Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, C4728–C4729, 2013 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/C4728/2013/

© Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



BGD

10, C4728-C4729, 2013

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Forward modeling analysis of regional scale tree-ring patterns around the northeastern Tibetan Plateau, Northwest China" by X. Gou et al.

VV SHISHOV (Referee)

vlad.shishov@gmail.com

Received and published: 3 September 2013

The article is devoted to one of the actual dendroecological problems associated with the study of tree-ring response to recent climate change. In the paper Authors used well-known process-based VS-model and obtained several results corresponding to nonlinear tree-ring response on growth-limiting factors variability. One of them is concerning to an influence of water stress on tree growth during June in the research region. Particularly based on VS-modeling and direct tree-ring measurements Authors shown that an early summer water deficit is responsible for formation of wide/narrow tree-rings in that region.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



In general, I think that the paper can be published in the Biogeosciences journal with minor changes.

Specific comments:

- 1. In the paper Authors have defined narrow (15,9 % of total rings number) and wide rings (15,9%) based on normal distribution properties (see page 9975, line 10). Can Authors test an distribution of actual data (first PC) and include that results in the paper?
- 2. The authors noted the significant difference between two curves of partial growth rate corresponding to narrow/wide rings and then concluded "... that potential plant water stress reaches its peak in June, broadly influencing the year-to-year variability in radial growth over this study region" (see 9975, line 28). What kind of statistical criteria was used? Can Authors include a brief description of statistical testing in the paper?
- 3. Error in the formula (see page 9972, line 23): In the right part of formula the hs should be placed instead of cos hs according to Gates (1980)
- 4. In the Figure 3 grade scale of correlations should be placed to better understand a similarity between two patterns of correlation fields

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 9969, 2013.

BGD

10, C4728–C4729, 2013

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

