Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 13353–13398, 2013 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/13353/2013/ doi:10.5194/bgd-10-13353-2013 © Author(s) 2013. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

# Modelling effects of seasonal variation in water table depth on net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of a tropical peatland

M. Mezbahuddin<sup>1</sup>, R. F. Grant<sup>1</sup>, and T. Hirano<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Alberta, Canada <sup>2</sup>Research Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

Received: 3 July 2013 - Accepted: 1 August 2013 - Published: 13 August 2013

Correspondence to: M. Mezbahuddin (mezbahud@ualberta.ca)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





#### Abstract

Seasonal variation in water table depth (WTD) determines the balance between aggradation and degradation of tropical peatlands. Longer dry seasons together with human interventions (e.g. drainage) can cause WTD drawdowns making tropical peatland C storage highly vulnerable. Better predictive capacity for effects of WTD on net CO<sub>2</sub> 5 exchange is thus essential to guide conservation of tropical peat deposits. Mathematical modelling of basic eco-hydrological processes under site-specific conditions can provide such predictive capacity. We hereby deploy a mathematical model ecosys to study effects of seasonal variation in WTD on net ecosystem productivity (NEP) of an Indonesian peatland. We simulated lower NEPs ( $\sim -2 \,g C m^{-2} d^{-1}$ ) during rainy seasons with shallow WTD, higher NEPs ( $\sim +1 \,g C m^{-2} d^{-1}$ ) during early dry seasons with 10 intermediate WTD and again lower NEPs ( $\sim -4 \text{ gCm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) during late dry seasons with deep WTD during 2002–2005. These values were corroborated by regressions (P < 0.0001) of hourly modelled vs. eddy covariance (EC) measured net ecosystem  $CO_2$  fluxes which yielded  $R^2 > 0.8$ , intercepts approaching 0 and slopes approach-15 ing 1. We also simulated a gradual increase in annual NEPs from 2002  $(-609 \,\mathrm{g\,C\,m^{-2}})$ to 2005 (-373 g C m<sup>-2</sup>) with decreasing WTD which was corroborated by EC-gap filled annual NEP estimates. These WTD effects on NEP were modelled from basic eco-hydrological processes including microbial and root oxidation-reduction reactions driven by soil and root O<sub>2</sub> transport and uptake which in turn drove soil and plant C, N 20 and P transformations within a soil-plant-atmosphere water transfer scheme driven by water potential gradients. This modelling should therefore provide a predictive capacity

for WTD management programs to reduce tropical peat degradation.

#### 1 Introduction

<sup>25</sup> Seasonal and interannual fluctuations in WTD can affect peatland net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange through complex effects on soil oxidation-reduction reactions and hence on nutrient





uptake used to drive aerobic oxidation-reduction reactions by soil microbes and roots and hence reduces heterotrophic and root respiration. Microbial energy yield from oxidation coupled to reduction of  $O_2$  under aerobic conditions exceeds that from oxidation coupled to reduction of alternative electron acceptors e.g.  $NO_3^-$ ,  $SO_4^{2-}$  etc. (Brock and Madigan, 1991) under anaerobic conditions. Lower anaerobic energy yields slow mi-

transformations. Shallow WTD during rainy seasons slows convective-dispersive trans-

port of  $O_2$  through wet soils. Consequent reduction in soil  $O_2$  concentrations slows  $O_2$ 

- crobial growth and therefore reduce heterotrophic respiration. Root oxidation-reduction reactions driving root growth and nutrient uptake also require  $O_2$  which is scarce under
- shallow WTD condition. Reduced heterotrophic and root respiration thus result in reduced ecosystem respiration (*R*<sub>e</sub>) with shallow WTD during rainy seasons, as reported in many field studies (Limpens et al., 2008; Couwenberg et al., 2009; Sulman et al., 2010; Flanagan and Syed, 2011). Slower microbial growth also reduces decomposition and nutrient mineralization, as well as root growth and nutrient uptake and hence gross
   primary productivity (GPP) (Cai et al., 2010; Murphy and Moore, 2010; Flanagan and
  - Syed, 2011; Sulman et al., 2012).

5

More rapid  $O_2$  transport with WTD drawdown during early dry seasons may increase root and heterotrophic respiration and hence  $R_e$  (Cai et al., 2010; Sulman et al., 2010). Consequent increases in mineralization and root growth, and thereby nutrient availabil-

ity and uptake can also raise GPP during this hydroperiod (Cai et al., 2010; Flanagan and Syed, 2011; Jauhiainen et al., 2012a; Sulman et al., 2012). Increased GPP in this hydroperiod may further hasten  $R_{\rm e}$  through increased production of fresh labile C in the forms of litter fall and root exudates (Limpens et al., 2008).

These increases, however, may not be sustained with further WTD drawdown in the later part of a prolonged dry season. When WTD falls below a certain level during the late dry season, desiccation of near surface peat layers may occur. Such desiccation might reduce near surface peat decomposition by reducing microbial access to substrate e.g. dissolved organic C (DOC) in desiccated near-surface soil (Dimitrov et al., 2010), thereby slowing oxidation-reduction reactions and hence microbial growth. The





reduction in decomposition of desiccated near surface peat can be partially or fully off-set by increases in decomposition of better aerated deeper peat, thereby causing no net changes in *R*<sub>e</sub> during this hydroperiod (Lafleur et al., 2005; Strack and Waddington, 2007; Dimitrov et al., 2010). However, plant water stress from near surface peat
 desiccation might also cause a decline in GPP during deep WTD hydroperiods (Sulman et al., 2010; Dimitrov et al., 2011), thereby lowering NEP. Therefore, responses of peatland ecosystem net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange to WTD fluctuations are governed by basic soil hydrological and biological processes and their interactions with plant physiology.

Process based ecosystem models can provide us with means of understanding basic mechanisms behind WTD effects on peatland net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange. To accomplish this, a model should explicitly represent oxidation-reduction reactions, coupled with aqueous and gaseous transfers of their reactants and products. These processes require modelling WTD dynamics, soil moisture retention characteristics, gas transport through soil, differential substrate quality for microbial degradation and hydrolysis e.g. labile vs. recalcitrant, nutrient transformations driven by these reactions,

- <sup>15</sup> drolysis e.g. labile vs. recalcitrant, nutrient transformations driven by these reactions, and microbial and plant nutrient uptake. However, in a recent review of 7 widely used ecosystem models, Sulman et al. (2012) found only *ecosys* (Grant, 2001) included processes to limit both CO<sub>2</sub> fixation and respiration under shallow WTD. The predictive capacity of the other models were limited by (1) not explicitly simulating WTD dynamics
- and consequently not modelling aerobic vs. anaerobic zones from water influxes (e.g. precipitation, lateral recharge) vs. effluxes (e.g. evapotranspiration, lateral discharge) (e.g. van Huissteden et al., 2006; Kurbatova et al., 2009; St-Hilaire et al., 2010), (2) parameterizing models with empirical rate constants and/or scalar functions for aerobic vs. anaerobic decomposition (e.g. Frolking et al., 2002; Bond-Lamberty et al., 2007;
- St-Hilaire et al., 2010) instead of simulating biogeochemical oxidation-reduction reactions affected by soil aerobicity, and (3) using scalar functions that reduce productivity in wet soils through a driver variable such as stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) (e.g. Frolking et al., 2002; Bond-Lamberty et al., 2007) instead of simulating nutrient limitations to CO<sub>2</sub> fixation imposed by reduced nutrient availability and root nutrient uptake caused





by slower O<sub>2</sub> transport processes through soils and roots. The general purpose terrestrial ecosystem model *ecosys* includes site-independent algorithms representing all the processes affected by aerobicity mentioned above, thereby excluding the need for arbitrary model parameterization. The model could therefore successfully simulate WTD <sup>5</sup> effects on *R*<sub>e</sub> and GPP of different peatlands without site-specific parameterization (e.g.

Dimitrov et al., 2010, 2011; Grant et al., 2012a).

All of the previous peatland modelling studies mentioned above had been tested only against measurements from northern temperate and boreal peatlands. Modelling the fate of vulnerable C storage in tropical peatlands under WTD fluctuations is still largely under-investigated. For instance, modelling WTD effects on tropical peat soil respira-

- <sup>10</sup> under-investigated. For instance, modelling WTD effects on tropical peat soil respiration has to date predominantly included regressions of soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes against WTD (e.g. Melling et al., 2005; Jauhiainen et al., 2008, 2012b; Couwenberg et al., 2009; Hirano et al., 2009; Hooijer et al., 2010) without taking other confounding factors like land use, nutrient availability, nature of the peat, ecosystem productivity etc. into consider-
- ation (Murdiyarso et al., 2010). Modelling eco-physiological response to hydrology in a tropical peatland is particularly important since tropical peatlands are very different in their climates than those in northern temperate and boreal zones. Tropical peatlands are formed under high temperature and precipitation, an important consequence of which is that a small WTD drawdown might cause a large increase in peat decompo-
- sition (Page et al., 2009). Distinct dry seasons almost every year together with human intervention such as drainage have been reported to deepen WTD, thereby causing rapid decomposition of very old and thick (up to > 26 000 yr old and 9 m thick (Page et al., 2004)) tropical peat deposits (Jauhiainen et al., 2008; Couwenberg et al., 2009; Hirano et al., 2009, 2012). Moreover, tropical peatlands are generally formed by roots
- and remains of trees and devoid of bryophytes (e.g. mosses) as opposed to the northern peatlands that are mainly formed by mosses or co-existed by mosses and vascular plants. Differences in dominant vegetation can exhibit very different WTD effects on productivity of tropical peatland than of northern boreal and temperate peatlands due to different rooting and stomatal behaviour (e.g. mosses with shallow rhizoids and no





stomatal regulation vs. trees with well developed root systems and stomatal regulations) and consequent plant water and nutrient uptake. Besides, tropical peat deposits formed by tree remains can have very different substrate quality for microbial decomposition than boreal and temperate moss peatlands and hence may have a different

- <sup>5</sup> WTD peat respiration interactions. Variations in climate and peat forming vegetation thus necessitate rigorous testing of a process model against measurements across peatlands developed under very different climate (e.g. boreal vs. tropical) and vegetation (e.g. moss vs. tree) to improve predictive capacity on eco-hydrological controls of peatland C balance.
- The hourly time step model *ecosys* previously simulated the effects of WTD fluctuations on net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of northern boreal peatlands (Dimitrov et al., 2011; Grant et al., 2012a). Testing the same model against site measurements of a tropical peatland would thus be an important test of the versatility of its algorithms representing the processes described above. Such a test will allow us to determine whether our cur-15 rent understanding of peatland water, nutrient and C interactions is sufficiently robust
- to capture complex WTD effects on peatland *R*<sub>e</sub> and GPP over a wide range of climates (boreal to tropical). Our study hereby uses the process-based ecosystem model *ecosys* to simulate WTD effects on net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of a tropical peat swamp forest at Palangkaraya, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia (Hirano et al., 2007). These effects are summarized in modelling hypotheses during three seasonal hydroperiods as follows:
  - Shallow WTD in the rainy season (November–April) causes lower net ecosystem productivity (NEP) mainly through less CO<sub>2</sub> fixation due to reduced nutrient availability and uptake caused by slower nutrient transformation and root growth and uptake resulting from slower O<sub>2</sub> diffusion through wet soils.
- When WTD increases during the early dry season (May–July), more rapid O<sub>2</sub> transport into larger unsaturated soil zones enables faster root growth and microbial nutrient transformations that in turns results in more rapid root nutrient uptake and CO<sub>2</sub> fixation which contributes to a higher NEP. Increased O<sub>2</sub> availability



in this hydroperiod may, however, result in more rapid aerobic decomposition in deeper peat layers. Drying of surface residues and near surface peat layers at the same time can reduce surface and near surface soil respiration thereby offsetting the increase in deeper peat respiration, resulting in no net increase of  $R_{\rm e}$ .

3. Deeper WTD during the late dry season (August–October), causes greater desiccation of near surface peat which forces declines in root and canopy water potentials, and consequently in canopy conductance and CO<sub>2</sub> fixation, thereby reducing NEP. Further deepening of the aerobic peat zone during this hydroperiod may lead to an increase in deeper peat respiration which exceeds reduction in near surface peat respiration through desiccation, raising *R*<sub>e</sub> and further lowering NEP.

#### 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Model development

#### 2.1.1 General

*Ecosys* is a general purpose terrestrial ecosystem model that simulates 3-D soil-<sup>15</sup> microbes-plant-atmosphere water, energy, C and nutrient (N, P) transfer schemes (Grant, 2001). Details of the key algorithms in *ecosys* are given in the supplementary materials from Appendices A to H with definitions of the terms and references. Algorithms governing WTD effects on net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange that are related to our modelling hypotheses are described in the following sections. For simplicity and

clarity we have not put the equations within the text. Instead we have cited the equations within square brackets with the letter representing a particular appendix in the Supplement.





#### 2.1.2 Heterotrophic respiration

Organic transformations in *ecosys* occur in five organic matter-microbe complexes (coarse woody litter, fine non-woody litter, animal manure, particulate organic C (POC), and humus), each of which consists of five organic states (three decomposition sub-

- strates: solid organic C, sorbed organic C and microbial residue C, as well as the decomposition product: DOC, and the decomposition agent: microbial biomass) in a surface residue layer and in each soil layer. The decomposition rates of each of the three substrates and resulting production of DOC in each complex is a first-order function of the active biomasses (*M*) of diverse heterotrophic microbial functional types,
- including obligate aerobes (bacteria and fungi), facultative anaerobes (denitrifiers), obligate anaerobes (fermenters), heterotrophic (acetotrophic) and autotrophic (hydrogenotrophic) methanogens, and aerobic and anaerobic heterotrophic diazotrophs (non-symbiotic N<sub>2</sub> fixers) [A1, A2]. Decomposition rates are calculated from the fraction of substrate mass colonized by *M* [A4]. Growth of *M* by each microbial functional
- <sup>15</sup> type [A25] is calculated from its uptake of DOC [A21], driven by energy yields from growth respiration ( $R_g$ ) [A20] remaining after subtracting maintenance respiration ( $R_m$ ) [A18] from heterotrophic respiration ( $R_h$ ) [A11] driven by DOC oxidation [A13]. This oxidation may be limited by microbial O<sub>2</sub> reduction [A14] driven from microbial O<sub>2</sub> demand [A16] and constrained by O<sub>2</sub> diffusion calculated from aqueous O<sub>2</sub> concentrations in soil ([O<sub>2s</sub>]) [A17]. Values of [O<sub>2s</sub>] are maintained by convective-dispersive transport
- of  $O_2$  from the atmosphere to gaseous and aqueous phases of the soil surface layer [D15], by convective-dispersive transport of  $O_2$  through gaseous and aqueous phases in adjacent soil layers [D16, D19], and by dissolution of  $O_2$  from gaseous to aqueous phases within each soil layer [D14a].
- <sup>25</sup> With shallower WTD during the rainy season, air-filled porosity ( $\theta_g$ ) above the water table may decline to values at which low O<sub>2</sub> diffusivity in the gaseous phase ( $D_g$ ) [D17] may reduce gaseous O<sub>2</sub> transport [D16], while  $\theta_g$  below the water table is zero and so prevents gaseous O<sub>2</sub> transport. During this hydroperiod, [O<sub>2s</sub>] relies more on O<sub>2</sub> trans-





port through the slower aqueous phase [D19]. A consequent decline in  $[O_{2s}]$  slows  $O_2$  uptake [A17] and hence  $R_h$  [A14],  $R_g$  [A20] and growth of M [A25]. Lower M in turn slows decomposition of organic C [A1, A2] and production of DOC which further slows  $R_h$  [A13],  $R_g$  and growth of M. Although some microbial functional types can sustain

- <sup>5</sup> DOC oxidation by reducing alternative electron acceptors (e.g. methanogens reducing acetate or  $CO_2$  to  $CH_4$ , and denitrifiers reducing  $NO_x$  to  $N_2O$  or  $N_2$ ), lower energy yields from these reactions reduce  $R_g$ , and hence M growth, organic C decomposition and subsequent DOC production. Slower decomposition of organic C under low  $[O_{2s}]$ also causes slower decomposition of organic N and P [A7] and production of dissolved
- <sup>10</sup> organic nitrogen (DON) and phosphorus (DOP), which causes slower uptake of microbial nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) [A22] and hence *M* growth [A29]. This slower growth causes slower mineralization of  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_3^-$  and  $H_2PO_4^-$  [A26], and hence lower aqueous concentrations of those ions.

Increase in  $\theta_g$  with WTD drawdown during the dry season results in greater  $D_g$  [D17] and hence more rapid gaseous O<sub>2</sub> transport. A consequent rise in [O<sub>2s</sub>] increases O<sub>2</sub> uptake [A17] and hence  $R_h$  [A14],  $R_g$  [A20] and growth of *M* [A25]. Larger *M* in turn hastens decomposition of organic C [A1, A2] and production of DOC which further accelerates  $R_h$  [A13],  $R_g$  and growth of *M*. More rapid decomposition of organic C under adequate [O<sub>2s</sub>] in this hydroperiod also causes more rapid decomposition of organic N and P [A7] and production of DON and DOP, which increases uptake of microbial N and P [A22] and hence *M* growth [A29]. This rapid growth causes rapid mineralization of NH<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub>, NO<sup>-3</sup><sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>PO<sup>-4</sup><sub>4</sub> [A26], and hence greater aqueous concentrations of those ions.

However, soil surface and near surface desiccation resulting from deepening WTD decreases soil water content and soil water potentials ( $\psi_s$ ) which causes an increase in aqueous microbial concentrations [*M*] [A15] in desiccated soil layers. This reduces microbial access to the substrate for decomposition through an algorithm for competitive inhibition of microbial exo-enzymes [A4] from Lizama and Suzuki (1990), thereby reducing  $R_h$  [A13].





#### 2.1.3 Autotrophic respiration and growth

Growth of root and shoot phytomass in each plant population is calculated from its assimilation of the non-structural C product of  $CO_2$  fixation ( $\sigma_C$ ) [C20]. Assimilation is driven by  $R_g$  [C17] remaining after subtracting  $R_m$  [C16] from autotrophic respiration ( $R_a$ ) [C13] driven by oxidation of  $\sigma_C$  [C14]. This oxidation in roots may be limited by root  $O_2$  reduction [C14b] which is driven by root  $O_2$  demand to sustain C oxidation and nutrient uptake [C14e], and constrained by  $O_2$  uptake controlled by concentrations of aqueous  $O_2$  in the soil ([ $O_{2s}$ ]) and roots ([ $O_{2r}$ ]) [C14d]. Values of [ $O_{2s}$ ] are maintained by

- convective-dispersive transport of  $O_2$  through soil gaseous and aqueous phases and by dissolution of  $O_2$  from soil gaseous to aqueous phases. Values of  $[O_{2r}]$  are maintained by convective-dispersive transport of  $O_2$  through the root gaseous phase [D16d] and by dissolution of  $O_2$  from root gaseous to aqueous phases [D14b] through processes analogous to those described under Sect. 2.1.2 above. This transport depends on species-specific values used for root air-filled porosity ( $\theta_{pr}$ ) [D17b].
- <sup>15</sup> Low  $\theta_{g}$  with shallow WTD during the rainy season reduces soil O<sub>2</sub> transport, forces root O<sub>2</sub> uptake to rely more on  $[O_{2r}]$  and hence on root O<sub>2</sub> transport determined by  $\theta_{pr}$ . If this transport is inadequate, decline in  $[O_{2r}]$  slows root O<sub>2</sub> uptake [C14c, d] and hence  $R_{a}$  [C14b],  $R_{g}$  [C17] and root growth [C20b]. Increased  $\theta_{g}$  with WTD drawdown during the dry season, however, facilitates rapid  $D_{g}$  which allows root O<sub>2</sub> demand to be almost entirely met from  $[O_{2s}]$  [C14c, d].
  - -

25

#### 2.1.4 Gross primary productivity

By reducing root  $O_2$  uptake, shallow WTD slows root growth [C20b] and root N and P uptake [C23b, d, f]. Root N and P uptake in this hydroperiod is further slowed by reductions in aqueous concentrations of  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_3^-$  and  $H_2PO_4^-$  [C23a, c, e] from slower mineralization of organic N and P as described in Sect. 2.1.2 above. Slower root N and P uptake in turn reduces concentrations of non-structural N and P products of root





uptake ( $\sigma_N$  and  $\sigma_P$ ) with respect to that of  $\sigma_C$  in leaves [C11], thereby slowing CO<sub>2</sub> fixation [C6] and hence GPP.

Increased availability of  $[O_{2s}]$  with WTD drawdown during the dry season hastens root  $O_2$  uptake and so enables rapid root growth and N and P uptake as discussed in

<sup>5</sup> Sect. 2.1.3 above. Increased root growth and N and P uptake is further stimulated by increased aqueous concentrations of  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_3^-$  and  $H_2PO_4^-$  [C23a, c, e] from rapid mineralization of organic N and P during this hydroperiod as described in Sect. 2.1.2 above. Greater root N and P uptake in turn increases concentrations of  $\sigma_N$  and  $\sigma_P$  with respect to  $\sigma_C$  in leaves [C11], thereby facilitating rapid CO<sub>2</sub> fixation [C6] and hence GPP.

With deeper WTD during the late dry season, GPP is not limited by root and microbial growth and nutrient uptake as discussed above. However, GPP in this hydroperiod can be adversely affected by water stress. When WTD deepens past a certain point, inadequate capillary rise [D9a] causes near-surface peat desiccation, reducing soil vater potential ( $\psi_s$ ) and increasing soil hydraulic resistance ( $\Omega_s$ ) [B9], forcing lower root, canopy and turgor ( $\psi_r$ ,  $\psi_c$  and  $\psi_t$ ) potentials [B4] and hence lower  $g_s$  [B2b] to be calculated when equilibrating plant water uptake with transpiration (T) [B14]. Lower  $g_s$  in turn reduces CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion into the leaves thereby reducing CO<sub>2</sub> fixation [C6] and

hence GPP during this hydroperiod.

<sup>20</sup> Thus WTD effects on  $R_h$ ,  $R_a$  and GPP in *ecosys* are not parameterized from ecosystem level observations, but instead are governed by basic processes of O<sub>2</sub> transport and uptake; root and microbial energy yields, growth and nutrient uptake; and stomatal regulation controlled by root water uptake parameterized from independent research.

#### 2.2 Modelling experiment

#### 25 2.2.1 Site conditions

The *ecosys* algorithms for simulating WTD effects on net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange were tested against the measurements over Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest (PPSF),





Central Kalimantan, Indonesia ( $2^{\circ}20'42''$  S and  $114^{\circ}2'11''$  E). The site is a tropical bog peatland formed mainly by roots and remains of trees where the major source of water and nutrient inputs is through precipitation. Vegetation of these peatlands includes evergreen overstorey trees and dense understorey of dominant tree seedlings with no mosses. These peatlands were drained by excavating drainage canals approximately 4 yr before the measurements started in 2002 at a flux station established in PPSF. Peat depth around the flux tower site was about 4 m. Detailed description of the site as well as the procedures of eddy covariance (EC) CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements and quality

control and other supplementary measurements can be found in Hirano et al. (2007).

#### 10 2.2.2 Model runs

5

For our modelling experiment, the PPSF landscape was represented by one hummock and one hollow grid cell each of which had a dimension of  $1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$ . Both of the grid cells had identical soil properties except that the hollow grid cell had a fibric layer thinner by 0.15 m than the hummock cell to represent the average site micro-topography described by Jauhiainen et al. (2008) (Table 1). Physical and hydrological characteristics of the PPSF peatland and their representation in our modelling study were described in our concurrent paper on modelling seasonal variation in WTD and surface

- energy exchange over PPSF (Mezbahuddin et al., 2013). Very high C: N and N: P ratios with low pH are typical characteristics of tropical peatlands which were represented
- <sup>20</sup> in our modelling experiment by inputs measured either at the same site or at similar surrounding sites (Table 1). Both hummock and hollow grid cells were seeded with evergreen tropical rainforest over- and under-storey vascular vegetation using the same plant functional types used in earlier studies (Grant et al., 2009), except that  $\theta_{\rm pr}$  [D17d] was raised to 0.2 to represent peatland plant adaptation (Visser et al., 2000). These
- peatlands under study are generally devoid of mosses and hence we did not simulate any moss species. The model was then run for 44 yr under repeating 4 yr sequences of hourly weather data (solar radiation, air temperature, wind speed, humidity and precipitation) recorded at the site from 2002 to 2005. This period allowed CO<sub>2</sub> exchange





in the model to achieve stable values through successive weather sequences. Model results for the final 4 yr of the run were compared with measurements at PPSF from 2002–2005.

#### 2.2.3 Model validation

- Hourly CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes modelled over the hummock and the hollow were spatially averaged to represent a 50 : 50 hummock-hollow ratio as described by Jauhiainen et al. (2008), and then regressed on hourly measured EC CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes for each year from 2002–2005. Model performance was evaluated from regression intercepts (a → 0), slopes (b → 1) and coefficients of determination (R<sup>2</sup> →1) for each study year in order to test whether
   there was any systematic divergence between the modelled and gap-filled CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes.
- This test is very important since any small divergence between the modelled and gap-filled  $CO_2$  fluxes. This test is very important since any small divergence between hourly modelled and gap-filled  $CO_2$  fluxes can result in a large divergence between modelled and EC-gap filled annual estimates.

#### 2.2.4 Model sensitivity to drained vs. undrained WTD

- <sup>15</sup> WTD in the modelled landscape in *ecosys* arises from water exchanges with the atmosphere in the forms of vertical water influxes (e.g. precipitation) and effluxes (e.g. evapotranspiration) through surface boundary and in the forms of recharge and discharge with an adjacent ecosystem through lateral boundary. The distance and hydraulic gradient between modelled WTD and a set external water table depth (WTD<sub>x</sub>, representing
- <sup>20</sup> mean water table depth of the adjacent watershed) generally governs the rate of lateral recharge and discharge. All modelled WTDs were spatially averaged for the hummock and the hollow grid cell. Since the field measured WTDs were with reference to the hollow surface, all the WTDs and WTD<sub>x</sub>s in this study are henceforth referenced from the hollow surface. The WTD<sub>x</sub> for the simulation in this study was set at 0.45 m below
- the hollow surface (i.e. 0.60 m below the hummock surface) so as to represent the average watershed WTD for our drained site (Mezbahuddin, et al., 2013). Since drainage





is a key disturbance reported to alter WTD and hence C balance of Southeast Asian peatlands (Couwenberg et al., 2009; Hooijer et al., 2010), we performed a parallel simulation with WTD<sub>x</sub> raised from 0.45 m below the hollow surface to 0.15 m above the hollow surface (i.e. level with the hummock surface) with everything else unchanged to represent the undrained condition. The difference between the two WTD<sub>x</sub>s was based on the maximum observed difference between mean annual WTDs over our drained site and a nearby similar undrained site as reported by Hirano et al. (2012). The purpose of this undrained simulation was to test the sensitivity of the modelled NEPs to the difference in drained vs. undrained WTD.

#### 10 3 Results

#### 3.1 Modelled vs. measured ecosystem net CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes

Regressions of hourly modelled vs. measured net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes gave intercepts within  $1.0 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$  of zero, and slopes within 0.1 of one, indicating minimal bias in modelled values for all years of the study except 2005 when modelled fluxes gave a positive bias slightly greater than  $1.0 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{m}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$  (Table 2). Val-15 ues for coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ) and RMSD were ~ 0.8 (P < 0.0001) and  $\sim 5.0 \,\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). Much of the unexplained variance in EC-measured CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes could be attributed to a random error of ca. 20% in EC methodology (Wesely and Hart, 1985). This attribution was corroborated by root mean squares for error (RMSE) in EC measurements, calculated for forests with similar CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from Richardson 20 et al. (2006) that were similar to RMSD. These similar values indicated that further constraint in model testing could not be achieved without further precision in EC measurements. Regressions of modelled vs. gap-filled CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes gave larger slopes than those of modelled vs. EC measured CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes despite higher  $R^2$  and lower RMSDs indicating the diurnal variation of the gap-filled fluxes was systematically smaller than 25 that of the modelled CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (Table 2).





#### 3.2 Seasonal variation in WTD and daily net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange

WTD in PPSF showed distinct seasonality in each year from 2002 to 2005 (Mezbahuddin et al., 2013). Observed WTDs were typically within 0.3 m of the hollow surface during the rainy season (November–April) increasing to 0.5–0.8 m below the hollow

- <sup>5</sup> surface at the onset of the dry season (May–July) (Figs. 1c, 2c, 3c and 4c). During late dry seasons (August–October) observed WTD fell below 1.0 m from the hollow surface in all years and below 1.5 m in drier years. Increasing amounts and declining seasonality of precipitation caused the WTD to decline more and more gradually from the driest year 2002 to the wettest year 2005.
- NEP modelled and measured over PPSF showed a distinct seasonality, with negative values over shallow WTD (within 0.3 m below the hollow surface) during the rainy season, near neutral or slightly positive values over intermediate WTD (0.5–0.8 m below the hollow surface) during the early dry season, and returning to negative values over deep WTD (> 1.0 m below the hollow surface) in the late dry season during each
- <sup>15</sup> year from 2002 to 2005 (Figs. 1a, 2a, 3a and 4a). These values indicated that the ecosystem was a C source when the WTD was shallow, became a smaller source or C neutral when WTD receded to an intermediate position, and again became a large source of C when WTD further deepened (Figs. 1–4) (Table 3). The decline in late dry season NEP during 2002 (Fig. 1a) might have been made larger by a decline in GPP with reduced pet rediction (P<sub>2</sub>) (Fig. 1b) seused by smaller base shading from regional
- with reduced net radiation ( $R_n$ ) (Fig. 1b) caused by smoke haze shading from regional forest fires as reported by Hirano et al. (2007).

In addition to the successful simulations of long term seasonal cycle of NEP, *ecosys* was adequately sensitive to the short-term variations in NEP caused by changes in weather. There were several short-term dips in EC-gap filled NEP e.g. DOY 160–170

<sup>25</sup> in 2002 (Fig. 1), DOY 258–262 in 2003 (Fig. 2), DOY 143–146 in 2004 (Fig. 3), DOY 259–262 in 2005 (Fig. 4) etc. caused by smaller  $CO_2$  influxes and larger  $CO_2$  effluxes on cloudy and rainy days. These dips were modelled from less  $CO_2$  fixation under





Modelling seasonal water table effects on tropical peatland CO<sub>2</sub> **Discussion** Paper exchange M. Mezbahuddin et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Discussion Paper Conclusions References **Figures** Tables 14 Back Close **Discussion** Pape Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** 

**BGD** 

10, 13353-13398, 2013

Discussion

Pa

Interactive Discussion



lower  $R_{\rm p}$  and/or from flushes of soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes due to rewetting surface residues from a rainfall following a dry period (Grant et al., 2012b).

#### Seasonal variation in WTD and diurnal CO<sub>2</sub> exchange 3.3

In order to examine WTD effects on ecosystem diurnal net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange, we compared hourly modelled CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes against EC-measured CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes binned for the three hydroperiods e.g. shallow WTD, intermediate WTD and deep WTD from 2002-2005 (Fig. 5). During 2002–2004, modelled CO<sub>2</sub> influxes were supressed over shallow WTD during the rainy season, became larger over intermediate WTD during the early dry season, and again suppressed over deeper WTD during the late dry season, as were also apparent in EC measured CO<sub>2</sub> influxes (Fig. 5). Both modelled and mea-10 sured CO<sub>2</sub> influxes during the wettest year 2005, however, increased with deepening WTD even in late dry season (Fig. 5).

Limited precision and frequency of EC-measured CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes caused by insufficient nighttime turbulence made the comparison of modelled vs. measured CO<sub>2</sub> ef-

- fluxes more difficult than that for CO<sub>2</sub> influxes. Despite their scattered nature, EC CO<sub>2</sub> 15 effluxes showed slight decreases (e.g. during 2002 and 2003) or no significant change (e.g. during 2004) with WTD drawdown from rainy to early dry seasons and marked increases with further deepening of WTD in late dry seasons during 2002–2004 (Fig. 5). These effects of seasonal WTD variation on CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes were reasonably well simu-
- lated for 2003 and 2004 (Fig. 5). During 2002, however, ecosys simulated much larger 20 night-time CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes than measured by EC during shallow and intermediate WTD hydroperiods that eliminated the seasonal variations in the model (Fig. 5). This divergence might be partly due to highly scattered night-time EC effluxes  $(3-14 \mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1})$ during shallow WTD and  $4-12 \mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$  during intermediate WTD hydroperiods in
- Fig. 5) with little fluctuations in boundary conditions (e.g. air temperature and wind 25 speed) which could not be explained by our modelling. There might also have been a carryover effect of previous years' hydrology which was not modelled during 2002 since it was the first year of our modelling cycle for which we had measured weather

data. During 2005, simulated night-time  $CO_2$  effluxes showed a decrease with WTD drawdown from rainy to early dry season and an increase with further WTD recession from early to late dry season (Fig. 5) similar to the trends in other years. Again, considerable scatter in night-time EC  $CO_2$  effluxes (5–12 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in Fig. 5) with little fluctuations in boundary conditions (e.g., air temperature and wind speed) during the

- <sup>5</sup> fluctuations in boundary conditions (e.g. air temperature and wind speed) during the shallow WTD hydroperiod in 2005 could not be modelled which resulted in a divergence of modelled vs. measured CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes during this hydroperiod. Our modelled effects of WTD on seasonal variations in diurnal CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes were further corroborated by little decrease or no change in hourly EC-gap filled CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes with WTD drawdown below 0.5–0.8 m in early dry seasons and an increase in effluxes with further WTD
- below 0.5–0.8 m in early dry seasons and an increase in effluxes with further WID drawdown in late dry seasons as shown by Hirano et al. (2012) for our study site.
   Significant (*P* < 0.0001) quadratic relationships between EC-derived (Hirano et al., 2002)</li>

2007) and simulated monthly NEP, GPP and  $R_{\rm e}$  vs. monthly averaged WTD during 2002–2004 (Fig. 6) further corroborate the effects of seasonal variations in WTD <sup>15</sup> on seasonal variations in diurnal ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes as discussed above. Lower monthly modelled and EC-gap filled NEP under shallow WTD condition was mainly caused by lower GPP (Fig. 6). With gradual drawdown of WTD, monthly modelled and simulated NEP became larger with a combination of higher GPP and little decrease or no change in  $R_{\rm e}$  (Fig. 6). Further WTD drawdown caused monthly modelled and EC-20 gap filled NEP to drop again with a combination of a decrease in GPP and a concurrent rise in  $R_{\rm e}$  (Fig. 6).

#### 3.4 Interannual variation in WTD and net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange

Interannual variation in NEP over PPSF from 2002 to 2005 was caused by interannual variation in WTD. A gradual rise in both modelled and EC gap-filled annual NEP vas found from 2002 to 2005 with progressively shallower WTD (Table 4). However, modelled NEPs were considerably lower than the EC gap-filled estimates of NEP in 2003 and 2004 (Table 4). This can be explained by the presence of large number of gap-filled CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (~ 65% of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from 2002 to 2005) in EC-derived annual





NEP estimates. These gap-filled fluxes, mostly nighttime effluxes, were systematically smaller than the modelled fluxes as shown by slopes >  $1.1 \,\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and negative intercepts in modelled vs. gap-filled flux regressions during those years (Table 2). The decreasing WTD from 2002 to 2005 (Figs. 1–4) resulting from the increase in annual precipitation (Table 4) supressed the modelled annual GPP,  $R_a$  and  $R_h$  and hence RE (Table 4). Similar declines in modelled GPP and  $R_a$  with shallower WTD left modelled NPP almost unchanged throughout the study period (Table 4). However, suppression of annual  $R_h$  by shallow WTD caused annual NEP to become gradually less negative from 2002 to 2005 (Table 4). An increase in modelled CH<sub>4</sub> emissions with declining WTD was also found from 2003–2005 (Table 4).

#### 4 Discussion

#### 4.1 Hypothesis 1: WTD and NEP during rainy season

A shallower aerobic zone (Fig. 7) and resulting lower  $[O_{2s}]$  modelled during shallow WTD (within 0.3 m of the hollow surface) hydroperiod reduced rates of C oxidation by microbial populations [A13-A14] which limited microbial growth [A25] and hindered 15 nutrient mineralization [A26]. Moreover, low [O<sub>2s</sub>] caused by shallow WTD forced [O<sub>2r</sub>] to depend predominantly upon O<sub>2</sub> transport through root gaseous phase controlled by  $\theta_{\rm pr}$  as discussed in Sect. 2.1.3. Our input of 0.20 for  $\theta_{\rm pr}$  to represent peatland species adaptation (Visser et al., 2000), however, was not enough to maintain adequate  $[O_{2r}]$  during this hydroperiod. Lower  $[O_{2r}]$  supressed rates of C oxidation by root and 20 mycorrhizal populations [C14a, b], slowing root and mycorrhizal growth [C20b] and plant N and P uptake [C23b, d, f]. Root and mycorrhizal growth in this hydroperiod were largely confined to the shallow aerobic zone, thus limiting the soil volume from which N and P uptake could occur. Slow N and P uptake in turn reduced  $\sigma_{N}$  and  $\sigma_{P}$ with respect to  $\sigma_{\rm C}$  in leaves [C11], thereby slowing CO<sub>2</sub> fixation [C6] and hence GPP 25





13371

in the model as discussed in Sect. 2.1.4. This slowing was also apparent in the lower  $CO_2$  influxes measured with shallow WTD (Fig. 5).

These results from the model are consistent with those from Milner (2009) who measured lower tree growth with shallower WTD while studying soil fertility effects on veg-

etation diversity of tropical peat swamp forests nearby our site, which she attributed to 5 less availability of N and P under wet soil conditions. Similar suppression of productivity by shallow WTD has also been reported in other experimental (Sulman et al., 2010; Flanagan and Syed, 2011) and modelling studies (Grant et al., 2012a; Sulman et al., 2012) across northern boreal peatlands. This suppression of productivity with shallow WTD was also apparent in higher modelled and measured Bowen ratios ( $\beta = H/LE$ ) 10 resulting from lower  $g_s$  required to conserve  $c_c : c_a$  ratios with slower CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion

(Mezbahuddin et al., 2013).

During shallow WTD in rainy seasons,  $[O_{2s}]$  below the water table was almost zero (Fig. 7) and well below the Michaelis–Menten constant ( $K_m$ ) used for microbial, root and mycorrhizal uptake in ecosys [A17a, C14c] so that DOC oxidation coupled with O<sub>2</sub> 15 reduction was strongly limited by  $[O_{2s}]$ . In these layers, DOC oxidation was coupled with DOC reduction by anaerobic heterotrophic fermenters, which yielded much less energy than did  $O_2$  reduction and so resulted in slower microbial growth [A25] and  $R_h$ [A13] as discussed in Sect. 2.1.2. This DOC reduction also generated CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> and acetate that drove CH<sub>4</sub> production [G1, G7, G12] and enabled ecosys to model larger 20  $CH_4$  emissions in the wetter years with shallower WTD (Table 4). However,  $[O_{2s}]$  above the water table during shallow WTD hydroperiod was well above  $K_m$  (Fig. 7) used for simulated microbial, root and mycorrhizal  $O_2$  uptake so that  $R_h$  in this zone was not limited by [O<sub>2s</sub>]. Moreover, frequent precipitation throughout this hydroperiod kept the surface residue layer moist and maintained optimum heterotrophic microbial concen-25 trations for decomposition [A3, A5] and growth [A15], driving surface CO<sub>2</sub> flushes.

Shallow WTD during the rainy season thus caused lower NEP (Figs. 1a, 2a, 3a and 4a) (Table 2) to be modelled over PPSF through reducing GPP and hence net CO<sub>2</sub> uptake (Figs. 5-6).



#### 4.2 Hypothesis 2: WTD and NEP during early dry seasons

Increased availability of [O<sub>2s</sub>] with an intermediate WTD (0.5-0.8 m below the hollow surface) during the early dry season almost entirely met root O<sub>2</sub> demand and hence facilitated rapid and deeper root growth [C20b] and N and P uptake [C23b, d, f]. Uptake was further stimulated by more rapid mineralization of organic N and P [C23a, c, e] 5 driven by more rapid microbial O<sub>2</sub> uptake [A17], C oxidation [A1, A2], growth [A25] and R<sub>h</sub> [A13, A20] as described in Sects. 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. Greater root N and P uptake in turn increased  $\sigma_{\rm N}$ :  $\sigma_{\rm C}$  and  $\sigma_{\rm P}$ :  $\sigma_{\rm C}$  in leaves [C11], thereby facilitating rapid CO<sub>2</sub> fixation [C6] and hence GPP as discussed in Sect. 2.1.4. Increased GPP with WTD drawdown was also measured by Sulman et al. (2009) and Flanagan and Syed (2011) for northern 10 boreal peatlands. Improved plant nutrient status was also apparent in lower measured and modelled  $\beta$  resulting from greater  $g_s$  as described in our concurrent paper on modelling WTD effects on surface energy exchange over PPSF (Mezbahuddin et al., 2013).

- A deeper aerobic zone and resulting increase in [O<sub>2s</sub>] during the intermediate 15 WTD hydroperiod in the early dry season (Fig. 7) stimulated  $R_{\rm h}$  [A13, A20] as described above. However, this increase in deeper R<sub>h</sub> was fully, and sometimes more than fully, offset by decreases in surface and near-surface R<sub>h</sub> caused by near-surface peat desiccation which reduced microbial access to substrate for decomposition [A15]
- (Sect. 2.1.2). This enabled ecosys to simulate CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes measured by EC during 20 intermediate WTD hydroperiods that were similar to or lower than those in shallow WTD hydroperiods. This modelling hypothesis in *ecosys* was further corroborated by soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes measured with surface chambers by Sundari et al. (2012) over our study site and by Jauhiainen et al. (2008) over a nearby similar site during intermediate
- WTD hydroperiods that were similar or lower than those in shallow WTD hydroperiods. 25 Declines in  $R_{\rm h}$  due to near surface peat desiccation were also modelled by Dimitrov et al. (2010) and Grant et al. (2012a) by using the same model ecosys over two contrasting northern boreal peatlands.





Intermediate WTD during the early dry season thus caused higher NEP (Figs. 1a, 2a, 3a and 4a) (Table 2) over PPSF by a combination of increased GPP and unchanged or slightly decreased  $R_e$  (Figs. 5–6).

### 4.3 Hypothesis 3: WTD and NEP during late dry seasons

- GPP during late dry season with deep WTD (> 1.0 m below the hollow surface) was limited not by plant nutrient status but by plant water stress. Inadequate recharge of near surface peat layers through a combination of less precipitation and slow capillary rise [D9a] during this hydroperiod reduced ψ<sub>s</sub> and increased Ω<sub>s</sub> [B9] in those layers where most of the plant roots were. These changes in turn forced higher Ω<sub>r</sub> [B10], lower
   ψ<sub>r</sub>, ψ<sub>c</sub>, ψ<sub>t</sub> [B4] and g<sub>s</sub> [B2b] (Mezbahuddin et al., 2013) and hence slower CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion [C6] through stomata and consequently less GPP (Sect. 2.1.4). Similar reductions in CDP due to plant water stress water proceeded during the later days and being the later days.
- GPP due to plant water stress were reported during the late dry season in Hirano et al. (2012) for a drained and burnt tropical peat swamp forest.
- Deeper peat respiration during the deep WTD hydroperiod greatly increased due to <sup>15</sup> abundant [O<sub>2s</sub>] in the deeper aerobic zone (Fig. 7) and resulting rapid microbial O<sub>2</sub> uptake [A17], C oxidation [A1, A2], growth [A25] and  $R_h$  [A13, A20] as discussed in Sect. 2.1.2. This increase in deeper  $R_h$  was greater than the reduction in surface and near-surface microbial respiration due to desiccation as discussed in Sect. 4.2, which led to a net increase in  $R_e$  during this hydroperiod. This modelling hypothesis was <sup>20</sup> further corroborated by larger soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes measured by Sundari et al. (2012) over our study site during the deep WTD hydroperiod than during the rest of the year. Cai et al. (2010) also measured a stimulation of respiration over a northern boreal peatland with deepening of WTD.

Deep WTD during the late dry season thus caused lower NEP (Figs. 1a, 2a, 3a and 4a) (Table 2) by a combination of reduced GPP and increased  $R_e$  (Figs. 5–6).





#### 4.4 Modelling WTD effects on annual tropical peatland C balance

At an annual time scale, reductions in both GPP and  $R_{\rm e}$  with a gradually shallower WTD from 2002 to 2005 in *ecosys* were not corroborated by changes in GPP and  $R_{\rm e}$  partitioned from gap-filled EC fluxes by Hirano et al. (2007) (Table 4) although monthly

- <sup>5</sup> partitioned GPP and R<sub>e</sub> showed the similar seasonal trends as those modelled (Fig. 6). This discrepancy may have occurred because in *ecosys* basic processes representing dynamic WTD effects on root and microbial respiration, nutrient transformation and microbial and plant nutrient uptake above were explicitly simulated based on independent research as described in Sects. 4.1 and 4.2. On the other hand, gap-filling of EC
- <sup>10</sup> fluxes to derive GPP and  $R_e$  was based on numerical relationships with environmental variables e.g. soil water content, soil temperature, vapor pressure deficit and radiation (Hirano et al., 2007) without considering complex WTD effects on soil physical and biological processes that govern ecosystem physiology. The gap-filled fluxes used in partitioning were consistently lower by ~ 12% than the modelled fluxes (Table 2).
- <sup>15</sup> These lower values caused annual GPP and  $R_e$  derived from the partitioned fluxes to be lower by 21 % on average from those modelled during 2002–2004 (Table 4). Grant et al. (2009) also modelled GPP and  $R_e$  higher than the partitioned fluxes by similar magnitudes while modelling NEP over an Amazonian rainforest by using *ecosys*.

The components of modelled annual C balance were also comparable with biometric <sup>20</sup> measurements and estimations from other studies on similar ecosystems. Modelled net primary productivity (NPP) was comparable with values estimated for Amazonian rainforests and oil palm plantations in tropical peatlands (Table 4). Modelled  $R_h$  was comparable with  $R_h$  measured for a mature *Acacia* plantation on drained Indonesian peatlands (Table 4). Modelled annual CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were substantially higher than <sup>25</sup> what was measured on the site ca. 1.1–1.3 gCH<sub>4</sub> – Cm<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Hirano et al., 2009) (Table 4). However, those were very close to the range of mean annual CH<sub>4</sub> emissions reported from other Southeast Asian peatlands (Table 4).

## **BGD** 10, 13353-13398, 2013 Paper Modelling seasonal water table effects on tropical peatland CO<sub>2</sub> **Discussion** Paper exchange M. Mezbahuddin et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Discussion Paper Conclusions References **Figures** Tables 14 Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



#### 4.5 Drained vs. undrained seasonal variation in modelled WTD and NEP

Large negative simulated and EC-gap filled annual NEPs modelled and measured during 2002–2005 (Table 4) may reflect disturbance effects of drainage in 1998 which increased WTD. In order to examine the drainage effects on modelled NEP we per-

formed a model sensitivity test to drained vs. undrained conditions as described in Sect. 2.2.4. During the rainy seasons (November–April) from 2002–2005, simulated undrained WTD was always above the hollow surface as opposed to the simulated drained WTD where water table never rose above the hollow surface (Fig. 8). The undrained WTD remained ~ 0.5 m shallower than the drained WTD, and so altered the timing and intensity of the different hydroperiods (Fig. 8). The seasonal variation in simulated undrained WTD followed that in a nearby similar undrained tropical peat swamp forest (Fig. 8).

NEP modelled in the undrained peatland was higher than that in the drained peatland during the rainy seasons (November–April) (Fig. 9). During 2002 and 2003 undrained

- <sup>15</sup> WTDs during the late dry seasons were deep enough to simulate negative NEPs similar to those with drained WTDs (Fig. 9). However in 2004 and 2005, shallower WTD during late dry seasons in the undrained simulation caused higher NEPs (less negative) than those in the drained simulation (Fig. 9). Hirano et al. (2012) also found higher NEPs in the undrained site than in the drained site mainly during the rainy seasons.
- They also found almost similar NEPs for drained vs. undrained peatland during the prolonged dry seasons with deep WTD. Late dry season NEPs in the undrained site in their study were higher than those in drained site only during the wettest dry seasons (e.g. 2005). Seasonality in WTD is thus a key control of net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange over PPSF irrespective of drainage further highlighting the research needs that led to the
- present modelling effort. Large spikes of simulated negative NEPs during the transitions of WTD from above to below the hollow surface (e.g. between DOY 130 and 140, 140 and 150, 170 and 180, and 140 and 150 during 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 respectively in Fig. 9) arose from large flushes of soil CO<sub>2</sub> due to rapid degassing of soil





water. Sundari et al. (2012) measured similar sudden increases in soil  $CO_2$  effluxes by up to  $5 \text{ gCm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  with a drop of water table from above to below the hollow ground over our study site.

# 4.6 Effects of WTD on modelled annual C balance in drained vs. undrained peatland

5

10

Reducing WTD by an average of 0.5 m in drained vs. undrained peatland increased mean NEP by 270 g Cm<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Table 5). This modelled trend was corroborated by an increase in EC-gap filled NEP for a nearby undrained peatland of  $154\pm204$  g Cm<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> over that for the drained peatland measured by Hirano et al. (2012). This rise in NEP was modelled through greater suppression of  $R_a$  (Sect. 2.1.3) and  $R_h$  (Sect. 2.1.2) than of GPP (Sects. 2.1.3 and 2.1.4) (Table 5). However, modelled CH<sub>4</sub> emissions increased with increased fermentation (Sect. 4.1) from shallower WTD in the undrained peatland (Table 5).

Apart from net vertical CO<sub>2</sub> exchange, drainage of tropical peatlands can also trig-<sup>15</sup> ger substantial C losses through lateral transport of dissolved organic C (DOC). Moore et al. (2013) measured a drainage induced additional C loss of 20 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> through the export of DOC in our study area. We, however, simulated a negligible increase in C losses through export of DOC (1–2 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) due to drainage in this modelling study. Since transport of DOC heavily depends upon total amount of catchment dis-<sup>20</sup> charge (Moore et al., 2013) this discrepancy between our point scale study and their watershed scale measurements on DOC transport is reasonable. However, up scaling our modelling to watershed scale might be a potential opportunity to examine the effects of drainage on C losses through export of DOC in drained tropical peatlands.

Even in the undrained simulation, NEPs for 2002–2004 indicated substantial C losses from PPSF (Table 5). Similarly large C losses were estimated by EC-gap filled NEPs from 2004–2005 to 2006–2007 by Hirano et al. (2012) over a nearby similar undrained peat swamp forest. They also predicted (from a simple linear regression analysis of NEP on WTD) that maintaining a mean annual WTD within 0.03 m below





the hollow surface could bring the undrained peatland ecosystem to C neutrality. In line with their simple prediction, a much more sophisticated process-based undrained simulation by *ecosys* in our study resulted in a near C neutral NEP during the wettest year 2005 with a mean annual WTD of 0.10 m above the hollow surface (Table 5).

- <sup>5</sup> C losses modelled in the undrained simulation and observed in the undrained peat swamp forest, however, may be a recent phenomenon since a long term apparent C accumulation study showed that Central Kalimantan peatlands have been accumulating C at a rate of 31 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for last ~ 12 000 yr (Dommain et al., 2011) and that the peatlands around our study site have been accumulating C at a rate of 56 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>
- for last ~ 20 000 yr (Page et al., 2004). One of the main reasons behind the modelled negative NEPs even in the undrained simulation may be that the precipitation within our study period (2002–2005) was less than the long term average, which led to deeper WTD than the long term mean. The wettest year in our study period (2005) experienced a total annual precipitation which was considered as "normal" annual precipitation tion (0570 mm m<sup>-1</sup>) measured even ladenacies. Demos during 1004. (Total annual precipitation which was considered as "normal" annual precipitation.
- tion (2570 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>) measured over Indonesian Borneo during 1994–2004 (Takahashi et al., 2004). The year 1999 was the wettest within their measurement period with an annual precipitation of 3788 mm that caused the water table to remain above the ground throughout the year (Wösten et al., 2008). This speculation of large C losses due to reduced precipitation and consequent deeper WTD in recent years can be
   further corroborated by the cessation of Central Kalimantan peat growth during last ~ 5000 yr as reported by Dommain et al. (2011) due to WTD drawdowns caused by increased El Niño frequency and intensity.

#### 5 Conclusions

*Ecosys* successfully simulated the effects of WTD fluctuations on seasonal and inter-<sup>25</sup> annual variations in net ecosystem  $CO_2$  exchange over PPSF (Table 2). In order to simulate key responses of GPP and  $R_e$  to variations in WTD, *ecosys* required sophis-





ticated coupling of basic ecosystem processes parameterized by independent basic research as follows:

 Shallow WTD during rainy seasons reduced productivity by explicitly modelling slower convective-dispersive O<sub>2</sub> transport through soils and roots and hence slower root O<sub>2</sub> uptake, slower soil N and P transformations [A26], slower root N and P uptake and growth [C23], and consequently lower leaf nutrient status [C11] and slower CO<sub>2</sub> fixation [C6] (Sects. 2.1.3. and 2.1.4) (Figs. 5 and 6b).

5

10

15

- WTD drawdown during early dry seasons increased deeper peat respiration due to better aeration by explicitly modelling higher [O<sub>2s</sub>] [A17] due to more rapid O<sub>2</sub> transport through soils, and hence more rapid microbial and root oxidationreduction reactions [A3, A5], greater microbial O<sub>2</sub> uptake and energy yields [A20] driving more rapid microbial growth [A25] and respiration [A13, A14, A20] (Sect. 2.1.2) (Figs. 5 and 6c).
- 3. Deeper WTD during late dry seasons suppressed productivity through plant water stress by explicitly modelling declines in  $g_s$  and their effects on CO<sub>2</sub> fixation from hydraulically driven water transport along soil-plant-atmosphere water potential gradients [B1-B14] (Sect. 2.1.4) (Figs. 5 and 6b).

This study showed for the first time the application of detailed process based modelling in capturing non-linear WTD-tropical peatland CO<sub>2</sub> exchange interactions, as <sup>20</sup> recommended by Murdiyarso et al. (2010). The findings of this study showed that the duration and intensity of the dry season with deeper WTD had profound effects on tropical peatland CO<sub>2</sub> emissions irrespective of disturbance (e.g. drainage). This has an important implication in terms of the fate of tropical peatland C storage under future climate change scenarios since Li et al. (2007) predicted a general drying trend and <sup>25</sup> consequent WTD drawdown over Southeast Asian peatlands during this century using 11 land surface models. Moreover, the response of tropical peatland CO<sub>2</sub> exchange to disturbance (e.g. drainage) was also investigated by our model sensitivity test for





drained vs. undrained peatlands. Insights gained from our modelling effort thus can improve our predictive capacity for the effects of WTD fluctuations arising from interactions between seasonality in precipitation and artificial drainage on tropical peatland C balance. This capacity might be very important for planning long term tropical peatland rehabilitation projects and mapping peat C sequestration for current REDD+ scheme.

## Supplementary material related to this article is available online at http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/13353/2013/ bgd-10-13353-2013-supplement.pdf.

Acknowledgements. Computing facilities for ecosys were provided by University of Alberta and
 Compute Canada. Modelling was funded by NSERC and FGSR, University of Alberta. Field data collection was partly supported by JST/JICA SATREPS Project. We also thank AsiaFlux for providing a platform for sharing the field data used in this study.

#### References

5

15

20

Bond-Lamberty, B., Gower, S. T., and Ahl, D. E.: Improved simulation of poorly drained forests using Biome-BGC, Tree Physiol., 27, 703–715, doi:10.1093/treephys/27.5.703, 2007.

Brock, T. D. and Madigan, M. T.: Biology of Microorganisms, 6th edn., Prentice Hall, NJ, 1991.
Cai, T., Flanagan, L. B., and Syed, K. H.: Warmer and drier conditions stimulate respiration more than photosynthesis in a boreal peatland ecosystem: analysis of automatic chambers and eddy covariance measurements, Plant Cell Environ., 33, 394–407, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02089.x, 2010.

Chambers, J. Q., Tribuzy, E. S., Toledo, L. C., Crispim, B. F., Higuchi, N., Dos Santos, J., Araújo, A. C., Kruijt, B., Nobre, A. D., and Trumbore, S. E.: Respiration from a tropical forest ecosystem: partitioning of sources and low carbon use efficiency, Ecol. Appl., 14 (Supplement), S72–S88, doi:10.1890/01-6012, 2004.

Discussion Paper **BGD** 10, 13353-13398, 2013 Modelling seasonal water table effects on tropical peatland CO<sub>2</sub> exchange M. Mezbahuddin et al. Paper **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Discussion Conclusions References **Figures** Tables Paper Back Close **Discussion** Pape Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



Couwenberg, J., Dommain, R., and Joosten, H.: Greenhouse gas fluxes from tropical peatlands in south-east Asia, Glob. Change Biol., 16, 1715–1732, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02016.x, 2009.

Dimitrov, D. D., Grant, R. F., Lafleur, P. M., and Humphreys, E. R.: Modelling subsurface hydrology of Mer Bleue bog, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 74, 680–694, doi:10.2136/sssaj2009.0148, 2010.

Dimitrov, D. D., Grant, R. F., Lafleur, P. M., and Humphreys, E. R.: Modeling the effects of hydrology on gross primary productivity and net ecosystem productivity at Mer Bleue bog, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeosci., 116, G04010, doi:10.1029/2010JG001586, 2011.

Dommain, R., Couwenberg, J., and Joosten, H.: Development and carbon sequestration of tropical peat domes in south-east Asia: links to post-glacial sea-level changes and Holocene climate variability, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 30, 999–1010, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.01.018, 2011.

Flanagan, L. B. and Syed, K. H.: Stimulation of both photosynthesis and respiration in response

- to warmer and drier conditions in a boreal peatland ecosystem, Glob. Change Biol., 17, 2271–2287, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02378.x, 2011.
  - Frolking, S., Roulet, N. T., Moore, T. R., Lafleur, P. M., Bubier, J. L., and Crill, P. M.: Modelling the seasonal to annual carbon balance of Mer Bleue bog, Ontario, Canada, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 16, 4-1–4-21, doi:10.1029/2001GB001457, 2002.
- Grant, R. F.: A review of the Canadian ecosystem model *ecosys*, in: Modeling Carbon and Nitrogen Dynamics for Soil Management, edited by: Shaffer, M., CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla., 173–264, doi:10.1201/9781420032635.ch6, 2001.
  - Grant, R. F., Desai, A. R., and Sulman, B. N.: Modelling contrasting responses of wetland productivity to changes in water table depth, Biogeosciences, 9, 4215–4231, doi:10.5194/bg-9-4215-2012, 2012a.

25

Grant, R. F., Baldocchi, D. D., and Ma, S.: Ecological controls on net ecosystem productivity of a Mediterranean grassland under current and future climates, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 152, 189–200, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.09.012, 2012b.

Grant, R. F., Hutyra, L. R., de Oliveira, R. C., Munger, J. W., Saleska, S. R., and Wofsy, S. C.:

<sup>30</sup> Modeling the carbon balance of Amazonian rain forests: resolving ecological controls on net ecosystem productivity, Ecol. Monogr., 79, 445–463, doi:10.1890/08-0074.1, 2009.





Hergoualc'h, K. and Verchot, L. V.: Stocks and fluxes of carbon associated with land use change in Southeast Asian tropical peatlands: A review, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 25, GB2001, doi:10.1029/2009GB003718, 2011.

Hirano, T., Segah, H., Harada, T., Limin, S., June, T., Hirata, R., and Osaki, M.: Carbon dioxide

balance of a tropical peat swamp forest in Kalimantan, Indonesia, Glob. Change Biol., 13, 412–425, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01301.x, 2007.

Hirano, T., Jauhiainen, J., Inoue, T., and Takahashi, H.: Controls on the carbon balance of tropical peatlands, Ecosystems, 12, 873–887, doi:10.1007/s10021-008-9209-1, 2009.

Hirano, T., Segah, H., Kusin, K., Limin, S., Takahashi, H., and Osaki, M.: Effects of disturbances

- on the carbon balance of tropical peat swamp forests, Glob. Change Biol., 18, 3410–3422, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02793.x, 2012.
  - Hooijer, A., Page, S., Canadell, J. G., Silvius, M., Kwadijk, J., Wösten, H., and Jauhiainen, J.: Current and future CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from drained peatlands in Southeast Asia, Biogeosciences, 7, 1505–1514, doi:10.5194/bg-7-1505-2010, 2010.
- Jauhiainen, J., Limin, S., Silvennoinen, H., and Vasander, H.: Carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in drained tropical peat before and after hydrological restoration, Ecology, 89, 3503– 3514, doi:10.1890/07-2038.1, 2008.
  - Jauhiainen, J., Silvennoinen, H., Hämäläinen, R., Kusin, K., Limin, S., Raison, R. J., and Vasander, H.: Nitrous oxide fluxes from tropical peat with different disturbance history and management, Biogeosciences, 9, 1337–1350, doi:10.5194/bg-9-1337-2012, 2012a.
- <sup>20</sup> management, Biogeosciences, 9, 1337–1350, doi:10.5194/bg-9-1337-2012, 2012a. Jauhiainen, J., Hooijer, A., and Page, S. E.: Carbon dioxide emissions from an *Acacia* plantation on peatland in Sumatra, Indonesia, Biogeosciences, 9, 617–630, doi:10.5194/bg-9-617-2012, 2012b.

Kurbatova, J., Li, C., Tatarinov, F., Varlagin, A., Shalukhina, N., and Olchev, A.: Modeling of

- the carbon dioxide fluxes in European Russia peat bogs, Environ. Res. Lett., 4, 045022, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/4/4/045022, 2009.
  - Lafleur, P. M., Moore, T. R., Roulet, N. T., and Frolking, S.: Ecosystem respiration in a cool temperate bog depends on peat temperature but not on water table, Ecosystems, 8, 619–629, doi:10.1007/s10021-003-0131-2, 2005.
- <sup>30</sup> Li, W., Dickinson, R. E., Fu, R., Niu, G.-Y., Yang, Z.-L., and Canadell, J. G.: Future precipitation changes and their implications for tropical peatlands, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L01403, doi:10.1029/2006GL028364, 2007.





- Limpens, J., Berendse, F., Blodau, C., Canadell, J. G., Freeman, C., Holden, J., Roulet, N., Rydin, H., and Schaepman-Strub, G.: Peatlands and the carbon cycle: from local processes to global implications a synthesis, Biogeosciences, 5, 1475–1491, doi:10.5194/bg-5-1475-2008, 2008.
- Lizama, H. M. and Suzuki, I.: Kinetics of sulfur and pyrite oxidation by *Thiobacillus thiooxidans*. Competitive inhibition by increasing concentrations of cells, Can. J. Microbiol., 37, 182–187, doi:10.1139/m91-028, 1990.

Melling, L., Hatano, R., and Goh, K. J.: Soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux from three ecosystems in tropical peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia, Tellus B, 57, 1–11, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2005.00129.x, 2005.

- <sup>10</sup> Melling, L., Goh, K. J., Beauvais, C., and Hatano, R.: Carbon flow and budget in a young mature oil palm agroecosystem on deep tropical peat, Planter, 84, 21–25, 2008.
  - Mezbahuddin, M., Grant, R. F., and Hirano, T.: Modelling seasonal changes in water table depth and surface energy exchange in a tropical peatland, submitted, 2013.

Milner, L. E.: Does soil fertility influence the vegetation diversity of a tropical peat swamp forest

- in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia?, Undergraduate dissertation, Department of Geography, University of Leicester, 97 pp., 2009.
  - Moore, S., Evans, C. D., Page, S. E., Garnett, M. H., Jones, T. G., Freeman, C., Hooijer, A., Wiltshire, A. J., Limin, S. H., and Gauci, V.: Deep instability of deforested tropical peatlands revealed by fluvial organic carbon fluxes, Nature, 493, 660–663, doi:10.1038/nature11818, 2013.

20

Murdiyarso, D., Hergoualc'h, K., and Verchot, L. V.: Opportunities for reducing greenhouse gas emissions in tropical peatlands, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 19655–19660, doi:10.1073/pnas.0911966107, 2010.

Murphy, M. T. and Moore, T. R.: Linking root production to aboveground plant characteristics

- <sup>25</sup> and water table in a temperate bog, Plant Soil, 336, 219–231, doi:10.1007/s11104-010-0468-1, 2010.
  - Page, S. E., Rieley, J. O., Shotyk, Ø. W., and Weiss, D.: Interdependence of peat and vegetation in a tropical peat swamp forest, Philos. T. R. Soc. Lon. B, 354, 1885–1897, doi:10.1098/rstb.1999.0529, 1999.
- Page, S. E., Wűst, R. A. J., Weiss, D., Rieley, J. O., Shotyk, W., and Limin, S. H.: A record of Late Pleistocene and Holocene carbon accumulation and climate change from an equatorial peat bog (Kalimantan, Indonesia): implications for past, present and future carbon dynamics, J. Quaternary Sci., 19, 625–635, doi:10.1002/jgs.884, 2004.



- Page, S., Hosciło, A., Wösten, H., Jauhiainen, J., Silvius, M., Rieley, J., Ritzema, H., Tansey, K., Graham, L., Vasander, H., and Limin, S.: Restoration ecology of lowland tropical peatlands in Southeast Asia: Current knowledge and future research directions, Ecosystems, 12, 888– 905, doi:10.1007/s10021-008-9216-2, 2009.
- <sup>5</sup> Richardson, A. D., Hollinger, D. Y., Burba, G. G., Davis, K. J., Flanagan, L. B., Katul, G. G., Munger, J. W., Ricciuto, D. M., Stoy, P. C., Suyker, A. E., Verma, S. B., and Wofsy, S. C.: A multi-site analysis of random error in tower-based measurements of carbon and energy fluxes, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 136, 1–18, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.01.007, 2006.
- Sayok, A. K., Nik, A. R., Melling, L., Samad, R. A., and Efransjah, E.: Some characteristics of peat in Loagan Bunut National Park, Sarawak, Malaysia, in: Carbon-Climate-Human Interactions on Tropical Peatland: Carbon Pools, Fire, Mitigation, Restoration and Wise Use, edited by: Rieley, J. O., Banks, C. J., and Ragjagukguk, B., Proceedings of the International Symposium and Workshop on Tropical Peatland, Yogyakarta, 27–29August 2007, 95–100, 2007.
- St-Hilaire, F., Wu, J., Roulet, N. T., Frolking, S., Lafleur, P. M., Humphreys, E. R., and Arora, V.: McGill wetland model: evaluation of a peatland carbon simulator developed for global assessments, Biogeosciences, 7, 3517–3530, doi:10.5194/bg-7-3517-2010, 2010.

Strack, M. and Waddington, J. M.: Response of peatland carbon dioxide and methane fluxes to a water table drawdown experiment, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21, GB1007, doi:10.1029/2006GB002715, 2007.

20

Sulman, B. N., Desai, A. R., Cook, B. D., Saliendra, N., and Mackay, D. S.: Contrasting carbon dioxide fluxes between a drying shrub wetland in Northern Wisconsin, USA, and nearby forests, Biogeosciences, 6, 1115–1126, doi:10.5194/bg-6-1115-2009, 2009.

Sulman, B. N., Desai, A. R., Saliendra, N. Z., Lafleur, P. M., Flanagan, L. B., Sonnentag, O.,

- Mackay, D. S., Barr, A. G., and van der Kamp, G.: CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes at northern fens and bogs have opposite responses to inter-annual fluctuations in water table, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L19702, doi:10.1029/2010GL044018, 2010.
  - Sulman, B. N., Desai, A. R., Schroeder, N. M., Ricciuto, D., Barr, A., Richardson, A. D., Flanagan, L. B., Lafleur, P. M., Tian, H., Chen, G., Grant, R. F., Poulter, B., Verbeeck, H., Ciais, P.,
- <sup>30</sup> Ringeval, B., Baker, I. T., Schaefer, K., Luo, Y., and Weng, E.: Impact of hydrological variations on modeling of peatland CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes: results from the North American Carbon Program site synthesis, J. Geophys. Res., 117, G01031, doi:10.1029/2011JG001862, 2012.





- Sundari, S., Hirano, T., Yamada, H., Kusin, K., and Limin, S.: Effect of groundwater level on soil respiration in tropical peat swamp forests, J. Agr. Meteorol., 68, 121–134, 2011.
- Takahashi, H., Usup, A., Hayasaka, H., Kamiya, M., and Limin, S. H.: The importance of ground water level and soil moisture of subsurface layer on peat/forest fire in a tropical peat swamp
- <sup>5</sup> forest, in: Wise Use of Peatlands, vol. 1, edited by: Päivänen, J., Proceedings of the 12th International Peat Congress, Tampere, Finland, 6–11 June 2004, International Peat Society, Jyväskylä, Finland, 760, 2004.
  - van Huissteden, J., van den Bos, R. M., and Alvarez, I. M.: Modeling the effect of water-table management on CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from peat soils, Neth. J. Geosci., 85, 3–18, 2006.
- <sup>10</sup> Visser, E. J. W., Colmer, T. D., Blom, C. W. P. M., and Voesenek, L. A. C. J.: Changes in growth, porosity, and radial oxygen loss from adventitious roots of selected mono- and dicotyledonous wetland species with contrasting types of aerenchyma, Plant Cell Environ., 23, 1237–1245, doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040.2000.00628.x, 2000.

Wesely, M. L. and Hart, R. L.: Variability of short term eddy-correlation estimates of mass

- exchange, in: The Forest-Atmosphere Interaction, edited by: Hutchinson, B. A., Hicks, B. B., and Reidel, D., Dordrecht, 591–612, 1985.
  - Wösten, J. H. M., Clymans, E., Page, S. E., Rieley, J. O., and Limin, S. H.: Peat-water interrelationships in a tropical peatland ecosystem in Southeast Asia, Catena, 73, 212–224, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2007.07.010, 2008.



**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion Paper** 

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper



1.000.85491.201.0514 0003.711.401.251.601.451.601.451.654.003.85\*  $D_{humm}$  = depth from hummock surface,  $D_{holl}$  = depth from hollow surface, TOC = Total organic C (maximum limit of input for TOC concentration in *ecosys* is used from an average of TOC values for a 4 m deep tropical peat column measured by Page et al., 2004), TON = Total organic nitrogen and TP = total phosphorus (Values obtained from Page et al., 1999), pH and CEC = Cation exchange capacity (Values obtained from Sayok et al., 2007).

**Discussion** Paper **BGD** 10, 13353-13398, 2013 **Modelling seasonal** water table effects on tropical peatland CO<sub>2</sub> **Discussion** Paper exchange M. Mezbahuddin et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction **Discussion** Paper Conclusions References Tables **Figures** 14 Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



D <sub>humm</sub> (m)	D <sub>holl</sub> (m)	TOC (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	TON (g Mg <sup>-1</sup> )	TP (gMg <sup>-1</sup> )	рН	CEC (cmol+ kg <sup>-1</sup>
0.01 0.05 0.15			18 000	256 238 192	3.78	
0.16 0.20 0.25	0.01 0.05 0.10			143		
0.40 0.60 0.80	0.25 0.45 0.65	500		115		37.5
1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 4.00	0.85 1.05 1.25 1.45 1.65 3.85		14 000	49	3.71	

Table 1. Key soil properties as ecosys inputs, Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia\*.

 $R^2$ Year Precipitation RMSD RMSE n а b  $(mm yr^{-1})$ Modelled vs. EC measured CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes recorded at  $u^* > 0.17 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ 3007 2002 1852 0.82 1.03 0.77 5.7 5.5 2003 2291 2595 0.11 1.05 0.83 4.9 5.9 2004 2560 3299 0.61 1.01 0.83 4.9 5.8 2005 2620 1.01 0.81 5.2 5.6 3164 1.09 Modelled vs. gap-filled CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes

0.23

-0.51

-0.76

-0.35

1.19

1.14

1.08

1.10

0.93

0.92

0.92

0.93

2.5

2.8

2.6

2.3

5753

6165

5485

5494

2002

2003

2004

2005

1852

2291

2560

2620

**Table 2.** Modelled vs. measured net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia<sup>\*</sup>.

<sup>\*</sup> (*a*, *b*) from simple linear regressions of modelled on measured.  $R^2$  = coefficient of determination and RMSD = root mean square for differences from simple linear regressions of measured on simulated. All measured values were recorded at  $u^* > 0.17 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . RMSE = root mean square for random errors in EC measurements calculated by inputting EC fluxes recorded at  $u^* > 0.17 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  into algorithms for estimation of random errors due to EC measurements developed for forests by Richardson et al. (2006).

**BGD** 10, 13353-13398, 2013 **Modelling seasonal** water table effects on tropical peatland CO<sub>2</sub> exchange M. Mezbahuddin et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables **Figures** 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion Paper** 

**Discussion** Paper



**Table 3.** Periodical changes in simulated (sim) and observed (obs) net ecosystem productivity (NEP) with variations in water table depth (WTD), Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia<sup>\*</sup>.

Hydroperiod		Units	Year							
			2002		2003		2004		2005	
			sim	obs	sim	obs	sim	obs	sim	obs
SWT				26.3		27.1		25.3		26.0
IWT	Ta	°C		27.8		26.6		25.4		26.6
DWT	-			26.9		26.7		26.9		26.6
SWT				270		147		466		606
IWT	Ρ	mm 30 d <sup>-1</sup>		49		57		105		100
DWT				11		108		73		105
SWT			0.10		0.38	0.27	0.22	0.28	0.06	0.14
IWT	WTD	m	0.50		0.69	0.5	0.58	0.53	0.36	0.46
DWT			1.48	1.26	1.44	1.25	1.44	1.49	1.00	1.04
SWT		_	-65	-69	-49	-35	-54	-16	-71	-30
IWT	NEP	gCm <sup>-2</sup> 30d <sup>-1</sup>	-6	-7	-1	8	-23	-6	-18	-19
DWT			-103	-138	-108	-79	-105	-94	-29	-41

\* SWT = shallow WTD (DOY 1–30, 41–70, 11–40 and 41–70 during 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 respectively), IWT = intermediate WTD (DOY 121–150, 146–175, 181–210 and 121–150 during 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 respectively) and DWT = deep WTD (DOY 251–280, 251–280, 291–320 and 241–270 during 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 respectively) hydroperiods with comparable weather condition differing mainly by WTDs (Figs. 1–4);  $T_a$  = mean air temperature for each hydroperiod of 30 days; P = total precipitation per hydroperiod; WTD = mean water table depth in each hydroperiod; NEP = net ecosystem productivity in each hydroperiod, observed NEPs are calculated from hourly EC-gap filled net ecosystem  $CO_2$ fluxes mentioned by Hirano et al. (2007).





		Year								
	Units	2002		2003		2004		2005		Values from
		sim	obs	sim	obs	sim	obs	sim	obs	other studies
Precipitation	mm yr <sup>-1</sup>	1852		2291		2560		2620		
Avg. WTD	m	0.77		0.73	0.56	0.59	0.52	0.45	0.52	
GPP		4201	3254	4164	3466	4109	3631	4040		
R <sub>a</sub>		2909		2823		2760		2778		
NPP		1292		1341		1349		1262		900 <sup>b</sup>
										1200 <sup>°</sup>
R <sub>h</sub>	gCm <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	1901		1918		1777		1635		2182 <sup>d</sup>
R <sub>e</sub>		4810	3848	4741	3844	4537	3907	4413		
NEP		-609	-594	-577	-378	-428	-276	-373		
CH <sub>4</sub>		21		12		13		19		0.2–15.5 <sup>e</sup>

**Table 4.** Simulated (sim) and observed (obs) annual C balance, Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia<sup>a</sup>.

<sup>a</sup> WTD = water table depth, simulated and observed WTDs are averages of data used in Figs. 1c, 2c, 3c and 4c for 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 respectively, observed mean WTD for 2002 was not calculated due to the absence of any field observations for the first six months (Fig. 1c); GPP = gross primary productivity, observed GPP for each year was partitioned from EC-gap filled net ecosystem productivity estimates as found in Hirano et al. (2007);  $R_a$  = autotrophic respiration; NPP = net primary productivity (NPP = GPP- $R_a$ );  $R_h$  = heterotrophic respiration;  $R_e$  = ecosystem respiration, observed  $R_e$  for each year was partitioned from EC-gap filled net ecosystem productivity estimates as found in Hirano et al. (2007); NEP = net ecosystem productivity (NEP = NPP –  $R_h$ ), observed NEP for each year was calculated from hourly EC-gap filled CO<sub>2</sub> flux data mentioned by Hirano et al. (2007); and CH<sub>4</sub> = methane emissions.

<sup>b</sup> for Amazonian rainforest (Chambers et al., 2004).

<sup>c</sup> for oil palm plantations in tropical peatlands of Malaysia (Melling et al., 2008).

<sup>d</sup> for a mature Acacia plantation in a drained Indonesian peatland with an average WTD of 0.8 m (Jauhiainen et al., 2012b).

<sup>e</sup> average range for tropical southeast Asian peatlands (Hergoualc'h and Verchot, 2011).





	Units		Year								
		2002		2003		2004		2005		Mean	
		dr	undr	dr	undr	dr	undr	dr	undr	dr	undr
WTD	m	0.77	0.22	0.73	0.17	0.59	0.11	0.45	-0.10	0.59	0.10
GPP <i>R</i> a		4201 2909	3578 2412	4164 2823	3780 2455	4109 2760	3695 2431	4040 2778	3665 2396	4123 2818	3680 2423
NPP R <sub>h</sub> R <sub>e</sub> NEP CH	$gCm^{-2}yr^{-1}$	1292 1901 4810 <b>-609</b> 21	1166 1595 4007 <b>-429</b> 35	1341 1918 4741 <b>-577</b> 12	1325 1636 4091 <b>-311</b> 23	1349 1777 4537 <b>-428</b> 13	1264 1382 3813 <b>–118</b> 30	1262 1635 4413 <b>-373</b> 19	1269 1320 3716 <b>-51</b> 44	1311 1808 4625 <b>-497</b> 16	1256 1483 3907 <b>-227</b> 33
4											

**Table 5.** Sensitivity of modelled annual C balance to drainage, Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia<sup>\*</sup>.

\* dr = rained condition with external water table depth (WTD<sub>x</sub>) in the model set at 0.45 m below the hollow surface; undr = undrained condition with WTD<sub>x</sub> set at 0.15 m above the hollow surface (i.e. level with the hummock surface); WTD = water table depth, values are the annual means of simulated WTD data in Fig. 8, positive values represent WTDs below hollow surface and the negative value represents WTD above the hollow surface; GPP = gross primary productivity;  $R_a$  = autotrophic respiration; NPP = net primary productivity (NPP = GPP -  $R_a$ );  $R_h$  = heterotrophic respiration;  $R_e$  = ecosystem respiration; NEP = net ecosystem productivity (NEP = NPP -  $R_h$ ); and CH<sub>a</sub> = methane emissions.



**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper





**Fig. 1. (a)** Three day moving averages of simulated (line) and EC-gap filled estimates (symbols) of net ecosystem productivity (NEP) (negative NEP means the ecosystem is a source and positive NEP means the ecosystem is a sink of C. Closed squares indicate sums of 24 values more than 1/2 of which were recorded at  $u^* > 0.17 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , open squares indicate sums of 24 values all of which were gap-filled); (b) hourly measured precipitation (bars on left y-axis) and three day moving averages of simulated (line on right y-axis) and measured (symbols on right y-axis) net radiation ( $R_n$ ); and (c) monthly measured (triangles) (values digitally obtained from Hirano et al., 2012) and daily modelled (line) water table depths (WTD) from hollow surface during 2002 over a tropical peatland at Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia. Negative values of WTD mean depths below the ground.







**Fig. 2. (a)** Three day moving averages of simulated (line) and EC-gap filled estimates (symbols) of net ecosystem productivity (NEP) (negative NEP means the ecosystem is a source and positive NEP means the ecosystem is a sink of C. Closed squares indicate sums of 24 values more than 1/2 of which were recorded at  $u^* > 0.17 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , open squares indicate sums of 24 values all of which were gap-filled); (b) hourly measured precipitation (bars on left y-axis) and three day moving averages of simulated (line on right y-axis) and measured (symbols on right y-axis) net radiation ( $R_n$ ); and (c) monthly measured (triangles) (values digitally obtained from Hirano et al., 2012) and daily modelled (line) water table depths (WTD) from hollow surface during 2003 over a tropical peatland at Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia. Negative values of WTD mean depths below the ground.







**Fig. 3. (a)** Three day moving averages of simulated (line) and EC-gap filled estimates (symbols) of net ecosystem productivity (NEP) (negative NEP means the ecosystem is a source and positive NEP means the ecosystem is a sink of C. Closed squares indicate sums of 24 values more than 1/2 of which were recorded at  $u^* > 0.17 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , open squares indicate sums of 24 values all of which were gap-filled); (b) hourly measured precipitation (bars on left y-axis) and three day moving averages of simulated (line on right y-axis) and measured (symbols on right y-axis) net radiation ( $R_n$ ); and (c) monthly measured (triangles) (values digitally obtained from Hirano et al., 2012), daily measured (squares) and daily modelled (line) water table depths (WTD) from hollow surface during 2004 over a tropical peatland at Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia. Negative values of WTD mean depths below the ground.







**Fig. 4. (a)** Three day moving averages of simulated (line) and EC-gap filled estimates (symbols) of net ecosystem productivity (NEP) (negative NEP means the ecosystem is a source and positive NEP means the ecosystem is a sink of C. Closed squares indicate sums of 24 values more than 1/2 of which were recorded at  $u^* > 0.17 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$  and open squares indicate sums of 24 values more than 1/2 of which were gap-filled); (b) hourly measured precipitation (bars on left y-axis) and three day moving averages of simulated (line on right y-axis) and measured (symbols on right y-axis) net radiation ( $R_n$ ); and (c) daily measured (triangles were values digitally obtained from Sundari et al. (2012) and squares were measured in situ) and modelled (line) water table depths (WTD) from hollow surface during 2005 over a tropical peatland at Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia. Negative values of WTD mean depths below the ground.







**Fig. 5.** Hourly binned simulated (lines) and EC measured (symbols) ecosystem net CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes during shallow water table depth (WTD) hydroperiods (DOY 1–30, 41–70, 11–40 and 41–70 during 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 respectively), intermediate WTD hydroperiods (DOY 121–150, 146–175, 181–210 and 121–150 during 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 respectively) and deep WTD hydroperiods (DOY 251–280, 251–280, 291–320 and 241–270 during 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 respectively) with comparable weather condition differing mainly by WTDs (Figs. 1–4) (Table 3) over a tropical peatland at Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia. Positive values represent influxes and the negatives represent effluxes. All EC values were recorded at  $u^* > 0.17 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ .







**Fig. 6.** Relationships between monthly simulated and EC-derived (calculated from monthly averaged daily values digitally obtained from Hirano et al., 2007) net ecosystem productivity (NEP), gross primary productivity (GPP) and ecosystem respiration ( $R_e$ ), and monthly averaged simulated and observed water table depths (WTD) from hollow surface (from Figs. 1c, 2c and 3c for 2002, 2003 and 2004 respectively) during 2002–2004 over a tropical peatland at Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia. Significant (P < 0.0001) quadratic curves were fit. Negative NEP means the ecosystem is a source and positive NEP means the ecosystem is a sink of C.







**Fig. 7.** Vertical profile distributions of peat soil aqueous oxygen concentrations simulated under hummock surface during shallow water table depth (WTD) hydroperiods (DOY 15, 55, 25 and 55 of 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 respectively), intermediate WTD hydroperiods (DOY 135, 160, 195 and 135 of 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 respectively), and deep WTD hydroperiods (DOY 265, 265, 305 and 255 of 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 respectively) over a tropical peatland at Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia.  $K_m =$  Michaelis–Menten constant (0.064 gm<sup>-3</sup>) for microbial, root and mycorrhizal uptake [A17a, C14c] in *ecosys*.







**Fig. 8.** Simulated (lines) daily drained (external water table depth  $(WTD_x) = 0.45$  m below the hollow surface) vs. undrained  $(WTD_x = 0.15$  m above the hollow surface) water table depths (WTD) during 2002–2005 over a tropical peatland at Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia. Observed WTDs (circles) at a nearby similar undrained peat swamp forest were obtained from Hirano et al. (2009) for 2002–2003 and Sundari et al. (2012) for 2004-2005. Simulated and observed drained WTDs are the same as those in Figs. 1c, 2c, 3c and 4c for 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 respectively. Negative values of WTD mean depths below the hollow surface and positive values mean depths above the hollow surface.







**Fig. 9.** Drained (external water table depth  $(WTD_x) = 0.45$  m below the hollow surface) vs. undrained  $(WTD_x = 0.15$  m above the hollow surface) five-day moving averages of simulated daily net ecosystem productivity (NEP) during 2002–2005 over Palangkaraya Peat Swamp Forest, Indonesia. Negative NEPs mean the ecosystem is a source of C and positive NEPs mean the ecosystem is a C sink. Drained values are the same as those in Figs. 1 to 4.



