Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 10143–10188, 2013 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/10143/2013/ doi:10.5194/bgd-10-10143-2013 © Author(s) 2013. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

Seasonal shifts in the contributions of the Changjiang River and the Kuroshio Current to nitrate dynamics at the continental shelf of the northern East China Sea based on a nitrate dual isotopic composition approach

Y. Umezawa¹, A. Yamaguchi¹, J. Ishizaka², T. Hasegawa³, C. Yoshimizu⁴, I. Tayasu⁴, H. Yoshimura⁵, Y. Morii⁵, T. Aoshima⁵, and N. Yamawaki⁵

¹Graduate School of Fisheries Science and Environmental Studies, Nagasaki University, 1-14 Bunkyo-machi, Nagasaki-city, Nagasaki, 852-8521, Japan

²Hydrospheric Atmospheric Research Center, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi, 464-8601, Japan

³Seikai National Fisheries Research Institute, Fisheries Research Agency, 1551-8 Taira-machi, Nagasaki 851-2213, Japan

⁴Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Japan, Hirano 2-509-3, Otsu city, Shiga, 520-2113, Japan





⁵Faculty of Fisheries, Nagasaki University, 1-14 Bunkyo-machi, Nagasaki-city, Nagasaki, 852-8521, Japan

Received: 31 March 2013 - Accepted: 4 June 2013 - Published: 22 June 2013

Correspondence to: Y. Umezawa (umezawa@nagasaki-u.ac.jp)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





Abstract

The northern East China Sea (ECS) serves as a spawning and nursery ground for many species of fish and squid. To clarify the basis of the food web in the northern ECS, we examined the nitrate (NO₃) dynamics along four latitudinal transects based on stable nitrogen and oxygen isotopes of NO₃ (δ^{15} N_{NO3} and δ^{18} O_{NO3}) and temperaturesalinity dynamics in both winter (February 2009) and summer (July 2009 and July 2011). The δ^{15} N_{NO3} and δ^{18} O_{NO3}, which were distinctly different among the potential NO₃ sources, were useful for clarifying NO₃ sources and its actual usage by phytoplankton. In winter, Kuroshio Subsurface Water (KSSW) and the Yellow Sea Mixed Water (YSMW) predominantly contributed to NO₃ distributed in the shelf water. In the surface water of the Okinawa Trough, NO₃ from the KSSW, along with a temperature increase caused by an intrusion of Kuroshio Surface Water (KSW), seemed to stimulate phytoplankton growth. In summer, Changjiang Diluted Water (CDW), Yellow Sea Cold Water Mass (YSCWM), and KSSW affected the distribution and abundance of NO₃ in

- ¹⁵ the northern ECS, depending on precipitation in the Changjiang drainage basin and the development of the YSCWM in the shelf bottom water. Isotopic fractionation during NO₃ uptake by phytoplankton seemed to drastically change $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$, which may indirectly indicate the amount of primary production. And $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3} - \ln([NO_3])$ dynamics and relatively lighter $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ suggested that atmospheric nitrogen and nitrification may have contributed to NO₃ dynamics, too, in surface and subsurface layers,
- respectively, during summer, suggesting a tightly coupled nitrogen cycle in the shelf water of the northern ECS.





1 Introduction

Surrounded by China, Korea, Japan, and Taiwan, the East China Sea (ECS) is a very productive marginal sea that is used as a spawning and nursery ground by many species of fish and squid (Sakurai et al., 2000; Hiyama et al., 2002). For example,
the jack mackerel, one of the most commercially important fish resources, hatches during winter in the southern ECS, and juveniles migrate in early summer to northern areas along the shelf-break region of the central ECS and then move farther north in late summer (Sassa et al., 2006, 2009). Therefore, primary production and subsequent zooplankton production in the ECS during each season, as well as the corresponding nutrient supplies supporting phytoplankton growth, need better understanding because of their importance as food sources for juvenile fishes. Changing nutrient conditions in the ECS, such as reduced silicate (Gong et al., 2006), limited phosphorus (Chai et al., 2009), and eutrophication (Li and Daler, 2004), are important factors effecting the

¹⁵ ellate *Prorocentrum* spp. (Dai et al., 2013; Kiyomoto et al., 2013), and the numbers of giant jellyfish *Nemopilema nomurai* (Uye, 2008), which preys on fish eggs and larvae and competes with juvenile fishes for micro- and mesozooplankton.

ecosystem, including primary production (Gong et al., 2006), blooms of the dinoflag-

Nitrate is the predominant DIN (dissolved inorganic nitrogen) species in the ECS, and new nitrogen is supplied by many rivers (Wong et al., 1998; Wang, 2006; Siswanto

- et al., 2008a; Liu et al., 2010b), intrusions from surrounding oceans (see below), bottom water (Hung and Gong, 2011; Shiozaki et al., 2011) and occasional deposition from the atmosphere (Nakamura et al., 2005, 2006; Kodama et al., 2011). The water on the continental shelf in the northern ECS is a mixture of Changjiang Diluted Water (CDW), Kuroshio Surface Water (KSW), Kuroshio Subsurface Water (KSSW), Yellow Sea Cold
- ²⁵ Water Mass (YSCWM), and Taiwan Warm Current Water (TWCW) (e.g., Ichikawa and Beardsley, 2002; Liu et al., 2010b). Shelf Mixed Water (SMW) forms from these with a mixing ratio that varies with location and season (e.g., Zhang et al., 2007a). In summer, the nutrient-rich Changjiang buoyant plume disperses eastward and reaches as



far as the shelf break (Isobe et al., 2004), whereas in winter, when precipitation is limited and a northerly wind prevails, CDW flows southward along the coast (Chen, 2008). In summer, Taiwan Strait Warm Water (TSWW) flows dominantly northeastward along with KSW, and these waters merge northeast of Taiwan due to impingement

- of the Kuroshio on the continental shelf and by onshore intrusion caused by frontal eddy motion around the shelf edge (Zhu et al., 2004; Guo et al., 2006; Lee and Matsuno, 2007). In northern areas of the ECS, the southern part of YSCWM develops in summer and decays in fall (Zhang et al., 2008). Then, in winter, the warm Kuroshio intrusion becomes gradually stronger relative to the YSCWM, and contacts nutrient-rich
- ¹⁰ SMW, triggering diatom proliferation (Chiang et al., 2004). Hence, water characteristics and associated nutrient supplies in the ECS are very complex and show significant seasonal variation. Some nutrient budgets for shelf areas of the ECS are currently evaluated by box models based on the nutrient concentrations of each water mass and the concept of conservation of water and salt masses (Chen and Wang, 1999; Zhang
- et al., 2007a) or in combination with Ra (radium) isotopes (Zhang et al., 2007b). However, nutrient budget models do not indicate a contribution of each water mass-derived nutrient to primary production on the shelf. Moreover, the possibility of a higher contribution of nitrification to NO₃ dynamics in the euphotic zone (Shiozaki et al., 2011) and a lower *f*-ratio on the shelf (Chen et al., 2001; Chen and Chen, 2003) make it difficult to assess the contribution of each new source of nitrogen to primary production. Spatial
- and temporal variation in these nutrient supplies and their availability to phytoplankton remain uncertain.

Stable isotopes of NO₃ have been effectively applied to clarify NO₃ dynamics in several coastal areas and in oceanic waters. Both of the heavier isotopes of NO₃ (i.e., ¹⁵N and ¹⁸O) react more slowly in enzyme-mediated reactions, such as NO₃ assimilation and denitrification, resulting in a gradual increase in heavier isotopes of the remaining NO₃ at a specific ratio (e.g., ¹⁸ ε :¹⁵ ε = 1 for assimilation by eukary-otic phytoplankton and cyanobacteria, ¹⁸ ε :¹⁵ ε = 2 for heterotrophic bacteria (Granger et al., 2004, 2010), and ¹⁸ ε :¹⁵ ε = 0.5 to 1.0 for denitrification (Chen and MacQuar-



rie, 2005; Granger et al., 2008), where ¹⁸ε and ¹⁵ε[‰] are kinetic isotope effects expressed as the ratio of the reaction rates [k] for the heavy and light isotopes as follows: ¹⁸ε[¹⁵ε] = (¹⁶k/¹⁸k[¹⁴k/¹⁵k] – 1) × 1000) and various values in each N pool of the natural environment. Therefore, when the values of stable isotopes of each NO₃ source are distinct from those of other sources, the information can be used to trace the contribution of each NO₃ source (Leichter et al., 2007). Also, when NO₃ is taken up by phytoplankton and bacteria following a Rayleigh fractionation model in a closed system, a linear relationship is observed between the log-transformed NO₃ concentration (In[NO₃]) and δ¹⁵N in NO₃ (Sigman et al., 1999; Teranes and Bernasconi, 2000).
This provides evidence of actual uptake of NO₃ by phytoplankton and other species (e.g., denitrifying bacteria), and the extent of any deviation from linearity suggests the presence of other biological reactions in the N cycle, such as nitrogen fixation (Sigman et al., 2005) and nitrification (Wankel et al., 2007; Sugimoto et al., 2009). Because

isotopic signatures provide time- and space-integrated evidence of multiple biogeo chemical processes (Wankel et al., 2007), this information should be helpful for under standing NO₃ dynamics on the shelf of the ECS, which have previously been explored
 from only snapshot water sampling and T-S diagram studies.

Therefore, in this study, we investigated the primary mechanisms that control δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O values in NO₃ in northern ECS areas, the sources of NO₃ supplied to northern ECS areas and the actual use of it by phytoplankton in winter and summer, based

ern ECS areas and the actual use of it by phytoplankton in winter and summer, based on information from multiple isotopes of NO₃ combined with other traditional conservative parameters (salinity and temperature).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study site

²⁵ The ECS is a marginal sea surrounded by the Eurasian continent and a chain of islands, and is connected to the Yellow Sea in the north and the South China Sea through





the Taiwan Strait in the south (Fig. 1). More than 70 % of the area of the ECS is shallow (< 200 m) continental shelf, but the deep Okinawa Trough (ca. 1000 to 2000 m) is located in the southern and eastern ECS. A strong western boundary current, much like the Gulf Stream, the Kuroshio flows from the Philippine Sea to the North Pacific Ocean,

- and a branch of the Kuroshio flows northward along the Okinawa Trough. The climate in the ECS during summer (May to October) is roughly categorized as the rainy season, due to monsoonal southerly oceanic winds and tropical typhoons, whereas during winter (November to April), it is classified as the dry season largely due to a northerly continental wind. Accordingly, seasonal and annual changes in the discharge of the orthogonal southerly of the discharge o
- ¹⁰ Changjiang River, which is one of the five largest rivers in the world by discharge volume, and the development of the YSCWM and TWCW highly affect the hydrographic characteristics and potentially the nutrient dynamics of the ECS.

Field observations and water sampling for chemical analyses were carried out in the northern ECS during three cruises: 4–10 February 2009 onboard the RV Yoko–

Maru (Fisheries Research Agency) and 17–27 July 2009 and 15–25 July 2011 onboard the training vessel (TV) Nagasaki–Maru (Nagasaki University). One main latitudinal transect (Line D: 31°45.00′ N) was established from the deep Okinawa Trough to the mid-shelf area and intensively surveyed throughout the three cruises. During the two summer cruises, surveys were also conducted along three other transects (Line A: 32°55.00′ N, Line B: 32°30.00′ N, and Line C: 32°15.00′ N), along which freshwater from the Changjiang River is commonly distributed during summer.

2.2 Field observations

25

Hydrographic conditions were measured along the transects by profiling from the surface to within 5 m of the bottom using a conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) recorder (SBE 9plus, Seabird Electronics, Inc.) with an attached chlorophyll fluorometer (Seapoint chlorophyll fluorometer, Seapoint Sensors, Inc.) and dissolved oxygen sensor (SBE43, Seabird Electronics, Inc.). CTD casts were made at intervals of about 23.7 km in February 2009 and at intervals of about 31.2 km in July 2009 and July 2011.





Water samples were collected from different layers (i.e., surface, 10 m, 20 m, 30 m, 50 m, 75 m, 125 m, 200 m, 400 m, 600 m, and chlorophyll maximum, 5 m from the bottom, varying with the water depth) using 12 Niskin bottles (5 L) mounted on a Rosette sampler (General Oceanics, Inc.) with a CTD probe (SBE 9/11, Seabird Electronics, Inc.) and then were filtered through a pre-sembuated ($450^{\circ}C$, 2b) glass filtered filtered through a pre-sembuated ($450^{\circ}C$, 2b) glass filtered filt

₅ Inc.) and then were filtered through a pre-combusted (450 °C, 3h) glass fiber filter (Whatman GF/F). Aliquots for nutrient and stable isotopes of NO₃ analyses were put immediately in acrylic tubes and polyethylene bottles rinsed with distilled water after two rinses with sample water. All samples were kept frozen at -20 °C until chemical analysis.

10 2.3 Nutrient and stable isotope analyses

The nitrate and nitrite concentrations ([NO₃], [NO₂]) in the water samples were measured colorimetrically using an autoanalyzer (AACS 4; BLTEC, Japan). For water samples with more than about 1.5μ M–NO₃, the stable nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios (i.e., δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O) in NO₃ + NO₂ were determined using the denitrifier methods of Sigman et al. (2001) and Casciotti et al. (2002). Although the samples included a small amount of NO₂ (i.e., less than 3% and 15% for nitrate in summer and winter, respectively), NO₂ was not removed, so NO₃ + NO₂ is hereafter described simply as nitrate (NO₃) unless specified otherwise.

In brief, NO₃ was converted into nitrous oxide (N₂O) by denitrifying bacteria that lack
 N₂O-reductase activity. The N₂O was stripped from the sample vial using He as the carrier gas, purified using cryogenic trapping (Precon System; Finnigan MAT, Bremen, Germany), separated chromatographically (Finnigan GasBench), and analyzed using mass spectrometry (Finnigan Delta^{plus}XP). The ratios of ¹⁵N: ¹⁴N and ¹⁸O: ¹⁶O were expressed relative to atmospheric nitrogen and Vienna standard mean ocean water
 (V-SMOW), respectively, and were calculated as follows:

 δ^{15} N, δ^{18} O = {[*R*(sample)/*R*(standard)] - 1} × 1000(‰),





where $R = {}^{15}\text{N}/{}^{14}\text{N}$ or ${}^{18}\text{O}/{}^{16}\text{O}$. The isotope values were calibrated using the laboratory working standard and the internationally recognized nitrate standards IAEA-N3 and USGS34. Based on replicate measurements of standards and samples, analytical precision for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ was generally better than ±0.2 ‰ and ±0.3 ‰, respectively.

5 3 Results

3.1 Hydrographic characteristics

The vertical distributions of physical and chemical parameters along the observational transects (Figs. 2 and 3) clearly showed seasonal and year-to-year differences in water dynamics on the shelf of the northern ECS.

In winter, when the strong northwest monsoon prevailed and surface water was cooled, water on the shelf was completely mixed from surface to bottom with an increasing offshore gradient of salinity and temperature (Fig. 2a, d). Water density was nearly constant throughout the study area, except for the shelf-break region (Sta. D12–16) due to the presence of a warmer (i.e., 18–20 °C, Fig. 2d) and more saline (i.e., more than 34.5, Fig. 2a) water mass at the surface. Although the NO₃ concentration in this warm surface water was relatively low (i.e., 16 to 17 µM), putrient content remained

- warm surface water was relatively low (i.e., 1.6 to 1.7μ M), nutrient content remained above 1.0μ M even in the surface water throughout the transect (Fig. 2p). Higher chlorophyll fluorescence was observed in surface water at the Okinawa Trough (Sta. D16– 18, Fig. 2m), where the water was relatively warm (i.e., $17-18^{\circ}$ C) and had 3.0 to
- ²⁰ 4.0 μ M NO₃ (Fig. 2p). However, chlorophyll fluorescence was lower in surface water of the mid-shelf, where the water was relatively cold (i.e., 10–12 °C), despite higher NO₃ concentrations of 9.0 to 11.0 μ M.

In summer 2009 and 2011, strong stratification due to heating and freshwater from the Changjiang River was observed in the surface water (Fig. 2h, i). Along the south-²⁵ ern transect, Line D, lower salinity (Sta. D6', Fig. 2c) and the development of a cold water mass (Sta. D6'-9', Fig. 2f) was observed at the surface and at the bottom layer,





respectively, in 2011. Some patches with a few micromoles NO₃ (Sta. D11, salinity of 30.3) were distributed on the surface water in 2011 only (Fig. 2r), although most of the surface water from the mid-shelf to shelf-slope zone was completely depleted in nutrients in summer. Nitrate concentration increased by up to 12 μM from the subsurface water to the bottom water. The layer of maximum chlorophyll was at a depth of about 10 m at the mid-shelf area (Sta. D2–5) but gradually decreased to the subsurface layer at a depth of 30 to 40 m towards the shelf edge and then deeper than 50 m in the shelf-slope area (Sta. D16) (Fig. 2n, o). Higher oxygen concentration (mgL⁻¹) corresponded to the subsurface-layer chlorophyll maximum, reflecting active primary production, while lower oxygen concentration of less than 4.0 mgL⁻¹ was observed near the bottom layer on the shelf in summer (Fig. 2k, I). At the northern shelf area (i.e., lines A, B, and C), where the Changjiang River plume spreads out in summer (Isobe and Matsuno, 2008), lower salinity in the surface layer was distributed over a larger area (Fig. 3a–d) compared to the southern area (i.e., Line D, Fig. 2a–c). Therefore,

 NO_3 remained, even at shallower depths, at many locations in summer (Fig. 3u–x), and higher chlorophyll concentration was correspondingly observed in shallow depth in the northern area (Fig. 3q–t).

3.2 Spatial distribution and characteristics of nitrate

There was lateral and vertical variations in both the nitrogen and oxygen stable isotope signatures of NO₃ ($\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$) along the southern line, Line D (Fig. 4, Tables A1–C1). Relatively lower $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values (i.e., $\delta^{15}N < 6.0 \%$, $\delta^{18}O < 4.0 \%$) were observed in the deep layer at the Okinawa Trough (Sta. D16–18) and in the bottom water of the shelf-slope area (Sta. D14–15), irrespective of season. Similar $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ values were observed in the bottom water from the outer shelf to near the mid-shelf (Sta. D9, 10', 12', and 13, Fig. 4b) in July 2009, although the extent of similar values was limited to the bottom water of the shelf-slope zone (Sta. D13, Fig. 4c) in July 2011. Similarly, a slight increase in $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ in the



bottom water was observed in different zones of the continental shelf in different years (i.e., [Sta. D5, 6'] in 2009, Fig. 4b and [D4'–11] in 2011, Fig. 4c). δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O values in NO₃ gradually increased in subsurface and surface water until reaching 8.3 ‰ and 13.4 ‰, respectively, in winter (Fig. 4a), and up to 21.7 ‰ and 25.9 ‰, respectively,

- in summer (Fig. 4b, c). The ratio between δ^{18} O and δ^{15} N increases ($\Delta \delta^{18}$ O/ $\Delta \delta^{15}$ N) from one potential NO₃ source, bottom water on the shelf, was within the range of 2 to 3 in winter and 1 to 2 in summer. In winter, higher values were observed extensively in the surface water from Okinawa Trough to the outer shelf zone, but higher values were observed in the subsurface layer from the outer shelf to the mid-shelf in summer. Values in July 2011 were begins then these in July 2000. Irrespective of access and year
- ¹⁰ in July 2011 were heavier than those in July 2009. Irrespective of season and year, these higher values seem to have occurred in layers where a chlorophyll maximum was observed (Fig. 4).

These characteristics of $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ along the southern line, Line D, were also observed in the northern mid-shelf and outer shelf areas (i.e., lines A, B, and C; Fig. 5, Tables A1–C1).

4 Discussion

15

20

4.1 Hydrographic characteristics and nitrate dynamics on the continental shelf

A matrix of temperature-salinity data (hereafter, T-S diagram) was established for the water monitored during each survey (Fig. 6) to check seasonal and year-to-year differences in the contributions of water masses and associated new-NO₃ inputs from adjacent areas to the SMW.

In winter, the formation of SMW was simple (Fig. 6a). Intrusion of warm KSW with low NO_3 concentration was observed at the surface around the shelf-slope area. Zhang et al. (2007a) estimated that the water mass and associated NO_3 supplied to the broad

²⁵ shelf region during winter was dominated by high-salinity water from the TWCW, which is formed mainly by intrusion from the Kuroshio around the region to the east of Taiwan.





This occurs because the northerly monsoon prevails over the ECS, and Changjiang effluents are restricted to the western side of the ECS and disperse southward along the China coast (Chen, 2008). At the northern ECS, however, the T-S diagram and vertical profiles along the southern line, Line D, suggested that the water mass and ⁵ NO₃ in the inner mid-shelf area were supplied by the NO₃-rich Yellow Sea Mixed Water (YSMW), which came from the north (mixing trend (1), Fig. 6a). The water in the mid-

- shelf and outer shelf zones appeared to be composed of a mix of YSMW and NO_3 depleted KSW, which entered the ECS northeast of Taiwan and flowed along the shelfslope (mixing trend (2), Fig. 6a). Moreover, in the bottom layer at the outer shelf (Sta.
- D14, 15), NO₃-rich KSSW, which flows along the shelf-slope, seemed to mix with shelf water, probably because of frontal eddy motion (mixing trend (3), Fig. 6a) (e.g., Yanagi et al., 1998). Therefore, the NO₃-rich YSMW and KSSW could be major sources of new NO₃ to the SMW in winter. Because NO₃ is not actively taken up by phytoplankton during winter (Chen et al., 2001) and so tends to behave as a conservative parameter, the relationship between salinity and NO₃ also indicates that YSMW and KSSW are
 - the main sources of NO_3 (Fig. 7a).

Generally, in summer, in the surface ECS water, TWCW intensifies gradually while the Kuroshio intrusion weakens (Guo et al., 2006), and a buoyant plume of CDW disperses eastward and reaches as far as the shelf edge with a 2 month time lag from the time of discharge at the river mouth (lacks and Materiae 2000) Verseguebiest al

- ²⁰ the time of discharge at the river mouth (Isobe and Matsuno, 2008; Yamaguchi et al., 2012). However, the formation of SMW from the surface to the bottom in the northern ECS in summer was very complex in our surveys and varied by year. In July 2011, the YSCWM developed eastward in the northern ECS, and a higher-density water mass (i.e., $\sigma_T = 25.5$) was largely present from the bottom to the middle layer compared to the water mass (i.e., $\sigma_T = 24.5$) during the same period in 2009 (Figs. 2h–i, 3i–l, 6b, c).
- ²⁵ the water mass (i.e., $\sigma_T = 24.5$) during the same period in 2009 (Figs. 2h–i, 3i–l, 6b, c). The lower-density water mass of 2009 seemed to potentially enable the shelf bottom water to mix with surrounding water masses of lower density (i.e., mix with CDW and KSSW as suggested by mixing trends (1) and (5), respectively, in Fig. 6b). Unlike the situation in 2009, KSSW did not mix directly with YSCWM on the shelf in 2011 but met





TWCW-origin surface water around the shelf-slope area (i.e., mixing trends (4) and (5) in Fig. 6c). The Kuroshio onshore flux, which merges with TSWW northeast of Taiwan and along the shelf-slope southwest of Kyusyu (Lee and Matsuno, 2007), shows strong seasonal variation with a minimum (0.5 Sv) in summer and a maximum (3 Sv)

- ⁵ in autumn (Guo et al., 2006). Considering this seasonal change in the onshore flux and the fact that the southern YSCWM develops in summer and decays in fall (Zhang et al., 2008), the results of this study may provide evidence that the annual shift in the southern YSCWM in summer controls the contribution of KSSW-derived nutrients to the SMW during summer.
- In July 2011, the buoyant plume of CDW traveled farther offshore and mixed with warm TWCW (i.e., mixing trend (1) in Fig. 6c) and then gradually mixed with bottom YSCWM (mixing trends (2) and (3) in Fig. 6c). China suffered from severe drought during most seasons of 2009, but a series of floods occurred in central China, Changjiang River Basin, from June to September 2011 (World Meteorological Organization 2009, 0011). The different function discharge from the Changiiang Diversity 2020 and 2011
- ¹⁵ 2011). The different freshwater discharges from the Changjiang River in 2009 and 2011 could be another factor controlling the formation of SMW and potential sources of NO₃ during summer, as well as YSCWM development.

Unlike the case in winter, there was no clear relationship between salinity and NO₃ concentrations in summer (Fig. 7b and c). This observation is probably explained ²⁰ by several reasons: (1) nutrients did not behave as conservative parameters during summer because of active uptake by phytoplankton; (2) NO₃ concentrations in the Changjiang River, which is one of the main nutrient sources of the northern ECS in summer, varied with time; and (3) in addition to the mixing of multiple water masses suggested by the T-S diagram, higher temperatures might have stimulated bacterial

²⁵ remineralization in the water column and surface sediment and subsequent nitrification (see below).





4.2 Nitrate dynamics and identification of new nitrate that supports phytoplankton growth based on δ^{15} N in nitrate

Our estimated contributions of each water mass to the SMW provide information on the potential sources of NO_3 . However, this does not necessarily indicate that the NO_3

- ⁵ would in fact stimulate and sustain phytoplankton growth, partly because of low concentrations (and flux) of nutrients and/or other limiting factors such as light, phosphorus, or trace metals. Hence, we attempted to confirm the sources of NO₃ to the northern ECS that were newly supplied from outside the system and actually used by phytoplankton in winter and summer based on information on NO₃ isotopes.
- ¹⁰ δ¹⁵N_{NO₃} and δ¹⁸O_{NO₃} at a depth of 200 to 300 m below the euphotic zone in the Okinawa Trough (i.e., KSSW, δ¹⁵N = 5.5 – 6.0‰, δ¹⁸O = 3.5 – 4.0‰, [NO₃] = 10 – 25µM, salinity = 34.4 – 34.6) were almost steady year-round, even compared to the values in deep Kuroshio water (i.e., δ¹⁵N = 5.5 – 6.1‰, below 500 m northeast of Taiwan) collected 20 yr ago in July 1992 (Liu et al., 1996). Furthermore, δ¹⁵N_{NO₃} and δ¹⁸O_{NO₃} in deep KSSW were distinct from those of other major NO₃ sources, such as the Changjiang River (i.e., δ¹⁵N = 8.3‰, δ¹⁸O = 2.6‰, [NO₃] = 66.7 µM, salin-
- ity = 0; river water collected at Zhangjiagang from the lower stream of the Changjiang River, Li et al., 2010), and NO₃ from wet deposition (i.e., $\delta^{15}N = -0.4 \pm 2.9 \%$, $\delta^{18}O = 73.3 \pm 9.8 \%$, [NO₃] = 35.9 ± 44.4 µM, salinity = 0 [*t* = 47]; Nagasaki City location fac-
- ²⁰ ing the ECS, Y. Umezawa, unpublished data). The differences among these values of potential NO₃ sources can be useful for tracing the contribution of each NO₃ source, assuming that any changes in $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ by biological and physical activities during transportation are correctly compensated for. Levels of $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ in the northern ECS seemed to be higher in the chlorophyll maximum irrespective of
- ²⁵ season and year (Figs. 4 and 5). In addition, the ratio of enrichment of heavier O and N isotopes from the potential NO₃ sources (i.e., $\Delta \delta^{18}$ O : $\Delta \delta^{15}$ N) was 1.0 to 1.5 in summer and close to 3.0 in winter, although the reported ¹⁸ ε :¹⁵ ε associated with NO₃ assimi-





lation by eukaryotic phytoplankton is almost 1.0 under incubation conditions (Granger et al., 2004). Therefore, isotopic fractionation during NO₃ uptake by phytoplankton was expected to be a major factor causing changes in $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ in the northern ECS, but other factors can also modify the values.

- ⁵ First, as a basis for discussion, $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ values were plotted as a function of the logtransformed NO₃ concentration (i.e., In[NO₃]) to investigate the effect of phytoplankton uptake on $\delta^{15}N$ in NO₃ (Figs. 8 and 9). When NO₃ is biologically consumed following a Rayleigh fractionation model in a closed system (i.e., NO₃ is not supplied continuously), a linear relationship exists between In[NO₃] and $\delta^{15}N$ in NO₃. In eutrophic
- ¹⁰ aquatic systems, nitrogen isotope fractionation ($^{15}\varepsilon$) has been found to be in the range of 1.5 ‰ to 5 ‰ in the field (e.g., Altabet et al., 1991; Teranes and Bernasconi, 2000; Sugimoto et al., 2009) and 2.0–20 ‰ in culture conditions (e.g., Waser et al., 1998; Needoba et al., 2003; Granger et al., 2004, 2010), where primary production is based on NO₃.
- ¹⁵ Based on pigment analyses and microscopic observations, cyanobacteria (*Syne-chococcus*), prochlorophytes, chrysophytes, chlorophytes, and prymnesiophytes exist evenly in the nutrient-depleted continental shelf area of the ECS throughout the year, whereas diatoms (i.e., *Thalassiosira* spp., *Chaetoceros* spp., *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp.) become the most abundant taxa when nutrients are supplied by Changjiang River
- ²⁰ plumes and the intrusion of KSSW (Furuya et al., 2003; Chiang et al., 2004). Moreover, in recent years, the dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum* spp. has been frequently observed on the continental shelf, especially since spring to summer (Dai et al., 2013; Kiyomoto et al., 2013). Nitrogen isotope fractionation (¹⁵ ε) during NO₃ assimilation by these phytoplankton has been reported to be variable in culture conditions (e.g., 5.6–
- ²⁵ 13.5 for *Thalassiosira* spp., 2.7 for *Chaetoceros* spp., and 2.2–5.4 for *Synechococcus* spp.; Waser et al., 1998; Needoba et al., 2003; Granger et al., 2004, 2010). Although several ¹⁵ ε values (i.e., ¹⁵ ε = 0,3,6) were tested for interpretation of the observational data (Fig. 10), ¹⁵ ε = 3 was provisionally applied as a representative value in the following discussion. The expected lines along which δ ¹⁵N in NO₃ remaining in the water



column after biological uptake would shift from the initial $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ are shown in the $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ –In[NO_3] diagram (Figs. 8 and 9). A linear relationship between these parameters in the natural environment provides evidence of actual biological uptake of NO₃ with some extent of isotopic fractionation, under the condition that new NO₃ is not supplied continuously and that NO₃ is consumed in something like a closed pool. When NO₃ from the Changjiang River spreads out in a buoyant plume in the nutrient-depleted surface water, the closed system model is more applicable. On the other hand, in the subsurface layer where new NO₃ can be continuously supplied from the bottom layer by upward diffusion flux and upwelling, phytoplankton can take up new NO₃ as well as NO₃ remaining in the pool (e.g., Sigman et al., 1999). Therefore, a steady-state model

¹⁰ NO₃ remaining in the pool (e.g., Sigman et al., 1999). Therefore, a steady-state model (Fig. 10) should also be considered in addition to the Rayleigh model because natural systems often cannot be described with only a single model (Figs. 8 and 9).

In winter (February 2009), $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ (ca. 6.0 ‰) in the deep layer of the Okinawa Trough (Sta. D17, 18) and adjacent shelf-slope (Sta. D15, 16) was nearly constant while NO.

- ¹⁵ while NO₃ concentrations in the shelf-slope region gradually decreased from the original deeper depth value (Fig. 8a). This phenomenon likely indicates dilution of NO₃-rich KSSW by NO₃-depleted KSW because NO₃ reduction associated with phytoplankton uptake is improbable below the euphotic zone. Similarly, from the mid-shelf zone (Sta. D2–8) to the outer shelf zone (Sta. D9–14), where the T-S diagram suggested that
- ²⁰ the water was composed of a mixture of YSMW and KSW (mixing trends (1)–(2), Fig. 6a), the YSMW-specific $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ (ca. 6.7 ‰) in the inner mid-shelf (Sta. D2) did not change even after gradual mixing with the NO₃-depleted KSW (Fig. 8a). Low lightand temperature-limited primary productivity on the shelf during winter (Chen et al., 2001; Chiang et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2010a) supports NO₃-rich YSMW having under-²⁵ gone only dilution with little change in $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ by biological uptake. On the other hand,

 $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ at depths between 0 and 30 m in the Okinawa Trough and shelf-slope zone increased slightly to 7.8 ‰ (Sta. D17) and 8.8 ‰ (Sta. D16) following the expected fractionation line (ε = 3), which likely started from the diluted KSSW (Fig. 8a). Because





this zone corresponded with the chlorophyll maximum zone, diatom blooms were likely stimulated by the temperature increase associated with warm KSW and NO₃ supply from KSSW. However, shifts in $\delta^{15} \rm N_{NO_3}$ were limited compared to those in summer because of lower NO₃ uptake by phytoplankton, as discussed above.

- ⁵ During summer (in both 2009 and 2011), δ^{15} N values were again nearly constant from the deep water to middle layer at the Okinawa Trough (i.e., KSSW) and up to the bottom water on the shelf to a different extent in each year (i.e., from the continental slope to the mid-shelf area [Sta. D9] in 2009 (Fig. 4b), but limited to the edge of the outer shelf [Sta. D13] in 2011 (Fig. 4c)), despite a gradual decrease in NO₃ concentra-
- ¹⁰ tion, suggesting simple dilution of NO₃-rich KSSW by NO₃-depleted KSW (Fig. 8b, c). The trace of KSSW-specific δ^{15} N values (ca. 6.0 ‰) reinforces the idea that the difference in the expansion of KSSW-derived NO₃ to the shelf areas between years was likely controlled by the development of the YSCWM (see the discussion below), which corresponds with the suggestion from the T-S diagram analysis (s. 4.1).
- The slight increase in δ¹⁵N_{NO3} and δ¹⁸O_{NO3} in the bottom water below the euphotic zone on the continental shelf (i.e., [Sta. D5, 6'] in 2009 (Fig. 4b) and [Sta. D4'-11] in 2011 (Fig. 4c)) was evaluated considering the following two possibilities: (1) isotopic fractionation associated with NO₃ assimilation by denitrifying bacteria, and (2) addition of NO₃ from different sources. The effects of various N and O isotopes ranging from 5 ‰ to 25 ‰ with ¹⁸ε:¹⁵ε ratios of 0.6 to 1.0 have been reported in culture experiments with denitrifying bacteria (Granger et al., 2008). In the field, active denitrification in the water column and an associated increase in δ¹⁵N_{NO3} and δ¹⁸O_{NO3}

has been reported in oxygen-deficient layers with less than 4 μmol L⁻¹ oxygen (Sigman et al., 2005). Moreover, positive NO₃ flux from the water column to the sediment and subsequent denitrification has been widely reported on the continental shelf (Christersen et al., 1097) and equare three of NO₃ reduction (a gradient denitrification).

tensen et al., 1987), and several types of NO_3 reduction (e.g., respiratory denitrification, anammox, and dissimilatory NO_3 reduction to ammonium) have been estimated at the surface sediment of the ECS inner-shelf area near the Changjiang estuary (Song



et al., 2013). However, the minimum oxygen level of bottom water observed during our cruises was more than $100 \,\mu mol L^{-1}$, which is too high to cause active denitrification in the water column. Furthermore, relatively constant characteristics of the water column from the bottom to middle layer (e.g., [NO₃], $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$, and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_2}$ at the bottom, 10 m from the bottom, and 30 m from the bottom at sta. D6' in July 2009, were 11.0 μM, 6.2 ‰, and 5.0 ‰, 11.8 μM, 6.5 ‰, and 5.0 ‰, and 11.2 μM, 7.1 ‰, and 6.0 %, respectively) imply that the effect of denitrification at the sediment boundary layer on the NO₃ characteristics of the ECS shelf water was minor during our observation period. On the other hand, locations at which water with slightly increased values $(\delta^{15}N_{NO_3} = 6.5 - 7.5\%$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3} = 5.0 - 7.0\%$) was sampled overlapping with the 10 zone of YSCWM intrusion expected from the T-S vertical profiles, and similar values were also observed in the bottom water of the northwestern area (i.e., lines A, B, and C; Figs. 5, 9) where YSCWM enters the ECS during summer. Therefore, the slightly increased values ($\delta^{15}N_{NO_3} = 6.5 - 7.5$ ‰ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3} = 5.0 - 7.0$ ‰) are considered to be specific values of the YSCWM. 15

Based on the above considerations, new NO₃ at the northern ECS during summer seemed to originate from the Changjiang River discharge, KSSW, and YSCWM. At the relatively deeper chl *a* maximum in the Okinawa Trough (i.e., depth of 65–75 m at Sta. D16), $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ data points from both 2009 and 2011 were located near the fractionation line that starts from the value of KSSW diluted with NO₃-depleted water, suggesting that phytoplankton in the subsurface layer at the Okinawa Trough used NO₃ originating from the KSSW (Fig. 8b and c). At the subsurface chl *a* maximum from the mid-shelf to the outer shelf (i.e., depth of 15 to 30 m at Sta. D7'-13), $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ values for 2009 located near the line beginning from the values of KSSW-origin NO₃ and those for

25 2011 located near the line beginning from YSCWM-derived NO₃ (Fig. 8b and c). The difference in the estimated NO₃ sources for the phytoplankton growth on the ECS shelf between the years corresponds with hydrographic characteristics, that is, differences in KSSW and YSCWM development (see Sect. 4.1).





In the surface and subsurface water in the mid-shelf area (e.g., Sta. D7' in 2009 and Sta. D11 and 13 in 2011), where lower salinity was observed, δ¹⁵N_{NO3} were plotted near the fractionation line starting from the typical NO₃ value observed in the lower stream of the Changjiang River (Fig. 8b, c). This is a piece of strong evidence that ⁵ CDW-carried NO₃ was actually used by phytoplankton. On the other hand, NO₃ sampled at the inner mid-shelf area in 2011 (i.e., Sta. D4') were plotted around the center of fractionation lines originating from CDW-derived NO₃ and YSCWM-derived NO₃

- (Fig. 8c). Surface CDW and subsurface YSCWM may have moderately mixed at shallower depths on the inner mid-shelf as suggested in T-S diagram (mixing trend (2),
 Fig. 6c), and similar trends were also observed in the northern area (lines A and C;
- mixing trend (2), Fig. 6c, and Fig. 9b). In July 2009, when YSCWM was not as well developed eastward, phytoplankton growth was mainly supported by NO₃ provided by YSCWM and KSSW but the signal of CDW-carried NO₃ being used seemed to be limited (Figs. 8b, 9a), unless the effect of nitrification and atmospheric nitrogen were
- ¹⁵ considered (see Sect. 4.3). Conversely, in July 2011, when Changjiang River discharge increased and the YSCWM was developed widely on the shelf, both YSCWM and CDW seemed to be major sources of NO₃ and actually supported primary productivity on the continental shelf (Figs. 8c, 9b).

The actual NO₃ sources for phytoplankton growth, which were evaluated by analyzing multiple isotopes of NO₃, had good correspondence with the water dynamics determined by a physical approach based on T-S diagrams. The analysis made by combining the $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ – In[NO₃] diagram and the T-S diagram clarified the NO₃ sources that were actually used by phytoplankton in the SMW of the northern ECS. Although TWCW and Kuroshio water, which contribute most to the water budget of the region, have been estimated to be major sources of NO₃ based on nutrient budget models (Chen and Wang, 1999; Zhang et al., 2007a), our results show that CDW and YSCWM are also important nutrient sources for primary production on the continental shelf, especially in

the northern ECS.





4.3 Contributions of nitrification and atmospheric nitrogen to nitrate dynamics on the shelf

In the previous section, we attributed the $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ data points located between the fractionation line of Changjiang River-carried NO₃ and YSCWM-carried NO₃ to mixing between the two water types (e.g., NO₃ in the subsurface layers at [Sta. C1–3] (Fig. 9b)

5

15

and [D4'] (Fig. 8c) in July 2011). On the other hand, if Changjiang River-discharged NO₃ is continuously supplied to CDW, the $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ could also move to below the fractionation line based on the steady-state model (Fig. 10). However, in the open ocean, where the Changjiang River plume drifts in a northeasterly direction, it is not probable that river-derived NO₃ was continuously supplied to CDW. The other most likely explanation is contribution of isotopically lighter NO₃ from other sources.

Although deviation of $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ from the 1 : 1 pattern and relatively lighter $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ expected from NO₃ consumption (i.e., phytoplankton assimilation and denitrification) were observed in the northern ECS (Figs. 4 and 5), similar deviation pattern observed in other ocean has been interpreted as evidence of nitrification in the presence of assimilation by phytoplankton (Wankel et al. 2007; Sugimoto et al. 2009)

presence of assimilation by phytoplankton (Wankel et al., 2007; Sugimoto et al., 2009) and/or N_2 fixation in the zone of denitrification (Sigman et al., 2005).

When isotopic fractionation occurs during NO₃ uptake following the Rayleigh model, the organic products (e.g., phytoplankton and denitrifying bacteria) will have isotopically lighter values than the residual NO₃. After the organic products are consumed by heterotrophic organisms, isotopically lighter ammonium is excreted because of isotopic discrimination in the catabolic processes of N compounds through many chemical reactions, such as transamination (Balter et al., 2006). Furthermore, due to the large difference in isotopic fractionation (ε) between ammonium assimilation and nitrification to NO₃, the nitrogen directed back into the NO₃ pool has lower δ^{15} N (indicated in Wankel et al., 2007). Therefore, the contribution of ammonium produced by decom-

position and the subsequent ammonium-oxidized NO₃ to the NO₃ pool in the ECS will result in more positive ${}^{18}\varepsilon/{}^{15}\varepsilon$ and lower $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ vs. In [NO₃] compared to the values





expected from residual NO₃ during uptake by phytoplankton (${}^{18}\varepsilon$: ${}^{15}\varepsilon$ = 1 : 1) based on the Rayleigh model.

Many studies have reported the f-ratio (ratio of NO₃-based new production to primary production) in ECS areas from the China coast to the shelf edge. Although the 5 estimated *f*-ratios showed spatial and temporal variation (e.g., 0.25 to 0.74 in summer and 0.14 to 0.98 in winter; Chen et al., 2001), the values are generally less than 0.5 (Furuya et al., 1998; Chen et al., 1999, 2001; Chen and Chen, 2003), suggesting a high contribution of in situ remineralized nitrogen, directly as ammonium or as NO₃ through nitrification, to primary production. Shiozaki et al. (2011) observed a considerable discrepancy between the NO₃ assimilation rate (1500 μ mol N m⁻² d⁻¹) and the vertical 10 NO₂ flux (98 μ mol N m⁻² d⁻¹) on the continental shelf of the ECS in July 2007, suggesting a contribution of nitrification within the euphotic zone. This is also supported by the existence of nitrifying Archia (based on amoA gene), although the vertical flux of new NO₃ was higher on or near the continental shelf in the ECS than in the open ocean (Shiozaki et al., 2011). Therefore, in the subsurface layers on the continental shelf in 15 the northern ECS, for which $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ was lower than $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ in the same sample (Figs. 4 and 5) and the value ($\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ vs. In[NO_3]) was plotted below the fractionation line of Changjiang River-discharged NO₃ (Figs. 8 and 9), the contribution of nitrification

in NO₃.

25

In the Okinawa Trough, on the other hand, Liu et al. (1996) attributed relatively lower $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ at shallow depths than in the deeper layer to the contribution of NO₃ derived from N₂ fixation and estimated that N₂ fixation supplies 500 µg N m⁻² day⁻¹, which accounts for 8 % of the new nitrogen in the KSW. Shiozaki et al. (2010) also estimated that the mean bulk water N₂ fixation by pico-, nano-, and micro-planktonic diazotrophs was $232 \pm 54.8 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{N}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{day}^{-1}$ in Kuroshio and ECS areas based on a ¹⁵N₂-tracer method. In addition, subtle increase of [NO₃] with relatively lower salinity of the surface water in the Philippine Sea next to the ECS was reported to be attributed to rain water-

to NO₃ dynamics at least in summer was also supported by stable isotope signatures





derived NO₃ (Kodama et al., 2011), and total amounts of DIN supplied through the atmospheric dry deposition to ECS was estimated to be comparable to the N supplied by biological N₂ fixation (Yamada et al., 2013). The $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ in rainwater is also very low, around 0 ‰ (see 4.2), as well as N₂ fixation-derive nitrogen, however, $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ lower than that of the deep layer was not observed in shallow layers throughout the northern ECS, including the Okinawa Trough, during our survey periods. This is probably because there was no rainfall around the transects before and during our surveys, and N₂ fixation occurs only occasionally in time and space in response to sporadic supply of the limiting nutrients (Fe and/or P) necessary for N₂ fixation to occur (Shiozaki et al., 2010). Moreover, the effect of atmospheric nitrogen on NO₃ in the oligotrophic surface

5

- ¹⁰ 2010). Moreover, the effect of atmospheric hitrogen on NO₃ in the oligotrophic surface and subsurface layer could be masked by a methodological limitation (i.e., $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ was not measured for water samples with [NO₃] less than 1.5 µ M). On the other hand, in case that nitrification and/or atmospheric nitrogen contribute to NO₃ dynamics at surface and subsurface layers, the contribution of new NO₃ having heavier $\delta^{15}N$ values
- (e.g., Changjiang–River-discharged NO₃) may have been offset and underestimated. Although the use of CDW-derived NO₃ in surface and subsurface water seemed to be limited in July 2009 based on $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ -In[NO₃] diagram (see Sect. 4.2), the contribution of CDW-derived NO₃ may be re-evaluated to be higher, especially at the location where salinity was relatively low (e.g., Sta. A1, B1 in July 2009; Table A1 and Fig. 9a).
- ²⁰ Because there is considerable uncertainty about the specific isotopic fractionation occurring during NO₃ assimilation in plankton species, the extent of the contributions of nitrification and nitrogen fixation, their spatial and temporal variation, and the absolute rates and contributions of each biological process (i.e., assimilation, remineralization, nitrification, and nitrogen fixation) were not accurately quantified in this study. However,
- the NO₃ dynamics (i.e., supply, dilution, and reduction due to biological use) in the bottom water and deep areas below the euphotic zone seemed to be controlled mainly by physical factors, such as intrusion of and mixing of water masses, which have specific characteristics of NO₃ concentration and stable isotopic values, although there could be contributions from many biological reactions, including nitrification associated with





ammonium diffusion from the sediment (Zhang et al., 2007a). In addition to physical factors and assimilation of NO₃ by phytoplankton, on the other hand, atmospheric N and bacterial remineralization and subsequent nitrification seemed to contribute to NO₃ dynamics in the shelf water and changes in $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$, especially during summer in the surface and subsurface euphotic zone where NO₃ concentration was low because of higher primary production. Further research on the spatial and temporal variation in stable isotopes of NO₃, including the lower concentration throughout the ECS continental shelf combined with other parameters, will be useful for understanding the nutrient dynamics affecting actual phytoplankton growth.

10 5 Conclusions

Based on a survey of multiple isotopes of NO₃, $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ – In[NO₃] dynamics, and T-S diagrams, different sources of NO₃ and their actual use by phytoplankton were successfully identified in the northern ECS. Typical $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ were distinctly different among the potential NO₃ sources (i.e., 5.5–6.0 ‰ and 3.5–4.0 ‰ for KSSW, 6.5–

- 7.5 ‰ and 5.0–7.0 ‰ for YSCWM, 8.3 ‰ and 2.6 ‰ for Changjiang river, 0.4 ± 2.9 ‰ and 73.3 ± 9.8 ‰ for rain water, respectively), therefore potentially useful for tracing the source of NO₃, which is the main DIN component in the ECS. In winter, KSSW and YSMW contributed predominantly to NO₃ distributed in the shelf water, and mixing between the NO₃-rich KSSW and NO₃-depleted but warm KSW seemed to stimulate phy toplankton production in the surface water of the Okinawa Trough, but YSMW-carried
- NO₃ on the shelf was not actively used by phytoplankton probably due to limitations of temperature and light. In summer, except at times when episodic events such as typhoons mixed the water from the surface to the bottom (Siswanto et al., 2008b; Hung and Gong, 2011), NO₃ from CDW, YSCWM and KSSW (the contributions of which were controlled by Changjiang discharge and the development of the YSCWM in the
- bottom shelf water), affected the distribution and abundance of NO₃ in the northern ECS. Isotopic fractionation during NO₃ uptake by phytoplankton seemed to drastically





change $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$, which may indirectly indicate the amount of primary production. And a contribution of atmospheric N and/or nitrification to NO₃ dynamics in the surface and subsurface layers in summer was also supported based on relatively lighter $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ than expected from the effect of isotopic fractionation associated with NO₃ uptake by phytoplankton, suggesting a tightly coupled nitrogen cycle in the shelf water of the northern ECS.

Acknowledgements. We thank T. Matsuno (Kyusyu Univ.), J. Zhang (Toyama Univ.), and S. Takeda (Nagasaki Univ.) for many discussions to interpret the data. A clue of R/V Yokomaru and T/V Nagasaki-maru kindly helped water sampling and CTD measurement. Stable isotopes analyses were conducted using Cooperative Research Facilities (Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer) of Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University. This study was financially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Research Fellowships for Young Scientists and the Study of Kuroshio Ecosystem Dynamics for Sustainable Fisheries (SKED) funded by the MEXT (Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technol-

¹⁵ ogy), Japan. We are grateful to guest editor, K.-K. Liu, and anonymous reviewers for providing valuable and constructive comments to improve the manuscript.

References

- Altabet, M. A., Deuser, W. G., Honjyo, S., and Stienen, C.: Seasonal and depth-related changes in the source of sinking particles in the North Atlantic, Nature, 354, 136–138, 1991.
- Balter, V., Simon, L., Fouillet, H., and Lecuyer, C.: Box-modeling of ¹⁵N/¹⁴N in mammals, Oecologia, 147, 212–222, 2006.
 - Casciotti, K. L., Sigman, D. M., Hastings, M. G., Bohlke, J. K., and Hilkert, A.: Measurement of the oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate in seawater and freshwater using the denitrifier method, Anal. Chem., 74, 4905–4912, 2002.
- ²⁵ Chai, C., Yu, Z., Shen, Z., Song, X., Cao, X., and Yao, Y.: Nutrient characteristics in the Yangtze River Estuary and the adjacent East China Sea before and after impoundment of the Three Gorges Dam, Sci. Total. Environ., 407, 4687–4695, 2009.
 - Chen, C. T. A.: Distributions of nutrients in the East China Sea and the South China Sea connection, J. Oceanogr., 64, 737–751, 2008.





- Chen, C. T. A. and Wang, S. L.: Carbon, alkalinity and nutrient budgets on the East China Sea continental shelf, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 20675-20686, 1999.
- Chen, D. J. Z. and MacQuarrie, K. T. B.: Correlation of δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O in NO₃⁻ during denitrification in groundwater, J. Environ. Eng. Sci., 4, 221-226, 2005.
- 5 Chen, Y. L. L. and Chen, H. Y.: Nitrate-based new production and its relationship to primary production and chemical hydrography in spring and fall in the East China Sea, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 50, 1249-1264, 2003.
 - Chen, Y. L. L., Lu, H. B., Shiah, F. K., Gong, G. C., Liu, K. K., and Kanda, J.: New production and f-ratio on the continental shelf of the East China Sea: comparisons between nitrate inputs
- from the subsurface Kuroshio current and the Changjiang River, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 48, 10 59-75, 1999.
 - Chen, Y. L. L., Chen, H. Y., Lee, W. H., Hung, C. C., Wong, G. T. F., and Kanda. J.: New production in the East China Sea, comparison between well-mixed winter and stratified summer conditions, Cont. Shelf Res., 21, 751-764, 2001.
- ¹⁵ Chiang, K. P., Chou, Y. H., Chang, J., and Gong, G. C.: Winter distribution of diatom assemblages in the East China Sea, J. Oceanogr., 60, 1053-1062, 2004.
- Dai, X., Lu, D., Guan, W., Xia, P., Wang, H., He, P., and Zhang, D.: The correlation between Prorocentrum donghaiense blooms and the Taiwan Warm Current in the East China Sea - evidence for the "Pelagic Seed Bank" hypothesis, PLoS ONE 8, e64188, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064188, 2013. 20
 - Furuya, K., Hama, T., Kanda, J., and Odate, T.: Primary production in the East China Sea, Bull. Coas. Oceanogr., 36, 19-28, 1998.
 - Furuya, K., Hayashi, M., Yabushita, Y., and Ishikawa, A.: Phytoplankton dynamics in the East China Sea in spring and summer as revealed by HPLC-derived pigment signatures, Deep-
- Sea Res. Pt. II, 50, 367-387, 2003. 25
- Gong, G. C., Chang, J., Chiang, K. P., Hsiung, T. M., Hung, C. C., Duan, S. W., and Codispoti, L. A.: Reduction of primary production and changing of nutrient ratio in the East China Sea: effect of the Three Gorges Dam?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L07610, doi:10.1029/2006GL025800, 2006.
- Granger, J., Sigman, D. M., Needoba, J. A., and Harrison, P. J.: Coupled nitrogen and oxy-30 gen isotope fractionation of nitrate during assimilation by cultures of marine phytoplankton, Limnol. Oceanogr., 49, 1763–1773, 2004.



- Discussion Paper Granger, J., Sigman, D. M., Lehmann, M. F., and Tortell, P. D.: Nitrogen and oxygen isotope fractionation during dissimilatory nitrate reduction by denitrifying bacteria, Limnol. Oceanogr., 53, 2533-2545, 2008. Granger, J., Sigman, D. M., Rohde, M. M., Maldonado, M. T., and Tortell, P. D.: N and O isotope
- effects during nitrate assimilation by unicellular prokaryotic and eukaryotic plankton cultures, 5 Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 74, 1030-1040, 2010.
 - Guo, X., Miyazawa, Y., and Yamagata, T.: The Kuroshio onshore intrusion along the shelf break of the East China Sea: the origin of the Tsushima Warm Current, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36, 2205-2231, 2006.
- Hiyama, Y., Yoda, M., and Ohshimo, S.: Stock size fluctuations in chub mackerel (Scomber 10 japonicus) in the East China Sea and the Japan/East Sea, Fish. Oceanogr., 11, 347-353, 2002.

Hung, C. C. and Gong, G. G.: Biogeochemical responses in the southern East China Sea after typhoons, Oceanography, 24, 42-51, 2011

- Isobe, A. and Matsuno, T.: Long-distance nutrient-transport process in the Changiang 15 river plume on the East China Sea shelf in summer, J. Geophys. Res., 113, C04006, doi:10.1029/2007JC004248, 2008.
 - Isobe, A., Fujiwara, Y., Chang, P. H., Sugimatsu, K., Shimizu, M., Matsuno, T., and Manda, A.: Intrusion of less saline shelf water into the Kuroshio subsurface layer in the East China Sea, J. Oceanogr., 60, 853-863, 2004.
- Kiyomoto, Y., Hasegawa, T., Gomi, Y., Sasaki, H., Nishiuchi, K., Okamura, K., Koshikawa, H., Higashi, H., Akiyama, H., and Nakata, H.: Long-term changes in nutrients in the Changjiang Diluted Water on the East China Sea - relationships between the phytoplankton species and nutrients from the Changjiang River, Umi to Sora, 88, 109–120, 2013 (Japanese with English abstract).
- 25

20

- Kodama, T., Furuya, K., Hashihama, F., Takeda, S., and Kanda, J.: Occurrence of rain origin nitrate patches at the nutrient - depleted surface in the East China Sea and the Philippine Sea during summer, J. Geophys. Res., 116, C08003, doi:10.1029/2010JC006814, 2011. Lee, J. S. and Matsuno, T.: Intrusion of Kuroshio water onto the continental shelf of the East
- China Sea, J. Oceanogr., 63, 309-325, 2007. 30
 - Leichter, J. J., Paytan, A., Wankel, S., Hanson, K., Miller, S., and Altabet, M. A.: Nitrogen and oxygen isotopic signatures of subsurface nitrate seaward of the Florida Keys reef tract, Limnol. Oceanogr., 52, 1258-1267, 2007.



- Li, D. and Daler, D.: Ocean pollution from land-based sources: East China Sea, China, AMBIO, 33, 107–113, 2004.
- Li, S. L., Liu, C. Q., Li, J., Liu, X., Chetelat, B., Wang, B., and Wang, F.: Assessment of the sources of nitrate in the Changjiang River, China using a nitrogen and oxygen isotopic approach, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 1573–1578, 2010.
- ⁵ proach, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 1573–1578, 2010. Liu, K. K., Su, M. J., Hsueh, C. R., and Gong, G. C.: The nitrogen isotopic composition of nitrate in the Kuroshio Water northeast of Taiwan: evidence for nitrogen fixation as a source of isotopically light nitrate, Mar. Chem., 54, 273–292, 1996.
 - Liu, K. K., Chao, S. Y., Lee, H. J., Gong, G. C., and Teng, Y. C.: Seasonal variation of pri-
- ¹⁰ mary productivity in the East China Sea: a numerical study based on coupled physicalbiogeochemical model, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 57, 1762–1782, 2010a.
 - Liu, K. K., Gong, G. C., Wu, C. R., and Lee, H. J.: 3.2. the Kuroshio and the East China Sea, in: Carbon and Nutrient Fluxes in Continental Margins: A Global Synthesis, edited by: Liu, K.-K., Atkinson, L., Quiñones, R., and Talaue-McManus, L., IGBP Book Series, Springer, Berlin, 124–146, 2010b.

15

Nakamura, T., Matsumoto, K., and Uematsu, M.: Chemical characteristics of aerosols transported from Asia to the East China Sea, an evaluation of anthropogenic combined nitrogen deposition in autumn, Atmos. Environ., 39, 1749–1758, 2005.

Nakamura, T., Ogawa, H., Maripi, D. K., and Uematsu, M.: Contribution of water soluble organic

- nitrogen to total nitrogen in marine aerosols over the East China Sea and western North Pacific, Atmos. Environ., 40, 7259–7264, 2006.
 - Needoba, J., Waser, N. A., Harrison, P. J., and Calvert, S. E.: Nitrogen isotope fractionation in 12 species of marine phytoplankton during growth on nitrate, Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser., 255, 81–91, 2003.
- Sakurai, Y., Kiyofuji, H., Saitoh, S., Goto, T., and Hiyama, Y.: Changes in inferred spawning areas of *Todarodes pacificus* (Cephalopoda: Ommastrephidae) due to changing environmental conditions, ICES J. Mar.Sci., 57, 24–30, 2000.
 - Sassa, C., Yamamoto, K., Tsukamoto, Y., Konishi, Y., and Tokimura, M.: Distribution and migration of age-0 jack mackerel (*Trachurus japonicus*) in the East China and Yellow Seas, based
- on seasonal bottom trawl surveys, Fish. Oceanogr., 18, 255–267, 2009.
 - Shiozaki, T., Furuya, K., Kodama, T., Kitajima, S., Takeda, S., Takemura, T., and Kanda, J.: New estimation of N fixation in the western and central Pacific Ocean and its marginal seas, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 24, GB1015, doi:10.1029/2009GB003620, 2010.





- Shiozaki, T., Furuya, K., Kurotori, H., Kodama, T., Takeda, S., Endoh, T., Yoshikawa, Y., Ishizaka, J., and Matsuno, T.: Imbalance between vertical nitrate flux and nitrate assimilation on a continental shelf: implications of nitrification, J. Geophys. Res., 116, C10031, doi:10.1029/2010JC006934, 2011.
- Sigman, D. M., Altabet, M. A., McCorkle, D. C., Francois, R., and Fischer, G.: The δ¹⁵N of nitrate in the southern ocean: Consumption of nitrate in surface waters, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 13, 1149–1166, 1999.
 - Sigman, D. M., Casciotti, K. L., Andreani, M., Barford, C., Galanter, M., and Bohlke, J. K.: A bacterial method for the nitrogen isotopic analysis of nitrate in seawater and freshwater, Anal. Chem., 73, 4145–4153, 2001.
 - Sigman, D. M., Granger, J., DiFiore, P. J., Lehmann, M. M., Ho, R., Cane, G., and van Geen, A.; Coupled nitrogen and oxygen isotope measurements of nitrate along the eastern North Pacific margin, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 19, GB4022, doi:10.1029/2005GB002458, 2005.
 - Siswanto, E., Nakata, H., Matsuoka, Y., Tanaka, K., Kiyomoto, Y., Okamura, K., Zhu, J., and Ishizaka, J.: The long-term freshening and nutrient increases in summer surface water in the northern East China Sea in relation to Changiang discharge variation, J. Geophys. Res.,
 - 113, C10030, doi:10.1029/2008JC004812, 2008a.

10

15

25

- Siswanto, E., Ishizaka, J., Morimoto, A., Tanaka, K., Okamura, K., Kristijono, A., and Saino, T.: Ocean physical and biogeochemical responses to the passage of Typhoon Meari in the East
- ²⁰ China Sea observed from Argo float and multiplatform satellites, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L15604, doi:10.1029/2008GL035040, 2008b.
 - Song, G. D., Liu, S. M., Marchant, H., Kuypers, M. M. M., and Lavik, G.: Anaerobic ammonium oxidation, denitrification and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium in the East China Sea sediment, Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 4671–4710, doi:10.5194/bgd-10-4671-2013, 2013.
 - Sugimoto, R., Kasai, A., Miyajima, T., and Fujita, K.: Transport of oceanic nitrate from the continental shelf to the coastal basin in relation to the path of the Kuroshio, Cont. Shelf Res., 29, 1678–1688, 2009.

Teranes, J. L. and Bernasconi, S. M.: The record of nitrate utilization and productivity limitation

- ³⁰ provided by δ^{15} N values in lake organic matter? A study of sediment trap and core sediments from Baldeggersee, Switzerland, Limnol. Oceanogr., 45, 801–813, 2000.
 - Uye, S.: Blooms of the giant jellyfish *Nemopilema nomurai*: a threat to the fisheries sustainability of the East Asian Marginal Seas, Plankton Benthos Res., 3, 125–131, 2008.





- Wang, B.: Cultural eutrophication in the Changjiang (Yangtze River) plume: history and perspective, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 69, 471–477, 2006.
- Wankel, S., Kendall, C., Pennington, J. T., Chavez, F. P., and Paytan, A.: Nitrification in the euphotic zone as evidenced by nitrate dual isotopic composition: observations from Monterey
- Bay, California, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21, GB2009, doi:10.1029/2006GB002723, 2007.
 Waser, N. A., Yu, Z., Yin, K., Nielsen, B., Harrison, P. J., Turpin, D. H., and Calvert, S. E.: Nitrogen isotopic fractionation during a simulated diatom spring bloom: importance of Nstarvation in controlling fractionation, Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser., 179, 291–296, 1999.
 - Wong, G. T. F., Gong, G. C., Liu, K. K., and Pai, S. C.: "Excess Nitrate" in the East China Sea, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 46, 411–418, 1998.

10

- Yamada, M., Takeda, S., Tamura, K., Shiota, Y., and Yoshimura, H.: Nutrient supply fluxes from atmosphere to ocean at the eastern East China Sea, Bulletin of the Faculty of Fisheries Nagasaki University, 94, 1–7, 2013 (Japanese with English abstract).
- Yamaguchi, H., Kim, H. C., Son, Y. B., Kim, S. W., Okamura, K., Kiyomoto, Y., and Ishizaka, J.:
 Seasonal and summer inter annual variations of SeaWiFS chlorophyll *a* in the Yellow Sea and East China Sea, Prog. Oceanogr., 105, 22–29, 2012.
 - Yanagi, T., Shimizu, T., and Lie, H. J.: Detailed structure of the Kuroshio frontal eddy along the shelf edge of the East China Sea, Cont. Shelf Res., 18, 1039–1056, 1998.

Zhang, J., Liu, S. M., Ren, J. L., Wu, Y., and Zhang, G. L.: Nutrient gradients from the eutrophic

- ²⁰ Changjiang (Yangtze River) estuary to the oligotrophic Kuroshio waters and re-evaluation of budgets for the East China Sea, Shelf. Prog. Oceanogr., 74, 449–478, 2007a.
 - Zhang, L., Liu, Z., Zhang, J., Hong, G. H., Park, Y., and Zhang, H. F.: Reevaluation of mixing among multiple water masses in the shelf: an example from the East China Sea, Cont. Shelf Res., 27, 1969–1979, 2007b.
- ²⁵ Zhang, S. W., Wang, Q. Y., Lu, Y., Cui, H., and Yuan, Y. L.: Observation of the seasonal evolution of the Yellow Sea Cold Water Mass in 1996–1998, Cont. Shelf Res., 28, 442–457, 2008.
 - Zhu, J., Chen, C., Ding, P., Li, C., and Lin, H.: Does the Taiwan warm current exist in winter?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L12302, doi:10.1029/2004GL019997, 2004.



Table A1. Nitrate concentration and δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O in NO₃ at each layer of the sampling stations in February 2009.

D-2 31.80 124.83 48 0 11.1 6.7 7.0 32.63 Feb 09 10 14.1 6.6 6.9 32.63 30 14.4 6.6 6.9 32.63 0.4 31.80 125.25 50 0 10.9 7.2 8.1 32.92 Feb 09 0.4 31.80 125.75 50 0 10.9 7.2 8.1 32.92 Feb 09 0.6 31.80 125.75 75 0 7.0 7.1 8.4 33.38 Feb 09 0.6 7.0 7.1 8.4 33.38 Feb 09 10 7.0 7.6 33.38 0.8 7.3 11.2 33.83 Feb 09 10 3.9 7.1 13.4 33.83 0.8 7.3 11.2 33.83 Feb 09 10 3.9 7.1 13.4 33.83 0.10 5.0 6.6 9.6 34.02 Feb 09 7.	Station	Latitude	Longitude	Max. Depth	Layer	$[NO_3 + NO_2]$	δ^{15} N	δ^{18} O	salinity	Date
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D-2	31.80	124.83	48	0	11.1	6.7	7.0	32.63	Feb 09
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					10	14.1	6.7	8.0	32.63	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					30	14.4	6.6	6.9	32.67	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					45	14.5	6.8	7.4	32.68	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D-4	31.80	125.25	50	0	10.9	7.2	8.1	32.92	Feb 09
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					10	11.1	7.0	8.6	32.92	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					30	11.1	7.2	7.7	32.96	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D-6	31.80	125.75	75	0	7.0	7.1	8.4	33.38	Feb 09
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					10	7.0	6.9	8.5	33.38	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					30	7.1	7.0	7.6	33.38	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					60	7.3	6.7	7.7	33.38	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D-8	31.80	126.25	85	0	3.8	7.3	11.2	33.83	Feb 09
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					10	3.9	7.1	13.4	33.83	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					30	4.3	6.7	10.0	33.79	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					75	4.8	6.7	9.4	33.76	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D-10	31.80	126.75	104	0	5.0	6.5	8.6	34.02	Feb 09
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					10	5.0	6.6	9.6	34.02	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					30	4.8	6.5	9.3	34.02	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					75	4.8	6.4	9.0	34.01	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					100	4.8	6.3	9.4	34.01	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D-12	31.80	127.25	125	0	2.4	8.0	10.7	34.48	Feb 09
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					10	2.3	8.1	9.0	34.48	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					30	2.3	8.3	8.6	34.46	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					50	4.6	5.7	5.8	34.42	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					75	5.2	5.6	5.9	34.45	
D-14 31.80 127.75 141 0 2.9 7.2 10.9 34.50 Feb 09 10 2.8 7.4 9.3 34.50 30 2.4 7.6 11.9 34.50 75 3.6 6.4 7.8 34.47 125 13.8 5.8 3.9 34.50 139 14.1 5.6 3.6 34.49					121	11.4	5.5	4.2	34.51	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D-14	31.80	127.75	141	0	2.9	7.2	10.9	34.50	Feb 09
30 2.4 7.6 11.9 34.50 75 3.6 6.4 7.8 34.47 125 13.8 5.8 3.9 34.50 139 14.1 5.6 3.6 34.49					10	2.8	7.4	9.3	34.50	
75 3.6 6.4 7.8 34.47 125 13.8 5.8 3.9 34.50 139 14.1 5.6 3.6 34.49					30	2.4	7.6	11.9	34.50	
125 13.8 5.8 3.9 34.50 139 14.1 5.6 3.6 34.49					75	3.6	6.4	7.8	34.47	
139 14.1 5.6 3.6 34.49					125	13.8	5.8	3.9	34.50	
					139	14.1	5.6	3.6	34.49	

BGD 10, 10143-10188, 2013 Seasonal shift of the nitrate sources at the northern East China Sea Y. Umezawa et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables Figures 14 Þ١ . Back Close Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper



Table A1. Continued.

Station	Latitude	Longitude	Max. Depth	Layer	$[NO_3 + NO_2]$	δ^{15} N	δ ¹⁸ Ο	salinity	Date
D-15	31.80	128.25	168	0	1.6	7.2	9.6	34.55	Feb 09
				10	1.6	7.3	8.5	34.55	
				30	1.5	7.4	9.4	34.55	
				75	1.9	6.5	7.9	34.54	
				125	10.2	5.7	4.0	34.52	
				165	14.6	5.8	4.0	34.50	
D-16	31.80	128.75	762	0	1.7	8.5	11.4	34.54	Feb 09
				10	1.8	8.8	11.1	34.54	
				30	2.4	7.5	8.4	34.52	
				75	4.8	5.8	6.1	34.48	
				125	5.8	5.5	6.5	34.47	
				200	6.0	6.0	6.9	34.51	
				300	19.5	6.1	3.4	34.43	
				500	30.6	6.4	3.0	34.36	
D-17	31.80	129.25	757	0	3.9	7.8	9.1	34.48	Feb 09
				10	3.5	7.8	8.7	34.48	
				30	4.0	7.7	8.7	34.47	
				75	5.0	6.7	7.5	34.47	
				125	11.4	5.8	3.9	34.51	
				200	16.7	5.9	3.5	34.48	
				300	24.0	6.1	3.6	34.38	
				500	33.4	6.1	3.4	34.37	
D-18	31.80	129.67	450	0	3.4	7.1	10.8	34.48	Feb 09
				10	3.1	7.5	9.7	34.48	
				30	3.3	7.1	9.6	34.48	
				75	4.9	6.0	8.0	34.48	
				125	5.4	6.5	6.5	34.43	
				200	15.6	6.0	3.9	34.48	
				300	22.8	5.9	3.5	34.38	

BGD 10, 10143-10188, 2013 Seasonal shift of the nitrate sources at the northern East China Sea Y. Umezawa et al. Title Page Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables Figures

۲I

►

Close

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

14

4

Back



Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table B1. Nitrate concentration	and $\delta^{15}N$ and	δ^{18} O in NO ₃ a	at each layer of	the sampling
stations in Jul 2009.				

Station	Latitude	Longitude	Max. Depth	Layer	$[NO_3 + NO_2]$	δ^{15} N	δ^{18} O	salinity	Date
A-1	32.91	124.59	67	17	3.8	7.7	7.8	30.11	Jul 09
				30	11.9	6.2	3.9	32.67	
				61	11.9	6.1	4.3	32.77	
A-5	32.92	125.50	92	10	2.5	7.5	7.8	29.89	Jul 09
				30	10.4	7.4	5.6	32.41	
				60	11.3	6.7	4.6	33.23	
				89	11.4	6.7	4.5	33.24	
A-11	32.91	127.00	106	50	7.6	7.1	3.8	33.98	Jul 09
				102	11.0	6.0	2.9	34.48	
B-1	32.50	124.59	47	0	2.4	12.7	13.4	29.14	Jul 09
				10	3.3	12.0	11.2	29.48	
				15	5.3	9.6	6.6	29.85	
				30	14.1	7.8	5.0	31.04	
				40	14.9	7.3	5.5	31.17	
B-5	32.50	125.50	75	30	9.4	7.8	6.3	32.24	Jul 09
				70.5	11.0	6.9	5.1	32.93	
B-11	32.52	127.00	122	40	5.1	6.4	5.2	34.48	Jul 09
				75	6.4	5.8	3.5	34.01	
				115	11.5	5.7	4.1	34.57	
D-5	31.78	125.50	59	15	2.4	10.5	12.8	31.52	Jul 09
				55	11.5	6.8	5.5	32.19	
D-6'	31.78	125.83	68	18	3.3	13.2	15.8	31.67	Jul 09
				30	11.2	7.1	6.0	32.17	
				50	11.8	6.5	5.0	32.53	
				60	11.0	6.2	5.0	32.74	
D-7'	31.78	126.17	76	22	9.2	14.7	15.6	31.85	Jul 09
				30	3.3	7.9	7.4	32.34	
				70	8.1	6.2	3.8	33.26	
D-9	31.78	126.50	95	50	5.7	5.7	2.4	33.69	Jul 09
				90	10.9	5.6	3.1	34.26	
D-10'	31.78	126.83	100	33	2.6	8.6	7.2	33.67	Jul 09
				60	7.0	5.4	2.3	33.96	
				90	11.1	5.1	2.3	34.38	

BGD 10, 10143–10188, 2013 Seasonal shift of the nitrate sources at the northern East China Sea Y. Umezawa et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Figures Tables ۶I 14 4 Close Back Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper



Discussion Pa	BC 10, 10143–1	GD 10188, 2013					
per Discussion P	Seasonal s nitrate sou northern E Se Y. Umeza	shift of the rces at the East China ea awa et al.					
aper	Title	Title Page					
—	Abstract	Introduction					
Disc	Conclusions	References					
ussion	Tables	Figures					
Pape	14	▶1					
	•	•					
D	Back	Close					
)iscuss	Full Scre	en / Esc					
on Pa	Printer-frier	dly Version					
aper	Interactive Discussion						



Table	B1	Continued
Iabic	υι.	Continueu.

Station	Latitude	Longitude	Max. Depth	Layer	$[NO_3 + NO_2]$	δ^{15} N	δ^{18} O	salinity	Date
D-12'	31.78	127.17	121	50	8.8	5.9	3.0	34.08	Jul 09
				75	9.1	5.4	2.3	34.15	
				115	12.1	5.0	3.1	34.54	
D-13	31.78	127.50	132	75	7.8	5.2	3.8	34.54	Jul 09
				120	13.3	5.4	3.9	34.54	
D-16	31.78	128.75	770	75	1.8	6.8	6.3	34.46	Jul 09
				125	6.2	5.1	4.4	34.52	
				200	11.6	5.6	3.5	34.54	
				750	34.9	5.7	2.5	34.37	

Table C1. Nitrate concentration and δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O in NO₃ at each layer of the sampling stations in Jul 2011.

Station	Latitude	Longitude	Max. Depth	Layer	$[NO_3 + NO_2]$	δ^{15} N	$\delta^{18}O$	salinity	Date
C-1	32.26	124.63	44	0	27.1	12.8	8.9	23.51	Jul 11
				13.4	2.3	18.0	20.3	31.26	
				20	12.4	7.0	6.4	31.70	
				40.9	12.0	6.9	6.6	31.78	
C-3	32.25	125.00	51	0	24.8	13.8	9.7	23.07	Jul 11
				14.9	10.1	8.4	7.7	31.85	
				46.8	13.5	6.4	5.2	32.37	
C-5	32.25	125.51	69	0	7.6	13.7	14.8	29.30	Jul 11
				10	4.4	14.8	15.9	30.07	
				25.5	6.5	11.5	11.6	32.47	
				40	8.7	7.2	6.7	33.33	
				67.3	8.6	7.2	6.4	33.49	
C-9	32.25	126.50	109	0	2.5	21.6	23.4	30.35	Jul 11
				107	8.4	6.4	4.6	33.80	
D-4'	31.76	125.34	55	3	2.2	17.0	21.9	30.59	Jul 11
				10	2.0	16.1	20.7	31.29	
				16.4	2.3	11.3	11.7	32.32	
				30	11.0	11.8	6.7	32.54	
				40	10.9	6.2	5.5	32.59	
				50.1	11.2	6.0	5.2	32.61	
D-6'	31.75	125.83	68	50	8.5	7.4	6.2	33.51	Jul 11
				63.3	8.6	6.8	6.0	33.53	
D-7'	31.75	126.17	77	25	2.3	11.4	11.9	33.44	Jul 11
				50	8.9	6.5	5.9	33.57	
				72.9	8.9	7.4	6.2	33.58	
D-9	31.75	126.50	97	50	8.3	6.6	5.5	33.73	Jul 11
				92.9	7.6	6.7	5.6	33.73	
D-11	31.75	127.00	109	4	3.1	20.0	19.3	30.30	Jul 11
				104.2	8.4	6.2	4.3	33.94	





Station	Latitude	Longitude	Max. Depth	Layer	$[NO_3 + NO_2]$	δ^{15} N	δ^{18} O	salinity	Date
D-13	31.75	127.50	132	30	2.8	12.4	12.8	33.82	Jul 11
				27.5	0.9	21.7	25.0	33.73	
				50	9.6	5.4	3.1	34.51	
				100	12.7	5.8	3.3	34.64	
				128.5	12.9	5.7	3.4	34.55	
D-16	31.75	128.75	770	65	1.50	9.3	10.8	34.41	Jul 11
				100	5.8	5.1	4.0	34.45	
				200	9.4	5.5	4.0	34.57	
				400	18.9	5.9	4.5	34.37	
				600	32.4	6.0	2.7	34.37	
				759	34.3	6.2	2.5	34.38	







Fig. 1. Map of East China Sea showing the sampling stations in February and July 2009 and July 2011. A schematic image of the Kuroshio Current, Taiwan Warm Current Water (TWCW), Yellow Sea Cold Water Mass (YSCWM) and Changjiang Diluted Water (CDW) during summer and Yellow Sea Mixed Water (YSMW) during winter is also shown. The dashed lines indicate the isobath.







Fig. 2. Vertical distribution of physical parameters, chlorophyll fluorescence, and NO₃ concentrations along Line D in February and July 2009 and July 2011. The black circles in the NO₃ + NO₂ figure indicate the location where NO₃ concentration was more than 1.5 μ M, and δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O in NO₃ were analyzed.







Fig. 3. Vertical distribution of physical parameters, chlorophyll fluorescence, and NO₃ concentrations along lines A and B in July 2009 and lines A and C in July 2011. The black circles in the NO₃ + NO₂ figure are the same in Fig. 2.





Fig. 4. Relationship between δ^{18} O and δ^{15} N in NO₃ collected at each location along transect D in **(a)** February 2009, **(b)** July 2009, and **(c)** July 2011. The numerical values near the symbols indicate water depth at locations where water was sampled. The water depth explained in green color is the layer of chlorophyll maximum. The dotted line and the two-dot chain line indicate the lines of $\Delta\delta^{18}$ O : $\Delta\delta^{15}$ N = 1 : 1 and 2 : 1, respectively. The star indicates δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O values in the lower stream of the Changjiang River, reported by Li et al. (2010).







Fig. 5. Relationship between δ^{18} O and δ^{15} N in NO₃ collected at each location along (a) transects A and B in July 2009 and (b) transect C in July 2011. The symbols, numerical values, and lines in the figure are the same as in Fig. 4. The cross section of the transect-B is omitted.









Fig. 6. Plot of salinity-temperature data collected from the surface to the bottom at each station in **(a)** February 2009, **(b)** July 2009, and **(c)** July 2011. The typical salinity-temperature ranges of each water mass, Kuroshio Surface Water (KSW), Kuroshio Subsurface Water (KSSW), Kuroshio Intermediate Water (KIW), Taiwan Warm Current Water (TWCW), Changjiang Diluted Water (CDW), Yellow Sea Cold Water Mass (YSCWM), Yellow Sea Mixed Water (YSMW) and Shelf Mixed Water (SMW), are from Zhu et al. (2004), Zhang et al. (2007a,b), Zhang et al. (2008), and Chen (2008). The circles of the dotted line with numbers show the potential mixing of different water masses, which can be partly enhanced by turbulence and diffusive convection. Low salinity water, which were out of range, are additionally depicted with salinity values in the panel **(c)**.













Fig. 8. Nitrate δ^{15} N versus [NO₃] plotted as its natural logarithm for the samples collected at each location along transect D in **(a)** February 2009, **(b)** July 2009, and **(c)** July 2011. The symbols, numerical values, and the star symbol are as those in Fig. 4. The dotted straight-lines and a curved-line indicate the expected shift in δ^{15} N associated with NO₃ assimilation following the Rayleigh model ($\varepsilon = 3.0$) and the steady-state model ($\varepsilon = 3.0$), respectively (see Fig. 10). The two-dot chain line indicates the δ^{15} N_{NO₂} of the deep KSSW.







Fig. 9. Nitrate δ^{15} N versus [NO₃] plotted as its natural logarithm for the samples collected at each location along **(a)** transects A and B in July 2009 and **(b)** transect C in July 2011. The star symbol and the lines in the figure are the same as those in Fig. 8. The cross section of the transect-B is omitted.







Fig. 10. Examples of the expected $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ shift for a given [NO₃] (plotted as its natural logarithm) for the case of $\varepsilon = 0, 3.0$, and 6.0, based on both the Rayleigh model (closed system model, solid line) and the steady-state model (open system model, dotted line). When NO₃ is assimilated by organisms with isotopic fractionation, initial values (e.g., $ln[NO_3] = 3.0$ and initial $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3} = 5.0$) will be shifted following Eq. (1) according to the Rayleigh model in the case of a closed system (i.e., new NO₃ is not continuously supplied) or following Eq. (2) according to the steady-state model in the case of an open system (i.e., new NO₃ is continuously supplied) or, most likely, according to some intermediate condition (e.g., Sigman et al., 1999; Sugimoto et al., 2009).

$$\delta^{15} N_{NO_3} = \text{initial} \delta^{15} N_{NO_3} - \varepsilon \times \ln(f) \quad (1)$$

$$\delta^{15} N_{NO_3} = \text{initial} \delta^{15} N_{NO_3} + \varepsilon \times (1 - f) \quad (2)$$

where \int is the fraction of NO₃ remaining in the water column from the initial NO₃ concentration, and ε is the isotopic fractionation factor (in ∞ units) for NO₃ utilization by organisms.



