Biogeosciences, 9, 1741–1763, 2012 www.biogeosciences.net/9/1741/2012/ doi:10.5194/bg-9-1741-2012 © Author(s) 2012. CC Attribution 3.0 License.





# Decadal variability of soil CO<sub>2</sub>, NO, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes at the Höglwald Forest, Germany

G. J. Luo<sup>1</sup>, N. Brüggemann<sup>2</sup>, B. Wolf<sup>1</sup>, R. Gasche<sup>1</sup>, R. Grote<sup>1</sup>, and K. Butterbach-Bahl<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Meteorology and Climate Research, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany <sup>2</sup>Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institute of Bio- and Geosciences – Agrosphere (IBG-3), Jülich, Germany

Correspondence to: K. Butterbach-Bahl (klaus.butterbach-bahl@kit.edu)

Received: 30 November 2011 – Published in Biogeosciences Discuss.: 19 December 2011 Revised: 16 April 2012 – Accepted: 25 April 2012 – Published: 21 May 2012

Abstract. Besides agricultural soils, temperate forest soils have been identified as significant sources of or sinks for important atmospheric trace gases (N<sub>2</sub>O, NO, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub>). Although the number of studies for this ecosystem type increased more than tenfold during the last decade, studies covering an entire year and spanning more than 1-2 years remained scarce. This study reports the results of continuous measurements of soil-atmosphere C- and N-gas exchange with high temporal resolution carried out since 1994 at the Höglwald Forest spruce site, an experimental field station in Southern Germany. Annual soil N2O, NO and CO2 emissions and CH<sub>4</sub> uptake (1994–2010) varied in a range of 0.2-3.0 kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, 6.4–11.4 kg NO-N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, 7.0– 9.2 t CO<sub>2</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, and 0.9–3.5 kg CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The observed high fluxes of N-trace gases are most likely a consequence of high rates of atmospheric nitrogen deposition  $(>20 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$  of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> to our site. For N2O, cumulative annual emissions were  $\geq 0.8 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O-N} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in years with freeze-thaw events (5 out 14 of years). This shows that long-term, multi-year measurements are needed to obtain reliable estimates of N2O fluxes for a given ecosystem. Cumulative values of soil respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes tended to be highest in years with prolonged freezing periods, i.e. years with below average annual mean soil temperatures and high N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (e.g. the years 1996 and 2006).

Furthermore, based on our unique database on trace gas fluxes we analyzed if soil temperature, soil moisture measurements can be used to approximate trace gas fluxes at daily, weekly, monthly, or annual scale. Our analysis shows that simple-to-measure environmental drivers such as soil temperature or soil moisture are suitable to approximate fluxes of NO and CO<sub>2</sub> at weekly and monthly resolution reasonably well (accounting for up to 59 % of the variance). However, for CH<sub>4</sub> we so far failed to find meaningful correlations, and also for N<sub>2</sub>O the predictive power is rather low. This is most likely due to the complexity of involved processes and counteracting effects of soil moisture and temperature, specifically with regard to N<sub>2</sub>O production and consumption by denitrification and microbial community dynamics. At monthly scale, including information on gross primary production (CO<sub>2</sub>, NO), and N deposition (N<sub>2</sub>O), increased significantly the explanatory power of the obtained empirical regressions (CO<sub>2</sub>:  $r^2 = 0.8$ ; NO:  $r^2 = 0.67$ ; N<sub>2</sub>O, all data:  $r^2 = 0.5$ ; N<sub>2</sub>O, with exclusion of freeze-thaw periods:  $r^2 = 0.65$ ).

#### 1 Introduction

Temperate forest soils are significant sources of the primarily and secondarily greenhouse gases  $CO_2$ ,  $N_2O$  and NO (Brumme and Beese, 1992; Castro et al., 1993; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 1998; van Dijk and Duyzer, 1999; Pilegaard et al., 2006; Phillips et al., 2010), and significant sinks for atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> (Borken and Brumme, 1997; Henckel et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2000; Brumme and Borken, 1999; Butterbach-Bahl and Papen, 2002; Borken and Beese, 2006). Based on an ISI search, studies on trace gas exchange between temperate forest soils and the atmosphere have increased by a factor of 12 from the decade 1990–2000 to the decade 2001–2010. Nevertheless, continuous field measurements over long time periods (>1–2 years) at high temporal resolution are still required to improve our understanding of the biogeochemical N and C turnover processes and the temporal variability of trace gas fluxes on daily to multi-year scales.

In forest soils, N trace gases are produced (and consumed) mainly by the microbiological processes nitrification and denitrification (Firestone and Davidson, 1989; Conrad, 1996). Soil microorganisms, for instance chemolithotrophic CH<sub>4</sub> oxidizers, also catalyze the uptake of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> by forest soils (Roslev et al., 1997; Schimel and Gulledge, 1998; Henckel et al., 2000). Like all other biogenic processes, the soil microbiological processes involved in soilatmosphere GHG exchange are strongly affected by a number of soil environmental factors and ecological drivers such as temperature, moisture, precipitation, soil aeration, pH or texture. Among these parameters, soil moisture and temperature are identified as key drivers for soil-atmosphere exchange in many field investigations (e.g. Gasche and Papen, 1999; Papen and Butterbach-Bahl, 1999; Borken et al., 2002; Butterbach-Bahl and Papen, 2002; Wu et al., 2010) and process studies (e.g. Schindlbacher et al., 2004). The effects of soil temperature on soil-atmosphere exchange of N<sub>2</sub>O, NO, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> are mostly direct, and increases in temperature result in increasing emissions as long as other factors are not limiting. In contrast, the effect of soil moisture is more complex. In addition to its function as a transport medium for nutrients such as  $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$ , soil water influences the rate of O<sub>2</sub> supply and thereby determines whether aerobic processes such as nitrification or anaerobic processes such as denitrification prevail within the soil (Schindlbacher et al., 2004; Pilegaard et al., 2006). However, the relative importance of a specific parameter is variable, and interactive effects of environmental drivers on soil microbial processes are highly complex, specifically if competitions of microbes with plants for nutrients, water, and nutrient leaching processes are considered. Furthermore, many of these relationships remain unexplored due to the lack of sufficiently detailed observational data.

Interannual variability of soil trace gas fluxes other than  $CO_2$  has hardly been explored, while significant interannual variations in soil CO2 fluxes have been reported earlier (Phillips et al., 2010). Specifically with regard to soil N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes recent reports about the importance of freezethaw N2O pulse emissions for cumulative annual emissions from natural and semi-natural ecosystems such as grasslands (Wolf et al., 2010) or forests (e.g. Papen and Butterbach-Bahl, 1999; Teepe et al., 2001) imply that fluxes may also vary significantly. Therefore, soil flux datasets comprising only sporadic measurements or not covering complete seasons and/or years may not be representative for a site. Longterm datasets are rare, but important to better understand environmental controls of ecosystem C and N turnover and associated exchange of C and N compounds between the biosphere and atmosphere. For example, more than ten years (1992–2004) of eddy covariance (EC) measurements at Harvard Forest demonstrated that interannual variability of CO<sub>2</sub> ecosystem fluxes are controlled by climate variability and ecosystem factors (Urbanski et al., 2007; Phillips et al., 2010). Long-term, multi-year measurements of soil CH<sub>4</sub> and N2O fluxes are much scarcer than measurements of soil and ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. For temperate forest ecosystems the time series obtained at the Solling, central Germany (Borken and Brumme, 1997; Brumme and Borken, 1999; Borken et al., 2002) started in the form of field campaigns in 1990. For the spruce site at Höglwald Forest, continuous soil trace gas flux measurements started in November 1993. Though part of the dataset has been published earlier (e.g. Papen and Butterbach-Bahl, 1999; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2010), the entire dataset - covering the period from 1994-2010 (incl. a two-year break in 1998/1999) or meanwhile 15 years of observation – has remained unpublished so far. Moreover, this dataset has never been evaluated with regard to multi-year relationships between soil environmental parameters such as soil moisture, temperature, precipitation, N deposition as measured in throughfall, or gross primary production and soil trace gas fluxes. Also inter-relationships between individual C- and N-trace gases have hardly been evaluated so far, except for the study of Wu et al. (2010), with the latter study only using less than one-third of the full dataset. Therefore the main objectives of this study are,

- 1. to summarize 15 years of continuous trace gas measurements at Höglwald Forest and to evaluate seasonal and interannual variability of fluxes,
- 2. to use the multi-year dataset to elucidate relationships between environmental parameters and soil trace gas fluxes,
- 3. to test if easy-to-measure environmental parameters such as soil moisture and soil temperature can be used to approximate trace gas fluxes at weekly, monthly or annual time scales.

# 2 Material and methods

#### 2.1 Experimental site

Höglwald Forest is situated in the temperate suboceanic broadleaf zone in the south of Germany. The region is characterized by interspersed forest in an agricultural area used for maize and cereal production and livestock breeding. Chronic atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition at a rate of 20–30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, due to emissions from livestock farming, N fertilizer use, and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from traffic and industry, resulted in N saturation of the forests in this region (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 1997; 2002). Field measurements of soil CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and NO fluxes and simultaneous monitoring of climate and soil environmental parameters were performed in an old spruce plantation (48.50° N, 11.17° E), established around 1904. The site is 540 m above sea level and the mean annual precipitation is approx. 932 mm, while the mean annual temperature is  $8.6 \,^{\circ}$ C (values for the period 2004–2010). The soil at the experimental site is a Typic Hapludalf (FAO: dystric cambisol), strongly acidified in the top soil and weakly aquic in the argillic horizon (Kreutzer, 1995). The soil pH value (measured in 0.1 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>) is 2.9–3.2 in the organic layer and 3.6–4.0 in the uppermost mineral soil layer (Kreutzer, 1995). Further information about the Höglwald Forest site can be found in Kreutzer (1995), Kreutzer and Weiss (1998), Butterbach-Bahl et al. (2002) or Wu et al. (2010).

# 2.2 Measurements of soil CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and NO fluxes

Continuous measurements of complete annual cycles of soilatmosphere trace gas fluxes started in late 1993 at the spruce site. The automated measuring systems at the Höglwald Forest sites have been described previously by (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 1997, 1998; Papen and Butterbach-Bahl, 1999; Gasche and Papen, 1999).

In brief: five chambers of the static type were used for N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> flux determinations, and five dynamic measurement chambers plus one reference chamber were used for NO and CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements. All chambers (dimensions:  $0.5 \text{ m} \times 0.5 \text{ m} \times 0.15 \text{ m}$ , length  $\times$  width  $\times$  height) consisted of aluminum frames in which perspex panes were fixed. A stainless steel frame of 2.0 cm depth, which was fixed to the frame of the chambers, was sunk into the organic layer of the soil with care in order to avoid cutting of the fine root mat. For measurements of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes the covers of the chambers were opened and closed automatically in an angle of 90° by pneumatic actuators every 60 min. Gas samples were withdrawn four times every 15 min within the 60 min closure time. The temporal resolution of flux measurements was 2h for N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. To minimize the effect of precipitation exclusion, chambers opened automatically - triggered by a rainfall sensor - in case of rain. Furthermore, chamber positions were alternated approximately on an annual basis. N2O and CH4 concentrations in the sample air were determined with a gas chromatograph (Shimadzu GC 14, Duisburg, Germany) equipped with a  $^{63}$ Ni electron capture detector (ECD). Water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> in sample air were removed by a permapure dryer and a precolumn filled with Ascarite (sodium hydroxide-coated silica), respectively. The GC was equipped with a stainless steel column packed with Hayesep N (3 m, 1/8 inch, 60/80 mesh). The oven and detector temperature was 60 °C and 340 °C. The carrier gas (N<sub>2</sub>) flow rate was kept at 20 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. Drift of the N2O/CH4 measuring system was monitored every 2 h by injecting standard gas (0.4 ppmv N<sub>2</sub>O and 4000 ppmv CH<sub>4</sub> in 79 % N<sub>2</sub> and 21 % O<sub>2</sub>, Messer Griesheim, Germany). Fluxes were calculated on basis of the linear/non-linear increase/decrease of gas concentrations with time on the basis of the Hutchinson and Moser (1981) approach, using the  $r^2$ value to judge which type of curve fitting was finally used for flux calculation. For  $CH_4$  we predominantly observed a decrease of  $CH_4$  concentrations with time, which in most cases could be best described with an exponential decay curve. Changes of N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations in the closed chambers could mostly be best described by a linear regression.

For measurements of soil NO and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, the dynamic chambers were closed for 6 min and re-opened again for 6 min. After re-opening of the measuring chambers, gas samples were drawn from the reference chamber that was sealed towards the soil. Since during a 6 min period gas samples could only be taken from one out of five chambers, the respective 12 min cycle (measuring chamber + reference chamber) was repeated five times to sample all chambers. Thus, the temporal resolution was 1 h. During sampling, ambient air was sucked at a constant rate  $(50 \text{ Lmin}^{-1})$  through the chambers by means of a sampling pump and transferred via PTFE tubing (inner diameter: 10 mm; length 20 m, internal volume: 1.6L) to the analyzers, i.e. a chemoluminescence detector for NO/NO2 (CLD 770 AL ppt and photolysis converter PLC 760, Eco Physics AG, Switzerland) and an infrared gas analyzer (BINOS 100, Rosemount, Hanau, Germany) for CO<sub>2</sub>. Calibration of the NO<sub>x</sub> analyzer was performed weekly with a multigas calibrator (Eco Physics AG, Switzerland) using standard gas (1 ppm NO in synthetic air, Messer Griesheim, Germany), which was diluted with synthetic air to a final NO concentration of 10 ppb. Determination of NO, NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations in ambient air and at the outlet of the chambers, calculation of NO fluxes and efficiency of photolytic cleavage of NO2 into NO were described in detail by Butterbach-Bahl et al. (1997). The calculation of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes was in principle similar to the calculation scheme of NO fluxes, with the difference that the CO<sub>2</sub> analyzer detected the difference between reference and measuring chambers directly. The CO2 analyzer was calibrated regularly with two reference gases (400 and 450 ppm  $CO_2$  in synthetic air, Messer Griesheim, Germany) by applying one gas simultaneously to both cuvettes of the instrument for setting the zero point, and the two gases for setting the span. All fluxes were corrected for temperature and air pressure. Detailed descriptions of the automated measuring systems including design of chambers, gas chromatographic conditions and modes of calculation of flux rates can be found in previous publications (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 1997; Gasche and Papen, 1999; Rosenkranz et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010). For calculation of cumulative annual emissions, gaps originating from instrumental failure were filled by linear interpolation between measured fluxes, if the number of total observation days per year was > 80 % (or 292 out of 365 days). Data gaps were usually not longer than 10 days (in most cases <3-5days), since equipments at the site were serviced in the first few years until 2002 2-3 times per week and thereafter at least once per week.

Gap filling of periods without measurements was done with the regression models provided in Table 2. Since for  $N_2O$  a robust regression model could not be found, also due to e.g. high pulse emissions during freeze-thaw periods, we used shorter term periods of 4–5 weeks before and after measurement breakdown to derive individual regression models for gap filling.

Net ecosystem exchange of carbon dioxide, momentum, latent and sensible heat was quantified with the eddy covariance method since August 2005. The instrumental setup consisted of an open-path infrared absorption analyzer (LI-7500, LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) and an ultrasound anemometer (CSAT-3, Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA), mounted close to each other on top of a 50 m tower, approx. 10 m above the forest canopy. Incoming global radiation was measured with a star pyranometer (GLOBAL 8101, UMS München, Munich, Germany). Net radiation was determined at the same height with a pyrradiometer (BILANZ 8111, UMS München, Munich, Germany). The calculation and correction of turbulent fluxes were based on the EUROFLUX methodology (Aubinet et al., 1999). Gap filling and flux partitioning was performed by the "Online Eddy-Covariance Data Gap-Filling and Flux-Partitioning Tool" (Reichstein et al., 2005) including u\*correction to replace unreliable data due to low turbulent conditions.

#### 2.3 Soil environmental and climate measurements

Daily precipitation and air temperature at 2 m above ground level from 1994 to 2010 were obtained from the German Weather Service station Augsburg-Mühlhausen, which is about 20 km northwest of the Höglwald Forest site. Soil temperatures at various depths (organic layer, 5, 10, 15 and 20 cm) were measured every minute by PT100 probes (IMKO GmbH, Germany) in close vicinity to the chambers. Hourly soil moisture measurements were carried out with horizontally installed TDR probes (IMKO GmbH, Germany, or UMS, Germany) at 10 cm soil depth from 1994 to 2010. To harmonize soil moisture measurements by different sensors we analyzed periods during which soils were water saturated (i.e. spring period) and corrected for the off-set between the sensors.

Due to instrumental failure and removal of the soil moisture sensors, in situ soil moisture measurements from July 1996 to August 1999 and from September 2004 to December 2006 were not available. To fill these gaps, a machinelearning technique – support vector machine (SVM) – was employed. The SVM method was based on a statistical learning algorithm and had been successfully applied to bioinformatics, data mining, surface temperature prediction, and hydrology. The fundamental principle of SVM and its formulation were described in detail by Kecman (2001). Daily meteorological input data, required as model drivers, i.e. minimum and maximum temperature, mean relative humidity, total precipitation, sunshine duration, mean degree of cloud cover, mean wind force from 1994 to 2010, were either obtained from continuous measurements at the Höglwald Forest site or from the German Weather Service station Augsburg-Mühlhausen. Since soil moisture was not only determined by observations of the very day, meteorological variables were aggregated to different additional cumulative sums (i.e. 1, 2, 5, 10, 15, and 30 days previously) for creating a training data set. The best 13 variables, which could explain more than 93% of the variance in the data set, were used to predict soil water content for the years when soil moisture was missing. To train the support vector machines, the R-package e1071 was used (Dimitriadou et al., 2011; R Development Core Team, 2011). Volumetric water contents were converted to water filled pore space (WFPS). This was done by dividing volumetric water content by total porosity, with total porosity being calculated as [1–(bulk density/particle density)]\*100 % (bulk density in topsoil:  $1.033 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$  and particle density:  $2.65 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ ).

To fill observation gaps (17% missing data) for in-situ soil temperature measurements we used air temperature values as measured at the climate station at Augsburg in conjunction with measured/gap-filled soil moisture data from the Höglwald site using the following equation:

Soil temperature [5 cm soil depth]	(1)
= $10.095 + 0.557^*$ air temperature [°C]	
$-0.235^*$ soil moisture [WFPS in %], $r^2 = 0.74$ , $p < 0.74$	0.001

### 2.4 Regression models for trace gas flux calculation

Long-term observations of soil trace gas fluxes are extremely costly. Therefore, using simple though site specific empirical models based on easy-to-measure soil environmental or meteorological parameters for estimating annual fluxes is an appealing approach for estimating annual emissions. To develop and assess empirical models on daily, weekly and monthly time scales data were aggregated (gap-filled and non-gap-filled data) and split randomly into two subsets. One of them with about 50 % data of the entire dataset was used to determine model parameters using multivariate linear regression (first order), while the other half of the dataset was used to validate the obtained empirical model. Different models were compared according to their explanatory power and the explanatory variables (soil temperature, water filled pore space, precipitation, or air temperature and, in addition at monthly scale, gross primary productivity and N deposition via throughfall) were selected stepwise according to their importance. In addition, the under- or overestimation of the mean flux was compared to the measured mean flux. To avoid non-linearity and non-normality of the residuals and to reinforce the prerequisites for multivariate linear regression, the logarithm-transformed fluxes were used in the regression models. This transformation resulted in a normal distribution of measured values. To avoid negative values we added an offset of  $+20 \mu g N$  for N<sub>2</sub>O and plus  $30 \mu g C$  for CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes prior to transformation.



Fig. 1. Comparison of measured and modeled values of volumetric water content at 10 cm depth from 1994 to 2010. Simulation of soil volumetric water content was done using a support vector machine modeling approach.

#### 2.5 Statistical analysis

Linear and nonlinear regression analysis and Pearson Correlation were used to identify significant positive or negative relations between trace gas fluxes and environmental drivers. Significance of differences was either tested by using Fstatistics in ANOVA (analysis of variance) or by using a parametric T-test (SPSS 10, SPSS Inc.). In multivariate linear regression analysis, the co-linearity diagnostics, homoscedasticity, normality of residuals, and the Durbin-Watson test for autocorrelation were included.

#### **3** Results

#### 3.1 Soil moisture modelling

Measured and simulated soil volumetric water contents were compared from 1994 to 2010 in order to validate the performance of SVM regression modeling. Fig. 1 shows that the SVM approach was able to capture the seasonal dynamics of changes ( $r^2 = 0.83$ , n = 3855). The magnitudes of simulated soil volumetric water contents [in %] were in good agreement (RMSE=1.26 %) with measured values at 10 cm soil depth at the spruce site, though simulated values generally tended to underestimate measured soil moisture values by <1% in accordance with the fitted curve (Fig. 1).

#### **3.2** Variability of environmental drivers

Following gap filling and harmonization of soil moisture measured by different sensors in different periods we calculated annual mean temperature, annual mean soil moisture, and annual cumulative precipitation (Table 1). As shown in Table 1, soil temperature, soil moisture, and precipitation had a pronounced interannual variability. For instance, annual precipitation in the relatively dry years 1997 and 2003 was much lower than in the relatively wet years 2000 and 2002, leading to a large range of annual precipitation (627-1265 mm). The mean value of 932 mm for the whole observation period 1994–2010 was approx. 80 mm higher than the long-term average (850 mm) value for the period 1951 to 1980 (Kreutzer and Weiss, 1998). Maximum soil moisture values were observed in early spring, due to snow melt, soil thawing, and spring rains (Figs. 2 and 3). 2003 was an exceptionally dry year with only 633 mm of rainfall. In this year mean annual soil moisture in 10 cm soil depth was 26.1 %, i.e. approx. 4 % lower than the mean for the entire



**Fig. 2.** Weekly averaged values of environmental parameters (upper panel: precipitation (black bars), temperature (red line: soil temperature, red dashed line: air temperature), soil moisture (black line) and trace gas fluxes (second panel to lowest panel:  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , NO,  $N_2O$ ) at the Höglwald Forest spruce site for the years 1994 to 2010. Error bars for fluxes are representing the standard error of the mean of hourly data.

observation period. The mean air temperature was  $8.6 \,^{\circ}$ C in our study period (1994–2010), which was  $1 \,^{\circ}$ C higher as compared to the long-term average (1951–1980; Kreutzer and Weiss, 1998).

# 3.3 Seasonal and interannual dynamics of trace gas fluxes

Subdaily measured fluxes were stepwise averaged to daily, weekly, monthly, and annual average values. The annual mean soil-atmosphere trace gas exchange was calculated based on the subdaily measurements. Our analysis revealed substantial seasonal and interannual variation (Figs. 2 and 3). Over the entire observation period the soil of the Höglwald spruce forest functioned as a sink for atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub>. Highest mean monthly uptake rates of  $20-30 \ \mu g \ CH_4-C \ m^{-2} \ hr^{-1}$  were usually observed at the end of summer or in autumn (Fig. 3). Seasonal patterns of soil N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were characterized by pulse emission events, which were mostly associated with spring soil thawing (2–10 weeks) following long soil freezing periods. Therefore, highest mean monthly N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were observed in February and March with average monthly mean values being in the range of 25–35  $\mu g \ N_2 O-N \ m^{-2} \ hr^{-1}$  (Fig. 3). A seasonal, temperature-driven variation in the magnitude of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes was also evident, but less pronounced. In contrast to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with late winter maximum values, the seasonal patterns of NO and CO<sub>2</sub> soil-atmosphere exchange followed the seasonal course of soil temperature, with the maximum

Year	Soil temperature [°C]	Air temperature [°C]	Soil moisture [vol %]	Precipitation [mm]
1994	$9.1 \pm 0.4$	$10.1 \pm 0.4$	$33.0 \pm 0.2$	1006
1995	$7.2 \pm 0.3$	$7.9 \pm 0.4$	$33.0 \pm 0.2$	997
1996	$5.7 \pm 0.3$	$6.1 \pm 0.4$	$32.3 \pm 0.1$	778
1997	$6.9 \pm 0.2$	$9.4 \pm 0.4$	$29.1\pm0.2$	627
1998	n.a.	$8.9 \pm 0.4$	$30.2 \pm 0.2$	952
1999	$6.6 \pm 0.4$	$8.8 \pm 0.4$	$29.0\pm0.2$	1052
2000	$6.9 \pm 0.2$	$9.4 \pm 0.4$	$30.0 \pm 0.1$	1135
2001	$5.9 \pm 0.2$	$8.6 \pm 0.4$	$29.6\pm0.2$	1047
2002	$8.7 \pm 0.2$	$9.1 \pm 0.4$	$30.4 \pm 0.1$	1265
2003	$8.6 \pm 0.3$	$8.9 \pm 0.5$	$26.1\pm0.2$	633
2004	$11.9\pm0.4$	$8.4 \pm 0.4$	$29.3\pm0.2$	754
2005	$11.9\pm0.4$	$8.0 \pm 0.4$	$30.5 \pm 0.1$	1067
2006	$7.3 \pm 0.3$	$8.7 \pm 0.4$	$29.4\pm0.2$	908
2007	$7.9 \pm 0.2$	$9.4 \pm 0.4$	$30.5 \pm 0.1$	986
2008	$8.0 \pm 0.3$	$9.1 \pm 0.4$	$29.6\pm0.1$	816
2009	$7.4 \pm 0.3$	$8.6 \pm 0.4$	$28.0\pm0.1$	834
2010	$7.2 \pm 0.3$	$7.5 \pm 0.4$	$29.5\pm0.1$	984
Mean	$8.0 \pm 0.5$	$8.6 \pm 0.2$	$30.0 \pm 0.4$	$932 \pm 42$

Table 1. Annual means ( $\pm$ SE) of soil temperature (5 cm depth), air temperature, soil moisture (10 cm depth), and cumulative precipitation.

n.a.: not available due to the removal of the sensors.

Table 2. Regressions used at daily time scale for gap-filling periods without measurements.

		$r^2$	р
CO <sub>2</sub>	$CO_2$ flux $[mg C m^{-2} h^{-1}] = -17.33 + 7.2^*T + 1.1^*WFPS$	0.43	< 0.001
NO	NO flux $[\mu g N m^{-2} h^{-1}] = 18.19 + 7.60^{*}T + 0.66^{*}WFPS$	0.27	< 0.001
$CH_4$	CH <sub>4</sub> flux $[\mu g C m^{-2} h^{-1}] = -35.97 - 0.42^*T + 0.667^*WFPS$	0.10	< 0.001
$N_2O$	For N <sub>2</sub> O individual soil moisture/soil temperature regression		
	models were developed using data 4-5 weeks before and		
	after breaks in measurements		

of mean NO and  $CO_2$  emission rates in July and August, respectively. A notable finding was that monthly mean  $CO_2$ emissions also slightly increased in February as compared to mean fluxes in January and March, due to an increased soil microbial activity during the period of soil thawing in some years (Fig. 3). NO fluxes were on average approximately one order of magnitude higher than N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (Figs. 2, 3; Table 3) during most of the observation period.

The pronounced interannual variability in meteorological parameters was also mirrored by an evident interannual variability in soil trace gas fluxes (Table 3). Annual soil emission of N<sub>2</sub>O, NO, and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes varied between 1994 and 2010 in a range of 0.2–3.0 kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, 6.4–11.4 kg NO-N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, and 7.0–9.2 t CO<sub>2</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The mean annual emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O, NO, and CO<sub>2</sub> from the Höglwald Forest soil for the years 1994–2010 were  $0.8 \pm 0.2$  kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (±SE), 7.89 ± 0.7 kg NO-N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, and 7.91 ± 0.3 t CO<sub>2</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Compared to NO and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, large interannual fluctuations of N<sub>2</sub>O were observed due to peak emissions during spring thawing after long frost periods in 1996, 1997,

2005, 2006, and 2009. This meant that out of 15 observational years significant freeze-thaw pulses of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were observed only in five years. We also found a strong tendency that the length of the frost period was positively correlated to the magnitude of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Fig. 4). A quadratic fit between the duration of frost and the cumulative emission was found. Annual atmospheric uptake of CH<sub>4</sub> ranged from 0.9 to  $3.5 \text{ kg CH}_4\text{-}\text{C}\,\text{ha}^{-1}\,\text{yr}^{-1}$ . The mean annual uptake rate of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> was  $1.86 \pm 0.2 \text{ kg CH}_4\text{-}\text{C}\,\text{ha}^{-1}\,\text{yr}^{-1}$ . Highest CH<sub>4</sub> uptake was observed in 1997 ( $3.5 \text{ kg CH}_4\text{-}\text{C}\,\text{ha}^{-1}\,\text{yr}^{-1}$ ), a year with low annual precipitation – the rate of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> uptake was only  $0.9 \text{ kg CH}_4\text{-}\text{C}\,\text{ha}^{-1}\,\text{yr}^{-1}$ .

#### **3.4** Environmental controls over trace gas fluxes

Soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were significantly positively correlated (Figs. 5 and 6) with soil temperature (5 cm), whereas they were only weakly negatively correlated with soil moisture



**Fig. 3.** Average seasonal variability of both environmental drivers and trace gas fluxes for the observation period 1994–2010. Top panel: monthly means ( $\pm$ SE) of air- and soil- temperature (5 cm), soil moisture (10 cm) and the average sum of monthly precipitation. Lower panel: Monthly means of trace gas fluxes ( $\pm$ SE). All SE values were calculated from monthly mean values.

Table 3. Annual soil trace gas fluxes of CO2, CH4, N2O, and NO for the individual observation years.

V		CO <sub>2</sub>	$[t C ha^{-1}]$	<b>yr</b> <sup>-1</sup> ]			NO [k	$sg N ha^{-1}$	$yr^{-1}$ ]			N <sub>2</sub> O [	kg N ha <sup>-</sup>	<sup>1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ]			CH <sub>4</sub> [k	$g C ha^{-1}$	<b>yr</b> <sup>-1</sup> ]	
rear	N	М	F	NL	L	N	М	F	NL	L	Ν	М	F	NL	L	Ν	М	F	NL	L
1994	.n.a	.n.a	.n.a	.n.a	.n.a	357	6.4	6.4	8.9	9.0	345	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.8	352	-1.6	-1.6	-0.4	-0.3
1995	287	.n.a	(11.8)	(8.2)	(7.6)	342	8.5	8.7	8.4	7.1	358	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.8	360	-2.8	-2.8	-0.4	-0.3
1996	355	9.2	9.1	7.3	6.7	350	9.0	9.0	7.5	6.3	343	3.0	2.9	0.5	0.8	340	-1.8	-1.8	-0.4	-0.3
1997	362	7.8	7.8	7.3	6.8	359	7.6	7.7	7.9	6.5	346	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.6	341	-3.5	-3.4	-0.4	-0.4
2000	164	.n.a	(6.5)	(7.5)	(6.8)	285	.n.a	(12.9)	(7.9)	(6.4)	304	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.6	281	.n.a	(-1.4)	(-0.4)	(-0.4)
2001	188	.n.a	(7.6)	(6.8)	(6.3)	270	.n.a	(8.2)	(7.3)	(6.0)	329	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6	324	-1.5	-1.5	-0.4	-0.4
2002	.n.a	.n.a	.n.a	.n.a	.n.a	277	.n.a	(6.5)	(9.3)	(7.8)	343	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.7	316	-0.9	-1.0	-0.5	-0.4
2003	.n.a	.n.a	.n.a	.n.a	.n.a	209	.n.a	(10.3)	(8.6)	(7.4)	339	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.5	309	-1.9	-1.9	-0.5	-0.4
2004	299	7.0	7.6	8.7	10.8	314	9.1	9.4	9.6	10.3	296	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.7	299	-1.9	-1.9	-0.5	-0.4
2005	334	7.9	8.0	9.3	10.2	322	6.7	7.1	9.9	9.6	343	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.7	345	-1.5	-1.5	-0.5	-0.5
2006	331	8.4	8.4	7.9	7.4	263	.n.a	(11.3)	(8.4)	(7.0)	264	.n.a	(3.2)	(1.6)	(0.7)	267	.n.a	(-1.8)	(-0.4)	(-0.4)
2007	228	.n.a	(7.4)	(8.7)	(7.9)	263	.n.a	(7.2)	(9.0)	(7.5)	295	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.7	253	.n.a	(-1.7)	(-0.5)	(-0.5)
2008	237	.n.a	(6.6)	(8.2)	(7.7)	242	.n.a	(7.6)	(8.7)	(7.3)	338	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.6	304	-1.3	-1.3	-0.4	-0.4
2009	297	7.2	7.2	7.6	7.3	214	.n.a	(8.3)	(8.3)	(7.1)	320	1.0	0.9	0.4	0.6	288	.n.a	(-1.1)	(-0.4)	(-0.4)
2010	285	.n.a	(9.0)	(7.6)	(7.2)	295	11.4	10.5	8.1	6.9	267	.n.a	(0.4)	(0.5)	(0.7)	260	.n.a	(-0.8)	(-0.5)	(-0.5)
Mean		7.91	8.01	8.02	8.20		7.89	8.39	8.61	7.95		0.80	0.80	0.44	0.67		-1.86	-1.86	-0.42	-0.39
$(\pm SE)$		$\pm 0.3$	$\pm 0.3$	$\pm 0.3$	$\pm 0.7$		$\pm 0.7$	$\pm 0.5$	$\pm 0.3$	$\pm 0.6$		$\pm 0.2$	$\pm 0.2$	$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 0.02$		$\pm 0.2$	$\pm 0.2$	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$
Mean*			8.13	7.99	7.74			8.74	8.52	7.47			0.93	0.51	0.65			-1.69	-0.44	-0.41
(±SE)			$\pm 0.4$	$\pm 0.2$	±0.3			$\pm 0.5$	$\pm 0.2$	$\pm 0.3$			$\pm 0.2$	$\pm 0.07$	$\pm 0.02$			$\pm 0.2$	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$

N: number of days per year with valid observations. M: measured annual fluxes (gap-filled using linear interpolation) when N>292 80% of a year (365 days). F: annual fluxes after linear regression gap filling at daily time scale (Table 2). NL and L: annual fluxes as calculated by the developed non-linear (NL) or multi-linear (L) regression models on daily time scale as given in Table 4. Mean ( $\pm$ SE): mean of all measuring years for years with number of measuring days N>292days. Mean\* ( $\pm$ SE): mean of all measuring years including years with number of measuring days N<292days. n.a.: not available either due to missing.



**Fig. 4.** Example for the temporal dynamics of daily  $N_2O$  emissions in a year with freeze-thaw pulse  $N_2O$  emissions (year 2006). The gray bar indicates the frost period (soil temperature at 5 cm <0 °C). Daily air temperature (2 m) and soil temperature (5 cm), soil moisture (10 cm) and the sum of precipitation are shown. The insert shows the cumulative  $N_2O$  fluxes during and immediately following the freeze-thaw period in years with significant freeze-thaw  $N_2O$  emission pulses.

(10 cm). Figure 7a showed that highest fluxes were observed during warm and wet periods.

The individual effects of soil temperature and soil moisture on CH<sub>4</sub> uptake were generally weak ( $r^2 < 0.1$ ). An exponential regression could explain best the soil temperature-CH<sub>4</sub> uptake relationship, while the relationship of soil moisture to CH<sub>4</sub> uptake was described best with quadratic function (Figs. 5 and 6). Figure 7b showed that highest rates of CH<sub>4</sub> uptake were observed under warm and dry conditions.

NO emission was positively correlated with soil temperature, being the better predictor than soil moisture. Lorentzian function was used here to describe the relationship between soil moisture and NO fluxes (Figs. 5 and 6), while between soil temperature and NO fluxes the relationship was best described by an exponential function. Interactive effects of soil temperature and soil moisture on the fluxes showed that NO fluxes were highest when the temperature was high (>15 °C) but the soil moisture was in the range of 24–30 vol % (Figs. 5, 6, 7d).

Similar to CH<sub>4</sub>, the correlations between N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and both drivers were weak but significant ( $r^2 \le 0.1$ ) (Figs. 5 and 6). Generally, both increase in soil moisture and soil temperature led to an increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emission, though both effects were clearly biased due to high N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during freeze-thaw events. However, after excluding the freeze-thaw periods for data analysis, the  $r^2$  value of the soil moisture-N<sub>2</sub>O relationship increased to more than 0.1 (data not shown), and the  $r^2$  value of the soil temperature-N<sub>2</sub>O relationship increased to more than 0.2. The dominant environmental factor affecting the magnitude of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes was soil moisture for soil temperatures  $<3^{\circ}$ C. The highest fluxes were observed for cold/moist or warm/moist environmental conditions (Fig. 7c).

Figure 8 demonstrates how monthly NO:N<sub>2</sub>O ratios depended on soil moisture and soil temperature. The dominant environmental factor affecting the ratio was soil moisture rather than soil temperature, especially for periods with soil temperature >10°C and soil moisture <26 vol%. Higher ratios of NO fluxes to N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were found for drier and warmer environmental conditions. In contrast, the smallest ratio was found for cool and wet conditions.

The CO<sub>2</sub>:N<sub>2</sub>O ratio was highest for warm/dry conditions. For cool/wet conditions low soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes but high N<sub>2</sub>O emissions resulted in the lowest CO<sub>2</sub>:N<sub>2</sub>O ratio. CO<sub>2</sub>:NO ratios were highest when soil temperature was > 12 °C and soil moisture was > 34 vol %. Figure 8c shows that at soil temperatures < 10 °C, or if soil temperature was > 10 °C and soil moisture was < 32 vol %, the CO<sub>2</sub>:NO ratio was close to 1, which means that under these conditions CO<sub>2</sub>-C emissions were approx. 1000 times higher than NO-N.

# 3.5 Regression models for approximating trace gas fluxes

On daily and weekly time scales, the tested variables included soil temperature (organic layer, 5 cm, 10 cm 15 cmand 20 cm soil depth), soil moisture (WFPS at 10 cmsoil depth), air temperature at 2 m above ground (average,



**Fig. 5.** Dependency of soil trace gas emissions on soil temperature (in 5 cm soil depth for  $CO_2$  and  $N_2O$ , in 10 cm soil depth for NO, and in 15 cm soil depth for  $CH_4$ ). For this analysis monthly mean values as obtained for the period 1994–2010 were used.  $N_2O$ : freeze-thaw periods were excluded.

maximum, and minimum air temperature), and precipitation. On monthly time scales we also included gross primary productivity and N deposition as measured in the throughfall in our analysis. The results of the multiple linear and (only at daily scale) non-linear regression analyses for predicting soil-atmosphere fluxes of N<sub>2</sub>O, NO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> due to changes in environmental drivers are given in Tables 4, 5 and 6.

For daily datasets, nonlinear regression didn't show increased explanatory power (Table 4) except for N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes during freeze and thaw periods (week <=16). For all datasets the models had high explanatory power for NO and CO<sub>2</sub>



Fig. 6. Dependencies of soil trace gas emissions on soil moisture (at 10 cm soil depth). For this analysis monthly mean values as obtained for the period 1994–2010 were used. N<sub>2</sub>O: freeze-thaw periods included.

fluxes (accounting for up to 81% of the variance at monthly scale; Tables 4, 5 and 6), specifically when also gross primary production was considered beside soil moisture and soil temperature. However, for N2O and CH4 we only found rather weak (N<sub>2</sub>O:  $r^2$  values up to 0.5 for monthly data, when N deposition was considered) or no meaningful correlations (CH<sub>4</sub>, weekly data;  $r^2 = 0.1$ ) (Tables 4, 5 and 6). However, for monthly mean N2O fluxes, correlations were highest when in addition to soil moisture and soil temperature also N deposition in throughfall and gross primary production were considered (Table 6). The performance of the obtained simple empirical models was validated by using these models to predict GHG fluxes based on the remaining observational data. Monthly soil fluxes were on average underestimated by 3.2% with regard to CO<sub>2</sub>, 14% with regard to NO and 5% with regard to N<sub>2</sub>O if empirical relationships were used to approximate fluxes (Table 6, Fig. 9).



**Fig. 7.** Conjunct effects of soil moisture (in 10 cm soil depth) and temperatures (in 5 soil depth) on monthly means of soil trace gases fluxes (a)  $CO_2$ ; (b)  $CH_4$ ; (c)  $N_2O$  fluxes; note that for the analysis of  $N_2O$  fluxes freeze-thaw periods were excluded; (d) NO fluxes. Prior to the calculation of contour lines, data were smoothed with the Loess algorithm (sampling proportion = 0.3–0.5).

All soil trace gas fluxes correlated strongly (NO and  $CO_2$ ) or weakly (CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O) with soil temperature, though best fits for individual trace gases differed with regard to the measuring depth of soil temperature. For example, soil CO<sub>2</sub> and NO emissions were positively correlated with surface layer temperature (either measured at 2 cm [organic layer] or 5 cm soil depth). In contrast, for soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission and atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> uptake, soil temperatures measured at deeper soil depths (20 cm and 15 cm, data not shown) were best to explain temporal variations in fluxes.

#### 4 Discussion

### 4.1 Comparison with other studies

# 4.1.1 CO<sub>2</sub>

Soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements started in May 1995 and were performed continuously, except for the period January 1998 to June 1999 and the years 2002 and 2003, with subdaily resolution. The obtained multi-year dataset on CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes and fluxes of N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub> and NO – for which even more observation years are available – is to our knowledge unique. Only a few studies covered so many trace gas species, and none of the published datasets lasted such a long period of time. For instance, continuous automatic chamber measurements of soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (Griffis et al., 2004), covering a 7-year period (1994 and 1996–2001), were made at an old aspen forest site in Saskatchewan, Canada, resulting in an overall mean value of 13.15 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. For the Harvard Forest, USA, Phillips et al. (2010) published a 4-year dataset for the period 2003-2007, using eight automated chambers. Average values of soil respiration were  $8.19 \text{ t C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at the upland site and  $6.27 \text{ t} \text{ C} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at a wetland margin. Wang et al. (2010) reported on soil respiration and its relationship to soil carbon content, forest floor mass, root biomass, soil temperature, and soil moisture at three different temperate forest sites in the Changbai Mountains, northeastern China. Average annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux over a measuring period of three years (2003-2005) at a site with mid-aged, broad-leaved secondary forest was  $14.8 \pm 0.6$  t CO<sub>2</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> and, thus, significantly higher as compared to a young coniferous plantation site  $(8.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ t CO}_2\text{-C ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1})$  or an old-growth, mixed coniferous and broad-leaved primary forest ( $9.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ t CO}_2$ - $C ha^{-1} year^{-1}$ ). These long-term measurements of soil respiration as well as observational data from other temperate forest sites worldwide (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992; Raich and Tufekcioglu, 2000; Savage and Davidson, 2001; Rosenkranz



**Fig. 8.** Conjunct effects of soil moisture (in 10 cm soil depth) and temperature (in 5 cm soil depth) on monthly flux ratios of (**a**) NO:N<sub>2</sub>O; (**b**) CO<sub>2</sub>:N<sub>2</sub>O; (**c**) CO<sub>2</sub>:NO. Note that CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were divided by 1000 for this analysis. Prior to the calculation of contour lines, data were smoothed with the Loess algorithm (sampling proportion = 1).

et al., 2006) showed that the observed soil respiration fluxes at the Höglwald Forest spruce site  $(7.0-9.2 \text{ t C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$ ; mean: 7.91 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) are at the lower end of the reported ranges for soil respiration.

Our long-term measurements of soil respiration also showed that soil respiration rates varied largely from year to year by >30%. Highest annual soil respiration (>9 t CO<sub>2</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) was found for the year 1996, which was the year with the lowest mean annual soil temperature (5.7 °C), longest continuous soil freezing period (approx. 2 months), and annual rainfall (778 mm) which was approx. 20 % below the average of the entire observation period. The lowest annual soil respiration rate (approx.  $7 \text{ t CO}_2\text{-C} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) was observed for the year 2004, the year with the highest mean annual soil temperature (11.9 °C), but also approx. 20 % lower annual rainfall (754 mm) as compared to the entire observation period (932 mm). These patterns suggest, that in cold years freeze-thaw events seem to stimulate soil carbon

**Table 4.** Results from linear (log-transformed date) and non-linear regression analysis of soil N<sub>2</sub>O [ $\mu$ g N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>], NO [ $\mu$ g N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>], CO<sub>2</sub> [mg C m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>] and CH<sub>4</sub> [ $\mu$ g C m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>] fluxes on daily scale. Due to pulse N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during freeze-thaw periods we calculated regressions separately for periods during which freeze-thaw may occur (week  $\leq 16$ ).

	Nonlinear Regression function	$R^2$	Р
CO <sub>2</sub>	$F = 7.28E09^* e^{-0.5} \left[ \left( \frac{T - 15.51}{8.48} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{WFPS - 2829.04}{467.12} \right)^2 \right]$	0.51	< 0.001
NO	$F = 6.03E03^* e^{-0.5} \left[ \left( \frac{T - 17.22}{9.96} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{WFPS - 1497.36}{536.52} \right)^2 \right]$	0.28	< 0.001
$N_2O$	$F = 13.09^{*}e^{-0.5}\left[\left(\frac{T-16.10}{8.83}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{WFPS-54.89}{10.08}\right)^{2}\right], \text{ week} > 16$	0.23	< 0.001
	$F = 7.56\text{E}03^*\text{e}^{-0.5}[(\frac{T-0.34}{0.03})^2 + (\frac{WFPS-40.05}{3.83})^2], \text{ week} \le 16$	0.27	< 0.001
CH <sub>4</sub>	$F = -72.89 - 0.45^{*}T + 1.81^{*}WFPS + 0.0059^{*}T^{2} - 0.0134^{*}WFPS^{2}$	0.10	< 0.001
	Linear Regression function	$R^2$	Р
CO <sub>2</sub>	$F = 3.262 + 8.658E - 02^*T + 9.157E - 03^*WFPS$	0.57	< 0.001
NO	$F = 3.365 + 8.576E - 02^{*}T + 6.151E - 03^{*}WFPS$	0.33	< 0.001
$N_2O$	F = 2.464 + 1.612E - 02*T + 1.366E - 02*WFPS, week>16	0.22	< 0.001
	$F = 3.090 - 4.06E - 02^*T + 7.675E - 03^*WFPS$ , week $\leq 16$	0.07	< 0.001
CH <sub>4</sub>	$F = 4.313 + 7.294E - 03^*T - 1.00E - 02^*WFPS$	0.13	< 0.001

T = soil temperature in 5 cm soil depth [°C], WFPS: water filled pore space [%]

**Table 5.** Results from linear regression analysis of log-transformed soil N<sub>2</sub>O [ $\mu$ g N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>], NO [ $\mu$ g N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>], CO<sub>2</sub> [mg C m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>] and CH<sub>4</sub> [ $\mu$ g C m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>] fluxes on weekly scales. For this regression analysis soil temperature in 5 cm depth [°C] and soil moisture [WFPS in %] in 10 cm depth were used as describing parameters.

weekly averaged fluxes Flux=A*soil_temperature [°C] +B*WFPS [%]+constant									
log-transformed fluxes	$R^2(N)$	А	В	constant					
CO <sub>2</sub>	0.51** (291)	$0.094\pm0.012$	$0.003\pm0.013$	$3.46\pm0.692$					
Gap-filled CO <sub>2</sub>	0.59** (391)	$0.088 \pm 0.008$	$0.006 \pm 0.008$	$3.40\pm0.454$					
NO	0.22** (381)	$0.081\pm0.018$	$0.011\pm0.018$	$3.12 \pm 1.041$					
Gap-filled NO	0.33** (420)	$0.081\pm0.012$	$0.005\pm0.013$	$3.48\pm0.66$					
CH <sub>4</sub>	0.10** (416)	$0.007\pm0.005$	$-0.009 \pm 0.004$	$4.23\pm0.243$					
Gap-filled CH <sub>4</sub>	0.10** (433)	$-0.007 \pm 0.005$	$0.011\pm0.005$	$3.39\pm0.28$					
N <sub>2</sub> O	0.06** (424)	$-0.003 \pm 0.008$	$0.015\pm0.008$	$2.62\pm0.41$					
Gap-filled N <sub>2</sub> O	0.10** (478)	$0.001\pm0.013$	$0.041 \pm 0.013$	$0.16\pm0.73$					
N <sub>2</sub> O§	0.32** (270)	$0.019\pm0.004$	$0.018\pm0.003$	$2.22\pm0.20$					
Gap-filled N <sub>2</sub> O§	0.32** (295)	$0.036\pm0.011$	$0.054\pm0.011$	$-0.809\pm0.56$					

§freeze-thaw N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes excluded; \*\*Significant at level p < 0.001

mineralization, whereas in warm and dry years soil respiration is hampered due to soil moisture deficits, which might impede not only heterotrophic, but also root respiration due to a reduction in photoassimilate supply to the roots as a result of dry conditions, as current photosynthesis of the dominant plant species has been shown to be a major driver of soil respiration (Högberg et al., 2001). However, even though soil thawing led to small peak emissions of soil  $CO_2$  in the early spring not only in those years when pronounced freeze-thaw effects were observed for N<sub>2</sub>O as well (1996, 1997, 2005, 2006, 2009), but also in other years (e.g. 2004, 2007), the contribution of spring  $CO_2$  fluxes for cumulative annual soil  $CO_2$  fluxes was of little importance.

A tendency to higher  $CO_2$  fluxes was observed in years with below average mean soil temperature (e.g. in 1996, 2006), i.e. years with rather cold winters and springs. This may indicate that extended freezing periods are increasing the pool of easily degradable organic matter, e.g. due to the

**Table 6.** Results from linear regression analysis (Flux = A\*soil\_temp+B\*soil\_moisture+C\*Ndeposition+D\*gross\_primaryproduction+constant) of log-transformed soil N<sub>2</sub>O [ $\mu$ g N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>], NO [ $\mu$ g N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>], CO<sub>2</sub> [mg C m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>] and CH<sub>4</sub> [ $\mu$ g C m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>] fluxes on monthly scale. For this regression analysis soil temperature (*T*) at 5 cm depth [°C], soil moisture (M) [WFPS in %] at 10cm depth, N deposition (N) as measured in the throughfall [g N m<sup>-2</sup> month<sup>-1</sup>], and gross primary production (G) [g C m<sup>-2</sup> month<sup>-1</sup>] as derived from net ecosystem exchange measurements at the Höglwald Forest tower site were used as describing parameters.

	predictors	$R^2$ (N)	А	В	С	D	constant	measured	predicted
CO <sub>2</sub>	T,M	0.54**(65)	$0.10\pm0.24$	$0.02\pm0.03$			$2.8\pm1.2$		
Gap-filled CO <sub>2</sub>	T,M	0.59**(69)	$0.09\pm0.02$	$0.02\pm0.03$			$2.8\pm1.3$		
Gap-filled CO2	T,M,N,G	0.80**(20)	$0.10\pm0.04$	$0.01\pm0.02$	$-5.41\mathrm{E}{-}05\pm0.001$	$-1.58\mathrm{E}{-03}\pm0.0015$	$3.1 \pm 1.0$		
Gap-filled CO <sub>2</sub>	T,M,G	0.81**(31)	$0.11\pm0.03$	$0.002\pm0.001$		$-1.72\text{E}{-03}\pm0.0015$	$2.8\pm1.0$	$86.8\pm6.9$	$84.0\pm6.3$
NO	тM	0.41**(86)	$0.08 \pm 0.02$	$0.01 \pm 0.03$			$34 \pm 14$		
Gap filled NO	T M	0.53**(01)	$0.08 \pm 0.02$	$0.01 \pm 0.03$			$3.4 \pm 1.4$ $3.8 \pm 1.0$		
Gap-filled NO	T M N	$0.53^{(91)}$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$	$0.01 \pm 0.02$	$6508E 04 \pm 0.001$		$3.0 \pm 1.0$ $2.0 \pm 1.5$		
Car filed NO		0.50 (51)	$0.08 \pm 0.03$	$0.01 \pm 0.03$	$0.598E = 04 \pm 0.001$	1 2725 02 1 0 0025	$3.2 \pm 1.3$	100 ( 112 (	046102
Gap-filled NO	T,M,G	0.6/**(31)	$0.08 \pm 0.05$	$0.04 \pm 0.03$	1.0.001	1.3/2E = 0.0025	$1.8 \pm 1.7$	$109.6 \pm 12.6$	$94.6 \pm 9.2$
Gap-filled NO	T,M,N,G	0.63*(20)	$0.10 \pm 0.08$	$0.05 \pm 0.04$	$1.26/E - 04 \pm 0.0015$	$8.2/5E - 04 \pm 0.004$	$1.3 \pm 2.1$		
CH <sub>4</sub>	T,M	0.14*(95)	$0.01\pm0.01$	$-0.01\pm0.01$			$4.2\pm0.5$		
Gap-filled CH4	T,M	0.11*(95)	$-0.01\pm0.01$	$0.01\pm0.01$			$3.7\pm0.5$	$-19.0\pm1.0$	$-20.1\pm0.4$
N <sub>2</sub> O8	ΤM	$0.34^{**}(62)$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$			$23 \pm 04$		
Gap-filled NoO8	TM	$0.34^{(02)}$ $0.36^{**}(62)$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$ $0.05 \pm 0.03$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$ $0.06 \pm 0.02$			$-17 \pm 12$		
Gap filled NaO8	T M N	$0.35^{*}(37)$	$0.05 \pm 0.03$	$0.00 \pm 0.02$ $0.06 \pm 0.03$	$0 \pm 0.001$		$-1.3 \pm 1.6$		
Car filed N2O8		0.55(57)	$0.05 \pm 0.04$	$0.00 \pm 0.03$	$0 \pm 0.001$	0.002 + 0.0025	$-1.3 \pm 1.0$		
Gap-filled N2Og	T,M,G	$0.57^{\circ}(21)$	$0.05 \pm 0.10$	$0.05 \pm 0.04$	0 + 0 0025	$0.002 \pm 0.0035$	$-1.1 \pm 1.9$	77110	00114
Gap-filled N <sub>2</sub> O§	<i>T</i> ,M,N,G	0.65*(14)	$0.10 \pm 0.13$	$0.06 \pm 0.05$	$0 \pm 0.0025$	$0.001 \pm 0.0055$	$-1.9 \pm 2.6$	$7.7 \pm 1.2$	$9.8 \pm 1.4$
Gap-filled N <sub>2</sub> O	T,M	0.13*(95)	$-0.01 \pm 0.04$	$0.05 \pm 0.03$			$-0.2 \pm 1.8$		
Gap-filled N <sub>2</sub> O	T,M,G	0.34*(31)	$-0.06\pm0.07$	$0.04\pm0.04$		$0.005 \pm 0.004$	$-0.4\pm2.3$		
Gap-filled N <sub>2</sub> O	T,M,N,G	0.50**(20)	$0.01\pm0.09$	$0.05\pm0.05$	$0.001 \pm 0.0015$	$0.003 \pm 0.0045$	$-1.3\pm2.5$	$9.8\pm1.4$	$9.3\pm0.9$

§: freeze-thaw pulse emissions of N2O were excluded.

\* or \*\* Significant at level P < 0.05 or 0.001

dieback of part of the microbial biomass during frost (Papen and Butterbach-Bahl, 1999). This increased availability of substrate at the end of the freezing period may finally prime also the decomposition of organic matter in the following growing season (Kuzyakov et al., 2000). Based on four years (2003-2006) of sub-daily measurements of soil respiration at adjacent upland and wetland forest sites at Harvard Forest, Phillips et al. (2010) found that annual soil respiration decreased, although air temperature increased during this period. Instead, mean spring soil temperatures decreased over the same period. Thus, they concluded that annual soil respiration rates might be positively correlated with mean spring soil temperature. This, as well as our finding that years with strong freezing periods tended to show highest annual CO<sub>2</sub> respiration rates, indicated that climatic conditions during the dormant or early growing season might determine annual soil respiration rates in temperate forest ecosystems. However, increased soil respiration rates may also result from increased plant carbon assimilation and associated litter production in the previous year. This could not be tested since eddy covariance measurements of ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were only available for the years 2006–2010 and litter fall data (aboveground) are not available for all measuring years. Nevertheless, our data also showed a close correlation of gross primary production and soil respiration (Fig. 10) as e.g. found by Migliavacca et al. (2011). Temporal variability of N deposition, measured as throughfall, did not increase the explanatory power of our models for CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, which may be a consequence of already existing N saturation of

the system (Kreutzer et al., 2009). For that reason it is unlikely that short term variations in N deposition will affect ecosystem productivity or soil respiration. Years with summer droughts, as e.g. the years 1997 or 2004, showed reduced annual soil respiration rates. This observation is in line with experiments at a spruce site at Solling, Germany, where artificially prolonged drought of 172 days during the summer period resulted in a reduction of soil respiration while during the following rewetting period soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the first 30 d significantly increased by 48 % in 1993 and 144 % in 1994 (Borken et al., 1999). Strong interannual variations up to  $2.3 \text{ t} \text{ C} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$  due to extended summer droughts were observed for the Harvard Forest, Northeastern USA (Savage and Davidson, 2001). Re-wetting of soil following a drought period was often associated with a period of pulse soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The intensity of these pulses may depend strongly on soil temperature (Borken et al., 1999, 2002). Our results together with observations by Borken et al. (2002) from soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux in the years 1998 and 1999 at forest sites at Unterlüss, Germany, further revealed that higher soil respiration rates due to soil re-wetting after a prolonged drought period did not compensate for the previous decrease in soil respiration.

Besides, the observed reduction of soil respiration in years with summer droughts at our site are supported by observations from several forest sites across Europe during the extremely dry and hot year 2003 (Yuste et al., 2005; Nikolova et al, 2009). In this respective year, for which soil respiration measurements at Höglwald were missing, Europe



**Fig. 9.** Monthly mean measured versus monthly simulated soil  $CO_2$  and NO fluxes using the best empirical relationship as presented in Table 5. The red line shows the linear regression of simulated monthly vs. measured monthly flux. Note that the green and blue lines represent the 95% confidence limits for slope and expected data point distribution, respectively.

experienced a particularly extreme climate anomaly with July temperatures up to  $6 \,^{\circ}$ C above long-term means, and annual precipitation deficits up to 300 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>, or 50 % below the average (Ciais et al., 2005).

#### 4.1.2 NO and N<sub>2</sub>O

Cumulative annual soil emissions for N<sub>2</sub>O and NO varied in a range of 0.2–3.0 kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> and 6.4–11.4 kg NO-N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for the observation period 1994–2010, respectively. Datasets spanning entire years and providing estimates of annual fluxes of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O on the basis of continuous measurements are scarce. The most relevant dataset is the one of Pilegaard et al. (2006), as obtained within the EU-funded NOFRETETE project. These authors reported on annual NO and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes at various forest sites across Europe, also including data for the Höglwald Forest spruce site for the years 2002–2004. Comparably high annual fluxes (6.6 kg NO-N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) of NO as observed for the Höglwald Forest in this study were only documented for the Speulderbos Douglas fir forest site in the Netherlands, a site also receiving high loads of atmospheric N inputs of approx.  $40 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ .

Together with other studies carried out in N-affected forests in central (Brumme and Beese, 1992; van Dijk and Duyzer, 1999; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2002; Jacinthe and Lal, 2004; Jungkunst et al., 2004) and northern Europe (Pilegaard et al., 1999, Skiba et al., 1998) our dataset shows that atmospheric N deposition has obviously changed the emission strength of forest soils as compared to non-N-affected temperate forest elsewhere (Williams et al., 1992; Castro et al., 1993). For example, Bowden et al. (1991) as well as Castro et al. (1993) reported mean N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes of less than 2.5 µg N<sub>2</sub>O-N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (or approx. 0.2 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) for both a pine and a spruce-fir forest in the US, with both sites receiving low atmospheric N-input of less than 10 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>.

The high fluxes of both N<sub>2</sub>O and NO at our site are most likely a consequence of high nitrogen deposition at the Höglwald Forest in a range of 20–35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> since more than three decades. This high N deposition has led to elevated N trace gas emissions, a decrease of needle C:N ratios (Kreutzer, 1995), accelerated forest growth (Rothe et al., 2002) and N-cycling (Kreutzer et al., 2009; Rosenkranz et al., 2010), and finally high nitrate leaching rates of more than 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Rothe et al., 2002; Weis et al., 2006; Huber et al., 2004), which all together are signs of ecosystem N-saturation (Aber, 1992).

Our multi-year dataset shows that occasional freeze-thaw pulse emissions are dominating annual N2O fluxes in certain years. In five out of 15 observation years the annual N<sub>2</sub>O budget was significantly affected by freeze-thaw N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Linked to the meteorological conditions among the five years, we found that in these years average annual soil temperatures were significantly lower, and the period of soil frost lasted at least 3-4 weeks. This is in accordance with earlier publications stating that severity and duration of frost determined the strength of freeze-thaw pulse N2O emissions (Papen and Butterbach-Bahl, 1999; Wu et al., 2010). Teepe et al. (2004) found that the duration of the frost period determined the overall effect on freeze-thaw pulse N2O emissions, which may be related to the release of nutrients due to the dieback of soil microorganisms as well as due to the physical cracking of soil aggregates and associated nutrient release with increasing time of freezing. Our long-term measurements confirm that evidently the duration of freezing has a remarkable influence on the amount of N2O emitted during the following thawing period.

The years with highest annual N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes of approx.  $3 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , i.e. 1996 and 2006, pulse emissions during freeze-thaw periods were contributing 88% (1996) and 87% (2006) to total annual emissions. This reflects the necessity of performing continuous, all-season



Fig. 10. Correlation of monthly aggregated gross primary production (GPP) with soil CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and NO fluxes. GPP measurements were available from March 2006 onwards.

measurements of  $N_2O$  fluxes over multiple years in order to obtain a more realistic estimation of monthly and annual fluxes. The data base obtained by short-term continuous measurements – even if performed at different season – may lead to a significant under- or overestimation of the trace gas source strength of soils. Therefore, there is a need for a new type of monitoring network which aims at the measurement of biosphere-atmosphere exchange over multiple years in order to get more reliable estimates of trace gas exchange for a larger number of sites across Europe and elsewhere.

The importance of pulse emission during freeze-thaw periods as major determinant of the annual exchange of N<sub>2</sub>O has also been demonstrated for other ecosystem types such as temperate grasslands (e.g. Wolf et al., 2010) or arable systems (Teepe et al., 2001; Koponen et al., 2004; Kurganova et al., 2004; Matzner and Borken, 2008). The most likely explanation of freeze-thaw pulse emissions, which were largely driving inter-annual variability of N2O fluxes at Höglwald Forest, is increased microbial activity in water-saturated soils with microbes being supplied with sufficient easily degradable C and N substrates due to the dieback of the microbial community during the preceding freeze period (De Bruijn et al., 2009; Wolf et al., 2010). Our observation that N<sub>2</sub>O, but not NO emissions (which are mainly originating from nitrification (Conrad, 1996), are elevated certifies that denitrification is the main driver of elevated N2O emissions during freeze-thaw periods rather than nitrification. In these periods nitrification seems to be closely coupled to denitrification, as was already pointed out by Papen and Butterbach-Bahl (1999), and NO produced by nitrification did not escape to the atmosphere but got further reduced by denitrification to  $N_2O$  (and possibly  $N_2$ ) in the water-saturated top soil. This conclusion is further supported by the modeling study of De Bruijn et al. (2009). The observation that soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions during such periods were also slightly increased (Fig. 3) confirms measurements of Wolf et al. (2010) in steppe ecosystems that indeed not only denitrification but also microbial activity is generally increased during freeze-thaw periods.

#### 4.1.3 CH<sub>4</sub>

In the year 2000, Smith et al. summarized results of an EU project on measurements of soil methane oxidation rates in Denmark, Germany, Norway, Poland, Sweden, and the UK, including not only agricultural land, peat bogs, and moorland but also forest and woodland soils. Compared to other land uses, temperate forest soils showed the highest CH<sub>4</sub> uptake rates (up to  $150 \,\mu g \, CH_4$ -C m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). Nevertheless, rates of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation for European forest soils were varying widely in a range of  $1-165 \,\mu g \, CH_4$ -C m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Ambus and Christensen, 1995; Borken and Brumme, 1997; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 1998; Brumme and Borken, 1999; Borken and Beese, 2006). Some rather acidic forest soils in Germany with pH values of the organic layer below 4.0, as found at the Solling site in central Germany (Borken and Brumme, 1997; Brumme and Borken, 1999), showed rather low oxidation rates of approx.  $10-20 \,\mu g \, CH_4 \cdot C \, m^{-2} \, h^{-1}$ , which is in line with the low CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation rates found for the acidic and N-deposition-affected soils at our site  $(18.7 \,\mu g \, \text{CH}_4 \text{-} \text{C} \, \text{m}^{-2} \, \text{h}^{-1})$ . Brumme and Borken (1999) hypothesized that the reduction of CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation rates in highly acidic soils was partly due to a reduction in bioturbation by soil fauna. Consequently organic material, inactive with regard to CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation (Butterbach-Bahl and Papen, 2002)

may accumulate at the soil surface and a more compact mineral soil may develop, hampering CH<sub>4</sub> diffusion to the sites of microbial CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation.

Another reason for reduced rates of CH<sub>4</sub> uptake by forest soils is that many forest ecosystems in Europe have experienced increased atmospheric nitrogen input for several decades. Nitrogen deposition has resulted in the acidification and eutrophication of many forest soils and triggered changes in plant and soil microbial community composition as well as in soil chemistry (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2011). Chan et al. (2005) performed 8-year-long measurement of soil CH<sub>4</sub> exchange in a temperate deciduous forest in northwestern Pennsylvania and found that in situ atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> uptake rates had been reduced by 35% after 8 years of N amendments  $(100 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$ . The result confirmed the suggestion made by Castro et al. (1993) that soils in ecosystems receiving high N-deposition were likely to exhibit higher N<sub>2</sub>O emission and lower CH<sub>4</sub> uptake compared to soils in ecosystems receiving lower N-deposition. Comparable results were also obtained by the metadata analysis by Liu and Greaver (2009), who estimated the detrimental effects of reactive nitrogen (Nr) addition to forest soils on CH<sub>4</sub> uptake as  $0.016 \pm 0.004$  kg CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for nonagricultural ecosystems per  $1 \text{ kg } N_r \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  being added to the ecosystem. The Höglwald Forest soil received annually approx. 20-30 kg atmospheric N deposition and had a mean annual CH<sub>4</sub> uptake rate of  $1.82 \text{ kg} \text{ CH}_4$ -C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. This suggested reduction of the annual uptake rate of 0.3-0.5 kg CH<sub>4</sub>-C per year or a reduction of more than 20% as compared to a situation assuming zero atmospheric N input. This value is close to the N assessment study on forest soil CH<sub>4</sub> uptake by Bowden et al. (1991) and Steudler et al. (1989), who found that fertilization of a pine forest soil with  $37 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  as NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> resulted in a 24% reduction of CH<sub>4</sub> uptake rates as compared to untreated plots. The effects of N inputs on the CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation capacity of soils are complex. The inhibiting effect of ammonium has been ascribed to a stimulation of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria, allegedly at the expense of CH<sub>4</sub>-oxidizing bacteria, although the mechanisms involved in this competition are unclear. An alternative explanation for persistent effects of ammonia could be that the competitive inhibition of methane monooxygenase by ammonia is sufficient to kill a substantial proportion of the CH<sub>4</sub>-oxidizing bacteria (Smith et al., 2000).

# 4.2 Soil moisture and soil temperature control on flux rates

# 4.2.1 CO<sub>2</sub>

Our observations spanning several years showed significant positive correlations between monthly mean fluxes of  $CO_2$  and soil temperature, whereas changes in soil moisture were of minor importance. The strong positive relationships between temperature and soil  $CO_2$  fluxes were in agreement with numerous field studies that had documented a strong relationship between them for different temperate forest sites (Saiz et al., 2007; Graf et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010) and could be explained by stimulation of the biological activity of autotrophic (roots; Bouma et al., 1997) and heterotrophic organisms (microbial communities and soil fauna) by temperature.

In the frame of a 15-months study at a Norway spruce forest in the north of Zealand, Denmark (Elberling et al., 2005), CO<sub>2</sub> efflux correlated well with near-surface soil temperature. This was in line with our finding that soil respiration was more closely correlated to temperature measurements in the organic layer than to temperatures measured in deeper soil layers. Comparable results were also found by Borken et al. (2002) for mature beech, spruce and pine stands at both Solling and Unterlüss, Germany. Exponential functions, as also used in our study, were employed to describe the relationship between soil respiration and soil temperature in many studies before (e.g. Wang et al., 2006; Jacinthe and Lal, 2004; Borken et al., 2002; Bagherzadeh et al., 2008; Pilegaard et al., 2006).

The influence of soil moisture on soil respiration was more variable. In general, gas transport within the soil cannot be an important constraint for soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux, since soil fluxes are mostly the result of production processes in the highly porous litter humus and uppermost mineral soil (Borken et al., 2002; Subke et al., 2003; Elberling et al., 2005). In fact, soil water limitation of the CO<sub>2</sub> production appears mainly under severe drought conditions which could be best explained by a reduction in the temperature-insensitive basal respiration rate, with no discernible effect on the temperature sensitivity (Davidson et al., 2006; Epron et al., 2004). However, a notable finding for our site is that maximum soil respiration occurred under wet and warm environmental conditions. This was specifically remarkable when monthly soil temperatures increased to values  $>8^{\circ}C$  and explained why more than a half of the annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> emission occurred from June to September. Our observation is in line with observations at other temperate forest sites in Europe (Pilegaard et al., 2006; Saiz et al., 2007; Graf et al., 2008).

#### 4.2.2 CH<sub>4</sub>

Monthly mean CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes at our site correlated only weakly with soil moisture (negative) and soil temperature (positive). Also in other studies, such as the work of Borken et al. (2006), only weak correlations were found between temperatures and forest soil CH<sub>4</sub> uptakes, as long as temperature ranged between 5 and 10 °C (Castro et al., 1995). However, the missing correlation of CH<sub>4</sub> uptake rates at the Höglwald Forest site to changes in soil moisture is somewhat surprising, especially since it is widely accepted that the diffusivity of soils is a primary controller of CH<sub>4</sub> uptake rates. For example, Borken et al. (2006) showed that soil moisture strongly controlled the uptake of atmospheric methane, since at higher soil moisture values diffusion of methane into the soil was limited. Castro et al. (1994) even used soil moisture alone as a predictor of methane uptake in temperate forest soil at Harvard Forest.

# 4.2.3 NO and N<sub>2</sub>O

For the spruce site at Höglwald Forest, NO emission increased exponentially with soil temperature. This is in line with results of others who found exponential relationships between soil NO fluxes and soil temperatures for agricultural (Williams et al., 1998; Roelle et al., 2001) as well as for forest soils (Van Dijk and Meixner, 2001; Schindlbacher et al., 2004). The strong temperature response of soil NO fluxes at our site may not only be due to the stimulation of nitrification as the assumed main process of NO production at our site (Gasche and Papen, 1999), but may also be explained by increasing contributions of NO production by chemo-denitrification in the acidic organic layer (pH of forest floor  $\leq 3.2$ ) at increasing temperatures (Kesik et al., 2006). In agreement with other field and laboratory studies (Smith et al., 1998; Dobbie and Smith, 2001; Schindlbacher et al., 2004), which reported that not only nitric oxide but also nitrous oxide emissions increased exponentially with soil temperature, also our long-term dataset shows that N2O emissions increase exponentially with temperature if freeze-thaw periods are excluded. The exponential relationship diminished in some years (data not shown) due to soil moisture limitation of microbial activity and N2O production in soils during summer time.

Due to the hypothesized main production process for soil NO emissions at our site, i.e. nitrification, soil moisture may both hamper and stimulate emissions. Soil moisture limitations for NO production can be expected if soils are too dry, thereby restricting substrate  $(NH_4^+)$  diffusion to micro-sites with microbial activity and exposing soil microbes to water stress. On the other hand, if soils are too wet, which results in increased anaerobiosis, NO production may decrease due to oxygen deficiency or NO produced by microbes may already be further reduced in the soil profile to  $N_2O$  by denitrifying microorganisms (Skopp et al., 1990; Cardenas et al., 1993; Gasche and Papen, 1999; Roelle et al., 2001). Maximum soil NO fluxes were often observed at low to medium water content (Pilegaard et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010). Ludwig et al. (2001) suggested an optimum at approximately 20% WFPS. In contrast, maximum NO emissions were reported at WFPS between 43 %-85 % by other studies (Gasche and Papen, 1999; van Dijk and Duyzer, 1999). In our study the soil moisture optima for NO emissions ranged from 20-24 vol % or 33-39 % WFPS. Schindlbacher et al. (2004) compared the optimum soil moisture for NO production at different forest sites across Europe and found a wide range from 15 % WFPS for sandy textured soils of the Po floodplain, Italy, to 65 %

WFPS for a clay-dominated soil texture at a beech forest site in Austria. In this respect the value found at our site, with a loamy clay soil, is well within the range of reported values.

### 4.2.4 Trace gas ratios

Figure 8a shows that the dominant environmental factor affecting the ratio of NO to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions was soil moisture rather than soil temperature, especially for conditions with soil temperatures >10°C and soil moisture values <26 vol%. For such conditions NO emissions were typically high, since soil aeration and, thus, oxidative microbial processes such as nitrification were favored. On the other hand, the NO:N<sub>2</sub>O ratio shifted toward N<sub>2</sub>O at high soil water content as well as high or low temperature, without revealing a distinct pattern. However, annual NO emissions at our sites were always approx. one order of magnitude higher than N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Fig. 2), indicating that N trace gas emissions at our site were primarily driven by nitrification rather than by denitrification as already pointed out earlier by Gasche and Papen (1999) and Papen and Butterbach-Bahl (1999).

The contour plot showing the combined effect of soil temperature and moisture on the  $CO_2$ :NO emission ratio (Fig. 8c) indicated that both gases were controlled in the same way by changes in temperature and moisture. Even though magnitudes of both fluxes were different by a factor of 1000 the  $CO_2$ :NO correlation showed that NO production and emission at our site was closely coupled to the microbial mineralization of organic matter and subsequent nitrification of mineralized N.

# 4.3 Empirical models to describe soil GHG fluxes

# 4.3.1 CO<sub>2</sub>

For the Höglwald Forest spruce site soil respiration rates at weekly or monthly scale could be successfully approximated from prevailing soil moisture and temperature values. Using WFPS and soil temperature as parameters, we were able to explain approx. 57-59% of variation in daily, weekly and monthly soil respiration rates. This result is consistent with findings for other forests. For example, based on two years of measurements of soil respiration at six temperate forest stands (mature beech, spruce and pine stands) in Germany, Borken et al. (2002) found that soil temperatures at 5 cm and 10 cm depth were able to explain 83 % of the temporal variation in soil respirations. Similar results were also reported by Subke et al. (2003). In their open dynamic chamber system measuring the soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux intensively and continuously throughout a growing season in a mature spruce forest in Southern Germany, soil temperature alone explained 72% of the variation in the flux rate, and including soil water content as an additional variable increased the explained variance to about 83%.

Mariko et al. (2000) and Davidson et al. (2000) pointed out the limitation of using a simple temperature function from one soil depth (5 cm in the present work) to describe CO<sub>2</sub> production by microbial mineralization activity and root respiration throughout the profile due to the heterogeneity of substrate availability as well as temperature and moisture gradients. Splitting the temperature response into several components to represent the flux contribution from different soil layers is one step towards a better understanding of the origin of CO<sub>2</sub> within the soil and temporal fluctuations of soil CO2 efflux. However, doing so would also increase the number of parameters in a regression model, thus requiring more data points to yield a significant regression result (Draper and Smith, 1981). Despite sufficient data obtained in our study, it was not possible to further improve simulation results by including soil temperature measurements from different soil depths, since the temperature measured at a depth of 5 cm alone was adequate to describe observed variations of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes at the soil surface, as reported by Subke et al. (2003).

At monthly time scale inclusion of gross primary production as an additional variable strongly increased the predictive power of our empirical model (see also Fig. 10). This further confirms earlier reports on the importance of plant C fixation for actual soil respiration (Högberg et al., 2001; Migliavacca et al., 2011).

### 4.3.2 NO, N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub>

With regard to NO a multiple linear regression including soil moisture and soil temperature as parameters explained almost 33% of the observed variation of weekly aggregated NO emission rates. At monthly scale, variations in soil moisture and soil temperature explained up to 53% of temporal variations in soil NO fluxes. Against our expectation, the inclusion of N deposition as measured in the throughfall did not result in improved regression models at monthly scale. Significantly higher values were only obtained if stand gross primary production was considered for model development. The positive link between soil NO fluxes and gross primary production may be related to root exudation and stimulated soil microbial decomposition and nitrification activity, though such a mechanism needs further exploration.

For CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes the dominant controlling variables at monthly time scale were soil moisture and temperature, though the correlation remains weak. The main active zone for CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation at our site (Butterbach-Bahl and Papen, 2002) as well as temperate forest sites (Smith et al., 2000) is located in the mineral soil (approx. 10–20 cm soil depth). Therefore, if soil layers may get water-logged following rainfall events or snow melt at various times throughout the year, gas diffusion may get limited and thus reduces CH<sub>4</sub> uptake activity in the respective layer.

Due to freeze-thaw effects on  $N_2O$  fluxes, regressions based on the entire dataset of the present work yielded no meaningful correlations. After excluding the freeze-thaw periods for the calculation of regression equations, the empirical relations of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes with soil moisture and soil temperature were able to explain up to 36% of the observed temporal variation of N2O fluxes at weekly and monthly time scales. This rather low predictive power showed that simple regression models using measured soil environmental parameters hardly work to simulate soil N2O fluxes. This was clearly due to the complexity of processes involved in the soil surface emission of N2O, which included not only aerobic (autotrophic and heterotrophic nitrification, nitrifier denitrification) and anaerobic (denitrification, dissimilatory nitrate reduction) microbial production processes but also possible N2O consumption (Baggs, 2008; Conen and Neftel, 2007). Inclusion of gross primary productivity and specifically of N deposition as measured in the throughfall significantly increased the predictive power of our empirical model at monthly time scale, demonstrating a close link on the one hand between C and N cycling at our site and the importance of N deposition as driver of actual soil N2O emissions (Denier van der Gon and Bleeker, 2005).

# 4.3.3 Comparison between temporal scales, trace gas fluxes, samplings

In our study we evaluated the performance of regression models from daily to annual time scales. However, for longer time periods, such as three months, six months or entire years, correlations deteriorated or even disappeared. Only daily, weekly or monthly regression models were meaningful, at least for NO and CO<sub>2</sub>. This is in line with results from the study by Pilegaard et al. (2006), who failed to unravel any significant relationship between soil temperature and N2O emission at annual scale for a series of investigated forest sites across Europe. The question, whether accuracy of flux prediction (for example N2O) could be increased by examining relationships between fluxes and environmental parameters at larger spatial and temporal scales, was also assessed by Groffman et al. (2000). They compared relationships between annual rather than hourly or daily fluxes and ecosystem-scale variables such as soil types and annual climate rather than field-scaled variables such as soil moisture and temperature. They found that there were coherent patterns in annual N<sub>2</sub>O flux at the ecosystem scale for forest, cropland and rangeland ecosystems, but that ecosystem-scale controls of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes varied within and between regions and only emerge with continuous - at least daily - flux measurements over multiple years.

#### 5 Conclusions

Fluxes of trace gases were measured with automated static or dynamic chamber systems at an approx. 100 yrs old spruce forest site in subdaily resolution (hourly/2-hourly) between 1994 and 2010. Our dataset represented the most complete and long-lasting dataset on trace gas exchange between forest soils and the atmosphere worldwide. Measured soil trace gas fluxes encompassed  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $N_2O$ , and NO. Overall conclusions based on the entire dataset and on subsets of the data are as follows:

- 1. The soil of the Höglwald Forest spruce site functioned as a sink for atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> and as sources CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and NO. NO fluxes were on average approximately one order of magnitude higher than N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes. The high fluxes of N-trace gases and reduced rates of CH<sub>4</sub> uptake at our site are most probably a consequence of high nitrogen deposition at the Höglwald Forest in a range of 20–30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> since more than three decades.
- 2. Distinct seasonal patterns and interannual variations of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $N_2O$ , and NO were found for Höglwald Forest. The highest variability in annual trace gas fluxes was observed for  $N_2O$ , due to freeze-thaw pulse emissions in some years. Furthermore, soil respiration rates varied largely from year to year by >30 %. These results show that long-term, multi-year measurements are needed to obtain reliable estimates of GHG fluxes for a given ecosystem.
- 3. We were able to show for the first time with field data that  $N_2O$  emissions during the following thawing period were increasing with increasing length of the soil freezing period.
- 4. Higher annual cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were found in years with relatively lower mean soil temperature. This may indicate that increased release of easily degradable substrate during the freezing period might prime the increased decomposition of organic matter throughout the following growing season, though other explanations such as carry over effects of litter production from the previous year cannot be excluded at present.
- 5. Environmental drivers such as soil temperature and moisture, N deposition and gross primary production could be used to approximate NO, N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes at daily, weekly and monthly scales, while we failed to find a suitable empirical model for CH<sub>4</sub>.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Georg Willibald and colleagues for supporting field measurements at the Höglwald Forest site and Matthias Mauder for plausibility tests on Eddy-Covariance data. This research was supported by the Helmholtz Association of German Research Centers in the framework of the program-oriented funding (POF) and by the FP6 Integrated Project NitroEurope IP, funded by the European Commission.

Edited by: P. Cellier

### References

- Aber, J. D.: Nitrogen cycling and nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems, Trends Ecol. Evol., 7, 220–224, 1992.
- Ambus, P. and Christensen, S.: Spatial and seasonal nitrous oxide and methane fluxes in Danish Forest-, Grassland-, and Agroecosystems, J. Environ. Qual., 24, 993–1001, 1995.
- Aubinet, M., Grelle, A., Ibrom, A., Rannik, Ü., Moncrieff, J., Foken, T., Kowalski, A. S., Martin, P. H., Bernhofer, C., Clement, R., Elbers, J., Granier, A., Grünwald, T., Morgenstern, K., Pilegaard, K., Rebmann, C., Snijders, W., Valentini, R., and Vesala, T.: Estimates of the annual net carbon and water exchange of forests: the EUROFLUX methodology, Adv. Ecol. Res., 30, 113– 175, 1999.
- Baggs, E. M.: A review of stable isotope techniques for N<sub>2</sub>O source partitioning in soils: recent progress, remaining challenges and future considerations, Rapid Commun. Mass Sp., 22, 1664–1672, 2008.
- Bagherzadeh, A., Brumme, R., and Beese, F.: Temperature dependence of carbon mineralization and nitrous oxide emission in a temperate forest ecosystem, J. For. Res., 19, 107–112, 2008.
- Borken, W. and Brumme, R.: Liming practice in temperate forest ecosystem and the effects on CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes, Soil Use Manage., 13, 251–257, 1997.
- Borken, W. and Beese, F.: Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes of soils in pure and mixed stands of European beech and Norway spruce, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 57, 617–625, 2006.
- Borken, W., Xu, X. J., Brumme, R., and Lamersdorf, N.: A climate change scenario for carbon dioxide and dissolved organic carbon fluxes from a temperate forest soil: drought and rewetting effects, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 63, 1848–1855, 1999.
- Borken, W., Xu, Y. J., Davidson, E. A., and Beese, A.: Site and temporal variation of soil respiration in European beech, Norway spruce, and Scots pine forests, Glob. Change Biol., 8, 1205– 1216, 2002.
- Borken, W., Davidson, E. A., Savage, K., Sundquist, E. T., and Steudler, P.: Effect of summer throughfall exclusion, summer drought, and winter snow cover on methane fluxes in a temperate forest soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 38, 1388–1395, 2006.
- Bouma, T. J., Nielsen, K. L., Eissenstat, D. M., and Lynch, J. P.: Estimating respiration of roots in soil: Interactions with soil CO<sub>2</sub>, soil temperature and soil water content, Plant Soil, 195, 221–232, 1997.
- Bowden, R. D., Melillo, J. M., Steudler, P. A., and Aber, J. D.: Effects of nitrogen additions on annual nitrous oxide fluxes from temperate forest soils in the northeastern United States, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 9321–9328, 1991.
- Brumme, R. and Beese, F.: Effects of liming and nitrogen fertilization on emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O from a temperate forest, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 12581–12858, 1992.
- Brumme, R. and Borken, W.: Site variation in methane oxidation as affected by atmospheric deposition and type of temperate forest ecosystem, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 13, 493–501, 1999.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K. and Papen, H.: Four years continuous record of CH<sub>4</sub>-exchange between the atmosphere and untreated and limed soil of an N-saturated spruce and Beech forest ecosystem in Germany, Plant Soil, 1, 77–90, 2002.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Gasche, R., Breuer, L., and Papen, H.: Flux of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O from temperate forest soil: impact of forest type, N deposition and of liming on the NO and N<sub>2</sub>O emission, Nutr.

Cycl. Agroecos., 48, 79-90, 1997.

- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Gasche, R., Huber, C., Kreutzer, K., and Papen, H.: Impact of N-input by wet deposition on N-trace fluxes and CH<sub>4</sub>-oxidation in spruce forest ecosystems of the temperate zone in Europe, Atmos. Environ., 32, 559–564, 1998.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Gasche, R., Willibald, G., and Papen, H.: Exchange of N-gases at the Höglwald Forest-A summary, Plant Soil, 240, 117–123, 2002.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Kiese, R., and Liu, C. Y.: Measurement of biosphere-atmosphere exchange of CH<sub>4</sub> in terrestrial ecosystems, Method. Enzymol., 495, 271–287, 2011.
- Cardenas, L., Rondon, A., Johansson, C., and Sanhueza, E.: Effects of soil moisture, temperature, and inorganic nitrogen on nitric oxide emissions from acidic tropical savannah soils, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 14783–14790, 1993.
- Castro, M. S., Steudler, P. A., Melillo, J. M., Aber J. D., and Millham, S.: Exchange of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> between the atmosphere and soils in spruce-fir forest in the Northeastern United States, Biogeochem., 18, 119–135, 1993.
- Castro, M. S., Melillo, J. M., Steudler, P. A., and Chapman, J. W.: Soil-moisture as a predictor of methane uptake by temperate forest soils, Can. J. Forest Res., 24, 1805–1810, 1994.
- Castro, M. S., Steudler, P. A., Melillo, J. M., Aber, J. D., and Bowden, R. D.: Factors controlling atmospheric methane consumption by temperate forest soils, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 9, 1–10, 1995.
- Chan, A. S. K., Steudler, P. A., Bowden, R. D., Gulledge, J., and Cavanaugh, C. M.: Consequences of nitrogen fertilization on soil methane consumption in a productive temperate deciduous forest, Biol. Fert. Soils, 41, 182–189, 2005.
- Ciais, P., Reichstein, M., Viovy, N., Granier, A., Ogee, J., Allard, V., Aubinet, M., Buchmann, N., Bernhofer, C., Carrara, A., Chevallier, F., De Noblet, N., Friend, A. D., Friedlingstein, P., Grunwald, T., Heinesch, B., Keronen, P., Knohl, A., Krinner, G., Loustau, D., Manca, G., Matteucci, G., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J. M., Papale, D., Pilegaard, K., Rambal, S., Seufert, G., Soussana, J. F., Sanz, M. J., Schulze, E. D., Vesala, T., and Valentini, R.: Europewide reduction in primary productivity caused by the heat and drought in 2003, Nature, 437, 529–533, 2005.
- Conen, F. and Neftel, A.: Do increasingly depleted delta (15) N values of atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O indicated a decline in soil N<sub>2</sub>O reduction? Biogeochem., 82, 321–326, 2007.
- Conrad, R.: Soil microorganisms as controllers of atmospheric trace gases (H2, CO, CH<sub>4</sub>, OCS, N<sub>2</sub>O, and NO), Microbiol. Rev., 60, 609–640, 1996.
- Davidson, E. A., Verchot, L. V., Cattânio, J. H., Ackerman, I. L., and Carvalho, J. E. M.: Effects of soil water content on soil respiration in forests and cattle pastures of eastern Amazonia, Biogeochem., 48, 53–69, 2000.
- Davidson, E. A., Janssens, I. A., and Luom Y.: On the variability of respiration in terrestrial ecosystems: moving beyond Q<sub>10</sub>, Global Change Biol., 12, 154–164, 2006.
- De Bruijn, A. M. G., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Blagodatsky, S., and Grote, R.: Model evaluation of different mechanisms driving freeze-thaw N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 133, 196– 207, 2009.
- Denier van der Gon, H. A. C. and Bleeker, A.: Indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emission due to atmospheric N deposition for the Netherlands, Atmos. Environ., 39, 5827–5838, 2005.

- Dimitriadou, E., Hornik, K., Leisch, F., Meyer, D., and Weingessel, A.: Misc Functions of the Department of Statistics, R package version 1.6, TU Wien, Vienna, 2011.
- Dobbie, K. E. and Smith, K. A.: The effect of temperature, waterfilled pore space and land use on N<sub>2</sub>O emission from an imperfectly drained gleysol, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 52, 667–673, 2001.
- Draper, N. R. and Smith, H.: Applied Regression Analysis, Second Edn., Wiley, New York, 1981.
- Elberling, B. and Ladegaard-Pdersen, P.: Subsurface CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics in temperate beech and spruce forest stands, Biogeochem., 75, 479–506, 2005.
- Epron, D., Nouvellon, Y., Roupsard, O., Mouvondy, W., Mabiala, A., Saint-Andre, L., Joffre, R., Jourdan, C., Bonnefond, J. M., Berbigier, P., and Hamel, O.: Spatial and temporal variations of soil respiration in a Eucalyptus plantation in Congo, Forest Ecol. Manage., 202, 149–160, 2004.
- Firestone, M. K. and Davidson, E. A.: Microbial basis of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O production and consumption in soil, in: Exchange of trace gases between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere, edited by: Andreae, M. O. and Schimel, D. S., 7-21, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, U.K., 1989.
- Gasche, R. and Papen, H.: A 3-year continuous record of nitrogen trace gas fluxes from untreated and limed soil of a N-saturated spruce and beech forest ecosystem in Germany: 2. NO and NO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 18505–18520, 1999.
- Graf, A., Weihermüller, L., Huisman, J. A., Herbst, M., Bauer, J., and Vereecken, H.: Measurement depth effects on the apparent temperature sensitivity of soil respiration in field studies, Biogeosciences, 5, 1175–1188, doi:10.5194/bg-5-1175-2008, 2008.
- Griffis, T. J., Black, T. A., Gaumont-Guay, D., Drewitt, G. B., Nesic, Z., Barr, A. G., Morgenstern, K., and Kljun, N.: Seasonal variation and partitioning of ecosystem respiration in a southern boreal aspen forest, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 125, 207–223, 2004.
- Groffman, P., Brumme, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dobbie, K. E., Mosier, A. R., Ojima, D., Papen, H., Parton, W. J., Smith, K. A., and Wagner-Riddle, C.: Evaluating annual nitrous oxide fluxes at the ecosystem scale, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 14, 1061–1070, 2000.
- Henckel, T., Jackel, U., Schnell, S., and Conrad, R.: Molecular analyses of novel methanotrophic communities in forest soil that oxidize atmospheric methane, Appl. Environ. Microb., 66, 1801– 1808, 2000.
- Högberg, P., Nordgren, A., Buchmann, N., Taylor, A. F. S., Ekblad, A., Högberg, M. N., Nyberg, G., Ottosson-Löfvenius, M., and Read, D. J.: Large-scale forest girdling shows that current photosynthesis drives soil respiration, Nature, 411, 789–792, 2001.
- Huber, C., Kreutzer, K., Rohle, H., and Rothe, A.: Response of artificial acid irrigation, liming, and N-fertilization on elemental concentrations in needles, litter fluxes, volume increment, and crown transparency of an N saturated Norway spruce stand, Forest Ecol. Manag., 200, 3–21, 2004.
- Hutchinson, G. L. and Mosier, A. R.: Improved soil cover method for field measurement of nitrous-oxide fluxes, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 45, 311–316, 1981.
- Jacinthe, P. A. and Lal, R.: Effect of soil cover and land-use on the relations flux-concentration of trace gases, Soil Sci., 169, 243–259, 2004.
- Jungkunst, H. F., Fiedler, S., and Stahr, K.: N<sub>2</sub>O emission of a mature Norway spruce (Picea abies) stand in the Black Forest

(southwest Germany) as differentiated by the soil pattern, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D07302, doi:10.1029/2003JD004344, 2004.

- Kecman, V.: Learning and soft computing: Support Vector Machines, Neural Network and Fuzzy Logic Models, MIT press, Cambridge, New York, 2001.
- Kesik, M., Blagodatsky, S., Papen, H., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Effect of pH, temperature and substrate on N<sub>2</sub>O, NO and CO<sub>2</sub> production by *Alcaligenes faecal*is P, J. Appl. Microbiol., 101, 655–667, 2006.
- Koponen, H. T., Flojt, L., and Martikainen, P. J.: Nitrous oxide emission from agricultural soil at low temperature: a laboratory microcosm study, Soil Biol. Biochem., 36, 757–766, 2004.
- Kreutzer, K.: Effects of forest liming on soil processes, Plant Soil, 168, 447–470, 1995.
- Kreutzer, K. and Weiss, T.: The Höglwald field experiments-aims, concept and basic data, Plant Soil, 199, 1–10, 1998.
- Kreutzer, K., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Rennenberg, H., and Papen, H.: The complete nitrogen cycle of an N-saturated spruce forest ecosystem, Plant Biol., 11, 643–649, 2009.
- Kurganova, I. N., Teepe, R., and de Gerenyu, V. O. L.: The dynamics of N<sub>2</sub>O emission from arable and forest soils under alternating freeze-thaw conditions, Eurasian Soil Sci., 37, 1219–1228, 2004.
- Kuzyakov, Y., Friedel, J. K., and Stahr, K.: Review of mechanisms and quantification of priming effects, Soil Biol. Biochem., 32, 1485–1498, 2000.
- Liu, L. and Greaver, T. L.: A review of nitrogen enrichment effects on three biogenic GHGs: the CO<sub>2</sub> sink may be largely offset by simulated N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emission, Ecol. Lett., 12, 1103–1117, 2009.
- Ludwig, J., Meixner, F. X., Vogel, B., and Förstner, J.: Soil-air exchange of nitric oxide: An overview of process, environmental factors, and modeling studies, Biogeochem., 52, 225–257, 2001.
- Mariko, S., Nishimura, N., Mo, W. H., Matsui, Y., Kibe, T., and Koizumi, H.: Winter CO<sub>2</sub> flux from soil and snow surfaces in a cool-temperate deciduous forest, Japan, Ecol. Res., 15, 363–372, 2000.
- Matzner, E. and Borken, W.: Do freeze-thaw events enhance C and N losses from soils of different ecosystems? A review, Eurasian Soil Sci., 59, 274–284, 2008.
- Migiavacca, M., Reichstein, M., Richardson, A. D., Colombo, R., Sutton, M. A., Laslob, G., Tomelleri, E., Wohlfahrt, G., Cavalhais, N., Cescatti, A., Mahecha, M. D., Montagnany, L., Papale, D., Zaehle, S., Arian, A., Arneth, A., Black, T. A., Carrara, A., Dore, S., Gianelle, D., Helfter, C., Hollinger, D., Kutsch, W. L., Lafleur, P. M., Nouvellon, Y., Redmann, C., DaRocha, H. R., Rodighiero, M., Roupsard, O., Sebastia, M. T., Seufert, G., Soussona, J. F., and Van der Molen, M. K.: Semiempirical modeling of abiotic and biotic factors controlling ecosystem respiration across eddy covariance sites, Global Change Biol., 17, 390–409, 2011.
- Nikolova, P. S., Raspe, S., Andersen, C. P., Mainiero, R., Blaschke, H., Matyssek, R., and Haberle, K. H.: Effects of the extreme drought in 2003 on soil respiration in a mixed forest, Eur. J. For. Res., 128, 87–98, 2009.
- Papen, H. and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: A 3-year continuous record of nitrogen trace gas fluxes from untreated and limed soil of an N-saturated spruce and beech forest ecosystem in Germany: 1. N<sub>2</sub>O

emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 18487-18503, 1999.

- Phillips, S. C., Varner, R. K., Frolking, S., Munger, J. W., Bubier, J. L., Wofsy, S. C., and Crill, P. M.: Interannual, seasonal, and diel variation in soil respiration relative to ecosystem respiration at a wetland to upland slope at Harvard Forest, J. Geophys. Res-Biogeosci., 115, G02019, doi:10.1029/2008JG000858, 2010.
- Pilegaard, K., HummelshØj, P., and Jensen, N. O.: Nitric oxide emission from a Norway spruce forest floor, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 3433–3445, 1999.
- Pilegaard, K., Skiba, U., Ambus, P., Beier, C., Brüggemann, N., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dick, J., Dorsey, J., Duyzer, J., Gallagher, M., Gasche, R., Horvath, L., Kitzler, B., Leip, A., Pihlatie, M. K., Rosenkranz, P., Seufert, G., Vesala, T., Westrate, H., and Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S.: Factors controlling regional differences in forest soil emission of nitrogen oxides (NO and N<sub>2</sub>O), Biogeosciences, 3, 651–661, doi:10.5194/bg-3-651-2006, 2006.
- R Development Core Team, R: A language and environment for statistical computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, 2011.
- Raich, J. W. and Schlesinger, W. H.: The global Carbon-Dioxide flux in soil respiration and its relationship to vegetation and climate, Tellus B, 44, 81–99, 1992.
- Raich, J. W. and Tufekcioglu, A.: Vegetation and soil respiration: Correlation and controls, Biogeochem., 48, 71–90, 2000.
- Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Gilmanov, T., Granier, A., Grünwald, T., Havranokova, K., Ilvesniemi, H., Janous, D., Knohl, A., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J-M., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen, J., Seufert, G., Vaccari, F., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., and Valentini, R.: On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved algorithm, Global Change Biol. 11, 1–16, 2005.
- Roelle, P. A., Aneja, V. P., Gay, B., Geron, C., and Pierce, T.: Biogenic nitric oxide emissions from cropland soil, Atmos. Environ., 35, 115–124, 2001.
- Rosenkranz, P., Brüggemann, N., Papen, H., Xu, Z., Horvath, L., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Soil N and C trace gas fluxes and microbial soil N turnover in a sessile oak forest in Hungary, Plant Soil, 286, 301–322, 2006.
- Rosenkranz, P., Brüggemann, N., Papen, H., Dannenmann, M., Berger, U., Zumbusch, E., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Influence of forest management on microbial respiration and N turnover in a nitrogen-saturated spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) stand in Southern Germany, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 61, 745–758, 2010.
- Roslev, P., Lversern, N., and Henriksen, K.: Oxidation and assimilation of atmospheric methane by soil methane oxidizers, Appl. Environ. Microb., 63, 874–880, 1997.
- Rothe, A., Huber, C., Kreutzer, K., and Weis, W.: Deposition and soil leaching in stands of Norway spruce and European beech: Results from the Höglwald research in comparison with other European case studies, Plant Soil, 240, 33–45, 2002.
- Saiz, G., Black, K., Reidy, B., Lopez, S., and Farrell, E. P.: Assessment of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and its components using a processbased model in a young temperate forest site, Geoderma, 139, 79–89, 2007.
- Savage, K. E. and Davidson, E. A.: Interannual variation of soil respiration in two New England forests, Global Biogeochem. Cy.,

#### G. J. Luo et al.: Decadal variability of soil CO<sub>2</sub>, NO, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes

15, 337–350, 2001.

- Schimel, J. P. and Gulledge, J.: Microbial community structure and global trace gases, Glob. Change Biol., 4, 745–758, 1998.
- Schindlbacher, A., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Effects of soil moisture and temperature on NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from European forest soils, J. Geophys. Res., 109, 1–12, 2004.
- Skiba, U., Sheppard, L., Pitcairn, C. E. R., Leith, I., Crossley, A., van Dijk, S., Kennedy, V. H., and Fowler, D.: Soil nitrous oxide and nitric oxide emissions as indicator of elevated atmospheric N deposition rates in seminatural ecosystem, Environ. Pollut., 102, 457–461, 1998.
- Skopp, J., Jawson, M. D., and Doran, J. W.: Steady state aerobic microbial activity as a function of soil water content, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc., 54, 1619–1625, 1990.
- Smith, K. A., Thomson, P. E., Clayton, H., and McTaggard, I. P.: Effects of temperature, water content and nitrogen fertilization on emission of nitrous oxide by soils, Atmos. Environ., 32, 3301– 3309, 1998.
- Smith, K. A., Dobbie, K. E., Ball, B. C., Bakken, L. R., Sitaula, B. K., Hansen, S., Brumme, R., Borken, W., Christensen, S., Prieme, A., Fowler, D., Macdonald, J. A., Skiba, U., Klemedtsson, L., Kasimir-Klemedtsson, A., Degorska, A., and Orlanski, P.: Oxidation of atmospheric methane in Northern European soils, comparison with other ecosystems, and uncertainties in the global terrestrial sink, Glob. Change Biol., 6, 793–803, 2000.
- Steudler, P. A., Bowden, R. D., Melillo, J. M., and Aber, J. D.: Influence of nitrogen fertilization on methane uptake in temperate forest soils, Nature, 341, 314–316, 1989.
- Subke, J. A., Reichstein, M., and Tenhunen, J. D.: Explaining temporal variation in soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in a mature spruce forest in Southern Germany, Soil Biol. Biochem., 35, 1467–1493, 2003.
- Teepe, R., Brumme, R., and Beese, F.: Nitrous oxide from soils during freezing and thawing periods, Soil Biol. Biochem., 33, 1269– 1275, 2001.
- Teepe, R., Vor, A., Beese, F., and Ludwig, B.: Emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O from soils during cycles of freezing and thawing and the effects of soil water, texture and duration of freezing, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 55, 357–365, 2004.

- Van Dijk, S. M. and Duyzer, J. H.: Nitric oxide emissions from forest soils, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 15955–15961, 1999.
- Van Dijk, S. M. and Meixner, F. X.: Production and consumption of NO in forest and pasture soils from the Amazon basin, Water Air Soil Pollut., 1, 119–130, 2001.
- Urbanski, S., Barford, C., Wofsy, S., Kucharik, C., Pyle, E., Budney, J., McKain, K., Fitzjarrald, D., Czikowsky, M., and Munger, J.
  W.: Factors controlling CO<sub>2</sub> exchange on timescales from hourly to decadal at Harvard Forest, J. Geophys. Res., 112, G02020, doi:10.1029/2006JG000293, 2007.
- Wang, C. L., Yu, G. R., Zhou, G. Y., Yan, J. H., Zhang, L. M., Wang, X., Tang, X. L., and Sun, X. M.: CO<sub>2</sub> flux evaluation over the evergreen coniferous and broad-leaved mixed forest in Dinghushan, China, Sci. China Ser. D., 49, 127–138, 2006.
- Wang, X., Jiang, Y. L., Jia, B. R., Wang, F. Y., and Zhou, G. S.: Comparison of soil respiration among three temperate forests in Changbai Mountains, China, Can. J. Forest Res., 40, 788–795, 2010.
- Weis, W., Rotter, V., and Göttlein, A.: Water and element fluxes during the regeneration of Norway spruce with European beech, Forest Ecol. Manag., 224, 304–317, 2006.
- Williams, E. J., Guenther, A., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: An inventory of nitric oxide emissions from soils in the United States, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 7511-7519, 1992.
- Williams, E. J., Parrish, D. D., Buhr, M. P., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Fall, R.: Measurement of soil NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in central Pennsylvania, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 9539–9546, 1998.
- Wolf, B., Zheng, X. H., Brüggemann, N., Chen, W. W., Dannenmann, M., Han, X. G., Sutton, M. A., Wu, H. H., Yao, Z. S., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Grazing-induced reduction of natural nitrous oxide release from continental steppe, Nature, 464, 881– 881-4, doi:10.1038/natureß8931, 2010.
- Wu, X., Brüggemann, N., Gasche, R., Shen, Z. Y., Wolf, B., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Environmental controls over soilatmosphere exchange of N<sub>2</sub>O, NO and CO<sub>2</sub> in a temperate Norway spruce forest, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 24, GB2012, doi:10.1029/2009GB003616, 2010.
- Yuste, J. C., Janssens, I. A., and Ceulemans, R.: Calibration and validation of an empirical approach to model soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in a deciduous forest, Biogeochem., 73, 209–230, 2005.