Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, C5142–C5143, 2013 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/C5142/2013/

© Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



BGD

10, C5142-C5143, 2013

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Comment on "Soil CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes from an afforested lowland raised peatbog in Scotland: implications for drainage and restoration" by Yamulki et al. (2013)" by R. R. E. Artz et al.

R. R. E. Artz et al.

rebekka.artz@hutton.ac.uk

Received and published: 18 September 2013

5. In line with Rev 2's first specific comment, we have clarified that the use of opaque chambers only measures dark Reco. We have incorporated their suggestion to point out that diurnal variations need to be included to ascertain Reco fully. 6. In line with Rev 2's second specific comment, we briefly commented on the likelihood and the chamber technique may have severed roots thus reducing Ra, and have incorporated one of the suggested references.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 10271, 2013.

BGD

10, C5142-C5143, 2013

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

