Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, C5191–C5192, 2013 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/C5191/2013/ © Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



BGD 10, C5191–C5192, 2013

> Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "The role of mixotrophic protists in the biological carbon pump" *by* A. Mitra et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 19 September 2013

In many ways this is an important paper. It picks up on and carries forward the concept of mixotrophs "ruling the ocean" that was introduced by many of the same authors in a paper earlier this year (Flynn et al. JPR 35:3-11). The thrust of that paper was to conceptualize how mixotrophs should be recognized as major contributors to plankton dynamics ... that it is incorrect to think of separating protists into strict phototrophs or heterotrophs. While there were proponents of the importance of mixotrophs for many years before this, there has been little inclusion at the level of ecosystem models. The current paper places mixotrophy into a mathematical model to demonstrate the importance of including this form of nutrition in ecosystem models – specifically in the context of the biological carbon pump.

I agree with the authors on the importance of mixotrophic protists and that we will





find them in almost any aquatic environment. But they may be overemphasizing mixotroph dominance – even in oligotrophic waters. Several recent papers cited in the manuscript clearly demonstrate that mixotrophs can be important bacterivores and the model fits this concept. And though there are relatively few papers that actually look for mixotrophs, the authors seemed to have found only those recent papers (above) that showed mixotrophs to be more important consumers than heterotrophic flagellates (HNAN). At least two papers using experimental approaches in the Sargasso Sea (and directly looking at mixotrophy) found higher abundances of HNAN than mixotrophs: Arvenovski et al. (1995, J. Plankton Res) and Sanders et al. (2000, Mar Ecol Prog Ser). There may be more. As written, the manuscript seems to imply that the model fits all the available data, which it does not. Mixotrophs were important in those studies in the Sargasso, but so were HNAN.

The section on the consequences of mixotrophy on nutrient dynamics (13546-13547) was nicely summarized. It certainly argues for the inclusion of multi-nutrient models. On the other hand, the authors leave the "biological pump" undefined and use a fair amount of jargon – much of it new. Constitutive chloroplasts?

BGD

10, C5191–C5192, 2013

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 13535, 2013.