Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 15033–15076, 2013 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/15033/2013/ doi:10.5194/bgd-10-15033-2013 © Author(s) 2013. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

Strong sensitivity of Southern Ocean carbon uptake and nutrient cycling to wind stirring

K. B. Rodgers¹, O. Aumont², S. E. Mikaloff Fletcher³, Y. Plancherel⁴, L. Bopp⁵, C. de Boyer Montégut⁶, D. ludicone⁷, R. F. Keeling⁸, G. Madec^{9,10}, and R. Wanninkhof¹¹

¹Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences Program, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA ²Laboratoire de Physique des Oceans, Centre IRD de Bretagne, Plouzané, France ³National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, Wellington, NZ

⁴Department of Earth Sciences and Oxford Martin School, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK ⁵LSCE/IPSL (CNRS/CEA/UVQ), Gif-sur-Yvette, France

⁶Laboratoire de Physique des Oceans, CNRS Ifremeer IRD UBO, IFREMER Centre de Brest, Plouzané, France

⁷Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Naples, Italy

⁸Geosciences Research Division, Scripps Institute of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

⁹Laboratoire d'Oceanographie et du Climat: Experimentations et Approches Numeriques (LOCEAN/IPSL, CNRS/IRD/UPMC/MNHN), Paris, France



¹⁰National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, UK

¹¹Ocean Chemistry Division, Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteoroolgical Laboratory, NOAA, Miami, FL, USA

Received: 28 July 2013 - Accepted: 29 August 2013 - Published: 13 September 2013

Correspondence to: K. B. Rodgers (krodgers@princeton.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Dienneeinn Da	BC 10, 15033–1	BGD 10, 15033–15076, 2013	
ner I Discussio	Strong sensitivity of Southern Ocean carbon uptake K. B. Rodgers et al.		
	Title Page		
aner	Abstract	Introduction	
-	Conclusions	References	
	Tables	Figures	
	14		
laug	•		
_	Back	Close	
	Full Screen / Esc		
	Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version	
	Interactive Discussion		
Der	•		

Abstract

Here we test the hypothesis that winds have an important role in determining the rate of exchange of CO_2 between the atmosphere and ocean through wind stirring over the Southern Ocean. This is tested with a sensitivity study using an ad hoc parameterization of wind stirring in an ocean carbon cycle model. The objective is to identify the way

tion of wind stirring in an ocean carbon cycle model. The objective is to identify the way in which perturbations to the vertical density structure of the planetary boundary in the ocean impacts the carbon cycle and ocean biogeochemistry.

Wind stirring leads to reduced uptake of CO₂ by the Southern Ocean over the period 2000–2006, with differences of order 0.9 Pg C yr⁻¹ over the region south of 45° S. Wind stirring impacts not only the mean carbon uptake, but also the phasing of the seasonal cycle of carbon and other species associated with ocean biogeochemistry. Enhanced wind stirring delays the seasonal onset of stratification, and this has large impacts on both entrainment and the biological pump. It is also found that there is a strong sensitivity of nutrient concentrations exported in Subantarctic Mode Water (SAMW) to

¹⁵ wind stirring. This finds expression not only locally over the Southern Ocean, but also over larger scales through the impact on advected nutrients. In summary, the large sensitivity identified with the ad hoc wind stirring parameterization offers support for the importance of wind stirring for global ocean biogeochemistry, through its impact over the Southern Ocean.

20 1 Introduction

25

Ocean interior properties over much of the global domain are largely set by late-winter mixed layer characteristics, as these are the characteristics passed to the interior during re-stratification in early spring (Stommel, 1979). For this reason, ocean modelers have long been interested in improving ocean mixing parameterizations that ameliorate the representation of winter mixed layer depths, and thereby ventilation in their models. In light of the advent of sophisticated prognostic biogeochemical modeling, there is a



growing realization that a skillful representation of summer mixed layer depths is also important to correctly simulate the effect of light limitation and the role this plays in biological productivity. To date, the biogeochemical consequences of uncertainties related to summer mixing processes has not been thoroughly explored. Our main focus is to conduct such an analysis for the case of carbon over the Southern Ocean, but also

to give more general consideration to other tracers related to ocean biogeochemistry. This is considered within the context of the full seasonal cycle over the Southern Ocean and the implications for trends in carbon uptake are explored.

5

15

There is widespread interest in understanding the way in which surface atmospheric winds contribute to the partitioning of CO₂ between the oceanic and atmospheric reservoirs. Surface winds may impact the ocean carbon cycle in three ways:

- 1. Wind-driven micro-turbulence at the air-sea interface which controls the gas exchange velocity;
- 2. wind-driven meridional overturning and water mass transformations, as impacted
- by large-scale interactions with the atmosphere, including the net transfer of momentum and buoyancy to the ocean over large scales;
- 3. wind-driven stirring and the transfer of energy over the planetary boundary layer of the ocean (within the mixed layer and below) through shear-induced turbulence.

The issue of air-sea gas exchange has been and continues to be the focus of extensive research, including the development of gas exchange parameterizations for use with models (Wanninkhof et al., 1992; see Wanninkhof et al., 2009 for a more extensive overview). The magnitude of the gas exchange velocity exerts a major control on seasonal variations in air-sea CO₂ fluxes but has less influence on the long-term uptake of CO₂, which is mainly controlled by circulation and mixing within the ocean (Bolin and Erikeson, 1958; Sarmiento et al., 1992). Models show instead much greater constitui

Eriksson, 1958; Sarmiento et al., 1992). Models show instead much greater sensitivities to changes in the physical transport and mixing parameterizations, particularly in the Southern Ocean (Mignone et al., 2006). A number of studies (Wyrtki, 1961; Toggweiler and Samuels, 1993; Gnanadesikan, 1999) have established a central role for



winds over the Southern Ocean in determining the overturning circulation of the global ocean and therefore ocean carbon cycling. More recent work with eddy-permitting models (Hallberg and Gnanadesikan, 2006) and observationally-based analyses (Böning et al., 2008) has also drawn attention to the importance of *geostrophic turbulence*

⁵ (ocean eddies) in modulating the response of the overturning circulation to perturbations in surface winds. The implications of geostrophic turbulence for the Southern Ocean carbon cycle are an active area of research (Ito et al., 2010).

The issue of *shear-induced turbulence* and wind stirring has received far less attention to date and it is the focus of this study. The mechanical input of energy from

- the winds into the upper ocean and the subsequent dissipation through shear-induced mixing may play a role in determining summer mixed layer depths. This is likely to be of particular importance over the Southern Ocean, where 60–70 m mixed layer depths are sustained through summer under the influence of the maximum wester-lies between 50–60° S, with no consensus yet on the mechanisms that sustain this phenomenon. The two main mechanisms for wind stirring over this region may be are sustained.
- phenomenon. The two main mechanisms for wind stirring over this region may be expected to be upper-ocean near-inertial oscillations (Jochum et al., 2013) and ocean swells and waves (Qiao et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2010, 2012). The relative importance of these mechanisms remains unknown.

The current generation of coupled and ocean-only models tends to exhibit shallow summer mixed layer biases over the Southern Ocean (Huang et al., 2012) and excessive stratification at the base of the mixed layer. It has been shown that Southern Ocean winds are typically too weak and too far equatorward in coupled models (Fyfe and Saenko, 2006), which may explain some of the mixed layer bias in these models. Nonetheless, Southern Ocean summer mixed layers are also too shallow in ocean-only

²⁵ models forced with observed atmospheric winds and buoyancy fluxes, indicating that important physical processes responsible for upper-ocean mixing are missing from the current model formulations.

The degree to which the shallow summer mixed layer bias affects the ability of models to correctly simulate uptake and storage patterns of carbon and heat is not clear



but different arguments suggest it is not negligible. First, the depth of the actively mixed layer in the upper ocean defines the total light available to phytoplankton (de Baar et al., 2005) and thus affects the models' ability to correctly simulate the biological response to iron supply in the Southern Ocean, inducing an error in simulations of the biolog-

ical pump. More generally, the mis-representation of seasonal mixed layer dynamics affects the overall biogeochemical landscape in the Southern Ocean (Marinov et al., 2006). Since the Southern Ocean exports nutrients that fuel a large part of low latitude productivity (Sarmiento et al., 2004), these regional errors that affect the processes determining the distribution and seasonal cycling of nutrients in the Southern Ocean
 can have long-range effects.

Furthermore, inasmuch as Southern Ocean storminess is expected to increase in response to increasing anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions by $\sim 20\%$ by the end of the 21st century (Wu et al., 2010, and references therein), an accurate process representation of the effect of winds on ocean stratification is necessary in order to simulate or capture the consequences of changes in the intensity or frequency of storms on the 15 physical environment, on local and global biogeochemistry and on the overall ability of the Southern Ocean to maintain its role as a region of intense heat and carbon uptake. Here, an ad hoc wind stirring parameterization that allows energy from the winds to be input into the region below the base of the mixed layer was added in a global ocean biogeochemistry model in order to correct for the summer mixed layer bias in 20 the model. By contrasting two model runs, one with the ad hoc parameterization and a control run without it, we evaluate the sensitivity of oceanic CO₂ uptake and ocean biogeochemistry to the imposed change in wind stirring. We show that accounting for the additional effect of wind stirring drastically reduces contemporary carbon uptake in

the Southern Ocean. The reasons for this effect and consequences on the geographical distribution of carbon and oxygen fluxes, mixed layer depth, stratification, chlorophyll and nutrients are investigated further, highlighting the importance that wind stirring has on the seasonal cycle of these properties. Finally, given current forecasts about intensity and frequency of storms (Wu et al., 2010), we treat our two simulations with



and without wind stirring as representative of two hypothetical climate states, one with low and one with high storminess. Furthermore, we ask if increased storminess, as simulated by the added ad hoc parameterization, has an effect on atmospheric CO_2 levels by impacting the oceanic carbon sink. Because terrestrial processes contribute

to atmospheric CO₂ variability, we rely here on the concept of atmospheric potential oxygen (APO) (Keeling and Schertz, 1992; Stephens et al., 1998), a tracer that is conserved with respect to the terrestrial biosphere and primarily reflects ocean fluxes. We show that a change in wind stirring manifests itself as a shift in the phasing of the seasonal APO cycle in the sub-polar Southern hemisphere. If large enough, this shift
 should be detectable from the existing network of atmospheric monitoring stations.

2 Methods

2.1 General model description and experimental design

The experiments are performed with the NEMO-PISCES model (Madec et al., 1998; Aumont and Bopp, 2006) in the global ORCA2 configuration of NEMO Version 3.2.
¹⁵ Horizontal resolution is 2°, increasing to approximately 1° over the Southern Ocean. Mesoscale eddies are parameterized with the scheme of Gent and McWilliams (1990). Vertical mixing in NEMO is achieved using the TKE parameterization of Blanke and Delecluse (1993), and the TKE parameterization includes a Langmuir Cell parameterization (Axell, 2002).

²⁰ The representation of light limitation in the evolution of the PISCES model used here has been modified relative to the version of PISCES described in Aumont and Bopp (2006). The influence of light limitation on the growth rate of nanophytoplankton (μ^{P}) is modeled in this version of PISCES using a modified version of the Geider et al. (1998) formulation in which the nutrient co-limitation term and the dependence on temperature



were removed in accordance to the findings by Li et al. (2010):

$$\mu^{\mathsf{P}} \propto \mathsf{light} \cdot \frac{\mathsf{Chl}}{\mathsf{C}} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{max}}}$$

25

Two simulations, WSTIR and CNTRL, are considered. WSTIR and CNTRL only differ in that an ad hoc parameterization (described below) for the effect of wind stirring as
 a component of vertical mixing is used in WSTIR but not in CNTRL. Other than that, WSTIR and CNTRL have identical wind stresses and surface buoyancy boundary conditions, identical horizontal and vertical resolution, and identical biogeochemical and physical parameter settings. It is important to emphasize that the same parameterization of gas exchange (Wanninkhof, 1992) with precisely the same windspeeds is used for gas exchange for both WSTIR and CNTRL.

The initial state for temperature and salinity was taken from the World Ocean Atlas 2009 (WOA09) (Locarnini et al., 2010; Antonov et al., 2010) and the initial state for biogeochemistry was taken from a 5000-yr pre-industrial spin-up of NEMO-PISCES. At the time of initialization for this study, the ocean was treated as being at rest. This

- initial model state nominally corresponds to year 1864. The model was then forced at its upper surface using the DRAKKAR Forcing Set #4.1, or DFS4.1 (Brodeau et al., 2010), which is derived from the ERA-40 reanalysis product (Uppala et al., 2005) and has a temporal resolution of six hours. DFS4.1 spans the years 1958–2006. The model was looped twice through repeating full DFS4.1 forcing fields cycles to reach 1957. The two
 parallel simulations WSTIR and CNTRL were started in year 1958 as described above.
 - As such, the full transient in carbon is present in both simulations.

2.2 Ad hoc parameterization of wind stirring

Vertical mixing parameterizations commonly used in ocean general circulation models tend to produce mixed layer depths that are too shallow during summer months, with this bias being particularly acute over the Southern Ocean. To overcome this sys-



(1)

scheme of Blanke and Delecluse (1993) within NEMO. The parameterization presented here is not derived from theoretical considerations, but rather is meant to account for observed processes that affect the density structure of the ocean's planetary boundary layer that are not explicitly captured by default in the TKE scheme. These processes are near-inertial oscillations (Jochum et al., 2013) and ocean swells and waves (Qiao et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2012).

First, a vertical mixing length scale h_{τ} (Fig. 1) is computed as a function of latitude (φ)

 $h_{\tau} = \max(0.5, \min(30, 45 \cdot \sin(\varphi))) \forall \varphi \ge 0$

10
$$h_{\tau} = \max(0.5, 2 \cdot \min(30, 45 \cdot \sin(\varphi))) \forall \varphi < 0$$

15

Values for h_{τ} vary from 0.5 m at the Equator to a maximum of 30 m in the high latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere and 60 m in the Southern Ocean. The above definition of mixing-length was tuned to achieve summer mixed layer depths consistent with observations and are consistent with the wave-induced mixing length scales reported by Qiao et al. (2004).

The kinetic energy input to the ocean (S) imposed by the winds in the form of nearinertial oscillations, swell and waves is parameterized as:

$$S = \varepsilon_i \cdot \exp(\frac{-z}{h_\tau}) \cdot (1 - f_i)$$
(3)

 ε_i (adjusted here to 0.07) is the fraction of the kinetic energy imposed by wind stress as computed by the traditional TKE implementation of Blanke and Delecluse (1993) (TKE_{BD93}). This value is similar to the scaling factor $\alpha = 0.05$ used by Jochum et al. (2013) to parameterize the input of kinetic energy into the ocean due to near-inertial oscillations. The depth structure of the energy input function in the ocean interior is modeled using an exponential function that depends on the mixing-length h_{τ} . This is ²⁵ modulated by the fractional sea-ice concentration (f_i) as the influence of wind stress below sea ice is small. The overall kinetic energy input in WSTIR is obtained by summing the traditional TKE input obtained by the Blanke and Delecluse (1993) scheme

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Papel

(2)

and S:

 $\mathsf{TKE}_{\mathsf{WSTIR}} = \mathsf{TKE}_{\mathsf{BD93}} + S$

As the magnitude of TKE_{BD93} is much greater than S in the mixed layer, the contribution of *S* is relatively small there. The relative influence of *S* is strongest just below the mixed layer at times and places where the mixing length h_{τ} is greater than the mixed layer depth generated by TKE_{BD93}. In these locations, *S* contributes to eroding the stratification, thus contributing to deepening the mixed layer.

2.3 Atmospheric Potential Oxygen: definition, simulation and observations

Observed variations in atmospheric CO_2 concentrations are difficult to interpret in terms of ocean processes, as only a small fraction of the CO_2 variability actually comes form the ocean. A much larger fraction of the variability in CO_2 is caused by exchanges with the land biosphere, and this is true even in regions remote from direct land biospheric influences, such as the high latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere. A powerful atmospheric constraint on oceanic processes can be derived, however, by combining measurements of atmospheric CO_2 and O_2/N_2 ratios to compute the tracer atmospheric potential oxygen (APO). APO is defined as:

 $APO = \delta(O_2 / N_2) + 1.1 \cdot 4.8 \cdot CO_2$

In this expression $\delta O_2/N_2$) is the ratio of O_2 to N_2 relative to the ratio in standard air and is referred to as having units of per meg (equivalent to per million). The emissions ratio of CO₂ to O₂ from terrestrial processes is typically 1.1 with only small deviations (Severinghaus et al., 2001). Since O₂ comprises 20.94% of the atmosphere, a conversion factor of 1/0.2094 = 4.8 can be used to convert CO₂ from units of ppm to units of per meg. APO is conserved with respect to the terrestrial biosphere, which causes compensating variations in $\delta(O_2/N_2)$ and CO₂. Much of the variability in APO on seasonal and shorter time scales reflects ocean fluxes (Keeling and Shertz, 1992;

BGD 10, 15033-15076, 2013 Pa Strong sensitivity of Southern Ocean carbon uptake Discussion Paper K. B. Rodgers et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Discussion** Pape Tables **Figures** 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Discussion** Pape **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

(4)

(5)

Stephens et al., 1998). High frequency APO data are available (down to the timescale of a week) that do not suffer from measurement gap issues (in the time domain) that plague other tracers, including chlorophyll and sea surface pCO_2 . In the spatial domain, these observations represent well-mixed air that can integrate surface processes over

- ⁵ large parts of Southern Ocean. The APO data from the Scripps network used in this study is described in Hamme and Keeling (2008) and Keeling and Manning (2013). In order to investigate the effect of the wind stirring parameterization on APO, daily
- ¹⁰ mean air-sea fluxes of O_2 , CO_2 , and heat from the WSTIR and CNTRL ocean simulations are used as lower boundary conditions a three-dimensional atmospheric transport ¹⁰ model, Tracer Model Version 3 (TM3) (Heimann and Körner, 2003). Since the air-sea flux of N_2 is not modeled explicitly in NEMO-PISCES but is needed simulate APO, the air-sea fluxes of N_2 are calculated from the heat fluxes following the formulation of Keeling and Peng (1995) using the temperature-dependent N_2 solubility of Weiss
- (1970).
 TM3 is an off-line model driven by NCEP reanalysis winds (Kalnay et al., 1996). The fine-grid version used here has a resolution of ~ 3.8° × 5° with 19 vertical levels. TM3 is well documented and has been included in many model inter-comparisons studies (e.g. Denning et al., 1999; Gurney et al., 2003), and compares well with other models when evaluated against aircraft data (Stephens et al., 2007). TM3 was run from 1990 to 2005 but only years 1994–2005 are analyzed to allow the atmospheric model to spin up.

We also account for small variations in APO caused by fossil fuel burning. Fossil fuel emissions were distributed spatially according to the 1995 Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center (CDIAC) fossil fuel emissions map (Marland et al., 1998). The spatial distribution was then scaled to emissions for specific years (Boden et al., 2012). O₂ consumed by fossil fuels was calculated simply by using a simple combustion ratio of 1.4 moles O₂ for every mole of CO₂ (Keeling et al., 1998; Marland et al., 2003). Spatial and temporal variations of the O₂/CO₂ combustion ratio arising from differences in fuel



15044

types are not considered but this omission is unlikely to be problematic for our analysis and interpretation of the seasonal APO signal.

3 Results

3.1 Wind stirring, mixed layer depths, and stratification

- Observational mixed layer depth products (de Boyer Montégut et al., 2004; Dong et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2012) show austral summer MLD of order 60–70 m (January) and austral winter MLD of order 200 m (October) in the latitude band 50–60° S, the region under the maximum westerlies (Fig. 2a, d). Mixed layer depths for CNTRL are consistently too shallow in the Southern Ocean (Fig. 2b), with summer MLDs having maximum values of order only 20 m (Fig. 2d). Although the WSTIR case also exhibits a shallow bias (Fig. 2c), mixed layer depths for WSTIR are twice as large as those for CNTRL (40 m, Fig. 2d), in better agreement with summer observations, as intended. Austral winter mixed layer depths for WSTIR are larger than observed values, however (260 m, Fig. 2d). Input of wind energy at depth in summer (according to Eqs. 1–
- 3) reduces the stratification just below the depth of the mixed layer predicted to occur from TKE_{BD93}. As a consequence, during fall and winter as the ocean de-stratifies, buoyancy forcing needs to do less work against the relatively weakened sub-mixed layer stratification. Furthermore, the ad hoc parameterization was used throughout the year. The excessive winter mixed layer depth in WSTIR indicates that the current ad
- ²⁰ hoc implementation, although helpful in summer, does have indirect negative consequences in winter. The improved summer mixed layer depths for WSTIR relative to CNTRL are clearly the consequence of tuning, but the fact that the WSTIR case is in better agreement with observations will be relevant for our later considerations of the biogeochemical sensitivity.
- ²⁵ Differences in amplitudes between the summer and winter mixed layers affect the shoaling rate after the winter maximum, leading to differences in terms of the depths



that are being mixed at any given time between the seasonal peaks. For example, the mixed layer in CNTRL reaches 60 m on 30 October, about three months after the winter maximum in August. The 60 m threshold is crossed on 4 December in the WSTIR simulation, which is five weeks after the CNTRL case, and more than four months after peak winter mixed layers. Although the winter mixed layer is too deep and the summer mixed layer too shallow in WSTIR, there is a period during the re-stratification phase after winter (October) where WSTIR-simulated mixed layers agree well with the observed timing of stratification.

5

Figure 3 compares zonally averaged (South Pacific sector, 160° E to 100° W) meridional sections of stratification ($d\rho_0/dz$) taken from CNTRL and WSTIR and the stratification derived from the January distribution of density from the Argo-derived climatology of Roemmich and Gilson (2009). The Argo-derived data product (Fig. 3a) reveals a relatively weak stratification over the Southern Ocean. The stratification is significantly stronger than the observations for the CNTRL case (Fig. 3b) over the Southern Ocean, but relatively weak for the WSTIR case (Fig. 3c). The stratification maximum is shallower for WSTIR than it is for observations over the Southern Ocean, but is shallower still for CNTRL.

We consider next the amplitude of the seasonal cycle in sea surface temperature (SST), considered for a climatology constructed for years 2000–2006. This is consid-²⁰ ered first in Fig. 3d for the observational product derived using the method of Reynolds and Smith (1994), which includes remote sensing information. Over large parts of the Southern Ocean, the amplitude of the seasonal cycle in SST is of order 2°C. The distribution shown for the CNTRL run in Fig. 3e exhibits seasonal variability over the Southern Ocean that is much too large. For the WSTIR run shown in Fig. 3f, it can be

25 seen that the seasonal amplitude of SST is much smaller than for CNTRL, with variations of order 2°C seen over much of the Southern Ocean. The seasonal amplitude of SST in the WSTIR run is slightly smaller than that in the observational product in Fig. 3d, but it is generally in better agreement with the observational product than the CNTRL run. These large differences between WSTIR and CNTRL exist despite the fact



that both runs have an effective relaxation of SST to the same air temperature field in the bulk formulas used for gas exchange. The tendency of SST in CNTRL to be too warm in summer is consistent with the over-stratification seen in Fig. 3b, as well as a self-reinforcing diminished heat capacity associated with the strong shallow bias in summertime MLD seen in Fig. 2b. Interestingly, the amplitude of the seasonal cycle in heat content (not shown) is larger for the WSTIR case than for the CNTRL case over the Southern Ocean, in contrast to what is found for SST.

3.2 Influence of enhanced wind stirring on carbon uptake

We next consider the decadal-timescale differences in air-sea exchange of carbon for the two model runs. Southern Ocean carbon uptake (integrated over the region south of 45°S) is greater for CNTRL than for WSTIR (Fig. 4), with this difference increasing in time. This offset and the different evolution of these two simulations have profound implications for the role of the Southern Ocean in the global carbon cycle. The WSTIR run results in carbon out-gassing over the Southern Ocean while the CNTRL run simulates carbon uptake throughout the 1965–2006 period. The outgassing in WSTIR decreases slightly at a rate of $0.05 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ decade⁻¹ over the period 1965–2006. For CNTRL, carbon uptake increases over the 40-yr interval at a rate of $0.125 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1} \text{ decade}^{-1}$. The simulated Southern Ocean fluxes between the CNTRL and WSTIR runs thus diverge at a rate of 0.075 Pg C yr⁻¹ decade⁻¹ between 1965 and 2006. The difference in uptake between CNTRL and WSTIR is of order 0.9 Pg C yr⁻¹ at the end of the simu-20 lation (averaged over 2000-2006). The difference between these two runs, which represents uncertainty associated with physical mixing and our ability to model it, is very large when compared to the magnitude of inferred perturbations reported elsewhere for

the Southern Ocean uptake of contemporary carbon in response to increasing westerly winds (Le Quéré et al., 2007; Lovenduski et al., 2008; Zickfeld et al., 2007).

Comparing maps of the mean simulated air-sea carbon fluxes between 2000–2006 (Fig. 5a, b) with carbon fluxes calculated from the observed pCO_2 climatology of Takahashi et al. (2009) and the gas exchange parameterization of Wanninkhof et



al. (1992) (Fig. 5c) shows important differences between the two runs. The difference between WSTIR and CNTRL is explicitly shown in Fig. 5d. Uptake (ingassing) is generally stronger everywhere for CNTRL than for WSTIR. The difference, however, is especially pronounced over the Southern Ocean and in the North Atlantic. Over the

Southern Ocean, the CNTRL and WSTIR cases bracket the flux climatology calculated 5 from Takahashi et al. (2009), although the weak uptake bias for WSTIR is significantly stronger than the strong uptake bias for CNTRL. It is worth noting that whereas both WSTIR and the data product exhibit a local maximum in outgassing of CO₂ along the divergence region around 60° S, the CNTRL case exhibits a local maximum in uptake over this region. 10

Wind stirring and the seasonal cycles of biogeochemical fields 3.3

Lenton et al. (2013) demonstrated in their Southern Ocean synthesis study that ocean carbon models contain significant biases in their representation of the seasonal cycle in air-sea CO₂ fluxes over the Southern Ocean. One of their main conclusions was that resolving uncertainties related specifically to the seasonal cycle should be a prior-15 ity for future Southern Ocean carbon cycle research. Given that the seasonal timing of re-stratification and de-stratification, as well as mean summer mixed layer depths, exercise fundamental controls on upper ocean biogeochemistry, this section investigates the effect of the wind-stirring parameterization on the climatological seasonal cycle of various biogeochemical variables. Here, we characterize the sensitivity of the biogeochemical fields to the perturbations imposed on the physical state as considered in

3.3.1 Carbon and oxygen fluxes

20

Figs. 2 and 3.

The climatological seasonal cycle of air-sea CO_2 flux, integrated south of $45^{\circ}S$, is shown in Fig. 6a for CNTRL, WSTIR, and for the data product derived from Takahashi 25 et al. (2009). Observations show that ocean CO_2 uptake, relative to the annual mean,



occurs in austral summer and outgassing occurs during austral winter. There are significant differences in phase, magnitude and shape between CNTRL and WSTIR.

As stated previously (Fig. 2d), end-of-winter re-stratification in CNTRL occurs earlier than in WSTIR. Re-stratification reduces the effect of light limitation earlier in the

season in CNTRL, which stimulates biological production and enhances the biological drawdown of DIC in the ocean's surface layer earlier in the season for CNTRL than for WSTIR. Inclusion of the ad hoc wind stirring parameterization delays the onset of the winter reversal from outgassing to ingassing by three to four months (Fig. 6a) relative to CNTRL. The winter outgassing peak in CNTRL, however, is much too early relative to observations.

Aside from changing the phasing and amplitude of the seasonal cycle, the ad hoc parameterization also impacts the skewness of the climatological seasonal cycle in air–sea CO_2 fluxes. The exaggerated skewness found for CNTRL relative to the observational product and WSTIR at least in part reflects the sharp skewness in biological processes revealed in the diagnostic of seasonality in export (Fig. 6b). The sharp peak in export production in January for CNTRL relative to WSTIR is a consequence of light limitation being less for CNTRL relative to WSTIR. Integrated over the seasonal cycle,

15

the Southern Ocean export is $1.48 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ for WSTIR and $2.33 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ for CNTRL. Southern Ocean carbon export in CNTRL is thus 58 % larger than in WSTIR, even if globally both have nearly identical annual mean export values of 7.4 Pg C yr^{-1} .

The climatological seasonal cycle in air–sea O_2 fluxes is shown in Fig. 6c for CNTRL and WSTIR. To be consistent with the CO_2 flux in Fig. 6a and b, positive O_2 -flux values in Fig. 6c represent a flux into the ocean. In agreement with the carbon flux, there exist differences in phasing between the summer peak releases of O_2 to the atmosphere,

with the peak occurring approximately four weeks earlier for CNTRL than for WSTIR. For the case of the winter extremum, on the other hand, CNTRL slightly lags WSTIR. This contrasts with the phasing of CO_2 . Additionally, the amplitude of the seasonal O_2 flux cycle is approximately 25 % larger for CNTRL than for WSTIR.



Clearly the sensitivity of O_2 fluxes to the ad hoc wind stirring parameterization does not perfectly mirror the sensitivity seen for CO_2 fluxes in Fig. 6a. Differences in the impact on seasonality of O_2 and CO_2 fluxes should be expected, given that air–sea equilibration timescales for O_2 is approximately an order of magnitude more rapid than for CO_2 . However, differences in entrainment of O_2 and CO_2 across the base of the mixed layer are also expected to be important.

Given the relatively short duration of the WSTIR perturbation relative to CNTRL (decades), it should be emphasized that this sensitivity of air–sea fluxes of contemporary carbon does not provide insight into the uptake capacity of the Southern Ocean to anthropogenic carbon. The analysis also reveals that the differences are manifested in O_2 fluxes, with the perturbations to O_2 not being perfectly redundant to the changes in CO_2 fluxes.

3.3.2 Chlorophyll and blooms

10

Remotely-sensed surface Chlorophyll from the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor
 (SeaWiFS, http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/) is used here as a metric to evaluate the modeled seasonal cycle and ecological effects of the wind stirring parameterization. A seasonal climatology was constructed over the period 1998–2006 to address both the timing of seasonally maximum chlorophyll concentrations and the onset of bloom timing. To create the climatology, SeaWiFS data were first put on the same grid as the ocean model. Modeled chlorophyll and regridded SeaWiFS data were then averaged

20 ocean model. Modeled chlorophyll and regridded SeaWIFS data were then averaged to eight day mean fields. In order to focus on local comparisons and minimize extrapolation errors due to missing data where storms and other phenomena have obscured data retrieval, the model was sampled only at space-time coordinates where SeaWiFS observations are available.

The timing of the climatological maximum chlorophyll concentrations is considered in Fig. 7 for SeaWiFS (Fig. 7a), CNTRL (Fig. 7b), and WSTIR (Fig. 7c). The timing of maximum chlorophyll concentrations has better agreement with observations for WSTIR than for CNTRL. Within the latitude band 50–60° S, the SeaWiFS product indi-



cates peak concentrations largely within November and December, with early November maxima tending to fall along 60° S. For CNTRL, there are large expanses where September maxima are in evidence over 50–60° S, thereby leading the observations in phase by as much as two months. For the WSTIR case, the phase lead is of order one 50 month relative to the observations.

We then consider the timing of bloom onset in Fig. 8. The onset timing was chosen for each surface grid point as the time when cholorophyll concentrations increased above the median level plus $0.2 \,\mathrm{mg \, m^{-3}}$ during the four-month period preceding the seasonal chlorophyll maximum (a formulation considering the median plus 5% was also tried, but this multiplicative formulation had trouble in cases with a double-peak seasonal cycle in chlorophyll). Bloom onset is shown for SeaWiFS (Fig. 8a), CNTRL (Fig. 8b) and WSTIR (Fig. 8c).

10

Observed SeaWiFS bloom onset occurs approximately one month earlier over the 50–60° S region than over 40–50° S. Modeled bloom onset consistently lead the ob-¹⁵ servations in phase by about six weeks over 50–60° S. Neither WSTIR nor CNTRL reproduce the observed phase lag between 50–60° S relative to 40–50° S. In fact this phase difference evident in the SeaWiFS product may be considered to be counterintuitive, as stratification in MLD occurs earlier over 40–50° S in the MLD product of de Boyer Montégut et al. (2004) than over 50–60° S. The reason for this phase lag is left as a subject for future investigation.

In summary, for sea surface chlorophyll, both WSTIR and CNTRL exhibit similar biases in bloom onset timing, but differ in the timing of their peak chlorophyll concentrations. The peak chlorophyll concentrations occur approximately one month later for WSTIR than they do for CNTRL, consistent with what was noted previously for not only

MLD seasonal phasing (in particular for stratification over 50–60° S), but also in CO_2 and O_2 fluxes.



3.4 Influence of increased wind stirring on atmospheric potential oxygen

Next we consider the simulations of atmospheric potential oxygen (APO) for the two models. The observed and simulated climatological seasonal cycles of APO at Cape Grim (CGO) station in Tasmania (40.68° S, 144.69° E) and Palmer Station (PSA) station on the Antarctic Peninsula (64.46° S, 64.03° W), two stations from the Scripps network

on the Antarctic Peninsula (64.46° S, 64.03° W), two stations from the Scripps network, is shown in Fig. 9. CGO (Fig. 9c) is located north of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) and is thereby a footprint region that is subtropically-influenced, contrary to PSA (Fig. 9d), which is located south of the ACC.

For the models the climatological seasonal cycle is calculated using daily output, and for the observations weekly flask measurements (snapshots) are used. As a first step, the model output and the data are interpolated onto a regular weekly time grid using a Seasonal-Trend decomposition by Loess (STL) algorithm (Cleveland et al., 1990), which calculates a gradually evolving seasonal cycle and trend for timeseries data without including any assumptions about the functional form of these quantities. The

- data is then detrended by subtracting the seasonal cycle that is calculated using STL and a climatological mean seasonal cycle is calculated. Hövmoller diagrams showing the seasonal cycle of APO as a function of latitude for WSTIR and CNTRL are shown in Fig. 9a and b. In both cases, the APO has been detrended to emphasize the phasing and amplitude of the climatological seasonal cycle of each latitude band.
- The seasonal maximum APO occurs later for WSTIR (Fig. 9a) than for CNTRL (Fig. 9b), and the amplitude of the seasonal cycle over the latitude range 60–65°S is larger for CNTRL than for WSTIR. Additionally, the WSTIR maximum (January to March) peak is broader than the CNTRL peak. These differences in phase and amplitude are dominated by O₂ (relative to CO₂ and N₂, not shown) and are consistent with the phase shift in O₂ fluxes over the Southern Ocean between the CNTRL and WSTIR cases (Fig. 6c).

The observed climatological seasonal cycles of APO from site CGO (Cape Grim, Tasmania) and PSA (Palmer Station, Antarctic Peninsula) are shown in Fig. 9c and



d, where they are also compared with the seasonal cycle from CNTRL and WSTIR. At CGO, the simulated APO seasonal cycle is in good agreement between CNTRL and WSTIR (Fig. 9c), although the seasonal amplitude for both CNTRL and WSTIR is slightly smaller than observed. The wind stirring perturbation has only a minor effect on the seasonal cycle of APO north the ACC, in agreement with Fig. 9b.

At PSA (Fig. 9d), which is south of the ACC, the seasonal cycle of CNTRL has a distinctively greater magnitude and is slightly phase shifted, leading slightly the seasonal cycle of WSTIR. The phasing of the seasonal cycle for WSTIR is in better agreement with the observations at PSA than for CNTRL. However, from this analysis alone it is not yet clear how the relative contributions of atmospheric O_2 and CO_2 variations contribute to the phasing of APO at PSA for WSTIR and CNTRL (Fig. 9d). As the atmospheric transport model considers O_2 and CO_2 separately, in order to deconvolve

the APO signal we consider in Fig. 10 the relative contributions of seasonal variations in O_2 concentrations (Fig. 10a and b) and seasonal variations in CO_2 concentrations

- ¹⁵ (Fig. 10c and d) to the total APO seasonal variations, in the form of Hovmoeller diagrams (the very small contribution associated with differences in simulated N₂ is not shown). Through a direct comparison with Figures 9a and 9b, it is clear that at all latitudes the dominant control is from O₂ variations. The sensitivity of APO to the wind stirring parameterization is stronger south of the ACC because the near surface oceanic
- vertical O₂ concentration gradient is larger to the south of the ACC than to the north of it. We also investigated the seasonal cycle of APO at high latitude Southern Hemisphere observing stations that are part of the Princeton network (not shown), but found that we were not able to draw robust conclusions regarding shifts in the seasonal cycle from these observations due to a combination of less frequent observations and intra-seasonal variability.

The fact that the seasonal cycle of APO at PSA is sensitive to the ad hoc wind stirring parameterization suggests that APO time-series measurements can be used to study climate-driven changes in the phasing of the seasonal cycle of Southern Ocean biogeochemistry in response to changes in the seasonality of re-stratification and de-



stratification under 21st century climate change. This is of great potential value, as other relevant existing data sources (for pCO_2 or inferences of primary productivity) are not available in this region with sufficiently high temporal resolution to identify such changes amid natural variability.

5 3.5 Influence of wind stirring on surface and interior nutrient distributions

10

We also consider the impact of wind stirring on surface nutrients Fe and NO₃. The concentration of Fe averaged over 2000–2006 is shown for WSTIR (Fig. 11a), CNTRL (Fig. 11b), and the difference between these two runs (Fig. 11c). Likewise the analogous distributions for NO₃ are shown for WSTIR (Fig. 11d), CNTRL (Fig. 11e), and the difference (Fig. 11f).

This reveals that the annual mean Fe concentrations are lower for WSTIR than for CNTRL, while the annual mean NO₃ concentrations are higher. Enhanced summer entrainment for WSTIR relative to CNTRL should sustain both higher Fe and NO₃ concentrations, but under light-limited conditions, Fe and NO₃ have different response functions, resulting in a situation where NO₃ increases but Fe decreases when vertical mixing increases. For NO₃, enhanced light limitation associated with enhanced mixed layer depths results in high surface NO₃ concentrations relative to CNTRL, adding to the entrainment signal. For Fe, on the other hand, the Fe/DIC ratio of biological uptake increases as a consequence of light limitation, and biology consumes increasingly more Fe, thereby driving a tendency towards decreased Fe concentrations.

What are the consequences for NO₃ and DIC distributions in the ocean interior? We consider in Fig. 12 the distribution of NO₃ and DIC concentrations projected onto $\sigma_0 = 26.8$ (SAMW density class), with averaged concentrations calculated over the period 2000–2006. The two runs were "split" in 1958, and thus this represents a perturbation signal of approximately 45 yr. The distribution of NO₃ is shown for WSTIR (Fig. 12a), for

CNTRL (Fig. 12b), and for the difference between these two runs (Fig. 12c). The interior concentration of NO₃ is higher for WSTIR than for CNTRL, consistent with Fig. 11f considering the outcrop latitude of the σ_0 = 26.8 isopycnal. Given that the difference in



NO₃ concentrations reflects the signal ~ 45 yr into the perturbation, it is not surprising that the perturbation is largest over the Southern Hemisphere subtropical gyres given the multi-decadal timescales for this region (see Fig. 3 of Rodgers et al., 2003 for relevant Lagrangian diagnostics), with a smaller-amplitude signal having accessed via ocean interior transport the equatorial upwelling region of the Pacific.

Differences in nutrients transported out of the Southern Ocean between CNTRL and WSTIR have important implications for the supply of nutrients to the low latitudes. Sarmiento et al. (2004) argued the 75% of the low latitude biological productivity is fueled by nutrients exported from the Southern Ocean in the SAMW density class. Viewed as an estimate of uncertainty, the results presented here for NO₃ concentrations underscore the potential importance of wind stirring over the Southern Ocean for larger-scale nutrient supply over the global ocean.

In Fig. 12d and e we consider the simulated DIC concentrations for both model WSTIR and CNTRL for the same $\sigma_0 = 26.8$ density surface, considered as well as

- an average over 2000–2006, as well as the difference in DIC concentrations between the simulations in Fig. 12f. In the large-scale distribution of the DIC distribution for the WSTIR and CNTRL cases, there are a number of important similarities with the patterns found for the NO₃ distributions considered in the same figure. However, the perturbation structure in DIC is markedly different from that seen in NO₃. First, the
- ²⁰ DIC perturbations are as large in the Northern Hemisphere as they are in the Southern Hemisphere for DIC, whereas this is not the case for NO₃. Second, For NO₃ the perturbations suggest advective propagation, with maxima moving inward from SAMW formation regions in the model. There are more extensive non-local DIC perturbations further from the outcrop region. For both of these tracers, the ocean interior distribu-
- tion will expect a complex interplay between advection, mixing, and biology, and it is certainly possible that mixing impacts them differently. Another potentially important difference is that gas exchange will impact DIC over the Southern Ocean, but not NO₃. The complex interplay between these processes, and their impact on transport of DIC



an ocean carbon-climate feedback is already in evidence in air-sea CO₂ fluxes. In fact 15055

and NO₃ northwards from the Southern Ocean, is left as a subject for future investigation.

Discussion 3.6

25

The sensitivity of contemporary CO_2 uptake to a change in wind stirring is significantly larger than the previously reported sensitivity to local wind speed perturbations and gas 5 exchange formulations (Sarmiento et al., 1992). This is important, since as a climate dynamical field the winds used for wind stirring are the same as the winds used in gas exchange parameterizations at the air-sea interface. In fact, not only is the sensitivity found here significantly stronger, but it is also of opposite sign to what is found for surface gas exchange parameterizations, with enhanced wind stirring driving reduced uptake of contemporary carbon over the Southern Ocean (Fig. 5).

The model revealed large sensitivity in contemporary carbon uptake over the Southern Ocean not only for a climatological seasonal cycle (seasonal deviations from the annual mean), but also over interannual and longer timescales (Fig. 4). For the sea-

sonal cycle, the CNTRL case exhibits a shift in phase towards earlier spring CO₂ uptake relative to WSTIR (Fig. 6a). This is a consequence of the combined impacts of biology (through light limitation) of the earlier stratification for CNTRL relative to WSTIR, as evidenced in the earlier manifestation of the Chl concentration maximum for CNTRL relative to WSTIR (Fig. 7), and reduced physical entrainment for CNTRL of higher-DIC waters from below. 20

The sensitivity of Southern Ocean carbon cycling and biogeochemistry to wind stirring should also be expected to have implications for model-derived estimates of the time-evolution of carbon uptake. Interpreting the $0.9 \, \text{Pg} \, \text{C} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ difference in integrated air-sea uptake of CO₂ over the region to the south of 45°S between CNTRL and WSTIR over 2000-2006 as an uncertainty associated with our imperfect knowledge of how to represent wind stirring in models, it is important to consider this uncertainty within the context of the debate waging in the carbon cycle community over the whether



this uncertainty is at least of the same order as the global carbon-climate feedback presented in the study of Le Quéré et al. (2007) of $0.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$, and the same holds for results presented by Zickfeld et al. (2007) and Lovenduski et al. (2008).

4 Conclusions

- ⁵ The main goal of this study was to investigate the sensitivity of CO₂ uptake over the Southern Ocean, and more generally Southern Ocean biogeochemistry, to an ad hoc parameterization of wind stirring. The ad hoc wind stirring parameterization was developed to account for missing processes associated with *shear-induced turbulence* common to ocean models, whereby summer mixed layer depths tend to be too shal-
- ¹⁰ low over the Southern Ocean, and in particular under the maximum westerlies. The ad hoc parameterization, which by construction acts with an e-folding scale depth of 60 m over the Southern Ocean, was used to tune the NEMO MLDs to better resemble Argo-derived distributions.

The wind stirring parameterization impacts not only the penetration depth of aus tral summer (DJF) mixed layers, but also the seasonal cycle and *phasing* of stratification and de-stratification over the Southern Ocean, particularly in the region of maximum westerlies. With the parameterization, mixed layers remain deeper than 60 m five weeks longer than without the parameterization in the region between 50 and 60° S. This has important implications for Southern Ocean carbon cycling, vertical carbon
 export, ecology, Southern Ocean biogeochemistry and for the injection of preformed nutrients in the ocean interior.

In this study we also sought to evaluate the dynamical controls exerted by ocean mixing processes on atmospheric potential oxygen (APO). Our main scientific finding was that wind stirring exerts a strong control on the phasing of the seasonal cycle of APO, primarily through its impact on the seasonality of air–sea O₂ fluxes, with the sensitivity

²⁵ primarily through its impact on the seasonality of air–sea O_2 fluxes, with the sensitivity being stronger to the south of the ACC than to the north of the ACC. As changes in wind stirring affect the seasonal cycling of APO, APO is a potentially promising means



of monitoring wind-induced integrated large-scale ocean biogeochemistry variations over the Southern Ocean. If climate change over the Southern Ocean were to manifest itself as a shift not only in the mean stratification, but also the timing of stratification and destratification, then continuous monitoring with APO could play an important role ⁵ in a global observing network.

Increasing wind stirring by including the ad hoc parameterization reduces Southern Ocean (< 45° S) carbon uptake by 0.9 Pg C yr^{-1} over the years 2000–2006 relative to the overly stratified control simulation. Wind stirring not only increases summertime mixed layer depths, thereby impacting light limitation and entrainment of nutrients from below, but it also impacts the phasing of the seasonal cycle and more generally bio-10 geochemistry by delaying the timing of re-stratification in Spring. Over the Southern Ocean, wind stirring also decreases export production by $0.85 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$, nearly the same amount by which it reduces ocean uptake of CO_2 by gas exchange.

Although inclusion of the ad hoc wind stirring parameterization led to improvements in the model's physical oceanic state, globally-integrated ocean carbon uptake was 15 only 0.1 Pg C yr⁻¹ over 2000–2006 with the ad hoc parameterization (WSTIR case), much less than the $2.8 \text{ Pg} \text{ Cyr}^{-1}$ simulated without the ad hoc parameterization (CN-TRL case) over the same period. This latter value obtained without the effect of enhanced wind stirring is, however, in closer agreement with observational estimates of global carbon that are typically between 1.4 and 2.6 PgC/yr for the 1990-2009 period 20 (Wanninkhof et al., 2013). Importantly, this serves as an example of where biogeochemical models can be tuned to simulate global carbon uptake in agreement with observational products even though their physical circulation states are unsatisfactory. This underscores a potentially very large uncertainty in our ability to model future global

ocean carbon uptake. 25

This study points to the importance of prioritizing process understanding of shearinduced turbulence and its impact on mixed layer depth over the Southern Ocean, and more generally over the latitude bands over both hemispheres where storminess may contribute in an important way to mechanical stirring of the ocean. The mechanistic



controls on wind stirring may be expected to include near-inertial oscillations (Jochum et al., 2013) and ocean swells and waves (Qiao et al., 2004; Huang and Qiao, 2010, Huang et al., 2012). It is our hope that the results presented here will serve to further stimulate work in developing process-based parameterizations, with the goal of

⁵ improving on the ad hoc parameterization applied here. In addition, this work underscores the value of continued work with the ad hoc parameterization of wind stirring in evaluating the sensitivity of Southern Ocean carbon cycling and biogeochemistry, in preparation for the future availability of prognostic parameterizations. Finally, it suggests that observations of APO could serve a critical role in detecting changes in ocean biogeochemistry and carbon uptake in response to future changes in storminess.

Acknowledgements. The contribution of Keith Rodgers came through awards NA17RJ2612 and NA08OAR4320752, which includes support through the NOAA Office of Climate Observations (OCO). The statements, findings, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of NOAA or the US Department of Commerce. Additional support for Keith Rodgers came through NASA award NNX09AI13G and NSF award OCE-1155983. Support for Sara Mikaloff Fletcher was provided by NIWA under the Drivers

and Mitigation of Global Change Programme. Yves Plancherel acknowledges support from the Oxford Martin School and the UK GEOTRACES Program.

References

15

25

Antonov, J. I., Seldov, D., Boyer, T. P., Locarnini, R. A., Mishonov, A. V., Garcia, H. E., Baranova, O. K., Zweng, M. M., and Johnson, D. R.: World Ocean Atlas 2009, Volume 2: Salinity, edited by: Levitus, S., NOAA Atlas NESDIS 69, US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 184 pp., 2010.

Aumont, O. and Bopp, L: Globalizing results from ocean in situ iron fertilization studies, Global Biogeochem. Cv., 20, GB2017, doi:10.1029/2005GB002591, 2006.

Axell, L. B.: Wind-driven internal waves and Langmuire circulations in a numerical ocean model of the Southern Baltic Sea, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 3204, doi:10.1029/2001JC000922, 2002.



Blanke, B. and Delecluse, P.: Variability of the tropical-Atlantic ocean simulated by a general circulation model with two different mixed-layer physics, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 23, 1363–1388, 1993.

Boden, T.A., Marland, G., and Andres, R. J.: Global, Regional, and National Fossil-Fuel CO₂

Emissions, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US 5 Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tenn., USA, doi:10.3334/CDIAC/00001 V2012, 2012. Bolin, B. and Eriksson, E.: Changes in the carbon dioxide content of the atmosphere and sea due to fossil fuel combustion, in: The Atmosphere and the Sea in Motion: Scientific Contributions to the Rossby Memorial Volume, edited by: Bolin, B., New York, Rockefeller Institute Press. 130-142, 1958.

15

Böning, C., Dispert, A., Visbeck, M., Rintoul, S., and Scharzkopf, F.: The response of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current to recent climate change, Nat. Geosci., 1, 864-869, 2008. Brodeau, L., Barnier, B., Trequier, A. M., Penduff, T., and Gulev, S.: An ERA40-based

atmospheric forcing for global ocean circulation models, Ocean Model., 31, 88-104, doi:10.1016/i.ocemod.2009.10.005. 2010.

- Cleveland, R. B., Cleveland, W. S., McRae, J. E., and Terpenning, I.: STL: A Seasonal-Trend Decomposition Procedure Based on Loess, Journal of Official Statistics, 6, 3-73, 1990.
- de Baar, H. J. W., Boyd, P. W., Coale, K. H., Landry, M. R., Tsuda, A., Assmy, P., Bakker, D. C. E., Bozec, Y., Barber, R. T., Brzezinski, M. A., Buesseler, K. O., Boyé, M., Croot, P. L.,
- Gervais, F., Borbunov, M. Y., Harrison, P. J., Hiscock, W. T., Laan, P., Lancelot, C., Law, C. 20 S., Lavasseur, M., Marchetti, A., Millero, F.J., Nishioka, J., Nojiri, Y., van Oijen, T., Riebesell, U., Rijkenberg, M. J. A., Saito, H., Takeda, S., Timmermans, K. R., Veldhuis, M. J. W., Waite, A. M., and Wong, C.-S.: Synthesis of iron fertilization experiments: From the Iron Age in the Age of Enlightenment, J. Geophys. Res., 110, C09S16, doi:10.1029/2004JC002601, 2005.
- ²⁵ de Boyer Montégut, C., Madec, G., Fischer, A. S., Lazar, A., and Iudicone, D.: Mixed layer depth over the global ocean: An examination of profile data and a profile-based climatology, J. Geophys. Res., 109, C12003, doi:10.1029/2004JC002378, 2004.
 - Denning, S. A., Holzer, M., Gurney, K. R., Heimann, M., Law, R. M., Rayner, P. J., Fung, I. Y., Fan, S. M., Taguchi, S., Friedlingstein, P., Balkanski, Y., Taylor, J., Maiss, M., and Levin,
- I.: Three-dimensional transport and concentration of SF6: A model intercomparison study 30 (transcom 2), Tellus B, 51, 266–297, 1999.
 - Dong, S., Sprintall, J., Gille, S. T., and Talley, L.: Southern Ocean mixed-layer depth from Argo float profiles, J. Geophys. Res., 113, C06013, doi:10.1029/2006JC004051, 2008.



¹⁰

- Fyfe, J. C. and Saenko, O. A.: Simulated changes in the extratropical Southern Hemisphere winds and currents, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L06701, doi:10.1029/2005GL025332, 2006.
- Geider, R. J., MacIntyre, H. L., and Kana, T. M.: A dynamic regulatory model of phytoplanktonic acclimation to light, nutrients, and temperature, Limnol. Oceanogr., 43, 679–694, 1998.
- ⁵ Gent, P. R. and McWilliams, J. C.: Isopycnal mixing in ocean circulation models, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 20, 150–155, 1990.
 - Gnanadesikan, A.: A simple predictive model for the structure of the oceanic pycnocline, Science, 283, 2077–2079, 1999.
 - Gurney, K. R., Law, R. M., Dening, A. S., Rayner, P.J. Baker, D., Bousquet, P., Bruhwiler, L.,
- Chen. Y. H., Ciais, P., Fan, S., Fung, I. Y., Gloor, M., Heimann, M., Higuchi, K., John, J., Kowalezyk, E., Maki, T., Maksyutov, S., Peylin, P., Prather, M., Pak, B. C., Sarmiento, J., Taguchi, S., Takahashi, T., and Yuen, C. W.: TRANSCOM 3 CO₂ inversion intercomparison:
 1. Annual mean control results and sensitivity to transport and prior flux information, Tellus B., 55, 555–579, 2003.
- Hallberg, R. and Gnanadesikan, A.: The role of eddies in determining the structure and response of the wind-driven Southern Hemisphere overturning: Results from the Modeling Eddies in the Southern Ocean (MESO) project, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36, 2232–2252, 2006.
 - Hamme, R. C. and Keeling, R. F.: Ocean ventilation as a driver of interannual variability in atmospheric potential oxygen, Tellus B, 69, 706–717, 2008.
- Heimann, M. and Körner, S.: The global atmospheric tracer model TM3, in Max-Planck-Institut für Biogeochemie (Eds.): Technical Report, Vol. 5, Max-Planck-Institut für Biogeochemie, Jena, 131 pp., 2003.
 - Huang, C. J. and Qiao, F.: Wave-turbulence interaction and its induced mixing in the upper ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 115, C04026, doi:10.1029/2009JC005853, 2010.
- ²⁵ Huang, C. J., Qiao, F., Shu, Q., and Song, Z.: Evaluating austral summer mixed-layer response to surface wave-induced mixing in the Southern Ocean, J. Geophys. Res., C0J18, doi:10.1029/2012JC07892, 2012.
 - Ito, T., Woloszyn, M., and Mazloff, M.: Anthropogenic carbon dioxide transport in the Southern Ocean driven by Ekman flow, Nature, 463, 80–84, 2010.
- ³⁰ Iudicone, D., Rodgers, K. B., Stendardo, I., Aumont, O., Madec, G., Bopp, L., Mangoni, O., and Ribera d'Alcala', M.: Water masses as a unifying framework for understanding the Southern Ocean Carbon Cycle, Biogeosciences, 8, 1031–1052, doi:10.5194/bg-8-1031-2011, 2011.



Jochum, M., Briegleb, B. P., Danabasoglu, G., Large, W. G., Norton, N. J., Jayne, S. R., Alford, M. H., and Bryan, F. O.: The impact of oceanic near-inertial waves on climate, J. Climate, 26, 2833-2844, 2013.

Kalnay, E. C., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L., Iredell, M., Saha,

- S., White, G., Woollen, J., Zhu, Y., Chelliah, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Higgins, W., Janowiak, J., Mo, 5 K. C., Ropelewski C., Wang, J., Leetma, A., Reynolds, R., Jenne, R., and Joseph, D.: The NCEP/NCAR reanalysis project, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 77, 437–471, 1996.
 - Keeling, R. F.: Measuring correlations between atmospheric oxygen and carbon dioxide mole fractions: A preliminary study in urban air, J. Atmos. Chem., 7, 153-176, 1998.
- ¹⁰ Keeling, R. F. and Manning, A. C.: Studies of recent changes in atmospheric O₂ content, in: Treatise on Geochemistry, Volume 4, Keeling, R. F. and Russell, L., Amsterdam, Elsevier, in press, 2013.

Keeling, R. F. and Peng, T.-H.: Transport of heat, CO₂, and O₂ by the Atlantic's thermohaline circulation, Philos. T. R. Soc. London B, 348, 133-142, 1995.

- ¹⁵ Keeling, R. F. and Shertz, S. R.: Seasonal and interannual variations in atmospheric oxygen and implications for the global carbon cycle, Nature, 358, 723-727, 1992.
 - Lenton, A., Tilbrook, B., Law, R. M., Bakker, D., Doney, S. C., Gruber, N., Ishii, M., Hoppema, M., Lovenduski, N. S., Matear, R. J., McNeil, B. I., Metzl, N., Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Monteiro, P. M. S., Rödenbeck, C., Sweeney, C., and Takahashi, T.: Sea-air CO₂ fluxes in the Southern
- Ocean for the period 1990-2009, Biogeosciences, 10, 4037-4054, doi:10.5194/bg-10-4037-20 2013, 2013.
 - Le Quéré, C., Rödenbeck, C., Buitenhuis, E. T., Conway, T. J., Langenfelds, R., Gomez, A., Labuschagne, C., Ramonet, M., Nakazawa, T., Metzl, N., Gillett, N., and Heimann, M.: Saturation of the Southern Ocean CO₂ sink due to recent climate change, Science, 316, 1735– 1738, 2007.

25

Li, Q. P., Franks, P. J. S., Landry, M. R., Goericke, R., and Taylor, A. G.: Modeling phytoplankton growth rates and chlorophyll to carbon ratios in California coastal and pelagic ecosystems, J. Geophys. Res., 115, G04003, doi:10.1029/2009JG001111, 2010.

Locarnini, R. A., Mishonov, A. V., Antonov, J. I., Boyer, T. P., Garcia, H. E., Baranova, O. K.,

Zweng, M. M., and Johnson, D. R.: World Ocean Atlas 2009, Volume 1: Temperature, edited 30 by: Levitus, S., NOAA Atlas NESDIS 68, US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 184 pp., 2010.

Discussion Pa	BGD 10, 15033–15076, 2013 Strong sensitivity of Southern Ocean carbon uptake K. B. Rodgers et al.		
aper Discussio			
n Pape			
CP T	Abstract	Introduction	
_	Conclusions	References	
)iscuss	Tables	Figures	
ion F	I	►I	
apei	•	•	
	Back	Close	
Discuss	Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version		
ion Pape	Interactive Discussion		

- Lovenduski, N., Gruber, N., and Doney, S. C.: Towards a mechanistic understanding of the decadal trends in the Southern Ocean carbon sink, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 22, GB3016, doi:10.1029/2007GB003139, 2008.
- Madec, G., Delecluse, P., Imbard, M., and Levy, C.: OPA 8.1 general circulation model reference
- 5 manual, in Notes du Pole de Modelisation de l'Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace, 11, 91 pp., available from: http://www.lodyc.jussieu.fr/opa, 1998.
 - Marinov, I., Gnanadesikan, A., Toggweiler, J. R., and Sarmiento, J. L.: The Southern Ocean biogeochemical divide, Nature, 441, 964–967, 2006.
 - Marland, G., Andres, R. J., Boden, T. A., and Johnston, C.: Global, regional and national CO₂
- emission estimates from fossil fuel burning, cement production, and gas flaring: 1751–1995 (revised January 1998). ORNL/CDIAC NDP-030/R8, http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/ndps/ndp030. html, 1998.
 - Marland, G., Boden, T., and Andres, R.: Global, regional and national CO₂ emissions, in Trends: A compendium of Data on Global Change, Carbon Dioxide Inf. Anal. Cent., Oak Ridge Natl.
- Lab., US Dep. of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tenn., 2003.
 - Mignone, B. K., Gnanadesikan, A., Sarmiento, J. L., and Slater, R. D.: Central role of Southern Hemisphere winds and eddies in modulating the oceanic uptake of anthropogenic carbon, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L01604, doi:10.1029/2005GL024464, 2006.

Qiao, F., Yuan, Y., Yang, Y., Zheng, Q., Xia, C., and Ma, J.: Wave-induced mixing in the upper

- ocean: Distribution and application to a global ocean circulation model, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L11303, doi:10.1029/2004GL0198254, 2004.
 - Reynolds, R. W. and Smith, T. M.: Improved global sea surface temperature analyses, J. Climate, 7, 929–948, 1994.

Rodgers, K. B., Blanke. B., Madec, G., Aumont, O., Ciais, P., and Dutay, J. C.: Extratropi-

- cal Sources of Equatorial Pacific Upwelling in an OGCM, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1084, doi:10.1029/2002GL016003, 2003.
 - Roemmich, D. and Gilson, J.: The 2004–2007 mean and annual cycle of temperature, salinity, and steric height in the global ocean from the Argo Program, Prog. Oceanogr., 82, 81–100, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2009.03.004, 2009.
- ³⁰ Sarmiento, J. L., Orr, J. C., and Sigenthaler, U.: A perturbation simulation of CO₂ uptake in an ocean general circulation model, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 3621–3645, 1992.
 - Sarmiento, J. L., Gruber, N., Brzezinski, M. A., and Dunne, J. P.: High latitude controls of thermocline nutrients and low latitude productivity, Nature, 427, 56–60, 2004.



15063

Severinghaus, P., Grachev, A., and Battle, M.: Thermal fractionation of air in polar firn by seasonal temperature gradients, Geochem. Geophy. Geosys., 2, 1-24, 2001.

Stephens, B. B., Keeling, R. F., Heimann, M., Six, K. D., Murnane, R., and Caldeira, K.: Testing global ocean carbon cycle models using measurements of atmospheric O₂ and CO₂ concentration, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 12, 213–230, 1998.

5

- Stephens, B. B., Gurney, K. R., Tans, P. P., Sweeney, C., Peters, W., Bruhwiler, L., Ciais, P., Ramonet, M., Bousquet, P., Nakazawa, T., Aoki, S., Machida, T., Inoue, G., Vinnichenko, N., Lloyd, J., Jordan, A., Heimann, M., Shibistova, O., Langenfelds, R. L., Steele, L. P., Francey, R. J., and Denning, A. S.: Weak northern and strong tropical land carbon uptake from vertical profiles of atmospheric CO₂, Science, 316, 1732–1735, doi:10.1126/science.1137004,
- tical profiles of atmospheric CO₂, Science, 316, 1/32–1/35, doi:10.1126/science.113/004, 2007.
 Stommel, H.: Determination of watermass properties of water numbed down fro the Ekman
 - Stommel, H.: Determination of watermass properties of water pumped down fro the Ekman layer to the geostrophic flow below, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 76, 3051–3055, 1979.
- Takahashi, T., Sutherland, S. C., Wanninkhof, R., Sweeney, C., Feely, R. A., Chipman, D. W.,
 Hales, B., Friederich, G, Chavez, F., Sabine, C., Watson, A., Bakker, D.C.E., Schuster, U.,
 Metzl, N., Yoshikawa-Inoue, H., Ishii, M., Midorikawa, T., Nojiri, Y., Kortzinger, A., Steinhoff,
 T., Hoppema, M., Olafsson, J., Arnarson, T. S., Tilbrook, B., Johannessen, T., Olsen, A.,
 Bellerby, R., Wong, C. S., Delille, B., Bates, N. R., and de Baar, H. J. W.: Climatological
 mean and decadal change in surface ocean *p*CO₂, and net sea-air CO₂ flux over the global
 oceans, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II 56, 554–577, 2009.
 - Toggweiler, J. R. and Samuels, B.: New radiocarbon constraints on the upwelling of abyssal water to the ocean's surface, in: the Global Carbon Cycle, edited by: Heimann, M., NATO ASI Ser., Ser. I, 15, 333–336, 1993.

Uppala, S. M., Kallberg, P. W., Simmons, A. J., Andrae, U., da Costa Bechtold, V., Fiorino,

- M., Gibson, J. K., Haseler, J., Hernandez, A., Kelly, G. A., Li, X., Onogi, K., Sarrinen, S., Sokka, N., Allan, R. P., Andersson, E., Arpe, K., Balmaseda, M. A., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L, Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Caires, S., Chevallier, F., Dethof, A., Dragosavac, M., Fisher, M., Fuentes, M., Hagemann, S., Holm, E. Hoskins, B. J., Isakssen, L, Jannsen, P. A. E. M., Jenne, R., McNally, A.P., Mahfouf, J.-F., Morcrette, J.-F., Rayner, N. A., Saunders, R.
- W., Simon, P., Sterl, A., Trenberth, K. E., Untch, A., Vasiiljevic, D., Viterbo, P., and Woollen, J.: The ERA-40 re-analysis, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 131, 2961–3012, doi:10.1256/qj.04.176, 2005.



- Wanninkhof, R.: Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 7373–7382, 1992.
- Wanninkhof, R., Asher, W. E., Ho, D. T., Sweeney, C., and McGillis, W. R.: Advances in quantifying air–sea gas exchange and environmental forcing, Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci., 1, 213–244, 2009.

5

- Wanninkhof, R., Park, G.-H., Takahashi, T., Sweeney, C., Feely, R., Nojiri, Y., Gruber, N., Doney, S. C., McKinley, G. A., Lenton, A., Le Quéré, C., Heinze, C., Schwinger, J., Graven, H., and Khatiwala, S.: Global ocean carbon uptake: magnitude, variability and trends, Biogeosciences, 10, 1983–2000, doi:10.5194/bg-10-1983-2013, 2013.
- ¹⁰ Weiss, R. F.:, The solubility of nitrogen, oxygen and argon in water and seawater, Deep-Sea Res., 17, 721–735, 1970
 - Wu, Y., Ting, M., Seager, R., Huange, H.-P., and Cane, M. A.: Changes in storm tracks and energy transports in a warmer climate simulated by the GFDL CM2.1 model, Clim. Dynam., doi:10.1007/s00382-010-0776-4, 2010.
- ¹⁵ Wyrtki, K.: The thermohaline circulation in relation to the general circulation in the oceans, Deep-Sea Res., 8, 39–64, 1961.
 - Zickfeld, K., Fyfe, J. C., Saenko, O. A., Eby, M., and Weaver, A. J.: Response of the global carbon cycle to human-induced changes in Southern Hemisphere winds, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L12712, doi:10.1029/2006GL028797, 2007.





Fig. 1. The mixing-length scale over which the ad hoc wind stirring parameterization is prescribed to act in the WSTIR simulation, in units of meters.





Fig. 2. Mixed layer depth (MLD) comparison; in all panels, units are meters. **(a)** Data product of de Boyer Montégut (2004) averaged over austral summer (December–February, or DJF). **(b)** CNTRL averaged over DFJ for climatology constructed over 2000–2006. **(c)** WSTIR averaged over DFJ for climatology constructed over 2000–2006. **(d)** Time series: evolution of MLD averaged over 50–60° S for data product of de Boyer Montégut et al. (2004) (black), WSTIR (red), and CNTRL (green).





Fig. 3. The stratification $d\rho/dz$ (colors) is shown averaged over the central South Pacific (160° E–100° W), for **(a)** the ARGO-extended data product of de Boyer Montégut et al. (2004), **(b)** CNTRL, and **(c)** WSTIR. For each case, potential density contours are overlain. Also shown is the amplitude of the seasonal cycle in sea surface temperature (SST) (°C) from **(d)** the product of Reynolds and Smith (1994) including remote sensing data, **(e)** for the climatology of the CNTRL run over 2000–2006, and **(f)** for the climatology of the WSTIR run considered over 2000–2006.





Fig. 4. Inter-annually varying simulated CO_2 fluxes over 1965–2006, with 12-month running mean, where WSTIR in red and CNTRL in green; (units of Pg C yr⁻¹).











Fig. 6. Time variability of Southern Ocean (45–90° S) fluxes in units of Petagrams per year: (a) Climatological seasonal cycle in air–sea CO_2 fluxes, for the climatology of Takahashi et al. (2009) using the gas exchange parameterization of Wanninkhof (1992) in black, the WSTIR case in red, and the CNTRL case in green; (b) climatological seasonal cycle in carbon export over the Southern Ocean, showing WSTIR (red) and CNTRL (green); (c) climatological seasonal cycle in air–sea O_2 fluxes, for WSTIR (red) and CNTRL (green).

















Fig. 9. Atmospheric Potential Oxygen (APO) as simulated by the Atmospheric Transport Model (ATM), in per meg units, for a detrendended climatological cycle. In each panel, the seasonal cycle is represented twice. **(a)** Hovmoller diagram of canonical seasonal cycle in zonal mean surface APO for CNTRL case; **(b)** Hovmoller diagram of canonical seasonal cycle in zonal mean surface APO for WSTIR case; **(c)** Cape Grim (CGO): Climatological seasonal cycle compared with observations from Scripps network; here the climatological seasonal cycle is calculated using the STL analysis tools of Cleveland et al. (1990). **(d)** Palmer Station (PSA): climatological seasonal cycle is calculated using the STL analysis tools of Cleveland et al. (1990). **(d)** Palmer Station (PSA): climatological seasonal cycle is calculated using the STL analysis tools of Cleveland et al. (1990).





Fig. 10. Atmospheric Potential Oxygen (APO) contributions, over a climatological seasonal cycle for detrended zonal mean anomalies, in units of per meg. For each panel, the identical climatological seasonal cycle is represented twice. **(a)** Contribution of O_2 to climatological APO variations for WSTIR. **(b)** Contribution of O_2 to climatological APO variations for CNTRL. **(c)** Contribution of CO_2 to climatological APO variations for CNTRL. **(d)** Contribution of CO_2 to climatological APO variations for CNTRL.





Fig. 11. Surface concentrations are shown averaged over years 2000–2006 for **(a)** Fe in WSTIR, **(b)** Fe in CNTRL, and **(c)** the difference in Fe between WSTIR and CNTRL (units of nM). Surface concentrations are shown averaged over years 2000–2006 for **(d)** NO₃ in WSTIR, **(e)** NO₃ in CNTRL, and **(d)** the difference in NO₃ between WSTIR and CNTRL (units of μ mol).





Fig. 12. Ocean interior concentrations ($\sigma_0 = 26.8$) are shown averaged over years 2000–2006 for **(a)** NO₃ in WSTIR, **(b)** NO₃ in CNTRL, and **(c)** the difference in NO₃ between WSTIR and CNTRL (units of µmol). Ocean interior concentrations ($\sigma_0 = 26.8$) are shown averaged over years 2000–2006 for **(d)** DIC in WSTIR, **(e)** DIC in CNTRL, and **(f)** the difference in DIC between WSTIR and CNTRL (units of µmol).

