Biogeosciences Discussions, 1, 709–724, 2004 www.biogeosciences.net/bgd/1/709/ SRef-ID: 1810-6285/bgd/2004-1-709 © European Geosciences Union 2004



Biogeosciences Discussions is the access reviewed discussion forum of Biogeosciences

Hydroxylamine (NH₂OH) in the Baltic Sea

S. Gebhardt¹, S. Walter¹, G. Nausch², and H. W. Bange¹

¹Forschungsbereich Marine Biogeochemie, Leibniz-Institut für Meereswissenschaften (IFM-GEOMAR), Düsternbrooker Weg 20, 24105 Kiel, Germany ²Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Seestr. 15, 18119 Rostock, Germany

Received: 14 September 2004 - Accepted: 5 October 2004 - Published: 19 October 2004

Correspondence to: H. W. Bange (hbange@ifm-geomar.de)

BGD

1, 709–724, 2004

Hydroxylamine (NH₂OH) in the Baltic Sea

S. Gebhardt et al.

Title Page

Abstract

The vertical distribution of dissolved hydroxylamine (NH_2OH) was measured for the first time at 10 stations in the western, southern and central Baltic Sea during a cruise

in February 2004. The distribution of dissolved NH₂OH was complex due to the in terplay of in-situ production in the shallow western and southern Baltic Sea and the hydrographical setting in the central Baltic Sea caused by the major North Sea water inflow event in January 2003. We conclude that nitrification might be the major source of NH₂OH, whereas anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) appeared to be negligible. We suggest that a "fresh" nitrifying system, in which the NH₄⁺-oxidation rates
 exceeded the NO₂⁻-oxidation rates, favoured the build-up of NH₂OH.

1. Introduction

Hydroxylamine (NH₂OH) is an intermediate in two important microbial processes of the nitrogen cycle: It is formed during nitrification $(NH_4^+ \rightarrow NH_2OH \rightarrow NO_2^- \rightarrow NO_3^-)$ (e.g. Arp and Stein, 2003) as well as during anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox: $NO_2^- \rightarrow NH_2OH + NH_4^+ \rightarrow N_2$) (Jetten, 2001). Furthermore, NH_2OH is a precursor of ni-15 trous oxide (N_2O) as a side product during nitrification (e.g. Arp and Stein, 2003). Oceanic nitrification is a major formation pathway of dissolved N₂O in the ocean (Bange and Andreae, 1999). Since oceanic N₂O emissions are of significant importance for the Earth's climate (Montzka et al., 2003; Prather et al., 2001), deciphering the distribution of potential precursors such as NH₂OH is important to understand the formation 20 pathways of N_2O . However, there are only a few published measurements of dissolved NH₂OH in oceanic environments such as the Yaquina River estuary in Oregon (Butler et al., 1987), a coastal lagoon in California (Butler et al., 1988) and the continental shelf off Oregon (Von Breymann et al., 1982). Results from an early laboratory study and the Yaguina Bay suggested that nitrification might be the principal formation process of 25 NH₂OH (Butler et al., 1988; Rajendran and Venugopalan, 1976).

1, 709-724, 2004

Hydroxylamine (NH₂OH) in the Baltic Sea

S. Gebhardt et al.



The Baltic Sea consists of a series of basins (Arkona, Bornholm, western and eastern Gotland Basins; see Fig. 1) with restricted horizontal water exchange. The freshwater supply from the rivers and the discontinuously inflowing saline North Sea water result in a surface layer with lower salinity, and more saline deep and bottom water

- ⁵ masses. The permanent halocline between these layers restricts the vertical exchange and is the reason for the development of stagnant deep waters accompanied by oxygen depletion and anoxia with accumulation of hydrogen sulphide. A major inflow of North Sea water, terminating the ongoing stagnation period in parts of the central Baltic Sea basins, occurred in January 2003 (Feistel et al., 2003; Nausch et al., 2003).
- ¹⁰ Here we present our measurements of dissolved NH₂OH from 10 stations in the western, southern and central Baltic Sea. The cruise took place on board the German research vessel Gauss (expedition no. 11/04/01) from 11 February to 22 February 2004 as part of the Cooperative Monitoring in the Baltic Sea Environment (COMBINE) program of the Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission (the so-called Helsinki Commission, HELCOM, see http://www.helcom.fi). The locations of the stations are
- shown in Fig. 1.

2. Methods

2.1. Principle

20

 NH_2OH was determined with an adaptation of the method first described by Von Breymann et al. (1982) and later modified by Butler and Gordon (1986). The method is based on the oxidation of NH_2OH by Fe(III) to N_2O (Bengtsson et al., 2002):

 $2NH_3OH^+ + 4Fe^{3+} \rightarrow N_2O + 4Fe^{2+} + H_2O + 6H^+$

The final concentration of NH₂OH, [NH₂OH], was computed as

 $[NH_2OH] = 2 * r^{-1} * ([N_2O] - [N_2O]^\circ),$



(1)

(2)

where *r* stands for the recovery factor (for the determination of *r* see section below), [N₂O] is the total concentration of dissolved N₂O in the sample after the oxidation of NH₂OH and [N₂O]° is the background concentration of dissolved N₂O in the sample. In the original method, Butler and Gordon (1986) used a purge-and-trap system to extract the N₂O from the sample. Here we used a headspace equilibration technique, which is routinely applied to measure oceanic N₂O depth profiles (Bange et al., 2001). Thus, N₂O concentrations, [N₂O] in nmol L⁻¹, were calculated as:

$$[N_2O] = (\beta x' P V_{wp} + \frac{x' P}{RT} V_{hs}) / V_{wp},$$

where x' is the measured dry mole fraction of N₂O (in ppb) in the headspace of the sample, *P* is the pressure in the headspace (estimated to be constant at $1.01325 \times 10^5 \text{ J m}^{-3}$), V_{hs} is the volume of the headspace, *T* is the equilibration temperature (in K), *R* is the gas constant (8.31441 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹), β is the Bunsen solubility (in nmol L⁻¹ atm⁻¹) as a function of *T* and the salinity of the sample (Weiss and Price, 1980), and V_{wp} is the volume of the water phase.

15 2.2. Sample treatment and analysis

All chemicals used were analytical reagent grade supplied by Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany. We always used deionised water (Milli-Q). Triplicate water samples for the determination of [N₂O]° and [N₂O] from various depths were taken from a 5 L-bottle rosette, equipped with a CTD-sensor. The samples for [N₂O]° (24 mL sample volume) were immediately poisoned with 0.5 mL 1.7 mM aqueous HgCl₂ solution. The samples for [N₂O] (118 mL sample volume) were acidified with 1 mL glacial acetic acid (final pH was 3). Then 1 mL of a 25 mM aqueous ferric ammonium sulphate (FAS, NH₄Fe (SO₄)₂ 12H₂O) solution was added. After 3 h (which is the required time to complete the NH₂OH oxidation reaction, see Butler and Gordon, 1986), the samples were poisoned with 0.5 mL 1.7 mM aqueous HgCl₂ solution. All samples were stored in the dark at 5°C until measurement in our laboratory in Kiel. In order to create the headspace,

BGD

1, 709-724, 2004

Hydroxylamine (NH₂OH) in the Baltic Sea

S. Gebhardt et al.

(3)



10 mL of sample were replaced with pure Helium (>99.999%, Messer Griesheim, Germany). Then the samples were allowed to equilibrate at least for 2 hours at room temperature (temperature was recorded). After equilibration, a subsample of 9 mL was drawn from the headspace with a gastight syringe. The subsample was injected through a phosphorus pentoxide (Sicapent® by Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) 5 drying column to purge a stainless steel 2 mL sample loop connected to a gas stream selecting valve. After switching the valve, the sample was injected onto the separation column (prewashed, packed molsieve 5A column, 1.83 m length, Alltech GmbH, Unterhaching, Germany) with a argon/methane (95/5) mixture (ECD quality by Messer Griesheim, Germany) as carrier gas (flow 20 mL min⁻¹). The separation was performed 10 isothermically at 190°C. N₂O was detected with an electron capture detector (ECD, Fisons model 800). The ECD was run in the constant current mode at 320°C with a pulse width of 1 μ s, a reference current of 1nA and a pulse voltage of 25 V. Signal processing and chromatogram integration was done with Chromstar (SCPA, Bremen,

- ¹⁵ Germany) software. For the calibration procedure we used certified standard gas mixtures with 100±2 ppb and 318±6 ppb N₂O in synthetic air (DEUSTE Steininger GmbH, Mühlhausen, Germany). The check of the ECD response curve (by dilution of pure N₂O with He) revealed a linear response for N₂O in the range from 76 ppb to 11,320 ppb thus no correction for non-linearity was applied for this range. For N₂O mole fractions
- 20 <76 ppb we applied the correction for non-linearity as described in Bange et al. (2001). Repeated measurements of the standard gas mixtures resulted in a mean relative error of 2.4%. The relative errors of the mean [NH₂OH] were in the range from 5 to 15%. The detection limit for [NH₂OH] was estimated to be approximately 2 nmol L⁻¹.
 - 2.3. Determination of the recovery factor
- ²⁵ The recovery factor *r* (see Eq. 2) was defined as the ratio of the measured NH₂OH to the theoretical NH₂OH. In order to determine the recovery factor, a series of NH₂OH standard solutions were prepared as follows: A NH₂OH stock solution was prepared by dissolution of 347.7 mg of dry NH₂OH HCl in 1 L water which was previously acidified



BGD

with 1 mL 1N HCl. The stock solution was stored in the dark at 5°C. 1 L of Baltic Sea water (from the Kiel Fjord) was filtered and acidified with 1 mL 1N HCl. Then 1 mL of the stock solution was added. This solution was diluted with Baltic Sea water to prepare a 5 μ mol L⁻¹ NH₂OH solution. In a further dilution step, standard solutions with concentrations of 2, 5, 10, 30 and 50 nmol L^{-1} NH₂OH were prepared. The solution was acidified with 3 mL glacial acetic acid to a final pH of 3. After adding 1 mL of the FAS solution (see Sample treatment and analysis) the samples were allowed to react for 16 h in order to complete the NH₂OH oxidation to N₂O. Finally, N₂O was analysed as described above. The combined natural background of N_2O and NH_2OH concentrations of the used Baltic Sea water was also determined. The procedure was repeated two times. The results are shown in Fig. 2. The resulting mean recovery factor was 0.31. For the computation of [NH₂OH] we used 0.31 for the recovery factor r in Eq. (2). Our recovery factor is at the lower end of the values reported by Butler and Gordon (1986), which ranged from 0.40 (Big Lagoon, California) to 0.83 (coast off Oregon). It appears that r strongly varies with the water matrices used (Butler and Gordon, 1986). We may speculate that dissolved trace metals and/or other dissolved constituents of the Baltic Sea water reduce the yield of the NH₂OH oxidation.

2.4. Nutrients

20

Concentrations of the dissolved inorganic nitrogen compounds nitrate (NO_3^-) , nitrite (NO_2^-) , ammonium (NH_4^+) , and oxygen (O_2) were determined using standard methods (Grasshoff et al., 1983).



3. Results and Discussion

5

10

3.1. Water column distribution

NH₂OH concentrations were in the range from 2 nmol L⁻¹ up to 179 nmol L⁻¹ (at station #22). Generally, NH₂OH concentrations were higher at the shallow, well-mixed stations (the mean for stations #360, #22 and #133 was 108 nmol L⁻¹) than in the mixed layer (ML, defined as temperature difference of <0.5°C between surface and depth) of the central Baltic Sea (26 ± 12 nmol L⁻¹, n=7). Our measurements are comparable with the NH₂OH concentrations found in the Yaquina River (Oregon) and Big Lagoon (California) which were in the range from 0 to 362 nmol L⁻¹ and 0 to 175 nmol L⁻¹, respectively (Butler et al., 1987; Butler et al., 1988).

The vertical distributions of NH_2OH in the water column were highly variable and partly showed complex structures (Figs. 3a–d). Enhanced NH_2OH concentrations at stations #22 and #360 were associated with high salinities indicating a significant contribution of North Sea water, however, a clear relationship was not detectable. Obviously there was no correlation of NH_2OH with N_2O or O_2 suggesting that (i) a direct

ously there was no correlation of NH₂OH with N₂O or O₂ suggesting that (i) a direct coupling of N₂O formation via NH₂OH was negligible and (ii) NH₂OH formation was not directly influenced by O₂. Our results are partly in contrast to the results of Butler et al. (1987; 1988) who reported a reasonable correlation of NH₂OH with N₂O. However, Butler et al. (1987) stated that the observed correlation does not necessarily prove N₂O formation via NH₂OH.

In the anoxic deep waters of the western Gotland Basin (stations #284 and #245), NH_2OH concentrations rapidly decreased close to 0 nmol L^{-1} when O_2 was exhausted (Fig. 3d). The effect of the recent North Sea water inflow event is illustrated by the distribution of NH_2OH at station #286 (Fig. 3c) in the northern Gotland Basin. Below the mixed layer (>50 m) the dramatic decrease of NH_2OH and N_2O at 80 m is associated with the state gradient of the O_2 separate form (down to 7.0 wmoll $^{-1}$ et 00 m).

²⁵ mixed layer (>50 m) the dramatic decrease of NH₂OH and N₂O at 80 m is associated with the steep gradient of the O₂ concentrations (down to 7.9 μ mol L⁻¹ at 90 m). Below 90 m the oxygen concentrations were enhanced due to the presence of O₂-rich North

BGD 1,709-724,2004 **Hydroxylamine** (NH₂OH) in the Baltic Sea S. Gebhardt et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction References Conclusions Figures Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion © EGU 2004

Sea water which ventilated the previously anoxic deep waters except for a narrow (now suboxic) depth range between 80–100 m. Therefore, the extremely low NH₂OH and N₂O concentrations at 80 m most probably were the residual signal of the "old" anoxic condition before the inflow event which is still found at station #284 (Fig. 3d).

5 3.2. Formation

25

NH₂OH concentrations at the shallow, well-mixed stations in proximity to the coast (especially stations #22 and #133) were associated with high NO₃⁻ concentrations (Fig. 4a). NH₂OH in the ML was well correlated with NO₂⁻ (Fig. 4b). High NH₂OH in conjunction with enhanced NO₂⁻ concentrations were found at stations #360, #22 and #133. The data from station #113 seem to support the correlation, however, in this case the enhanced NH₂OH and NO₂⁻ concentrations were found below the ML (Figs. 3a and 4b). The high NH₂OH concentrations in the western and southern Baltic Sea (i.e. stations #360, #22, #133) might result from two effects: (i) input via rivers or North Sea water and (ii) in-situ formation via nitrification.

Seike et al. (2004) observed NH₂OH concentrations in the range from 21 up to 3614 nmol L⁻¹ in the Hii and Iu Rivers in Japan indicating indeed that rivers may serve a potential source of high NH₂OH concentrations. Since we did not find any indications for an input of high NH₂OH by riverine sources (we found no correlation with salinity) we conclude that NH₂OH input by rivers at the time of our measurements was negligible. NH₂OH measurements in the North Sea are not known, thus, the question whether NH₂OH might be brought into the Baltic Sea by North Sea waters remains speculative. Most probably, NH₂OH was formed in-situ during nitrification at the shallow stations in the western and southern Baltic Sea. At a first glance this seems surprising because:

 Both steps of the nitrification process are light inhibited (Olson, 1981; Ward, 1985). However, Horrigan and Springer (1990) found that "photoinhibition of NH⁺₄ oxidation may be less important in estuarine environments than in oceanic ones". Since the Baltic Sea is an estuarine system, light inhibition of the nitrification is



most likely of minor importance.

5

10

15

20

2. Most microbial process rates show a pronounced seasonality, which follows the annual temperature cycle (ML temperatures during our study were in the range from 1.2 to 3.2° C). According to Ward (2000), however, nitrifying bacteria can easily adapt to low temperatures, thus we might expect nitrification even at the low temperatures during our study. This is in agreement with the results of Enoksson (1986) who found a significant seasonality of the nitrification rates in the ML of the western Gotland Basin (stations #284 and #245) indicating that the rates in November were considerably higher than in June. Additionally, during the time of our cruise, nitrifiers were not outcompeted by phytoplankton since the phytoplankton bloom, competing for NH⁺₄, usually starts a month later (in March).

Thus, we conclude that NH_2OH in the western and southern Baltic Sea (stations #360, #22, #133) was most likely formed by nitrification. This is in agreement with the results of Butler et al. (1987) who found a correlation between NH_4^+ oxidation rates and NH_2OH concentrations for the Yaquina River system.

Since high NH₂OH concentrations were associated with enhanced NO₂⁻ concentrations we may speculate that nitrification during our study was incomplete. Possibly the rates of the second nitrification step (i.e. NO₂⁻ oxidation to NO₃⁻) were lower than the rates of the NH₄⁺ oxidation (typical for a "fresh" nitrifying system, Philips et al. (2002)) or the second step was inhibited by the rather high concentrations of NH₂OH (Castignetti and Gunner, 1982).

Anammox, as an alternative formation process for NH_2OH , should only occur at the oxic/anoxic interface in the western Gotland Basin. Comparable conditions favouring anammox were found in the Black Sea and Golfo Dulce, Costa Rica (Dalsgaard et

al., 2003; Kuypers et al., 2003). However, the formation of NH₂OH by anammox in the Baltic Sea could not be verified. At the oxic/anoxic interface in the western Gotland Basin (see e.g. station #284, Fig. 4d) no distinct depletion or enhancement was observed.



4. Conclusions

The water column distribution of NH_2OH was measured for the first time in the Baltic Sea enlarging considerably the existing data set of oceanic NH_2OH measurements. The distribution of dissolved NH_2OH in Baltic Sea in February 2004 was complex due

- to the interplay of in-situ production in the shallow western and southern Baltic Sea and the hydrographical setting in the central Baltic Sea caused by the major North Sea water inflow event in January 2003. We found no correlation of NH₂OH with N₂O or O₂ suggesting (i) that a direct coupling of N₂O formation via NH₂OH was negligible and (ii) that NH₂OH formation was not influenced by O₂. Nitrification appeared to be the major NH₂OH source, whereas NH₂OH formation via anammox was not detectable.
- We suggest that a "fresh" nitrifying system, in which the NH_4^+ -oxidation rates exceeded the NO_2^- -oxidation rates, favoured the build-up of NH_2OH .

Acknowledgements. We thank chief scientist K. Nagel and the officers and crew of R/V Gauss for their excellent support. We thank G. Petrick and U. Richter for technical support as well as

J. Pohle and U. Breitenbach for help with the measurements. The German part of the HELCOM COMBINE program is conducted by the Leibniz-Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde on behalf of the Bundesamt für Seeschiffahrt und Hydrographie, Hamburg and is funded by the Bundesministerium für Verkehr, Berlin. The study was financially supported by the Forschungsbereich Marine Biogeochemie of the Leibniz-Institut für Meereswissenschaften (IFM-GEOMAR)
 Kiel.

References

25

- Arp, D. J. and Stein, L. Y.: Metabolism of inorganic N compounds by ammonia-oxidizing bacteria, Critical Rev. Biochem. Molecular Biol., 38, 471–495, 2003.
- Bange, H. W. and Andreae, M. O.: Nitrous oxide in the deep waters of the world's oceans, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 13, 1127–1135, 1999.
- Bange, H. W., Rapsomanikis, S., and Andreae, M. O.: Nitrous oxide cycling in the Arabian Sea, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 1053–1065, 2001.

BGD 1,709-724,2004 **Hydroxylamine** (NH₂OH) in the Baltic Sea S. Gebhardt et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Figures Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc Print Version Interactive Discussion © EGU 2004

- Bengtsson, G., Fronæus, S., and Bengtsson-Kloo, L.: The kinetics and mechanisms of oxidation of hydroxylamine by iron(III), J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 2548-2552, 2002.
- Butler, J. H. and Gordon, L. I.: An improved gas chromatographic method for the measurement of hydroxylamine in marine and fresh waters, Mar. Chem., 19, 229-243, 1986.
- 5 Butler, J. H., Jones, R. D., Garber, J. H., and Gordon, L. I.: Seasonal distribution and turnover of reduced trace gases and hydroxylamine in Yaguina Bay, Oregon, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 51, 697-706, 1987.
 - Butler, J. H., Pequegnat, J. E., Gordon, L. I., and Jones, R. D.: Cycling of methane, carbon monoxide, nitrous oxide, and hydroxylamine in a meromictic, coastal lagoon, Est. Coast.

Castignetti, D. and Gunner, H. B.: Differential tolerance of hydroxylamine by an Alicaligenes sp., a heterotrophic nitrifier, and by Nitrobacter agilis, Can. J. Microbiol., 28, 148–150, 1982. Dalsgaard, T., Canfield, D. E., Petersen, J., Thamdrup, B., and Acuña-González, J.: N₂ production by the anammox reaction in the anoxic water column of Golfo Dulce. Costa Rica, Nature.

422, 606-608, 2003. 15

25

- Enoksson, V.: Nitrification rates in the Baltic Proper: Comparison of three isotope techniques, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 51, 244–250, 1986.
- Feistel, R., Nausch, G., Matthäus, W., and Hagen, E.: Temporal and spatial evolution of the Baltic deep water renewal in spring 2003, Oceanologia, 45, 623-642, 2003.
- Grasshoff, K., Ehrhardt, M., and Kremling, K. (Eds): Methods of seawater analysis, pp. 419, 20 Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 1983.
 - Horrigan, S. G. and Springer, A. L.: Oceanic and estuarine ammonium oxidation: Effects of light, Limnol. Oceanogr., 35, 479-482, 1990.
 - Jetten, M. S. M.: New pathways for ammonia conversion in soil and aquatic systems, Plant Soil, 230, 9–19, 2001.
 - Kuypers, M. M. M., Sliekers, A. O., Lavik, G., Schmid, M., Jørgensen, B. B., Kuenen, J. G., Damsté, J. S. S., Strous, M., and Jetten, M. S. M.: Anaerobic ammonium oxidation by anammox bacteria in the Black Sea, Nature, 422, 608-611, 2003.

Montzka, S. A., Fraser, P. J., Butler, J. H., Connell, P. S., Daniel, J. S., Derwent, R. G., Lal, S.,

McCulloch, A., Oram, D. E., Reeves, C. E., Sanhueza, E., Steele, L. P., Velders, G. J. M., 30 Weiss, R. F., and Zander, R. J.: Controlled substances and other source gases, in Scientific assessment of ozone depletion: 2002, Global ozone research and monitoring project - report no. 47, edited by: World Meteorological Organization (WMO), pp. 1.1-1.83, WMO, Geneva,

BGD

1,709-724,2004

Hydroxylamine (NH₂OH) in the Baltic Sea

S. Gebhardt et al.

Title Page		
Abstract	Introduction	
Conclusions	References	
Tables	Figures	
[∢	►I	
•		
Back	Close	
Full Screen / Esc		
Print Version		
Interactive Discussion		
© EGU 2004		

Shelf Sci., 27, 181-203, 1988. 10

2003.

- Nausch, G., Matthäus, W., and Feistel, R.: Hydrographic and hydrochemical conditions in the Gotland Deep area between 1992 and 2003, Oceanologia, 45, 557–569, 2003.
- Olson, R. J.: Differential photoinhibition of marine nitrifying bacteria: A possible mechanism for the formation of the primary nitrite maximum, J. Mar. Res., 39, 227–238, 1981.
- the formation of the primary nitrite maximum, J. Mar. Res., 39, 227–238, 1981.
 Philips, S., Lannbrooek, H. J., and Verstraete, W.: Origin, causes and effects of increased nitrite concentrations in aquatic environments, Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol., 1, 115–142, 2002.
 - Prather, M., Ehhalt, D., Dentener, F., Derwent, R., Dlugokencky, E., Holland, E., Isaksen, I., Katima, J., Kirchhoff, V., Matson, P., Midgley, P., and Wang, M.: Atmospheric chemistry and
- greenhouse gases, in Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Houghton, J. T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D. J., Noguer, M., Van der Linden, P. J., Dai, X., Maskell, K., and Johnson, C. A., 239–287, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001.
- ¹⁵ Rajendran, A. and Venugopalan, V. K.: Hydroxylamine formation in laboratory experiments on marine nitrification, Mar. Chem., 4, 93–98, 1976.
 - Seike, Y., Fukumori, R., Senga, Y., Oka, H., Fujingaga, K., and Okumura, M.: A simple and sensitive method for the determination of hydroxylamine in fresh-water samples using hypochlorite followed by gas chromatography, Anal. Sci., 20, 139–142, 2004.
- Von Breymann, M. T., De Angelis, M. A., and Gordon, L. I.: Gas chromatography with electron capture detection for determination of hydroxylamine in seawater, Anal. Chem., 54, 1209– 1210, 1982.
 - Ward, B. B.: Light and marine substrate concentration relationships with marine ammonium assimilation and oxidation rates, Mar. Chem., 16, 301–316, 1985.
- ²⁵ Ward, B. B.: Nitrification and the marine nitrogen cycle, in Microbial ecology of the oceans, edited by: Kirchman, D. L., Wiley-Liss, New York, 427–453, 2000.
 - Weiss, R. F. and Price, B. A.: Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater, Mar. Chem., 8, 347–359, 1980.

1, 709–724, 2004

Hydroxylamine (NH₂OH) in the Baltic Sea

S. Gebhardt et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
14	
•	•
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Print Version	
Interactive Discussion	
© EGU 2004	



Fig. 1. Map of the Baltic Sea. Sampling stations are indicated.

BGD

1, 709–724, 2004

Hydroxylamine (NH₂OH) in the Baltic Sea

S. Gebhardt et al.



© EGU 2004



Fig. 2. Determination of the recovery fator based on two independent experiments. Data points represent mean of triplicate samples. (solid line: y=0.32x+0.054, $r^2=0.998$; dashed line: y=0.30x-0.37, $r^2=0.995$)

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

BGD

1,709-724,2004



BGD 1, 709–724, 2004

Hydroxylamine (NH₂OH) in the Baltic Sea

S. Gebhardt et al.



Fig. 3. NH_2OH (in nmol L⁻¹, triangles), N_2O (in nmol L⁻¹, squares) and O_2 (in μ mol L⁻¹/10, circles) in the central Baltic Sea: (a) station #113 Arkona Basin, (b) station #213 Bornholm Deep, (c) station #286 Farö Deep, and (d) station #284 Landsort Deep.





