Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, C1619–C1620, 2013 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/C1619/2013/
© Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



BGD

10, C1619-C1620, 2013

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Enhancement of photosynthetic carbon assimilation efficiency of phytoplankton assemblage in the future coastal ocean" by J.-H. Kim et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 7 May 2013

Table 1, 2, Figures 2,3

The authors have photosynthetic parameters from both fluorescence-based rETR rapid light curves, and from PI curves using 14C. I see that the measures were conducted on different days, for logistical reasons.

But could they actually plot the data extracted from the two different approaches? I am not sure how to handle the time offsets, but perhaps a plot of the key parameters vs. time, with a curve fit to see if the patterns differ?

More substantively:

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



"The relative electron transport rate (rETR) was calculated as rETR =ÄRPSII × irradiance. The absorption factor and relative fraction of PSII were not applied to the ETR calculation to avoid ambiguous problems (Ryan et al., 2009)."

Although widely used, the rETR = phiPSII x irradiance is not calibrated. The Pmax values from this estimator are a (rough) proxy for electron transport from PSII centres. The measure is not calibrated for the number of PSII centres, nor for the fraction of incident irradiance allocated to PSII. There is no cross section (sigmaPSII) factor in the estimation, and no factor for the number of PSII centres present.

Therefore, the Pmax values from these curves are not useful for comparisons across treatments, because sigmaPSII and the number of PSII could change, with or without corresponding changes in phiPSII.

So the comparison to the PI curves from 14C measures needs to be more cautious. Discussions of light use efficiency can possibly be based upon the 14C measures, but the only generally comparable parameter that is extractable from the rETR curves is Ek, which is the boundary light between light limitation of PSII electron transport, and light saturation of PSII electron transport.

So I would like to see plots of Ek from the rETR and from the 14C curves, to see how they differ.

Any discussion of Pmax derived from rETR must be very cautious. It is not calibrated, and is relevant only on a basis of electron transport per PSII, and even then, the units are relative, not absolute.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 4611, 2013.

BGD

10, C1619-C1620, 2013

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

