Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 49–81, 2013 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/49/2013/ doi:10.5194/bgd-10-49-2013 © Author(s) 2013. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

# Data-based modelling and environmental sensitivity of vegetation in China

H. Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, I. C. Prentice<sup>1,3</sup>, and J.  $Ni^{2,4,5}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia
 <sup>2</sup>Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing, China
 <sup>3</sup>Grantham Institute and Division of Ecology and Evolution, Imperial College, London, UK
 <sup>4</sup>Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Potsdam, Germany
 <sup>5</sup>State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Science, Guiyang, China

Received: 27 October 2012 - Accepted: 7 December 2012 - Published: 3 January 2013

Correspondence to: H. Wang (han.wang@mq.edu.au)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

|        | <b>BGD</b><br>10, 49–81, 2013  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|        | Modelling and<br>environmental<br>sensitivity of<br>vegetation<br>H. Wang et al. |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Title P  | Title Page               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _      | Abstract   | Introduction             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Conclusions  | References               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 000    | Tables   | Figures                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | 14   | ۲                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|        |  | •                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7      | Back   | Close                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00100  | Full Screen / Esc  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D<br>D | Printer-friend   | Printer-friendly Version |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Interactive Discussion   |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |



# Abstract

A process-oriented niche specification (PONS) model was constructed to quantify climatic controls on the distribution of ecosystems, based on the vegetation map of China. PONS uses general hypotheses about bioclimatic controls to provide a "bridge" between statistical niche models and more complex process-based models. Canonical correspondence analysis provided an overview of relationships between the abundances of 55 plant communities in 0.1° grid cells and associated mean values of 20 predictor variables. Of these, GDD (accumulated degree days above 0 °C) Cramer– Prentice  $\alpha$  (an estimate of the ratio of actual to equilibrium evapotranspiration) and mGDD<sub>5</sub> (mean temperature during the period above 5 °C) showed the greatest predictive power. These three variables were used to develop generalized linear models for the probability of occurrence of 16 vegetation classes, aggregated from the original 55 types by *k*-means clustering according to bioclimatic similarity. Each class was hypothesized to possess a unimodal relationship to each bioclimate variable, independently

- <sup>15</sup> of the other variables. A simple calibration was used to generate vegetation maps from the predicted probabilities of the classes. Modelled and observed vegetation maps showed good to excellent agreement ( $\kappa = 0.745$ ). A sensitivity study examined modelled responses of vegetation distribution to spatially uniform changes in temperature, precipitation and [CO<sub>2</sub>], the latter included via an offset to  $\alpha$  (based on an indepen-
- <sup>20</sup> dent, data-based light use efficiency model for forest net primary production). Warming shifted the boundaries of most vegetation classes northward and westward while temperate steppe and desert replaced alpine tundra and steppe in the southeast of the Tibetan Plateau. Increased precipitation expanded mesic vegetation at the expense of xeric vegetation. The effect of [CO<sub>2</sub>] doubling was roughly equivalent to increasing pre-
- cipitation by ~ 30 %, favouring woody vegetation types, particularly in northern China. Agricultural zones in northern China responded most strongly to warming, but also benefited from increases in precipitation and [CO<sub>2</sub>]. These results broadly conform to previously published findings made with the process-based model BIOME4, but they





add regional detail and realism and extend the earlier results to include cropping systems. They provide a potential basis for a broad-scale assessment of global change impacts on natural and managed ecosystems.

# 1 Introduction

- <sup>5</sup> The patterns of vegetation and primary production on large spatial scales are primarily controlled by climate. This generalization applies not only to natural and semi-natural ecosystems, but equally to the viability of different agricultural systems. It is useful to model the potential geographic distribution of vegetation types in terms of bioclimatic variables expressing the requirements of plants for warmth and moisture. The same approach can be applied at the level of biomes, vegetation types or species and can be carried out for agricultural systems or specific crops. The value of modelling potential distributions i.e. those distributions that would be achieved in equilibrium with climate is that it allows directions of change in response to environmental changes to be characterized irrespective of lags in the establishment of new vegetation types,
- or in the responses of agricultural systems to changed conditions. Dynamic modelling of natural changes in vegetation is well established yet there are still major differences among models (e.g. Sitch et al., 2008), while dynamic modelling of land use change is at an early stage (e.g. Rounsevell et al., 2012). The usefulness of dynamic models depends strongly on their ability to correctly predict directions of change, i.e. the potential
- distribution to which the dynamic processes are tending. With huge advances in the availability of relevant observations to constrain models, and in the size of problems that can now be tackled using statistical methods, there is considerable scope to develop relatively simple models which are informed by process understanding but also firmly based on observations (see e.g. Smith et al., 2012).
- <sup>25</sup> Anthropogenic climate change is beginning to shift the potential and actual spatial patterns of ecosystems and species. This much is clear from thousands of observations worldwide of both expansions and contractions in species' observed ranges and





phenologies (Rosenzweig et al., 2007). Climate change is also creating conditions whereby certain types of agriculture are increasingly marginal in some regions (e.g. wheat growing in southwestern Australia: Howden et al., 1999, and paddy rice planting in northern China: Li and Wang, 2010). In other regions, new modes of agriculture may be starting to become viable. These changes so far have been subtle because climate change has been relative small in a global context, especially when compared with natural interannual variability. But some degree of continuing climate change is unavoidable, and its effects are expected to become increasingly prominent during the

coming decades (Prentice et al., 2012). It is useful to foresee at least the direction of such effects, even if their eventual magnitudes remain largely unpredictable.

The concentration of carbon dioxide ( $[CO_2]$ ) itself has been recognized as a potentially important non-climatic factor that is already shifting vegetation patterns through its effect on the competition between woody and herbaceous plants through an increase in the water use efficiency of  $C_3$  plants, in addition to its effects on climate through the greenhouse effect. This physiological effect of  $CO_2$  is a prominent candidate to explain

"woody thickening", the tendency for trees and shrubs to increase in abundance at the expense of grasses, as has been observed in savannas worldwide (Prentice et al., 2011a).  $CO_2$  concentration also has an enhancing effect on the growth and yield of  $C_3$  crops, although the rise in  $[CO_2]$  to date is thought to have been only a relatively minor factor in increasing crop yields (compared with crop breeding and other technological

15

<sup>20</sup> factor in increasing crop yields (compared with crop breeding and other technological advances: Easterling et al., 2007) and the positive effects may increasingly be offset by negative effects of warming, especially in hot climates (Antle et al., 2002).

So-called niche models or species distribution models – empirical models fitted to species presence or abundance data as a function of climate variables using statisti-

cal methods – have been widely and successfully used to describe the relationships between species distributions and climate (Peterson, 2001), and more controversially to project responses to future environmental changes (Warren, 2012; Pearson et al., 2003). One key limitation of niche models as usually implemented is that their responses are strongly dependent on the choice of environmental predictors (Peterson,





2001). The selection of predictors is usually somewhat arbitrary. Such models often rely on ordinary meteorological summary variables (such as mean annual precipitation) that are only indirectly related to the environment "experienced" by the biota. Alternatively, in the BIOCLIM strand of modelling, an attempt is made to represent bioclimate, but this

- <sup>5</sup> is done through the use of a set of ad hoc combinations of variables such as "annual temperature range" and "precipitation of the warmest quarter" (Beaumont et al., 2005). The problem of equifinality in the choice of predictors is not entirely avoidable, because correlations among different aspects of climate mean that the "correct" predictors cannot be chosen unambiguously on the basis of empirical correspondences alone. It is
- therefore valuable to make use of basic process understanding of the mechanisms controlling species' viability (Harrison et al., 2010) to derive composite bioclimatic variables expressing different aspects of the environment. Furthermore, it is reasonable to assume that different types of environmental requirements (for example, for warmth and moisture availability) act independently. This assumption allows a considerable simpli-
- fication of the modelling process (e.g. Sykes et al., 1996). A further limitation of niche models as usually applied is that they do not include the modifying effects of changes in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, even though these are potentially very important (Keenan et al., 2011) and are absolutely required in order to account for the nature of observed, major vegetation changes over glacial-interglacial cycles (Harrison and Prentice, 2003;
   Prentice and Harrison, 2009; Prentice et al., 2011a).

In this paper we adopt an approach that we call "process-oriented niche specification" (PONS) because it provides a "bridge" between empirical statistical modelling and complex mechanistic modelling. The PONS approach is based on the following hypotheses: (a) that the distributions of ecosystems and species can be represented

as the consequence of a small number of bioclimatic controls; (b) that these controls can be represented by "bioclimatic variables" derived from climate data; (c) that each control (when correctly represented by a bioclimate variable) acts independently, so that distributions can be represented through the multiplication of functions describing relationships between probability of occurrence and each variable independently;





and (d) that these relationships can be adequately represented by either unimodal or monotonic functions. These principles underlie earlier work by Sykes et al. (1996) and a more recent study by Gallego-Sala and Prentice (2012), who modelled the world distribution of the blanket bog biome and its response to climate change based on three

- <sup>5</sup> independent bioclimatic limits. Here we apply a PONS approach to model the natural and managed vegetation of China, and we demonstrate a novel method by which CO<sub>2</sub> effects can be incorporated into a niche model. The approach is innovative in combining a well-established technique in statistical niche modelling (multiple logistic regression, which is formally equivalent to the popular maximum entropy method: Phillips et al.,
- <sup>10</sup> 2006) with algorithms used to estimate bioclimatic variables for dynamic vegetation and biogeochemistry modelling, and a process-based method to take account of  $CO_2$ concentration effects. By fitting models with linear and quadratic (but not interaction) terms for each predictor, our modelling approach is consistent with Boucher-Lalonde et al. (2012), who showed that the probabilities of occurrence of tree species in North
- <sup>15</sup> America could be predicted with high efficiency from independent Gaussian functions of climate variables.

#### 2 Methods

# 2.1 Study area

China, with the third largest land area of any country, contains almost the entire range of world vegetation types from rainforest to desert and from tropical to alpine vegetation (Fig. 1). Furthermore, due to its large population and rapid economic development, China is a key region where it is important to identify potential risks and opportunities both for the productivity and carbon storage of natural ecosystems and for the production of food in agricultural systems.

<sup>25</sup> Temperature variables generally decrease from south to north in China. Elevation changes interrupt the latitudinal trend, notably the extremely high and cold area of the





Tibetan Plateau, and some low-lying and hot areas in the northwest. Moisture supply from the Pacific and Indian Oceans gradually declines from the south towards the north and the interior (Fig. 2). The natural vegetation patterns reflect these temperature and moisture gradients (Fig. 1). The diversity of agricultural systems is also closely related to climate. For example, the Loess Plateau and some valleys in the interior of western China area experience a moisture regime similar to that of northeastern China, but are much warmer in winter, allowing orchards to grow as well as annual crops

(Fig. 1). However in north China especially, irrigation is extensive, and extends the climatic range of temperate crops towards drier regions provided there is a local supply
 of water for irrigation.

# 2.2 Data

5

The baseline climatology data were derived from records of mean monthly temperature, precipitation and percentage of possible sunshine hours at 1814 meteorological stations (740 stations have observations from 1971–2000, the rest from 1981– 1990: China Meteorological Administration, unpublished data), interpolated to a 0.01°

- <sup>15</sup> 1990: China Meteorological Administration, unpublished data), interpolated to a 0.01 grid using a three-dimensional thin-plate spline (ANUSPLIN version 4.36, Hancock and Hutchinson, 2006). We selected the following 20 predictor variables, calculated as in Prentice et al. (1993) and Gallego-Sala et al. (2011), for an initial exploratory multivariate analysis: mean temperature of the coldest (MTCO) and warmest (MTWA)
- <sup>20</sup> month, mean annual temperature (MAT), mean annual precipitation (MAP), accumulated (GDD<sub>0</sub>, GDD<sub>5</sub>) and mean (mGDD<sub>0</sub>, mGDD<sub>5</sub>) growing degree days above 0°C and 5°C respectively, total (PAR<sub>0</sub>, PAR<sub>5</sub>) and mean (mPAR<sub>0</sub>, mPAR<sub>5</sub>) photosynthetically active radiation during the period above 0°C and 5°C respectively, annual equilibrium evapotranspiration (PET), the Cramer–Prentice *α* index of plant-available moisture
- (Prentice et al., 1993), moisture index (MI) calculated as the ratio of MAP to total annual equilibrium evapotranspiration, precipitation seasonality (Prentice et al., 2011b), and the sine (Timing<sub>sin</sub>) and cosine (Timing<sub>cos</sub>) of the timing of maximum precipitation (Prentice et al., 2011b) reflecting the tendency of precipitation to be concentrated in





spring vs autumn and in winter vs summer, respectively. We also included two variables (NPP<sub>LUE</sub> and NPP<sub>WUE</sub>) which are estimates of potential net primary production (NPP) based on the light- and water-use efficiency models (Wang et al., 2012), fitted independently to an extensive forest production data set (Luo, 1996).

- <sup>5</sup> The digitized vegetation map of China at the scale of 1:1 million was used to obtain vegetation data. Fifty-five plant communities (48 natural plant communities and seven cropping systems) were aggregated into 16 vegetation classes (Table 1) based on their bioclimatic context by *k*-means clustering (Hartigan and Wong, 1979) of the 20 predictor variables. We performed the clustering with *k* set at different values, and se-
- <sup>10</sup> lected k = 12 as a compromise between detail and tractability. The *k*-means method, in common with most clustering algorithms, tends to avoid identifying classes with very few members. Some further adjustments were accordingly made. A few vegetation classes with anomalous climatic distributions within each of the 12 machine-identified clusters were separated. The temperate desert communities from two *k*-means clus-
- <sup>15</sup> ters were merged together as one vegetation class. "Temperate needleleaf forest" was identified as a single-type vegetation class due to its unique bioclimatic space (low to median energy, medium to high moisture). (Names of vegetation types here refer to the English-language legend of the vegetation map.) "Temperate microphyllous deciduous woodland" and "temperate grass-forb community" were all considered to be temper-
- ate xeric vegetation, with quite similar bioclimatic spaces, and were grouped together. Three cultivation systems ("one crop annually and cold-resistant economic crops"; "one crop annually, cold-resistant economic and deciduous orchards"; and "three crops two years and two crops annually non irrigation, deciduous orchards") in north China were separated from the clusters to which they had been assigned, as the climatic mois-
- <sup>25</sup> ture range occupied by these types (due to irrigition) exceeds that of otherwise bioclimatically similar natural vegetation types. Except for "one crop annually short growing period cold-resistant crops", with a similar climatic space to the temperate deciduous complex, all the other cultivation systems are typical of South China and share the same bioclimatic space as the natural plant communities there. These cultivation types





were therefore kept within their machine-identified vegetation classes (tropical monsoon forest complex or subtropical forest complex).

Accurate fractional areas of each class were extracted in ArcGIS from the digitized vegetation map at the same 0.1° grid resolution as the bioclimatic data.

# 5 2.3 Data analysis

10

Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA, Ter Braak et al., 2004) was carried out based on 94510 records for the 16 vegetation classes and (as predictors) the 20 predictor variables, for an initial exploration of the relationships between climate and vegetation. Non-vegetation grid cells (the white area in Fig. 1), such as glaciers, bare ground, and lakes, were excluded. The Akaike Information Criterion was used to select the three most important among the bioclimatic variables for further analysis.

Generalized linear modelling (GLM) was applied to each vegetation class separately, using the logit link function and assuming a binomial distribution of the class frequencies. This analysis is equivalent to multiple logistic regression. The input data were

- the frequency of the class, and values of the three selected bioclimatic predictors, at the grid cells. In each case, we fitted an initial model including linear and quadratic terms for each bioclimatic variable. In some cases, one or more terms were excluded after this initial step, and a new model fitted. Three criteria determined the exclusion of particular terms (Table 2):
- a. Terms whose inclusion led to unrealistic partial relationships. This situation was sometimes encountered due to high correlation between mGDD<sub>5</sub> and GDD<sub>0</sub> in both the coldest and the warmest range of climates. The inclusion of both predictors resulted in sparse alpine vegetation, alpine tundra and steppe apparently responding positively to GDD<sub>0</sub>, although they are more abundant in colder climates. We therefore rejected GDD<sub>0</sub> as a predictor in these cases. Conversely, mGDD<sub>5</sub>

was rejected as a predictor for tropical forests, since the predicted probability





curve against  $mGDD_5$  peaked at a very low value compared with the real distribution of tropical forests.

b. In a few cases, inclusion of quadratic terms resulted in a U-shaped (rather than Gaussian) fitted distribution to a particular variable. This result led to the rejection of mGDD<sub>5</sub> as a predictor for temperate desert. Sparse alpine vegetation, boreal and subalpine forest and shrubland also showed initial fitted U-shaped responses to  $\alpha$ . In these cases just the quadratic term was rejected, leading to a realistic (sigmoid) response to  $\alpha$ .

5

10

15

c. Terms for which the coefficients were not significant at P < 0.05 were rejected. These cases were few because of the very large sample size.

A simple linear calibration was used to relate fitted probabilities optimally to observed frequencies, as follows. For each vegetation type we performed ordinary linear regression of the predicted class probabilities on the observed class frequencies. The regression relationship was inverted to obtain a weighting factor to be applied to the predicted probabilities (Table 3). Negative values arising from this step were set to zero. The predicted vegetation class at each grid cell is then the one with highest predicted probability after weighting. We also predicted the potential natural vegetation class at

each grid cell by applying the same criterion but excluding agricultural classes from consideration.

- <sup>20</sup> There is a strong correlation (and therefore statistical confounding) between winter and summer temperatures in China, particularly in the eastern forest belts where both gradients run from north to south. We added one additional constraint to the model, namely that the MTCO for tropical rainforest complex must exceed 12 °C. The predicted probability for this vegetation class was reset to zero whenever this constraint was
- not met. The constraint has no effect on the predicted present distributions but was introduced in order to eliminate the unrealistic prediction of tropical rainforest in dry inland areas under some climate change scenarios. The constraint is consistent with the known requirement of tropical trees for warm winters.





# 2.4 Assessing goodness of fit

The kappa statistic (Cohen, 1960; Prentice et al., 1992) was used to quantify the similarity between the predicted and observed vegetation maps. Kappa is a suitable measure to compare two maps where the variable mapped is a multi-class, qualitative variable. Kappa ranges from zero to one. One means perfect agreement; zero means agreement that is no better than would be expected by chance, i.e. by random assignment of classes to grid cells.

# 2.5 Inclusion of a CO<sub>2</sub> effect

 $[CO_2]$  does not vary significantly in space, and cannot therefore be used as a predictor in the development of empirically based models for vegetation and productivity. As a measure of the effect of increased  $[CO_2]$  on vegetation distribution, we estimated the increase in  $\alpha$  that would produce the same gain in NPP, according to a process-oriented light-use efficiency (LUE) model that has been fitted independently to an extensive forest production data set (Wang et al., 2012). This approach is based on the assumption that the major effect of elevated  $[CO_2]$  on vegetation distribution is to enhance water use efficiency, which is equivalent to increasing water availability. To estimate this equivalence, we fitted a multiple regression of the logarithm of forest NPP (data as in Wang

et al., 2012) against  $\alpha$ , mGDD<sub>5</sub> and GDD<sub>0</sub> (Fig. 3). The log transformation implies the underlying model that the effects of climate variables on NPP are multiplicative. Then the contribution of  $\alpha$  to NPP can be expressed as:

 $\ln \mathsf{NPP} = a \cdot \alpha + b,$ 

25

where *a* is the fitted partial regression coefficient for  $\alpha$ , and *b* represents all other effects.

The LUE model of Wang et al. (2012) is used to estimate the effect of elevated  $[CO_2]$  on NPP, indicated by the ratio (*c*) of NPP at elevated (NPP') and reference (NPP<sub>0</sub>)  $[CO_2]$ . According to this model, the ratio is dependent on the leaf-internal  $[CO_2]$  at





reference  $[CO_2]$  ( $c_i$ ), the leaf-internal  $[CO_2]$  at elevated  $[CO_2]$  ( $c'_i$ ) and the CO<sub>2</sub> compensation point ( $\Gamma$ ), which is temperature-dependent (Bernacchi et al., 2003):

 $c = \mathsf{NPP'}/\mathsf{NPP}_0 = (c'_i - \Gamma)(c_i + 2\Gamma)/(c'_i + 2\Gamma)/(c_i - \Gamma)$ 

The "effective" value of  $\alpha$  at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] ( $\alpha$ ') is then given by:

5  $\alpha' = (1/a) \ln c + \alpha$ 

#### 2.6 Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was carried out to investigate the response of the predicted vegetation pattern to the separate and combined effects of a large increase in temperature, increase or decrease in precipitation and increase of  $[CO_2]$ , applied uniformly across

the region. For comparability with previously published results using the global BIOME4 model (Wang et al., 2011), we applied the same environmental changes as in that paper. Thus the mean temperature of each month was increased by 5 K, the mean precipitation of each month was increased or decreased by 30 %, and [CO<sub>2</sub>] was doubled from a reference value of 376 to 732 ppm. These changes were applied separately and in combination.

# 3 Results

#### 3.1 Data analysis

The triangular pattern illustrated by the CCA biplot summarizes the climate-vegetation relationship in China (Fig. 4a). The vertex of the triangle to the right of the biplot represents the extreme of high temperature, rainfall and productivity in South China. The other two vertices represent the dry and cold extremes, respectively, exemplified by the interior deserts (upper left) and the high elevations of the Tibetan Plateau (lower

| <b>Discussion</b> Pa | <b>B</b> (<br>10, 49–                      | BGD<br>10, 49–81, 2013<br>Modelling and<br>environmental<br>sensitivity of<br>vegetation<br>H. Wang et al. |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| per   Discussion     | Model<br>enviro<br>sensit<br>vege<br>H. Wa |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper                | Title                                      | Title Page   |  |  |  |  |
| _                    | Abstract                                   | Introduction   |  |  |  |  |
| Discu                | Conclusions                                | References   |  |  |  |  |
| Ission               | Tables                                     | Figures  |  |  |  |  |
| Pape                 | I  | ►I.  |  |  |  |  |
| Ϋ́,                  | •  | •  |  |  |  |  |
|                      | Back                                       | Close  |  |  |  |  |
| iscussi              | Full Scr                                   | Full Screen / Esc  |  |  |  |  |
| on Pa                | Printer-friendly Version                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| aper                 | Interactive Discussion                     |  |  |  |  |  |



left). Energy-related variables (mGDD<sub>5</sub>, MTWA, mGDD<sub>0</sub>, PAR<sub>5</sub>, PAR<sub>0</sub>, PET, GDD<sub>0</sub>, GDD<sub>5</sub>, MAT) and primary production (NPP<sub>LUE</sub> and NPP<sub>WUE</sub>) tend to align together, pointing towards the high-productivity vertex. Moisture-related variables (MI,  $\alpha$ , MAP) point in a direction opposite to the dry vertex. The shape of this diagram indicates the fundamental trade-off between high annual productivity (associated with climates that are both warm and wet) and tolerance of dry or cold conditions, both of which are in-

- are both warm and wet) and tolerance of dry or cold conditions, both of which are incompatible with high productivity. The two precipitation timing variables point towards the high-productivity vertex, consistent with the fact that both cold and dry vegetation classes are associated with strong summer or autumn rainfall maxima. Precipitation
- seasonal concentration points away from the high-productivity vertex, consistent with the negative effect of a prolonged dry season on total annual productivity. As the length of the thermal growing season declines towards cold climates, both mPAR<sub>0</sub> and mPAR<sub>5</sub> increase (because the growing season is increasingly restricted to the summer, when solar radiation is at a maximum). Therefore, the direction of mPAR<sub>0</sub> is almost opposite
- to MTCO. That the direction of mPAR<sub>5</sub> is somewhat different to that of mPAR<sub>0</sub> is consistent with the fact that the cold-resistant vegetation types tend to have cloudy conditions when temperatures exceed 5 °C, whereas xeric vegetation types tend to have more sunny conditions.

The three variables  $GDD_0$ ,  $mGDD_5$  and  $\alpha$  collectively can predict almost exactly the same pattern as in Fig. 4a (see Fig. 4b).  $GDD_0$  expresses the direction towards highproductivity vegetation;  $\alpha$  expresses the direction away from dry conditions;  $mGDD_5$ expresses the direction towards cold conditions.

#### 3.2 Model testing

5

Table 2 summarizes the GLMs fitted to the frequencies of each vegetation class as a function of these three variables. These models were used with the calibration procedure to generate maps of the predicted geographic distribution of each class. Comparison of predicted and observed vegetation distributions (Fig. 1) yielded a kappa value





of 0.745, judged as "substantial" agreement according to the criteria of Cohen (1960) and "good" (but very close to "excellent") agreement according to Monserud (1990).

The predicted vegetation map successfully captures the distributions and boundaries of most vegetation types in China. The distribution of the subtropical forest complex

- <sup>5</sup> (the most widely distributed forest class in China) is slightly over-estimated, extending into areas occupied by tropical rainforest along the south coast and northward into the temperate crop region in the north. The tropical monsoon forest complex along the south coast, and the tropical rainforest complex in the lowlands to the southeast of the Tibetan Plateau and on Hainan Island, are successfully predicted as separate
- classes. The temperate deciduous forest complex and temperate steppe are successfully predicted as the two major natural vegetation classes in north China, separated according to dryness. Temperate desert is correctly predicted as the most extensive vegetation class in northwestern China. Alpine tundra and steppe are correctly shown as occupying a large part of the Tibetan Plateau, transitioning to sparse alpine vegeta-
- tion in the north and to boreal and subalpine forest and shrubland in the east; Boreal and subalpine forest and shrubland are also distributed in the high-elevation area of northeastern China.

The primary agricultural systems in north China are also predicted well with temperate crops dominant across the North China Plain, cold-resistant crops and deciduous orchard on the Loess Plateau, and cold-resistant crops in a large area of northeastern China. The scattering of croplands at the foot of mountains in Xinjiang province are also captured. Temperate crops are also (incorrectly) predicted in the Yungui Plateau

of southwest China. The real vegetation there is the predicted potential vegetation, i.e. the subtropical forest complex, see upper second panel in Fig. 5. Other crop systems that are included in the natural vegetation classes (temperate deciduous forest complex)

that are included in the natural vegetation classes (temperate deciduous forest complex, subtropical forest complex and tropical monsoon forest complex) are implicitly predicted within the distribution of these natural vegetation classes.

Predictions of potential natural vegetation in areas currently dominated by crops are as follows (Fig. 5): temperate woodland and dry grassland, temperate steppe,





temperate deciduous forest complex and temperate woodland in the temperate zone; and subtropical forest complex in the subtropical zone. Temperate woodland and dry grassland, as a transition between temperate steppe and temperate deciduous forest complex, is predicted as the potential vegetation with continuous and extensive distribution in the temperate zone.

# 3.3 Sensitivity analysis

5

Results of the sensitivity experiments are shown in Fig. 5. Increasing temperature by 5 K shifts the predicted boundaries of most vegetation types northward and westward. Tropical monsoon rainforest is predicted to occupy a large area in south China and
a small area in the Sichuan Basin. Across the greater part of the Tibetan Plateau, alpine tundra and steppe are predicted to be replaced by temperate steppe in the south and in the north, and the temperate deciduous forest complex, boreal and subalpine forest and shrubland in the east. In this scenario, cold-resistant crops and deciduous orchards become suitable for planting along the river valleys in the southern part of the Plateau.

Changes in precipitation by  $\pm 30$  % shift the boundaries of mesic versus xeric vegetation with respect to a northeast-southwest axis where the current precipitation is at intermediate levels. In the southern (high-elevation) part of this axis, increased precipitation causes alpine tundra and steppe to give way to boreal and subalpine forest while spreading slightly northward into the area currently occupied by alpine sparse

- while spreading slightly northward into the area currently occupied by alpine sparse vegetation. In the northern part of this axis, increased precipitation benefits the mesic vegetation (boreal and subalpine forest and shrubland, the temperate deciduous forest complex, temperate woodland and dry grassland) at the expense of xeric vegetation (temperate steppe, temperate desert). The effects of decreasing precipitation are
- <sup>25</sup> broadly opposite to the effects of increasing precipitation. Increased precipitation also leads to a more heterogeneous vegetation on the Loess Plateau, with temperate woodland and dry grassland, temperate needleleaf forest and a temperate deciduous forest mosaic all widely distributed. In the rest of China, where precipitation is either very





low or high, the vegetation distribution responds to precipitation changes much less strongly. The boundaries of tropical forest and temperate desert remain almost the same whether precipitation is increased or decreased, while the northern boundary of the subtropical forest complex shifts slightly to the north or to the south.

[CO<sub>2</sub>] doubling is estimated to have effects similar to those of increasing precipitation by 30 %, favouring more woody vegetation in the forest-grassland transition region. In the temperate zone, [CO<sub>2</sub>] doubling produces a shift from temperate steppe to temperate woodland and dry grassland, and to temperate deciduous forest complex. On the Tibetan Plateau, [CO<sub>2</sub>] doubling favours boreal and subalpine forest and shrubland over alpine tundra and steppe.

#### 4 Discussion

15

# 4.1 Comparison with previously published results

The prediction for current vegetation distribution is broadly consistent with those made previously with the global BIOME4 model. However, apart from slightly overestimating the extent of the subtropical forest complex (called warm-temperate forest in BIOME4), the new empirical model captures some vegetation boundaries more accurately than

- the BIOME4 simulation. We successfully distinguished sparse alpine vegetation (tundra in BIOME4) from alpine tundra and steppe (dry tundra in BIOME4) on the Tibetan Plateau. The distributions of these classes are unrealistically simulated by BIOME4.
- The empirical model also more successfully captures the boundary between temperate steppe (grassland and dry shrubland in BIOME4) and the temperate deciduous forest complex (temperate forest in BIOME4). BIOME4 overestimates the distribution area of temperate steppe at the expense of temperate forest. By refining the categories of forest vegetation, the empirical model also provides more detailed information about
- the most economically productive semi-natural vegetation in China. Most importantly, the ability of the empirical model to predict cropland distributions points towards a way





to assess the changing suitability of agricultural systems under scenarios of changes in climate and  $[CO_2]$ .

As also shown by BIOME4, the transition region between mesic and xeric vegetation along a northeast-southwest axis is the region most likely to experience major vege-

- tation changes as a result of climate change. Warming is generally expected to favour woody vegetation there. The effects depend on the trajectory of precipitation changes; without an increase in precipitation, some regions suffer drought and thus a decline in forests. However the positive effect seems much stronger than the negative one, since water limitation is not severe in the most part of this region. On the Tibetan Plateau,
- <sup>10</sup> where energy is the key limitation for woody plants, boreal and subalpine forest and shrubland are predicted to expand in response to warming, causing alpine tundra and steppe to retreat westward. In the central part, temperate woodland and dry grassland tend to expand at the expense of temperate steppe while the subtropical forest complex expands at the expense of temperate woodland. In semi-arid regions in the
- <sup>15</sup> north, warming benefits temperate woodland and dry grassland at the expense of temperate steppe but also temperate steppe expands into the current distribution area of the temperate deciduous forest complex, due to drought. Changes in plant water availability, either directly due to changes in precipitation or indirectly due to [CO<sub>2</sub>]-induced changes in water use efficiency, are predicted to modify the effects of warming by in-<sup>20</sup> fluencing the competition between woody and herbaceous plants.

The Tibetan Plateau is identified both here and in the earlier study with BIOME4 study as a region liable to experience large changes in vegetation as a result of climate change. Both models predict that warming will cause alpine vegetation to retreat to the colder and drier areas toward the north. But BIOME4 suggests that alpine vegetation

will be replaced mainly by forests, while the empirical model suggests that it will be replaced by temperate steppe. The vegetation around the eastern edge of the Plateau was predicted by BIOME4 as being quite resistant to precipitation changes, but our new empirical model identifies this region as particularly sensitive to precipitation changes.





The present study indicates that the northern boundary of the tropical monsoon forest complex would move northward by as much as 4° of latitude and even emerge in Sichuan Basin. BIOME4 did not show this movement, probably because of the strong minimum temperature constraint applied to tropical forests in that model. The north-<sup>5</sup> ward movement predicted here is probably more realistic than the stasis shown by BIOME4.

The empirical model makes no prediction about the vegetation on the North China Plain when temperature increase is combined with precipitation decrease under recent  $[CO_2]$ . This is the most severe scenario for mesic vegetation. The region in question was identified as a sensitive area by BIOME4, which predicted a transition to grassland and dry shrubland. The empirical model makes no prediction because the climate under this scenario is outside the range that the empirical models could predict based on current observations. The actual vegetation is temperate croplands, which are adapted to the climate with the help of irrigation. However, the North China Plain is one of the

- <sup>15</sup> most water-scarce region in the world (having less than half the water availability per person than water-scarce Egypt, in relation to its population). The observed warmer and drier climate over the last four decades, combined with increasing water requirements both for industrial production and in daily life, has already exerted considerable pressure on irrigation systems. Most climate models suggest that precipitation should increase in this region, but this is not certain and not predicted by all models (Cruz et al. 2007). Thus irrigated agriculture in the North China Plain represents a potential
- et al., 2007). Thus irrigated agriculture in the North China Plain represents a potential area of vulnerability to changes in climate and water supply.

#### 4.2 The climate sensitivity of agriculture

10

By including crops in our analysis, we could investigate climate-dependent shifts in <sup>25</sup> agricultural zones. Generally, warming is projected to shift agricultural zones significantly northward. This is directly demonstrated for the major cropping systems in North China, and implicitly indicated by the projected shift of natural vegetation types that include some agricultural types. These findings are consistent with data from the





Chinese National Bureau of Statistics (2009) showing that during the period from the early 1980s to 2007, warming enabled a significant northward expansion of rice planting in the northernmost region, between 48° and 52° N. By 2007 the planted area of winter wheat in northern China moved northward by nearly 100 km compared with

the 1960s. Paddy rice, the new dominant crop in the original corn-planting areas, has greatly expanded in Heilongjiang Province (Li and Wang et al., 2010). However, our analysis also points to the strong dependence of the cropland area in north China on precipitation, and the importance of irrigation requirements that could be limited by water supply. Unlike rainfed crops, decreased runoff with climate change could become an important limitation for the shift of irrigated crops in some area.

Agricultural systems that were included in natural vegetation classes showed relatively minor responses to precipitation change, with one exception: "one crop annually short growing period cold-resistant crops", which was included in the temperate deciduous forest complex. The effects of  $[CO_2]$  on crop distribution cannot realistically be assessed in this framework, however, because the main mechanism would be via the total increase of  $C_3$  crop productivity due to  $CO_2$  fertilization as well as water saving, rather than the effects of enhanced water use efficiency mediated by competition.

Topography could limit agricultural expansion. Although crops are predicted by the model in the Yungui Plateau, and their area of suitability predicted to expand, the actual vegetation there today is forest. This area is well known for its karst topography which makes agriculture difficult.

# 4.3 Regional variations in the response to uniform perturbations of climate and $[CO_2]$

According to projected climate trends summarized in Cruz et al. (2007), warming over all of China is likely to be greater during winter than summer, and the warming is likely to be especially strong on the Tibetan Plateau and in semi-arid regions. Mean precipitation will likely increase in most of China but decrease in some western areas. Thus, woody vegetation will be favoured by future climate, as well as by elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>]. In





other words, forests with their important functions in carbon sequestration, water retention and high biodiversity will likely continue to be the predominant natural vegetation cover in a large area of China, and the area suitable for forests is likely to expand into regions currently occupied by grasslands. The Tibetan plateau and some inland desert areas are projected to experience large vegetation changes.

# 4.4 Caveats and requirements for further research

5

10

The prediction skill of our empirical model for the present climate is inevitably highly reliant on the accuracy of the gridded climate data used to develop and run the model. One problematic region is the lower-elevation area to the southeast of the Tibetan Plateau (Zangnan area, the disputed territory between China and India) where the gridded climate data are not well constrained by observations. This data problem is probably the cause of the model's underestimation of tropical rainforest in this region, and means that the projection of climate change effects here should be treated with skepticism.

- <sup>15</sup> We have shown results of climate change in the form of stylized sensitivity experiments, rather than plausible scenarios of the future. The idea was to understand how a uniform perturbation of climate would affect vegetation patterns. It remains to be seen how realistic climate change scenarios, derived from climate models, translate into projected effects on ecosystems in China. Realistic scenarios include additional regional
- variations in the climate changes themselves and in particular, the whole region is not predicted to get uniformly drier or wetter; but rather some areas are predicted to get drier and some wetter. Only [CO<sub>2</sub>] is expected to change uniformly across the country. Thus, it remains for future work to use the models that have been developed to indicate likely directions of change in vegetation distribution and productivity.
- Acknowledgements. This work was supported by a National Basic Research Program of China grant (2010CB951303) and an award to J. N. from the One Hundred Talents Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. We thank the China Scholarship Council (CSC) and Macquarie University for supporting H. W. to study at Macquarie.





#### References

10

15

30

- Antle, J. M., Apps, M., Beamish, R., Chapin, T., Cramer, W., Frangi, J., Laine, J., Erda, L., Magnuson, J., Noble, I., Price, J., Prowse, T., Root, T., Schulze, E., Sirotenko, O., Sohngen, B., and Soussana, J.: Ecosystems and their goods and services, in: Climate Change 2001:
- Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability, Contribution of Working Group II to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: McCarthy, J. J., Canziani, O. F., Leary, N. A., Dokken, D. J., and White, K. S., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 235–342, 2002.
  - Beaumont, L. J., Hughes, L., and Poulsen, M.: Predicting species distributions: use of climatic parameters in BIOCLIM and its impact on predictions of species, current and future distribu-
  - tions, Ecol. Model., 186, 251–270, 2005.
  - Boucher-Lalonde, V., Morin, A., and Currie, D. J.: How are tree species distributed in climatic space? A simple and general pattern, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 21, 1157–1166, 2012.
  - Cohen, J.: A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales, Educ. Psychol. Meas., 20, 37–46, 1960.
- Cruz, R. V., Harasawa, H., Lal, M., Wu, S., Anokhin, Y., Punsalmaa, B., Honda, Y., Jafari, M., Li, C., and Ninh, N. H.: Asia, in: Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Parry, M. L., Canziani, O. F., Palutikof, J. P., van der
- Linden, P. J., and Hanson, C. E., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 469–506, 2007. Easterling, W. E., Aggarwal, P. K., Batima, P., Brander, K. M., Erda, L., Howden, S. M., Kirilenko, A., Morton, J., Soussana, J.-F., Schmidhuber, J., and Tubiello, F. N.: Food, Fiber, and Forest Products, in: Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Cli-
- <sup>25</sup> mate Change, edited by: Parry, M. L., Canziani, O. F., Palutikof, J. P., van der Linden, P. J., and Hanson, C. E., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 273–313, 2007.
  - Gallego-Sala, A. V. and Prentice, I. C.: Blanket peat biome endangered by climate change, Nat. Clim. Change, doi:10.1038/nclimate1672, 2012.

Guisan, A. and Zimmermann, N. E.: Predictive habitat distribution models in ecology, Ecol. Model., 135, 147–186, 2000.





Hancock, P. A., and Hutchinson, M. F.: Spatial interpolation of large climate data sets using bivariate thin plate smoothing splines, Environmental Modelling & Software, 21, 1684–1694, 2006.

Harrison, S. P. and Prentice, I. C.: Climate and CO<sub>2</sub> controls on global vegetation distribution

- at the last glacial maximum: analysis based on palaeovegetation data, biome modeling and palaeoclimate simulations, Glob. Change Biol., 9, 983–1004, 2003.
  - Harrison, S. P., Prentice, I. C., Barboni, D., Kohfeld, K. E., Ni, J., and Sutra, J.-P.: Ecophysiological and bioclimatic foundations for a global plant functional classification, J. Veg. Sci., 21, 300–317, 2010.
- <sup>10</sup> Hartigan, J. A. and Wong, M. A.: Algorithm AS 136: a *k*-means clustering algorithm, J. Roy. Stat. Soc. C-App., 28, 100–108, 1979.

Howden, S. M., Reyenga, P. J., and Meinke, H.: Global change impacts on Australian wheat cropping - Report to the Australian Greenhouse Office, CSIRO Wildlife and Ecology, Canberra, 1999.

- Keenan, T., Maria Serra, J., Lloret, F., Ninyerola, M., and Sabaté, S.: Predicting the future of forests in the Mediterranean under climate change, with niche- and process-based models: CO<sub>2</sub> matters!, Glob. Change Biol., 17, 565–579, 2011.
  - Li, Y. and Wang, C.: Impacts of climate change on crop planting structure in China, Adv. Clim. Change Res., 6, 123–129, 2010.
- <sup>20</sup> Luo, T. X.: Patterns of net primary productivity for Chinese major forest types and their mathematical models, Doctor of Philosophy, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 1996.
  - Monserud, R. A.: Methods for comparing global vegetation maps, Working Paper WP-90-40International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, 31 pp., 1990.

Pearson, R. G. and Dawson, T. P.: Predicting the impacts of climate change on the distribution of species: are bioclimate envelope models useful?, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 12, 361–371,

- of species: are bioclimate envelope models useful?, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 12, 361–3/1, 2003.
  - Peterson, A. T.: Predicting species' geographic distributions based on ecological niche modeling, The Condor, 103, 599–605, 2001.
  - Phillips, S. J., Anderson, R. P., and Schapire, R. E.: Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions, Ecol. Model., 190, 231–259, 2006.

30

Piao, S., Ciais, P., Huang, Y., Shen, Z., Peng, S., Li, J., Zhou, L., Liu, H., Ma, Y., Ding, Y., Friedlingstein, P., Liu, C., Tan, K., Yu, Y., Zhang, T., and Fang, J.: The impacts of climate change on water resources and agriculture in China, Nature, 467, 43–51, 2010.





**Discussion** Paper vegetation H. Wang et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures Tables |**◀ Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

- Prentice, I. C. and Harrison, S. P.: Ecosystem effects of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration: evidence from past climates, Clim. Past, 5, 297–307, doi:10.5194/cp-5-297-2009, 2009.
- Prentice, I. C., Cramer, W., Harrison, S. P., Leemans, R., Monserud, R. A., and Solomon, A. M.: A global biome model based on plant physiology and dominance, soil properties and climate,
- J. Biogeogr., 19, 117–134, 1992. 5
  - Prentice, I. C., Sykes, M. T., and Cramer, W.: A simulation model for the transient effects of climate change on forest landscapes, Ecol. Model., 65, 51–70, 1993.
  - Prentice, I. C., Harrison, S. P., and Bartlein, P. J.: Global vegetation and terrestrial carbon cycle changes after the last ice age, New Phytol., 189, 988-998, 2011a.
- Prentice, I. C., Meng, T., Wang, H., Harrison, S. P., Ni, J., and Wang, G.: Evidence of a universal 10 scaling relationship for leaf CO<sub>2</sub> drawdown along an aridity gradient, New Phytol., 190, 169– 180, 2011b.
  - Prentice, I. C., Baines, P. G., Scholze, M., and Wooster, M. J.: Fundamentals of climate change science, in: Understanding the Earth System: Global Change Science for Application, edited
- by: Cornell, S. E., Prentice, I. C., House, J. I., and Downy, C. J., Cambridge University Press, 15 39-71, 2012.
  - Rosenzweig, C., Casassa, G., Karoly, D. J., Imeson, A., Liu, C., Menzel, A., Rawlins, S., Root, T. L., Seguin, B., and Tryjanowski, P.: Assess- ment of observed changes and responses in natural and managed systems, in: Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability.
- Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental 20 Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Parry, M. L., Canziani, O. F., Palutikof, J. P., van der Linden, P. J., and Hanson, C. E., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 79–131, 2007.
  - Rounsevell, M. D. A., Pedroli, B., Erb, K.-H., Gramberger, M., Busck, A. G., Haberl, H., Kristensen, S. R., Kuemmerle, T., Lavorel, S., Lindner, M., Lotze-Campen, H., Metzger, M. J.,
- Murray-Rust, D., Popp, A., Pérez-Soba, M., Reenberg, A., Vadineanu, A., Verburg, P. H., and 25 Wolfslehner, B.: Challenges for land system science, Land Use Policy, 29, 899–910, 2012. Rural Socio-Economic Survey Organization: National Bureau of Statistics of China Agricultural Statistics from 1978 to 2007 (in Chinese), China Statistics Press, Beijing, 2009. Sitch, S., Huntingford, C., Gedney, N., Levy, P. E., Lomas, M., Piao, S. L., Betts, R., Ciais, P.,
- Cox, P., Friedlingstein, P., Jones, C. D., Prentice, I. C., and Woodward, F. I.: Evaluation of the 30 terrestrial carbon cycle, future plant geography and climate-carbon cycle feedbacks using five Dynamic Global Vegetation Models (DGVMs), Glob. Change Biol., 14, 2015–2039, 2008.



Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

environmental sensitivity of vegetation H. Wang et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Tables Figures |**◀ Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

BGD

10, 49-81, 2013

Modelling and

Discussion Paper

**Discussion Paper** 

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper



Smith, M. J., Vanderwel, M. C., Lyutsarev, V., Emmott, S., and Purves, D. W.: The climate dependence of the terrestrial carbon cycle; including parameter and structural uncertainties, Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 13439–13496, doi:10.5194/bgd-9-13439-2012, 2012.

Sykes, M. T., Prentice, I. C., and Cramer, W.: A bioclimatic model for the potential distributions

 of north European tree species under present and future climates, J. Biogeogr., 23, 203–233, 1996.

Ter Braak, C. J. F., and Prentice, I. C.: A Theory of Gradient Analysis, Adv. Ecol. Res., 18, 271–331, 1998.

Wang, H., Ni, J., and Prentice, I. C.: Sensitivity of potential natural vegetation in China to pro-

- jected changes in temperature, precipitation and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, Reg. Environ. Change, 11, 715–727, 2011.
  - Wang, H., Prentice, I. C., and Ni, J.: Primary production in forests and grasslands of China: contrasting environmental responses of light- and water-use efficiency models, Biogeosciences, 9, 4689-4705,doi:10.5194/bg-9-4689-2012, 2012.
- <sup>15</sup> Warren, D. L.: In defense of "niche modeling", Trends Ecol. Evol., 27, 497–500, 2012.

Table 1. Allocation of observed plant communities from the Vegetation Atlas of China at a scale of 1:1 million (Hou, 2001) to vegetation classes, based on k-means clustering with modifications as described in the text.

| Vegetation classes   | Plant communities   |
|----------------------|---|
| Sparse alpine        | (1) Alpine sparse vegetation;   |
| vegetation           | <ol><li>alpine cushion dwarf semi-shrubby desert;</li></ol>                     |
|                      | (3) alpine cushion vegetation   |
| Alpine tundra        | (4) Alpine tundra;  |
| and steppe           | (5) alpine grass, carex steppe;   |
|                      | (6) alpine kobresia spp., forb meadow   |
| Boreal and subalpine | <ul><li>(7) Cold-temperate and temperate mountains needleleaf forest;</li></ul> |
| forest and shrubland | <ul><li>(8) subalpine broadleaf deciduous scrub;</li></ul>                      |
|                      | <ul><li>(9) subalpine broadleaf evergreen sclerophyllous scrub;</li></ul>       |
|                      | (10) subalpine broadleaf needleleaf evergreen scrub;                            |
|                      | (11) alpine swamp   |
| Temperate deciduous  | (12) Temperate mixed needleleaf and broadleaf deciduous forest;                 |
| forest complex       | (13) temperate broadleaf deciduous forest;                                      |
|                      | (14) temperate grass-forb meadow steppe;  |
|                      | (15) temperate grass and forb meadow;   |
|                      | (16) temperate grass, carex and forb swamp meadow;                              |
|                      | (17) subtropical and tropical mountains needleleaf forest;                      |
|                      | (18) cold-temperate and temperate swamp;  |
|                      | (19) one crop annually short growing period cold-resistant crops                |
| Temperate woodland   | (20) Temperate microphyllous deciduous woodland;                                |
| and dry grassland    | (21) temperate grass-forb community   |
| Temperate needleleaf | (22) Temperate needleleat forest  |
| Torest               |   |
| remperate steppe     | (23) remperate needlegrass and steppe;  |
|                      | (24) temperate dwarf needlegrass, dwarf semi-shrubby desert steppe;             |
|                      | (25) temperate proadleat deciduous scrub  |

**Discussion** Paper **BGD** 10, 49-81, 2013 Modelling and environmental sensitivity of **Discussion** Paper vegetation H. Wang et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Tables **Figures** .∎◄ < Close Back **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

**Discussion** Paper



#### Table 1. Continued.

| Vegetation classes                           | Plant communities  |
|--|--|
| Temperate desert                             | (26) Temperate dwarf semi-arboreous desert;  |
|  | (27) temperate shrubby desert;   |
|  | (28) temperate shrubby steppe desert;  |
|  | (29) temperate semi-shrubby and dwarf semi-shrubby desert;                           |
|  | (30) temperate succulent holophytic dwarf semi-shrubby desert;                       |
|  | (31) temperate annual graminoid desert;  |
|  | (32) temperate grass and forb holophytic meadow                                      |
| Subtropical montane                          | (33) Subtropical mountains mixed needleleaf,   |
| forest                                       | broadleaf evergreen and deciduous forest;  |
|  | (34) subtropical broadleaf evergreen sclerophyllous forest                           |
| Subtropical forest                           | (35) Subtropical needleleaf forest;  |
| complex                                      | (36) subtropical broadleaf evergreen forest;   |
|  | (37) subtropical monsoon broadleaf evergreen forest;                                 |
|  | (38) subtropical, tropical bamboo forest and bamboo scrub;                           |
|  | (39) subtropical and tropical broadleaf evergreen and deciduous scrub;               |
|  | <li>(40) subtropical and tropical evergreen xeromorphic succulent thorny scrub;</li> |
|  | (41) subtropical and tropical grass-forb community;                                  |
|  | (42) subtropical and tropical swamp;   |
|  | (43) two crops containing upland and irrigation annually, evergreen and              |
|  | deciduous orchards, economic forest;   |
|  | (44) two crops or three crops containing upland and irrigation rotate crops          |
|  | annually (with double-cropping rice), evergreen                                      |
|  | orchards and subtropical economic forest   |
| Subtropical deciduous                        | (45) Subtropical broadleaf deciduous forest;   |
| and mixed forest                             | (46) subtropical mixed broadleaf evergreen and deciduous forest                      |
| Tropical monsoon                             | (47) Tropical monsoon rain forest;   |
| forest complex                               | (48) tropical mangrove;  |
|  | (49) three-crops annually, tropical evergreen orchards and economic forest           |
| Tropical rainforest                          | (50) Tropical rain forest;   |
| complex                                      | (51) tropical needleleaf forest;   |
|  | (52) tropical coral limestone broadleaf evergreen succulent scrub and dwarf forest   |
| Cold-resistant crops                         | (53) One crop annually and cold-resistant economic crops                             |
| Cold-resistant crops with deciduous orchards | (54) One crop annually, cold-resistant economic and deciduous orchards               |
| Temperate crops                              | (55) Three crops two years and two crops annually                                    |
|  | non irrigation, deciduous orchard  |





**Table 2.** Regression intercept and coefficients of predictors in the finally accepted model for each vegetation class. A: terms excluded due to lack of realism in the fitted model. B: terms excluded due to lack of statistical significance. See text for further explanation of these criteria. \*\*\* denotes significance at P < 0.001.

| Vegetation classes                           | intercept  | tercept mGDD <sub>5</sub> alpha |           | alpha     |            | GDD                      |                              |
|--|------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
|  |            | linear                          | quadratic | linear    | quadratic  | linear* ×10 <sup>3</sup> | quadratic* × 10 <sup>6</sup> |
| Sparse alpine vegetation                     | 0.851***   | -0.828***                       | В         | -1.988*** | А          | А                        | А                            |
| Alpine tundra and steppe                     | -2.544***  | 0.785***                        | -0.219*** | 9.306***  | -7.724***  | A                        | Α                            |
| Boreal and subalpine forest and shrubland    | -8.728***  | 1.591***                        | -0.136*** | 6.847***  | Α          | -1.202***                | В                            |
| Temperate deciduous forest complex           | -14.037*** | 0.826***                        | -0.043*** | 16.486*** | -7.496***  | 1.817***                 | -1***                        |
| Temperate woodland and dry grassland         | -20.334*** | 0.185***                        | В         | 14.252*** | -11.166*** | 5.672***                 | -0.782***                    |
| Temperate needleleaf forest                  | -35.632*** | В                               | В         | 57.222*** | -37.023*** | 5.174***                 | -0.649***                    |
| Temperate desert                             | -1.625***  | A                               | А         | -9.357*** | -2.580***  | 3.25***                  | -0.525***                    |
| Temperate steppe                             | -9.703***  | 1.639***                        | -0.087*** | 19.535*** | -20.431*** | -0.878***                | В                            |
| Subtropical montane forest                   | -21.705*** | В                               | -0.091*** | 23.719*   | -12.058*   | 5.783***                 | -0.694***                    |
| Subtropical forest complex                   | -22.758*** | Α                               | А         | 9.465***  | Α          | 4.930***                 | -0.392***                    |
| Subtropical deciduous and mixed forest       | -23.936*** | Α                               | А         | 15.091*** | В          | 2.998***                 | -0.361***                    |
| Tropical monsoon forest complex              | -30.611*** | Α                               | А         | 10.898*** | В          | 2.385***                 | В                            |
| Tropical rainforest complex                  | -36.660*** | Α                               | А         | 22.428*** | -22.769*** | 7.442***                 | -0.435***                    |
| Cold-resistant crops                         | -44.721*** | Α                               | А         | 30.824*** | -20.914*** | 20.973***                | -3.316***                    |
| Cold-resistant crops with deciduous orchards | -20.898*** | Α                               | А         | 17.351*** | -15.347*** | 8.471***                 | -1.228***                    |
| Temperate crops                              | -51.822*** | Α                               | А         | 23.104*** | -19.350*** | 18.966***                | -1.946***                    |





**Table 3.** Coefficients of the linear relationships between probability (as predicted by the models in Table 2) and frequency. Calibrated predictions  $f^*$  were obtained using  $f^* = a \cdot f + b$  where f is the probability predicted by the models.

| Vegetation                                   | а      | b      |
|--|--------|--------|
| Sparse alpine vegetation                     | 2.257  | -0.059 |
| Alpine tundra and steppe                     | 1.524  | -0.071 |
| Boreal and subalpine forest and shrubland    | 2.123  | -0.055 |
| Temperate deciduous forest complex           | 1.885  | -0.094 |
| Temperate woodland and dry grassland         | 13.06  | -0.123 |
| Temperate needleleaf forest                  | 16.426 | -0.064 |
| Temperate desert                             | 1.347  | -0.049 |
| Temperate steppe                             | 2.223  | -0.116 |
| Subtropical montane forest                   | 6.574  | -0.011 |
| Subtropical forest complex                   | 1.285  | -0.058 |
| Subtropical deciduous and mixed forest       | 13.75  | -0.07  |
| Tropical monsoon forest complex              | 2.007  | -0.009 |
| Tropical rainforest complex                  | 2.784  | -0.004 |
| Cold-resistant crops                         | 2.844  | -0.089 |
| Cold-resistant crops with deciduous orchards | 7.970  | -0.191 |
| Temperate crops                              | 1.890  | -0.056 |







**Fig. 1.** The observed (upper panel) and predicted (lower panel) vegetation distribution in China. The plant communities from the Vegetation Atlas of China at a scale of 1 : 1 million (Hou, 2001) were aggregated into 16 vegetation classes based on their bioclimatic context.









**Fig. 2.** The distribution pattern of the three bioclimatic predictors used to construct models for each vegetation class: annual accumulated temperature above 0 °C (GDD<sub>0</sub>), mean temperature of the period above 5 °C (mGDD<sub>5</sub>), and the Cramer–Prentice index of plant-available moisture ( $\alpha$ ).

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

**Fig. 4.** Biplot of plant communities and environmental variables with all sample sites (gray dots) from canonical correspondence analysis using **(a)** 20 predictor variables, and **(b)** a subset of 3 predictor variables selected using the Akaike information criterion. See text for the abbreviations of environmental variables, and Table 1 for the code number of plant communities. Vegetation classes are distinguished with colours as in the legend of Fig. 1.

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. 5. Projected vegetation patterns for six climatic sensitivity experiments, under recent and doubled  $[CO_2]$ .

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)