SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Model Development

General

Ecosys is an hourly time-step model with multiple canopy and soil layers that provide a framework for different plant and microbial populations to acquire, transform and exchange resources (energy, water, C, N and P). The model is constructed from algorithms representing basic physical, chemical and biological processes that determine process rates in plant and microbial populations interacting within complex biomes. These algorithms interact to simulate complex ecosystem behaviour across a wide range of spatial and biological scales. The model is designed to represent terrestrial ecosystems under range of natural and anthropogenic disturbances and environmental changes at patch (spatially homogenous one-dimensional) and landscape (spatially variable two- or three-dimensional) scales. A comprehensive description of *ecosys* with a detailed listing of inputs, outputs, governing equations, parameters, results and references can be found in Grant (2001). A more detailed description of model algorithms and parameters most relevant to simulating temperature, water and nutrient effects on *NEP* is given below, with reference to equations and variable definitions in Appendices A, B, C and D below.

Appendix A: Soil C, N and P Transformations

Decomposition

Organic transformations in *ecosys* occur in five organic matter–microbe complexes (coarse woody litter, fine non-woody litter, animal manure, particulate organic matter (POM), and humus) in each soil layer. Each complex consists of five organic states: solid organic matter S, dissolved organic matter Q, sorbed organic matter A, microbial biomass M, and microbial residues Z, among which C, N, and P are transformed. Organic matter in litter and manure complexes are partitioned from proximate analysis results into carbohydrate, protein, cellulose, and lignin components of differing vulnerability to hydrolysis. Organic matter in POM, humus, microbial biomass and microbial residues in all complexes are also partitioned into components of differing vulnerability to hydrolysis.

The rate at which each component of each organic state in each complex is hydrolyzed during decomposition is a first-order function of the decomposer biomass Mof all heterotrophic microbial populations [A1]. Decomposer biomasses are redistributed among complexes from active biomasses according to biomass – substrate concentration differences (priming) [A3]. The rate at which each component is hydrolyzed is also a Monod function of substrate concentration [A3,A5], calculated from the fraction of substrate mass colonized by M [A4]. Hydrolysis rates are controlled by T_s through an Arrhenius function [A6] and by soil water content (θ) through its effect on aqueous microbial concentrations [M] [A3,A5] in surface litter and in a spatially resolved soil profile. T_s and θ are calculated from surface energy balances and from heat and water transfer schemes through canopy–snow–residue–soil profiles as described in *Energy* *Exchange* above. Release of N and P from hydrolysis of each component in each complex is determined by its N and P concentrations [A7] which are determined from those of the originating litterfall as described in *Autotrophic Respiration and Growth* above. Most non-lignin hydrolysis products are released as dissolved organic C, N and P (DOC, DON, and DOP) which are adsorbed or desorbed according to a power function of their soluble concentrations [A8 – A10].

Microbial Growth

The DOC decomposition product is the substrate for heterotrophic respiration (R_h) by all M in each substrate-microbe complex [A13]. Total R_h for all soil layers [A11] drives CO₂ emission from the soil surface through volatilization and diffusion. R_h may be constrained by microbial N or P concentrations, T_s , DOC and O₂ [A12 - A14]. O₂ uptake by M is driven by R_h [A16] and constrained by O₂ diffusivity to microbial surfaces [A17], as described for roots in *Autotrophic Respiration and Growth* above. Thus R_h is coupled to O₂ reduction by all aerobic M according to O₂ availability. R_h not coupled with O₂ reduction is coupled with the sequential reduction of NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, and N₂O by heterotrophic methanogens. In addition, autotrophic nitrifiers conduct NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ oxidation, and NO₂⁻ reduction, and autotrophic methanogens and methanotrophs conduct CH₄ production and oxidation.

All microbial populations undergo maintenance respiration R_m [A18,A19], depending on microbial N and T_s as described earlier for plants. R_h in excess of R_m is used in growth respiration R_g [A20], the energy yield ΔG of which drives growth in biomass M from DOC uptake according to the energy requirements of biosynthesis [A21, A22]. R_m in excess of R_h causes microbial decay. M also undergoes first-order decay D_m [A23]. Internal retention and recycling of microbial N and P during decay [A24] is modelled whenever these nutrients constrain R_h [A12]. Changes in M arise from differences between gains from DOC uptake and losses from $R_m + R_g + D_m$ [A25].

Microbial Nutrient Exchange

During these changes, all microbial populations seek to maintain set minimum ratios of C:N or C:P in *M* by mineralizing or immobilizing NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , and $H_2PO_4^-$ [A26], thereby controlling solution $[NH_4^+]$, $[NO_3^-]$ and $[H_2PO_4^-]$ that determine root and mycorrhizal uptake in *Nutrient Uptake and Translocation* above. If immobilization is inadequate to maintain these minimum ratios, then biomass C:N or C:P may rise, but R_h is constrained by N or P present in the lowest concentration with respect to that at the minimum ratio [A12]. Non-symbiotic heterotrophic diazotrophs can also fix aqueous N₂ [A27] to the extent that immobilization is inadequate to maintain their set minimum C:N, but at an additional respiration cost [A28]. Changes in microbial N and P arise from DON and DOP uptake plus NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , and $H_2PO_4^-$ immobilization and N₂ fixation, less NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , and $H_2PO_4^-$ mineralization and microbial N and P decomposition [A29].

Humification

C, N and P decomposition products in each organic matter–microbe complex are gradually stabilized into more recalcitrant organic forms with lower C:N and C:P ratios. Products from lignin hydrolysis [A1,A7] combine with some of the products from protein and carbohydrate hydrolysis in the litterfall and manure complexes and are transferred to the POM complex [A31–A34]. Microbial decomposition products [A23, A24] from all complexes are partitioned between the humus complex and microbial residues in the originating complex according to soil clay content [A35, A36].

Appendix B: Soil-Plant Water Relations

Canopy Transpiration

Canopy energy exchange in *ecosys* is calculated from an hourly two-stage convergence solution for the transfer of water and heat through a multi-layered multipopulation soil-root-canopy system. The first stage of this solution requires convergence to a value of canopy temperature T_c for each plant population at which the first-order closure of the canopy energy balance (net radiation R_n , latent heat flux *LE* [B1a,b,c], sensible heat flux *H* [B1d], and change in heat storage *G*) is achieved. These fluxes are controlled by aerodynamic (r_a) [B3] and canopy stomatal (r_c) [B2] resistances. Two controlling mechanisms are postulated for r_c which are solved in two successive steps:

- (1) At the leaf level, leaf resistance r_1 [C4] controls gaseous CO₂ diffusion through each leaf surface when calculating CO₂ fixation [C1] from concurrent solutions for diffusion V_g [C2] and carboxylation V_c [C3]. The value of r_1 is calculated from a minimum leaf resistance r_{lmin} [C5] for each leaf surface that allows a set ratio for intercellular to canopy CO₂ concentration C_i ': C_b to be maintained at V_c under ambient irradiance, air temperature T_a , C_a and zero canopy water potential (ψ_c) (V_c '). This ratio will be allowed to vary diurnally as described in *Gross Primary Productivity* below when ψ_c is solved in the second stage of the convergence solution, described under *Water Relations* below. Values of r_{lmin} are aggregated by leaf surface area to a canopy value r_{cmin} for use in the energy balance convergence scheme [B2a].
- (2) At the canopy level, r_c rises from r_{cmin} at zero ψ_c from step (1) above through an exponential function of canopy turgor potential ψ_t [B2b] calculated from ψ_c and osmotic water potential ψ_{π} [B4] during convergence for transpiration vs. water uptake.

Root and Mycorrhizal Water Uptake

Root and mycorrhizal water uptake U [B5] is calculated from the difference between canopy water potential ψ_c and soil water potential ψ_s across soil and root hydraulic resistances Ω_s [B9] and Ω_r [B10 – B12] in each rooted soil layer [B6]. Root resistances are calculated from root radial [B10] and from primary [B11] secondary [B12] axial resistivities using root lengths and surface areas from a root system submodel [B13] driven by exchange of nonstructural C, N and P along concentration gradients generated by uptake vs. consumption of C, N and P in shoots and roots (Grant, 1998).

Canopy Water Potential

After convergence for T_c is achieved, the difference between canopy transpiration E_c from the energy balance [B1] and total root water uptake U_c [B5] from all rooted layers in the soil is tested against the difference between canopy water content from the previous hour and that from the current hour [B14]. This difference is minimized in each iteration by adjusting ψ_c which in turn determines each of the three terms in [B14]. Because r_c and T_c both drive E_c , the canopy energy balance described under *Canopy Transpiration* above is recalculated for each adjusted value of ψ_c during convergence.

Appendix C: Gross Primary Productivity, Autotrophic Respiration, Growth and Litterfall

C₃ Gross Primary Productivity

After successful convergence for T_c and ψ_c (described in *Plant Water Relations* above), V_c is recalculated from that under zero $\psi_c (V_c)$ to that under ambient ψ_c . This recalculation is driven by stomatal effects on V_g [C2] from the increase in r_{lmin} at zero ψ_c [C5] to r_c at ambient ψ_c [C4], and by non-stomatal effects f_{ψ} [C9] on CO₂- and light-limited carboxylation V_b [C6] and V_j [C7] (Grant and Flanagan, 2007). The recalculation of V_c is accomplished through a convergence solution for C_i and its aqueous counterpart C_c at which V_g [C2] equals V_c [C3] (Grant and Flanagan, 2007). The CO₂ fixation rate of each leaf surface at convergence is added to arrive at a value for gross primary productivity (*GPP*) by each plant population in the model [C1]. The CO₂ fixation product is stored in nonstructural C pools σ_c in each branch.

GPP is strongly controlled by nutrient uptake $U_{\rm NH_4}$, $U_{\rm NO_3}$ and $U_{\rm PO_4}$ [C23], products of which are added to nonstructural N ($\sigma_{\rm N}$) and P ($\sigma_{\rm P}$) in root and mycorrhizal layers where they are coupled with $\sigma_{\rm C}$ to drive growth of branches, roots and mycorrhizae as described in *Growth and Senescence* below. Low $\sigma_{\rm N}$: $\sigma_{\rm C}$ or $\sigma_{\rm P}$: $\sigma_{\rm C}$ in branches indicate excess CO₂ fixation with respect to N or P uptake for phytomass

- growth. Such ratios in the model have two effects on GPP: (1) They reduce activities of rubisco [C6a] and chlorophyll [C7a] through product inhibition [C11], thereby simulating the suppression of CO₂ fixation by leaf $\sigma_{\rm C}$ accumulation widely reported in the literature.
- (2) They reduce the structural N:C and P:C ratios at which leaves are formed because $\sigma_{\rm C}$, $\sigma_{\rm N}$ and $\sigma_{\rm P}$ are the substrates for leaf growth. Lower structural ratios cause a proportional reduction in areal concentrations of rubisco [C6b] and chlorophyll [C7b], reducing leaf CO₂ fixation.

Autotrophic Respiration

The temperature-dependent oxidation of these nonstructural pools (R_c) [C14], plus the energy costs of nutrient uptake [C23], drive autotrophic respiration (R_a) [C13] by all branches, roots and mycorrhizae. R_c by roots and mycorrhizae is constrained by O₂ uptake U_{O2} [C14b] calculated by solving for aqueous O₂ concentrations at root and mycorrhizal surfaces [O_{2r}] at which convection + radial diffusion through the soil aqueous phase plus radial diffusion through the root aqueous phase [C14d] equals active uptake driven by O₂ demand from R_c [C14c] (Grant, 2004). These diffusive fluxes are in turn coupled to volatilization – dissolution between aqueous and gaseous phases in soil and root [D14]. The diffusion processes are driven by aqueous O₂ concentrations sustained by transport and dissolution of gaseous O₂ through soil and roots (Grant 2004), and are governed by lengths and surface areas of roots and mycorrhizae (Grant, 1998). Thus R_c is coupled to O₂ reduction by all root and mycorrhizal populations according to O₂ availability. R_c is first used to meet maintenance respiration requirements (R_m), calculated independently of R_c from the N content in each organ, and a function of T_c or T_s [C16]. Any excess of R_c over R_m is expended as growth respiration R_g , constrained by branch, root or mycorrhizal ψ_t [C17]. When R_m exceeds R_c , the shortfall is met by the respiration of remobilizable C (R_s) in leaves and twigs or roots and mycorrhizae [C15].

Growth and Litterfall

 $R_{\rm g}$ drives the conversion of branch $\sigma_{\rm C}$ into foliage, twigs, branches, boles and reproductive material according to organ growth yields $Y_{\rm g}$ and phenology-dependent partitioning coefficients [C20], and the conversion of root and mycorrhizal $\sigma_{\rm C}$ into primary and secondary axes according to root and mycorrhizal growth yields. Growth also requires organ-specific ratios of nonstructural N ($\sigma_{\rm N}$) and P ($\sigma_{\rm P}$) from $U_{\rm NH_4}$, $U_{\rm NO_3}$ and $U_{\rm PO_4}$ [C23] which are coupled with $\sigma_{\rm C}$ to drive growth of branches, roots and mycorrhizae.

The translocation of $\sigma_{\rm C}$, $\sigma_{\rm N}$ and $\sigma_{\rm P}$ among branches and root and mycorrhizal layers is driven by concentration gradients generated by production of $\sigma_{\rm C}$ from branch GPP and of σ_N and σ_P from root and mycorrhizal uptake vs. consumption of σ_C , σ_N and $\sigma_{\rm P}$ from R_c , R_g and phytomass growth (Grant 1998). Low $\sigma_{\rm N}$: $\sigma_{\rm C}$ or $\sigma_{\rm P}$: $\sigma_{\rm C}$ in mycorrhizae and roots indicates inadequate N or P uptake with respect to CO₂ fixation. These ratios affect translocation of $\sigma_{\rm C}$, $\sigma_{\rm N}$ and $\sigma_{\rm P}$ by lowering mycorrhizal – root – branch concentration gradients of σ_N and σ_P while raising branch – root – mycorrhizal concentration gradients of $\sigma_{\rm C}$. These changes slow transfer of $\sigma_{\rm N}$ and $\sigma_{\rm P}$ from root to branch and hasten transfer of $\sigma_{\rm C}$ from branch to root, increasing root and mycorrhizal growth at the expense of branch growth, and thereby raising N and P uptake [C23] with respect to CO₂ fixation. Conversely, high $\sigma_{\rm N}$: $\sigma_{\rm C}$ or $\sigma_{\rm P}$: $\sigma_{\rm C}$ in roots and mycorrhizae indicate excess N or P uptake with respect to CO_2 fixation. Such ratios reduce specific activities of root and mycorrhizal surfaces for N or P uptake through a product inhibition function as has been observed experimentally. These changes hasten transfer of $\sigma_{\rm N}$ and $\sigma_{\rm P}$ from root to branch and slow transfer of $\sigma_{\rm C}$ from branch to root, increasing branch growth at the expense of root and mycorrhizal growth, and thereby slowing N and P uptake Thus the modelled plant translocates $\sigma_{\rm C}$, $\sigma_{\rm N}$ and $\sigma_{\rm P}$ among branches, roots and mycorrhizae to maintain a functional equilibrium between acquisition and use of C, N and P by different parts of the plant.

 $R_{\rm g}$ is limited by ψ_t [C17], and because branch ψ_t declines relatively more with soil drying than does root ψ_t , branch $R_{\rm g}$ also declines relatively more with soil drying than does root $R_{\rm g}$, slowing oxidation of $\sigma_{\rm C}$ in branches and allowing more translocation of $\sigma_{\rm C}$ from branches to roots. This change in allocation of $\sigma_{\rm C}$ enables more root growth to

reduce Ω_s , Ω_r and Ω_a , and hence increase U [B6], thereby offsetting the effects of soil drying on ψ_t . Thus the modelled plant translocates σ_C , σ_N and σ_P among branches, roots and mycorrhizae to maintain a functional equilibrium between acquisition and use of water.

 $R_{\rm s}$ [C15] drives the withdrawal of remobilizable C, N and P (mostly nonstructural protein) from leaves and twigs or roots and mycorrhizae into $\sigma_{\rm N}$ and $\sigma_{\rm P}$, and the loss of associated non-remobilizable C, N and P (mostly structural) as litterfall [C18, C19a,b]. Provision is also made to withdraw remobilizable N or P from leaves and twigs or roots and mycorrhizae when ratios of $\sigma_{\rm N}$: $\sigma_{\rm C}$ or $\sigma_{\rm P}$: $\sigma_{\rm C}$ become smaller than those required for growth of new phytomass [C19c,d]. This withdrawal drives the withdrawal of associated remobilizable C, and the loss of associated non-remobilizable C, N and P as litterfall. Environmental constraints such as water, heat, nutrient or O₂ stress that reduce $\sigma_{\rm C}$ and hence $R_{\rm c}$ with respect to $R_{\rm m}$ therefore hasten litterfall. In addition, concentrations of $\sigma_{\rm C}$, $\sigma_{\rm N}$ and $\sigma_{\rm P}$ in roots and mycorrhizae drive exudation of nonstructural C, N and P to DOC, DON and DOP in soil [C19e-i].

 R_a of each branch or root and mycorrhizal layer is the total of R_c and R_s , and net primary productivity (NPP) is the difference between canopy GPP [C1] and total R_a of all branches and root and mycorrhizal layers [C13]. Phytomass net growth is the difference betweanden gains driven by R_g and Y_g , and losses driven by R_s and litterfall [C20]. These gains are allocated to leaves, twigs, wood and reproductive material at successive branch nodes, and to roots and mycorrhizae at successive primary and secondary axes, driving leaf expansion [C21a] and root extension [C21b]. Losses from remobilization and litterfall in shoots start at the lowest node of each branch at which leaves or twigs are present, and proceed upwards when leaves or twigs are lost. Losses in roots and mycorrhizae start with secondary axes and proceeds to primary axes when secondary axes are lost.

Root and Mycorrhizal Nutrient Uptake

Root and mycorrhizal uptake of N and P U_{NH_4} , U_{NO_3} and U_{PO_4} is calculated by solving for solution $[NH_4^+]$, $[NO_3^-]$ and $[H_2PO_4^-]$ at root and mycorrhizal surfaces at which radial transport by mass flow and diffusion from the soil solution to these surfaces [C23a,c,e] equals active uptake by the surfaces [C23b,d,f]. Path lengths and surface areas for U_{NH_4} , U_{NO_3} and U_{PO_4} are calculated from a root and mycorrhizal growth submodel driven by exchange of nonstructural C, N and P along concentration gradients generated by uptake vs. consumption of C, N and P in shoots and roots (Grant, 1998). A product inhibition function is included to avoid uptake in excess of nutrient requirements [C23g].

C₄ Gross Primary Productivity

C₄ Mesophyll

In C₄ plants, the mesophyll carboxylation rate is the lesser of CO₂- and lightlimited reaction rates [C26] (Berry and Farquhar, 1978). The CO₂-limited rate is a Michaelis-Menten function of PEP carboxylase (PEPc) activity and aqueous CO₂ concentration in the mesophyll [C29] parameterized from Berry and Farquhar (1978) and from Edwards and Walker (1983). The light-limited rate [C30] is a hyperbolic function of absorbed irradiance and mesophyll chlorophyll activity [C31] with a quantum requirement based on 2 ATP from Berry and Farquhar (1978). PEPc [C32] and chlorophyll [C33] activities are calculated from specific activities multiplied by set fractions of leaf surface N density, and from functions of C₄ product inhibition (Jiao and Chollet, 1988; Lawlor, 1993) [C34], ψ_c ([C35] as described in Grant and Flanagan, 2007) and T_c [C36]. Leaf surface N density is controlled by leaf structural N:C and P:C ratios calculated during leaf growth from leaf non-structural N:C and P:C ratios arising from root N and P uptake (Grant, 1998) vs. CO₂ fixation.

C₄ Mesophyll-Bundle Sheath Exchange

Differences in the mesophyll and bundle sheath concentrations of the C₄ carboxylation product drive mesophyll-bundle sheath transfer (Leegood, 2000) [C37]. The bundle sheath concentration of the C₄ product drives a product-inhibited decarboxylation reaction (Laisk and Edwards, 2000) [C38], the CO₂ product of which generates a concentration gradient that drives leakage of CO₂ from the bundle sheath to the mesophyll [C39]. CO₂ in the bundle sheath is maintained in 1:50 equilibrium with HCO_3^- (Laisk and Edwards, 2000). At this stage of model development, the return of a C₃ decarboxylation product from the bundle sheath to the mesophyll is not simulated. Parameters used in Eqs. [C37 – C39] allowed mesophyll and bundle sheath concentrations of C₄ carboxylation products from [C40 – C41] to be maintained at values consistent with those in Leegood (2000), bundle sheath concentrations of CO₂ (from Eq. [C42]) to be maintained at values similar to those reported by Furbank and Hatch (1987), and bundle sheath CO₂ leakiness [C39]), expressed as a fraction of PEP carboxylation, to be maintained at values similar to those in Williams et al. (2001), in sorghum as described in Grant et al. (2004).

C₄ Bundle Sheath

A C₃ model in which carboxylation is the lesser of CO₂- and light-limited reaction rates (Farquhar et al., 1980) has been parameterized for the bundle sheath of C₄ plants [C43] from Seeman et al. (1984). The CO₂-limited rate [C44] is a Michaelis-Menten function of RuBP carboxylase (RuBPc) activity and bundle sheath CO₂ concentration [C42]. The light-limited rate [C45a] is a hyperbolic function of absorbed irradiance and activity of chlorophyll associated with the bundle sheath with a quantum yield based on 3 ATP [C46]. The provision of reductant from the mesophyll to the bundle sheath in NADP-ME species is not explicitly simulated. RuBPc [C47] and chlorophyll [C48] activities are the products of specific activities and concentrations multiplied by set fractions of leaf surface N density, and from functions of C₃ product inhibition (Bowes, 1991; Stitt, 1991) [C49], ψ_c (Eq. A12 from Grant and Flanagan, 2007) and T_c [C36].

Rates of C₃ product removal are controlled by phytomass biosynthesis rates driven by concentrations of nonstructural products from leaf CO₂ fixation and from root N and P uptake. If biosynthesis rates are limited by nutrient uptake, consequent depletion of nonstructural N or P and accumulation of nonstructural C will constrain specific activities of RuBP and chlorophyll [C47 – C49], and thereby slow C₃ carboxylation [C43], raise bundle sheath CO₂ concentration [C42], accelerate CO₂ leakage [C39], slow C₄ decarboxylation [C38], raise C₄ product concentration in the bundle sheath [C41], slow C₄ product transfer from the mesophyll [C37], raise C₄ product concentration in the mesophyll [C40], and slow mesophyll CO₂ fixation [C32 – C35]. This reaction sequence simulates the progressive inhibition of C₃ and C₄ carboxylation hypothesized by Sawada et al. (2002) following partial removal of C sinks in C₄ plants.

Shoot - Root - Mycorrhizal C, N, P Transfer

Shoot – root C transfers Z_{sC} are calculated such that concentrations of σ_{C} with respect to structural phytomass in each branch and root layer approach equilibrium according to conductances g_{sC} calculated from shoot – root distances and axis numbers in each root layer [C50] (Grant, 1998). Because σ_{C} is generated by CO₂ fixation in branches [C1], g_{sC} cause shoot-to-root gradients of σ_{C} that drive Z_{sC} . Shoot – root N and P transfers $Z_{sN,P}$ are calculated such that concentrations of $\sigma_{N,P}$ with respect to σ_{C} in each branch and root layer approach equilibrium according to rate constants $g_{sN,P}$ [C51]. Because $\sigma_{N,P}$ are generated by uptake in roots [C23], $g_{sN,P}$ cause root-to-shoot gradients of $\sigma_{N,P}$ that drive $Z_{sN,P}$.

Similarly, root - mycorrhizal C transfers Z_{rC} are calculated such that concentrations of σ_{C} with respect to structural phytomass in each root and mycorrhizal layer approach equilibrium according to rate constants g_{rC} [C52] (Grant, 1998). Because σ_{C} is maintained by Z_{sC} [C50], g_{rC} cause root-to-mycorrhizal gradients of σ_{C} that drive Z_{rC} . Root - mycorrhizal N and P transfers $Z_{rN,P}$ are calculated such that concentrations of $\sigma_{N,P}$ with respect to σ_{C} in each root and mycorrhizal layer approach equilibrium according to rate constants $g_{rN,P}$ [C53]. Because mycorrhizal $\sigma_{N,P}$ are generated by uptake with greater surface area and length with respect to phytomass [C23], $g_{rN,P}$ cause mycorrhizal-to-root gradients of $\sigma_{N,P}$ that drive $Z_{rN,P}$.

Appendix D: Soil Water, Heat, Gas and Solute Fluxes

Surface Water Flux

Surface runoff is modelled using Manning's equation [D1] with surface water velocity v [D3] calculated from surface geometry [D5a] and slope [D5b], and with surface water depth d [D2] calculated from surface water balance [D4] using kinematic wave theory.

Subsurface Water Flux

Subsurface water flow [D7] is calculated from Richard's equation using bulk soil water potentials ψ_s of both cells if both source and destination cells are unsaturated [D9a], or Green-Ampt equation using ψ_s beyond the wetting front of the unsaturated cell if either source or destination cell is saturated [D9b] (Grant et al., 2004). Subsurface water flow can also occur through macropores using Poiseulle-Hagen theory for laminar flow in tubes (Dimitrov et al., 2010), depending on inputs for macropore volume fraction.

Exchange with Water Table

If a water table is present in the model, subsurface boundary water fluxes between saturated boundary grid cells and a fixed external water table are calculated from lateral hydraulic conductivities of the grid cells, and from elevation differences and lateral distances between the grid cells and the external water table [D10]. These terms are determined from set values for the depth d_t of, and lateral distance L_t to, an external water table.

Surface Heat Flux

Surface heat fluxes (*G*) arising from closure of the energy balance at snowpack, surface litter and soil surfaces [D11] (Grant et al., 1999) drive conductive – convective fluxes among snowpack, surface litter and soil layers [D12]. These fluxes drive freezing – thawing (Q_f) and changes temperatures (*T*) in snowpack, surface litter and soil layers [D13].

Gas Flux

All gases undergo volatilization – dissolution between the gaseous and aqueous phases in the soil [D14a] and root [D14b], and between the atmosphere and the aqueous phase at the soil surface [D15a], driven by gaseous – aqueous concentration differences calculated from solubility coefficients and coupled to diffusive uptake by roots [C14] and microbes [A17]. Gases also undergo convective - conductive transfer among soil layers driven by gaseous concentration gradients and diffusivities [D16a,b,c] calculated from air-filled porosities [D17a,b,c], and from each rooted soil layer directly to the atmosphere through roots driven by gaseous concentration gradients and diffusivities [D16d] calculated from root porosities [D17d]. Gases may also bubble upwards from soil zones in which the total partial pressure of all aqueous gases exceeds atmospheric pressure [D18].

Solute Flux

All gaseous and non-gaseous solutes undergo convective - dispersive transfer among soil layers driven by aqueous concentration gradients and dispersivities [D19] calculated from water-filled porosity [D20] and water flow length [D21].

Appendix E: Solute Transformations

Precipitation - Dissolution Equilibria

Solution $[NH_4^+]$, $[NO_3^-]$ and $[H_2PO_4^-]$ that drive U_{NH_4} , U_{NO_3} and U_{PO_4} [C23] are controlled by precipitation, adsorption and ion pairing reactions (Grant et al., 2004; Grant and Heaney, 1997), including precipitation-dissolution of Al(OH)₃, Fe(OH)₃, CaCO₃, CaSO₄, AlPO₄, FePO₄, Ca(H₂PO₄)₂, CaHPO₄, and Ca₅(PO₄)₃OH [E1 – E9], cation exchange between Ca²⁺, NH₄⁺ and other cations [E10 – E15], anion exchange between adsorbed and soluble H₂PO₄⁻, HPO₄²⁻ and OH⁻ [E16 – E20], and ion pairing [E22 – E55].

Key governing equations for simulating net ecosystem productivity in *ecosys*. Variables input to the model appear in bold with values given in the **Definition of Variables** below.

Appendix F: N₂ Fixation

Rhizobial Growth

Modelling the activity of symbiotic N₂ fixing bacteria in roots follows a protocol similar to that of non-symbiotic N₂ fixing bacteria in soil. Respiration demand is driven by specific activity, microbial biomass M_n , and nonstructural C concentration $[\chi_n]$ in root nodules [F1], and is constrained by temperature [F2] and microbial N or P status [F3]. Nodule respiration *R* is constrained by the extent to which O₂ uptake meets O₂ demand [F4] imposed by respiration demand [F5]. O₂ uptake is in turn constrained by rhizosphere [O_{2r}] [F6a] which is controlled by radial diffusion of O₂ through soil water to roots and nodules [F6b]. Soil water [O₂] is maintained by dissolution of O₂ from soil air which is in turn maintained by soil-atmosphere gas exchange and vertical diffusion (Grant, 2004). R_h is first allocated to maintenance respiration R_m [F7 – F8] and the remainder if any is allocated to growth respiration R_g [F9]. If R_m exceeds R_h , the shortfall is made up from respiration of microbial protein C, forcing senescence and litterfall of associated nonprotein C [F10 – F11].

N₂ Fixation

N₂ fixation V_{N_2} is driven by R_g [F12], but is constrained by accumulation of nonstructural N v_n with respect to nonstructural C and P also required for microbial growth in the nodule [F13]. Nonstructural N v_{nd} is the product of V_{N_2} , so that [F12] simulates the inhibition of N₂ fixation by its product (Postgate, 1998). The value of V_{N_2} is also limited by the additional N needed to maintain bacterial N content [N_n'] of M_n [F12], so that N₂ fixation is constrained by the need of nodule bacteria for N not met from other sources (Postgate, 1998). Respiration required for N₂ fixation R_{N_2} [F14] is subtracted from R_g [F15] when calculating microbial growth [F16 – F18]. Microbial senescence drives N and P litterfall [F19 – F20].

Nodule – Root Exchange

Exchange of nonstructural C, N and P between roots and nodules is driven by concentration gradients [F21 – F23] created by generation, transfer and consumption of nonstructural C, N and P in shoots, roots, mycorrhizae and nodules. Nonstructural C is generated in shoots and transferred along concentration gradients to roots and thence to nodules [F21]. Nonstructural P is generated in roots and transferred along concentration gradients to shoots and nodules [F23]. Nonstructural N is generated in roots through mineral uptake and in nodules through gaseous fixation [F22]. Nonstructural C, N and P in nodules is determined by root-nodule exchange, by nodule respiration and fixation, and by remobilization from nodule litterfall [F24 – F26].

Root nonstructural N (v_r) may rise if high mineral N concentrations in soil sustain rapid N uptake by roots. Large v_r suppresses or even reverses the transfer of v_n from nodule to root [F22], raising v_n [F25] and hence suppressing V_{N_2} [F12 – F13]. Large v_r also accelerates the consumption of χ_r , slowing its transfer to nodules [F21], reducing χ_n [F24] and hence slowing nodule growth [F1]. Conversely, slow root N uptake caused by low soil mineral N concentrations would lower v_{rt} and raise χ_{rt} , hastening the transfer of v_n from nodule to root and of χ_{rt} from root to nodule, lowering v_n , raising χ_n , and accelerating V_{N_2} . However [F13] also allows V_{N_2} to be constrained by nonstructural C and P concentrations arising from CO₂ fixation and root P uptake.

Appendix G: CH₄ Production and Consumption

Anaerobic Fermenters and H₂ Producing Acetogens

The states $S_{i,j,k}$, $B_{i,k}$ and $Z_{i,j,k}$ in *ecosys* are substrates for hydrolysis by all active (*j* = a) heterotrophic biomass communities $M_{i,n,a}$ (Eqs. [1 - 7] of Grant et al., 1993a), which include fermenters plus acetogens. Hydrolysis products are transferred to soluble organic matter $DOC_{i,k}$ which is the substrate for respiration and uptake by microbial biomass $M_{i,n,j}$ as described for aerobic heterotrophs in Eq. [11] of Grant et al., (1993a). Respiration $R_{i,f}$ of $DOC_{i,c}$ by fermenters plus acetogens (n = f) is a Michaelis-Menten function of $[DOC_{i,c}]$ inhibited by O₂ (Eq. [G1]). Respiration products are partitioned among A_{i.c}, CO₂ and H₂ according to Brock and Madigan (1991) (Eq. [G2]). R_{i,f} beyond that used for maintenance respiration drives the uptake of additional DOC*i*, c (Eq. [G3]) for microbial growth according to the growth yield Y_f of fermentation (Eq. [G4]). The growth yield from fermentation is calculated by dividing the free energy change of fermentation, adjusted for H_2 product concentration (Eq. [G5]), by the energy required to transform soluble organic C into microbial C (Eq. [G4]). Change in $M_{i,f,j}$ is thus the difference between uptake and respiration of $DOC_{i,C}$, less decomposition (Eq. [G6]). This change determines $M_{i,f,a}$ used in the following calculation of $R_{i,f}$ (Eq. [G1]). Ratios of $M_{i,f,j,c}$ to $M_{i,f,j,n}$ determine mineralization-immobilization of N (Eq. [23] in Grant et al., 1993a). Decomposition products $D_{i,f,j,k}$ are partitioned to microbial residues $Z_{i,j,k}$ and soil organic matter $S_{i,j,k}$ (where i =passive soil organic matter) (Eqs. [26-28] in Grant et al., 1993a) which undergo further hydrolysis.

Acetotrophic Methanogens

The fermenter product $A_{i,c}$ (Eq. [G2]) is the substrate for respiration $R_{i,m}$ by acetotrophic methanogens (n = m) (Eq. [G7]). Respiration products are partitioned between CH₄ and CO₂ according to Brock and Madigan (1991) (Eq. [G8]). $R_{i,m}$ beyond that used for maintenance respiration drives the uptake of additional $A_{i,c}$ (Eq. [G9]) for microbial growth according to the growth yield Y_m of acetotrophic methanogenesis (Eq. [G10]). This growth yield is calculated by dividing the free energy change of acetotrophic methanogenesis (Brock and Madigan, 1991) by the energy required to transform acetate into microbial C. Acetogenic methanogens in the model use acetate as their sole carbon and energy source (Smith and Mah, 1980). Change in $M_{i,m,j}$ is thus the difference between uptake and respiration of $A_{i,c}$, less decomposition (Eq. [G11]). This change determines $M_{i,m,a}$ used in the following calculation of $R_{i,m}$ (Eq. [G7]). Mineralization and decomposition processes are the same as those for other microbial populations.

Hydrogenotrophic Methanogens

The fermenter products CO₂ and H₂ (Eq. [G2] are the substrates for CO₂ reduction by hydrogenotrophic methanogens (n = h) which are assumed to be autotrophic (Eq. [G12]). Respiration products are partitioned between CH₄ and H₂O according to Brock and Madigan (1991) (Eq. [G13]). R_h beyond that used for maintenance respiration drives the uptake of additional CO₂ (Eq. [G14]) for microbial growth according to the growth yield Y_h of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis (Brock and Madigan, 1991) (Eq. [G15]). This growth yield is calculated by dividing the free energy change of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis, adjusted for H₂ substrate concentration (Eq. [G16]), by the energy required to transform CO₂ into microbial C. Change in M_{h,j} is thus the difference between uptake and respiration of CO₂, less decomposition (Eq. [G17]). This change determines M_{h,a} used in the following calculation of R_h (Eq. [G12]). Mineralization and decomposition processes are the same as those for other microbial populations.

Autotrophic Methanotrophs

Methane generated by acetotrophic and hydrogenotrophic methanogens is the substrate for CH₄ oxidation by autotrophic methanotrophs (n = t) (Eq. [G18]). The stoichiometry and energetics of the methanotrophic reactions (Eqs. [G22 - G24]) are based on those of CH₄ to CO₂ in Brock and Madigan (1991). The oxidation of CH₄ to CO₂ is coupled through an energy yield with the oxidation of CH₄ to organic C used in microbial respiration (Eq. [G19]). The energy yield from CH₄ oxidation is calculated by dividing the free energy change of CH_4 oxidation by the energy required to transform CH_4 into organic C (Eq. [G20]). Oxygen requirements to sustain CH₄ oxidation rates are then calculated from the stoichiometries of CH₄ oxidation (Eq. [G22 and G23]) and aerobic microbial respiration (Eq. [G24]). The O₂ concentrations at methanotrophic microsites are then found at which active O_2 uptake driven by requirements for CH_4 oxidation equals spherical O_2 diffusion to the microsites from the soil solution. These microsites are considered to be uniformly distributed on soil surfaces and are separated from the soil atmosphere (if present) by a water film of uniform thickness that depends upon soil water potential. The O₂ uptake by each aerobic microbial population in the model competes with that by all other aerobic microbial populations (e.g. Grant, 1995; Grant and Rochette, 1994), and is constrained by O₂ transfer rates through the gaseous and aqueous phases of the soil profile. The ratio of O₂ uptake to O₂ requirement f_{O2t} is then used to constrain CH₄ oxidation rates (Eq. [G21]) so that CH₄ oxidation is stoichiometrically coupled to O_2 uptake. Growth respiration by methanotrophs is calculated as the difference between total respiration R_t from Eq. [G21b] and maintenance respiration R_{mt} from Eqs. [18-19] of Grant et al. (1993a). Growth respiration drives the uptake and transformation of additional CH_4 into microbial biomass $M_{t,C}$ (Eq. [G25]) according to the growth yield. This yield is calculated by dividing the free energy change of CH₄ oxidation (Brock and Madigan, 1991) (Eq. [G18]) by the energy required to construct new microbial biomass from CH₄ (Eq. [G26]). Net growth of the methanotrophic population $M_{t,i,C}$ is calculated as the uptake of $CH_4 - C$ minus respiration and decomposition of assimilated C (Eq. [G27]). This change determines $M_{t,a}$ used in the following calculation of X'_t (Eq. [G18]). Mineralization and decomposition processes are the same as those for other microbial populations.

This submodel of autotrophic methanotrophy has been used to simulate methanotrophic growth yields, specific growth rates, CH_4 concentration profiles and the sensitivity of CH_4 uptake to temperature and water content in soil columns (Grant, 1999). The combined submodels of anaerobic fermentation, acetotrophic methanogenesis, hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis and autotrophic methanotrophy have been used to simulate methanogenic growth yields, specific growth rates, and the time course of CH_4 emissions from differently amended soil columns at different temperatures (Grant, 1998b). All input parameter values used in Eqs. [G1] to [G27] (Table 1) were derived from the microbiological literature and remain unchanged from those used in Grant (1998b) and in Grant (1999).

Appendix H: Inorganic N Transformations

Mineralization and Immobilization of Ammonium by All Microbial Populations

Each functional component *j* (*j* = labile or resistant) of each microbial population m (m = obligately aerobic bacteria, obligately aerobic fungi, facultatively anaerobic denitrifiers, anaerobic fermenters plus H₂-producing acetogens, acetotrophic methanogens, hydrogenotrophic methanogens and methanotrophs, NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ oxidizers, and non-symbiotic diazotrophs) in each substrate-microbe complex *i* (*i* = animal manure, coarse woody plant residue, fine non-woody plant residue, particulate organic matter, or humus) seeks to maintain a set C:N ratio by mineralizing NH₄⁺ ([H1a]) or by immobilizing NH₄⁺ ([H1b]) or NO₃⁻ ([H1c]). Provision is made for C:N ratios to rise above set values during immobilization, but at a cost to microbial function. These transformations control the exchange of N between organic and inorganic states.

Oxidation of DOC and Reduction of Oxygen by Heterotrophs

Constraints on heterotrophic oxidation of DOC imposed by O₂ uptake are solved in four steps:

- 1) DOC oxidation under non-limiting O₂ is calculated from active biomass and DOC concentration ([H2]),
- 2) O₂ reduction under non-limiting O₂ is calculated from 1) using a set respiratory quotient ([H3]),
- 3) O₂ reduction under ambient O₂ is calculated from radial O₂ diffusion through water films of thickness determined by soil water potential ([H4a]) coupled with active uptake at heterotroph surfaces driven by 2) ([H4b]). O₂ diffusion and active uptake is population-specific, allowing the development of more anaerobic conditions at microbial surfaces associated with more biologically active substrates. O₂ uptake by heterotrophs also accounts for competition with O₂ uptake by nitrifiers, roots and mycorrhizae,
- 4) DOC oxidation under ambient O_2 is calculated from 2) and 3) ([H5]). The energy yield of DOC oxidation drives the uptake of additional DOC for construction of microbial biomass $M_{i,h}$ according to construction energy costs of each heterotrophic population

(Eqs. [7] to [13] in Grant and Pattey, 2003). Energy costs of denitrifiers are slightly larger than those of obligate heterotrophs, placing denitrifiers at a competitive disadvantage for growth and hence DOC oxidation if electron acceptors other than O_2 are not used.

Oxidation of DOC and Reduction of Nitrate, Nitrite and Nitrous Oxide by Denitrifiers Constraints imposed by NO₃⁻ availability on DOC oxidation by denitrifiers are solved in five steps:

- NO₃⁻ reduction under non-limiting NO₃⁻ is calculated from a fraction of electrons demanded by DOC oxidation but not accepted by O₂ because of diffusion limitations ([H6]),
- 2) NO₃⁻ reduction under ambient NO₃⁻ is calculated from 1) [([H7]),
- 3) NO₂⁻ reduction under ambient NO₂⁻ is calculated from demand for electrons not met by NO₃⁻ in 2) [([H8]),
- 4) NO₂⁻ reduction under ambient NO₂⁻ is calculated from demand for electrons not met by NO₂⁻ in 3) [([H9]),
- 5) additional DOC oxidation enabled by NO_x reduction in 2), 3) and 4) is added to that enabled by O₂ reduction from [H5], the energy yield of which drives additional DOC uptake for construction of $M_{i,n}$. This additional uptake offsets the disadvantage incurred by the larger construction energy costs of denitrifiers.

Oxidation of Ammonia and Reduction of Oxygen by Nitrifiers

Constraints on nitrifier oxidation of NH₃ imposed by O₂ uptake are solved in four steps:

- 1) substrate (NH₃) oxidation under non-limiting O₂ is calculated from active biomass and from NH₃ and CO₂ concentrations ([H11]),
- 2) O₂ reduction under non-limiting O₂ is calculated from 1) using set respiratory quotients ([H12]),
- 3) O₂ reduction under ambient O₂ is calculated from radial O₂ diffusion through water films of thickness determined by soil water potential ([H13a]) coupled with active uptake at nitrifier surfaces driven by 2) ([H13b]). O₂ uptake by nitrifiers also accounts for competition with O₂ uptake by heterotrophic DOC oxidizers, roots and mycorrhizae,
- 4) NH₃ oxidation under ambient O₂ is calculated from 2) and 3) ([H14]). The energy yield of NH₃ oxidation drives the fixation of CO₂ for construction of microbial biomass *M_{i,n}* according to construction energy costs of each nitrifier population (Eqs. [32] to [34] in Grant and Pattey, 2003).

Oxidation of Nitrite and Reduction of Oxygen by Nitrifiers

Constraints on nitrifier oxidation of NO₂⁻ imposed by O₂ uptake ([H15] to [H18]) are solved in the same way as are those of NH₃ ([H11] to [H14]). The energy yield of NO₂⁻ oxidation drives the fixation of CO₂ for construction of microbial biomass $M_{i,o}$ according to construction energy costs of each nitrifier population.

Oxidation of Ammonia and Reduction of Nitrite by Nitrifiers

Constraints on nitrifier oxidation imposed by NO₂⁻ availability are solved in three steps:

- 1) NO₂⁻ reduction under non-limiting NO₂⁻ is calculated from a fraction of electrons demanded by NH₃ oxidation but not accepted by O₂ because of diffusion limitations ([H19]),
- 2) NO₂⁻ reduction under ambient NO₂⁻ and CO₂ is calculated from step (1) [([H20]), competing for NO₂⁻ with [H18],
- 3) additional NH₃ oxidation enabled by NO₂⁻ reduction in 2) [H21] is added to that enabled by O₂ reduction from [H14]. The energy yield from this oxidation drives the fixation of additional CO₂ for construction of $M_{i,n}$.

Appendix A: Soil C, N and P Transformations

Decomposition

$D_{Si,j,l,C} = D'_{Si,j,l,C} M_{i,d,l,C} f_{tgl} (S_{i,l,C} / G_{i,l,C})$	decomposition of litter, POC, humus	[A1a]
$D_{Zi,j,l,C} = D'_{Zi,j,l,C} M_{i,d,l,C} f_{tgl} (Z_{i,l,C} / G_{i,l,C})$	decomposition of microbial residues	[A1b]
$D_{Ai,l,C} = D'_{Ai,l,C} M_{i,d,l,C} f_{tgl} (A_{i,l,C} / G_{i,l,C})$	decomposition of adsorbed SOC	[A1c]
$S_{i,l,\mathrm{C}} = \Sigma_j S_{i,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	total C in all kinetic components of litter, POC, humus	[A2a]
$Z_{i,l,C} = \Sigma_j Z_{i,j,l,C}$	total C in all kinetic components of microbial residues	[A2b]
$G_{i,l,C} = S_{i,l,C} + Z_{i,l,C} + A_{i,l,C}$	total C in substrate-microbe complexes	[A2c]
$M_{i,d,l,C} = M_{i,a,l,C} + q_m (M_{i,a,l,C} \ G_{ix,l,C} - M_{ix,a,l,C} \ G_{i,l,C}) / (G_{ix,l,C} + G_{i,l,C})$	redistribution of active microbial biomass populations from each	[A3a]
$M_{i,a,l,C} = \sum_n M_{i,n,a,l,C}$	substrate-microbe complex <i>i</i> to other substrate-microbe complexes <i>ix</i> according to concentration differences (priming)	[A3b]
$D'_{Si,j,l,C} = \{ \boldsymbol{D}_{Sj,C} [S_{i,j,l,C}] \} / \{ [S_{i,j,l,C}] + \boldsymbol{K}_{mD} (1.0 + [\Sigma M_{i,d,l,C}] / \boldsymbol{K}_{iD}) \}$	substrate and water constraint on <i>D</i> from colonized litter, POC and	[A4a]
$D'_{Zi,j,l,C} = \{ \boldsymbol{D}_{Zj,C} [Z_{i,j,l,C}] \} / \{ [Z_{i,j,l,C}] + \boldsymbol{K}_{\mathbf{m}D} (1.0 + [M_{i,d,l,C}] / \boldsymbol{K}_{\mathbf{i}D}) \}$	humus, microbial residues and adsorbed SOC	[A4b]
$D'_{Ai,l,C} = \{ \boldsymbol{D}_{A,C} [A_{i,l,C}] \} / \{ [A_{i,l,C}] + \boldsymbol{K}_{mD} (1.0 + [M_{i,d,l,C}] / \boldsymbol{K}_{iD}) \}$		[A4c]
$\delta S_{i,j,k,l,C} / \delta t = \boldsymbol{\beta} \ \Sigma_n \left(U_{i,n,lC} - R_{hi,n,l} \right) \left(S'_{i,j,k,l,C} / S'_{i,j,l,C} \right) \left\{ \left(S'_{i,j,l,C} / S_{i,j,l,C} / S_{i,j,l,C} / S_{i,j,l,C} \right) / \left(S'_{i,j,l,C} / S_{i,j,l,C} / S_{i,j,l,C} \right) \right\}$	colonized litter increases with microbial growth into uncolonized litter	[A5]
$f_{tgl} = T_{sl} \{ e^{[B - H_{a} / (R T_{sl})]} \} / \{ 1 + e^{[(H_{dl} - ST_{sl}) / (R T_{sl})]} + e^{[(ST_{sl} - H_{dh}) / (R T_{sl})]} \}$	Arrhenius function for D and $R_{\rm h}$	[A6]

$D_{Si,j,l,N,P} = D_{Si,j,l,C} \left(S_{i,j,l,N,P} / S_{i,j,l,C} \right)$	$\frac{driven by that of C in litter, POC,}{humus, microbial residues}$ and adsorbed SOC		[A7a]
$D_{Zi,j,l,N,P} = D_{Zi,j,l,C} (Z_{i,j,l,N,P} / Z_{i,j,l,C})$			[A7b]
$D_{Ai,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}} = D_{Ai,l,\mathrm{C}} \left(A_{i,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}} / A_{i,l,\mathrm{C}} \right)$			[A7c]
$Y_{i,l,C} = k_{ts} (G_{i,l,C} F_s [Q_{i,l,C}]^b - X_{i,l,C})$	Freundlich sorption	on of DOC	[A8]
$Y_{i,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}} = Y_{i,l,\mathrm{C}} \left(Q_{i,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}} / Q_{i,l,\mathrm{C}} \right)$	$(Y_{i,l,C}>0)$	adsorption of DON, DOP	[A9]
$Y_{i,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}} = Y_{i,l,\mathrm{C}} \left(X_{i,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}} / X_{i,l,\mathrm{C}} \right)$	$(Y_{i,l,C} < 0)$	desorption of DON, DOP	[A10]
Microbial Growth			
$R_{\rm h} = \sum_i \sum_n \sum_l R_{{\rm h}i,n,l}$	total heterotrophic	c respiration	[A11]
$\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{h}i,n,l} = \boldsymbol{R'}_{\mathrm{h}n} \min\{\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathrm{N}i,n,l,a} / \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathrm{N}j}, \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathrm{P}i,n,l,a} / \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathrm{P}j}\}$	$R_{\rm h}$ constrained by	microbial N, P	[A12]
$R_{\rm h'{\it i},n,l} = M_{i,n,a,l,C} \{ R_{{\rm h}i,n,l} [Q_{i,l,C}] \} / \{ (K_{\rm mQC} + [Q_{i,l,C}]) \} f_{\rm tgl} f_{\psi {\rm gl}}$	$R_{\rm h}$ constrained by	substrate DOC	[A13]
$R_{{ m h}i,n,l} = R_{ m h}'_{i,n,l} \; (U_{{ m O}2i,n,l} / \; U'_{{ m O}2i,n,l})$	$R_{\rm h}$ constrained by	O ₂	[A14]
$f_{\psi gl} = 1.0 - 6.67 \ (1.0 - e^{(M \psi_S / (R T_{sl}))})$	$\psi_{\rm s}$ constraints on :	microbial growth	[A15]
$U'_{\text{O2}i,n,l} = 2.67 R_{\text{h}'i,n,l}$	O ₂ demand driver	h by potential $R_{\rm h}$	[A16]
$U_{O2i,n,l} = U'_{O2i,n,l} [O_{2mi,n,l}] / ([O_{2mi,n,l}] + K_{O_2})$	active uptake coup diffusion of O ₂	pled with radial	[A17a]
= 4 $\pi n M_{i,n,a,l,C} D_{\text{sO2}l} [\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{r}_{wl} / (\mathbf{r}_{wl} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}})]([O_{2sl}] - [O_{2mi,n,l}]$	diffusion of O ₂		[A17b]
$R_{\mathrm{m}i,n,j,l} = \boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{m}} M_{i,n,j,l,\mathrm{N}} f_{\mathrm{tm}l}$	maintenanace resp	piration	[A18]
$f_{\rm tml} = e^{[\mathbf{y} \ (T_{\rm s}l^{-298.16})]}$	temperature sensi	tivity of $R_{\rm m}$	[A19]
$R_{\mathrm{g}i,n,l} = R_{\mathrm{h}i,n,l} - \sum_{j} R_{\mathrm{m}i,n,j,l}$	growth respiration	1	[A20]
$U_{i,n,lC} = \min \left(R_{\mathrm{h}i,n,l}, \Sigma_j R_{\mathrm{m}i,n,j,l} \right) + R_{\mathrm{g}i,n,l} \left(1 + \Delta G / E_{\mathrm{m}} \right)$	DOC uptake drive	en by $R_{\rm g}$	[A21]

$U_{i,n,l\mathbf{N},\mathbf{P}} = U_{i,n,l} Q_{i,l,\mathbf{N},\mathbf{P}} / Q_{i,l,\mathbf{C}}$	DON, DOP uptal	the driven by $U_{i,n,lC}$	[A22]
$\boldsymbol{D}_{Mi,n,j,l,C} = \boldsymbol{D}_{Mi,j} M_{i,n,j,C} f_{\mathrm{tgl}}$	first-order decay	of microbial C,	[A23]
$D_{Mi,n,j,\mathbf{N},\mathbf{P}} = \boldsymbol{D}_{Mi,j} M_{i,n,j,l,\mathbf{N},\mathbf{P}} f_{\mathrm{tg}l} f_{\mathrm{d}i,n,l\mathbf{N},\mathbf{P}}$	partial release of	microbial N, P	[A24]
$\delta M_{i,n,j,l,C} / \delta t = F_j U_{i,n,lC} - F_j R_{\mathrm{h}i,n,l} - D_{M_i,n,j,l,C}$	$[R_{\mathrm{h}i,n,l} > R_{\mathrm{m}i,n,j,l}]$	growth	[A25a]
$\delta M_{i,n,j,l,C} / \delta t = F_j U_{i,n,lC} - R_{mi,n,j,l} - D_{Mi,n,j,l,C}$	$[R_{\mathrm{h}i,n,l} < R_{\mathrm{m}i,n,j,l}]$	senescence	[A25b]
Microbial Nutrient Exchange			
$U_{\text{NH4}i,n,j,l} = (M_{i,n,j,l,C} \ C_{\text{Nj}} - M_{i,n,j,l,N})$	$U_{\rm NH_4}{<}0$	mineralization	[A26a]
$U_{\mathrm{NH}_{4}i,n,j,l} = \min \{ (M_{i,n,j,l,C} \ C_{\mathrm{N}j} - M_{i,n,j,l,N}), \\ U'_{\mathrm{NH}_{4}} a_{i,n,j,l} ([\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}_{i,n,j,l}] - [\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}_{\mathrm{mn}}]) / ([\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}_{i,n,j,l}] - [\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}_{\mathrm{mn}}] + K_{\mathrm{NH}_{4}}) \}$	$U_{\rm NH_4} > 0$	immobilization	[A26b]
$U_{\text{NO}_{3}l,n,j,l} = \min \left\{ (M_{i,n,j,l,C} \ C_{\text{Nj}} - (M_{i,n,j,l,N} + U_{\text{NH}_{4}l,n,j,l})), \\ U_{\text{NO}_{3}}^{\prime} a_{i,n,j,l} \left([\text{NO}_{3}^{-} i_{i,n,j,l}] - [\text{NO}_{3}^{-} \text{mn}] \right) / ([\text{NO}_{3}^{-} i_{i,n,j,l}] - [\text{NO}_{3}^{-} \text{mn}] + K_{\text{NO}_{3}}) \right\}$	$U_{\rm NO_3} > 0$	immobilization	[A26c]
$U_{\text{PO4}i,n,j,l} = (M_{i,n,j,l,C} \ C_{\text{Pj}} - M_{i,n,j,l,P})$	$U_{\mathrm{PO}_4} < 0$	mineralization	[A26d]
$U_{\text{PO4}i,n,j,l} = \min \{ (M_{i,n,j,l,C} \ C_{\text{Pj}} - M_{i,n,j,l,P}), U'_{\text{PO4}} A_{i,n,j,l} ([\text{H}_2\text{PO4}_{-i,n,j,l}] - [\text{H}_2\text{PO4}_{-\text{mn}}]) / ([\text{H}_2\text{PO4}_{-i,n,j,l}] - [\text{H}_2\text{PO4}_{-\text{mn}}] + K_{\text{PO4}}) \}$	$U_{{ m PO}_4}>0$	immobilization	[A26e]
$\Phi_{i,n=f,j,l} = max \{0, M_{i,n=f,j,l,C} C_{Nj} - M_{i,n=f,j,l,N} - max\{0, U_{i,n=f,j,l,N}\}\}$	N ₂ fixation driver diazotrophic pop	•	[A27]
$R_{\phi_{i,n=f,j,l}} = E_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \Phi_{i,n=f,j,l}$	respiration neede		[A28]
$\delta M_{i,n,j,l,N} / \delta t = F_j U_{i,n,l,N} + U_{NH_{4i,n,j,l}} + U_{NO_{3i,n,j,l}} + \Phi_{i,n=f,j,l} - D_{Mi,n,j,l,N}$		of microbial N, P	[A29a]
$\delta M_{i,n,j,l,\mathbf{P}} / \delta t = F_j U_{i,n,l,\mathbf{P}} + U_{\mathbf{PO}_{4i,n,j,l}} - D_{Mi,n,j,l,\mathbf{P}}$			[A29b]
$M_{i,n,a,l,C} = M_{i,n,j=labile,l,C} + M_{i,n,j=resistant,l,C} F_r / F_1$	active microbial calculated from l		[A30a]

Humification

$H_{Si,j= ext{lignin},l, ext{C}} = D_{Si,j= ext{lignin},l, ext{C}}$	decomposition products of litter	[A31]
$I_{Si,j= ext{lignin},l, ext{N}, ext{P}} = D_{Si,j= ext{lignin},l, ext{N}, ext{P}}$	substrate added to POC depending on lignin	[A32]
$H_{Si,j\neq \text{lignin},l,\text{C}} = H_{Si,j=\text{lignin},l,\text{C}} L_{\mathbf{h}j}$		[A33]
$H_{Si,j\neq \text{lignin},l,\text{N},\text{P}} = H_{Si,j\neq \text{lignin},l,\text{C}} S_{i,l,\text{N},\text{P}} / S_{i,l,\text{C}}$		[A34]
$H_{Mi,n,j,l,C} = D_{Mi,n,j,l,C} \boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{h}}$	decay products of microbes added	[A35]
$H_{Mi,n,j,l,\mathbf{N},\mathbf{P}} = H_{Mi,n,j,l,\mathbf{C}} M_{i,n,j,l,\mathbf{N},\mathbf{P}} / M_{i,n,j,l,\mathbf{C}}$	to humus	[A36]
$F_{\rm h} = 0.167 + 0.167 F_{\rm clay} + 0.167 \ge 10^{-6} G_{i,l,\rm C}$	fraction of D_M added to humus depends on clay and SOC	[A37]

	Definition of	Variables in App	endix A		
Variable	Definition	Unit	Equation	Value	Reference
		subscripts			
i	substrate-microbe complex: coarse woody litter, fine non- woody litter, POC, humus				
i	kinetic component: labile l , resistant r , active a				
!	soil or litter layer				
n	microbial functional type: heterotrophic (bacteria, fungi), autotrophic (nitrifiers, methanotrophs), diazotrophic, oblig aerobe, facultative anaerobes (denitrifiers), obligate anaero (methanogens)				
		variables			
$A_{i,l,\mathrm{C}}$	mass of adsorbed SOC	$g C m^{-2}$	[A1c,A2c]		
$[A_{i,l,\mathrm{C}}]$	concentration of adsorbed SOC in soil	$g C Mg^{-1}$	[A4c]		
ı	microbial surface area	$m^2 m^{-2}$	[A26]		
8	parameter such that $f_{tg} = 1.0$ at $T_l = 298.15$ K		[A6]	26.230	
b	Freundlich exponent for sorption isotherm		[A8]	0.85	Grant et al.
в	specific colonization rate of uncolonized substrate	-	[A5]	2.5	(1993a,b) Grant et al. (2010
$C_{\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}i,n,a,l}$	ratio of $M_{i,n,a,N,P}$ to $M_{i,n,a,C}$	g N or P g C^{-1}	[A12]		

$C_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{P}j}$	maximum ratio of $M_{i,n,j,N,P}$ to $M_{i,n,j,C}$ maintained by $M_{i,n,j,C}$	g N or P g C^{-1}	[A12,A26,A27]	0.22 and 0.13 (N), 0.022 and 0.013 (P) for <i>j</i> = labile and resistant,	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$D_{Mi,j}$	specific decomposition rate of $M_{i,n,j}$ at 30°C	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[A23,A24]	respectively 0.0125 and 0.00035 for $j =$ labile and resistant,	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$D_{Mi,n,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	decomposition rate of $M_{i,n,j,l,C}$	$g \mathrel{C} m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A23,A25,A35]	respectively	
$D_{Mi,n,j,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}}$	decomposition rate of $M_{i,n,j,l,N,P}$	g N or P $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A24,A29]		
$D_{ m sO2l}$	aqueous dispersivity–diffusivity of O ₂ during microbial uptake in soil	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[A17]		
$D_{Ai,l,\mathrm{C}}$	decomposition rate of $A_{i,l,C}$ by $M_{i,d,l,C}$ producing Q in [A13]	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A1c,A7c,A31c]		
$D_{Aj,C}$	specific decomposition rate of $A_{i,l,C}$ by $M_{i,d,l,C}$ at 25°C and saturating $[A_{i,l,C}]$	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[A4c]	0.025	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$D_{Ai,j,\ l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}}$	decomposition rate of $A_{i,l,N,P}$ by $M_{i,d,l,C}$	g N or P $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A7c]		
$D'_{Ai,j,\ l,\mathrm{C}}$	specific decomposition rate of $S_{i,j,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ at 25°C	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[A1a,A4c]		
$D_{Si,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	decomposition rate of $S_{i,j,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ producing Q in [A13]	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A1a,A7a,A31a]		
$D_{Sj,C}$	specific decomposition rate of $S_{i,j,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ at 25°C and saturating $[S_{i,l,C}]$	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[A4a]	1.0, 1.0, 0.15, and 0.025 for $j =$ protein, carbohydrate, cellulose, and	Grant et al. (1993a,b)

lignin, 0.009 for POC, and 0.009 and 0.003 for

active and passive

humus.

$D_{Si,j,\ l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}}$	decomposition rate of $S_{i,j,l,N,P}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$	g N or P $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A7a, A32]		
$D'_{Si,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	specific decomposition rate of $S_{i,j,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ at 25°C	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[A1a,A4a]		
$D_{Zi,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	decomposition rate of $Z_{i,j,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ producing Q in [A13]	$g \mathrel{C} m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A1b,A7b]		
$D_{Zi,j,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}}$	decomposition rate of $Z_{i,j,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$	g N or P $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A7b]		
$oldsymbol{D}_{Zj,C}$	specific decomposition rate of $Z_{i,j,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ at 25°C and saturating[$Z_{i,l,C}$]	$g \mathrel{C} g \mathrel{C^{-1}} h^{-1}$	[A4b]	0.25 and 0.05 for $j = $ labile and resistant biomass	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$D'_{Zi,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	specific decomposition rate of $Z_{i,j,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ at 25°C	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[A1b,A4b]	Tesistant biomass	
ΔG	energy yield of C oxidation and O2 reduction	kJ g C^{-1}	[A21]	37.5	
$E_{ m m}$	energy requirement for growth of $M_{i,n,a,l}$	$kJ g C^{-1}$	[A21]	25	
E_{ϕ}	energy requirement for non-symbiotic N ₂ fixation by heterotrophic diazotrophs $(n = f)$	$g C g N^{-1}$	[A28]	5	Waring and Running (1998)
$F_{ m clay}$	fraction of mineral soil as clay	$Mg Mg^{-1}$	[A37]		Running (1996)
${m F}_{ m h}$	fraction of products from microbial decomposition that are humified (function of clay content)		[A35, A37]		Sørenson (1981)
F_1	fraction of microbial growth allocated to labile component $M_{i,n,l}$		[A25,A29,A30]	0.55	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
<i>F</i> _r	fraction of microbial growth allocated to resistant component		[A25,A29,A30]	0.45	(1993a,b) Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$F_{\rm s}$	$M_{i,n,r}$ equilibrium ratio between $Q_{i,l,C}$ and $H_{i,l,C}$		[A8]		(1993a,0)
$f_{\mathrm{d}i,n,l\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}}$	fraction of N or P released with $D_{Mi,n,j,l,C}$ during decomposition	dimensionless	[A24]	0.33 $U_{\rm NH4} > 0$ 1.00 $U_{\rm NH4} < 0$ 0.33 $U_{\rm PO4} > 0$ 1.00 $U_{\rm PO4} < 0$	
$f_{ m tgl}$	temperature function for microbial growth respiration	dimensionless	[A1,A6,A13, A23,A24]		
$f_{ m tml}$	temperature function for maintenance respiration	dimensionless	[A18,A19]		

$f_{\psi \mathrm{gl}}$	soil water potential function for microbial, root or mycorrhizal	dimensionless	[A13,A15]		Pirt (1975)
${I\!$	growth respiration non-symbiotic N ₂ fixation by heterotrophic diazotrophs ($n = f$)	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A27,A28,A29]		
$G_{i,l,\mathrm{C}}$	total C in substrate-microbe complex	$g C Mg^{-1}$	[A1,A2c,A3a,A8,		
$[H_2PO_4^{-}]$	concentration of $H_2PO_4^-$ in soil solution	$g P m^{-3}$	A37] [A26]		
H_{a}	energy of activation	$J \text{ mol}^{-1}$	[A6,C10]	65×10^3	Addiscott (1983)
$H_{ m dh}$	energy of high temperature deactivation	$J \text{ mol}^{-1}$	[A6,C10]	225×10^3	
$H_{ m dl}$	energy of low temperature deactivation	$J \text{ mol}^{-1}$	[A6,C10]	$198 \ge 10^3$	
$H_{Mi,n,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	transfer of microbial C decomposition products to humus	$g \mathrel{C} m \mathrel{m^{-2}} h^{-1}$	[A35,A36]		
$H_{Mi,n,j,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}}$	transfer of microbial N or P decomposition products to humus	g N or P $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A36]		
$H_{Si,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	transfer of C hydrolysis products to particulate OM	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A31,A32,A33, A34]		
$H_{Si,j,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}}$	transfer of N or P hydrolysis products to particulate OM	g N or P $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A32,A34]		
K_{iS}	inhibition constant for microbial colonization of substrate	-	[A5]	0.5	Grant et al. (2010)
K _{NH4}	M-M constant for NH_4^+ uptake at microbial surfaces	g N m ⁻³	[A26]	0.40	
K _{NO3}	M-M constant for NO_3^- uptake at microbial surfaces	g N m ⁻³	[A26]	0.35	
K _{PO4}	M-M constant for $H_2PO_4^-$ uptake at microbial surfaces	g P m ⁻³	[A26]	0.125	
K _{iD}	inhibition constant for $[M_{i,n,a}]$ on $S_{i,C}$, $Z_{i,C}$	g C m ⁻³	[A4]	25	Grant et al.
K _{mD}	Michaelis–Menten constant for $D_{Sij,C}$	$g C Mg^{-1}$	[A4]	75	(1993a,b); Lizama and Suzuki (1990)
$K_{\mathrm{m}Q_{\mathrm{C}}}$	Michaelis–Menten constant for $R'_{hi,n}$ on $[Q_{i,C}]$	$g C m^{-3}$	[A13]	36	
<i>K</i> ₀₂	Michaelis–Menten constant for reduction of O_{2s} by microbes, roots and mycorrhizae	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[A17]	0.064	Griffin (1972)

k _{ts}	equilibrium rate constant for sorption	h^{-1}	[A8]	0.01	Grant et al. (1993a,b)
$L_{\mathrm{h}j}$	ratio of nonlignin to lignin components in humified hydrolysis products		[A33]	0.10, 0.05, and 0.05 for $j =$ protein, carbohydrate, and cellulose, respectively	Shulten and Schnitzer (1997)
М	molecular mass of water	g mol ⁻¹	[A15]	18	
$M_{i,d,l,C}$	heterotrophic microbial C used for decomposition	$g C m^{-2}$	[A1,A3a,A4]		
$M_{i,n,j,l,C}$	microbial C	$g C m^{-2}$	[A13,A17A23,A2 5,A26, A30,A36]		
$M_{i,n,j,l,\mathrm{N}}$	microbial N	$g N m^{-2}$	[A18,A27,A29]		
$M_{i,n,j,l,\mathrm{P}}$	microbial P	$g P m^{-2}$	[A24,A29,A26, A36]		
$M_{i,n,a,l,C}$	active microbial C from heterotrophic population n associated with $G_{i,l,C}$	$g C m^{-2}$	[A3,A13,A17, A30]		
$[M_{i,n,a,l,C}]$	concentration of $M_{i,n,a}$ in soil water = $M_{i,n,a,l,C} / \theta_l$	$g C m^{-3}$	[A3, A5]		
$[\mathbf{NH_4^+}_{i,n,j,l}]$	concentration of NH_4^+ at microbial surfaces	$g N m^{-3}$	[A26]		
$[\mathbf{NH_4}^+_{mn}]$	concentration of NH_4^+ at microbial surfaces below which $U_{NH_4} = 0$	$g N m^{-3}$	[A26]	0.0125	
$[\mathrm{NO}_3^{-}_{i,n,j,l}]$	concentration of NH_4^+ at microbial surfaces	$g N m^{-3}$	[A26]		
[NO _{3^{mn}]}	concentration of NO ₃ ⁻ at microbial surfaces below which $U_{NO_3} = 0$	$g N m^{-3}$	[A26]	0.03	
$[\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{PO}_{4i,n,j,l}]$	concentration of $H_2PO_4^-$ at microbial surfaces	$g N m^{-3}$	[A26]		
$[H_2PO_4]_{mn}$	concentration of $H_2PO_4^-$ at microbial surfaces below which $U_{PO_4} = 0$	$g N m^{-3}$	[A26]	0.002	
$[O_{2m i,n,l}]$	O_2 concentration at heterotrophic microsites	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[A17]		

$[O_{2sl}]$	O ₂ concentration in soil solution	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[A17]		
$Q_{i,l,\mathrm{C}}$	<i>DOC</i> from products of $D_{Si,j,l,C}$ [A3] and $D_{Zi,j,l,C}$ [A5]	$g C m^{-2}$	[A8,A13,A22]		
$[Q_{i,l,\mathrm{C}}]$	solution concentration of $Q_{i,l,C}$	$g C Mg^{-1}$	[A8,A13]		
$Q_{i,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}}$	DON and DOP from products of $(D_{Si,j,l,N,P} + D_{Zi,j,l,N,P})$	g N or P m^{-2}	[A9,A22]		
q_m	rate constant for reallocating $M_{i,a,l,C}$ to $M_{i,d,l,C}$	h ⁻¹	[A3a]	0.5	
R	gas constant	$J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	[A6,A15,C10]	8.3143	
$R_{{\it \Phi}i,n=f,j,l}$	respiration for non-symbiotic N ₂ fixation by heterotrophic diazotrophs ($n = f$)	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A28]		
$R_{\mathrm{g}i,n,l}$	growth respiration of $M_{i,n,a,l}$ on $Q_{i,l,C}$ under nonlimiting O ₂ and nutrients	$g \mathrel{C} g \mathrel{C^{-1}} h^{-1}$	[A20]		
$R_{ m h}$	total heterotrophic respiration of all $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under ambient DOC, O ₂ , nutrients, θ and temperature	$g \mathrel{C} m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A11]		
$R_{\mathrm{h}i,n,l}$	heterotrophic respiration of $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under ambient DOC, O ₂ , nutrients, θ and temperature	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A5,A11,A14,A2 0, A21,A25]		
$\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{h}i,n,l}$	specific heterotrophic respiration of $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under nonlimiting O_2 , DOC, θ and 25°C	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[A12,A13]		
${m R}_{ m h^{\prime}{\it n}}$	specific heterotrophic respiration of $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under nonlimiting DOC, O ₂ , nutrients, θ and 25°C	$g \mathrel{C} g \mathrel{C^{-1}} h^{-1}$	[A12]	0.125	Shields et al. (1973)
$R_{\mathrm{h}'i,n,l}$	heterotrophic respiration of $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under nonlimiting O ₂ and ambient DOC, nutrients, θ and temperature	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A13,A14,A16]		````
$\pmb{R}_{ m m}$	specific maintenance respiration at 25°C	$g \mathrel{C} g \mathrel{N^{-1}} h^{-1}$	[A18]	0.0115	Barnes et al. (1998)
$R_{\mathrm{m}i,n,j,l}$	maintenance respiration by $M_{i,n,j,l}$	$g \mathrel{C} m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A18,A20,A21,A 25]		(1998)
$r_{ m wl}$	radius of $r_{\rm m}$ + water film at current water content	m	[A17]		
r _m	radius of heterotrophic microsite	m	[A17]	2.5×10^{-6}	
$r_{\mathrm wl}$	thickness of water films	m	[A17]		

S	change in entropy	$J \text{ mol}^{-1} K^{-1}$	[A6,C10]	710	Sharpe and DeMichelle (1977)
$[S_{i,j,l,\mathrm{C}}]$	concentration of $S_{i,j,l,C}$ in soil	$g C Mg^{-1}$	[A4a]		(1)//)
$S_{i,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	mass of colonized litter, POC or humus C	$g C m^{-2}$	[A2a,A5,A7a,A33		
$S'_{i,j,l,C}$	mass of uncolonized litter, POC or humus C	$g C m^{-2}$] [A5]		
$S_{i,j,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}}$	mass of litter, POC or humus N or P	g N or P m^{-2}	[A7a,A33]		
T_{sl}	soil temperature	Κ	[A6,A15.A19]		
$U_{i,n,lC}$	uptake of $Q_{i,l,C}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ under limiting nutrient availability	$g \mathrel{C} m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A5,A21,A22,A2 5]		
$U_{i,n,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}}$	uptake of $Q_{i,l,N,P}$ by $\Sigma_n M_{i,n,a,l}$ under limiting nutrient availability	g N or P $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A22,A29]		
$U_{{ m NH4}i,n,j,l}$	$\mathrm{NH_4^+}$ uptake by microbes	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A26, A27, A29]		
$U'_{ m NH_4}$	maximum $U_{\rm NH_4}$ at 25 °C and non-limiting ${\rm NH_4^+}$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A26]	5.0 x 10 ⁻³	
$U_{{ m NO3}i,n,j,l}$	NO ₃ ⁻ uptake by microbes	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A26,A27,A29]		
$U'_{\rm NO_3}$	maximum $U_{\rm NO_3}$ at 25 °C and non-limiting NO ₃ ⁻	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A26]	5.0 x 10 ⁻³	
$U_{\mathrm{O2}i,n}$	O_2 uptake by $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under ambient O_2	$g m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A14,A17]		
$U'_{\mathrm{O2}i,n}$	O_2 uptake by $M_{i,n,a,l}$ under nonlimiting O_2	$g m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A14,A16,A17]		
$U_{\mathrm{PO4}i,n,j,l}$	$H_2PO_4^-$ uptake by microbes	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A26,A27,A29]		
$U'_{\rm PO_4}$	maximum U_{PO_4} at 25 °C and non-limiting $H_2PO_4^-$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A26]	5.0 x 10 ⁻³	
$X_{i,l,\mathrm{C}}$	adsorbed C hydrolysis products	$g C Mg^{-1}$	[A8,A10]		
$X_{i,l,\mathrm{N,P}}$	adsorbed N or P hydrolysis products	$g P Mg^{-1}$	[A10]		
у	selected to give a Q_{10} for $f_{\rm tm}$ of 2.25		[A19]	0.081	

ψ_s	soil or residue water potential	MPa	[A15]
$Y_{i,l,\mathrm{C}}$	sorption of C hydrolysis products	$g \mathrel{C} m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A8,A9,A10]
$Y_{i,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}}$	sorption of N or P hydrolysis products	$g P m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[A9,A10]
$[Z_{i,j,l,C}]$	concentration of $Z_{i,j,l,C}$ in soil	$g C Mg^{-1}$	[A4b]
$Z_{i,j,l,\mathrm{C}}$	mass of microbial residue C in soil	$g C m^{-2}$	[A2b,A7b]
$Z_{i,j,l,\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}}$	mass of microbial residue N or P in soil	$g P m^{-2}$	[A7b]

Appendix B: Soil-Plant Water Relations

Canopy Transpiration

$Rn_{ci} + LE_{ci} + H_{ci} + G_{ci} = 0$ $LE_{ci} = L (e_a - e_{ci(T_{ci} \psi_{ci})}) / r_{ai}$	canopy energy balance LE from canopy evaporation	[B1a] [B1b]
$LE_{ci} = L (e_a - e_{ci(T_{ci}, \psi_{ci})}) / (r_{ai} + r_{ci}) - LE_{ci}$ from [B1b]	<i>LE</i> from canopy transpiration <i>H</i> from canopy energy balance	[B1c] [B1d]
$H_{ci} = \rho C_{p} (T_{a} - T_{ci}) / r_{ai}$ $r_{cmini} = 0.64 (C_{b} - C_{i'i}) / V_{c'i}$ $r_{ci} = r_{cmini} + (r_{cmaxi} - r_{cmini}) e^{(-\beta \Psi_{ti})}$	$r_{\rm c}$ driven by rates of carboxylation <i>vs.</i> diffusion	[B2a] [B2b]
$r_{ai} = \{ (\ln((z_u - z_{di}) / z_{ri})^2 / (\mathbf{K}^2 u_a) \} / (1 - 10 Ri) Ri = \{ g (z_u - z_{ri}) / (u_a^2 T_a) \} (T_a - T_c) $	$r_{\rm c}$ constrained by water status $r_{\rm a}$ driven by windspeed, surface $r_{\rm a}$ adjusted for stability vs.	[B3a] [B3b]
$\psi_{ti} = \psi_{ci} - \psi_{\pi i}$	buoyancy	[B4]
Root and Mycorrhizal Water Uptake		
$U_{\mathrm{w}i} = \sum_l \sum_\mathrm{r} U_{\mathrm{w}i,r,l}$		[B5]
$U_{\text{wi},r,l} = \left(\psi_{\text{c}'_{l}} - \psi_{\text{s}'_{l}}\right) / \left(\Omega_{\text{si},r,l} + \Omega_{\text{ri},r,l} + \Sigma_{x} \Omega_{\text{ai},r,l,x}\right)$	$U_{\rm w}$ along hydraulic gradient	[B6]
$\psi_{c'i} = \psi_{ci} + 0.01 z_{bi}$		[B7]
$\psi_{\rm s'l} = \psi_{\rm sl} - 0.01 \ z_l$		[B8]
$\Omega_{\rm si,r,l} = \ln\{(d_{i,r,l} / r_{i,r,l}) / (2\pi L_{i,r,l} \kappa_{\rm ri,r,l})\} \theta_{\rm wl} / \theta_{\rm pl}$		[B9]
$\Omega_{\mathrm{ri},r,l} = \Omega_{\mathrm{ri},r}^{\prime} / L_{\mathrm{i},r,l}$		[B10]
$\Omega_{ai,r,l,x=1} = \Omega_{ai,r} z_{l} / \{ n_{i,r,l,1} (r_{i,r,l,1} / r'_{i,r})^{4} \} + \gamma \Omega_{ai,r} z_{bi} / \{ n_{i,r,l,1} (r_{bi} / r'_{bi})^{4} \} \Sigma_{i,r,l} (M_{i,r,l}) / M_{i,r,l}$		[B11]
$\Omega_{ai,r,l,x=2} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ai,r} (L_{i,r,l,2} / n_{i,r,l,2}) / \{n_{i,r,l,2} (r_{i,r,l,2} / r'_{i,r})^4\}$		[B12]

Canopy Water Potential

 $(e_{a} - e_{i(T_{cl})}) / (r_{ai} + r_{ci}) [B1] = \sum_{l} \sum_{r} (\psi_{c'i} - \psi_{s'l}) / (\Omega_{si,r,l} + \Omega_{ri,r,l} + \sum_{x} \Omega_{ai,r,l,x}) + X_{ci} \delta \psi_{ci} / \delta t$

 $\psi_{\rm c}$ solved when transpiration from [B14] [B1-B4] (LHS) equals uptake from [B5-B13] + change in storage (RHS)

Definition of Variables in Appendix B

Variable	Definition	Unit	Equation	Value	Reference
		subscripts			
i	plant species or functional type: coniferous, decidu perennial, C ₃ , C ₄ , monocot, dicot etc.	ious, annual,			
j	branch or tiller				
k	node				
l	soil or canopy layer				
т	leaf azimuth				
n	leaf inclination				
0	leaf exposure (sunlit vs. shaded)				
r	root or mycorrhizae				
		variables			
β	stomatal resistance shape parameter	MPa ⁻¹	[B2b,C4,C9]	-5.0	Grant and Flanagan (2007)

C_{b}	[CO ₂] in canopy air	µmol mol ⁻¹	[B2,C2,C5]		
$C_{i'i}$	[CO ₂] in canopy leaves at $\psi_{c_i} = 0$ MPa	µmol mol ⁻¹	[B2]	$0.70 \ C_{\rm b}$	Larcher (2001)
$d_{i,r,l}$	half distance between adjacent roots	m	[B9]		
$E_{\mathrm{c}i}$	canopy transpiration	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[B1,B14]		
ea	atmospheric vapor density at T_a and ambient humidity	g m ⁻³	[B1]		
$e_{\mathrm{c}i(T_{\mathrm{c}i},\psi_{c}i)}$	canopy vapor density at T_{c_i} and ψ_{c_i}	g m ⁻³	[B1]		
G _{ci}	canopy storage heat flux	$W m^{-2}$	[B1]		
H _{ci}	canopy sensible heat flux	$W m^{-2}$	[B1]		
K	von Karman's constant		[B3a]	0.41	
$\kappa_{\mathrm{r}i,r,l}$	hydraulic conductivity between soil and root surface	$m^2 MPa^{-1} h^{-1}$	[B9]		
γ	scaling factor for bole axial resistance from primary root axial	-	[B11]	1.6 x 10 ⁴	Grant et al. (2007)
L	resistance latent heat of evaporation	J g ⁻¹	[B1]	2460	
LE_{ci}	latent heat flux between canopy and atmosphere	W m ⁻²	[B1]		
$L_{i,r,l}$	length of roots or mycorrhizae	m m ⁻²	[B9,B10,B12,B13		
$M_{i,r,l}$	mass of roots or mycorrhizae	g m ⁻²] [B11,B13]		
$n_{i,r,l,x}$	number of primary $(x = 1)$ or secondary $(x = 2)$ axes	m^{-2}	[B11,B12]		
${oldsymbol{\varOmega}}_{{ m ai},r}$	axial resistivity to water transport along root or mycorrhizal axes	MPa h m ⁻⁴	[B11,B12]	$4.0 \ge 10^9$ deciduous $1.0 \ge 10^{10}$	Larcher (2001)
$arOmega_{\mathrm{a}i,r,l,x}$	axial resistance to water transport along axes of primary ($x = 1$) or secondary ($x = 2$) roots or mycorrhizae	MPa h m ⁻¹	[B6,B11,B12]	coniferous	

		-			
$\boldsymbol{\varOmega}_{\mathrm{r}i,r}$	radial resistivity to water transport from surface to axis of roots or mycorrhizae	MPa h m ⁻²	[B10]	$1.0 \ge 10^4$	Doussan et al. (1998)
$arOmega_{\mathrm{r}i,r,l}$	radial resistance to water transport from surface to axis of roots or mycorrhizae	MPa h m ⁻¹	[B6,B10]		
$arOmega_{{ m s}i,r,l}$	radial resistance to water transport from soil to surface of roots	MPa h m ⁻¹	[B6,B9]		
$ heta_{\mathrm{w}l}$	or mycorrhizae soil water content	$m^{3} m^{-3}$	[B9]		
$ heta_{\mathrm{p}l}$	soil porosity	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[B9]		
$\theta_{\mathbf{P}^{i,r}}$	root porosity	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[B13]		
Ri	Richarson number		[B3a,B3b]		van Bavel and
<i>Rn</i> _{ci}	canopy net radiation	$W m^{-2}$	[B1]		Hillel (1976)
r _{ai}	aerodynamic resistance to vapor flux from canopy	s m ⁻¹	[B1,B3a]		
r _{bi}	radius of bole at ambient ψ_{c_i}	m	[B11]		
$r_{\rm b}'_i$	radius of bole at $\psi_{c_i} = 0$ MPa	m	[B11]		
r _{ci}	canopy stomatal resistance to vapor flux	s m ⁻¹	[B1,B2b]		
r _{cmaxi}	canopy cuticular resistance to vapor flux	s m ⁻¹	[B2b]	$5.0 \ge 10^3$	Larcher (2001)
$r_{\mathrm{cmin}i}$	minimum $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}_i}$ at $\psi_{\mathbf{c}_i} = 0$ MPa	s m ⁻¹	[B2,B2b]		
$r_{i,r,l,x}$	radius of primary (x=1) or secondary (x=2) roots or mycorrhizae at ambient $\psi_{r_{i,l,z}}$	m	[B9,B11,B12,B13]		
r ′ _{<i>i</i>,<i>r</i>}	radius of secondary roots or mycorrhizae at $\psi_{r_i l, z} = 0$ MPa	m	[B11,B12]	2.0 x 10 ⁻⁴ tree 1.0 x 10 ⁻⁴ bush 0.05 x 10 ⁻⁴	
ρ _r	root specific density	g C g FW ⁻¹	[B13]	mycorrhizae 0.05	Grant (1998)
T_{a}	air temperature	К	[B3b]		

$T_{\rm c}$	canopy temperature	Κ	[B3b]		
$U_{\mathrm{w}i}$	total water uptake from all rooted soil layers	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[B5,B14]		
$U_{\mathrm{w}i,r,l}$	water uptake by root and mycorrhizal surfaces in each soil	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[B5,B6]		
<i>u</i> _a	layer wind speed measured at z_u	m s ⁻¹	[B3a,B3b]		
$V_{ m c'\it i}$	potential canopy CO_2 fixation rate at $\psi_{c_i} = 0$ MPa	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[B2]		
v_r	root specific volume	m ³ g FW ⁻¹	[B13]	10 ⁻⁶	Grant (1998)
X _{ci}	canopy capacitance	$m^3 m^{-2} MPa^{-1}$	[B14]		
ψ_{ci}	canopy water potential	MPa	[B4,B7,B14]		
$\psi_{c'i}$	ψ_{ci} + canopy gravitational potential	MPa	[B6,B7]		
$\psi_{\pi i}$	canopy osmotic potential	MPa	[B4]		
$\psi_{\mathrm{s}l}$	soil water potential	MPa	[B8]		
$\psi_{\rm s'l}$	ψ_{sl} + soil gravitational potential	MPa	[B6,B8]		
$\psi_{\mathrm{t}i}$	canopy turgor potential	MPa	[B2b,B4]	1.25 at $\psi_{\rm c} = 0$	
Z _{bi}	length of bole from soil surface to top of canopy	m	[B7 , B 11]		
Z _{di}	canopy zero-plane displacement height	m	[B3a]		Perrier (1982)
Z _l	depth of soil layer below surface	m	[B8,B11]		
$Z_{\mathbf{r}}$	canopy surface roughness	m	[B3a,B3b]		Perrier (1982)
$Z_{\rm u}$	height of wind speed measurement	m	[B3a,B3b]		

Appendix C: Gross Primary Productivity, Autotrophic Respiration, Growth and Litterfall

C₃ Gross Primary Productivity

$GPP = \sum_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o} \left(V_{\text{c}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = V_{\text{g}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} \right) A_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	solve for $C_{ii,j,k,l,m,n,o}$ at which	[C1]
$V_{gi,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (C_{b} - C_{ii,j,k,l,m,n,o}) / r_{li,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	$V_{\text{c}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = V_{\text{g}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$ diffusion	[C2]
$V_{\text{c}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = min\{V_{\text{b}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}, V_{\text{j}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}\}$	carboxylation	[C3]
$r_{\mathrm{l}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = r_{\mathrm{lmin}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} + (r_{\mathrm{lmax}i} - r_{\mathrm{lmin}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}) e^{(-\beta \psi_{\mathrm{t}i})}$	$r_{\rm l}$ is leaf-level equivalent of $r_{\rm c}$	[C4]
$r_{\mathrm{lmin}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (C_{\mathrm{b}} - C_{\mathrm{i}'i}) / V_{\mathrm{c}'i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	minimum r_1 is driven by carboxylation	[C5]
$V_{\text{b}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = V_{\text{bmax}i,j,k} \left(C_{\text{c}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} - \Gamma_{i,j,k} \right) / \left(C_{\text{c}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} \right) + K_{\text{c}_i} \right) f_{\psi i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	CO_2 and water f_{ψ} constraints on V_b	[C6a]
$V_{\text{bmax}_{i,j,k}} = V_{\mathbf{b}'_{i}} F_{\mathbf{rubisco}_{i}} M_{\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{R}_{i,j,k}}} / A_{i,j,k} f_{\mathbf{t}\mathbf{b}i} f_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{C}i}$	temperature f_{tb} and nutrient f_{iC} constraints on V_{bmax}	[C6b]
$\Gamma_{i,j,k} = 0.5 O_{\rm c} V_{{\rm omax}_{i,j,k}} \boldsymbol{K}_{{\bf c}_i} / (V_{{\rm bmax}_{i,j,k}} \boldsymbol{K}_{{\bf o}_i})$	CO ₂ compensation point	[C6c]
$V_{\text{omax}_{i,j,k}} = V_{\mathbf{o}'_{i}} F_{\text{rubisco}_{i}} M_{\text{L}_{\text{R}^{i,j,k}}} / A_{i,j,k} f_{\text{toi}}$	oxygenation	[C6d]
$K_{c_{i}} = K_{c_{i}} f_{tkci} (1 + O_{c} / (K_{o_{i}} f_{tkoi}))$ $V_{ji,j,k,l,m,n,o} = J_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o} Y_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o} f_{\psi i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	M-M constant for $V_{\rm b}$ water constraints on $V_{\rm j}$	[C6e] [C7a]
$Y_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (C_{c,i,j,k,l,m,n,o} - \Gamma_{i,j,k}) / (4.5 \ C_{c,i,j,k,l,m,n,o} + 10.5 \ \Gamma_{i,j,k}) J_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (\varepsilon I_{i,l,m,n,o} + J_{\max i,j,k} - ((\varepsilon I_{i,l,m,n,o} + J_{\max i,j,k})^2 - 4\alpha \varepsilon I_{i,l,m,n,o} \ J_{\max i,j,k})^{0.5}) / (2\alpha)$	carboxylation efficiency of V_j irradiance constraints on J	[C7b] [C8a]
$J_{\max i,j,k} = V_{j'_{i}} F_{\text{chlorophyll}_{i}} M_{i,j,k,prot} / A_{i,j,k} f_{tji} f_{iCi}$	temperature and nutrient constraints on J_{max}	[C8b]
$f_{\Psi i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (r_{\text{lmin}_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o}} / r_{1,j,k,l,m,n,o})^{0.5}$	non-stomatal effect related to stomatal effect	[C9]

$f_{tbi} = \exp[\mathbf{B_v} - \mathbf{H_{av}} / (\mathbf{R}T_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(\mathbf{H_{dl}} - ST_{ci}) / (\mathbf{R}T_{ci})] + \exp[(ST_{ci} - \mathbf{H_{dh}}) / (\mathbf{R}T_{ci})]\}$	Arrhenius functions for carboxylation, oxygenation and	[C10a]
$f_{\text{toi}} = \exp[\boldsymbol{B}_{o} - \boldsymbol{H}_{ao} / (\boldsymbol{R}T_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(\boldsymbol{H}_{dl} - \boldsymbol{S}T_{ci}) / (\boldsymbol{R}T_{ci})] + \exp[(\boldsymbol{S}T_{ci} - \boldsymbol{H}_{dh}) / (\boldsymbol{R}T_{ci})]\}$	electron transport temperature sensitivity of $K_{c,r}, K_{o,r}$	[C10b]
$f_{iji} = \exp[\boldsymbol{B}_{j} - \boldsymbol{H}_{aj} / (\boldsymbol{R}T_{ci})] / \{1 + \exp[(\boldsymbol{H}_{dl} - \boldsymbol{S}T_{ci}) / (\boldsymbol{R}T_{ci})] + \exp[(\boldsymbol{S}T_{ci} - \boldsymbol{H}_{dh}) / (\boldsymbol{R}T_{ci})]\}$	1	[C10c]
$f_{\text{tkc}i} = \exp[\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathbf{kc}} - \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{akc}} / (\boldsymbol{R}T_{\text{c}i})]$		[C10d]
$f_{ikoi} = \exp[\mathbf{B_{ko}} - \mathbf{H_{ako}} / (\mathbf{R}T_{ci})]$ $f_{iCi} = \min\{\sigma_{Ni,j} / (\sigma_{Ni,j} + \sigma_{Ci,j} / \mathbf{K_{iC_N}}), \sigma_{Pi,j} / (\sigma_{Pi,j} + \sigma_{Ci,j} / \mathbf{K_{iC_P}})\}$	control of σ_N and $\sigma_P vs. \sigma_C$ in shoots on V_b , V_j through product inhibition and on leaf protein growth through leaf structural C:N:P ratios	[C10e] [C11]
$\partial M_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{R}^{i,j,k}}} / \partial t = \partial M_{\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}} / \partial t \min\{ [\mathbf{N'_{leaf}} + (\mathbf{N_{leaf}} - \mathbf{N'_{leaf}}) f_{\mathrm{iC}i}] / \mathbf{N_{prot}}, [\mathbf{P'_{leaf}} + (\mathbf{P_{leaf}} - \mathbf{P'_{leaf}}) f_{\mathrm{iC}i}] / \mathbf{P_{prot}} \}$	growth of remobilizable leaf protein C	[C12]
Autotrophic Respiration		
$R_{a} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (R_{ci,j} + R_{si,j}) + \sum_{i} \sum_{l} \sum_{z} (R_{ci,r,l} + R_{si,r,l}) + E_{N,P} (U_{NH4i,r,l} + U_{NO3i,r,l} + U_{PO4i,r,l})$	total autotrophic respiration	[C13]
$R_{\mathrm{c}i,j} = \boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{c}}' \sigma_{\mathrm{C}i,j} f_{\mathrm{ta}i}$	O_2 constraint on root respiration from active uptake coupled with	[C14a]
$R_{ci,r,l} = \mathbf{R}_{c}' \sigma_{Ci,r,l} f_{tai,l} (U_{O2i,r,l} / U'_{O2i,r,l})$	diffusion of O_2 from soil as for heterotrophic respiration in [A17],	[C14b]
$U_{O2i,r,l} = U'_{O2i,r,l} \left[O_{2ri,r,l}\right] / \left([O_{2ri,r,l}] + K_{O_2}\right)$	and from active uptake coupled with diffusion of O_2 from roots	[C14c]
$= U_{w_{i,r,l}}[O_{2sl}] + 2\pi L_{i,r,l} D_{sO2} ([O_{2sl}] - [O_{2ri,r,l}]) \ln\{(r_{sl} + r_{ri,r,l}) / r_{ri,r,l}\} + 2\pi L_{i,r,l} D_{rO2} ([O_{2qi,r,l}] - [O_{2ri,r,l}]) \ln(r_{qi,r,l}) / r_{ri,r,l})$		[C14d]
$U'_{02i,r,l} = 2.67 R_{a',i,r,l}$		[C14e]
$R_{\text{s}i,j} = -\min\{0.0, R_{\text{c}i,j} - R_{\text{m}i,j}\}$	remobilization when $R_{\rm m} > R_{\rm c}$	[C15]
$\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{m}i,j} = \Sigma_{z} \left(\mathbf{N}_{i,j,z} \boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{m}'} f_{\mathrm{tm}i} \right)$	maintenance respiration	[C16]
$R_{gi,j} = max\{0.0, min\{(R_{ci,j} - R_{mi,j}) min\{1.0, max\{0.0, \psi_{ti} - \psi_{t}'\}\}$	growth when $R_{\rm m} < R_{\rm c}$	[C17]

Growth and Litterfall

$l_{i,j,z,C} = R_{si,j} M_{L_N i,j} / M_{L_R i,j}$	senescence drives litterfall of non-	[C18]
$l_{i,j,z,N} = l_{i,j,z,C} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{prot}} \left(1.0 - X_{\mathbf{mx}} f_{\lambda N_{i,j}} \right)$	remobilizable material litterfall of N and P is driven by	[C19a]
$l_{i,j,z,\mathbf{P}} = l_{i,j,z,\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{prot}} \left(1.0 - \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{mx}} f_{\lambda \mathbf{P}i,j} \right)$	that of C but reduced by translocation to $\sigma_{\rm N}$ and $\sigma_{\rm P}$	[C19b]
$f_{\lambda Ni,j} = \sigma_{Ci,j} / (\sigma_{Ci,j} + \sigma_{Ni,j} / K_{\lambda N})$	according to ratios of $\sigma_{\rm N}$ and $\sigma_{\rm P}$ with $\sigma_{\rm C}$. root and mycorrhizal litterfall (<i>i</i> , <i>r</i> , <i>l</i>) calculated as for	[C19c]
$f_{\lambda \mathrm{P}i,j} \equiv \sigma_{\mathrm{C}i,j} / \left(\sigma_{\mathrm{C}i,j} + \sigma_{\mathrm{P}i,j} / K_{\lambda \mathrm{P}} ight)$	branch litterfall (i,j,z)	[C19d]
$x_{i,r,l,C} = r_x \sigma_{Ci,r,l}$	root and mycorrhizal exudation driven by $\sigma_{\rm C}$, $\sigma_{\rm N}$ and $\sigma_{\rm P}$, and by	[C19e]
$x_{i,r,l,\mathbf{N}} = r_x \sigma_{\mathbf{N}i,r,l} f_{xi,r,l,\mathbf{N}}$	$\sigma_{\rm C}$: $\sigma_{\rm N}$ and $\sigma_{\rm C}$: $\sigma_{\rm P}$.	[C19f]
$x_{i,r,l,\mathrm{P}} = r_x \sigma_{\mathrm{P}i,r,l} f_{\mathrm{x}i,r,l,\mathrm{P}}$		[C19g]
$f_{\mathbf{x}i,r,l,\mathbf{N}} = \sigma_{\mathbf{N}i,j} / (\sigma_{\mathbf{N}i,j} + \sigma_{\mathbf{C}i,j} / \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{N}})$		[C19h]
$f_{\mathbf{x}i,r,l,\mathbf{P}} = \sigma_{\mathbf{P}i,j} / (\sigma_{\mathbf{P}i,j} + \sigma_{\mathbf{C}i,j} / \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{P}})$		[C19i]
$\delta M_{\mathrm{B}i,j} / \delta t = \sum_{z} \left[R_{\mathrm{g}i,j} \left(1 - Y_{\mathrm{g}i,z} \right) / Y_{\mathrm{g}i,z} \right] - R_{\mathrm{s}i,j} - l_{i,j,\mathrm{C}}$	branch growth driven by $R_{\rm g}$	[C20a]
$\delta M_{\mathrm{R}i,r,l} / \delta t = [R_{\mathrm{g}i,r,l} \left(1 - Y_{\mathrm{g}i,r}\right) / Y_{\mathrm{g}i,r}] - R_{\mathrm{s}i,r,l} - l_{i,r,l,\mathrm{C}}$	root growth driven by $R_{\rm g}$	[C20b]
$\delta A_{\mathrm{L}i,j,k,l}/\delta t = \boldsymbol{\chi} \left(M_{\mathrm{L}i,j,k,l}/y_i \right)^{-0.33} \delta M_{\mathrm{L}i,j,k,l}/\delta t \min\{1, \max\{0, \psi_t - \psi_t'\}\}$	leaf expansion driven by leaf mass growth	[C21a]
$\delta L_{i,r,l,l} / \delta t = (\delta M_{Ri,r,l,l} / \delta t) / y_i v_r / \{ \rho_r (1 - \theta_{P_{i,r}}) (\pi r_{r_{i,r,l,l}}^2) \}$	root extension of primary and secondary axes driven by root	[C21b]
$\delta L_{i,r,l,2} / \delta \mathbf{t} = (\delta M_{\mathrm{R}i,r,l,2} / \delta \mathbf{t}) \mathbf{v}_r / \{ \mathbf{\rho}_r (1 - \mathbf{\theta}_{\mathbf{P}i,r}) (\pi r_{\mathrm{r}i,r,l,2}^2) \}$	mass growth	[C21c]
$f_{\text{tai}} = T_{\text{ci}} \{ \exp[\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{v}} - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{av}} / (\mathbf{R}T_{\text{ci}})] \} / \{ 1 + \exp[(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{dl}} - \mathbf{S}T_{\text{ci}}) / (\mathbf{R}T_{\text{ci}})] + \exp[(\mathbf{S}T_{\text{ci}} - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{dh}}) / (\mathbf{R}T_{\text{ci}})] \}$	Arrhenius function for R_a	[C22a]
$f_{\rm tmi} = e^{(0.0811 (T_{\rm ci} - 298.15))}$	temperature function for $R_{\rm m}$	[C22b]

Root and Mycorrhizal Nutrient Uptake

$U_{\text{NH4}i,r,l} = \{U_{\text{w}i,r,l}[\text{NH}_{4}^{+}_{l}] + 2\pi L_{i,r,l} D_{\text{eNH}_{4l}}([\text{NH}_{4}^{+}_{l}] - [\text{NH}_{4}^{+}_{i,r,l}]) / \ln(d_{i,r,l} / r_{\text{r}i,r,l})\}$ = $U'_{\text{NH}_{4}}(U_{\text{O2}i,r,l} / U'_{\text{O2}i,r,l}) A_{i,r,l}([\text{NH}_{4}^{+}_{i,r,l}] - [\text{NH}_{4}^{+}_{\text{mn}}])/([\text{NH}_{4}^{+}_{i,r,l}] - [\text{NH}_{4}^{+}_{\text{mn}}] + K_{\text{NH}_{4}}) f_{\text{t}i,l} f_{\text{t}i,r,l}$	root N and P uptake from mass flow + diffusion coupled with active uptake of NH_4^+ , NO_3^- and	[C23a] [C23b]
$U_{\text{NO3}i,r,l} = \{ U_{\text{w}i,r,l} [\text{NO}_3^-l] + 2\pi L_{i,r,l} D_{\text{eNO}_{3l}} ([\text{NO}_3^-l] - [\text{NO}_3^-i,r,l]) / \ln(d_{i,r,l}/r_{\text{r}i,r,l}) \}$ = $U'_{\text{NO3}} (U_{\text{O2}i,r,l}/U'_{\text{O2}i,r,l}) A_{i,r,l} ([\text{NO}_3^-i,r,l] - [\text{NO}_3^-i,r,l] $	$H_2PO_4^-$ constrained by O_2 uptake, as for microbial N and P uptake in [A26]	[C23c] [C23d]
$U_{\text{PO4}i,r,l} = \{U_{\text{w}i,r,l} [\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^{-}] + 2\pi L_{i,r,l} D_{\text{ePO}_{4l}} ([\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^{-}] - [\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^{-}]_i) / \ln(d_{i,r,l} / r_{\text{r}i,r,l}) \}$ = $U'_{\text{PO}_4} (U_{\text{O2}i,r,l} / U'_{\text{O2}i,r,l}) A_{i,r,l} ([\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^{-}]_i,r_l] - [\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^{-}]_{\text{mn}}] / ([\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^{-}]_i,r_l] - [\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^{-}]_i,r_l] - [\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^{-$		[C23e] [C23f]
$f_{\mathrm{iN}i,r,l} = \sigma_{\mathrm{C}i,r,l} / (\sigma_{\mathrm{C}i,r,l} + \sigma_{\mathrm{N}i,r,l} / \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{iN}_{\mathrm{C}}})$	product inhibition of $U_{\rm NH4}$, $U_{\rm NO3}$	[C23g]
$f_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{P}i,r,l} = \sigma_{\mathbf{C}i,r,l} / (\sigma_{\mathbf{C}i,r,l} + \sigma_{\mathbf{P}i,r,l} / \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}})$	and $U_{\rm PO4}$ determined by $\sigma_{\rm N}$ and $\sigma_{\rm P}$ <i>vs.</i> $\sigma_{\rm C}$ in roots	[C23h]
C_4 Gross Primary Productivity		
C_4 Mesophyll		
$GPP = \sum_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o} \left(V_{g(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = V_{c(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} \right)$		[C24]
$V_{g(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (C_{b} - C_{i(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}) / r_{1fi,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	gaseous diffusion	[C25]
$V_{c(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = min\{V_{b(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}, V_{j(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}\}$	mesophyll carboxylation	[C26]
$r_{\mathrm{lf}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = r_{\mathrm{lfmin}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} + (r_{\mathrm{lfmax}i} - r_{\mathrm{lfmin}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}) e^{(-\beta \psi_{\mathrm{t}}i)}$		[C27]
$r_{\text{lfmin}i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (C_{\text{b}} - C_{\text{i}(\text{m4})}'_{i}) / V_{\text{c}_{0}(\text{m4})i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$		[C28]
$V_{b(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = V_{bmax(m4)i,j,k} (C_{c(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} - \Gamma_{(m4)i,j,k}) / (C_{c(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}) + K_{c(m4)i})$	CO ₂ -limited carboxylation	[C29]
$V_{j(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = J_{(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} Y_{(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	light-limited carboxylation	[C30a]
$Y_{(m4)i,j,k} = (C_{c(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} - \Gamma_{(m4)i,j,k}) / (3.0 \ C_{c(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}) + 10.5 \ \Gamma_{(m4)i,j,k})$ $J_{(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (\varepsilon I_{i,l,m,n,o} + J_{max(m4)i,j,k} - ((\varepsilon I_{i,l,m,n,o} + J_{max(m4)i,j,k})^2 - 4\alpha\varepsilon I_{i,l,m,n,o} J_{max(m4)i,j,k})^{0.5}) / (2\alpha)$	irradiance response function	[C30b] [C31]

$V_{\text{bmax}(\text{m4})i,j,k} = V_{\text{bmax}(\text{m4})'} [N_{\text{pep}(\text{m4})i,j,k}]' N_{\text{lf}i,j,k} A_{\text{lf}i,j,k} f_{\text{C}(\text{m4})i,j,k} f_{\psi i} f_{\text{tv}i}$	PEPc activity	[C32]
$J_{\max(m4)i,j,k} = J_{\max}' [N_{\text{chl}(m4)i,j,k}]' N_{\text{lf}i,j,k} A_{\text{lf}i,j,k} f_{\text{C}(m4)i,j,k} f_{\forall i} f_{\text{tv}i}$	chlorophyll activity	[C33]
$f_{C(m4)i,j,k} = 1.0 / (1.0 + [\chi_{C4(m4)i,j,k}] / K_{I\chi_{C4(m4)}})$	C ₄ product inhibition	[C34]
$f_{\Psi i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (r_{\mathrm{lfmin}_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o}} / r_{\mathrm{lf}_{i,j,k,l,m,n,o}})^{0.5}$	non-stomatal water limitation	[C35]
$f_{\text{tv}i} = T_{\text{c}i} \{ \exp[B - H_{\text{a}} / (RT_{\text{c}i})] \} / \{ 1 + \exp[(H_{\text{d}l} - ST_{\text{c}i}) / (RT_{\text{c}i})] + \exp[(ST_{\text{c}i} - H_{\text{d}h}) / (RT_{\text{c}i})] \}$	temperature limitation	[C36]

C4 Mesophyll-Bundle Sheath Exchange

$V_{\chi C4(m4)i,j,k} = \kappa_{\chi C4(m4)} \left(\chi_{C4(m4)i,j,k} W_{lf(b4)i,j,k} - \chi_{C4(b4)i,j,k} W_{lf(m4)i,j,k} \right) / \left(W_{lf(b4)i,j,k} + W_{lf(m4)i,j,k} \right)$	mesophyll-bundle sheath transfer	[C37]
$V_{\chi C4(b4)i,j,k} = \kappa_{\chi C4(b4)} \chi_{C4(b4)i,j,k} / (1.0 + C_{c(b4)i,j,k} / K_{I\chi C4(b4)})$	bundle sheath decarboxylation	[C38]
$V_{\phi(b4)i,j,k} = \kappa_{Cc(b4)} \left(C_{c(b4)i,j,k} - C_{c(m4)i,j,k} \right) \left(12 \ge 10^{-9} \right) W_{lf(b4)i,j,k}$	bundle sheath-mesophyll leakage	[C39]
$\delta \chi_{C4(m4)i,j,k} / \delta t = \sum_{l,m,n,o} V_{c(m4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} - V_{\chi C4(m4)i,j,k}$	mesophyll carboxylation products	[C40]
$\delta \chi_{C4(b4)i,j,k} / \delta t = V_{\chi C4(m4)i,j,k} - V_{\chi C4(b4)i,j,k}$	bundle sheath carboxylation products	[C41]
$\delta C_{\text{c(b4)}i,j,k} / \delta t = V_{\text{ZC4(b4)}i,j,k} - V_{\text{\phi(b4)}i,j,k} - \Sigma_{l,m,n,o} V_{\text{c(b4)}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	bundle sheath CO ₂ concentration	[C42]

C₄ Bundle Sheath

$V_{c(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = min\{V_{b(b4)i,j,k}, V_{j(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}\}$	bundle sheath carboxylation	[C43]
$V_{b(b4)i,j,k} = V_{bmax(b4)i,j,k} (C_{c(b4)i,j,k} - \Gamma_{(b4)i,j,k}) / (C_{c(b4)i,j,k}) + K_{c(b4)i})$	CO ₂ -limited carboxylation	[C44]
$V_{j(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = J_{(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} Y_{(b4)i,j,k}$	light- limited carboxylation	[C45a]
$Y_{(b4)i,j,k} = (C_{c(b4)i,j,k} - \Gamma_{(b4)i,j,k}) / (4.5 C_{c(b4)i,j,k} + 10.5 \Gamma_{(b4)i,j,k}) J_{(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o} = (\varepsilon I_{i,l,m,n,o} + J_{\max(b4)i,j,k} - ((\varepsilon I_{i,l,m,n,o} + J_{\max(b4)i,j,k})^2 - 4\alpha\varepsilon I_{i,l,m,n,o} J_{\max(b4)i,j,k})^{0.5}) / (2\alpha)$	carboxylation efficiency of $V_{j(b4)}$ irradiance response function	[C45b] [C46]

$V_{\text{bmax(b4)}i,j,k} = V_{\text{bmax(b4)}'} [N_{\text{rub(b4)}i,j,k}]' N_{\text{lf}i,j,k} A_{\text{lf}i,j,k} f_{\text{C(c3)}i,j,k} f_{\text{\psi}i} f_{\text{tv}i}$	RuBPc activity	[C47]		
$J_{\max(b4)i,j,k} = J_{\max}' [N_{\text{chl}(b4)i,j,k}]' N_{\text{lf}i,j,k} A_{\text{lf}i,j,k} f_{\text{C}(c3)i,j,k} f_{\psi i} f_{\text{tv}i}$	chlorophyll activity	[C48]		
$f_{C(c3)i,j,k} = \min\{[\nu_{fi,j}] / ([\nu_{fi,j}] + [\chi_{c3(b4)i,j}] / K_{Iv_{If}}), [\pi_{lfi,j}] / ([\pi_{lfi,j}] + [\chi_{c3(b4)i,j}] / K_{I\pi_{If}})\}$	C ₃ product inhibition	[C49]		
Shoot – Root - Mycorrhizal C, N, P Transfer				
$Z_{sCi,j-i,r,l} = g_{sCi,j-i,r,l} \left(\sigma_{Ci,j} \ M_{Ri,r,l} - \ \sigma_{Ci,r,l} \ M_{Bi,j} \right) / \left(M_{Ri,r,l} + M_{Bi,j} \right)$	shoot – root C transfer driven by $\sigma_{\rm C}$ concentration gradients	[C50]		
$Z_{sN,Pi,j-i,r,l} = \boldsymbol{g}_{sN,Pi,j-i,r,l} \left(\sigma_{N,Pi,j} \sigma_{Ci,r,l} - \sigma_{N,Pi,r,l} \sigma_{Ci,j} \right) / \left(\sigma_{Ci,r,l} + \sigma_{Ci,j} \right)$	shoot – root N,P transfer driven by $\sigma_{N,P}$ concentration gradients	[C51]		

 $Z_{rCi,j-i,r,l} = g_{rCi,j-i,r,l} (\sigma_{Ci,r,l} M_{Mi,r,l} - \sigma_{Ci,m,l} M_{Ri,r,l}) / (M_{Mi,r,l} + M_{Ri,r,l})$

 $Z_{r\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}i,j-i,r,l} = g_{r\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}i,j-i,r,l} \left(\sigma_{\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}i,r,l} \sigma_{\mathrm{C}i,m,l} - \sigma_{\mathrm{N},\mathrm{P}i,m,l} \sigma_{\mathrm{C}i,r,l} \right) / \left(\sigma_{\mathrm{C}i,m,l} + \sigma_{\mathrm{C}i,r,l} \right)$

Definition of Variables in Appendix C

root – mycorrhizal C transfer

driven by $\sigma_{\rm C}$ conc'n gradients root – mycorrhizal N,P transfer

driven by $\sigma_{N,P}$ conc'n gradients

[C52]

[C53]

Variable	Definition	Unit	Equation	Value	Reference
		subscripts			
i	species or functional type: evergreen,				
	coniferous, deciduous, annual, perennial,				
	C_3 , C_4 , monocot, dicot, legume etc.				
j	branch or tiller				
k	node				
l	soil or canopy layer				
т	leaf azimuth				
n	leaf inclination				
0	leaf exposure (sunlit vs. shaded)				
z	organ including leaf, stem, root r,				
	mycorrhizae <i>m</i>				
	·	variables			

A	leaf, root or mycorrhizalsurface area	$m^2 m^{-2}$	[C1,C6b,C6d,C8b, C21,C23,C32,C33 ,C47]		
β	shape parameter for stomatal effects on CO_2 diffusion and non-stomatal effects on carboxylation	MPa ⁻¹	[C4 C27,C35,]	-5.0	Grant and Flanagan (2007
В	parameter such that $f_t = 1.0$ at $T_c = 298.15$ K		[C36]	17.533	
Bj	parameter such that $f_{iji} = 1.0$ at $T_c = 298.15$ K		[C10c]	17.363	
B _{kc}	parameter such that $f_{tkci} = 1.0$ at $T_c = 298.15$ K		[C10d]	22.187	
B _{ko}	parameter such that $f_{\text{tkoi}} = 1.0$ at $T_{\text{c}} = 298.15$ K		[C10e]	8.067	
Bo	parameter such that $f_{toi} = 1.0$ at $T_c = 298.15$ K		[C10b]	24.221	
B _v	parameter such that $f_{tvi} = 1.0$ at $T_c = 298.15$ K		[C10a, C22]	26.238	
C_{b}	[CO ₂] in canopy air	µmol mol ⁻¹	[C2,C5 C25,C28]		
$C_{ m c}$	[CO ₂] in canopy chloroplasts in equilibrium with $C_{ii,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	μΜ	[C6a,C7b]		
$C_{c(b4)}$	$[CO_2]$ in C ₄ bundle sheath	μΜ	[C38,C39,C42,C4 4,C45b]		
$C_{\rm c(m4)}$	[CO ₂] in C ₄ mesophyll in equilibrium with $C_{ii,i,k,l,m,n,o}$	μΜ	[C29,C30b,C39]		
C _i '	[CO ₂] in canopy leaves when $\psi_{ci} = 0$	µmol mol ⁻¹	[C5]	$0.70 \ge C_{\rm b}$	Larcher (2001)
C _i	[CO ₂] in canopy leaves	µmol mol ⁻¹	[C2]		
$C_{i(m4)}$	[CO ₂] in C ₄ mesophyll air when $\psi_{ci} = 0$	µmol mol ⁻¹	[C28]	0.45 x <i>C</i> _b	
$C_{i(m4)}$	$[CO_2]$ in C ₄ mesophyll air	µmol mol ⁻¹	[C25]		
$C_{i,j,z=l}$	C content of leaf $(z = l)$	$g C m^{-2}$	[C18]		
$D_{e NH_{4l}}$	effective dispersivity-diffusivity of NH_4^+ during root uptake	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[C23]		

$D_{e NO_{3l}}$	effective dispersivity-diffusivity of NO_3^- during root uptake	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[C23]		
$D_{\mathrm{e} \operatorname{PO}_{4l}}$	effective dispersivity-diffusivity of $H_2PO_4^-$ during root	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[C23]		
$D_{ m rO2}$	uptake aqueous diffusivity of O_2 from root aerenchyma to root or mycorrhizal surfaces	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[C14d]		
$D_{ m sO2}$	aqueous diffusivity of O_2 from soil to root or mycorrhizal surfaces	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[C14d]		
$d_{i,r,l}$	half distance between adjacent roots assumed equal to uptake path length	m	[C23]	$(\pi L_{s,z}/\Delta z)^{-1/2}$	Grant (1998)
$E_{ m N,P}$	energy cost of nutrient uptake	g C g N ⁻¹ or P ⁻¹	[C13]	2.15	Veen (1981)
$f_{C(c3)}$	C_3 product inhibition of RuBP carboxylation activity in C_4 bundle sheath or C_3 mesophyll	_	[C47,C48,C49]		
$f_{\rm C(m4)}$	C_4 product inhibition of PEP carboxylation activity in C_4 mesophyll	-	[C32,C33,C34]		
$F_{ m chl}$	fraction of leaf protein in chlorophyll	-	[C8b]	0.025	
$f_{ m iC}$	N,P inhibition on carboxylation, leaf structural N,P growth	_	[C6a,C7,C11,C12]		
$f_{ m iN}$	N inhibition on root N uptake	_	[C23g]		
$f_{ m iP}$	P inhibition on root P uptake	-	[C23h]		
$f_{\lambda N}$	fraction of $X_{ m mx}$ N translocated out of leaf or root before litterfall	_	[C19a,c]		
$f_{\lambda ext{P}}$	fraction of $X_{ m mx}$ P translocated out of leaf or root before litterfall	_	[C19b,d]		
F _{rubisco}	fraction of leaf protein in rubisco	-	[C6b,d]	0.125	
$f_{ m ta}$	temperature effect on $R_{ai,j}$ and U	_	[C14, C22,C23]		
$f_{ m tb}$	temperature effect on carboxylation	_	[C6b,C10a]		

$f_{ m tj}$	temperature effect on electron transport		[C8b,C10c]		
$f_{ m tkc}$	temperature effect on K_{c_i}		[C6e,C10d]		Bernacchi et al.
$f_{ m tko}$	temperature effect on K_{o_i}		[C6e,C10e]		(2001,2003) Bernacchi et al. (2001,2003)
$f_{ m tm}$	temperature effect on $R_{mi,j}$	_	[C16, C22b]	$Q_{10} = 2.25$	(2001,2003)
$f_{ m to}$	temperature effect on oxygenation		[C6d,C10b]		
$f_{ m tv}$	temperature effect on carboxylation	_	[C32,C33,C36,C4 7,C48]		
$f_{ m xN}$	inhibition of root or mycorrhizal N exudation	_	[C19f,h]		
$f_{ m xP}$	inhibition of root or mycorrhizal P exudation	_	[C19g,i]		
$f_{\psi i}$	non-stomatal water effect on carboxylation	_	[C6a,C7a,C9]		Medrano et al. (2002)
$f_{\psi i}$	non-stomatal water effect on carboxylation	_	[C32,C33,C35C47 ,C48]		
gsC	conductance for shoot-root C transfer	h^{-1}	[C50]	calculated from root depth, axis number	Grant (1998)
$g_{sN,P}$	rate constant for shoot-root N,P transfer	h^{-1}	[C51]	0.1	Grant (1998)
g _{rC}	rate constant for root-mycorrhizal C transfer	h^{-1}	[C52]	0.1	Grant (1998)
g _{rN,P}	rate constant for root-mycorrhizal N,P transfer	\mathbf{h}^{-1}	[C53]	0.1	Grant (1998)
H_{a}	energy of activation	$J \text{ mol}^{-1}$	[C36]	57.5 x 10 ³	
$H_{ m aj}$	energy of activation for electron transport	$J \text{ mol}^{-1}$	[C10c]	43×10^3	Bernacchi et al.
$H_{ m akc}$	parameter for temperature sensitivity of \mathbf{K}_{c_i}	$\rm J \ mol^{-1}$	[C10d]	55 x 10 ³	(2001,2003) Bernacchi et al. (2001,2003)

H _{ako}	parameter for temperature sensitivity of K_{o_i}	$J \text{ mol}^{-1}$	[C10e]	20×10^3	Bernacchi et al.
$H_{ m ao}$	energy of activation for oxygenation	$\rm J \ mol^{-1}$	[C10b, C22]	$60 \ge 10^3$	(2001,2003) Bernacchi et al.
H_{av}	energy of activation for carboxylation	$J \text{ mol}^{-1}$	[C10a, C22]	65×10^3	(2001,2003) Bernacchi et al.
$H_{ m dh}$	energy of high temperature deactivation	J mol ⁻¹	[C10, C22]	222.5×10^3	(2001,2003)
$H_{ m dh}$ $m{H}_{ m dl}$	energy of high temperature deactivation energy of low temperature deactivation	$J mol^{-1}$ $J mol^{-1}$	[C36] [C10, C22]	220 x 10 ³ 198.0 x 10 ³	
$H_{\rm dl}$ [H ₂ PO ₄ $^{-}_{i,r,l}$]	energy of low temperature deactivation concentration of $H_2PO_4^-$ root or mycorrizal surfaces	$J \text{ mol}^{-1}$ g N m ⁻³	[C36] [C23]	190 x 10 ³	
$[H_2PO_4 m_n]$	concentration of $H_2PO_4^-$ at root or mycorrizal surfaces below which $U_{PO_4} = 0$	$g N m^{-3}$	[C23]	0.002	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
Ι	irradiance	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C8a,]		Silberbush, 1904
J	electron transport rate in C ₃ mesophyll	$\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	[C7a,C8a]		
$J_{ m (b4)}$	electron transport rate in C ₄ bundle sheath	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C45a,C46]		
$J_{ m (m4)}$	electron transport rate in C ₄ mesophyll	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C30a,C31]		
$J_{ m max}$ '	specific electron transport rate at non-limiting <i>I</i> and 25°C when $\psi_{ci} = 0$ and nutrients are nonlimiting	μ mol g ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	[C33,C48]	400	
$J_{\max(b4)}$	electron transport rate in C_4 bundle sheath at non-limiting I	$\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	[C46,C48]		
$J_{ m max(m4)}$ $J_{ m max}$	electron transport rate in C ₄ mesophyll at non-limiting <i>I</i> electron transport rate at non-limiting <i>I</i> , ψ_{ci} , temperature and N,P	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C31,C33] [C8a,C8b]		
$K_{c(b4)}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for carboxylation in C_4 bundle sheath	μΜ	[C44]	30.0 at 25° C and zero O ₂	Lawlor (1993)

$K_{\rm c(m4)}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for carboxylation in C_4 mesophyll	μΜ	[C29]	3.0 at 25°C	Lawlor (1993)
K _c	Michaelis-Menten constant for carboxylation at zero O_2	μΜ	[C6c,C6e]	12.5 at 25 °C	Farquhar et al. (1980)
K _c	Michaelis-Menten constant for carboxylation at ambient O_2	μΜ	[C6e]		(1) (0)
<i>K</i> _{iC_N}	inhibition constant for growth in shoots from $\sigma_{\rm C}$ vs. $\sigma_{\rm N}$	g C g N ⁻¹	[C11]	100	Grant (1998)
<i>K</i> _{iCp}	inhibition constant for growth in shoots from $\sigma_{\rm C} vs. \sigma_{\rm P}$	g C g P ⁻¹	[C11]	1000	Grant (1998)
$K_{\mathrm{I}\chi_{\mathrm{C4(b4)}}}$	constant for CO_2 product inhibition of C_4 decarboxylation in C_4 bundle sheath	μΜ	[C38]	1000.0	
$K_{I\chi_{C4(m4)}}$	constant for C_4 product inhibition of PEP carboxylation activity in C_4 mesophyll	μΜ	[C34]	5 x 10 ⁶	
$K_{ m Iv_{lf}}$	constant for C_3 product inhibition of RuBP carboxylation activity in C_4 bundle sheath or C_3 mesophyll caused by [$\nu_{lfi,j}$]	$g C g N^{-1}$	[C49]	100	
$K_{\mathrm{I}\pi_{\mathrm{lf}}}$	constant for C ₃ product inhibition of RuBP carboxylation activity in C ₄ bundle sheath or C ₃ mesophyll caused by $[\pi_{lfi,i}]$	g C g P ⁻¹	[C49]	1000	
K_{iNC}	inhibition constant for N uptake in roots from $\sigma_{Ci,j}$ vs. σ_{Nj}	g N g C ⁻¹	[C23]	0.1	Grant (1998)
<i>K</i> _{iPC}	inhibition constant for P uptake in roots from $\sigma_{Ci,j}$ vs. $\sigma_{Pi,j}$ roots	g P g C ⁻¹	[C23]	0.01	Grant (1998)
$K_{\lambda \mathrm{N}}$	inhibition constant for remobilization of leaf or root N during senescence	g N g C ⁻¹	[C19c]	0.1	
$K_{\lambda P}$	inhibition constant for remobilization of leaf or root P during senescence	g P g C ⁻¹	[C19d]	0.01	
K _{NH4}	M-M constant for NH_4^+ uptake at root or mycorrhizal surfaces	g N m ⁻³	[C23]	0.40	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
K _{NO3}	M-M constant for NO ₃ ⁻ uptake at root or mycorrhizal surfaces	g N m ⁻³	[C23]	0.35	Barber and Silberbush, 1984

K _{PO4}	M-M constant for $H_2PO_4^-$ uptake root or mycorrhizal surfaces	$g P m^{-3}$	[C23]	0.125	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
K ₀₂	Michaelis-Menten constant for root or mycorrhizal O ₂	g m ⁻³	[C14c]	0.064	Griffin (1972)
Ko	uptake inhibition constant for O_2 in carboxylation	μΜ	[C6c,C6e]	500 at 25 °C	Farquhar et al.
K _{xN}	inhibition constant for exudation of root or mycorrhizal N	g C g N ⁻¹	[C19h]	1.0	(1980)
K _{xP}	inhibition constant for exudation of root or mycorrhizal P	$g C g N^{-1}$	[C19i]	10.0	
L	root length	m m ⁻²	[C14d,C21b,C23]		
$l_{\rm C}$	C litterfall from leaf or root	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C18,C19a,b,C20]		
$l_{ m N,P}$	N or P litterfall from leaf or root	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C19a,b]		
$M_{ m B}$	branch C phytomass	g C m ⁻²	[C20,C50]		
$M_{ m L}$	leaf C phytomass	g C m ⁻²	[C12,C21]		
$M_{\rm L_N}, M_{\rm L_R}$	non-remobilizable, remobilizable (protein) leaf C phytomass	g C m ⁻²	[C6,C12,C18]		
$M_{ m M}$	mycorrhizal C phytomass	g C m ⁻²	[C52]		
$M_{ m R}$	root C phytomass	g C m ⁻²	[C20,C21,C50,C5		
M _{iprot}	leaf protein phytomass calculated from leaf N, P contents	g N m ⁻²	2] [C6b,C6d,C8b,C1		
N,P	N or P content of organ z	g N m ⁻²	2] [C16, C19]		
$N_{ m leaf}$	maximum leaf structural N content	g N g C ⁻¹	[C12]	0.10	
N'_{leaf}	minimum leaf structural N content	g N g C ⁻¹	[C12]	$0.33 \ge N_{\text{leaf}}$	
$N_{ m lf}$	total leaf N	g N m ⁻² leaf	[C32,C33,C47,C4		
N _{prot}	N content of protein remobilized from leaf or root	g N C ⁻¹	8] [C12,C19a]	0.4	

$[N_{\text{chl}(b4)}]'$	ratio of chlorophyll N in C_4 bundle sheath to total leaf N	$g N g N^{-1}$	[C48]	0.05	
$[N_{\rm chl(m4)}]'$	ratio of chlorophyll N in C_4 mesophyll to total leaf N	g N g N ⁻¹	[C33]	0.05	
$[\mathbf{NH}_{4\ i,r,l}^{+}]$	concentration of NH_4^+ at root or mycorrizal surfaces	$g N m^{-3}$	[C23]		
$[\mathbf{NH_4}^+_{mn}]$	concentration of NH_4^+ at root or mycorrizal surfaces below which $U_{NH_4} = 0$	$g N m^{-3}$	[C23]	0.0125	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
$[NO_3^{-}_{i,r,l}]$	concentration of NH_4^+ at root or mycorrizal surfaces	$g N m^{-3}$	[C23]		
[NO ₃ ⁻ _{mn}]	concentration of NO ₃ ⁻ at root or mycorrizal surfaces below which $U_{NO_3} = 0$	$g N m^{-3}$	[C23]	0.03	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
$[N_{\text{pep(m4)}}]'$	ratio of PEP carboxylase N in C_4 mesophyll to total leaf N	$g N g N^{-1}$	[C32]	0.025	
[<i>N</i> _{rub(b4)}]'	ratio of RuBP carboxylase N in C_4 bundle sheath to total leaf N	g N g N^{-1}	[C47]	0.025	
O_{2q}	aqueous O_2 concentration in root or mycorrhizal aerenchyma	g m ⁻³	[C14c,d]		
O _{2r}	aqueous O_2 concentration at root or mycorrhizal surfaces	g m ⁻³	[C14c,d]		
O _{2s}	aqueous O ₂ concentration in soil solution	g m ⁻³	[C14c,d]		
$O_{\rm c}$	$[O_2]$ in canopy chloroplasts in equilibrium with $O_{2 in}$ atm.	μΜ	[C6c,C6e]		
Pleaf	maximum leaf structural P content	g P g C ⁻¹	[C12]	0.10	
P' _{leaf}	minimum leaf structural P content	g P g C ⁻¹	[C12]	0.33 x P _{leaf}	
P _{prot}	P content of protein remobilized from leaf or root	g P C ⁻¹	[C12,C19b]	0.04	
$[\pi_{ m lf}]$	concentration of nonstructural root P uptake product in leaf	g P g C ⁻¹	[C49]		
θ _P	root or mycorrhizal porosity	m ³ m ⁻³	[C21b]	0.1 - 0.5	
R	gas constant	$J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	[C10, C22]	8.3143	
R	gas constant	$\rm J\ mol^{-1}\ K^{-1}$	[C36]	8.3143	

R _a	total autotrophic respiration	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C13]		
$R_{\rm a}'$	$R_{\rm a}$ under nonlimiting O_2	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C14]		
R _c '	specific autotrophic respiration of $\sigma_{Ci,j}$ at $T_{ci} = 25$ °C	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[C14]	0.015	
$R_{ m c}$	autotrophic respiration of $\sigma_{Ci,j}$ or $\sigma_{Ci,r,l}$	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C13,C14,C17,		
R _g	growth respiration	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	C15] [C17,C20]		
$r_{ m lf}$	leaf stomatal resistance	s m ⁻¹	[C25,C27,C39]		
$r_{ m lfmax}i$	leaf cuticular resistance	s m ⁻¹	[C27]		
$r_{\mathrm{lfmin}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$ $r_{\mathrm{l}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	leaf stomatal resistance when $\psi_{ci} = 0$ leaf stomatal resistance	s m ⁻¹ s m ⁻¹	[C27,C28,C35 [C2,C4,C9]		
$r_{\mathrm{lmax}i}$	leaf cuticular resistance	s m ⁻¹	[C4]		
$r_{\mathrm{lmin}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	leaf stomatal resistance when $\psi_{ci} = 0$	s m ⁻¹	[C4,C5,C9]		
$R_{\rm m}'$	specific maintenance respiration of $\sigma_{Ci,j}$ at $T_{ci} = 25$ °C	$g C g N^{-1} h^{-1}$	[C16]	0.0115	Barnes et al. (1998)
$R_{\mathrm{m}i,j}$	above-ground maintenance respiration	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C16,C17,C15]		(1990)
$r_{\mathrm{q}i,r,l}$	radius of root aerenchyma	m	[C14d]		
$r_{\mathrm{r}i,r,l}$	root or mycorrhizal radius	m	[C14d,C21b,c,C23	$1.0 imes 10^{-4} ext{ or } 5.0 imes 10^{-6}$	
$R_{{ m s}i,j}$	respiration from remobilization of leaf C	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	a,c,e] [C13,C15,C18, C20]	10	
$r_{\rm sl}$	thickness of soil water films	m	[C14d]		
<i>r</i> _x	rate constant for root or mycorrhizal exudation	h^{-1}	[C19f,g,h]	0.001	
ρ _r	dry matter content of root biomass	g g ⁻¹	[C21b]	0.125	

S	change in entropy	$J \bmod^{-1} K^{-1}$	[C10, C22]	710	Sharpe and DeMichelle (1977)
S	change in entropy	$J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	[C36]	710	
$\sigma_{\rm C}$	nonstructural C product of CO ₂ fixation	g C g C ⁻¹	[C11,C19c,d,e,h,i, C23g,h,C50-53]		
$\sigma_{ m N}$	nonstructural N product of root uptake	g N g C ⁻¹	[C11, C19c,f,h,i C23g,h,C51,C53]		
$\sigma_{ m P}$	nonstructural P product of root uptake	g P g C ⁻¹	[C11, C19d,g,h,i C23g,h,C51,C53]		
$T_{\rm c}$	canopy temperature	Κ	[C10, C22]		
$T_{\rm c}$	canopy temperature	°C	[C36]		
$U_{{ m NH4}i,r,l}$	NH ₄ ⁺ uptake by roots or mycorrhizae	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C23]		
$U'_{ m NH_4}$	maximum $U_{\rm NH_4}$ at 25 °C and non-limiting ${\rm NH_4^+}$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C23]	5.0 x 10 ⁻³	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
$U_{{ m NO3}i,r,l}$	NO ₃ ⁻ uptake by roots or mycorrhizae	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C23]		
$U'_{\rm NO_3}$	maximum $U_{\rm NO_3}$ at 25 °C and non-limiting NO ₃ ⁻	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C23]	5.0 x 10 ⁻³	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
$U_{{ m PO4}i,r,l}$	H ₂ PO ₄ ⁻ uptake by roots or mycorrhizae	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C23]		Shoerbush, 1964
$U'_{\rm PO_4}$	maximum U_{PO_4} at 25 °C and non-limiting $H_2PO_4^-$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C23]	5.0 x 10 ⁻³	Barber and Silberbush, 1984
$U_{{ m O2}i,r,l}$	O_2 uptake by roots and mycorrhizae under ambient O_2	$g O m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C14b,c,C23b,d,f]		Silberbush, 1964
$U'_{\mathrm{O2}i,l.r}$	O_2 uptake by roots and mycorrhizae under nonlimiting O_2	$g O m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C14b,c,C23b,d,f]		
$U_{\mathrm{w}_{i,r,l}}$	root water uptake	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C14d,C23]		
$V_{\phi(\mathrm{b4})i,j,k}$	CO_2 leakage from C_4 bundle sheath to C_4 mesophyll	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C39,C42]		

V _b ′	specific rubisco carboxylation at 25 $^{\circ}C$	µmol g ⁻¹ rubisco s ⁻¹	[C6b]	45	Farquhar et al. (1980)
$V_{\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{b}4)i,j,k}$	CO_2 -limited carboxylation rate in C_4 bundle sheath	$\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	[C43,C44]		
$V_{\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{m}4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	CO ₂ -limited carboxylation rate in C ₄ mesophyll	$\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	[C26]		
$V_{{\mathrm{b}}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	CO ₂ -limited leaf carboxylation rate	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C3,C6]		
$V_{ m bmax(b4)}$ '	RuBP carboxylase specific activity in C ₄ bundle sheath at 25° C when $\psi_{ci} = 0$ and nutrients are nonlimiting	$\mu mol \ g^{\text{-}1} \ s^{\text{-}1}$	[C47]	75	
$V_{\mathrm{bmax}(\mathrm{b4})i,j,k}$	CO ₂ -nonlimited carboxylation rate in C ₄ bundle sheath	$\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	[C44,C47]		
$V_{\mathrm{bmax(m4)}}$ '	PEP carboxylase specific activity in C ₄ mesophyll at 25°C when $\psi_{ci} = 0$ and nutrients are nonlimiting	μ mol g ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	[C32]	150	
$V_{\mathrm{bmax}(\mathrm{m4})i,j,k}$	CO ₂ -nonlimited carboxylation rate in C ₄ mesophyll	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C29,C32]		
$V_{\mathrm{bmax}i,j,k}$	leaf carboxylation rate at non-limiting CO ₂ , ψ_{ci} , T_c and N,P	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C6a,C6b,C6c]		
$V_{c(b4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	CO ₂ fixation rate in C ₄ bundle sheath	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C43]		
$V_{\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{m4})i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	CO ₂ fixation rate in C ₄ mesophyll	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C24,C26,C40,C4 1]		
$V_{\mathrm{c}_{0}(\mathrm{m}4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	CO_2 fixation rate in C_4 mesophyll when $\psi_{ci} = 0$ MPa	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C28]		
$V_{{ m c}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	leaf CO ₂ fixation rate	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C1,C3]		
$V_{\mathrm{c}'i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	leaf CO ₂ fixation rate when $\psi_{ci} = 0$	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C5]		
$V_{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{m}4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	CO ₂ diffusion rate into C ₄ mesophyll	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C24,C25]		
$V_{{ m g}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	leaf CO ₂ diffusion rate	$\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	[C1,C2]		
V _j ′	specific chlorophyll e ⁻ transfer at 25 °C	µmol g ⁻¹ chlorophyll s ⁻¹	[C8b]	450	Farquhar et al. (1980)
$V_{\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{b4})i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	irradiance-limited carboxylation rate in C ₄ bundle sheath	$\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	[C43,C45a]		

$V_{\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{m}4)i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$ $V_{\mathrm{j}i,j,k,l,m,n,o}$	irradiance-limited carboxylation rate in C_4 mesophyll irradiance-limited leaf carboxylation rate	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C26,C30a] [C3,C7a]		
V _o ′	specific rubisco oxygenation at 25 °C	µmol g ⁻¹ rubisco s ⁻¹	[C6d]	9.5	Farquhar et al.
$V_{\mathrm{omax}i,j,k}$	leaf oxygenation rate at non-limiting O ₂ , ψ_{ci} , T_c and N,P	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	[C6c,d]		(1980)
$V_{\chi {\rm C4(b4)}i,j,k}$	decarboxylation of C_4 fixation product in C_4 bundle sheath	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C38,C41,C42]		
$V_{\chi { m C4(m4)}}$	transfer of C_4 fixation product between C_4 mesophyll and bundle sheath	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C37]		
$[v_{\rm lf}]$	concentration of nonstructural root N uptake product in leaf	g N g C ⁻¹	[C49]		
V _r	specific volume of root biomass	m ³ g ⁻¹	[C21b]		
$W_{\rm lf(b4)}$	C ₄ bundle sheath water content	g m ⁻²	[C37,C39]		
$W_{\rm lf(m4)}$	C ₄ mesophyll water content	g m ⁻²	[C37]		
$X_{ m mx}$	maximum fraction of remobilizable N or P translocated out of leaf or root during senescence	-	[C19a,b]	0.6	Kimmins (2004)
$X_{i,r,l,C}$	root and mycorrhizal C exudation	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C19e]		
$X_{i,r,l,\mathrm{N}}$	root and mycorrhizal C exudation	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C19f]		
$X_{i,r,l,\mathrm{P}}$	root and mycorrhizal C exudation	$g P m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C19g]		
Y	carboxylation yield from electron transport in C ₃ mesophyll	μ mol CO ₂ μ mol e ⁻¹	[C7a,b]		
$Y_{(b4)}$	carboxylation yield from electron transport in C_4 bundle sheath	µmol CO ₂ µmol e ⁻	[C45a,b]		
$Y_{(m4)}$	carboxylation yield from electron transport in C ₄ mesophyll	μmol CO ₂ μmol e ⁻	[C30a,b]		

Yg	fraction of $\sigma_{Ci,j}$ used for growth expended as $R_{gi,j,z}$ by organ z	g C g C ⁻¹	[C20]	0.28 ($z = \text{leaf}$), 0.24 ($z = \text{root}$ and other non-foliar), 0.20 ($z = \text{mag} d$)	Waring and Running (1998)
У	plant population	m ⁻²	[C21]	$0.20 \ (z = wood)$	
Z_{sC}	shoot-root C transfer	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C50]		
$Z_{sN,P}$	shoot-root N,P transfer	g N,P m ⁻² h ⁻¹	[C51]		
Z_{rC}	root-mycorrhizal C transfer	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C52]		
$Z_{rN,P}$	root-mycorrhizal N,P transfer	g N,P $m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[C53]		
Г	CO ₂ compensation point in C ₃ mesophyll	μΜ	[C6a,C6c,C7b]		
$\Gamma_{(\mathrm{b4})}$	CO ₂ compensation point in C ₄ bundle sheath	μΜ	[C44,C45b]		
$\Gamma_{(m4)}$	CO ₂ compensation point in C ₄ mesophyll	μΜ	[C29,C30b]		
α	shape parameter for response of J to I	-	[C8a]	0.7	
α	shape parameter for response of J to I	-	[C31,C46]	0.75	
X	area:mass ratio of leaf growth	m g ⁻³	[C21]	0.0125	Grant and Hesketh (1992)
XC4(b4)	non-structural C_4 fixation product in C_4 bundle sheath	g C m ⁻²	[C37,C38,C41]		
X C4(m4)	non-structural C ₄ fixation product in C ₄ mesophyll	g C m ⁻²	[C37,C40]		
[$\chi_{c3(b4)}$]	concentration of non-structural C_3 fixation product in C_4 bundle sheath	g g ⁻¹	[C49]		
[$\chi_{C4(m4)}$]	concentration of non-structural C_4 fixation product in C_4	μΜ	[C34]		
ε	mesophyll quantum yield	µmol e ⁻ µmol quanta ⁻¹	[C8a]	0.45	Farquhar et al. (1980)

Е	quantum yield	µmol e ⁻ µmol quanta ⁻¹	[C31,C46]	0.45	Farquhar et al., (1980)
K _{Cc(b4)}	conductance to CO_2 leakage from C_4 bundle sheath	h^{-1}	[C39]	20	
Ψt	canopy turgor potential	MPa	[C4]	1.25 at $\psi_{\rm c} = 0$	

Appendix D: Soil Water, Heat, Gas and Solute Fluxes

Surface Water Flux

$Q_{\mathrm{rx}(x,y)} = v_{\mathrm{x}(x,y)} d_{\mathrm{mx},y} L_{\mathrm{y}(x,y)}$	2D Manning equation in x (EW)	[D1]
$Q_{\mathrm{ry}(x,y)} = v_{\mathrm{y}(x,y)} d_{\mathrm{mx},y} L_{\mathrm{x}(x,y)}$	and <i>y</i> (NS) directions	
$d_{x,y} = \max(0, d_{w(x,y)} + d_{i(x,y)} - d_{s(x,y)}) d_{w(x,y)} / (d_{w(x,y)} + d_{i(x,y)})$	surface water depth	[D2]
$v_{x(x,y)} = R^{0.67} s_{x(x,y)}^{0.5} / z_{r(x,y)}$	runoff velocity over E slope	[D3]
$v_{y(x,y)} = R^{0.67} s_{y(x,y)}^{0.5} / z_{r(x,y)}$	runoff velocity over S slope	
$v_{x(x,y)} = -R^{0.67} s_{x(x,y)}^{0.5} / z_{r(x,y)}$	runoff velocity over W slope	
$v_{y(x,y)} = -R^{0.67} s_{y(x,y)}^{0.5} / z_{r(x,y)}$	runoff velocity over N slope	
$\Delta(d_{w(x,y)}A_{x,y}) / \Delta t = Q_{r,x(x,y)} - Q_{r,x+1(x,y)} + Q_{r,y(x,y)} - Q_{r,y+1(x,y)} + P - E_{x,y} - Q_{r,y+1(x,y)} + Q_{r,y(x,y)} - Q_{r,y+1(x,y)} + Q_{r,y(x,y)} - Q_{r,y+1(x,y)} + Q_{r,y(x,y)} - Q_{r,y+1(x,y)} - Q_{r,$	$Q_{wz(x,y,I)}$ 2D kinematic wave theory for overland flow	[D4]
$R = s_{\rm r} d_{\rm m} / \left[2 \left(s_{\rm r}^2 + 1 \right) 0.5 \right]$	wetted perimeter	[D5a]
$s_{x(x,y)} = 2 abs[(Z + d_s + d_m)_{x,y} - (Z + d_s + d_m)_{x+1,y}] / (L_{x(x,y)} + L_{x(x+1,y)})$	2D slope from topography and	[D5b]
$s_{y(x,y)} = 2 abs[(Z + d_s + d_m)_{x,y} - (Z + d_s + d_m)_{x,y+1}] / (L_{y(x,y)} + L_{y(x,y+1)})$	pooled surface water in <i>x</i> (EW) and <i>y</i> (NS) directions	
$\boldsymbol{L}\boldsymbol{E}_{l} = L \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{a} - \boldsymbol{e}_{l(T_{l}, \psi_{l})} \right) / \boldsymbol{r}_{al}$	evaporation from surface litter	[D6a]
$\boldsymbol{L}\boldsymbol{E}_{s} = L \left(\boldsymbol{e}_{a} - \boldsymbol{e}_{s(T_{s}, \psi_{s})} \right) / \boldsymbol{r}_{as}$	evaporation from soil surface	[D6b]
	Subsurface Water Flux	
$Q_{wx(x,y,z)} = K'_{x} (\psi_{sx,y,z} - \psi_{sx+1,y,z})$	3D Richard's or Green-Ampt	[D7]
$Q_{wy(x,y,z)} = K'_{y} (\psi_{sx,y,z} - \psi_{sx,y+1,z})$	equation depending on saturation of source or target cell in <i>x</i> (EW),	

$Q_{\mathrm{w}z(x,y,z)} = K'_{z} \left(\psi_{\mathrm{sx},y,z} - \psi_{\mathrm{sx},y,z+1} \right)$	y (NS) and z (vertical) directions	
$\Delta \theta_{wx,y,z} / \Delta t = (Q_{wx(x,y)} - Q_{wx+I(x,y)} + Q_{wy(x,y)} - Q_{wy+I(x,y)} + Q_{wz(x,y)} - Q_{wz+I(x,y)} + Q_{f(x,y,z)}) / L_{z(x,y,z)}$	3D water transfer plus freeze-thaw	[D8]
$K'_{x} = 2 K_{x,y,z} K_{x+1,y,z} / (K_{x,y,z} L_{x,(x+1,y,z)} + K_{x+1,y,z} L_{x,(x,y,z)})$	in direction x if source and	[D9a]
$= 2 K_{x,y,z} / (L_{x(x+1,y,z)} + L_{x(x,y,z)})$	destination cells are unsaturated in direction x if source cell is saturated	[D9b]
$= 2 K_{x+1,y,z} / (L_{x(x+1,y,z)} + L_{x(x,y,z)})$	in direction x if destination cell is saturated	
$K'_{y} = 2 K_{x,y,z} K_{x,y+1,z} / (K_{x,y,z} L_{y(x,y+1,z)} + K_{x,y+1,z} L_{y(x,y,z)})$	in direction y if source and	[D9a]
$= 2 K_{x,y,z} / (L_{y(x,y+1,z)} + L_{y(x,y,z)})$	destination cells are unsaturated in direction y if source cell is saturated	[D9b]
$= 2 K_{x,y+1,z} / (L_{y(x,y+1,z)} + L_{y(x,y,z)})$	in direction y if destination cell is saturated	
$K'_{z} = 2 K_{x,y,z} K_{x,y,z+1} / (K_{x,y,z} L_{z(x,y,z+1)} + K_{x,y,z+1} L_{z(x,y,z)})$	in direction z if source and destination cells are unsaturated	[D9a]
$= 2 K_{x,y,z} / (L_{z(x,y,z+I)} + L_{z(x,y,z)})$	in direction z if source cell is	[D9b]
$= 2 K_{x,y,z+1} / (L_{z(x,y,z+1)} + L_{z(x,y,z)})$	saturated in direction z if destination cell is saturated	
Exchange with Water To	able	
$Q_{tx(x,y,z)} = K_{x,y,z} \left[\psi' - \psi_{sx,y,z} + 0.01 \left(d_{zx,y,z} - d_t \right) \right] / \left(L_{tx} + 0.5 L_{x,(x,y,z)} \right)$	if $\psi_{xx,y,z} > \psi' + 0.01(d_{zx,y,z} - d_t)$ for all depths z from $d_{zx,y,z}$ to d_t	[D10]
$Q_{ty(x,y,z)} = K_{x,y,z} \left[\psi' - \psi_{sx,y,z} + 0.01 \left(d_{zx,y,z} - d_t \right) \right] / \left(L_{ty} + 0.5 L_{y,(x,y,z)} \right)$	or if $d_{zx,y,z} > d_t$	
Heat Flux		
$R_n + LE + H + G = 0$	for eachcanopy, snow, residue and soil surface, depending on exposure	[D11]
$G_{x(x,y,z)} = 2 \kappa_{(x,y,z),(x+1,y,z)} (T_{(x,y,z)} - T_{(x+1,y,z)}) / (L_{x(x,y,z)} + L_{x(x+1,y,z)}) + c_w T_{(x,y,z)} Q_{wx(x,y,z)}$	3D conductive – convective heat flux among snowpack, surface	[D12]
$G_{y(x,y,z)} = 2 \kappa_{(x,y,z),(x,y+1,z)} (T_{(x,y,z)} - T_{(x,y+1,z)}) / (L_{y(x,y,z)} + L_{y(x,y+1,z)}) + c_w T_{(x,y,z)} Q_{wy(x,y,z)}$	residue and soil layers in x (EW), y	

$G_{z(x,y,z)} = 2 \kappa_{(x,y,z),(x,y,z+1)} (T_{(x,y,z)} - T_{(x,y,z+1)}) / (L_{z(x,y,z)} + L_{z(x,y,z+1)}) + c_w T_{(x,y,z)} Q_{wz(x,y,z)}$	(NS) and z (vertical) directions	
$G_{x(x-I,y,z)} - G_{x(x,y,z)} + G_{y(x,y-I,z)} - G_{y(x,y,z)} + G_{z(x,y,z-I)} - G_{z(x,y,z)} + LQ_{f(x,y,z)} + c_{(x,y,z)} (T_{(x,y,z)} - T'_{(x,y,z)}) / \Delta t = 0$	3D general heat flux equation in snowpack, surface residue and soil layers	[D13]
Gas Flux	in joint	
$Q_{\mathrm{dsyx},y,z} = a_{\mathrm{gsx},y,z} D_{\mathrm{dy}} \left(\boldsymbol{S}_{\gamma} f \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{dyx},y,z} \left[\gamma_{\mathrm{gs}} \right]_{x,y,z} - \left[\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}} \right]_{x,y,z} \right)$	volatilization – dissolution	[D14a]
$Q_{\mathrm{dr}\gamma x,y,z} = a_{\mathrm{gr}x,y,z} D_{\mathrm{d}\gamma} \left(S_{\gamma}' f_{\mathrm{d}_{\gamma}x,y,z} \left[\gamma_{\mathrm{gr}} \right]_{x,y,z} - \left[\gamma_{\mathrm{sr}} \right]_{x,y,z} \right)$	between aqueous and gaseous phases in soil and root	[D14b]
$Q_{gs\gamma zx,y,l} = g_{ax,y} \left\{ [\gamma_a] - \left\{ 2 \left[\gamma_{gs} \right]_{x,y,l} D_{gs\gamma z(x,y,l)} / L_{z(x,y,l)} + g_{ax,y} \left[\gamma_a \right] \right\} / \left\{ 2 D_{gs\gamma z(x,y,l)} / L_{z(x,y,l)} + g_{ax,y} \right\} \right\}$	volatilization – dissolution between gaseous and aqueous	[D15a]
$Q_{\mathrm{ds}\gamma x,y,l} = a_{\mathrm{gs}x,y,l} D_{\mathrm{d}\gamma} \left(S_{\gamma} f_{\mathrm{d}_{\gamma} x,y,l} \left[\gamma_{\mathrm{a}} \right] - \left[\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}} \right]_{x,y,l} \right)$	phases at the soil surface $(z = 1)$ and the atmosphere	[D15b]
$Q_{gs\gamma x(x,y,z)} = -Q_{wx(x,y,z)} [\gamma_{gs}]_{x,y,z} + 2 D_{gs\gamma x(x,y,z)} ([\gamma_{gs}]_{x,y,z} - [\gamma_{gs}]_{x+l,y,z}) / (L_{x(x,y,z)} + L_{x(x+l,y,z)})$	3D convective - conductive gas flux among soil layers in x (EW), y	[D16a]
$Q_{gs\gamma y(x,y,z)} = -Q_{wy(x,y,z)} \left[\gamma_{gs} \right]_{x,y,z} + 2 D_{gs\gamma y(x,y,z)} \left(\left[\gamma_{gs} \right]_{x,y,z} - \left[\gamma_{gs} \right]_{x,y+l,z} \right) / \left(L_{y(x,y,z)} + L_{y(x,y+l,z)} \right)$	(NS) and z (vertical) directions,	[D16b]
$Q_{gs\gamma z(x,y,z)} = -Q_{wz(x,y,z)} \left[\gamma_{gs} \right]_{x,y,z} + 2 D_{g\gamma z(x,y,z)} \left([\gamma_{gs}]_{x,y,z} - [\gamma_{gs}]_{x,y,z+1} \right) / \left(L_{z (x,y,z)} + L_{z (x,y,z+1)} \right)$	convective conductive cos	[D16c]
$Q_{gr\gamma z(x,y,z)} = D_{gr\gamma z(x,y,z)} ([\gamma_{gr}]_{x,y,z} - [\gamma_{a}]) / \Sigma_{1,z} L_{z(x,y,z)}$	convective - conductive gas flux between roots and the atmosphere	[D16d]
$D_{gsyx(x,y,z)} = D'_{gy} f_{tgx,y,z} \left[0.5 \left(\theta_{gx,y,z} + \theta_{gx+1,y,z} \right) \right]^2 / \theta_{psx,y,z}^{0.67}$	gasous diffusivity as a function of air-filled porosity in soil	[D17a]
$D_{gsyy(x,y,z)} = D'_{g_{f}} f_{tgx,y,z} \left[0.5 \left(\theta_{gx,y,z} + \theta_{gx,y+1,z} \right) \right]^{2} / \theta_{psx,y,z}^{0.67}$	of all-filled porosity in soli	[D17b]
$D_{gs\gamma z(x,y,z)} = D'_{g\gamma} f_{tgx,y,z} \left[0.5 \left(\theta_{gx,y,z} + \theta_{gx,y,z+l} \right) \right]^2 / \theta_{psx,y,z}^{0.67}$		[D17c]
$D_{\text{gryz}(x,y,z)} = \boldsymbol{D'_{gy}} f_{\text{tgx},y,z} \boldsymbol{\theta_{\text{prx},y,z}}^{1.33} \mathbf{A}_{\text{r}(x,y,z)} / \mathbf{A}_{x,y}$	gasous diffusivity as a function of air-filled porosity in roots	[D17d]
$D_{\text{gr}/2(x,y,z)} = D_{\text{gr}} f_{\text{gx},y,z} \text{ oppx}_{y,z} = P_{\text{fr}}(x,y,z) + P_{f$	bubbling (-ve flux) when total of	[D18]
$([\gamma_{s}]_{x,y,z}/(S'_{\gamma}ft_{d_{\gamma}x,y,z}M_{\gamma}))/\Sigma_{\gamma}([\gamma_{s}]_{x,y,z}/(S'_{\gamma}ft_{d_{\gamma}x,y,z}M_{\gamma}))S'_{\gamma}ft_{d_{\gamma}x,y,z}M_{\gamma}V_{x,y,z}$	all partial gas pressures exceeds atmospheric pressure	

Solute Flux

$Q_{\text{syx}(x,y,z)} = -Q_{\text{wx}(x,y,z)} \left[\gamma_{\text{s}} \right]_{x,y,z} + 2 D_{\text{syx}(x,y,z)} \left(\left[\gamma_{\text{s}} \right]_{x,y,z} - \left[\gamma_{\text{s}} \right]_{x+1,y,z} \right) / \left(L_{x} \left(x,y,z \right) + L_{x} \left(x+1,y,z \right) \right)$ $Q_{\text{syy}(x,y,z)} = -Q_{\text{wy}(x,y,z)} \left[\gamma_{\text{s}} \right]_{x,y,z} + 2 D_{\text{syy}(x,y,z)} \left(\left[\gamma_{\text{s}} \right]_{x,y,z} - \left[\gamma_{\text{s}} \right]_{x,y+1,z} \right) / \left(L_{y} \left(x,y,z \right) + L_{y} \left(x,y+1,z \right) \right)$	3D convective - dispersive solute flux among soil layers in x (EW), y (NS) and z (vertical) directions	[D19]
$\begin{aligned} Q_{syz(x,y,z)} &= -Q_{wz(x,y,z)} \left[\gamma_{s} \right]_{x,y,z} + 2 D_{syz(x,y,z)} \left(\left[\gamma_{s} \right]_{x,y,z} - \left[\gamma_{s} \right]_{x,y,z+1} \right) / \left(L_{z(x,y,z)} + L_{z(x,y,z+1)} \right) \\ D_{syx(x,y,z)} &= D_{qx(x,y,z)} \left Q_{wx(x,y,z)} \right + \boldsymbol{D'}_{sy} f_{t_{sx,y,z}} \left[0.5(\theta_{wx,y,z} + \theta_{wx+1,y,z}) \right] \tau \\ D_{syy(x,y,z)} &= D_{qy(x,y,z)} \left Q_{wy(x,y,z)} \right + \boldsymbol{D'}_{sy} f_{t_{sx,y,z}} \left[0.5(\theta_{wx,y,z} + \theta_{wx+1,y,z}) \right] \tau \end{aligned}$	aqueous dispersivity as functions of water flux and water-filled porosity	[D20]
$D_{syz(x,y,z)} = D_{qz(x,y,z)} Q_{wz(x,y,z)} + D'_{sy} ft_{sx,y,z} [0.5(\theta_{wx,y,z} + \theta_{wx+1,y,z})] \tau$ $D_{qx(x,y,z)} = 0.5 \alpha (L_{x(x,y,z)} + L_{x(x+1,y,z)})^{\beta}$ $D_{qy(x,y,z)} = 0.5 \alpha (L_{y(x,y,z)} + L_{y(x,y+1,z)})^{\beta}$	dispersivity as a function of water flow length	[D21]

 $D_{qz(x,y,z)} = 0.5 \, \alpha \left(L_{z(x,y,z)} + L_{z(x,y,z+1)} \right)^{\beta}$

Variable	Definition	Unit	Equation	Value	Reference
		subscripts			
	grid cell position in west to east direction				
	grid cell position in north to south direction				
	grid cell position in vertical direction			z = 0: surface	
				residue, $z = 1$ to	
				<i>n</i> : soil layers	
		variables			
	area of landscape position	m^2	[D17c]		
r	root cross-sectional area of landscape position	m^2	[D17c]		
r	air-water interfacial area in roots	$m^2 m^{-2}$	[D14b]		

$a_{\rm gs}$	air-water interfacial area in soil	$m^2 m^{-2}$	[D14a,D15b]		Skopp (1985)
α	dependence of D_q on L	-	[D21]		
β	dependence of D_q on L	-	[D21]		
С	heat capacity of soil	MJ m ⁻² °C ⁻¹	[D13]		
C_{W}	heat capacity of water	MJ m ⁻³ °C ⁻¹	[D12]	4.19	
$D_{ m d\gamma}$	volatilization - dissolution transfer coefficient for gas γ	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[D14,D15a]		
$D_{ m gr\gamma}$	gaseous diffusivity of gas γ in roots	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[D16d,D17d]		Luxmoore et al.
$D_{ m gs\gamma}$	gaseous diffusivity of gas γ in soil	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[D15a,D16a,b,c,D		(1970a,b) Millington and
$D'_{ m g\gamma}$	diffusivity of gas γ in air at 0 °C	$m^2 h^{-1}$	17a,b,c] [D17]	6.43 x 10 ⁻² for $\gamma =$	Quirk (1960) Campbell (1985)
$D_{\mathfrak{q}}$	dispersivity	m	[D20,D21]	O_2	
$D_{ m s\gamma}$	aqueous diffusivity of gas or solute γ	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[D19,D20]		
$D'_{s\gamma}$	diffusivity of gas γ in water at 0 °C	$m^2 h^{-1}$	[D20]	8.57 x 10^{-6} for $\gamma = O_2$	Campbell (1985)
$d_{ m m}$	depth of mobile surface water	m	[D1,D2,D5a,D6]	O_2	
$d_{ m i}$	depth of surface ice	m	[D2]		
$d_{ m s}$	maximum depth of surface water storage	m	[D2,D5b]		
d_{t}	depth of external water table	m	[D10]		
$d_{ m w}$	depth of surface water	m	[D1,D2]		
$d_{\rm z}$	depth to mid-point of soil layer	m	[D10]		
E	evaporation or transpiration flux	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D4,D11]		

ea	atmospheric vapor density	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[D6]	
$e_{l(T_{l}, \psi_{l})}$	surface litter vapor density at current T_1 and ψ_1	g m ⁻³	[D6a]	
$e_{\mathrm{s}(T_{s}, \psi_{s})}$	soil surface vapor density at current $T_{\rm s}$ and $\psi_{\rm s}$	g m ⁻³	[D6b]	
$ft_{d_{\gamma}}$	temperature dependence of S'_{γ}	-	[D14,D15b,D18]	Wilhelm et al. (1977)
ftg	temperature dependence of $D'_{g\gamma}$	-	[D17]	(1977) Campbell (1985)
fts	temperature dependence of $D'_{s\gamma}$	-	[D20]	Campbell (1985)
G	soil surface heat flux	$m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D11]	
G_x , G_y , G_z	soil heat flux in x , y or z directions	$MJ m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D12,D13]	
<i>g</i> a	boundary layer conductance	$m h^{-1}$	[D15a]	
γ	gas (H ₂ O, CO ₂ , O ₂ , CH ₄ , NH ₃ , N ₂ O, N ₂ , H ₂) or solute (from		[D14,D15]	
$[\gamma_a]$	appendix E) atmospheric concentration of gas γ	g m ⁻³	[D15,D16d]	
$[\gamma_{ m gr}]$	gasous concentration of gas γ in roots	g m ⁻³	[D14b,D16d]	
$[\gamma_{\rm gs}]$	gasous concentration of gas γ in soil	g m ⁻³	[D14a,D15a,D16a	
$[\gamma_{\rm sr}]$	aqueous concentration of gas γ in roots	g m ⁻³	,D16b,D16c] [D14b]	
[γ _{ss}]	aqueous concentration of gas γ in soil	g m ⁻³	[D14a,D15b,D18,	
Н	sensible heat flux	$MJ m^{-2} h^{-1}$	D19] [D11]	
K	hydraulic conductivity	$m^2 MPa^{-1} h^{-1}$	[D9,D10]	Green and Corey
K'_x , K'_y , K'_z	hydraulic conductance in x , y or z directions	m $MPa^{-1} h^{-1}$	[D7,D9]	(1971)
К	thermal conductivity	$MJ m^{-1} h^{-1} C^{-1}$	[D12]	de Vries (1963)

L_{t} distance from boundary to external water table in x or y m [D10] directions	
L_x , L_y , L_z length of landscape element in x, y or z directions m [D1,D5b	p,D8,D9,D D15a,D16
LE_1 latent heat flux from surface litter [D6a] $MJ m^{-2} H$	1 ⁻¹
$LE_{\rm s}$ latent heat flux from soil surface [D6b] MJ m ⁻² h	1 ⁻¹
L latent heat of evaporation MJ m ⁻³ [D6,D11	1,D13] 2460
M_{γ} atomic mass of gas γ g mol ⁻¹ [D18]	
<i>P</i> precipitation flux $m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$ [D4]	
Q_{byz} bubbling flux $g m^{-2} h^{-1}$ [D18]	
$Q_{dr\gamma}$ volatilization – dissolution of gas γ between aqueous and g m ⁻² h ⁻¹ [D14b] gaseous phases in roots	
$Q_{ds\gamma}$ volatilization – dissolution of gas γ between aqueous and g m ⁻² h ⁻¹ [D14a,D	015b]
Q_f gaseous phases in soil Q_f freeze-thaw flux (thaw +ve) $m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$ [D8,D13]	3]
$Q_{\rm gr\gamma}$ gaseous flux of gas γ between roots and the atmosphere g m ⁻² h ⁻¹ [D16d]	
$Q_{\rm gs\gamma}$ gaseous flux of gas γ in soil g m ⁻² h ⁻¹ [D15a,D	016a,b,c]
Q_{rx} , Q_{ry} surface water flow in x or y directions $m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$ [D1,D4]	
$Q_{s\gamma}$ aqueous flux of gas or solute γ g m ⁻² h ⁻¹ [D19]	
Q_t water flux between boundary grid cell and external water table $m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$ [D10] in x or y directions	
$Q_{wx}Q_{wy}Q_{wz}$ subsurface water flow in x, y or z directions $m^3 m^{-2} h^{-1}$ [D4,D7,	D8,D12,D
$\theta_{\rm g}$ air-filled porosity ${ m m}^3 { m m}^{-3}$ [D17a,b,	-

$ heta_{ m pr}$	root porosity	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[D17d]	dryland spp. 0.10	Luxmoore et al.
$ heta_{ m ps}$	soil porosity	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[D17a,b,c]	wetland spp. 0.20	(1970a,b)
$ heta_{\!\scriptscriptstyle W}$	water-filled porosity	$m^3 m^{-3}$	[D8,D18,D20]		
R	ratio of cross-sectional area to perimeter of surface flow	m	[D3,D5a]		
R_n	net radiation	$MJ m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[D11]		
r _{al}	surface litter boundary layer resistance	$m h^{-1}$	[D6a]		
r _{as}	Soil surface boundary layer resistance	$m h^{-1}$	[D6b]		
S'_{γ}	Ostwald solubility coefficient of gas γ at 30 °C	-	[D14,D15b,D18]	0.0293 for $\gamma = O_2$	Wilhelm et al.
Sr	slope of channel sides during surface flow	$m m^{-1}$	[D5a]		(1977)
S_x , S_y	slope in x or y directions	$m m^{-1}$	[D3,D5b]		
Т	soil temperature	°C	[D12,D18]		
τ	tortuosity	-	[D20]		
v_x , v_y	velocity of surface flow in x or y directions	$m h^{-1}$	[D1,D3]		
ψ'	soil water potential at saturation	MPa	[D10]	5.0 x 10 ⁻³	
Ψs	soil water potential	MPa	[D7,D10]		
Ζ	surface elevation	m	[D5b]		
Zr	Manning's roughness coefficient	$m^{-1/3}h$	[D3]	0.01	

Appendix E: Solute Transformations

	Precipitation - Dissolution Equilibria		
$Al(OH)_{3(s)} \Leftrightarrow (Al^{3+}) + 3 (OH)$	(amorphous Al(OH) ₃)	-33.0	[E1] ¹
$\operatorname{Fe(OH)}_{3(s)} \Leftrightarrow (\operatorname{Fe}^{3+}) + 3 (\operatorname{OH})$	(soil Fe)	-39.3	[E2]
$CaCO_{3(s)} \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + (CO_{3}^{2-})$	(calcite)	-9.28	[E3]
$CaSO_{4(s)} \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + (SO_{4}^{2-})$	(gypsum)	-4.64	[E4]
$AlPO_{()} \Leftrightarrow (Al^{3+}) + (PO_{()}^{3-})$	(variscite)	-22.1	[E5] ²
$\operatorname{FePO}_{4(s)}^{4(s)} \Leftrightarrow (\operatorname{Fe}^{3+}) + (\operatorname{PO}_{4}^{3-})$	(strengite)	-26.4	[E6]
$Ca(H_2PO_4)_{2(s)} \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + 2(H_2PO_4)$	(monocalcium phosphate)	-1.15	[E7] ³
$CaHPO_{4(s)} \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + (HPO_4^{2-})$	(monetite)	-6.92	[E8]
$\operatorname{Ca}_{5}(\operatorname{PO}_{4})_{3}\operatorname{OH}_{(s)} \Leftrightarrow 5(\operatorname{Ca}^{2^{+}}) + 3(\operatorname{PO}_{4}^{3^{-}}) + (\operatorname{OH}^{-})$	(hydroxyapatite)	-58.2	[E9]
	Cation Exchange Equilibria ⁴		
$X-Ca + 2 (NH_4^+) \Leftrightarrow 2 X-NH_4 + (Ca^{2+})$		1.00	[E10]
$3 X-Ca + 2 (Al^{3+}) \Leftrightarrow 2 X-Al + 3 (Ca^{2+})$ $X-Ca + (Mg^{2+}) \Leftrightarrow X-Mg + (Ca^{2+})$		1.00	[E11]
$X-Ca + (Mg^{2+}) \Leftrightarrow X-Mg + (Ca^{2+})$		0.60	[E12]
$X-Ca + 2 (Na^{+}) \Leftrightarrow 2 X-Na + (Ca^{2+})$		0.16	[E13]
$X-Ca+2(K^+) \Leftrightarrow 2X-K+(Ca^{2+})$		3.00	[E14]
$X-Ca + 2(H^{+}) \Leftrightarrow 2X-H + (Ca^{2+})$		1.00	[E15]

¹ Round brackets denote solute activity. Numbers in italics denote log K (precipitation-dissolution, ion pairs), Gapon coefficient (cation exchange) or log c (anion exchange).

 ² All equilibrium reactions involving N and P are calculated for both band and non-band volumes if a banded fertilizer application has been made. These volumes are calculated dynamically from diffusive transport of soluble N and P.
 ³ May only be entered as fertilizer, not considered to be naturally present in soils.
 ⁴ X- denotes surface exchange site for cation or anion adsorption.

Anion Adsorption Equilibria

$X-OH_2^+ \Leftrightarrow X-OH + (H^+)$	-7.35	[E16]
$X-OH \Leftrightarrow X-O^{-} + (H^{+})$	-8.95	[E17]
$X-H_2PO_4 + H_2O \Leftrightarrow X-OH_2^+ + (H_2PO_4^-)$	-2.80	[E18]
$X-H_2PO_4 + (OH) \Leftrightarrow X-OH + (H_2PO_4)$	4.20	[E19]
$X-HPO_{4}^{-} + (OH^{-}) \Leftrightarrow X-OH + (HPO_{4}^{-2})$	2.60	[E20]
Organic Acid Equilibria		
$X-COOH \Leftrightarrow X-COO^{-} + (H^{+})$	-5.00	[E21]
Ion Pair Equilibria		
$(\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}) \Leftrightarrow (\mathrm{NH}_{3})_{(\mathrm{g})} + (\mathrm{H}^{+})$	-9.24	[E22]
$H_2O \Leftrightarrow (H^+) + (OH^-)$	-14.3	[E23]
$(\mathrm{CO}_2)_{(\mathrm{g})} + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \Leftrightarrow (\mathrm{H}^+) + (\mathrm{HCO}_3)$	-6.42	[E24]
$(\text{HCO}_3^-) \Leftrightarrow (\text{H}^+) + (\text{CO}_3^{-2^-})$	-10.4	[E25]
$(AIOH^{2+}) \Leftrightarrow (Al^{3+}) + (OH)$	-9.06	[E26]
$(Al(OH)_2^+) \Leftrightarrow (AlOH^{2+}) + (OH^-)$	-10.7	[E27]
$(Al(OH)_{3}^{0}) \Leftrightarrow (Al(OH)_{2}^{+}) + (OH^{-})$	-5.70	[E28]
$(Al(OH)_{4}) \Leftrightarrow (Al(OH)_{3}^{0}) + (OH)$	-5.10	[E29]
$(AlSO_4^{+}) \Leftrightarrow (Al^{3+}) + (SO_4^{2-})$	-3.80	[E30]
$(\text{FeOH}^{2+}) \Leftrightarrow (\text{Fe}^{3+}) + (\text{OH}^{-})$	-12.1	[E31]
$(\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_{2}^{+}) \Leftrightarrow (\text{FeOH}^{2^{+}}) + (\text{OH}^{-})$	-10.8	[E32]
$(\text{Fe(OH)}_{3}^{0}) \Leftrightarrow (\text{Fe(OH)}_{2}^{+}) + (\text{OH}^{-})$	-6.94	[E33]
$(\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_4) \Leftrightarrow (\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3) + (\text{OH})$	-5.84	[E34]
$(\text{FeSO}_4^+) \Leftrightarrow (\text{Fe}^{3+}) + (\text{SO}_4^{2-})$	-4.15	[E35]
$(CaOH^{+}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2+}) + (OH^{-})$	-1.90	[E36]

$$(CaCO_{3}^{0}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (CO_{3}^{2^{*}}) = (-4.38 \quad [E37]) \\ (CaHCO_{3}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HCO_{3}^{-}) = (-1.87 \quad [E38]) \\ (CaSO_{4}^{0}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (SO_{4}^{2^{*}}) = (-2.92 \quad [E39]) \\ (MgOH^{+}) \Leftrightarrow (Mg^{2^{*}}) + (CO_{3}^{-}) = (-2.92 \quad [E41]) \\ (MgCO_{3}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (Mg^{2^{*}}) + (CO_{3}^{-}) = (-2.92 \quad [E41]) \\ (MgCO_{3}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (Mg^{2^{*}}) + (CO_{3}^{-}) = (-2.68 \quad [E43]) \\ (MgCO_{3}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (Mg^{2^{*}}) + (CO_{3}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.68 \quad [E43]) \\ (NaCO_{3}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (Ma^{-^{*}}) + (CO_{3}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.68 \quad [E43]) \\ (NaCO_{3}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (Ma^{-^{*}}) + (SO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.68 \quad [E43]) \\ (NaCO_{3}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (Ma^{-^{*}}) + (SO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.68 \quad [E43]) \\ (NaCO_{3}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (Ma^{-^{*}}) + (SO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.15 \quad [E47]) \\ (MgSO_{4}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (Ma^{-^{*}}) + (SO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.15 \quad [E47]) \\ (H_{2}PO_{4}^{-}) \Leftrightarrow (H^{-^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.15 \quad [E47]) \\ (H_{2}PO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (H^{-^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.15 \quad [E47]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (H^{-^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.15 \quad [E47]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.15 \quad [E47]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.15 \quad [E47]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.15 \quad [E47]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.15 \quad [E47]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.15 \quad [E47]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.15 \quad [E47]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.15 \quad [E47]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.15 \quad [E47]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.16 \quad [E57]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.16 \quad [E57]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.16 \quad [E57]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.16 \quad [E57]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) + (HPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) = (-2.16 \quad [E57]) \\ (CaHPO_{4}^{-^{*}}) \Leftrightarrow (Ca^{2^{*}}) +$$

Appendix F: Symbiotic N₂ Fixation

Microbial Growth

$R_{\max i,l} = M_{\mathrm{n}i,l} R' \left[\chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l} \right] / \left(\left[\chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l} \right] + K_{\chi \mathrm{n}} \right) f_{\mathrm{t}} f_{\mathrm{NP}}$	respiration demand	[F1]
$f_{t} = T_{l} \{ \exp[B - H_{a} / (R T_{l})] \} / \{ 1 + \exp[(H_{dl} - ST_{l}) / (RT_{l})] + \exp[(ST_{l} - H_{dh}) / (R T_{l})] \}$	Arrhenius function	[F2]
$f_{\rm NP} = \min\{[N_{{\rm n}i,l}] / [N_{{\rm n}'}], [P_{{\rm n}i,l}] / [P_{{\rm n}'}]\}$	N or P limitation	[F3]
$R_{i,l} = R_{\max i,l} \left(V_{O_2 i,l} / V_{O_2 \max i,l} \right)$	O ₂ limitation	[F4]
$V_{O_2 \max i, l} = 2.67 R_{\max i, l}$	O ₂ demand	[F5]
$V_{O_{2i},l} = V_{O_{2maxi,l}} [O_{2ri,l}] / ([O_{2ri,l}] + K_{O_{2}r})$	equilibrate O ₂ uptake with	[F6a]
$= 2\pi L_{ri,l} D_{sO_2} ([O_{2l}] - [O_{2ri,l}]) / \ln((r_{ri,l} + r_{wl})) / r_{ri,l})$	supply	[F6b]
$R_{\mathrm{m}i,l} = \boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{m}} N_{\mathrm{n}i,l} f_{\mathrm{tm}}$	maintenance respiration	[F7]
$f_{\rm tm} = e^{[y(T_l - 298.16)]}$	temperature function	[F8]
$R_{\text{g}i,l} = \max\{0.0, R_{i,l} - R_{\text{m}i,l}\}$	growth + fixation respiration	[F9]
$R_{\text{s}i,l} = \max\{0.0, R_{\text{m}i,l} - R_{i,l}\}$	microbial senescence	[F10]
$L_{Ci,l} = R_{si,l} \min\{M_{ni,l} / (2.5 N_{ni,l}), M_{ni,l} / (25.0 P_{ni,l})\}$	microbial C litterfall	[F11]
N_2 Fixation		
$V_{N_{2}i,l} = \min\{R_{gi,l} E_{N_{2}}' f_{CP}, M_{ni,l} [N_{n}'] - N_{ni,l}\} [N_{2ri,l}] / ([N_{2ri,l}] + K_{N_{2}r})$	rate of N ₂ fixation	[F12]
$f_{\rm CP} = \min\{ [\chi_{\rm ni,l}] / (1.0 + [\nu_{\rm ni,l}] / K_{\rm I\chi_n}), [\pi_{\rm ni,l}] / (1.0 + [\nu_{\rm ni,l}] / K_{\rm I\pi_n}) \}$	product inhibition of N ₂ fixation	[F13]
$R_{N_2i,l} = V_{N_2i,l} / E_{N_2}'$	fixation respiration	[F14]
$U_{\chi i,l} = (R_{\mathrm{g}i,l} - R_{\mathrm{N}_{2}i,l}) / (1 - Y_{\mathrm{n}}')$	growth respiration	[F15]

$\delta M_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t = U_{i,l} Y_{\mathrm{n}}' - L_{Ci,l}$	microbial C growth		[F16]
$\delta N_{\mathrm{n}i,l} / \delta t = \delta M_{\mathrm{n}i,l} / \delta t \min\{ v_{\mathrm{n}i,l} / \chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}, [N_{\mathrm{n}}'] \}$	microbial N growth	$\delta M_{\mathrm{nd}i,l}/\delta t > 0$	[F17a]
$\delta N_{\mathrm{n}i,l} / \delta t = N_{\mathrm{n}i,l} / M_{\mathrm{n}i,l} \delta M_{\mathrm{n}i,l} / \delta t$	microbial N growth	$\delta M_{\mathrm{nd}i,l}/\delta t < 0$	[F17b]
$\delta P_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t = \delta M_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t \min\{\pi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}, [P_{\mathrm{n}'}]\}$	microbial P growth	$\delta M_{\mathrm{nd}i,l}/\delta t > 0$	[F18a]
$\delta P_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t = P_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/M_{\mathrm{n}i,l}\delta M_{\mathrm{n}i,l}/\delta t$	microbial P growth	$\delta M_{\mathrm{nd}i,l}/\delta t < 0$	[F18b]
$L_{Ni,l} = \operatorname{abs}(\delta N_{\operatorname{ni},l} / \delta t)$	microbial N litterfall	$\delta N_{\mathrm{nd}i,l}/\delta t < 0$	[F19]
$L_{Pi,l} = \operatorname{abs}(\delta P_{\operatorname{ni},l}/\delta t)$	microbial P litterfall	$\delta P_{\mathrm{nd}i,i}/\delta t < 0$	[F20]
Nodule – Root Exchange			
$V_{\chi i,l} = \kappa \left(\chi_{\mathrm{r}i,l} M_{\mathrm{n}i,l} - \chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l} M_{\mathrm{r}i,l} \right) / \left(M_{\mathrm{n}i,l} + M_{\mathrm{r}i,l} \right)$	nodule-root C exchange		[F21]
$V_{vi,l} = \kappa \left(v_{ti,l} \chi_{ni,l} - v_{ni,l} \chi_{ti,l} \right) / \left(\chi_{ni,l} + \chi_{ti,l} \right)$	nodule-root N exchange		[F22]
$V_{\pi i,l} = \kappa \left(\pi_{\mathrm{r}i,l} \chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l} - \pi_{\mathrm{n}i,l} \chi_{\mathrm{r}i,l} \right) / \left(\chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l} + \chi_{\mathrm{r}i,l} \right)$	nodule-root P exchange		[F23]
$\delta \chi_{ni,l} / \delta t = V_{\chi i,l} - \min\{R_{mi,l}, R_{i,l}\} - R_{N_2 i,l} - U_{\chi i,l} + F_{LC l} L_{Ci,l}$	nodule nonstructural C		[F24]
$\delta v_{\mathrm{n}i,l} / \delta t = V_{vi,l} - \delta N_{\mathrm{n}i,l} / \delta t + V_{\mathrm{N}_{2}i,l} + F_{LNl} L_{Ni,l}$	nodule nonstructural N		[F25]
$\delta \pi_{\mathrm{n}i,l} / \delta t = V_{\pi i,l} - \delta P_{\mathrm{n}i,l} / \delta t + F_{LPl} L_{Pi,l}$	nodule nonstructural P		[F26]

Definition of Variables in Appendix F					
Variable	Definition	Units	Equations	Input Values	Reference
В	parameter such that $f_t = 1.0$ at $T_l = 298.15$ K		F2	17.533	

$\chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}$	nodule nonstructural C	g m ⁻²	F17a,F18a,F21,F2 2,B23,B24		
$[\chi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}]$	nodule nonstructural C concentration	g g ⁻¹	F1,F13		
Xri,l	root nonstructural C	g m ⁻²	F21,F22,F23		
D_{sO_2}	diffusivity of aqueous O ₂	$m^2 h^{-1}$	F6b		
E _{N2} '	direct energy cost of N ₂ fixation	g N g C ⁻¹	F12,F14	0.25	Gutschick, (1981), Voisin et al., (2003)
$F_{LC l}$	fraction of nodule C litterfall remobilized as nonstructural C	-	F24		
$F_{LN l}$	fraction of nodule N litterfall remobilized as nonstructural N	-	F25		
F _{LP l}	fraction of nodule P litterfall remobilized as nonstructural P	-	F26		
f_{CP}	effect of nodule nonstructural C or P content on N_2 fixation	-	F12,F13		
$f_{ m NP}$	effect of nodule N or P content on respiration	-	F1,F3		
$f_{ m t}$	temperature function for nodule respiration	-	F1,F2		
$f_{ m tm}$	temperature function for nodule maintenance respiration	-	F7,F8		
H_{a}	energy of activation	$J \text{ mol}^{-1}$	F2	57.5 x 10 ³	
$H_{ m dh}$	energy of high temperature deactivation	$\rm J \ mol^{-1}$	F2	220×10^3	
$H_{ m dl}$	energy of low temperature deactivation	$\rm J \ mol^{-1}$	F2	$190 \ge 10^3$	
$K_{\chi \mathrm{n}}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for nodule respiration of $\chi_{ndi,l}$	g g ⁻¹	F1	0.01	
$K_{\mathrm{I}\chi_{\mathrm{n}}}$	inhibition constant for nonstructural N:C on N_2 fixation	g g ⁻¹	F13	10	
$K_{\mathrm{I}\pi_{\mathrm{n}}}$	inhibition constant for nonstructural N:P on N_2 fixation	g g ⁻¹	F13	1000	

$K_{ m N_2r}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for nodule N2 uptake	g N m ⁻³	F12	0.14
$K_{\rm O_2r}$	Michaelis-Menten constant for nodule O2 uptake	g O m ⁻³	F6a	
К	rate constant for nonstructural C,N,P exchange between root and nodule	h^{-1}	F21,F22,F23	
$L_{\mathrm{r}i,l}$	root length	m m ⁻²	F6b	
$L_{Ci,l}$	nodule C litterfall	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F11,F16,F24	
$L_{Ni,l}$	nodule N litterfall	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F19,F25	
$L_{Pi,l}$	nodule P litterfall	$g P m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F20,F26	
$M_{\mathrm{n}i,l}$	nodule structural C	g C m ⁻²	F1,F11,F12,F16 ,F17,F18,F21	
$M_{\mathrm{r}i,l}$	root structural C	g C m ⁻²	F21	
$[N_n']$	maximum nodule structural N concentration	g N g C ⁻¹	F3,F12	0.1
$N_{\mathrm{n}i,l}$	nodule structural N	g N m ⁻²	F7,F11,F12,F17,F 19,F25	
$[N_{\mathrm{n}i,l}]$	nodule structural N concentration	g N g C ⁻¹	F3,F17a	
$[\mathbf{N}_{2\mathrm{r}i,l}]$	rhizosphere aqueous N2 concentration	g N m ⁻³	F12	
$V_{\mathrm{n}i,l}$	nodule nonstructural N	g N m ⁻²	F17a,F22,F25	
$V_{\mathrm{r}i,l}$	root nonstructural N	g N m ⁻²	F22	
$[V_{\mathrm{n}i,l}]$	nodule concentration of nonstructural N	g g ⁻¹	F13,F17a	
$[O_{2ri,l}]$	rhizosphere aqueous O ₂ concentration	g O m ⁻³	F6a,b	
$[\mathbf{O}_{2l}]$	soil aqueous O ₂ concentration	g O m ⁻³	F6b	

$[P_n']$	maximum nodule structural P concentration	g P g C ⁻¹	F3,F18a	0.01
$P_{\mathrm{n}i,l}$	nodule structural P	$g P m^{-2}$	F18a,F20,F26	
$[P_{\mathrm{n}i,l}]$	nodule structural P concentration	g P g C ⁻¹	F3,F11	
$\pi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}$	nodule nonstructural P	g P m ⁻²	F18a,F23,F26	
$\pi_{\mathrm{r}i,l}$	root nonstructural P	g P m ⁻²	F23	
$[\pi_{\mathrm{n}i,l}]$	nodule concentration of nonstructural P	g g ⁻¹	F13	
R	gas constant	$J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	F2	8.3143
$R_{gi,l}$	nodule growth respiration	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F9,F12,F15	
R'	specific nodule respiration at 25°C, and non-limiting $O_{2,}$ $\chi_{ndi,l}$, $v_{ndi,l}$ and $\pi_{ndi,l}$	h^{-1}	F1	0.125
$R_{i,l}$	nodule respiration under ambient O ₂	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F4,F9,F10,F24	
R _m	specific nodule maintenance respiration at 25°C	g C g C ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	F7	
$R_{\max i,l}$	nodule respiration under non-limiting O_2	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F1,F4,F5	
$R_{\mathrm{m}i,l}$	nodule maintenance respiration	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F7,F9,F10,F24	
$R_{\mathrm{N}_{2}i,l}$	nodule respiration for N ₂ fixation	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F14,F15,F24	
$R_{{ m s}i,l}$	nodule senescence respiration	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F9,F11	
$r_{\mathrm{r}i,l}$	root radius	m	F6b	
r _{wl}	radius of soil water films	m	F6b	
S	change in entropy	$J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	F2	710
T_l	soil temperature	K	F2,F8	

$U_{\chi i,l}$	uptake of nodule nonstructural C for growth	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F15,F16,F24	
$V_{\chi i,l}$	nonstructural C transfer between root and nodule	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F21,F24	
$V_{ u i,l}$	nonstructural N transfer between root and nodule	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F22,F25	
$V_{\mathrm{N_2}i,l}$	N ₂ fixation	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F12,F14,F25	
$V_{\mathrm{O}_{2}\mathrm{max}i,l}$	O_2 uptake by nodules under non-limiting O_2	$g O m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F4,F5,F6a	
$V_{\mathrm{O2}i,l}$	O_2 uptake by nodules under ambient O_2	$g O m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F4,F6	
$V_{\pi\!i,l}$	nonstructural P transfer between root and nodule	$g P m^{-2} h^{-1}$	F23,F26	
Y_n'	nodule growth yield	g C g C ⁻¹	F15,F16	0.67
у	shape parameter for $f_{\rm tm}$	-	F8	0.081

Appendix G: CH₄ Production and Consumption

Anaerobic Fermenters and H₂ Producing Acetogens

$\operatorname{Ri}_{i}f = \{ \mathbf{R'}f \; \operatorname{Mi}_{i}f, a \; [\operatorname{DOC}_{i}, c] \; / \; (\mathbf{K}f \; ((1 + [O_{2}] \; / \; \mathbf{K}_{i}) + [\operatorname{DOC}_{i}, c]) \} \; \mathrm{ft} $	ft respiration by fermenters			
$\text{DOC}i, c \rightarrow 0.67 \text{ A}_{i,c} + 0.33 \text{ CO}_2\text{-}\text{C} + 0.11 \text{ H}_2$	partition respiration products	[G2]		
$U_{i,f,c} = Rm_{i,f} + (R_{i,f} - Rm_{i,f}) (1.0 + Y_{f})$	uptake by fermenters $[Ri, f > $	Rm <i>i,f</i>] [G3a]		
$U_{i,f,c} = R_{i,f}$	[Ri,f]	Rm <i>i,f</i>] [G3b]		
$Yf = -\Delta Gf / E_M$	growth yield of fermentation	[G4]		
$\Delta G f = \Delta G' f + \{ \boldsymbol{R} T \ln([H_2] / [H_2'])^4 \}$	free energy change of fermentation	[G5]		
$\delta M_{i,f,j,c}/\delta t = F_j U_{i,f,c} - F_j R_{i,f} - D_{i,f,j,c}$	growth of fermenters $[Ri, f > $	Rm <i>i,f</i>] [G6a]		
$\delta M_{i,f,j,c}/\delta t = F_j U_{i,f,c} - Rm_{i,f,j} - D_{i,f,j,c}$	[Ri,f]	Rm <i>i,f</i>] [G6b]		
Aceto	trophic Methanogens			
$\mathbf{R}_{i,m} = \{ \boldsymbol{R'_m} \mathbf{M}_{i,m,a} [\mathbf{A}_{i,c}] / (\mathbf{K_m} + [\mathbf{A}_{i,c}]) \} \mathbf{f_t}$	respiration by acetotrophic	[G7]		
$Ai, c \rightarrow 0.50 \text{ CH}_4\text{-}C + 0.50 \text{ CO}_2\text{-}C$	methanogens partition respiration products	[G8]		
$U_{i,m,c} = R_{mi,m} + (R_{i,m} - R_{mi,m}) (1.0 + Y_m)$	uptake by acetotrophic $[R_{i,m}>$	R _m <i>i,m</i>] [G9a]		
$\mathbf{U}_{i,m,c} = \mathbf{R}_{i,m}$	methanogens [R _{i,m} <	R _m <i>i</i> , <i>m</i>] [G9b]		
$-\mathbf{Y}_m = -\Delta \mathbf{G'}_m / \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{M}}$	growth yield of acetotrophic	[G10]		
$\delta \mathbf{M}_{i,m,j,c} / \delta \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{U}_{i,m,c} - \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{R}_{i,m} - \mathbf{D}_{i,m,j,c}$	methanogenesis growth of acetotrophic $[R_{i,m} > methanogens]$	R _{mi,m}] [G11a]		

Hydrogenotrophic Methanogens						
$\mathbf{R}_{h} = \{ \boldsymbol{R'_{h}} \mathbf{M}_{h,a} [\mathbf{H}_{2}] / (\mathbf{K}_{h} + [\mathbf{H}_{2}]) [\mathbf{CO}_{2}] / (\mathbf{K}_{c} + [\mathbf{CO}_{2}]) \} \mathbf{f}_{t}$	respiration by hydrogenotrophic methanogens	[G12]				
CO_2 -C + 0.67 H ₂ \rightarrow CH ₄ -C + 3 H ₂ O	partition respiration products	[G13]				
$U_{h,c} = R_{mh} + (R_h - R_{mh}) (1.0 + Y_h)$	uptake by hydrogenotrophic $[R_h > R_{mh}]$ methanogens	[G14a]				
$U_{h,c} = R_h$	$[\mathbf{R}_h < \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{m}h}]$	[G14b]				

 $\delta M_{i,m,j,c} / \delta t = F_j U_{i,m,c} - R_{mi,m,j} - D_{i,m,j,c}$

 $[\mathbf{R}_{i,m} < \mathbf{R}_{mi,m}] \quad [G11b]$

$O_{h,c} = K_h$		$[\mathbf{K}_h < \mathbf{K}_{mh}]$	[G14b]
$\mathbf{Y}_h = -\Delta \mathbf{G}_h / \mathbf{E}_C$	growth yield of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis		[G15]
$\Delta \mathbf{G}_{h} = \mathbf{\Delta G'}_{h} - \{\mathbf{R} \operatorname{T} \ln([\mathrm{H}_{2}] / [\mathrm{H}_{2'}])^{4}\}$	free energy change of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis		[G16]
$\delta M_{h,j,c} / \delta t = F_j U_{h,c} - F_j R_h - D_{h,j,c}$	growth of hydrogenotrophic methanogens	$[\mathbf{R}_h > \mathbf{R}_{mh}]$	[G17a]
$\delta \mathbf{M}_{h,j,c} / \delta \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{U}_{h,c} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}h,j} - \mathbf{D}_{h,j,c}$		$[\mathbf{R}_h < \mathbf{R}_{mh}]$	[G17b]

Autotrophic Methanotrophs

$\mathbf{X'}_{t} = \left\{ \mathbf{X'}_{t} \ \mathbf{M}_{t,a} \left[\mathbf{CH}_{4} \right] / \left(\mathbf{K}_{t} + \left[\mathbf{CH}_{4} \right] \right) \right\} f_{t}$	CH_4 oxidation by methanotrophs under non-limiting O_2	[G18]
$\mathbf{R'}_t = \mathbf{X'}_t \mathbf{Y}_{t_{\mathbf{R}}}$	respiration by methanotrophs under non-limiting O_2	[G19]
$\mathbf{Y}_{t\mathbf{R}} = -\Delta \mathbf{G'}_t / \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{G}}$	energy yield from CH_4 oxidation	[G20]
$X_t = X'_t f_{o_2 t}$	CH_4 oxidation by methanotrophs under ambient O_2	[G21a]
$\mathbf{R}_t = \mathbf{R'}_t f_{0_2 t}$	respiration by methanotrophs under ambient O_2	[G21b]
CH_4 - $C + 4.0 O_2 \rightarrow CO_2$ - $C + 1.5 H_2O + 0.167 H^+$	O_2 requirements for CH_4 oxidation by methanotrophs	[G22]
$CH_4\text{-}C + 1.33 \text{ O}_2 \rightarrow CH_2O\text{-}C + 0.167 \text{ H}^+$	O ₂ requirements for growth by methanotrophs	[G23]

$CH_2O - C + 2.67 O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 - C + 1.5 H_2O$	O ₂ requirements for respiration by methanotrophs		[G24]
$U_{t,c} = R_{mt} + (R_t - R_{mt}) (1.0 + Y_{t_G})$	uptake by methanotrophs	$[\mathbf{R}_t > \mathbf{R}_{mt}]$	[G25a]
$\mathbf{U}_{t,c} = \mathbf{R}_t$		$[\mathbf{R}_t < \mathbf{R}_{mt}]$	[G25b]
$Y_{t_G} = -\Delta G'_c / E_M$	growth yield of methanotrophy		[G26]
$\delta \mathbf{M}_{t,j,c} / \delta \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{U}_{t,c} - \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{R}_t - \mathbf{D}_{t,j,c}$		$[\mathbf{R}_t > \mathbf{R}_{mt}]$	[G27a]
$\delta \mathbf{M}_{t,j,c} / \delta \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{U}_{t,c} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}t,j} - \mathbf{D}_{t,j,c}$		$[\mathbf{R}_t < \mathbf{R}_{mt}]$	[G27b]

Definition of Variables in Appendix G					
Variable	Definition	Units	Equations	Input Values	Reference
А	acetate	g C m ⁻²	[G2]		
[A]	aqueous concentration of acetate	g C m ⁻³	[G7]		
a	descriptor for $j =$ active component of M i				
[CH ₄]	aqueous concentration of CH ₄	$g C m^{-3}$	[G18]		
[CO ₂]	aqueous concentration of CO ₂	g C m ⁻³	[G12]		
Dh,j,c	decomposition of hydrogenotrophic methanogens	g C m ⁻² h ⁻¹	[G17]		
Di,f,j,c	decomposition of fermenters and acetogens	g C m ⁻² h ⁻¹	[G6]		
Di,m,j,c	decomposition of acetotrophic methanogens	g C m ⁻² h ⁻¹	[G11]		
D <i>t,j,c</i>	decomposition of autotrophic methanotrophs	g C m ⁻² h ⁻¹	[G27]		
E _C	energy required to construct new M from CO ₂	kJ g C ⁻¹	[G15]	75	

E _G	energy required to transform CH4 into organic C	kJ g C ⁻¹	[G20]	23.5	Anthony (1982)
E _M	energy required to construct new M from organic C	kJ g C ⁻¹	[G4,G10,G26]	25	
Fj	partitioning coefficient for <i>j</i> in M <i>i</i> , <i>n</i> , <i>j</i>		[G6,G11,G17,G2 7]		
f	descriptor for fermenters and acetogens in each M_i				
fo_2t	ratio of O_2 uptake to O_2 requirement for CH_4 oxidation		[G21a,b]		
\mathbf{f}_{t}	temperature function for growth-related processes (dimensionless)		[G1,G7,G12]		
ΔG' _c	free energy change of C oxidation-O ₂ reduction	kJ g C ⁻¹	[G26]	-37.5	Brock and Madigan (1991)
ΔG_f	free energy change of fermentation plus acetogenesis	kJ g $P_{i,c}^{-1}$	[G4,G5]		
$\Delta \mathrm{G'}_f$	ΔG_f when $[H_2] = [H_2']$	kJ g $P_{i,c}^{-1}$	[G5]	-4.43	Brock and Madigan (1991), Schink (1997)
ΔG_h	free energy change of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis	kJ g CO ₂ -C ⁻¹	[G15,G16]		
$\Delta G'_h$	free energy change of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis when $[H_2] = [H_2']$	kJ g CO ₂ -C ⁻¹	[G16]	-0.27	Brock and Madigan (1991)
ΔG' <i>m</i>	free energy change of acetotrophic methanogenesis	kJ g $A_{i,c}^{-1}$	[G10]	-1.03	Brock and Madigan (1991), Schink (1997)
$\Delta G'_t$	free energy change of CH_4 oxidation by methanotrophs	kJ g CH ₄ -C ⁻¹	[G20]	-9.45	Brock and Madigan (1991)

[H ₂]	aqueous concentration of H ₂	g H m ⁻³	[G5,G12,G16]		
[H ₂ ']	aqueous concentration of H ₂ when $\Delta G_h = \Delta G'_h$ and $\Delta G_f = \Delta G'_f$	g H m ⁻³	[G5,G16]	150 x 10 ⁻⁶	Brock and Madigan (1991)
h	descriptor for hydrogenotrophic methanogens in each M_i				(1))1)
i	descriptor for organic matter-microbe complex (<i>i</i> = plant residue, manure, particulate OM, or humus) descriptor for structural or kinetic components for each				
у К _с	functional type within each M_i (e.g. $a = active$) M-M constant for uptake of CO ₂ by hydrogenotrophic methanogens	g C m ⁻³	[G12]	0.12	
\mathbf{K}_{f}	M-M constant for uptake of $DOC_{i,c}$ by fermenters and acetogens	g C m ⁻³	[G1]	12	McGill et al. (1981)
\mathbf{K}_i	inhibition constant for O ₂ on fermentation	g O m ⁻³	[G1]	0.064	(1701)
K _h	M-M constant for uptake of H_2 by hydrogenotrophic methanogens	g H m ⁻³	[G12]	0.01	Mosey (1983), Robinson and Tiedje (1982)
K _m	M-M constant for uptake of $A_{i,c}$ by acetotrophic methanogens	g C m ⁻³	[G7]	12	Smith and Mah (1978), Zehnder et al.
K _t	M-M constant for uptake of CH_4 by methanotrophs	g C m ⁻³	[G18]	3 x 10 ⁻³	(1980) Conrad (1984)
k	descriptor for elemental fraction within each j ($j = c$, n or p)				
М	microbial communities	g C m ⁻²			
M _h	hydrogenotrophic methanogen community	g C m ⁻²	[G12,G17]		
M _{<i>i</i>,<i>f</i>}	fermenter and acetogenic community	g C m ⁻²	[G1,G6]		

$M_{i,m}$	acetotrophic methanogen community	g C m ⁻²	[G7,G11]		
M _t	autotrophic methanotrophic community	g C m ⁻²	[G18,G27]		
т	descriptor for acetotrophic methanogens in each M_i				
DOC	soluble organic matter	g C m ⁻²	[G2]		
[DOC]	aqueous concentration of soluble organic matter	g C m ⁻³	[G1]		
R	gas constant	kJ mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	[G5,G16]	8.3143 x 10 ⁻³	
R'_f	specific respiration by fermenters and acetogens at saturating $[P_{i,c}]$, 30 °C and zero water potential	g C g $M_{i,j,a}$ -1 h ⁻¹	[G1]	0.4	Lawrence (1971), Wofford et al. (1986)
R _h	CO ₂ reduction by hydrogenotrophic methanogens	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G12,G13,G14,G 17,G18]		
R' _h	specific CO_2 reduction by hydrogenotrophic methanogens at saturating [H ₂] and [CO ₂], and at 30 °C and zero water potential	$g C g M_{h,a}^{-1} h^{-1}$	[G12]	0.12	Shea et al. (1968), Zehnder and Wuhrmann (1977)
R _{<i>i</i>,<i>f</i>}	respiration of hydrolysis products by fermenters and acetogens	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G1,G2,G3,G6]		× ,
R _{<i>i</i>,<i>m</i>}	respiration of acetate by acetotrophic methanogens	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G7,G8,G9,G11]		
R'm	specific respiration by acetotrophic methanogens at saturating $[A_{i,c}]$, 30 °C and zero water potential	$g C g M_{i,m,a}^{-1} h^{-1}$	[G7]	0.20	Smith and Mah (1980)
R _m h,j	maintenance respiration by hydrogenotrophic methanogens	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G14,G17]		
R _{mi,f,j}	maintenance respiration by fermenters and acetogens	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G3,G6]		
R _m <i>i,m,j</i>	maintenance respiration by acetotrophic methanogens	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G9,G11]		

R _{mt,j}	maintenance respiration by methanotrophs	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G25,G27]		
R_t	CH ₄ oxidation by methanotrophs for respiration	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G21b,G23,G24, G25,G27a]		
R' _t	CH_4 oxidation by methanotrophs for respiration at saturating O_2	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G19,G21b]		
Т	soil temperature	К	[G5,G16]		
t	descriptor for autotrophic methanotrophs				
U _{h,c}	rate of CO_2 uptake by M_h	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G14,G17,G18]		
U _{i,f,k}	rate of $DOC_{i,k}$ uptake by $M_{i,f}$	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G3,G6]		
U _{i,m,c}	rate of $A_{i,c}$ uptake by $M_{i,m}$	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G9,G11]		
U _{t,c}	rate of CH_4 uptake by M_t	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G25,G27]		
X _t	CH ₄ oxidation by methanotrophs	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G21a,G22]		
X't	$\rm CH_4$ oxidation by methanotrophs at saturating $\rm O_2$	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[G1,G2,G4a]		
X'_t	specific CH ₄ oxidation by methanotrophs at saturating O ₂ , 30 °C and zero water potential	$g C g^{-1} h^{-1}$	[G18]	0.5	Conrad (1984)
Y_f	biomass yield from fermentation and acetogenic reactions	$g M_{i,f} g DOC_{i,c}^{-1}$	[G3,G4]		
Y _h	biomass yield from hydrogenotrophic methanogenic reaction	$g M_h g CO_2 - C^{-1}$	[G14,G15,G18]		
Y _m	biomass yield from acetotrophic methanogenic reaction	$g M_{i,m} g A_{i,c}^{-1}$	[G9,G10]		
Y _{tG}	biomass yield from methanotrophic growth respiration	$g M_t$ -C $g CH_4$ -C ⁻¹	[G25a,G26]		
Y_{t_R}	ratio of CH_4 respired vs. CH_4 oxidized by methanotrophs	g C g C ⁻¹	[G19,G20]		

Appendix H: Inorganic N Transformations

Mineralization and Immobilization of Ammonium by All Microbial Popul	lations	
$I_{\mathrm{NH}_{4}i,n,j} = (M_{i,m,j,\mathrm{C}} C_{\mathrm{N}j} - M_{i,m,j,\mathrm{N}})$	$(I_{\mathrm{NH}_4 i,n,j} < 0)$	[H1a]
$I_{\text{NH}_{4}i,n,j} = (M_{i,m,j,\text{C}} C_{\text{N}j} - M_{i,m,j,\text{N}}) [\text{NH}_{4}^{+}] / ([\text{NH}_{4}^{+}] + K_{\text{NH}_{4}m})$	$(I_{\mathrm{NH}_4 i,n,j}>0)$	[H1b]
$I_{\text{NO}_{3}i,n,j} = (M_{i,m,j,\text{C}} C_{\text{N}j} - (M_{i,m,j,\text{N}} + I_{\text{NH}_{4}i,n,j})) [\text{NO}_{3}^{-}] / ([\text{NO}_{3}^{-}] + K_{\text{NO}_{3}m})$	$(I_{NO_3i,n,j} > 0)$	[H1b]
Oxidation of DOC and Reduction of Oxygen by Heterotrophs		
$X_{\text{DOC}i,h} = \{X'_{\text{DOC}} M_{i,h,a} [\text{DOC}_i] / ([\text{DOC}_i]) + K_{Xh}\} f_t$		[H2]
$R'_{\mathrm{O}_{2}i,h} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{C}} X'_{\mathrm{DOC}i,h}$		[H3]
$R_{O_{2}i,h} = 4\pi n M_{i,h,a} D_{sO_{2}} ([O_{2s}] - [O_{2mi,h}]) [r_{m}r_{w} / (r_{w} - r_{m})]$		[H4a]
$= R'_{\mathrm{O}_{2}i,h} [\mathrm{O}_{2mi,h}] / ([\mathrm{O}_{2mi,h}] + \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}h})$		[H4b]
$X_{\text{DOC}i,h} = X'_{\text{DOC}i,h} R_{\text{O}_2i,h} / R'_{\text{O}_2i,h}$		[H5]
Oxidation of DOC and Reduction of Nitrate, Nitrite and Nitrous Oxide by D	enitrifiers	
$R'_{\mathrm{NO}_{3}i,d} = \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{No}_{\mathbf{x}}} f_{\mathbf{e}} \left(R'_{\mathrm{O}_{2}i,d} - R_{\mathrm{O}_{2}i,d} \right)$	·	[H6]
$R_{\text{NO}_{3}i,d} = R'_{\text{NO}_{3}i,d} [\text{NO}_{3}] / ([\text{NO}_{3}] + K_{\text{NO}_{3}d})$		[H7]
$R_{\text{NO}_{2i,d}} = (R'_{\text{NO}_{3i,d}} - R_{\text{NO}_{3i,d}}) [\text{NO}_2] / ([\text{NO}_2] + K_{\text{NO}_{2d}})$		[H8]
$R_{N_2Oi,d} = 2 \left(R'_{NO_3i,d} - R_{NO_3i,d} - R_{NO_2i,d} \right) \left[N_2O \right] / \left(\left[N_2O \right] + K_{N_2Od} \right)$		[H9]
$X_{\text{DOC}i,d} = X_{\text{DOC}i,d} \text{ (from [H5])} + F_{\text{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}} (R_{\text{NO}_{3}i,d} + R_{\text{NO}_{2}i,d}) + F_{\text{N}_{2}\text{O}} R_{\text{N}_{2}\text{O}i,d}$		[H10]
Oxidation of Ammonia and Reduction of Oxygen by Nitrifiers		
$X'_{\rm NH_3i,n} = X'_{\rm NH_3} M_{i,n,a} \{ [\rm NH_{3S}] / ([\rm NH_{3S}] + K_{\rm NH_3n}) \} \{ [\rm CO_{2S}] / ([\rm CO_{2S}] + K_{\rm CO_2}) \} f_t$		[H11]
$R'_{\mathrm{O}_{2}i,n} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}} X'_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}i,n} + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{C}} X'_{\mathrm{C}i,n}$		[H12]
$R_{O_{2i,n}} = 4\pi n M_{i,n,a} D_{sO_2} (r_m r_w / (r_w - r_m)) ([O_{2S}] - [O_{2mi,n}])$		[H13a]
$= R'_{O_{2}i,n} [O_{2mi,n}] / ([O_{2mi,n}] + K_{O_{2}n})$		[H13b]
$X_{\rm NH_{3}i,n} = X'_{\rm NH_{3}i,n} R_{{\rm O}_{2}i,n} / R'_{{\rm O}_{2}i,n}$		[H14]
Oxidation of Nitrite and Reduction of Oxygen by Nitrifiers		
$X'_{NO_{2}i,o} = X'_{NO_{2}} M_{i,o,a} \{ [NO_{2}^{-}] / ([NO_{2}^{-}] + \mathbf{K}_{NO_{2}o}) \} \{ [CO_{2S}] / ([CO_{2S}] + \mathbf{K}_{CO_{2}}) \} f_{t}$		[H15]
$R'_{\mathcal{O}_{2^{i,o}}} = \mathbf{RQ_{NO_2}} X'_{\mathcal{NO}_{2^{i,o}}} + \mathbf{RQ_C} X'_{\mathcal{C}_{i,o}}$		[H16]

$R_{O_{2i,o}} = 4\pi n M_{i,o,a} D_{sO_2} (r_m r_w / (r_w - r_m)) ([O_{2s}] - [O_{2mi,o}])$	[H17a]
$= R'_{\mathcal{O}_{2}i,o} \left[\mathcal{O}_{2mi,o}\right] / \left(\left[\mathcal{O}_{2mi,o}\right] + \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{O}_{2}o}\right)$	[H17b]
$X_{NO_{2}i,o} = X'_{NO_{2}i,o} R_{O_{2}i,o} / R'_{O_{2}i,o}$	[H18]
Oxidation of Ammonia and Reduction of Nitrite by Nitrifiers	
$R'_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}i,n} = \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{NO}_{\mathbf{X}}} \boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{e}} \left(R'_{\mathrm{O}_{2}i,n} - R_{\mathrm{O}_{2}i,n} \right)$	[H19]
$R_{NO_{2}i,n} = R'_{NO_{2}i,n} \{ [NO_{2}^{-}] / ([NO_{2}^{-}] + \mathbf{K}_{NO_{2}n}) \} \{ [CO_{2S}] / ([CO_{2S}] + \mathbf{K}_{CO_{2}}) \}$	[H20]
$X_{\text{NH}_{3}i,n} = X_{\text{NH}_{3}i,n} \text{ (from [H14])} + 0.33 R_{\text{NO}_{2}i,n}$	[H21]

	Definition	of Variables in A	ppendix H		
Name	Definition	Units	Equations	Input Values	Reference
		Subscripts			
а	active component of $M_{i,m}$	1			
d	heterotrophic denitrifier population (subset of h))			
h	heterotrophic community (subset of <i>m</i>)				
i	substrate-microbe complex				
j	kinetic components of $M_{i,m}$				
т	all microbial communities				
n	autotrophic ammonia oxidizer population (subse	,			
0	autotrophic nitrite oxidizer population (subset of	f <i>m</i>)			
		Variables			
$C_{\mathrm{N}i}$	maximum ratio of $M_{i,m,j,N}$ to $M_{i,m,j,C}$ maintained	g N g C ⁻¹	[H1]	0.22 and 0.13 for <i>j</i> =	
•	by $M_{i,m,j}$	_		labile and resistant	
$[CO_{2S}]$	CO ₂ concentration in soil solution	g C m ⁻³	[H11,H15,H20]		
$[DOC_i]$	concentration of dissolved decomposition	$g C m^{-3}$	[H2]		
	products	C			
D_{sO_2}	aqueous dispersivity-diffusivity of O ₂	$m^{2} h^{-1}$	[H4,H13,H17]		
$E_{\rm NO_x}$	e^{-} accepted by NO _x vs. O ₂ when oxidizing	$g N g O_2^{-1}$	[H6,H19]	28/32 = 0.875	
Δ	DOC	-			

		1			
$F_{\rm NO_X}$	e ^{$-$} donated by C vs. e ^{$-$} accepted by NO _x when oxidizing DOC	$g C g N^{-1}$	[H10]	12/28 = 0.43	
$F_{\rm N_2O}$	e ⁻ donated by C vs. e ⁻ accepted by N ₂ O when oxidizing DOC	$g C g N^{-1}$	[H10]	6/28 = 0.215	
$f_{ m e}$	fraction of electrons not accepted by O ₂ transferred to N oxides	-	[H6,H19]	0.25	Koike and Hattori (1975)
$f_{ m t} \ I_{{ m NH}_4 i,n,j}$	temperature function for microbial processes mineralization ($I_{\text{NH}_4i,n,j} < 0$) or immobilization ($I_{\text{NH}_4i,n,j} > 0$) of NH_4^+ by $M_{i,n,j,C}$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H2,H11] [H1]		
$I_{\mathrm{NO}_3 i,n,j}$	immobilization ($I_{NO_{3i},n,j} > 0$) of NO ₃ ⁻ by $M_{i,n,j,C}$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H1]		
<i>K</i> _{CO2}	Michaelis-Menten constant for reduction of CO_{2S} by $M_{i,n,a}$ and $M_{i,o,a}$	$g C m^{-3}$	[H11,H15,H20]	0.15	
K _{NH3} n	M-M constant for oxidation of NH_{3S} by nitrifiers	g N m ⁻³	[H11]	0.01	Suzuki et al. (1974)
$K_{\rm NH_4m}$	M-M constant for microbial NH ₄ ⁺ uptake	$g N m^{-3}$	[H1]	0.35	
K _{NO2} d	M-M constant for reduction of NO_2 by denitrifiers	$g N m^{-3}$	[H8]	3.5	Yoshinari et al. (1977)
K _{NO2} ⁿ	M-M constant for reduction of NO_2^- by nitrifiers	g N m ⁻³	[H20]	3.5	
K _{NO20}	M-M constant for oxidation of NO_2^- by nitrifiers	g N m ⁻³	[H15]	10	
$K_{\rm NO_3d}$	M-M constant for reduction of NO ₃ by denitrifiers	$g N m^{-3}$	[H7]	3.5	Yoshinari et al. (1977)
K_{N_2Od}	M-M constant for reduction of N ₂ O by denitrifiers	$g N m^{-3}$	[H9]	0.35	Yoshinari et al. (1977)
K_{O_2h}	M-M constant for reduction of O_{2s} by heterotrophs	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[H4b]	0.064	Griffin (1972)
K_{O_2n}	M-M constant for reduction of O_{2s} by NH_3 oxidizers	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[H13b]	0.32	Focht and Verstraete (1977)
К _{О20}	M-M constant for reduction of O_{2s} by NO_2^- oxidizers	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[H17b]	0.32	Focht and Verstraete (1977)

K_{Xh}	M-M constant for oxidation of DOC by heterotrophs	$g C m^{-3}$	[H2]
$M_{i,h,a}$	active biomass of heterotrophs	g C m ⁻²	[H2,H7]
$M_{i,n,a}$	active biomass of NH ₃ oxidizers	$g C m^{-2}$	[H11,H13]
$M_{i,m,j,\mathrm{C}}$	C biomass of microbial population $M_{i,m,j}$	g C m ⁻²	[H1]
$M_{i,m,j,\mathrm{N}}$	N biomass of microbial population $M_{i,m,j}$	g N m ⁻²	[H1]
$M_{i,o,a}$	active biomass of NO_2^- oxidizers	$g C m^{-2}$	[H15,H17]
$[NH_{3s}]$	concentration of NH ₃ in soil solution	$g N m^{-3}$	[H11]
$[NH_4^+]$	concentration of NH_4^+ in soil solution	$g N m^{-3}$	[H1]
$[NO_2]$	concentration of NO_2 in soil solution	$g N m^{-3}$	[H8,H15,H20]
[NO ₃]	concentration of NO ₃ in soil solution	$g N m^{-3}$	[H7]
$[N_2O]$	concentration of N ₂ O in soil solution	$g N m^{-3}$	[H9]
п	number of microbes	$g_{1}^{N} m^{-3}$	[H13,H17]
$[O_{2mi,h}]$	O ₂ concentration at heterotrophic surfaces	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[H7]
$[O_{2mi,n}]$	O ₂ concentration at NH ₃ oxidizer surfaces	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[H13]
$[O_{2mi,o}]$	O_2 concentration at NO_2^- oxidizer surfaces	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[H17]
$[O_{2s}]$	O ₂ concentration in soil solution	$g O_2 m^{-3}$	[H7,H13,H17]
$R_{\mathrm{NO}_{2^{i},d}}$	NO_2 reduction by denitrifiers	$g N m^2 h^{-1}$	[H8,H9,H10]
$R'_{\mathrm{NO}_{2^{i,n}}}$	rate of NO_2^- reduction by NH_3 oxidizers under non-limiting $[NO_2^-]$ and $[CO_{2S}]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H19,H20]
$R_{\mathrm{NO}_2 i,n}$	rate of NO_2^- reduction by NH_3 oxidizers under ambient $[NO_2^-]$ and $[CO_{2S}]$	g N m ⁻² h ⁻¹	[H20,H21]
$R'_{\mathrm{NO}_{3}i,d}$	NO_3 reduction by denitrifiers under non- limiting [NO ₃ ⁻]	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H6,H7,H8,H9]
$R_{\mathrm{NO}_{3}i,d}$	NO_3 reduction by denitrifiers under ambient $[NO_3]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H7,H8,H9,H10]
$R_{\mathrm{N_2O}i,d}$	N_2O reduction by denitrifiers	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H9,H10]
$R'_{O_2i,d}$	rate of O_{2S} reduction by denitrifiers under non- limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H6]
$R_{\mathrm{O}_2 i,d}$	rate of O_{2S} reduction by denitrifiers under ambient $[O_{2S}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H6]

(McGill et al., 1981)

12

$R'_{\mathrm{O}_{2}i,h}$	rate of O_{2S} reduction by heterotrophs under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H3,H4,H5]		
$R_{\mathrm{O}_{2}i,h}$	rate of O_{2S} reduction by heterotrophs under ambient $[O_{2S}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H4,H5]		
$R'_{\mathrm{O}_{2^{i,n}}}$	rate of O_{2S} reduction by NH_3 oxidizers under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H12,H13.H14,H19]		
$R_{\mathrm{O}_{2}i,n}$	rate of O_{2S} reduction by NH_3 oxidizers under ambient $[O_{2S}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H13,H14,H19]		
$R'_{\mathrm{O}_{2^{i,o}}}$	rate of O_{28} reduction by NO_2^- oxidizers under non-limiting $[O_{28}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H16,H17,H18]		
$R_{\mathrm{O}_{2}i,o}$	rate of O_{2S} reduction by NO_2^- oxidizers under ambient $[O_{2S}]$	$g O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H17,H18]		
RQ _C	respiratory quotient for reduction of O ₂ coupled to oxidation of C	g O ₂ g C ⁻¹	[H3,H12,H16]	2.67	Brock and Madigan (1991)
RQ _{NH3}	respiratory quotient for reduction of O_2 coupled to oxidation of NH_{3S}	$g O_2 g N^{-1}$	[H12]	3.43	Brock and Madigan (1991)
RQ _{NO2}	respiratory quotient for reduction of O_2 coupled to oxidation of NO_2	$g O_2 g N^{-1}$	[H16]	1.14	Brock and Madigan (1991)
r _m r _w	radius of microbial sphere radius of r_m + water film at current soil water potential	m m	[H4,H13,H17] [H4,H13,H17]		from ψ_s according to Kemper (1966)
X' _{Ci,n}	rate of C oxidation by NH_3 oxidizers under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H12]		
$X'_{\mathrm{C}i,o}$	rate of C oxidation by NO_2^- oxidizers under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H16]		
$X'_{\rm DOC}$	specific rate of DOC oxidation by heterotrophs at 25 °C under non-limiting [DOC] and $[O_{2S}]$	$g C g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[H2]	0.125	Shields et al. (1973)
$X'_{\mathrm{DOC}i,h}$	rate of DOC oxidation by heterotrophs under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H2,H3,H5]		
$X_{\mathrm{DOC}i,h}$	rate of DOC oxidation by heterotrophs under ambient $[O_{2S}]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H5]		

$X_{\mathrm{DOC}i,d}$	rate of DOC oxidation by heterotrophs under ambient $[O_{2S}]$ and $[NO_x]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H10]		
X' _{NH3}	specific rate of NH ₃ oxidation by NH ₃ oxidizers at 25 °C under non-limiting [O _{2S}]	$g N g C^{-1} h^{-1}$	[H11]]	0.625	Belser and Schmidt (1980)
$X_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}i,n}$	rate of NH_3 oxidation by NH_3 oxidizers coupled with reduction of $O_2 + NO_2^-$ under ambient $[O_{2S}]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H14,H21]		
$X'_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}i,n}$	rate of NH_3 oxidation by NH_3 oxidizers under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H11,H12,H14]		
$X'_{\mathrm{NO}_{2^{i},o}}$	rate of NO_2^- oxidation by NO_2^- oxidizers under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H15,H16,H18]		
X _{NO2<i>i</i>,o}	rate of NO_2^- oxidation by NO_2^- oxidizers coupled with reduction of O_2 under ambient $[O_{2S}]$	$g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$	[H18]		
X' _{NO2}	specific rate of NO_2^- oxidation by NO_2^- oxidizers at 25 °C under non-limiting $[O_{2S}]$	g N g C ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	[H15]	2.5	Belser (1977)

References

- Addiscott, T.M. 1983. Kinetics and temperature relationships of mineralization and nitrification in Rothamsted soils with differing histories. Journal of Soil Science 34:343-353.
- Anthony, C. 1982. The Biochemistry of Methylotrophs. Academic Press. London, U.K.
- Barber, S.A. and M. Silberbush. 1984. Plant root morphology and nutrient uptake. pp. 65-87. In S.A. Barber and D.R. Bouldin (eds.). Roots, Nutrient and Water Influx, and Plant Growth. Amer. Soc. Agron. Spec. Publ. no. 49. Madison, WI.
- Barnes, B.V., D.R. Zak, S.R. Denton and S.H. Spurr. 1998. Forest Ecology 4th ed. Wiley and Sons. N.Y.
- Belser, L.W. 1977. Nitrate reduction to nitrite, a possible source of nitrite for growth of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria. Appl. Environ. Micro. 34:403-410.
- Belser, L.W. and E.L. Schmidt. 1980. Growth and oxidation kinetics of the three genera of ammonia oxidizers. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 7:213-216.
- Bernacchi, C.J., E.L. Singsaas, C. Pimentel, A.L. Portis and S.P. Long. 2001. Improved temperature response functions for models of rubisco-limited photosynthesis. Plant, Cell and Environment 24:253-259.
- Bernacchi, C.J., C. Pimentel. and S.P. Long. 2003. In vivo temperature response functions of parameters required to model RuBP-limited photosynthesis. Plant, Cell and Environment 26:1419–1430.
- Berry J.A. and G.D. Farquhar. 1978. The CO2 concentrating function of photosynthesis: a biochemical model. pp. 119-131 In: Proceedings of the 4th Interntl. Congress on Photosynthesis. Hall D., Coombs J. and Goodwin T. eds. Biochemical Society. London.
- Brock, T.D. and Madigan, M.T. 1991. Biology of Microorganisms (6th. Ed.). Prentice Hall, NJ.
- Campbell, G.S. 1985. Soil Physics with BASIC. Elsevier, Netherlands. 185 pp.
- Conrad, R. 1984. Capacity of aerobic microorganisms to utilize and grow on atmospheric trace gases (H₂, CO, CH₄). In *Current Perspectives in Microbial Ecology*. Klug M.J. and Reddy C.A. (eds). Amer. Soc. Microbiol. Wash. DC. pp. 461-467.
- de Vries, D.A. 1963. Thermal properties of soils. pp 210-235 In: van Wijk, R. (ed). *Physics of Plant Environment*. North Holland Publishing Co. Amsterdam, Netherlands
- Dimitrov, D.D., Grant, R.F., LaFleur, P.M. and Humphreys, E. 2010. Modelling subsurface hydrology of Mer Bleue bog. Soil Science Society of America Journal 74:680-694.
- Doussan C., G. Vercambre and L. Pagès. 1998. Modelling of the hydraulic architecture of root systems: An integrated approach to water absorption distribution of axial and radial conductances in maize. Annals of Botany 81:225-232.
- Edwards, G. and D. Walker. 1983. C3, C4: Mechanisms, and Cellular and Environmental Regulation of Photosynthesis. Univ. of California Press, Berkely CA.
- Farquhar G.D., S. von Caemmerer and J.A. Berry. 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. Planta 149:78-90.
- Focht, D.D. and W. Verstraete.1977. Biochemical ecology of nitrification and denitrification. Adv. Micro. Ecol. 1:135-214.
- Furbank, F.T. and M.D. Hatch. 1987. Mechanism of C4 photosynthesis. The size and composition of the inorganic carbon pool in bundle sheath cells. Plant Physiol. 85:958-964.
- Grant, R.F. 1995. Mathematical modelling of nitrous oxide evolution during nitrification. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 27, 1117-1125.
- Grant, R.F. 1998. Simulation in *ecosys* of root growth response to contrasting soil water and nitrogen Ecological Modelling 107: 237-264.
- Grant, R.F. 1998b. Simulation of methanogenesis in the mathematical model *ecosys*. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **30**,883-896.
- Grant, R.F. 1999. Simulation of methanotrophy in the mathematical model *ecosys*. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **31**, 287-297.
- Grant, R.F. 2004. Modelling topographic effects on net ecosystem productivity of boreal black spruce forests. Tree Physiol. 24:1-18.

- Grant, R.F. 2001. A review of the Canadian ecosystem model *ecosys*. pp. 173-264 in: Modeling Carbon and Nitrogen Dynamics for Soil Management. Shaffer M. (ed). CRC Press. Boca Raton, FL
- Grant, R.F. and Hesketh, J.D. 1992. Canopy structure of maize (*Zea mays L.*) at different populations: simulation and experimental verification. *Biotronics*. 21: 11-24.
- Grant, R.F. and Rochette, P. 1994. Soil microbial respiration at different temperatures and water potentials: Theory and mathematical modelling. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **58**, 1681-1690.
- Grant, R.F., M. Amrani, D.J. Heaney, R. Wright and M. Zhang. 2004. Mathematical modelling of phosphorus losses from land application of hog and cattle manure. Journal of Environmental Quality 33:210-233.
- Grant, R.F., T.A. Black, E.R. Humphreys, and K. Morgenstern. 2007. Changes in net ecosystem productivity with forest age following clearcutting of a coastal Douglas fir forest: testing a mathematical model with eddy covariance measurements along a forest chronosequence. Tree Physiology. 27:115-131.
- Grant, R. F. and L. B. Flanagan. 2007. Modeling stomatal and nonstomatal effects of water deficits on CO₂ fixation in a semiarid grassland. Journal of Geophysical Research 112:G03011, doi:10.1029/2006JG000302.
- Grant, R.F. and D.J. Heaney. 1997. Inorganic phosphorus transformation and transport in soils: mathematical modelling in *ecosys*. Soil Science Society of America Journal 61:752-764.
- Grant, R.F., N.G. Juma, and W.B. McGill. 1993a. Simulation of carbon and nitrogen transformations in soils. I. Mineralization. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 27:1317–1329.
- Grant, R.F., N.G. Juma, and W.B. McGill. 1993b. Simulation of carbon and nitrogen transformations in soils. II. Microbial biomass and metabolic products. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 27:1331–1338.
- Grant, R.F., G.W. Wall, B.A. Kimball, K.F.A. Frumau, P.J. Pinter Jr., D.J. Hunsaker, and R.L. Lamorte. 1999. Crop water relations under different CO₂ and irrigation: testing of ecosys with the free air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) experiment. Agricultural & Forest Meteorology 95:27-51.
- Grant, R.F., B.A. Kimball, G.W. Wall, J.M. Triggs, T.J. Brooks, P.J. Pinter Jr., M.M. Conley, M.J. Ottman, R.L. Lamorte, S.W. Leavitt, T.L. Thompson and A.D. Matthias. 2004. How elevated CO2 affects water relations, water use and growth of irrigated sorghum: testing a model with results from a Free Air CO2 Enrichment (FACE) experiment Agron. J. 96: 1693-1705.
- Grant, R.F., Barr, A.G., Black, T.A., Margolis, H.A., McCaughey, J.H. and Trofymow, J.A. 2010. Net ecosystem productivity of temperate and boreal forests after clearcutting a Fluxnet-Canada synthesis. *Tellus B*. 62B: 475-496.
- Green, R.E., and R.B. Corey. 1971. Calculation of hydraulic conductivity: A further evaluation of some predictive methods. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 35:3–8.
- Griffin, D.M. 1972. Ecology of Soil Fungi. Syracuse Univ. Press, Syracuse N.Y. 193 pp.
- Jiao, J.A. and R. Chollet. 1988. Light/dark regulation of maize leaf phosphoenol pyruvate carboxylase by in vivo phosphorylation. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 261:409-417.
- Kemper, W.D. and J.B. Rollins. 1966. Osmotic efficiency coefficients across compacted clays. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 30:529-534.
- Kimmins, J.P. 2004. Forest Ecology. Pearson Prentice Hall, NJ.
- Koike, I. and A. Hattori. 1975. Growth yield of a denitrifying bacterium, *Pseudomonas denitrificans*, under aerobic and denitrifying conditions. J. Gen. Microbiol. 88:1-10.
- Laisk, A. and G.E. Edwards. 2000. A mathematical model of C4 photosynthesis: The mechanism of concentrating CO2 in NADP malic enzyme type species. Photosyn. Res. 66:199-224.
- Larcher, W. 2001. Physiological Plant Ecology 4th ed. Springer-Verlag. Berlin
- Lawlor, D. 1993. Photosynthesis: molecular, physiological and environmental processes. Longman Group, Essex, UK.
- Lawrence A.L. 1971. Anaerobic biological treatment processes. In Advances in Chemistry Series 105. Gould R.F. (ed.). Amer. Chem. Soc. Wash. D.C. pp. 163-189.
- Leegood, R.C. 2000. Transport during C4 photosynthesis. pp. 449-469. In Advances in Photosynthesis: 9. Photosynthesis: Physiology and Metabolism. Leegood, R.C., Sharkey, T.D. and von Caemmerer, S. (eds). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

- Lizama H.M. and Suzuki I. 1990. Kinetics of sulfur and pyrite oxidation by *Thiobacillus thiooxidans*. Competitive inhibition by increasing concentrations of cells. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 37, 182-187.
- Luxmoore R.J., L.H. Stolzy and J. Letey. 1970a Oxygen diffusion in the soil-plant system. I. a model. Agron. J. 62, 317-322.
- Luxmoore R.J., L.H. Stolzy and J. Letey. 1970b Oxygen diffusion in the soil-plant system. II. respiration rate, permeability, and porosity of consecutive excised segments of maize and rice roots. Agron. J. 62, 322-324.
- McGill W.B., Hunt H.W., Woodmansee R.G. and Reuss J.O. (1981) Phoenix, a model of the dynamics of carbon and nitrogen in grassland soils. In Terrestrial Nitrogen Cycles. (F.E. Clark and T. Rosswall eds) Ecological Bulletins 33, 49-115.
- Medrano, H., J.M. Escalona, J. Bota, J. Gulías and J. Flexas, Regulation of photosynthesis of C₃ plants in response to progressive drought: stomatal conductance as a reference parameter. *Ann. Bot.*, 89, 895-905, 2002.
- Mosey F.E. 1983. Kinetic descriptions of anaerobic digestion. In *Third International Symposium on Anaerobic Digestion* Boston Univ. Cambridge, MS. pp. 37-52.
- Millington, R.J. and J.M. Quirk. 1960. Transport in porous media. pp. 97-106 In: Van Beren, F.A. et al. (eds). 7th Trans. Int. Congr. Soil Sci. vol. 1. Madison, WI. 14-24 Aug. 1960. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Perrier, A. 1982. Land surface processes: vegetation. pp. 395-448 In: *Atmospheric General Circulation Models*. Eagleson P.S. (ed.). Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge, U.K.
- Pirt S.J. 1975. Principles of Microbe and Cell Cultivation. Blackwell Scientific. Oxford, U.K.
- Postgate, J. 1998. Nitrogen Fixation (3rd Ed.). Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK. 112 pp.
- Robinson J.A. and Tiedje J.M. 1982. Kinetics of hydrogen consumption by rumen fluid, digestor sludge and sediment. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **44**, 1374-1384.
- Sawada, S., T. Sakamoto, M. Sato, M. Kasai and H. Usuda. 2002. Photosynthesis with single-rooted Amaranthus leaves. II. Regulation of Ribulose-1,5-Bisphosphate Carboxylase, Phosphoenolpyruvate Carboxylase, NAD-Malic Enzyme and NAD-Malate Dehydrogenase and coordination between PCR and C4 photosynthetic metabolism in response to changes in the source-sink balance. Plant Cell Physiol. 43(11):1293-301.
- Schink B. 1997. Energetics of syntrophic cooperation in methanogenic degradation. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*. **61**, 262-280.
- Seeman, J.R., M.R. Badger and J.A. Berry. 1984. Variations in the specific activity of ribulose-1,5bisphosphate carboxylase between species utilizing differing photosynthetic pathways. Plant Physiol. 74:791-794.
- Sharpe, P.S.H. and D.W. DeMichelle. 1977. Reaction kinetics of poikilothermic development. Journal of Theoretical Biology 64:649-670.
- Shea T.G., Pretorius W.E., Cole R.D. and Pearson E.A. 1968. Kinetics of hydrogen assimilation in the methane fermentation. *Water Research* **2**, 833-848.
- Shields J.A., E.A. Paul, W.E. Lowe and D. Parkinson. 1973. Turnover of microbial tissue in soil under field conditions. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 5:753-764.
- Shulten, H.-R., and M. Schnitzer. 1997. Chemical model structures for organic matter and soils. Soil Science 162:115–130.
- Skopp, J. 1985. Oxygen uptake and transfer in soils: analysis of the air-water interfacial area. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 49:1327-1331.
- Smith M.R. and Mah R.A. 1978. Growth and methanogenesis by *Methanosarcina* strain 227 on acetate and methanol. *Applied & Environmental Microbiology* **36**, 870-879.
- Sørenson L.H. (1981) Carbon-nitrogen relationships during the humification of cellulose in soils containing different amounts of clay. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **13**, 313-321.
- Suzuki, I., U. Dular and S.C. Kwok. 1974. Ammonia or ammonium ion as substrate for oxidation by *Nitrosomonas europeae* cells and extracts. J. Bacteriol. 120:556-558.
- van Bavel, C.H.M., and D.I. Hillel. 1976. Calculating potential and actual evaporation from a bare soil surface by simulation of concurrent flow of water and heat. Agric. Meteorol. 17:453-476.
- Veen, B.W. 1981. Relation between root respiration and root activity. Plant Soil 63: 73-76.
- Waring, R.H. and S.W. Running. 1998. Forest Ecosystems: Analysis at Multiple Scales. (2nd ed.) Academic Press. London U.K.

- Wilhelm, E., R. Battino and R.J. Wilcock. 1977. Low-pressure solubility of gases in liquid water. Chem. Rev. 77:219-262.
- Williams D.G., V. Gempko, A. Fravolini, S.W. Leavitt, G.W. Wall, B.A. Kimball, P.J. Pinter Jr., R. LaMorte and M. Ottman. 2001. Carbon isotope discrimination by Sorghum bicolor under CO2 enrichment and drought. New Phytol. 150:285-293.
- Wofford N.G., Beaty P.S. and McInerey M.J. 1986. Preparation of cell-free extracts and the enzymes involved in fatty acid metabolism in *Syntrophomonas wolfei*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **167**, 189-195.
- Yoshinari. T., R. Hynes and R. Knowles. 1977. Acetylene inhibition of nitrous oxide reduction and measurement of denitrification and nitrogen fixation in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 9:177-183.
- Zehnder A.J.B. and Wuhrmann K. 1977. Physiology of a *Methanobacterium* strain AZ. *Archives of Microbiology* **111**, 199-205.
- Zehnder A.J.B., Huser B.A., Brock T.D. and Wuhrmann K. 1980. Characterization of an acetatedecarboxylating, non-hydrogen-oxidizing methane bacterium. *Archives of Microbiology* **124**, 1-11.