

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG).
Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in a double cropping cereal rotation as affected by nitrogen and straw management

T. Huang¹, B. Gao¹, P. Christie^{1,2}, and X. Ju¹

¹College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China

²Agri-Environment Branch, Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute, Belfast BT9 5PX, UK

Received: 25 June 2013 – Accepted: 25 July 2013 – Published: 8 August 2013

Correspondence to: X. Ju (juxt@cau.edu.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

BGD

10, 13191–13229, 2013

Net global warming
potential and
greenhouse gas
intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

The effects of nitrogen and straw management on global warming potential (GWP) and greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) in a winter wheat–summer maize double-cropping system on the North China Plain were investigated. We measured nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions and studied net GWP (NGWP) and GHGI by calculating the net exchange of CO_2 equivalent ($\text{CO}_2\text{-eq}$) from greenhouse gas emissions, agricultural inputs and management practices, and changes in soil organic carbon (SOC), based on a long-term field experiment established in 2006. The field experiment includes six treatments with three fertilizer N levels (zero-N control, optimum and conventional N) and straw removal (i.e. N_0 , N_{opt} and N_{con}) or return (i.e. SN_0 , SN_{opt} and SN_{con}). Optimum N management (N_{opt} , SN_{opt}) saved roughly half of the fertilizer N compared to conventional agricultural practice (N_{con} , SN_{con}) with no significant effect on grain yields. Annual mean N_2O emissions reached $3.90 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O-N ha}^{-1}$ in N_{con} and SN_{con} , and N_2O emissions were reduced by 46.9 % by optimizing N management of N_{opt} and SN_{opt} . Straw return increased annual mean N_2O emissions by 27.9 %. Annual SOC sequestration was $0.40\text{--}1.44 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in plots with N application and/or straw return. Compared to the conventional N treatments the optimum N treatments reduced NGWP by 51 %, comprising 25 % from decreasing N_2O emissions and 75 % from reducing N fertilizer application rates. Straw return treatments reduced NGWP by 30 % compared to no straw return because the GWP from increments of SOC offset the GWP from higher emissions of N_2O , N fertilizer and fuel after straw return. The GHGI trends from the different nitrogen and straw management practices were similar to the NGWP. In conclusion, optimum N and straw return significantly reduced NGWP and GHGI and concomitantly achieved relatively high grain yields in this important winter wheat–summer maize double-cropping system.

BGD

10, 13191–13229, 2013

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

1 Introduction

Carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O) are the three most important greenhouse gases (GHGs) because of their positive increases in radiative forcing and their longevity in the atmosphere (Mosier et al., 2006; IPCC, 2007). Agricultural GHG emissions were estimated to be 5.1–6.1 Pg (1 Pg = 10¹⁵ g) CO₂-equivalents (CO₂-eq) in 2005, representing 10–12 % of total global anthropogenic emissions (Smith et al., 2007). Furthermore, agricultural GHG emissions account for roughly 20 % of the increment in radiative forcing of climate change each year (Cole et al., 1997). The mitigation potential from agriculture (excluding fossil fuel offsets from biomass) was estimated to be about 5.5–6.0 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ if global agricultural techniques were improved by 2030 (Smith et al., 2008). Therefore, agricultural ecosystems are not only a very important source of GHG emissions but also present substantial opportunities for mitigation (Snyder et al., 2009).

In addition to edaphic and climatic conditions, agricultural management practices such as tillage, straw management, fertilization, irrigation, and crop rotation significantly affect GHG emissions (Robertson et al., 2000; Huang et al., 2004; Mosier et al., 2006). Many long-term field experiments have indicated that proper fertilization together with straw return can increase soil organic carbon (SOC) content (Huang and Sun, 2006; Mosier et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2010; Shang et al., 2011). However, these practices may also stimulate N₂O emissions by increasing the supply of substrates for soil nitrifiers and denitrifiers and the resulting increase in microbial activity may offset the SOC sequestration effects (Pathak et al., 2005). Field practices that change some soil conditions to mitigate one form of GHG emissions may bring about favorable conditions for other forms of emissions and thereby change the overall balance of GHGs (Pathak et al., 2005; Shang et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2013). To measure these overall effects in any given system the concept of net global warming potential (NGWP) was proposed based on the radiative properties of all the GHG emissions and carbon fixation, expressed as CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, to give an integrated evaluation of whether the system

BGD

10, 13191–13229, 2013

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

is positive or negative in terms of CO₂-eq (Robertson and Grace, 2004). Furthermore, in order to measure the magnitude of GHG emissions to produce the same crop yield, another concept, greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI), was introduced and is expressed as the GWP of per unit of crop yield (Mosier et al., 2006). This concept can assist in solving the global challenges of increasing food production and concomitantly decreasing emissions. This also raises the important question of the potential for indirect land use change and GWP by clearing carbon-rich natural ecosystems for crop production (Tilman et al., 2002; Foley et al., 2011).

The North China Plain (NCP) covers an area of 35 million hectares and is one of the most important cereal production areas in China (Ding et al., 2011). Winter wheat–summer maize double cropping rotations are the dominant cropping system in this region, and rational fertilization, irrigation and straw management are key factors for production of relatively high target yields, nutrient and water use efficiencies, and sustainable environmental conditions (Chen et al., 2011; Meng et al., 2012). Due to numerous interacting economic, social, and policy factors e.g. low on-farm incomes and high off-farm incomes, poor extension services, and relative low N fertilizer prices through subsidies for N fertilizer manufacturers over the last two decades, fertilizer N application rates are in general 30 to 60 % above the agronomic or recommended, with N application rates of 325 and 263 kg N ha⁻¹ for winter wheat and summer maize, respectively, in conventional farming practice (Ju et al., 2009). The overuse of fertilizer N in the past will inevitably bring about a range of environmental consequences such as N₂O emissions (Gao et al., 2011; Ju et al., 2011), nitrate leaching (Ju et al., 2009), soil acidification (Guo et al., 2010), and air pollution (Liu et al., 2013). Recently, our results have shown that N₂O emissions can be reduced by 61.5 % by optimizing N management compared to local farming practice (Ju et al., 2011) and consequently reduce emissions of CO₂-eq during the manufacture of N fertilizers (Zhang et al., 2013). However, the SOC content of topsoils on the North China Plain has increased over last two decades due to high application rates of synthetic fertilizers and straw return (Huang and Sun, 2006). It remains unclear to what extent NGWP and GHGI can be reduced

BGD

10, 13191–13229, 2013

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

following optimum N and straw management in this cropping system in comparison with conventional farming practice.

The energy used for irrigation, fuel consumption for farm operations, and application of agrochemicals in crop production systems can also produce large amounts of CO₂-eq which should be taken into account in the estimation of emission sources contributing to GWP (Mosier et al., 2006; Snyder et al., 2009). In our region the winter wheat must be irrigated (about 400 mm) to ensure relatively high grain yields because the precipitation during the dry growing season represents only 20–30 % of annual rainfall (Meng et al., 2012). Over-exploitation of the groundwater by agriculture for irrigation during the last three decades has lowered the water level (Wang et al., 2002) and the operation of the pumps requires more electricity which is mostly generated by coal combustion, thus emitting more CO₂-eq (Zhang et al., 2013). The tillage practices developed in last two decades for this cropping system are also unique, i.e. winter wheat straw mulching and direct seeding of summer maize without tillage, with only annual moldboard ploughing to incorporate maize straw and sow winter wheat, and thus promote SOC sequestration and reduce the amount of fuel required for tillage (Huang et al., 2011) as compared to deep tillage for every crop in the past. Moreover, this cropping system normally serves as small sink for CH₄, and most results show that CH₄ uptake is almost 1 kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Gao, 2012; Liu et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2013). The unique features of soil and climatic conditions together with the management practices of this double cropping system make it interesting for us to know the integrated changes in GHG emissions after optimum N and straw management in comparison with conventional farming practice. To our knowledge, few studies have examined the NGWP and/or GHGI of this cropping system (Gao, 2012; Song et al., 2013) and the sources of emissions and carbon fixation were not taken fully into consideration in these studies so that the tradeoffs between the GWP sources and sinks remain to be explored.

The objectives of the present study were to investigate the effects of N application rate and straw return on grain yield, N₂O emissions, topsoil SOC sequestration and

BGD

10, 13191–13229, 2013

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



the tradeoffs among these in order to calculate and evaluate NGWP and GHGI over two cycles of the double cropping cereal system based on a long-term field experiment with three N application rates and two straw management practices (with and without straw return).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Description of study site

The long-term field experiment started in October 2006 and is located at Shangzhuang Research Station (39°48' N, 116°28' E) of China Agricultural University in suburban Beijing. The site is at an altitude of 40 m and has a typical continental monsoon climate. Annual cumulative mean temperature for days with mean temperatures above 10 °C is 4000–5000 °C and the annual precipitation is 500–700 mm of which 60–70 % occurs during from July to September. The air temperature and soil temperature at 10 cm depth, precipitation and irrigation from June 2011 to June 2013 are shown in Fig. 1. The top 20 cm of the calcareous Fluvo-aquic soil has a 28 % clay content, 32 % silt, 40 % sand, and a pH of 8.1 (soil:water ratio 1 : 2.5). The bulk density is 1.31 g cm⁻³ and the organic carbon content is 0.71 %, total N 0.08 %, NO₃-N 24.5 mg kg⁻¹, NH₄-N 1.20 mg kg⁻¹, Olsen-P 7.8 mg kg⁻¹, and available K 76.2 mg kg⁻¹. Detailed information about the study site was presented in a previous paper (Qiu et al., 2012).

2.2 Experimental design

The study involved 6 treatments with 3 N levels (zero-N control, optimum and conventional N) with straw removal (i.e. N₀, N_{opt} and N_{con}) and return (i.e. SN₀, SN_{opt} and SN_{con}) (Table 1). The design was a completely randomized block with three replicates and each plot was 8 m × 8 m = 64 m². Winter wheat was sown at the beginning of October and harvested in the middle of the June of the following year, and summer maize

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



was sown immediately after the wheat and harvested at the end of September. Winter wheat received basal and top-dressed N fertilizer. N rates were estimated according to the synchronization of crop N demand and soil N supply in SN_{opt} and N_{opt} , i.e. the target crop N demand minus NO_3-N in the root zone. The target crop N demands for basal application and topdressing were 100 and 200 kg N ha⁻¹ in the corresponding root zone depths 0–40 cm and 0–100 cm, respectively (Qiu et al., 2012); in N_{con} and SN_{con} , N rates followed local conventional farming practice, i.e. 150 kg N ha⁻¹ as basal fertilizer followed by ploughing and 150 kg N ha⁻¹ at the shooting stage of wheat (Zhao et al., 2006). For summer maize, N_{con} was 130 kg N ha⁻¹ at the four- and ten-leaf stages; the target crop N demands for N_{opt} were 100 and 160 kg N ha⁻¹ topdressing at the four- and ten-leaf stages with the corresponding root zone depths 0–60 cm and 0–100 cm, respectively, as recommended by Zhao et al. (2006). Phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) were applied only as basal fertilizer for winter wheat at rates of 70 kg P ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and 75 kg K ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

2.3 Field management

The row spacing of winter wheat (var. Nongda 211) was 15 cm and the sowing rate was 225 kg ha⁻¹. The distances in summer maize (var. Zhengdan 958) between rows and plants were 60 and 25 cm, respectively. Chemical fertilizers and chopped maize straw were incorporated into the soil with tillage at the beginning of October before wheat was sown, and the topdressing N fertilizer was broadcast at the shooting stage of wheat followed by irrigation. N fertilizer was broadcast before a precipitation event or supplementary irrigation at the four – and ten – leaf stages of summer maize; the wheat straw was mulched on the soil surface after the wheat harvest. Both maize and wheat straws were mechanically chopped into 5–8 cm lengths. All the plants in straw removal plots were cut down manually and removed after yield sampling by hand.

Winter wheat was irrigated before the winter freeze in the year of sowing and at shooting, heading and grain-filling. The irrigation rate was dependent on the soil mois-

BGD

10, 13191–13229, 2013

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

ture conditions, i.e. 60, 60, 0 and 50 mm in 2011–2012, and 60, 60, 50 and 50 mm in 2012–2013. An additional 40 mm of irrigation was supplied to the winter wheat seedlings on 3 October 2011. No irrigation was used for summer maize seedlings in 2011 and 2012 because of rain events. Pesticide (a mixture of *dichlorovos* and *dimethoate*) was sprayed on wheat in the middle of April and the middle or end of May. The same pesticide mixture and herbicide (*acetochlor*) were sprayed after summer sowing of maize. The liquid pesticide mixture was applied again at the beginning of July and a solid granular pesticide (*carbofuran*) was applied to the top leaves of summer maize at the beginning of August.

2.4 Topsoil SOC measurement

Soil samples were taken to depths of 0–20, 20–40 and 40–60 cm from each plot after the summer maize harvest to determine the SOC content annually. Each sample was a composite of five subsamples which were taken randomly from each plot. Samples were passed through a 2 mm sieve and visible plant residues were removed after air-drying. The SOC content was determined with a CN analyzer (Vario Max CN, Elementar, Hanau, Germany) after soaking for 24 h in excess 0.3 mol L⁻¹ HCl solution to remove calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) and oven drying at 65 °C. We also measured the topsoil (0–20 cm) bulk density by the cutting ring method annually after the maize harvest. Because the changes in SOC content at depths of 20–40 and 40–60 cm were very small over the last 6 yr and not significantly different between treatments (data not shown), we calculated the SOC content in the different treatments only in the top 20 cm of the soil profile.

The topsoil SOC content (g kg⁻¹) increased annually during the period 2006–2012 in all treatments except N₀ (Fig. 2). Linear regression was therefore used to simulate the annual rate of increase of SOC content for all treatments:

$$\text{SOC} = at + b \quad (1)$$

Where t is the duration of the experiment (years since 2006). Annual topsoil organic carbon sequestration rate (SOC_{SR} , $\text{kgC ha}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1}$) was estimated on the basis of the rate of increase of topsoil SOC content (d_{SOC}/d_t , $\text{gC kg}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1}$). Based on Eq. (1), d_{SOC}/d_t was estimated by a . Therefore, SOC_{SR} was estimated using the following equation:

$$\text{SOC}_{\text{SR}} = a \times B \times 20 \times 100 \quad (2)$$

Where B is the bulk density (g cm^{-3}) of the topsoil (0–20 cm). The numbers 20 and 100 in the equation are the topsoil depth and the area conversion coefficient, respectively. Similarly, the $\text{SOC}_{\text{density}}$ (MgC ha^{-1}) was calculated by:

$$\text{SOC}_{\text{density}} = c \times B \times 20/10 \quad (3)$$

Where c and B are the SOC content and bulk density of the topsoil (0–20 cm) in different years, respectively. The numbers 20 and 10 in the equation are the topsoil depth and the area conversion coefficient, respectively.

2.5 Plant measurement

The aboveground biomass was measured in the middle of each plot at the winter wheat harvest within an area of 9 m^2 ($3 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ m}$). Grain and straw samples were oven-dried at 65°C until constant weight to determine dry matter yield. In the case of summer maize 14.4 m^2 (six rows 4 m in length) in the middle of each plot were harvested to determine the fresh ear and stover yields together with ear number. Five plants were randomly sampled from the harvested summer maize and separated into grains, cobs, and stover to determine the oven-dried weight at 65°C . The grain yield of maize was estimated by deduction of cob yield. The C content in straw was determined using a CN analyzer (Vario Max CN, Elementar, Hanau, Germany).

2.6 N₂O emission measurements

N₂O emissions were measured manually in two cycles of winter wheat–summer maize from 2011–2013 using the closed static chamber method (Mosier et al., 2006). Before the wheat was sown two types of base collar (length × width = 60 cm × 50 cm and 60 cm × 30 cm) made of stainless steel were inserted 20 cm into the soil in every plot. These collars were removed only once per year before tillage after summer maize harvest and remained in position during the whole crop rotation. Type I chambers (length × width × height = 60 cm × 50 cm × 50 cm) were designed to measure N₂O emissions from the winter wheat season and early stages of summer maize (before 50 cm height). The two parts of type I chambers were sealed by a groove filled with water on the top edge of base collar. If the maize height surpassed 50 cm, type II chambers (length × width × height = 60 cm × 30 cm × 20 cm) were used, separated vertically into two parts and with a hole (11 cm diameter) drilled in the center of the top of the chamber (Liu et al., 2012). Type II chambers allowed the cornstalks to pass through the chamber tops and so that only the maize roots were covered. The gaps between the type II chambers and cornstalks were sealed using a preservative film (1.2-μm-thick polyvinylidene chloride) when the chambers were closed. The two parts of type II chambers were sealed with rubber. Each chamber was covered with a layer of insulating material to minimize any chamber effects on air temperature to < 3 °C in the headspace during gas sampling, and the interior of the chamber was equipped with two opposing ventilators to ensure complete mixing of air. The first sample was collected immediately from the chamber roof after the chamber was enclosed. Four gas samples were collected at intervals of 15 min using 50 mL plastic injectors through a three-way stopcock and a Teflon tube connected to the chamber. Daily measurements were carried out for about 10 days and 5 days after fertilizer application and rainfall or irrigation, respectively, for the remaining periods emissions were measured twice per week and once a week when the soil was frozen (Hu et al., 2013). The sampling time was between 08:00 and 11:00 a.m.

Title Page

AbstractIntroduction

ConclusionsReferences

TablesFigures

⏮⏭

⏪⏩

BackClose

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Gas samples were analyzed for N_2O using a modified gas chromatograph (Agilent 6820, USA) equipped with a ^{63}Ni -electron capture detector (ECD) running at 350°C . High purity dinitrogen (N_2 , 99.999 %) was used as the carrier gas for N_2O analysis and 10 % CO_2 in pure N_2 as a make-up gas for ECD (Zheng et al., 2008). The detection limits of the GC were $2\text{ }\mu\text{g N m}^{-2}\text{ h}^{-1}$ for N_2O at a chamber height of 50 cm. The GC was calibrated using known concentrations of mixed gas (0.354 ppm N_2O in pure N_2) which was calibrated using standard calibration gases during each group measurement cycle and air conditioning was used for temperature stabilization during measurement in the laboratory to minimize the tendency of the ECD to drift with changing temperature.

Taking into account the methodology for calculating the fluxes of N_2O emissions from some previous studies, the N_2O fluxes were calculated by linear regression (Eq. 5) or nonlinear (Eq. 6) methods according to the changing pattern of gas concentration in the headspace of the closed chamber (Livingston et al., 2005; Kroon et al., 2008). The flux of N_2O emission ($\mu\text{g N}_2\text{O-N ha}^{-1}\text{ d}^{-1}$) was calculated as:

$$F = k_1 \times P_0/P \times 273/(273 + T) \times 28/22.4 \times 0.5 \times dc/dt \quad (4)$$

$$c = a + bt (dc/dt = b) \quad (5)$$

$$c = a + bt + dt^2 (dc/dt = b) \quad (6)$$

where F ($\mu\text{g N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2}\text{ h}^{-1}$) is the flux, k_1 is a coefficient (0.001) for dimensional conversion, P_0 is air pressure incubation (hPa), P is the ambient air pressure at the experimental site (1013 hPa), $P_0/P \approx 1$ because the altitude of the experimental site is 40 m and very close to sea level, T ($^\circ\text{C}$) is mean air temperature in the chamber, 28 ($\text{g N}_2\text{O-N mol}^{-1}$) is the molecular weight of N_2 in the N_2O molecule, 22.4 (L mol^{-1}) is the molecular volume at 1, 013 hPa and 273 K, 0.5 (m) is the chamber height, c (ppm) is the concentration of N_2O , t (h) is the time of chamber closure, and dc/dt ($\mu\text{L L}^{-1}\text{ h}^{-1}$) is the rate of increase in N_2O concentration in the chamber (Zheng et al., 2008). $P_0/P \times 273/(273 + T)$ is the temperature- and pressure-corrected mole volume. dc/dt is the slope of the curve which was calculated depending on the pattern of the

concentration changes in the headspace (Kroon et al., 2008), and a , b and d are constants. N_2O emissions are the mean values of three replicates on the sampling days. The seasonal or annual cumulative N_2O emissions were estimated from the sum of measurement and no-measurement days which was estimated by linear interpolation (Mosier et al., 2006).

2.7 Calculation of NGWP and GHGI

To better understand climatic effects of the nitrogen and straw management on winter wheat–summer maize double cropping system, the NGWP and GHGI were calculated using the following equations.

$$N_{2O_{GWP}} = N_2O (kg N_2O - N ha^{-1}) / 28 \times 44 \times 298 \quad (7)$$

Where 28 is the molecular weight of N in N_2O and 44 is the molecular weight of N_2O . The global warming potentials of 1 kg N_2O is equivalent to 298 kg CO_2 based on 100 yr (IPCC, 2007).

$$CH_{4_{GWP}} = CH_4 (kg CH_4 - C ha^{-1}) / 12 \times 16 \times 25 \quad (8)$$

Where 12 is the molecular weight of C in CH_4 and 16 is the molecular weight of CH_4 . The global warming potential of 1 kg CH_4 is equivalent to 25 kg CO_2 based on 100 yr (IPCC, 2007). We adopted CH_4 uptake by 1 kg $CH_4 - C ha^{-1} yr^{-1}$, the result from two continuous years of study using the same cropping system and climatic zone (Hu et al., 2013).

$$SOC_{GWP} = SOC_{SR} (kg C ha^{-1}) / 12 \times 44 \quad (9)$$

Where the 12 is the molecular weight of C in CO_2 and 44 is the molecular weight of CO_2 .

$$Fertilizer_{GWP} = N \text{ rate} (kg N ha^{-1}) \times 8.3 + P_2O_5 \text{ rate} (kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1}) \times 1.51 + K_2O \text{ rate} (kg K_2O ha^{-1}) \times 0.98 \quad (10)$$

BGD

10, 13191–13229, 2013

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Where the 8.3, 1.51 and 0.98 are the GHG emissions ($\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq kg}^{-1}$) associated with the manufacture and transportation of fertilizer N (Zhang et al., 2013), P and K (Huang et al., 2011), respectively. The date, type and rate of fertilizer applications were recorded in detail.

$$\text{Power}_{\text{GWP}} = \text{Electricity (kW)} \times \text{time (h)} \times 1.30 \quad (11)$$

Where $1.30 (\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq (kW h)}^{-1})$ is the GHG emission ($\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq kg}^{-1}$) associated with the production and utilization of electricity by coal combustion (Zhang et al., 2013). The duration of every irrigation events and pump power consumptions were recorded in detail. The electricity consumption for irrigation was sum of the duration of all irrigation events multiplied by the power of the pump.

$$\text{Fuel}_{\text{GWP}} = \text{Diesel oil (L)} \times 3.94 \quad (12)$$

Where $3.94 (\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq L}^{-1})$ is the GHG emission ($\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq kg}^{-1}$) associated with diesel oil combustion (Huang et al., 2011). The energy consumption of every farm machinery operation was recorded in detail. The annual consumption of diesel oil by farm machinery operations was 64 L ha^{-1} and 70 L ha^{-1} from the straw removal and return treatments, respectively.

$$\text{Pesticide}_{\text{GWP}} = \text{Pesticide (kg)} \times 18.0 \quad (13)$$

Where $18.0 (\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq kg}^{-1})$ is the GHG emission ($\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq kg}^{-1}$) associated with pesticide production (Huang et al., 2011). The dates, types and rates of pesticide application were recorded in detail. The annual consumption of pesticide was 6.2 kg ha^{-1} .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Net-GWP} = & \text{N}_2\text{O}_{\text{GWP}} - \text{SOC}_{\text{GWP}} - \text{CH}_4_{\text{GWP}} + \text{Fertilizer}_{\text{GWP}} + \text{Power}_{\text{GWP}} \\ & + \text{Fuel}_{\text{GWP}} + \text{Pesticide}_{\text{GWP}} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\text{GHGI} = \text{Net-GWP} / \text{grain yield} \quad (15)$$

The units of NGWP and GHGI are $\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq Mg}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ grain, respectively.

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



2.8 Statistical analysis

The primary data were examined using Microsoft Excel spreadsheets. The grain yield, seasonal and annual N₂O emissions and SOC density of the different treatments were tested by analysis of variance and mean values were compared by least significant difference (LSD) at the 5 % level using the SAS statistical software package (Version 8.2; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

3 Results

3.1 N fertilizer rate and grain yield

The optimum N management (N_{opt} and SN_{opt}) with improved N_{min} test significantly reduced N fertilizer rate to both crops compared to conventional farming practice (N_{con} and SN_{con}), which saved 54–58, 56–57 and 55–57 % N fertilizer in winter wheat, summer maize and annually, respectively (Table 2). Application of N (N_{opt} and N_{con}) significantly increased the grain yield by 20–94 % and 38–101 %, respectively, compared to the control but there was no significant difference between N_{opt} and N_{con} except in maize in 2012 (Table 3). Although there was almost 3.7–5.5 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ straw input in treatments SN₀, SN_{opt} and SN_{con}, the yields increased by only 1.8–5.4 % over the two years (four crops) compared to the straw removal treatments.

3.2 Changes in topsoil SOC content and density

The 0–20 cm SOC densities from all treatments did not increase significantly during the initial five years from 2007–2011 (Table 4), but showed significant increases started from 2012. Compared to the N₀ treatment, long-term N application and straw return gradually increased topsoil SOC content by 2.9–51.9 % (Fig. 2). The topsoil SOC content rate of increase was in the sequence SN_{con} (0.55 g kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹) > N_{con} (0.42 g kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹) > SN_{opt}

13204

BGD

10, 13191–13229, 2013

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏮

⏭

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



$(0.30 \text{ g kg}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}) > N_{\text{opt}} (0.25 \text{ g kg}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}) > SN_0 (0.15 \text{ g kg}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$, but with N_0 decreasing by $0.15 \text{ g kg}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

In 2012 the topsoil SOC density of SN_{con} was the highest and was significantly higher than the other treatments (Table 4), and those of SN_{con} , N_{con} , SN_{opt} and N_{opt} were significantly higher than the N_0 treatment. As for the nitrogen application effect, N_{con} was the highest and was 4.5–13.9 % and 2.0–23.3 % higher than N_{opt} and N_0 , respectively. The differences among the three N levels were not significant until 2012 when N_{con} and N_{opt} were significantly higher than N_0 ($P < 0.05$). As for the straw return effect, the 0–20 cm SOC density from straw return was $20.1\text{--}26.1 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ across 6 yr, which was 3.9–16.5 % higher than without straw, but there were no significant differences between straw return and straw removal over the six-year period.

3.3 N_2O emissions

The patterns of N_2O fluxes from June 2011 to June 2013 are shown in Fig. 3 for all treatments. The fluxes of the all plots varied from $-28.3\text{--}1682.1 \mu\text{g N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$. Several N_2O emission peaks were observed in the fertilized treatments which were associated with N fertilizer application events coupled with irrigation/rainfall or tillage or triggered by heavy rainfall alone, and two may have been related to the freeze-thawing effect on 20 March 2012 and 15 March 2013 when the soil temperature increased above 0°C . Fertilization alone only slightly stimulated N_2O emissions within two weeks, i.e. the maximum N_2O emissions amounting to 106.9, 254.8 and $82.2 \mu\text{g N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ after fertilization at the ten-leaf stage of 2011 maize, 2012 maize and basal fertilization in 2012–2013 wheat, respectively. When fertilization was coupled with rainfall or irrigation, massive pulses of N_2O emissions were observed with maximum fluxes up to $230.2 \mu\text{g N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ at shooting stage in 2012–2013 wheat, and 704.7, $556.1 \mu\text{g N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ after four-leaf stage fertilization in 2011 and 2012 maize. The highest N_2O emission was $1682.1 \mu\text{g N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ after the wheat was sown in October 2011 because fertilization and tillage were coupled with irrigation.

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Annual cumulative N_2O emissions over the two cropping rotations ranged from 0.20–4.54 and 0.47–4.35 $\text{kg N}_2\text{O-N ha}^{-1}$ in 2011–2012 and 2012–2013, respectively (Table 5). The seasonal cumulative N_2O emission from all treatments was in the order $\text{SN}_{\text{con}} > \text{N}_{\text{con}} > \text{SN}_{\text{opt}} > \text{N}_{\text{opt}} > \text{SN}_0 > \text{N}_0$ in these four different seasons. As for the nitrogen application effect, annual cumulative N_2O emissions in N_{opt} and N_{con} were significantly higher than N_0 ($P < 0.05$), with increases of more than 3 and 6 times, respectively. The cumulative N_2O emissions of N_{con} in 2011–2012 and 2012–2013 winter wheat were not significantly higher than N_{opt} , but the opposite trend occurred in 2011 and 2012 summer maize. As for the straw return effect, the seasonal cumulative N_2O emissions from the straw return treatments increased by 36 %, 28 %, 34 % and 37 % compared to straw removal treatments in the four crops, respectively, but only in 2012 maize was the increase significant ($P < 0.05$). The cumulative N_2O emissions showed pronounced seasonal variation.

Correlations between seasonal cumulative N_2O emissions and fertilizer N application rates from straw removal and straw return were also calculated and the seasonal cumulative N_2O emissions increased linearly with increasing N application rate (Fig. 4). Compared to the straw removal treatments, the slopes from the straw return treatments were 56 %, 8 %, 23 % and 10 % higher in 2011 maize, 2011–2012 wheat, 2012 maize and 2012–2013 wheat, respectively.

3.4 NGWP and GHGI

Although N fertilizer and straw return increased annual N_2O emissions, they also increased SOC sequestration and it is interesting to explore the NGWP and GHGI in this double cropping system. From the view of carbon footprint, we included GHG emissions associated with all the inputs (fertilization, power, fuel, pesticide) and SOC sequestration expressed as $\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Table 6). The NGWP was in the order $\text{N}_{\text{con}} > \text{N}_0 > \text{SN}_{\text{con}} > \text{N}_{\text{opt}} > \text{SN}_{\text{opt}} > \text{SN}_0$.

The NGWP of the zero-N application treatments (N_0 and SN_0) averaged 2702 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹, with a positive GWP of 2748 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ including a 9 % contribution from N₂O emissions, 12 % from fertilizer production (0 % from N), 66 % from power, 13 % from fuel and pesticide, and a negative GWP of only 46 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ including 72 % and 28 % from CH₄ uptake and SOC sequestration, respectively. In contrast, the NGWP of optimum (N_{opt} and SN_{opt}) applications averaged 2853 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹, with a positive GWP of 5525 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ including an 18 % contribution from N₂O emissions, 43 % from fertilizer production (37 % from N), 33 % from power, 6 % from fuel and pesticide, and a negative GWP of 2672 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ including 99 % from SOC sequestration. The NGWP of the conventional N (N_{con} and SN_{con}) applications averaged 4309 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹, with a positive GWP of 8986 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ including a 20 % contribution from N₂O emissions, 54 % from fertilizer production (51 % from N), 20 % from power, 6 % from fuel and pesticide, and a negative GWP of 4677 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ including 99 % from SOC sequestration. The optimum N application reduced CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ by 3462 kg by reducing N₂O emissions (25 %) and N fertilizer (75 %) compared to the conventional N application, but the latter fixed more than 2006 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ than the optimum N application through increasing SOC. Thus, the optimum N application led to a reduction of only 1456 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ compared to the conventional N application, which accounted for 34 % of NGWP in the latter.

Comparing the two straw management practices, the net GWP of the straw removal treatments (N_0 , N_{opt} and N_{con}) averaged $3873 \text{ kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$, with a positive GWP of $5558 \text{ kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$ including a 15 % contribution from N_2O emissions, 46 % from chemical fertilizer production and transport (40 % from N), 32 % from power, 7 % from fuel and pesticide, and a negative GWP of $1685 \text{ kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$ including 98 % from SOC sequestration. In comparison, the NGWP of the straw return treatments (SN_0 , SN_{opt} and SN_{con}) averaged $2703 \text{ kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$ with a positive GWP of $5948 \text{ kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$ including a 20 % contribution from N_2O emissions, 43 % from chemical fertilizer

BGD

10, 13191–13229, 2013

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

▶

[Back](#)

Close

Full Screen / Esc

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

Interactive Discussion



production and transport (38 % from N), 30 % from power, 7 % from fuel and pesticide, and a negative GWP of 3245 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ including 99 % from SOC sequestration. Compared to the straw removal treatments, the positive GWP in the straw return treatments increased by 391 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ due to 84 % from the increment of N₂O emissions, 10 % from higher N input and 7 % from straw return, but the negative GWP also increased by 1561 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ because of more SOC sequestration after straw return, so the NGWP in the straw return treatments decreased by 1170 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ compared to straw removal, accounting for 30 % of NGWP in the latter.

The GHGI across all treatments ranged from 181 to 562 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain and followed the order N₀ > N_{con} > SN_{con} > N_{opt} > SN_{opt} > SN₀ (Table 6). Comparing the three N levels, the GHGI of zero-N applications (N₀ and SN₀) averaged 369 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain, including contributions of 34, 45, 246, 51, -5 and -2 from N₂O emissions, fertilizer production and transport, power, other sources, CH₄ uptake and SOC sequestration, respectively. In contrast, the GHGI of the optimum (N_{opt} and SN_{opt}) N applications averaged 255 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain, including contributions of 86, 183, 161, 64, -236 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain from N₂O emissions, N fertilizer production, power, other sources, and SOC sequestration, respectively. The GHGI of conventional (N_{con} and SN_{con}) N application averaged 350 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain, including contributions of 149, 378, 146, 58, and -378 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain from N₂O emissions, N fertilizer production, power, other sources, and SOC sequestration, respectively. Compared to conventional N application, the GHGI at the optimum N treatment decreased by 95 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain, with 62, 193, 142 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain of this due to a decrease in N₂O emissions, N fertilizer production and transport, SOC sequestration, and 18 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain due to an increase from other sources. Compared to straw removal, although the GHGI with straw return was 28 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain due to a increase in N₂O emissions, this was offset by 144 and 9 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain due to SOC sequestration and other sources, and finally decreased by 125 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain.

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



4 Discussion

4.1 Effects of N application and straw return on grain yields

The mean grain yields (dry matter) of winter wheat (5.0 Mg ha^{-1}) and summer maize (6.8 Mg ha^{-1}) from the N application treatments (N_{opt} and N_{con}) in this study (Table 3) were comparable to the normal average yield in conventional farming practice on the North China Plain (wheat 5.5 Mg ha^{-1} , maize $5.5\text{--}6.0 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$) as reported by Zhao et al. (2006) and lower than the potential productivity (wheat 6.9 Mg ha^{-1} , maize 8.3 Mg ha^{-1}) based on the results of experiments conducted in the region (Wang et al., 2010). This further supports the argument that about half of the conventional N application rate could be used to achieve comparable crop yields because the conventional practice has involved substantial over-use of chemical N fertilizers over the last decade, leading to pollution of surface waters and groundwater and high emissions of reactive N to the atmosphere (Ju et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2013). There is considerable scope for saving N fertilizer to restore the environment and maintain relatively high crop productivity.

It was locally common practice to remove straw and burn it as a household fuel about twenty years ago but nowadays most straw is returned to the field because of a switch of household fuel to coal or natural gas (Edwards et al., 2004). These changes provide good opportunities to enhance soil fertility, store more carbon in the soil and boost crop yields (Huang and Sun, 2006). As shown in our study, straw return slightly increased winter wheat and summer maize yields (Table 3) and might increase the yields significantly as the experiment continues over the longer term (Malhi et al., 2011).

4.2 Impacts of N application and straw return on SOC sequestration and N_2O emissions

SOC density change represents the net CO_2 exchange between the atmosphere and soil (Mosier et al., 2006; Shang et al., 2011). It has been reported that chemical N

BGD

10, 13191–13229, 2013

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



fertilizer can increase the SOC content, especially with incorporation of straw (Huang and Sun, 2006), but the magnitude of the increase is subject to considerable temporal and spatial variation depending on initial SOC content, soil-climatic conditions, management practices such as tillage, and cropping systems (Zhang et al., 2010). A local 25 yr-old field experiment showed that the SOC content in the top 20 cm increased by 10–53 % compared with the control with N fertilizer application and maize straw return (Du et al., 2009). In our study the rate of increase of SOC density ranged from 0.04 to 1.44 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, similar to previous reports from work in the uplands of northern China (0.07–1.46 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) (Zhang et al., 2010). However, the change was very slow due to the decomposition of the straw coupled with high soil temperatures and moisture in summer on the North China Plain (Huang et al., 2013).

The processes, patterns and factors controlling N₂O emissions have been reported by several studies recently (Ju et al., 2011; Cui et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2013), i.e. N₂O emission peaks occurring mainly within one or two weeks of NH₄⁺-based fertilizer application and irrigation, and the occurrence of emissions mainly during the summer maize season with combined high soil temperatures and moisture. Our results are in line with these earlier studies. N₂O emissions usually increase linearly or exponentially with increasing N application rate, and so reduction of the N rate to an optimum level could substantially reduce N₂O emissions in cropping systems (Van Groenigen et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2012). Our former results using an automated system showed that total N₂O emissions decreased by 40 % and 67 % in the winter wheat and summer maize seasons, respectively, when the conventional N management (300 kg N ha⁻¹ per crop) changed to optimum N management (50–122 kg N ha⁻¹ per crop) (Ju et al., 2011), which is similar to the 37–56 % reduction in the present study.

Straw return consistently increased N₂O emissions and showed positive interactions between N and straw, especially in the summer maize season (Fig. 4). This indicates that the N₂O emissions from the straw incorporation treatments were 4 times higher than the straw removal treatments (Hao et al., 2001). In addition, N₂O emissions increased by 58 % in the following summer maize after wheat straw return (Liu et al.,

2011). This increase may have been due to accelerating microbial nitrification and denitrification processes with the coupling of mineral N, available carbon and favorable temperatures and soil moisture (Huang et al., 2004).

4.3 Impacts of N application and straw return on NGWP and GHGI

5 Agricultural management practices that change one type of GWP source/sink may also impact other sources/sinks and therefore change the NGWP and GHGI (Mosier et al., 2006; Shang et al., 2011). In the present study a 56 % decrease in N fertilizer to the N_{opt} treatment brought about a 47 % decrease in N_2O emissions, a 56 % decrease in N fertilizer manufacture and transport, a 43 % decrease in SOC sequestration, and finally a 34 % decrease in the net GWP, relative to the N_{con} treatment. However, despite
10 the lower N fertilizer input, the grain yield declined by only 9 % (and not significantly) and the GHGI of the N_{opt} treatment was 27 % lower than the N_{con} treatment, indicating less CO_2 -equ consumption per unit grain produced. Similarly, compared to the straw removal treatments, straw return generated a 28 % increase in N_2O emissions, 9 % increase in fuel consumption for straw returning, a 49 % increase in SOC sequestration,
15 and finally 30 % and 33 % decreases in NGWP and GHGI, respectively. Thus, this indicates that relatively high yields and lower carbon costs can be achieved simultaneously by optimizing N and straw management.

The NGWP in our study ($1346\text{--}4684\text{ kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$) was much lower than in rice-wheat rotations ($6660\text{--}9710\text{ kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$) (Ma et al., 2013) and double rice-cropping systems ($13\,407\text{--}26\,066\text{ kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$) (Shang et al., 2011), in both of which CH_4 emission was the main contributor to the high GWP. However, CH_4 is a very small sink in our upland cropping system and CH_4 uptake makes little contribution when calculating the NGWP from all emissions and sinks. We therefore adopted the CH_4 uptake data from previous field experiments carried out on the same soil type, climatic zone and cropping system, and the annual and treatment variation in CH_4 uptake was very small (e.g. $0.74\text{--}1.16\text{ kg CH}_4\text{-C ha}^{-1}$ in 2009–2010 and $0.69\text{--}0.93\text{ kg CH}_4\text{-C ha}^{-1}$
25

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



in 2010–2011 across all treatments) (Gao, 2012; Hu et al., 2013). The effect of nitrogen and straw on cumulative CH₄ uptake in this region is very small (Liu et al., 2012) and the negative GWP from CH₄ uptake represented less than 1 % in all GWP calculations.

The GHGI values of the N application treatments were 252–393 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain in this study, higher than the values from irrigated maize in central Nebraska where the GHGI was 230 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain (Grassini and Cassman, 2012) because of low emission factors for N fertilizer production (2.6 kg CO₂-eq kg⁻¹ in the US vs. 8.3 kg CO₂-eq kg⁻¹ in China) and electricity generation (0.6 kg CO₂-eq (kWh)⁻¹ in the US vs. 1.3 kg CO₂-eq (kWh)⁻¹ in China) (Grassini and Cassman, 2012; Zhang et al., 2013). The higher emission factor was due to 70 % and 86 % of Chinese primary energy consumption and the energy consumed in N fertilizer production being dependent on coal (Crompton and Wu, 2005; Zhang et al., 2013) which has a greater GWP (methane and carbon dioxide emission from mining and combustion of coal) and lower energy efficiency than other sources of energy such as natural gas and nuclear power (Zhang et al., 2013). In the present study the GHGI from the N fertilizer and electricity production accounted for 37–50 % and 19–34 % of the total positive GHGI, respectively. However, if we adopt the lower emission factor (4.7 kg CO₂-eq kg⁻¹) for the N fertilizer industry in China by the best available technologies and a lower emission factor (0.24 kg CO₂-eq (kWh)⁻¹) for producing electricity by hydro power (Zhang et al., 2013), the GHGI for the N application treatments will be reduced to 35–101 kg CO₂-eq Mg⁻¹ grain.

Our results show that 48–52 % of positive GWP from the agricultural inputs has been offset by SOC sequestration with a low initial SOC content (7.7 g kg^{-1}). However, this trend of increasing SOC content might slow down when SOC reaches a steady state and saturation (West and Six, 2007). SOC will not accumulate more C when it reaches the saturation point (Six et al., 2002). From the initial 6 yr of results we found that all treatments increased linearly except for N and were proceeding to a steady C level (saturation point). Moreover, different agricultural management practices that increase SOC will simultaneously change other types of greenhouse gas emissions (Robert-

BGD

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

Title Page



son et al., 2000). Robertson et al. (2000) revealed that no-till management enhanced SOC accumulation but concomitantly stimulated N_2O emissions, which almost offset the negative GWP from SOC sequestration. Fertilizers and wheat residues applied to the soil will provide additional SOC in Ohio Crosby soil, but its greenhouse mitigating effect would be offset not only by increasing N_2O emissions, but also by SOC sequestration rates decreasing with time, so that the GWP lowering effect of the fertilized and unfertilized treatments will vanish after 7 and 12 yr, respectively (Jacinthe and Lal, 2003). Nevertheless, this overestimation of SOC sequestration is unlikely to change our conclusion that optimum N fertilization and straw return can both maintain relatively high target yields and also reduce environmental costs in our cropping system.

In our study the main emission sources are power consumption for irrigation, N production, and N_2O emissions, with the main emission sink SOC sequestration. To further reduce the GWP of the winter wheat–summer maize cropping system in future, we firstly can change the current conventional cropping system (winter wheat – summer maize with two harvest in one year) to an alternative system (winter wheat/summer maize–spring maize with three harvest in two years or monoculture spring maize with one harvest each year) and reduce the power consumption for irrigating winter wheat as described by Meng et al. (2012). Secondly, we can reduce the GHG emissions generated from N fertilizer production by optimizing the N application rate (Ju et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2012) and improving the technologies of fertilizer N manufacturing technologies (Zhang et al., 2013). Thirdly, N_2O emissions can be reduced by using nitrification inhibitors (Ding et al., 2011) or polymer coated controlled release fertilizers (Hu et al., 2013). Finally, although in this study the highest SOC had increased to 10.8 g kg^{-1} after 6 yr there was still potential to increase SOC further by manure application and reduced tillage (Smith et al., 2007; Snyder et al., 2009).

BGD

10, 13191–13229, 2013

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



5 Conclusions

The conventional N application rate could be reduced by roughly half to the optimum level using the improved Nmin method and still maintain relatively high target yields and consequently significantly reduce N₂O emissions. Straw return had a slight yield benefit and could increase annual N₂O emissions but led to a significant increase in SOC. Rational long-term N and straw return management can increase SOC sequestration which can offset the CO₂-eq from GHG emissions and agricultural inputs and consequently reduce NGWP and GHGI compared with conventional farming practices in this double cropping system. The main emission sources are the power consumption for irrigation, fertilizer N production, and N₂O emissions, with SOC sequestration providing the main emission sink. Therefore, the NGWP and GHGI of this cropping system can be further decreased by water saving in the winter wheat season, improving the technologies of fertilizer N manufacture and reducing N₂O emissions by using slow release or controlled release fertilizers.

Acknowledgements. This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31172033, 41230856), the Special Fund for the Agricultural Profession (201103039), and the Innovation Group Grant of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31121062).

References

Chen, X. P., Cui, Z. L., Vitousek, P. M., Cassman, K. G., Matson, P. A., Bai, J. S., Meng, Q. F., Hou, P., Yue, S. C., Roemheld, V., and Zhang, F. S.: Integrated soil-crop system management for food security, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 108, 6399–6404, 2011.

Cole, C. V., Duxbury, J., Freney, J., Heinemeyer, O., Minami, K., Mosier, A., Paustian, K., Rosenberg, N., Sampson, N., Sauerbeck, D., and Zhao, Q.: Global estimates of potential mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions by agriculture, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 49, 221–228, 1997.

Crompton, P. and Wu, Y. R.: Energy consumption in China: past trends and future directions, *Energ. Econ.*, 27, 195–208, 2005.

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Cui, F., Yan, G. X., Zhou, Z. X., Zheng, X. H., and Deng, J.: Annual emissions of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide from a wheat-maize cropping system on a silt loam calcareous soil in the North China Plain, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 48, 10–19, 2012.
- Ding, W. X., Yu, H. Y., and Cai, Z. C.: Impact of urease and nitrification inhibitors on nitrous oxide emissions from fluvo-aquic soil in the North China Plain, *Biol. Fert. Soils.*, 47, 91–99, 2011.
- Du, Z. L., Liu, S., F., Li, K. J., and Ren, T. S.: Soil organic carbon and physical quality as influenced by long-term application of residue and mineral fertiliser in the North China Plain, *Aust. J. Soil Res.*, 47, 585–591, 2009.
- Edwards, R. D., Smith, K. R., Zhang, J. F., and Ma, Y. Q.: Implications of changes in household stoves and fuel use in China, *Energ. Policy*, 32, 395–411, 2004.
- Foley, J. A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K. A., Cassidy, E. S., Gerber, J. S., Johnston, M., Mueller, N. D., O'Connell, C., Ray, D. K., West, P. C., Balzer, C., Bennett, E. M., Carpenter, S. R., Hill, J., Monfreda, C., Polasky, S., Rockstrom, J., Sheehan, J., Siebert, S., Tilman, D., and Zaks, D. P. M.: Solutions for a cultivated planet, *Nature*, 478, 337–342, 2011.
- Gao, B.: Greenhouse Gases Emissions and Net Global Warming Potential of Difference Cropping Systems and Management Practices on the North China Plain, China Agricultural University PhD Thesis, Beijing, 79–80, 2012.
- Gao, B., Ju, X. T., Zhang, Q., Christie, P., and Zhang, F. S.: New estimates of direct N₂O emissions from Chinese croplands from 1980 to 2007 using localized emission factors, *Biogeosciences*, 8, 3011–3024, doi:10.5194/bg-8-3011-2011, 2011.
- Grassini, P. and Cassman, K. G.: High-yield maize with large net energy yield and small global warming intensity, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 109, 1074–1079, 2012.
- Guo, J. H., Liu, X. J., Zhang, Y., Shen, J. L., Han, W. X., Zhang, W. F., Christie, P., Goulding, K. W. T., Vitousek, P. M., and Zhang, F. S.: Significant acidification in major Chinese croplands, *Science*, 327, 1008–1010, 2010.
- Hao, X., Chang, C., Carefoot, J. M., Janzen, H. H., Ellert, B. H.: Nitrous oxide emissions from an irrigated soil as affected by fertilizer and straw management, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 60, 1–8, 2001.
- Hu, X. K., Su, F., Ju, X. T., Gao, B., Oenema, O., Christie, P., Huang, B. X., Jiang, R. F., and Zhang, F. S.: Greenhouse gas emissions from a wheat-maize double cropping system with different nitrogen fertilization regimes, *Environ. Pollut.*, 176, 198–207, 2013.

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Huang, J. X., Chen, Y. Q., Liu, W. R., Zheng, H. B., Sui, P., Li, Y. Y., Shi, X. P., Nie, S. W., and Gao, W. S.: Effect on net greenhouse gases emission under different conservation tillages in Jilin Province, *Scientia agricultura, Sinica*, 44, 2935–2942, 2011.

Huang, T., Qiu, S. J., Du, J., Shi, Z. X., and Ju, X. T.: Effect of different carbon and nitrogen managements on yield, straw decomposition, soil CO₂ flux of the winter wheat/summer maize, *Scientia agricultura, Sinica*, 46, 756–768, 2013.

Huang, Y. and Sun, W. J.: Changes in topsoil organic carbon of croplands in mainland China over the last two decades, *Chinese Sci. Bull.*, 51, 1785–1803, 2006.

Huang, Y., Zou, J. W., Zheng, X. H., Wang, Y. S., and Xu, X. K.: Nitrous oxide emissions as influenced by amendment of plant residues with different C : N ratios, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 36, 973–981, 2004.

IPCC: Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing, in: *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, USA, 130–234, 2007.

Jacinte, P. A. and Lal, R.: Nitrogen fertilization of wheat residue affecting nitrous oxide and methane emission from a central Ohio Luvisol, *Biol. Fert. Soils*, 37, 338–347, 2003.

Ju, X. T., Xing, G. X., Chen, X. P., Zhang, S. L., Zhang, L. J., Liu, X. J., Cui, Z. L., Yin, B., Christie, P., Zhu, Z. L., and Zhang, F. S.: Reducing environmental risk by improving N management in intensive Chinese agricultural systems, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 106, 3041–3046, 2009.

Ju, X. T., Lu, X., Gao, Z. L., Chen, X. P., Su, F., Kogge, M., Roemheld, V., Christie, P., and Zhang, F. S.: Processes and factors controlling N₂O production in an intensively managed low carbon calcareous soil under sub-humid monsoon conditions, *Environ. Pollut.*, 159, 1007–1016, 2011.

Kroon, P. S., Hensen, A., van den Bulk, W. C. M., Jongejan, P. A. C., and Vermeulen, A. T.: The importance of reducing the systematic error due to non-linearity in N₂O flux measurements by static chambers, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 82, 175–186, 2008.

Liu, C. Y., Wang, K., Meng, S. X., Zheng, X. H., Zhou, Z. X., Han, S. H., Chen, D. L., and Yang, Z. P.: Effects of irrigation, fertilization and crop straw management on nitrous oxide and nitric oxide emissions from a wheat-maize rotation field in northern China, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 140, 226–233, 2011.

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Liu, C., Wang, K., and Zheng, X.: Responses of N_2O and CH_4 fluxes to fertilizer nitrogen addition rates in an irrigated wheat-maize cropping system in northern China, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 839–850, doi:10.5194/bg-9-839-2012, 2012.
- Liu, X. J., Zhang, Y., Han, W. X., Tang, A. H., Shen, J. L., Cui, Z. L., Vitousek, P., Erisman, J. W., Goulding, K., Christie, P., Fangmeier, A., and Zhang, F. S.: Enhanced nitrogen deposition over China, *Nature*, 494, 459–462, 2013.
- Livingston, G. P., Hutchinson, G. L., and Spertalian, K.: Diffusion theory improves chamber-based measurements of trace gas emissions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L24817, doi:10.1029/2005GL024744, 2005.
- Ma, Y. C., Kong, X. W., Yang, B., Zhang, X. L., Yan, X. Y., Yang, J. C., and Xiong, Z. Q.: Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity of annual rice-wheat rotations with integrated soil-crop system management, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 164, 209–219, 2013.
- Malhi, S. S., Nyborg, M., Solberg, E. D., Dyck, M. F., and Puurveen, D.: Improving crop yield and N uptake with long-term straw retention in two contrasting soil types, *Field Crop Res.*, 124, 378–391, 2011.
- Meng, Q. F., Sun, Q. P., Chen, X. P., Cui, Z. L., Yue, S. C., Zhang, F. S., and Roemheld, V.: Alternative cropping systems for sustainable water and nitrogen use in the North China Plain, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 146, 93–102, 2012.
- Mosier, A. R., Halvorson, A. D., Reule, C. A., and Liu, X. J.: Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in irrigated cropping systems in northeastern Colorado, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 35, 1584–1598, 2006.
- Pathak, H., Li, C., and Wassmann, R.: Greenhouse gas emissions from Indian rice fields: calibration and upscaling using the DNDC model, *Biogeosciences*, 2, 113–123, doi:10.5194/bg-2-113-2005, 2005.
- Qiu, S. J., Ju, X. T., Lu, X., Li, L., Ingwersen, J., Streck, T., Christie, P., and Zhang, F. S.: Improved nitrogen management for an intensive winter wheat/summer maize double-cropping system, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 76, 286–297, 2012.
- Robertson, G. P. and Grace, P. R.: Greenhouse gas fluxes in tropical and temperate agriculture: the need for a full-cost accounting of global warming potentials, *Environment Development and Sustainability*, 6, 51–63, 2004.
- Robertson, G. P., Paul, E. A., and Harwood, R. R.: Greenhouse gases in intensive agriculture: contributions of individual gases to the radiative forcing of the atmosphere, *Science*, 289, 1922–1925, 2000.

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Shang, Q. Y., Yang, X. X., Gao, C. M., Wu, P. P., Liu, J. J., Xu, Y. C., Shen, Q. R., Zou, J. W., and Guo, S. W.: Net annual global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in Chinese double rice-cropping systems: a 3 year field measurement in long-term fertilizer experiments, *Global Change Biol.*, 17, 2196–2210, 2011.

5 Six, J., Conant, R. T., Paul, E. A., and Paustian, K.: Stabilization mechanisms of soil organic matter: implications for C-saturation of soils, *Plant Soil*, 241, 155–176, 2002.

Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z. C., Gwary, D., Janzen, H., Kumar, P., McCarl, B., Ogle, S., O'Mara, F., Rice, C., Scholes, B., Sirotenko, O., Howden, M., McAllister, T., Pan, G. X., Romanenkov, V., Schneider, U., Towprayoon, S.: Policy and technological constraints to implementation of greenhouse gas mitigation options in agriculture, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 118, 6–28, 2007.

Smith, P., Fang, C. M., Dawson, J. J. C., and Moncrieff, J. B.: Impact of global warming on soil organic carbon, *Adv. Agron.*, 97, 1–43, 2008.

15 Snyder, C. S., Bruulsema, T. W., Jensen, T. L., and Fixen, P. E.: Review of greenhouse gas emissions from crop production systems and fertilizer management effects, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 133, 247–266, 2009.

Song, L. N., Zhang, Y. M., Hu, C. S., Zhang, X. Y., Dong, W. X., Wang, Y. Y., and Qin, S. P.: Comprehensive analysis of emissions and global warming effects of greenhouse gases in winter-wheat fields in the high-yield agro-region of North China Plain, *Chinese Journal of Eco-Agriculture*, 21, 297–307, 2013.

20 Tilman, D., Cassman, K. G., Matson, P. A., Naylor, R., Polasky, S.: Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices, *Nature*, 418, 671–677, 2002.

Van Groenigen, J. W., Velthof, G. L., Oenema, O., Van Groenigen, K. J., and Van Kessel, C.: Towards an agronomic assessment of N₂O emissions: a case study for arable crops, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 61, 903–913, 2010.

25 Wang, H. X., Liu, C. M., and Zhang, L.: Water-saving agriculture in China: an overview, *Adv. Agron.*, 75, 135–171, 2002.

Wang, Y. C., Wang, E. L., Wang, D. L., Huang, S. M., Ma, Y. B., Smith, C. J., and Wang, L. G.: Crop productivity and nutrient use efficiency as affected by long-term fertilisation in North China Plain, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 86, 105–119, 2010.

30 West, T. O. and Six, J.: Considering the influence of sequestration duration and carbon saturation on estimates of soil carbon capacity, *Climatic Change*, 80, 25–41, 2007.

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Zhang, W. F., Dou, Z. X., He, P., Ju, X. T., Powlson, D., Chadwick, D., Norse, D., Lu, Y. L., Zhang, Y., Wu, L., Chen, X. P., Cassman, K. G., and Zhang, F. S.: New technologies reduce greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogenous fertilizer in China, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 110, 8375–8380, 2013.

- 5 Zhang, W. J., Wang, X. J., Xu, M. G., Huang, S. M., Liu, H., and Peng, C.: Soil organic carbon dynamics under long-term fertilizations in arable land of northern China, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 409–425, doi:10.5194/bg-7-409-2010, 2010.

Zhao, R. F., Chen, X. P., Zhang, F. S., Zhang, H. L., Schroder, J., and Roemheld, V.: Fertilization and nitrogen balance in a wheat-maize rotation system in North China, *Agron. J.*, 98, 938–945, 2006.

- 10 Zheng, X. H., Mei, B. L., Wang, Y. H., Xie, B. H., Wang, Y. S., Dong, H. B., Xu, H., Chen, G. X., Cai, Z. C., Yue, J., Gu, J. X., Su, F., Zou, J. W., and Zhu, J. G.: Quantification of N₂O fluxes from soil-plant systems may be biased by the applied gas chromatograph methodology, *Plant Soil*, 311, 211–234, 2008.

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Table 1. Treatments of the long-term field experiment.

Treatment	Nitrogen management	Straw management
N_0	No N application (zero-N control)	wheat and maize straw removal
N_{opt}	Improved N_{min} test	wheat and maize straw removal
N_{con}	Conventional farming practice	wheat and maize straw removal
SN_0	No N application	wheat straw mulching and maize straw return
SN_{opt}	Improved N_{min} test	wheat straw mulching and maize straw return
SN_{con}	Conventional farming practice	wheat straw mulching and maize straw return

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Table 2. Fertilizer N rates (kg N ha^{-1}) in the winter wheat–summer maize cropping system from October 2006 to September 2012.

Treatment ^a	2006–2007		2007–2008		2008–2009		2009–2010		2010–2011		2011–2012		Mean of six years	
	WW ^b	SM	WW	SM	WW	SM	WW	SM	WW	SM	WW	SM	WW	SM
N ₀	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N _{opt}	86	45	139	132	127	130	150	30	110	212	150	130	127	113
N _{con}	300	260	300	260	300	260	300	260	300	260	300	260	300	260
SN ₀	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SN _{opt}	126	93	181	151	114	132	150	30	107	159	150	130	138	116
SN _{con}	300	260	300	260	300	260	300	260	300	260	300	260	300	260

^a N, N_{opt} and N_{con} represent control, improved N_{min} test and farming practice, respectively. S represents straw return.

^b WW and SM are winter wheat and summer maize; value is mean \pm standard error ($n = 3$); N application in 2006–2009 was retrieved from Qiu et al. (2012).

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[⏮](#)
[⏭](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Table 3. Grain yield (dry matter, Mg ha^{-1}) in the winter wheat–summer maize double cropping system from October 2010 to October 2012.

Treatment ^a		2010–2011	2011	2010–2011	2011–2012	2012	2011–2012	2010–2012
Nitrogen	Straw	WW ^b	SM	Annual yield	WW	SM	Annual yield	Mean annual yield
Treatment effect ($n = 3$)								
N_0		$2.67 \pm 0.38b^c$	$5.43 \pm 0.40b$	$8.10 \pm 0.63c$	$2.39 \pm 0.31b$	$3.94 \pm 0.35c$	$6.33 \pm 0.63b$	$7.21 \pm 0.14b$
N_0	S	$2.86 \pm 0.44b$	$4.99 \pm 0.33b$	$7.86 \pm 0.70c$	$2.50 \pm 0.36b$	$4.48 \pm 0.59c$	$6.98 \pm 0.95b$	$7.42 \pm 0.74b$
N_{opt}		$5.40 \pm 0.59a$	$6.52 \pm 0.84ab$	$11.92 \pm 1.11ab$	$4.27 \pm 0.61a$	$6.08 \pm 0.31b$	$10.35 \pm 0.91a$	$11.14 \pm 0.92a$
N_{opt}	S	$5.35 \pm 0.23a$	$5.94 \pm 0.73b$	$11.29 \pm 0.87b$	$4.70 \pm 0.37a$	$6.46 \pm 0.36ab$	$11.16 \pm 0.60a$	$11.23 \pm 0.60a$
N_{con}		$5.51 \pm 0.11a$	$6.49 \pm 1.11ab$	$12.00 \pm 1.14ab$	$4.53 \pm 0.42a$	$7.29 \pm 0.36a$	$11.82 \pm 0.78a$	$11.91 \pm 0.73a$
N_{con}	S	$5.65 \pm 0.80a$	$7.85 \pm 0.27a$	$13.50 \pm 0.89a$	$4.58 \pm 0.41a$	$7.30 \pm 0.26a$	$11.88 \pm 0.67a$	$12.69 \pm 0.75a$
Nitrogen effect ($n = 6$)								
N_0 mean		$2.77 \pm 0.27b$	$5.21 \pm 0.17b$	$7.98 \pm 0.35b$	$2.44 \pm 0.32b$	$4.21 \pm 0.47c$	$6.65 \pm 0.78c$	$7.32 \pm 0.40c$
N_{opt} mean		$5.38 \pm 0.27a$	$6.23 \pm 0.53ab$	$11.61 \pm 0.56a$	$4.49 \pm 0.16a$	$6.27 \pm 0.21b$	$10.76 \pm 0.37b$	$11.18 \pm 0.19b$
N_{con} mean		$5.58 \pm 0.35a$	$7.17 \pm 0.43a$	$12.75 \pm 0.66a$	$4.55 \pm 0.41a$	$7.30 \pm 0.31a$	$11.85 \pm 0.72a$	$12.30 \pm 0.66a$
Straw effect ($n = 9$)								
Without straw		$4.53 \pm 0.12a$	$6.15 \pm 0.23a$	$10.67 \pm 0.12a$	$3.73 \pm 0.41a$	$5.77 \pm 0.32a$	$9.50 \pm 0.73a$	$10.09 \pm 0.31a$
With straw		$4.62 \pm 0.32a$	$6.26 \pm 0.26a$	$10.88 \pm 0.26a$	$3.93 \pm 0.16a$	$6.08 \pm 0.31a$	$10.01 \pm 0.46a$	$10.44 \pm 0.25a$

^a N_0 , N_{opt} and N_{con} are control, improved N_{min} test and farming practice, respectively. S is straw return.

^b WW and SM are winter wheat and summer maize; value is mean \pm standard error ($n = 3$).

^c Different letters within the same column denote significant differences ($P < 0.05$).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Table 4. Soil organic carbon (SOC) density (Mg C ha^{-1}) in the top 20 cm of the soil profile in the winter wheat–summer maize double cropping system from 2006 to 2012.

Treatment ^a		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Nitrogen	Straw							
Treatment effect ($n = 3$)								
N_0		20.2A ^b	19.2 ± 2.0aAB	19.3 ± 1.8aAB	18.1 ± 2.9aAB	17.1 ± 3.8aB	19.1 ± 3.2aAB	18.1 ± 1.2cAB
N_0	S	20.2A	19.1 ± 2.5aA	21.0 ± 2.2aA	20.2 ± 1.8aA	21.5 ± 1.6aA	21.2 ± 3.6aA	22.2 ± 1.3bcA
N_{opt}		20.2B	19.3 ± 1.5aB	20.0 ± 2.0aB	21.3 ± 1.9aAB	19.6 ± 0.6aB	20.2 ± 1.7aB	24.2 ± 0.5bA
N_{opt}	S	20.2B	19.8 ± 1.0aB	21.1 ± 1.3aB	21.5 ± 3.4aB	21.7 ± 2.6aB	21.6 ± 1.3aB	25.3 ± 2.9bA
N_{con}		20.2BC	19.5 ± 0.5aC	22.5 ± 0.4aABC	21.6 ± 2.4aABC	21.9 ± 1.5aABC	23.4 ± 4.8aAB	24.9 ± 3.8bA
N_{con}	S	20.2C	21.5 ± 1.7aBC	22.1 ± 1.5aBC	22.0 ± 1.4aBC	21.9 ± 1.9aBC	24.2 ± 1.8aB	30.8 ± 4.3aA
Nitrogen effect ($n = 6$)								
N_0 mean		20.2A	19.1 ± 1.6aA	20.1 ± 1.6aA	19.1 ± 2.2aA	19.3 ± 2.4aA	20.1 ± 3.1aA	20.2 ± 2.1bA
N_{opt} mean		20.2B	19.6 ± 0.4aB	20.5 ± 1.6aB	21.4 ± 1.2aB	20.6 ± 1.1aB	20.9 ± 1.1aB	24.7 ± 4.0aA
N_{con} mean		20.2C	2.5 ± 1.0aC	22.3 ± 0.9aBC	21.8 ± 1.5aBC	21.9 ± 1.7aBC	23.8 ± 2.6aB	27.8 ± 3.4aA
Straw effect ($n = 9$)								
Without straw		20.2AB	19.3 ± 1.0aB	20.6 ± 1.1aAB	20.3 ± 2.2aAB	19.5 ± 2.5aB	20.9 ± 3.0aAB	22.4 ± 1.3aA
With straw		20.2B	20.1 ± 1.6aB	21.4 ± 1.3aB	21.2 ± 1.5aB	21.7 ± 1.8aB	22.4 ± 1.1aB	26.1 ± 1.7aA

^a N_0 , N_{opt} and N_{con} are control, improved N_{min} test and farming practice, respectively. S is straw return.

^b Different lowercase letters within same column denote significant differences between treatments ($P < 0.05$). Different capital letters within same line indicate significant differences between years ($P < 0.05$).

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Table 5. N₂O emissions (kg N₂O–N ha^{−1}) in the winter wheat–summer maize double cropping system from Jun 2011 to Jun 2013.

Treatment ^a		2011	2011–2012	2011–2012	2012	2012–2013	2012–2013	2011–2013
Nitrogen	Straw	SM ^b	WW	Annual	SM	WW	Annual	Mean annual
Treatment effect (<i>n</i> = 3)								
N ₀		0.09 ± 0.02 <i>c</i>	0.11 ± 0.02 <i>c</i>	0.20 ± 0.04 <i>c</i>	0.17 ± 0.04 <i>e</i>	0.30 ± 0.05 <i>c</i>	0.47 ± 0.09 <i>c</i>	0.34 ± 0.03 <i>d</i>
N ₀	S	0.20 ± 0.15 <i>c</i>	0.34 ± 0.11 <i>bc</i>	0.54 ± 0.16 <i>c</i>	0.19 ± 0.07 <i>e</i>	0.64 ± 0.17 <i>bc</i>	0.83 ± 0.21 <i>bc</i>	0.69 ± 0.17 <i>d</i>
N _{opt}		1.09 ± 0.33 <i>bc</i>	0.89 ± 0.29 <i>abc</i>	1.99 ± 0.25 <i>bc</i>	0.72 ± 0.06 <i>d</i>	0.75 ± 0.46 <i>bc</i>	1.48 ± 0.45 <i>b</i>	1.73 ± 0.34 <i>c</i>
N _{opt}	S	1.18 ± 0.62 <i>bc</i>	0.98 ± 0.19 <i>abc</i>	2.16 ± 0.49 <i>bc</i>	1.21 ± 0.04 <i>c</i>	1.43 ± 0.65 <i>ab</i>	2.65 ± 0.63 <i>a</i>	2.40 ± 0.26 <i>bc</i>
N _{con}		1.80 ± 0.65 <i>ab</i>	1.47 ± 0.85 <i>ab</i>	3.27 ± 0.83 <i>ab</i>	1.97 ± 0.20 <i>b</i>	1.50 ± 0.56 <i>ab</i>	3.47 ± 0.40 <i>a</i>	3.37 ± 0.32 <i>ab</i>
N _{con}	S	2.71 ± 1.05 <i>a</i>	1.83 ± 1.00 <i>a</i>	4.54 ± 1.85 <i>a</i>	2.39 ± 0.41 <i>a</i>	1.96 ± 0.63 <i>a</i>	4.35 ± 0.64 <i>a</i>	4.45 ± 1.31 <i>a</i>
Nitrogen effect (<i>n</i> = 6)								
N ₀ mean		0.15 ± 0.08 <i>c</i>	0.23 ± 0.05 <i>b</i>	0.37 ± 0.09 <i>c</i>	0.18 ± 0.05 <i>c</i>	0.47 ± 0.09 <i>b</i>	0.65 ± 0.12 <i>c</i>	0.51 ± 0.08 <i>c</i>
N _{opt} mean		1.14 ± 0.47 <i>b</i>	0.94 ± 0.23 <i>ab</i>	2.07 ± 0.36 <i>b</i>	0.97 ± 0.05 <i>b</i>	1.09 ± 0.47 <i>ab</i>	2.06 ± 0.45 <i>b</i>	2.07 ± 0.29 <i>b</i>
N _{con} mean		2.26 ± 0.81 <i>a</i>	1.65 ± 0.40 <i>a</i>	3.90 ± 1.20 <i>a</i>	2.18 ± 0.18 <i>a</i>	1.73 ± 0.68 <i>a</i>	3.91 ± 0.66 <i>a</i>	3.90 ± 0.69 <i>a</i>
Straw effect (<i>n</i> = 9)								
Without straw		1.00 ± 0.28 <i>a</i>	0.82 ± 0.20 <i>a</i>	1.82 ± 0.34 <i>a</i>	0.95 ± 0.07 <i>b</i>	0.85 ± 0.34 <i>a</i>	1.81 ± 0.27 <i>b</i>	1.81 ± 0.19 <i>b</i>
With straw		1.36 ± 0.60 <i>a</i>	1.05 ± 0.40 <i>a</i>	2.41 ± 0.82 <i>a</i>	1.27 ± 0.16 <i>a</i>	1.34 ± 0.27 <i>a</i>	2.61 ± 0.46 <i>a</i>	2.51 ± 0.48 <i>a</i>

^a N, N_{opt} and N_{con} represent control, improved N_{min} test and farming practice, respectively. S is straw return.

^b WW and SM are winter wheat and summer maize; values represents mean ± standard error (*n* = 3); different letters within the same column indicate significant differences (*P* < 0.05).

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Table 6. Net Global Warming Potential (NGWP) and Greenhouse Gas Intensity (GHGI) in the winter wheat–summer maize double cropping system from June 2011 to June 2013.

Treatment ^a		N ₂ O	CH ₄	SOC	Fertilizer			Power	Fuel	Pesticide	Net GWP	Grain yield	GHGI
					N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O						
Nitrogen	Straw	kg CO ₂ -eq ha ⁻¹										Mg ha ⁻¹	kg CO ₂ -eq Mg ⁻¹
N ₀		159	−33	−1437	0	242	88	1801	252	112	4058	7.2	562
N ₀	S	323	−33	1463	0	242	88	1801	276	112	1346	7.4	181
N _{opt}		810	−33	2387	1992	242	88	1801	252	112	2877	11.1	258
N _{opt}	S	1124	−33	2889	2108	242	88	1801	276	112	2829	11.2	252
N _{con}		1578	−33	4004	4648	242	88	1801	252	112	4684	11.9	393
N _{con}	S	2084	−33	5284	4648	242	88	1801	276	112	3934	12.7	310
Nitrogen management													
N ₀		241	−33	13	0	242	88	1801	264	112	2702	7.3	369
N _{opt}		967	−33	2638	2050	242	88	1801	264	112	2853	11.2	255
N _{con}		1831	−33	4644	4648	242	88	1801	264	112	4309	12.3	350
Straw management													
Without straw		849	−33	1651	2213	242	88	1801	252	112	3873	10.1	384
With straw		1177	−33	3212	2252	242	88	1801	276	112	2703	10.4	259

^a N, N_{opt} and N_{con} represent control, improved N_{min} test and farming practice, respectively. S represents straw return.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 1. Air temperature, soil temperature at 10 cm depth, precipitation or irrigation in the winter wheat–summer maize double cropping system from June 2011 to June 2013.

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.




Fig. 2. Changes in soil organic carbon (SOC) in the top 20 cm of the soil profile in the winter wheat–summer maize double cropping system from 2006 to 2012. The short dashed line and solid line were fitted by linear regression from straw and without straw treatments, respectively.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.




Fig. 3. Nitrous oxide (N_2O) fluxes in the winter wheat–summer maize double cropping system from June 2011 to June 2013. The arrows show the dates of fertilizer N application.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity

T. Huang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 4. Correlations between seasonal cumulative N_2O emissions and fertilizer N application rates from 2011 to 2013. The short dashed line and solid line were fitted by linear regression from the straw and without straw treatments, respectively.