Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, C2070–C2071, 2013 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/C2070/2013/

© Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



BGD

10, C2070-C2071, 2013

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Spatial heterogeneity in mangroves assessed by GeoEye-1 satellite data: a case-study in Zhanjiang Mangrove National Nature Reserve (ZMNNR), China" by K. Leempoel et al.

K. Leempoel et al.

kleempoe@ulb.ac.be

Received and published: 21 May 2013

1 - Why the title refered only to GeoEyes-1 satellite whereas others satellites have been used?

Initially, the purpose of the study was to evaluate the usefulness of a geoeye image for supervised classification of mangrove species. We added the temporal part after observing the importance of aquaculture in the region. I agree the title should more reflect these two parts. We therefore propose the following title: "Dynamics in man-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



grove assessed by high resolution and multi-temporal satellite data: a case-study in Zhanjiang Mangrove National Nature Reserve (ZMNNR), P.R. China"

2 - From ligne 1 and along the text: the quotation of references doesn't follow one logical unit.

Response: We are surprised by this comment since we used a reference management software. We will check again before resubmission but so far we do not see any problem.

3 - Ligne 24 (p. 2596): Formulae or formula?

Formulae. Because we refer to several, we used the plural form.

4 - Page 2601: The mangrove area estimated by GeoEyes-1 satellite (775 ha) is too different with that of Ramsar (2000 ha). What could explain this gap?

Response: The estimation by Ramsar may have included mudflats in its area calculation. Also, if it was based on coarse resolution satellite images, a precise delineation of mangrove is subject to important errors. Finally, they may have decided to draw a rough polygon on the entire area, thus comprising water channels and some mangrove patches in the south, clearly disconnected by aquaculture practices.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 2591, 2013.

BGD

10, C2070-C2071, 2013

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

