



# ***Interactive comment on “Coastal upwelling fluxes of O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CO<sub>2</sub> assessed from continuous atmospheric observations at Trinidad, California”***

## **by T. J. Lueker**

**Anonymous Referee #3**

Received and published: 20 September 2004

The manuscript “Coastal upwelling fluxes of O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> assessed from continuous atmospheric observations at Trinidad, California” by T. J. Lueker focuses on the assessment of sea to air fluxes of selected gases (O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>) relying on atmospheric observations. Continuous measurements of these gases in the atmosphere have been used to unravel the terrestrial and marine fingerprints notably in the O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> observations. As first step air-sea fluxes have been estimated with the aid of various hydrographic data. Next a regional air-sea flux estimate is provided under consideration of satellite based sea surface temperature and wind data.

Notably the last step, the regional assessment of the fluxes seems to point to a possible future way of assessing CO<sub>2</sub> (and other) air-sea fluxes. The manuscript describes well the way to this approach, although in parts very concise. Compared to the ship based observations the use of remote sensing data offer the clear (and known) advantage with regard to the spatial and temporal coverage of the analysis. It would be helpful to

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

Interactive  
Comment

address the question, which density of atmospheric observations is required to provide a reliable regional (or even larger) estimate. In the current study data from only one atmospheric are used. Would this be sufficient and up to which regional coverage?

The manuscript is well written and all figures are clear and useful, however in general too concise. Somewhat more background information would help the reader to better understand the approach. I have commented on this point below. My recommendation would thus be to accept the manuscript “Coastal upwelling fluxes of O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> assessed from continuous atmospheric observations at Trinidad, California” by T. J. Lueker after some minor revisions.

**Detailed comments:**

P337, 1st para: It would be helpful to introduce the use of N<sub>2</sub>O data more in detail. What is the advantage or what is the message of the N<sub>2</sub>O data? Is the N<sub>2</sub>O somehow related to any oxygen depletion in the water column along the shelf or is it simply used as an indicator for marine biological activity?

P339: How was the N<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> ratio established? Was N<sub>2</sub> considered to be known (and stable) or were there accompanying N<sub>2</sub> measurements?

---

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discussions, 1, 335, 2004.

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper