NH_4^+}^{Nitr1}}} \cdot \frac{O_2}{O_2 + K_{S_{O_2}^{Nitr1}}}$
Nitrification_step2 (R_{Nitr2})	$NO_2^- + 0.5O_2 \rightarrow NO_3^-$	$R_{Nitr2} = r_{nitr2} \cdot \frac{NO_2^-}{NO_2^- + K_{S_{NO_2^-}^{Nitr2}}} \cdot \frac{O_2}{O_2 + K_{S_{O_2}^{Nitr2}}}$
DNRA (R_{DNRA})	$(CH_2O)_x(NH_3)_y + 0.5xNO_3^- + (1-\delta)xH^+ \rightarrow (0.5(1-\delta)x+y)NH_4^+ + 0.5\delta xNO_2^- + yHCO_3^- + (x-y)CO_2 + (0.5x-y-\delta x)H_2O + 1.5\delta xH_2$	$R_{DNRA} = r_{min} \cdot PON \cdot \bar{Q}_{10}^{T-20} \cdot \frac{NO_3^-}{NO_3^- + K_{S_{NO_3^-}^{Den}}} \cdot \frac{Kin_{O_2}^{Den}}{O_2 + Kin_{O_2}^{Den}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum \lim} \cdot (1-\gamma)$
Anammox (R_{Ana})	$NH_4^+ + NO_2^- \rightarrow N_2 + 2H_2O$	$R_{Ana} = r_{Ana} \cdot \frac{NH_4^+}{NH_4^+ + K_{S_{NH_4^+}^{Ana}}} \cdot \frac{NO_2^-}{NO_2^- + K_{S_{NO_2^-}^{Ana}}} \cdot \frac{Kin_{O_2}^{Ana}}{O_2 + Kin_{O_2}^{Ana}}$
ODU oxidation (R_{ODUox})	$ODU + O_2 \rightarrow \text{an oxidant}$	$R_{ODUox} = r_{ODUox} \cdot ODU \cdot \frac{O_2}{O_2 + K_{S_{O_2}^{ODUox}}}$

$\sum \lim = \frac{O_2}{O_2 + K_{S_{O_2}^{OM}}} + \frac{NO_3^-}{NO_3^- + K_{S_{NO_3^-}^{Den}}} \cdot \frac{Kin_{O_2}^{Den}}{O_2 + Kin_{O_2}^{Den}} + \frac{Kin_{O_2}^{AM}}{O_2 + Kin_{O_2}^{AM}} \cdot \frac{NO_3^-}{NO_3^- + K_{S_{NO_3^-}^{AM}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum \lim}$ is used to rescale competing mineralization pathway, ensuring that the combined mineralization rate does not exceed the maximum first-order degradation rate (Soetaert et al., 1996).
 x : y denotes the molar C : N ratio of organic matter.

Table 2. Model parameters. (L: based on literature; M: constrained with the model, I: independently determined from observations).

Parameter	Value	Unit	Description	References
ω	8.24×10^{-11}	m s^{-1}	Sedimentation velocity	L ^a
DB_{max}	2×10^{-11}	$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$	Maximum bioturbation coefficient	M
z_{max}	0.05	m	Depth down to which the maximum bioturbation coefficient is applied	L ^b
z_{d}	0.05	m	Decaying length scale of the maximum bioturbation coefficient	L ^{b,d}
$D_0^{\text{NH}_4^+}$	9.80×10^{-10}	$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$	The molecular diffusion coefficient of NH_4^+ in a particle-free solution at 0 °C	L ^b
$D_0^{\text{NO}_2^-}$	9.16×10^{-10}	$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$	The molecular diffusion coefficient of NO_2^- in a particle-free solution at 0 °C	L ^c
$D_0^{\text{NO}_3^-}$	9.78×10^{-10}	$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$	The molecular diffusion coefficient of NO_3^- in a particle-free solution at 0 °C	L ^b
D_0^{DO}	1.11×10^{-9}	$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$	The molecular diffusion coefficient of DO (dissolved oxygen) in a particle-free solution at 0 °C	L ^b
D_0^{ODU}	9.74×10^{-10}	$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$	The molecular diffusion coefficient of ODU (the oxygen demand units) in a particle-free solution at 0 °C	L ^b
$a_{\text{NH}_4^+}$	3.89×10^{-11}	$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} (\text{°C})^{-1}$	Coefficient for temperature dependency of diffusion coefficient of NH_4^+	L ^b
$a_{\text{NO}_2^-}$	3.89×10^{-11}	$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} (\text{°C})^{-1}$	Coefficient for temperature dependency of diffusion coefficient of NO_2^-	L ^b
$a_{\text{NO}_3^-}$	3.89×10^{-11}	$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} (\text{°C})^{-1}$	Coefficient for temperature dependency of diffusion coefficient of NO_3^-	L ^b
a_{DO}	4.47×10^{-11}	$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} (\text{°C})^{-1}$	Coefficient for temperature dependency of diffusion coefficient of DO	L ^b
a_{ODU}	2.80×10^{-11}	$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} (\text{°C})^{-1}$	Coefficient for temperature dependency of diffusion coefficient of ODU	L ^b
α_1	1.16×10^{-7}	s^{-1}	The magnitude of bioirrigation	M
α_2	0.09	m	the depth at which bioirrigation coefficient decreases to half of α_1	L ^d
r_{minf}	3×10^{-8}	s^{-1}	Mineralization coefficient of fast decay organic nitrogen	M
r_{mins}	7×10^{-10}	s^{-1}	Mineralization coefficient of slow decay organic nitrogen	M
Q	2		Factor for temperature effect on mineralization	L ^e
$K_{\text{S}_{\text{O}_2}}^{\text{OM}}$	3	mmol m^{-3}	Half saturation constant of DO in oxic mineralization	L ^b
$K_{\text{S}_{\text{NO}_3^-}}^{\text{Den}}$	30	mmol m^{-3}	Half saturation constant of NO_3^- in denitrification	L ^b
$K_{\text{in}_{\text{O}_2}}^{\text{Den}}$	10	mmol m^{-3}	Half saturation constant of DO in denitrification	L ^b
α	5	%	Nitrite leakage during denitrification	L ^c
β	1	%	Nitrous oxide leakage during denitrification	L ^c
γ	95	%	Fraction of total NO_3^- reduction occurring via denitrification	L ^c
$K_{\text{in}_{\text{O}_2}}^{\text{AM}}$	5	mmol m^{-3}	Half saturation constant of DO in anoxic mineralization	L ^b
$K_{\text{in}_{\text{NO}_3^-}}^{\text{AM}}$	5	mmol m^{-3}	Half saturation constant of NO_3^- in anoxic mineralization	L ^b
r_{nit1}	1.16×10^{-4}	$\text{mmol m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$	Maximum coefficient of nitrification (step 1)	M
r_{nit2}	1.16×10^{-3}	$\text{mmol m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$	Maximum coefficient of nitrification (step 2)	M
$K_{\text{S}_{\text{NH}_4^+}}^{\text{Nit1}}$	10	mmol m^{-3}	Half saturation constant of NH_4^+ in nitrification (step 1)	L ^c
$K_{\text{S}_{\text{O}_2}}^{\text{Nit1}}$	15.6	mmol m^{-3}	Half saturation constant of DO in nitrification (step 1)	L ^c
$K_{\text{S}_{\text{NO}_2^-}}^{\text{Nit2}}$	10	mmol m^{-3}	Half saturation constant of NO_2^- in nitrification (step 2)	L ^c
$K_{\text{S}_{\text{O}_2}}^{\text{Nit2}}$	34.4	mmol m^{-3}	Half saturation constant of DO in nitrification (step 2)	L ^c
δ	5	%	Nitrite leakage during DNRA	L ^c
r_{Ana}	2.31×10^{-5}	$\text{mmol m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$	Maximum anammox coefficient	M
$K_{\text{S}_{\text{NH}_4^+}}^{\text{Ana}}$	5	mmol m^{-3}	Half saturation constant of NH_4^+ in anammox	L ^c
$K_{\text{S}_{\text{NO}_2^-}}^{\text{Ana}}$	5	mmol m^{-3}	Half saturation constant of NO_2^- in anammox	L ^c
$K_{\text{in}_{\text{O}_2}}^{\text{Ana}}$	8	mmol m^{-3}	Half saturation constant of DO in anammox	L ^c
r_{ODUox}	1.16×10^{-5}	s^{-1}	Maximum ODU oxidation coefficient	L ^f
$K_{\text{S}_{\text{O}_2}}^{\text{ODUox}}$	1	mmol m^{-3}	Half saturation constant of DO in ODU oxidation	L ^b
r_{ODUsolid}	0.99	s^{-1}	Part of ODU production that is deposited as a solid	L ^g
$r_{\text{C:N}}$	10.2		Ratio of carbon to nitrogen	I
w	2.31×10^{-5}	m s^{-1}	Sinking velocity	L ^h
τ_{c}	0.06	N m^{-2}	Critical bottom stress	M
E_{PON}	1×10^{-8}	$\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	Resuspension coefficient	L ^h
$D_{\text{mix}}^{\text{s}}$	1×10^{-9}	$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$	The effective surface mixing coefficient accounting for sediment mixing processes	M
Δz	3×10^{-3}	m	The thickness of the diffusive boundary layer at sediment-water interface	L ⁱ

^a Ichimi et al. (2005); ^b Soetaert et al. (1996); ^c Akbarzadeh et al. (2018); ^d Dale et al. (2011); ^e Capet et al. (2016); ^f Laurent et al. (2016); ^g Pastor et al. (2011); ^h Ding et al. (2020); ⁱ Wang et al. (2016)

(F_s) is expressed as (Wang, 2002):

$$F_s = \begin{cases} w \cdot C_s^w \cdot \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_c} - 1 \right), & \tau < \tau_c \\ E_s \cdot \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_c} - 1 \right), & \tau \geq \tau_c \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where w (m s^{-1}) is the sinking velocity of particles in the bottom water, C_s^w (mmol m^{-3}) is concentration of PON in the bottom water, τ (N m^{-2}) is the bottom stress, τ_c (N m^{-2}) is the critical bottom stress, E_s ($\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) is the resuspension coefficient.

The exchange of solutes (NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , NO_3^- , DO, and ODU) are mainly through bioturbation, bioirrigation and molecular diffusion, and the flux (F_d) is expressed as (Boudreau et al., 1998):

$$F_d = - (D_{\text{mix}}^s + D_M^s) \cdot \Phi \cdot \frac{C_d^w - C_d^{\text{pw}}}{\Delta z} \quad (14)$$

where D_{mix}^s ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$) is the effective surface mixing coefficient accounting for sediment mixing processes such as bioturbation and resuspension, D_M^s ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$) is the surface molecular coefficient, C_d^{pw} (mmol m^{-3}) is the concentration of dissolved matter in the pore water of fluff layer, Δz (m) is the thickness of the diffusive boundary layer at sediment–water interface.

At the bottom of the model domain, the slow-decayed PON is allowed to move out as the burial process with a flux (B_s) as (Radtke et al., 2019):

$$B_s = \omega \cdot (1 - \Phi) \cdot C_s^b \quad (15)$$

where superscript of b represents the deepest layer of the model domain.

The bottom fluxes (B_d) of NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , and ODU are expressed as:

$$B_d = - (D_B^b + D_M^b) \cdot \Phi \cdot \frac{C_d^b - C_d^{\text{bc}}}{\Delta z^b} \quad (16)$$

where C_d^{bc} are the concentrations in the pore water under the deepest layer of the model domain (mmol m^{-3}), whose values are given from observations.

2.4 Model configurations

The model configuration, including all initial and boundary conditions used in the simulations, is described in this section.

Since this study focuses on seasonal changes at the sediment–water interface, the sediment depth in the model was set to 10 cm and uniformly divided into 100 layers.

The initial sediment concentration profile of PON was obtained from core observations in Harima Nada in July 2020, while those of NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , and NO_3^- were derived from observations conducted in April (Nakakuni et al., 2024). The DO profile was adopted from previous observations in the

Seto Inland Sea (Sayama et al., 2002). The ODU concentration was initially set to 100 mmol m^{-3} and allowed to evolve dynamically within the model. In addition, the porosity profile was prescribed as a constant input condition throughout the simulation (Fig. S4 and Sect. S2 in the Supplement).

Monthly observations of bottom water temperature and concentrations of NH_4^+ , NO_x^- ($\text{NO}_2^- + \text{NO}_3^-$) and DO from April 2020 to April 2021 were used to prescribe the upper boundary conditions, while NH_4^+ and NO_2^- concentration beneath the model domain from April 2020 to May 2021 served as the bottom boundary conditions (Nakakuni et al., 2024). The concentration of PON and NO_2^- in the bottom water were collected from the seasonal dataset of Japanese Ministry of the Environment from January 2020 to May 2021. The bottom stress was derived from a hydrodynamic model for the Seto Inland Sea (Zhu et al., 2019) (Fig. 3). The ODU concentration in the bottom water was set to 0 mmol m^{-3} , while its concentration in the deeper sediment was assumed to be the initial value of 100 mmol m^{-3} (Soetaert et al., 1996). Forced by these boundary conditions, the sediment model simulated the nitrogen cycle changes in the sediments of Harima Nada from April 2020 to March 2021. Additionally, the model was calculated for a long time (more than 170 years) to reach a steady-state condition, wherein the input and output fluxes of materials become balanced.

3 Results

3.1 Seasonal variations of the particle and dissolved nitrogen concentrations in the sediment

The monthly concentrations of PON, NH_4^+ , DO, NO_2^- , and NO_3^- at different layers from 0 to 10 cm in the model domain are shown in Fig. 4, alongside the corresponding observed data. The comparison between the model results and the observations, especially the seasonal variations, suggests a reliable performance of the model.

The concentration of PON in the sediment was consistently greater in the surface layer compared to the underlying layers (Fig. 4a). Its seasonal variation was apparent in the upper 2 cm of sediment and almost disappears in the layers below 2 cm. In the 0–1 cm layer, the PON concentration decreased from a value of 2.43 mg g^{-1} in April to a minimum value of 2.37 mg g^{-1} in December, followed by an increase back to 2.43 mg g^{-1} in March. In the deep layer of the sediment (8–10 cm), the PON concentration remained around 2.12 mg g^{-1} throughout the year.

The NH_4^+ concentration had a minimum value at the surface and a maximum value at the deepest layer (Fig. 4b), which was contrary to the vertical distribution of PON concentrations. The NH_4^+ concentrations presented significant seasonal variations across entire sediment layers, with the range of variation increasing with depth. For example, the NH_4^+ concentration in the 0–1 cm layer presented the lowest




Figure 3. Model boundary conditions for the simulation period spanning April 2020–March 2021: (a) PON concentration, (b) NH_4^+ concentration, (c) NO_2^- concentration, (d) NO_3^- concentration, (e) DO concentration in the bottom water, (f) bottom water temperature, (g) bottom water stress, (h) NH_4^+ concentration and (i) NO_2^- concentration in the layer below model domain (10–12 cm). The extrapolation shown in panels (a) and (c) utilizes the observed values from January 2020 and May 2021 for interpolation, which are not displayed in this figure. For panels (h) and (i), monthly observations are smoothed using a three-point moving average to reduce intra-seasonal variability.




Figure 4. The seasonal variations of concentrations of (a) PON, (b) NH_4^+ , (c) DO, (d) NO_x^- ($\text{NO}_2^- + \text{NO}_3^-$), (e) NO_3^- and (f) NO_2^- in different layers in the sediment. Colours represent different depth. In all the panels, lines are model results and circles are the observation data.

value of $14.65 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ in February and the highest value of $43.79 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ in September; however, the NH_4^+ concentration in the deep layer of the sediment (8–10 cm) presented the lowest value of $106.44 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ in April and the highest value of $222.35 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ in October. Except for the

episodic high values observed in the deep sediment layer during autumn, the model successfully reproduced the seasonal variation of NH_4^+ concentration across all sediment layers, which was characterized by lower levels in winter and spring and elevated levels in summer and autumn.

The DO concentration profiles exhibited a clear vertical gradient, with higher values at the surface and lower values in deeper layers (Fig. 4c). Surface DO reached a maximum of $174.51 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ in January and decreased to a minimum of 8.70 mmol m^{-3} in August. The oxygen penetration depth (OPD) remained below 1 cm from June to October, and extended below 2 cm only during January and February.

NO_3^- was the dominant component of NO_x^- (Fig. 4d and e). From December to April, a subsurface maximum appeared at the layer of 1–2 cm, whereas during the rest of the year the highest concentrations occurred at the surface layer. Within the 0–4 cm layer, NO_3^- exhibited a pronounced seasonal pattern, with lower concentrations in summer and higher concentrations in winter. Specifically, concentrations ranged from 1.82 to 4.76 mmol m^{-3} in the 0–1 cm layer, 0.49 – 8.18 mmol m^{-3} in the 1–2 cm layer, and 0.08 – 3.58 mmol m^{-3} in the 2–4 cm layer. Below 4 cm, the NO_3^- concentrations remained close to zero throughout the year.

NO_2^- also showed the similar seasonal pattern, with lower concentrations in summer and higher concentrations in winter (Fig. 4f). The maximum value of 1.84 mmol m^{-3} occurred in January at the deepest layer. Vertically, the NO_2^- maxima alternated between the 0–2 cm layer and the 8–10 cm layer. Notably, during January to February, the maximum concentration in the 8–10 cm layer exceeded 1 mmol m^{-3} , whereas in other months the peak values remained around 1 mmol m^{-3} .

3.2 The flux of PON, DIN and N-loss (N_2 and N_2O) between bottom water and sediment

In this section, we introduce the fluxes across the sediment–water interface, including the net sinking flux of PON and the exchange fluxes of solutes driven by molecular diffusion and bioturbation. Bioirrigation is not included in these fluxes because it is represented as a source–sink term distributed in the sediment column rather than as an interface flux. Its contribution is also substantially smaller than the calculated sediment–water fluxes as shown in Sect. 3.3.

At the sediment–water interface, PON sank from the bottom water to the sediment throughout the year (Fig. 5a). The PON flux exhibited a bimodal seasonal pattern, with two peaks in July ($2.14 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) and February ($1.96 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), and a minimum in November ($1.50 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$).

DIN in the Harima Nada was released from the sediment into the bottom water throughout the year, exhibiting the highest flux of $1.37 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in September and the lowest flux of $0.58 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in February (Fig. 5b). The DIN flux and its seasonal variation given by the model exhibit a general agreement with observations (Nakakuni et al., 2024). The NH_4^+ flux dominated the seasonal variability of DIN flux, reaching a maximum of $1.44 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in September and a minimum of $0.52 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in February. In contrast, the NO_3^- fluxes were substantially smaller.

From June to November, NO_3^- was transported from the bottom water into the sediment, with a maximum influx of $0.26 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in August. From December to April, NO_3^- was released from the sediment into the overlying water, with a peak of $0.06 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in January. The NO_2^- fluxes were negligible. A slight influx into the sediment occurred in January ($0.002 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), whereas in all other months NO_2^- was released from the sediment, with a maximum of $0.02 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in August. The DO flux continuously supplies DO from the bottom water to the sediment and is the only source of DO for the sediment. It reached the highest value in September at $10.06 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ and its lowest in January at $5.37 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 5c).

N-loss is produced through denitrification and anammox inside the sediment, generating N_2 and N_2O that are released to the overlying water. The N-loss flux across the sediment–water interface reached its peak of $0.14 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in August and the lowest value of $0.03 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in March (Fig. 5d). Denitrification-derived N_2 and N_2O constituted the dominant components of N-loss flux and controlled its seasonal variability, with values ranging from 0.02 to $0.13 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$. In contrast, the N_2 production via anammox showed weak seasonal variation, remaining approximately constant at $0.01 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$. Consequently, the relative contribution of anammox to N-loss flux (ra%) was lowest in August (8%) and highest in March (41%). Although N_2 was the main product of denitrification, the flux of N_2O , a potent greenhouse gas, was not negligible. It varied from 0.19 to $1.27 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, with a mean value of $0.44 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$.

The ratio of DIN flux to PON flux ($R_{\text{DIN/PON}}$) reflects the fraction of nitrogen that sinks into the sediment and later releases back to the seawater (Fig. 5e). $R_{\text{DIN/PON}}$ increased from 39% in April to a peak of 69% in September, with the annual average of 48%. The ratio of N-loss flux to PON flux ($R_{\text{N-loss/PON}}$) represented the proportion of nitrogen removed from the sediment. The highest value of $R_{\text{N-loss/PON}}$ was 7% in August while the lowest value was 2% in May. The sum of $R_{\text{DIN/PON}}$ and $R_{\text{N-loss/PON}}$ ranged from 32% in February to 73% in September, with an annual average of 51%. It suggested that around 50% of the PON flux sinking from seawater was retained in the sediment on average, with this retention rate potentially reaching nearly 70% during certain months.

3.3 The budgets of PON, NH_4^+ , and NO_3^- in the sediment

The annual budgets for the four forms of nitrogen in the sediment of Harima Nada are estimated from the model results (Fig. 6). To facilitate comparison with the fluxes presented in the preceding sections, all fluxes and reaction rates are expressed as annual mean daily values ($\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$). The sinking of PON from bottom water was the source of PON in the sediment, quantified at $1.86 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$.




Figure 5. The fluxes of (a) PON, (b) DIN, (c) DO, (d) N-loss (N_2 and N_2O) at the sediment–water interface in the model, and (e) the ratios of DIN and N-loss fluxes to PON flux. The red circles in (b) are the values given by observations. DIN in (b) are sum of NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , and NO_3^- , whose fluxes are presented in different colour in the same panel. Positive values indicate upward fluxes from the sediment toward the overlying water, whereas negative values indicate downward fluxes into the sediment. In (d), r_a represents the proportion of the anammox in N-loss flux.

Since the primary production in the Harima Nada in 2020 was estimated to be $8.02 \text{ mmol N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Tada, 2021), it could be interpreted that about 23 % of the particles produced by primary production sank into the sediment. Within the deeper layers of the sediment, the burial rate of PON was $0.72 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, representing 39 % of the PON flux into the sediment and 9 % of the primary production. The rest $1.12 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ of PON flux into the sediment was mineralized to NH_4^+ , which became the main source of NH_4^+ in the sediment.

In addition to mineralization, NH_4^+ was also produced via denitrification and DNRA in the model, but the contributions from these two processes were considerably lower. Additionally, a flux of $0.06 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ of NH_4^+ was transferred to the deeper sediment located beneath the model domain. Furthermore, NH_4^+ has four sinks: the nitrification of NH_4^+ to NO_2^- had a rate of $0.07 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, the anammox of NH_4^+ to N_2 had a rate of $0.01 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, the upward NH_4^+ flux through the sediment–water interface was quantified at 0.93 and $0.07 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ of NH_4^+ was released from the sediment by bioirrigation.

At the sediment–water interface, NO_2^- was released into the overlying water with a flux of $0.01 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, while bioirrigation contributed less than $0.01 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$. Nitrification converted $0.06 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ of NO_2^- into NO_3^- . NO_3^- inputs from the bottom water to the sediment occurred via diffusive exchange and bioirrigation, amounting to 0.04 and less than $0.01 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, respectively. DNRA consumed less than $0.01 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ of NO_3^- , whereas den-

itrification produced $0.09 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ of N_2 and N_2O . In total, nitrogen removal processes (denitrification and anammox) generated $0.10 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ of N_2 and N_2O , corresponding to approximately 6 % of the PON sinking flux. Overall, bioirrigation is much weaker than the diffusive flux of solutes between sediments and water, and their seasonal variations shown in Fig. S5 and Sect. S3 in the Supplement.

4 Discussion

4.1 Factors affecting the seasonal variations of nitrogen inventories in the sediment

The model results show that the concentrations of PON, NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , NO_3^- and DO in the sediment exhibit apparent seasonal variations. To clarify the factors controlling these seasonal variations, we carried out seven sensitivity experiments, in which we removed the seasonal variation of one of the following seven variables by substituting its monthly means with its annual mean: PON concentration (PON^{bw}), NH_4^+ concentration (NH_4^{bw}), NO_3^- concentration (NO_3^{bw}), NO_2^- concentration (NO_2^{bw}), DO concentration (DO^{bw}), and water temperature in the bottom water, as well as bottom stress. Figure 7 shows the calculation results of the seven sensitivity experiments alongside the results described in Sect. 3 (referred to “Control” in Fig. 7).

The concentration of PON^{bw} , bottom stress and the bottom water temperature significantly influence the seasonal varia-




Figure 6. Nitrogen budgets in the sediment model of Harima Nada. All fluxes and reaction rates are expressed as annual mean daily values ($\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$).




Figure 7. Comparisons of the model results among eight calculations (seven sensitivity experiments and one control run). The sensitivity experiments include the cases removing the seasonal variations of PON concentration (dark green line, “Mean PON”), NH_4^+ concentration (light green line, “Mean NH_4^+ ”), NO_3^- concentration (dark blue line, “Mean NO_3^- ”), NO_2^- concentration (light blue line, “Mean NO_2^- ”), DO concentration (pink line, “Mean DO”) and water temperature (red line, “Mean temperature”) in the overlying water, as well as of the bottom stress (orange line, “Mean bottom stress”). (a) PON inventory; (b) NH_4^+ inventory; (c) NO_3^- inventory; (d) NO_2^- inventory; (e) DO inventory.

tion of PON inventory in the sediment (Fig. 7a). Sinking of PON^{bw} serves as the source of PON in the sediment, and its temporal variations are controlled by those in the concentration of PON^{bw} and bottom stress (Fig. 8a). The variations in bottom water temperature can change the mineralization rate in the sediment (Fig. S6a and Sect. S4 in the Supplement). Although these seasonal variations can directly affect the PON inventory, the corresponding seasonal fluctuation in PON inventory represents only a very small fraction of the total sedimentary PON pool.

Among the seven factors examined, bottom water temperature is the dominant driver of the seasonal variability in the NH_4^+ inventory (Fig. 7b) because it directly regulates the mineralization rate that produces NH_4^+ in the sediment (Fig. S6 and Sect. S4 in the Supplement). Although mineralization depends on both organic matter availability and

temperature, seasonal variations in PON supply induce only minor perturbations to the sedimentary PON reservoir in the model domain. Consequently, the amount of organic matter available for mineralization remains relatively stable on seasonal timescales. In contrast, seasonal changes in temperature substantially alter mineralization rates and therefore NH_4^+ production in the whole sediment domain. Since mineralization is the primary source of NH_4^+ in the sediment (Fig. 6), bottom water temperature ultimately exerts the strongest control on the seasonal variability of NH_4^+ inventory.

The NO_3^- inventory is primarily controlled by bottom water temperature and DO^{bw} concentration, while the influence of NO_3^- concentration is comparatively weaker (Fig. 7c). During summer, high temperatures enhance denitrification and increase NO_3^- consumption (Fig. S6d in the

Supplement). At the same time, reduced DO supply from the overlying water suppresses nitrification (Fig. S6b and c in the Supplement), limiting the replenishment of sedimentary NO_3^- . The combined effects of enhanced consumption and reduced production result in relatively low NO_3^- concentrations during summer. In winter, lower temperatures weaken denitrification, while higher DO availability promotes nitrification, allowing NO_3^- to accumulate within the sediment. Variations in $\text{NO}_3^{-\text{bw}}$ concentration can further modify sedimentary NO_3^- levels through molecular diffusion across the sediment–water interface, although their influence is smaller than that of temperature and oxygen availability. Therefore, unlike NH_4^+ , which is primarily regulated by mineralization, the NO_3^- inventory is jointly controlled by nitrification and denitrification and is consequently more sensitive to changes in sediment redox conditions. As an intermediate product of nitrification, NO_2^- is primarily controlled by DO^{bw} concentration (Fig. 7d).

Since sedimentary DO is supplied exclusively from the overlying water, DO concentrations within the sediment depend largely on DO^{bw} (Fig. 7e). In winter, lower temperatures reduce the consumption of DO through mineralization, allowing more DO to be preserved within the sediment. This also explains the deeper OPD developed in winter.

The different controlling factors identified for PON, NH_4^+ , NO_3^- and DO inventories reflect the hierarchical structure of nitrogen cycling within the sediment. PON serves as the primary nitrogen reservoir and provides the substrate for mineralization. However, because the sedimentary PON inventory is substantially larger than the seasonal variation in PON deposition, fluctuations in external PON supply only weakly affect the amount of organic matter available for decomposition. Consequently, the seasonal variability of NH_4^+ inventory is governed mainly by temperature-dependent mineralization rather than by changes in PON input. NH_4^+ produced through mineralization subsequently fuels nitrification. As an intermediate product of nitrification, NO_2^- remains at relatively low concentrations but responds sensitively to oxygen availability because its production depends directly on nitrification activity. NO_3^- is further generated through nitrification and consumed through denitrification. Therefore, the NO_3^- inventory is controlled by the balance between nitrification and denitrification and is particularly sensitive to temperature and oxygen availability. These results indicate that the seasonal variability of sedimentary nitrogen cycling is regulated through a cascade of coupled biogeochemical processes, in which different environmental factors affect different stages of the nitrogen cycle with varying strengths. Among them, temperature exerts the strongest influence because it simultaneously affects mineralization, nitrification, denitrification and molecular diffusion, thereby propagating through the entire nitrogen cycle. In contrast, variations in external PON supply primarily influence the sedimentary organic matter pool and have limited effects on downstream

nitrogen transformations because the large sedimentary PON reservoir buffers short-term fluctuations in organic matter deposition.

4.2 Factors affecting the seasonal variations in the sediment–water fluxes of nitrogen

The seasonal variation in PON flux across the sediment–water interface is primarily controlled by the PON^{bw} concentration and bottom stress (Fig. 8a). Elevated PON^{bw} concentrations enhance the downward settling flux of particulate organic matter into the sediment. In contrast, an inverse seasonal relationship is observed between the PON flux and bottom stress (Fig. 3h), indicating that stronger hydrodynamic forcing during winter and spring promotes sediment resuspension and reduces the net deposition of PON. These results suggest that the seasonal variability of PON flux is governed mainly by physical processes occurring at the sediment–water interface.

In contrast to the PON flux, the seasonal variability of NH_4^+ flux is primarily controlled by bottom water temperature (Fig. 8b). Because the NH_4^+ concentrations within the sediment are substantially higher than those in the bottom water, seasonal changes in sedimentary NH_4^+ inventory directly determine the magnitude of NH_4^+ release flux (Figs. 3b and 4b). As demonstrated in Sect. 4.1, temperature strongly regulates mineralization rates and therefore controls NH_4^+ production within the sediment. Consequently, temperature-driven changes in sedimentary NH_4^+ inventory dominate the seasonal variability of NH_4^+ flux.

The seasonal variability of NO_3^- flux is governed by a combination of bottom water temperature, DO^{bw} , and $\text{NO}_3^{-\text{bw}}$ concentration (Fig. 8c). Higher temperatures during summer enhance mineralization and increase NH_4^+ production, thereby supplying substrate for nitrification. Elevated temperatures also accelerate molecular diffusion and strengthen solute exchange across the sediment–water interface. However, oxygen depletion within the sediment during summer suppresses nitrification and maintains low sedimentary NO_3^- concentrations. This favors the diffusion of NO_3^- from the overlying water into the sediment. The relatively high $\text{NO}_3^{-\text{bw}}$ concentration during summer further enhances this downward flux. Compared with NH_4^+ and NO_3^- , the NO_2^- fluxes are much smaller but exhibit high sensitivity to bottom water temperature as well as variations in $\text{NO}_3^{-\text{bw}}$, $\text{NO}_2^{-\text{bw}}$, and DO^{bw} concentrations (Fig. 8d), reflecting the role of NO_2^- as a transient intermediate in coupled nitrification–denitrification processes.

The contrasting controls on PON and DIN fluxes reveal an important characteristic of sedimentary nitrogen cycling. Although PON deposition supplies organic matter to the sediment, seasonal changes in PON flux do not lead to proportional changes in DIN release. The depositional amount of PON on monthly timescales is several orders of magni-




Figure 8. Comparisons of the model results among eight calculations (seven sensitivity experiments and one control run). The sensitivity experiments include the cases removing the seasonal variations of PON concentration (dark green line, “Mean PON”), NH_4^+ concentration (light green line, “Mean NH_4^+ ”), NO_3^- concentration (dark blue line, “Mean NO_3^- ”), NO_2^- concentration (light blue line, “Mean NO_2^- ”), DO concentration (pink line, “Mean DO”) and water temperature (red line, “Mean temperature”) in the overlying water, as well as of the bottom stress (orange line, “Mean bottom stress”). (a) PON flux; (b) NH_4^+ flux; (c) NO_3^- flux; (d) NO_2^- flux; (e) DO flux; (f) N-loss flux; (g) ratio of DIN flux to PON flux; (h) ratio of N-loss to PON flux.

tude smaller than the existing sedimentary PON inventory and therefore represents only a minor perturbation to the sediment organic matter reservoir. This large internal reservoir buffers the influence of short-term fluctuations in organic matter deposition, causing sedimentary mineralization rates to respond much more strongly to temperature rather than to seasonal variations in PON input. As a result, sediment DIN fluxes are controlled primarily by internal biogeochemical processing rather than by immediate organic matter supply. Since the NH_4^+ flux constitutes the dominant component of DIN flux, temperature-driven mineralization ultimately becomes the principal driver of seasonal DIN release. These findings suggest that approaches estimating sediment DIN fluxes solely from PON deposition or near-bottom PON concentrations may substantially misrepresent seasonal variability if sediment biogeochemical transformations and their temperature dependence are not explicitly considered.

In addition to temperature, oxygen availability also plays a critical role in regulating nitrogen transformations within the sediment. The DO flux is also largely controlled by bottom water temperature (Fig. 8e). Temperature influences the DO flux through two pathways. First, it regulates molecular diffusion and therefore affects DO transport across the

sediment–water interface. Second, it controls oxygen consumption within the sediment through oxic mineralization and nitrification, which together account for most of the sediment oxygen demand. Seasonal changes in temperature therefore simultaneously affect both DO transport and consumption. In addition, the decline in DO^{bw} concentrations associated with summer stratification in Harima Nada reduces the concentration gradient across the sediment–water interface and further limits oxygen uptake by the sediment.

N-loss from the sediment is dominated by denitrification (Fig. 6). However, low sedimentary NO_3^- concentrations during summer limit the availability of electron acceptors for denitrification, making external NO_3^- supply increasingly important. Consequently, the enhanced downward flux of NO_3^- from the bottom water contributes directly to the summer maximum in denitrification and N-loss flux. The seasonal variability of N-loss therefore reflects controls similar to those governing the NO_3^- flux, namely bottom water temperature, DO^{bw} , and $\text{NO}_3^{-\text{bw}}$ concentration (Fig. 8f). The ratios $R_{\text{DIN/PON}}$ and $R_{\text{N-loss/PON}}$ provide useful indicators of nitrogen recycling and removal efficiency in semi-enclosed inland seas. The seasonal variation of $R_{\text{DIN/PON}}$ is mainly regulated by bottom water temperature and bottom

stress (Fig. 8g), whereas $R_{\text{N-loss/PON}}$ is controlled primarily by bottom water temperature, DO^{bw} , and $\text{NO}_3^{-\text{bw}}$ concentration (Fig. 8h).

Overall, the seasonal dynamics of nitrogen cycling at the sediment–water interface exhibit a transition from physically controlled particulate nitrogen deposition to biogeochemically controlled dissolved nitrogen recycling and nitrogen removal. These results demonstrate that the seasonal variability of sedimentary nitrogen recycling is governed primarily by internal biogeochemical processes, particularly temperature-dependent mineralization and coupled nitrification–denitrification dynamics, rather than by short-term fluctuations in organic matter deposition.

4.3 Comparisons with other coastal seas

Numerous studies have quantified nitrogen fluxes across the sediment–water interface in coastal and shelf environments, revealing substantial variability in both the magnitude and direction of individual nitrogen fluxes (Zhou et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2021; Canion et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2017; De Vittor et al., 2012; Mu et al., 2017; Rich et al., 2020; Dale et al., 2022; Bohlen et al., 2011; Ratmaya et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2022). Despite this variability, the reported fluxes can be interpreted within a common mechanistic framework. Specifically, the NH_4^+ flux is primarily controlled by the balance between sedimentary NH_4^+ production and NH_4^+ availability in bottom waters, the NO_3^- flux by the balance among nitrification, denitrification, and NO_3^- supply from the overlying water, and the N-loss flux by the availability of reactive nitrogen, particularly NO_3^- , to support denitrification. The fluxes simulated for Harima Nada are discussed below within this framework and compared with observations from other coastal environments (Table 3).

NH_4^+ is consistently released from the sediment to the overlying water in Harima Nada. This upward flux is driven by continuous mineralization of organic matter, which maintains sedimentary NH_4^+ concentrations substantially higher than those in bottom waters and thereby sustains an upward concentration gradient across the sediment–water interface. Similar upward NH_4^+ fluxes have been reported in the northern Adriatic Sea, Vilaine Bay, the Mauritanian upwelling region, and the Peruvian upwelling region (De Vittor et al., 2012; Ratmaya et al., 2022; Dale et al., 2022; Bohlen et al., 2011). In contrast, downward NH_4^+ fluxes were observed in Bohai Bay, the Yellow Sea and East China Sea, and areas off eastern Taiwan and have been associated with elevated NH_4^+ concentrations in bottom waters or relatively weak sedimentary NH_4^+ accumulation, which reduce or reverse the concentration gradient across the sediment–water interface (Mu et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2022). These observations suggest that the direction of NH_4^+ flux is generally determined by the balance between sedimentary NH_4^+ production and NH_4^+ concentrations in the overlying water.

The NO_3^- flux exhibits pronounced seasonal variability in both magnitude and direction. In Harima Nada, this variability reflects shifts in the balance among nitrification, denitrification, and NO_3^- supply from the overlying water. During summer, strong stratification promotes hypoxia and suppresses nitrification, thereby reducing in situ NO_3^- production. Meanwhile, denitrification continues to consume NO_3^- and bottom-water NO_3^- concentrations reach seasonal maxima. As a result, sedimentary NO_3^- concentrations become lower than those in the overlying water, producing a downward NO_3^- flux. In winter, improved oxygen conditions enhance nitrification and reduce NO_3^- depletion within the sediment, leading to an upward NO_3^- flux. Similar seasonal reversals have been reported in Vilaine Bay, where temporal changes in oxygen conditions and nitrogen transformation processes lead to alternating periods of NO_3^- release and uptake (Ratmaya et al., 2022). In contrast, systems such as the Mauritanian upwelling region, the Peruvian upwelling region, and areas off eastern Taiwan exhibit persistent sedimentary uptake of NO_3^- because continuous NO_3^- consumption within sediments, together with relatively high bottom-water NO_3^- concentrations, maintains a persistent concentration gradient that drives NO_3^- diffusion from the water column into the sediment (Bohlen et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2017; Dale et al., 2022). Conversely, predominantly upward NO_3^- fluxes reported in Bohai Bay and Taranto Gulf suggest that sedimentary NO_3^- production exceeds consumption, allowing porewater NO_3^- concentrations to be higher than those in bottom waters (Mu et al., 2017; De Vittor et al., 2015). These comparisons indicate that differences among coastal systems can largely be interpreted as shifts in the balance between sedimentary NO_3^- production, sedimentary NO_3^- consumption, and external NO_3^- supply.

The N-loss flux in Harima Nada accounts for approximately 6 % of the sinking PON flux and is substantially lower than values reported in many other coastal and shelf seas, including the East China Sea, Chinese marginal seas, and the Peru margin (Sun et al., 2021; Lin et al., 2017; Rich et al., 2020). Our simulations indicate that denitrification is the dominant pathway of nitrogen removal, consistent with numerous previous studies. Consequently, the relatively low N-loss flux in Harima Nada can be largely attributed to limited NO_3^- availability. Because both sedimentary and bottom-water NO_3^- concentrations remain comparatively low, denitrification is constrained by the supply of its principal reactant, resulting in reduced N_2 production. In contrast, previous studies have reported substantially higher N-loss fluxes in the sediment environments characterized by abundant organic matter inputs and greater NO_3^- availability, which support more intensive denitrification activity (Lin et al., 2017; Rich et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021). These comparisons suggest that the magnitude of N-loss reflects the combined effects of organic matter supply, oxygen availability, and NO_3^- availability. In Harima Nada, however, the relatively low NO_3^- concentrations in both sediments and bottom waters appear

Table 3. Benthic fluxes ($\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) reported in some regions; positive values represent the release from sediment to water.

Flux	Value		Location	References
NH_4^+	1.53	to 1.62	Northern Adriatic Sea	De Vittor et al. (2012)
	0.16	to 1.39	Mauritanian upwelling region	Dale et al. (2022)
	0.12	to 5.3	Vilaine Bay	Ratmaya et al. (2022)
	−0.1077	to 0.219	Areas off eastern Taiwan	Zhou et al. (2017)
	−0.71	to 0.14	Bohai Bay	Mu et al. (2017)
	−0.1	to 0.066	Yellow Sea and East China Sea	Zhou et al. (2022)
	0	to 4	Peruvian upwelling region	Bohlen et al. (2011)
	0.0867	to 0.4722	Taranto Gulf	De Vittor et al. (2015)
	0.52	to 1.44	Harima Nada	This study
NO_3^-	−0.46	to −0.26	Northern Adriatic Sea	De Vittor et al. (2012)
	−3	to −0.1	Mauritanian upwelling region	Dale et al. (2022)
	−0.62	to 0.46	Vilaine Bay	Ratmaya et al. (2022)
	−0.241	to 0.00005	Areas off eastern Taiwan	Zhou et al. (2017)
	1.43	to 2.26	Bohai Bay	Mu et al. (2017)
	−3.43	to 0.082	Yellow Sea and East China Sea	Zhou et al. (2022)
	−3.8	to −0.3	Peruvian upwelling region	Bohlen et al. (2011)
	4.8	to 8.8	Taranto Gulf	De Vittor et al. (2015)
	−0.26	to 0.06	Harima Nada	This study
N_2	2.7	to 544.3	Chinese marginal seas	Sun et al. (2021)
	28.70	to 420.49	East China Sea	Lin et al. (2017)
	0.2	to 2.8	Arctic shelf	Canion et al. (2014)
	1322.4	to 2683.2	Peru margin	Rich et al. (2020)
	0.03	to 0.14	Harima Nada	This study

to be the primary factor constraining denitrification and limiting N_2 production. In addition, the NO_2^- concentrations remain low in the sediment and are insufficient to sustain high rates of anammox. Consequently, NO_2^- availability primarily controls the seasonal variability of the relative contribution of anammox to total N-loss. During summer hypoxia, reduced NO_2^- production suppresses anammox but favours denitrification, resulting in the lowest relative contribution of anammox during this period.

Taken together, the above comparisons suggest that, despite large differences in environmental settings and flux magnitudes among coastal systems, a common set of mechanisms governs benthic nitrogen cycling. The NH_4^+ flux is primarily controlled by the balance between organic matter mineralization and NH_4^+ accumulation in bottom waters, whereas the NO_3^- flux reflects the balance among nitrification, denitrification, and external NO_3^- supply. In turn, the magnitude of N-loss is strongly constrained by NO_3^- availability because denitrification constitutes the dominant nitrogen removal pathway. These mechanisms consistently explain both the seasonal variability observed in Harima Nada and the differences reported among other coastal environments, indicating that they may represent general controls on sedimentary nitrogen cycling in coastal ecosystems.

While these results provide insights into the mechanisms controlling sedimentary nitrogen cycling in Harima Nada,

several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the model is one-dimensional and therefore does not resolve spatial heterogeneity in sediment properties, organic matter deposition, and benthic biogeochemical processes across Harima Nada. Second, direct observations of individual benthic nitrogen fluxes, including NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , NO_3^- , and N-loss fluxes, are not available for independent validation. Consequently, uncertainties remain in the quantitative estimates of individual transformation pathways despite the generally good agreement between simulations and available observations. Nevertheless, the model provides a process-based framework linking organic matter supply, oxygen availability, and bottom-water nutrient conditions to sediment nitrogen fluxes. Future studies combining direct benthic flux measurements with spatially resolved sediment biogeochemical models would help evaluate the generality of the controlling mechanisms identified here and further constrain regional nitrogen budgets.

5 Conclusions

This study quantified the seasonal variations in nitrogen fluxes across the sediment–water interface in Harima Nada using a one-dimensional sediment nitrogen cycling model and identified the key environmental factors controlling these variations. The results showed that PON deposition consis-

tently represented the dominant pathway of nitrogen input to the sediment, greatly exceeding the contribution from downward NO_3^- fluxes. The seasonal variability of PON deposition was primarily controlled by bottom-water PON concentration and bottom stress.

Although PON deposition supplied the organic matter supporting nitrogen mineralization, seasonal variations in DIN fluxes were largely decoupled from seasonal variations in PON deposition. The large sedimentary PON reservoir buffered short-term fluctuations in organic matter input, which results in only minor changes in sedimentary nitrogen inventories. Instead, temperature-dependent biogeochemical processes exerted stronger control on sediment–water DIN exchange. Continuous mineralization maintained an upward NH_4^+ flux throughout the year, and its seasonal variability was primarily regulated by bottom-water temperature through its influence on mineralization rates and sedimentary NH_4^+ inventory. In contrast, the magnitude and direction of NO_3^- flux varied seasonally under the combined influence of temperature-dependent nitrogen transformation processes and bottom-water NO_3^- concentrations. These findings suggest that the approaches estimating sediment–water DIN exchange flux solely from PON deposition, while neglecting temperature-dependent sediment processes and bottom-water nitrogen concentrations, may substantially misrepresent the seasonal dynamics of DIN fluxes.

Denitrification was identified as the dominant pathway of nitrogen removal and was primarily responsible for the seasonal variability of N-loss. Although NO_3^- was continuously regenerated within the sediment through nitrification, sedimentary NO_3^- concentrations remained relatively low under the oligotrophic conditions of Harima Nada and were frequently supplemented by NO_3^- supplied from the overlying water. Consequently, denitrification was constrained by limited NO_3^- availability, resulting in relatively low N-loss rates. This finding highlights the importance of NO_3^- availability as a key regulator of nitrogen removal in nitrogen-limited coastal environments.

Collectively, the results demonstrate that seasonal benthic nitrogen fluxes cannot be inferred solely from organic matter deposition. Instead, sedimentary nitrogen cycling is governed by the interaction among organic matter supply, oxygen availability, and bottom-water nutrient concentrations. Within this framework, organic matter deposition controls nitrogen inputs to the sediment, oxygen conditions regulate internal nitrogen transformation pathways, and bottom-water nutrient concentrations influence the magnitude and direction of sediment–water nutrient fluxes. The balance among these factors ultimately determines the seasonal variability of benthic nitrogen fluxes and nitrogen removal.

Several limitations should be acknowledged. The present model is one-dimensional and therefore does not resolve spatial heterogeneity in sediment properties and benthic biogeochemical processes. In addition, direct observations of individual benthic nitrogen fluxes and nitrogen removal rates are

still lacking in Harima Nada, introducing uncertainty into the quantitative estimates of specific nitrogen transformation pathways. Furthermore, the model was developed primarily for muddy sediments and does not explicitly account for porewater advection, which may become an important transport process in highly permeable sandy sediments. Application of the model to such environments would therefore require appropriate correction of porewater flow velocity and associated solute transport processes. Nevertheless, the model successfully reproduces the observed seasonal variations in sediment nitrogen concentrations and DIN fluxes, providing a process-based framework for understanding sedimentary nitrogen cycling in semi-enclosed inland seas dominated by muddy sediments.

Future integration of this modelling framework with long-term environmental observations will provide a valuable tool for assessing the impacts of eutrophication, de-eutrophication, and climate-driven warming on sediment nitrogen cycling, sediment–water nutrient exchange, and the capacity of coastal sediments to remove reactive nitrogen, thereby improving our understanding of long-term biogeochemical responses to environmental change in semi-enclosed coastal seas.

Code and data availability. The source code of the numerical model used in this study is available on request. Please contact the corresponding author.

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-23-6053-2026-supplement>.

Author contributions. ZW: conceptualization, methodology, software, validation, visualization, writing (original draft preparation). XG: formal analysis, methodology, resources, supervision, writing (review and editing). JS: supervision, writing (review and editing). XD: methodology, software. MN: data curation, investigation, resources. KT: data curation, investigation, resources.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. The authors bear the ultimate responsibility for providing appropriate place names. Views expressed in the text are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher.

Acknowledgements. This research was performed by the Environment Research and Technology Development Fund (JP-MEERF20255002) of the Environmental Restoration and Conservation Agency Provided by the Ministry of Environment of Japan. We thank Akihiko Morimoto and Naoki Yoshie who worked in the Center for Marine Environmental Studies, Ehime University, Japan and provided valuable suggestions for our research. Z. Wu thanks the China Scholarship Council (CSC) and the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan (MEXT) for a project on Joint Usage/Research Center, Leading Academia in Marine and Environmental Research (LaMer) for supporting his study in Japan.

Financial support. This research was performed by the Environment Research and Technology Development Fund (grant no. JP-MEERF20255002) of the Environmental Restoration and Conservation Agency Provided by the Ministry of Environment of Japan.

Review statement. This paper was edited by Jack Middelburg and reviewed by Andy Dale and two anonymous referees.

References

- Akbarzadeh, Z., Laverman, A. M., Rezanezhad, F., Raimonet, M., Viollier, E., Shafei, B., and Van Cappellen, P.: Benthic nitrite exchanges in the Seine River (France): an early diagenetic modeling analysis, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 628–629, 580–593, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.319>, 2018.
- Albert, S., Bonaglia, S., Stjärnkvis, N., Winder, M., Thamdrup, B., and Nascimento, F. J. A.: Influence of settling organic matter quantity and quality on benthic nitrogen cycling, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 66, 1882–1895, <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11730>, 2021.
- Berelson, W. M., McManus, J., Severmann, S., and Reimers, C. E.: Benthic flux of oxygen and nutrients across Oregon-California shelf sediments, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 55, 66–75, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2013.01.009>, 2013.
- Berner, R.: *Early Diagenesis: A Theoretical Approach*, Princeton, ISBN 0-691-08258-8, 1980.
- Bohlen, L., Dale, A. W., Sommer, S., Mosch, T., Hensen, C., Noffke, A., Scholz, F., and Wallmann, K.: Benthic nitrogen cycling traversing the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 75, 6094–6111, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.08.010>, 2011.
- Boudreau, B. P., Mucci, A., Sundby, B., Luther, G. W., and Silverberg, N.: Comparative diagenesis at three sites on the Canadian continental margin, *J. Mar. Res.*, 56, 1259–1284, <https://doi.org/10.1357/002224098765093634>, 1998.
- Boynton, W. R., Ceballos, M. A. C., Bailey, E. M., Hodgkins, C. L. S., Humphrey, J. L., and Testa, J. M.: Oxygen and nutrient exchanges at the sediment-water interface: a global synthesis and critique of estuarine and coastal data, *Estuar. Coast.*, 41, 301–333, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-017-0275-5>, 2017.
- Canion, A., Overholt, W. A., Kostka, J. E., Huettel, M., Lavik, G., and Kuypers, M. M.: Temperature response of denitrification and anaerobic ammonium oxidation rates and microbial community structure in Arctic fjord sediments, *Environ. Microbiol.*, 16, 3331–3344, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12593>, 2014.
- Capet, A., Meysman, F. J. R., Akoumianaki, I., Soetaert, K., and Grégoire, M.: Integrating sediment biogeochemistry into 3D oceanic models: a study of benthic-pelagic coupling in the Black Sea, *Ocean Model.*, 101, 83–100, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2016.03.006>, 2016.
- Chang, P.-H., Guo, X., and Takeoka, H.: A numerical study of the seasonal circulation in the Seto Inland Sea, Japan, *J. Oceanogr.*, 65, 721–736, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10872-009-0062-4>, 2009.
- Chen, S., Gao, D., Zhang, J., Zheng, Y., Li, X., Dong, H., Yin, G., Han, P., Liang, X., Liu, M., Müller, C., and Hou, L.: Gross nitrogen transformations in intertidal sediments of the Yangtze estuary: distribution patterns and environmental controls, *Geoderma*, 429, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2022.116233>, 2023.
- Dale, A. W., Sommer, S., Bohlen, L., Treude, T., Bertics, V. J., Bange, H. W., Pfannkuche, O., Schorp, T., Mattsdotter, M., and Wallmann, K.: Rates and regulation of nitrogen cycling in seasonally hypoxic sediments during winter (Boknis Eck, SW Baltic Sea): sensitivity to environmental variables, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 95, 14–28, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2011.05.016>, 2011.
- Dale, A. W., Clemens, D., Dähnke, K., Korth, F., Wankel, S. D., Schroller-Lomnitz, U., Wallmann, K., and Sommer, S.: Nitrogen cycling in sediments on the NW African margin inferred from N and O isotopes in benthic chambers, *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 9, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.902062>, 2022.
- De Vittor, C., Faganeli, J., Emili, A., Covelli, S., Predonzani, S., and Acquavita, A.: Benthic fluxes of oxygen, carbon and nutrients in the Marano and Grado Lagoon (northern Adriatic Sea, Italy), *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 113, 57–70, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2012.03.031>, 2012.
- De Vittor, C., Relitti, F., Kralj, M., Covelli, S., and Emili, A.: Oxygen, carbon, and nutrient exchanges at the sediment-water interface in the Mar Piccolo of Taranto (Ionian Sea, southern Italy), *Environ. Sci. Pollut. R.*, 23, 12566–12581, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4999-0>, 2015.
- Devol, A. H.: Denitrification, anammox, and N₂ production in marine sediments, *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 7, 403–423, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135040>, 2015.
- Ding, X., Guo, X., Zhang, C., Yao, X., Liu, S., Shi, J., Luo, C., Yu, X., Yu, Y., and Gao, H.: Water conservancy project on the Yellow River modifies the seasonal variation of Chlorophyll-a in the Bohai Sea, *Chemosphere*, 254, 126846, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126846>, 2020.
- Han, A., Kao, S. J., Lin, W., Lin, Q., Han, L., Zou, W., Tan, E., Lai, Y., Ding, G., and Lin, H.: Nutrient budget and biogeochemical dynamics in Sansha Bay, China: a coastal bay affected by intensive mariculture, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 126, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020jg006220>, 2021.
- Huang, F., Lin, X., Hu, W., Zeng, F., He, L., and Yin, K.: Nitrogen cycling processes in sediments of the Pearl River Estuary: spatial variations, controlling factors, and environmental implications, *CATENA*, 206, 105545, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105545>, 2021.
- Huettel, M., Berg, P., and Kostka, J. E.: Benthic exchange and biogeochemical cycling in permeable sediments, *Annu. Rev. Mar.*

- Sci., 6, 23–51, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-051413-012706>, 2014.
- Ichimi, K., Tada, K., and Montani, S.: The phytoplankton assemblage of the past and present in the Seto Inland Sea-the expectation from sediment core samples, *Aquabiology*, 27, 214–221, 2005.
- Ishii, D., Yanagi, T., and Sasakura, S.: Long-term trends in the occurrence of red tides in the Seto Inland Sea, Japan, *Oceanography in Japan*, 23, 217–236, https://doi.org/10.5928/kaiyou.23.6_217, 2014.
- Jäntti, H. and Hietanen, S.: The effects of hypoxia on sediment nitrogen cycling in the Baltic Sea, *Ambio*, 41, 161–169, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-011-0233-6>, 2012.
- Kalvelage, T., Lavik, G., Lam, P., Contreras, S., Arteaga, L., Löscher, C. R., Oschlies, A., Paulmier, A., Stramma, L., and Kuypers, M. M. M.: Nitrogen cycling driven by organic matter export in the South Pacific oxygen minimum zone, *Nat. Geosci.*, 6, 228–234, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1739>, 2013.
- Khalil, M. A. K. and Rasmussen, R. A.: The global sources of nitrous oxide, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 97, 14651–14660, <https://doi.org/10.1029/92jd01222>, 2012.
- Kuhrts, C., Seifert, T., and Fennel, W.: Modeling transport of fluff layer material in the Baltic Sea, *Hydrobiologia*, 554, 25–30, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-005-1003-x>, 2006.
- Laima, M., Maksymowska-Brossard, D., Sauriau, P.-G., Richard, P., Girard, M., Gouleau, D., and Joassard, L.: Fluff deposition on intertidal sediments: effects on benthic biota, ammonium fluxes and nitrification rates, *Biogeochemistry*, 61, 115–133, <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1020264414924>, 2002.
- Laurent, A., Fennel, K., Wilson, R., Lehrter, J., and Devereux, R.: Parameterization of biogeochemical sediment–water fluxes using in situ measurements and a diagenetic model, *Biogeosciences*, 13, 77–94, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-77-2016>, 2016.
- Lee, J.-Y., Tett, P., Jones, K., Jones, S., Luyten, P., Smith, C., and Wild-Allen, K.: The PROWQM physical–biological model with benthic–pelagic coupling applied to the northern North Sea, *J. Sea Res.*, 48, 287–331, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1385-1101\(02\)00182-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1385-1101(02)00182-X), 2002.
- Leng, Q., Guo, X., Zhu, J., and Morimoto, A.: Contribution of the open ocean to the nutrient and phytoplankton inventory in a semi-enclosed coastal sea, *Biogeosciences*, 20, 4323–4338, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-4323-2023>, 2023.
- Leynaert, A., Longphuiert, S. N., An, S., Lim, J.-H., Clauquin, P., Grall, J., Kwon, B. O., and Koh, C. H.: Tidal variability in benthic silicic acid fluxes and microphytobenthos uptake in intertidal sediment, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf S.*, 95, 59–66, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2011.08.005>, 2011.
- Lin, X., Liu, M., Hou, L., Gao, D., Li, X., Lu, K., and Gao, J.: Nitrogen losses in sediments of the East China Sea: spatiotemporal variations, controlling factors, and environmental implications, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 122, 2699–2715, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017jg004036>, 2017.
- Liu, H. and Yin, B.: Annual cycle of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in the Bohai Sea: a model study, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 27, 1399–1407, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2007.01.015>, 2007.
- Liu, S. M., Li, L. W., Zhang, G. L., Liu, Z., Yu, Z., and Ren, J. L.: Impacts of human activities on nutrient transports in the Huanghe (Yellow River) estuary, *J. Hydrol.*, 430–431, 103–110, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.02.005>, 2012.
- Liu, X., Stock, C. A., Dunne, J. P., Lee, M., Shevliakova, E., Malyshev, S., and Milly, P. C. D.: Simulated global coastal ecosystem responses to a half-century increase in river nitrogen loads, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 48, e2021GL094367, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL094367>, 2021.
- Lønborg, C. and Markager, S.: Nitrogen in the Baltic Sea: long-term trends, a budget and decadal time lags in responses to declining inputs, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf S.*, 261, 107529, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2021.107529>, 2021.
- McTigue, N. D., Gardner, W. S., Dunton, K. H., and Hardison, A. K.: Biotic and abiotic controls on co-occurring nitrogen cycling processes in shallow Arctic shelf sediments, *Nat. Commun.*, 7, 13145, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13145>, 2016.
- Mei Liu, S., Wei Li, L., and Zhang, Z.: Inventory of nutrients in the Bohai, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 31, 1790–1797, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2011.08.004>, 2011.
- Mu, D., Yuan, D., Feng, H., Xing, F., Teo, F. Y., and Li, S.: Nutrient fluxes across sediment–water interface in Bohai Bay Coastal Zone, China, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 114, 705–714, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.10.056>, 2017.
- Nakakuni, M., Yamaguchi, H., Ichimi, K., and Tada, K.: Seasonal variation in pore water nutrients and their fluxes from the bottom sediments in Harima Nada, Seto Inland Sea, *J. Oceanogr.*, 80, 219–232, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10872-024-00719-7>, 2024.
- Niemistö, J., Kononets, M., Ekeröth, N., Tallberg, P., Tengberg, A., and Hall, P. O. J.: Benthic fluxes of oxygen and inorganic nutrients in the archipelago of Gulf of Finland, Baltic Sea – effects of sediment resuspension measured in situ, *J. Sea Res.*, 135, 95–106, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2018.02.006>, 2018.
- Nishijima, W., Umehara, A., Okuda, T., and Nakai, S.: Variations in macrobenthic community structures in relation to environmental variables in the Seto Inland Sea, Japan, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 92, 90–98, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.12.051>, 2015.
- Pastor, L., Cathalot, C., Deflandre, B., Viollier, E., Soetaert, K., Meysman, F. J. R., Ulses, C., Metzger, E., and Rabouille, C.: Modeling biogeochemical processes in sediments from the Rhône River prodelta area (NW Mediterranean Sea), *Biogeosciences*, 8, 1351–1366, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-1351-2011>, 2011.
- Quick, A. M., Reeder, W. J., Farrell, T. B., Tonina, D., Feris, K. P., and Benner, S. G.: Nitrous oxide from streams and rivers: a review of primary biogeochemical pathways and environmental variables, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 191, 224–262, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.02.021>, 2019.
- Radtke, H., Lipka, M., Bunke, D., Morys, C., Woelfel, J., Cahill, B., Böttcher, M. E., Forster, S., Leipe, T., Rehder, G., and Neumann, T.: Ecological ReGional Ocean Model with vertically resolved sediments (ERGOM SED 1.0): coupling benthic and pelagic biogeochemistry of the south-western Baltic Sea, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 12, 275–320, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-275-2019>, 2019.
- Ratmaya, W., Laverman, A. M., Rabouille, C., Akbarzadeh, Z., Andrieux-Loyer, F., Barillé, L., Barillé, A.-L., Le Merrier, Y., and Souchu, P.: Temporal and spatial variations in benthic nitrogen cycling in a temperate macro-tidal coastal ecosystem: observation and modeling, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 235, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2022.104649>, 2022.
- Rich, J. J., Arevalo, P., Chang, B. X., Devol, A. H., and Ward, B. B.: Anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) and

- denitrification in Peru margin sediments, *J. Mar. Syst.*, 207, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2018.09.007>, 2020.
- Sayama, M., Sohma, A., and Takasugi, Y.: Experimental analysis of the effect of flow velocity on oxygen dynamics near the water-sediment interface in a coastal zone, *Proceedings of Coastal Engineering*, 49, 996–1000, <https://doi.org/10.2208/proce1989.49.996>, 2002.
- Soetaert, K., Herman, P. M. J., and Middelburg, J. J.: A model of early diagenetic processes from the shelf to abyssal depths, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 60, 1019–1040, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(96\)00013-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(96)00013-0), 1996.
- Sun, L., Wang, C., Yu, H., Liu, D., Houlton, B. Z., Wang, S., Zeng, X., Bai, E., Fang, Y., and Jia, Y.: Biotic and abiotic controls on dinitrogen production in coastal sediments, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 35, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021gb007069>, 2021.
- Tada, K.: Primary production, nutrients and nutrient release from bottom sediments in coastal water, *Journal of Water Environment Society of Japan*, 44, 137–141, 2021.
- Teng, Z. and Lin, X.: Sediment nitrates reduction processes affected by non-native *Sonneratia apetala* plantation in South China, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 906, 167523, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.167523>, 2024.
- Thamdrup, B.: New pathways and processes in the global nitrogen cycle, *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. S.*, 43, 407–428, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102710-145048>, 2012.
- Tong-u-dom, S., Morimoto, A., Leng, Q., and Guo, X.: Seasonal variation in the current field and development of bottom cold water in Harima-Nada, *J. Oceanogr.*, 80, 1–20, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10872-023-00702-8>, 2023.
- Tong-U-Dom, S., Morimoto, A., Guo, X., Leng, Q., Yoshie, N., Tada, K., Ichimi, K., Yamaguchi, H., and Nakakuni, M.: Lower trophic ecosystem dynamics in the eastern part of the Seto Inland Sea and their response to changes in nutrient supply from the rivers, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 239, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2025.103565>, 2025.
- Tsujino, M.: Biomass and production of macrobenthos in the Seto Inland Sea Japan, *Nippon Suisan Gakk.*, 84, 211–220, <https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.17-00042>, 2018.
- Umehara, A., Nakai, S., Okuda, T., Ohno, M., and Nishijima, W.: Benthic quality assessment using M-AMBI in the Seto Inland Sea, Japan, *Mar. Environ. Res.*, 148, 67–74, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2019.05.007>, 2019.
- Umlauf, L., Klingbeil, K., Radtke, H., Schwefel, R., Bruggeman, J., and Holtermann, P.: Hydrodynamic control of sediment-water fluxes: consistent parameterization and impact in coupled benthic-pelagic models, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 128, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023jc019651>, 2023.
- Wang, J., Zhao, L., Fan, R., and Wei, H.: Scaling relationships for diffusive boundary layer thickness and diffusive flux based on in situ measurements in coastal seas, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 144, 1–14, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2016.03.001>, 2016.
- Wang, X. H.: Tide-induced sediment resuspension and the bottom boundary layer in an idealized estuary with a muddy bed, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 32, 3113–3131, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(2002\)032<3113:Tisrat>2.0.Co;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(2002)032<3113:Tisrat>2.0.Co;2), 2002.
- Wilson, S. T., Al-Haj, A. N., Bourbonnais, A., Frey, C., Fulweiler, R. W., Kessler, J. D., Marchant, H. K., Milucka, J., Ray, N. E., Suntharalingam, P., Thornton, B. F., Upstill-Goddard, R. C., Weber, T. S., Arévalo-Martínez, D. L., Bange, H. W., Benway, H. M., Bianchi, D., Borges, A. V., Chang, B. X., Crill, P. M., del Valle, D. A., Farías, L., Joye, S. B., Kock, A., Labidi, J., Manning, C. C., Pohlman, J. W., Rehder, G., Sparrow, K. J., Tortell, P. D., Treude, T., Valentine, D. L., Ward, B. B., Yang, S., and Yurganov, L. N.: Ideas and perspectives: A strategic assessment of methane and nitrous oxide measurements in the marine environment, *Biogeosciences*, 17, 5809–5828, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-5809-2020>, 2020.
- Yamamoto, T., Orimoto, K., Asaoka, S., Yamamoto, H., and Onodera, S.-i.: A conflict between the legacy of eutrophication and cultural oligotrophication in Hiroshima Bay, *Oceans*, 2, 546–565, <https://doi.org/10.3390/oceans2030031>, 2021.
- Yang, J.-Y. T., Hsu, T.-C., Tan, E., Lee, K., Krom, M. D., Kang, S., Dai, M., Hsiao, S. S.-Y., Yan, X., and Zou, W.: Sedimentary processes dominate nitrous oxide production and emission in the hypoxic zone off the Changjiang River estuary, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 827, 154042, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154042>, 2022.
- Yi, Y., Gao, Y., Wu, X., Jia, W., and Liu, Q.: Modeling the effect of artificial flow and sediment flux on the environment and plankton of an estuary, *Int. J. Sediment Res.*, 38, 335–348, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsrc.2023.02.001>, 2023.
- Zhang, X., Zhang, Q., Yang, A., Hou, L., Zheng, Y., Zhai, W., and Gong, J.: Incorporation of microbial functional traits in biogeochemistry models provides better estimations of benthic denitrification and anammox rates in coastal oceans, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 123, 3331–3352, 2018.
- Zhang, X., Ward, B. B., and Sigman, D. M.: Global nitrogen cycle: critical enzymes, organisms, and processes for nitrogen budgets and dynamics, *Chem. Rev.*, 120, 5308–5351, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00613>, 2020.
- Zhou, F., Gao, X., Zhang, Y., Yuan, H., Song, J., Liu, K., Yang, B., and Zhuang, W.: Potential mobility of inorganic nutrients and its controls at the sediment-water interface in the main path of Kuroshio Current off eastern Taiwan, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 119, 270–276, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.04.002>, 2017.
- Zhou, N., Zhang, G. L., and Liu, S. M.: Nutrient exchanges at the sediment-water interface and the responses to environmental changes in the Yellow Sea and East China Sea, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 176, 113420, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.113420>, 2022.
- Zhu, J., Guo, X., Shi, J., and Gao, H.: Dilution characteristics of riverine input contaminants in the Seto Inland Sea, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 141, 91–103, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.02.029>, 2019.