

Interactive comment on “Rapid increasing trend of CO₂ and ocean acidification in the surface water of the Ulleung Basin, East/Japan Sea inferred from the observations from 1995 to 2004” by J.-Y. Kim et al.

J.-Y. Kim et al.

djocean@kiost.ac

Received and published: 23 September 2013

General Comments

The manuscript reports twelve observational data sets of surface fCO₂ between 1995 and 2004 in the Ulleung Basin of the East/Japan Sea. Using these data sets, the authors try to reconstruct the decadal increasing trend of air and surface water fCO₂ and pH in the study area. This is an interesting and important research topic to better understand how the carbonate system in marginal seas would response to the rising

C5257

atmospheric CO₂. However, I don't think that the authors have reached this goal with the limited data sets. Please see below for my comments. The annual data sets used in this study were collected in different seasons and with different sampling frequencies during each sampling year. For instance, the data sets were collected once in summer 1995, spring 1997, summer 1999 and spring 2002. For the other sampling years, data sets were from more than one season (that in 1996 was from winter and summer, that in 2003 was from summer and winter, and that in 2004 was from spring, summer and fall). If the interested parameter is characterized by a strong seasonal variation (such as temperature and fCO_{2sw}), directly using the data collected in different seasons and/or with different sampling frequencies to reconstruct the long-term trend can lead to a misleading result. This problem can be clearly seen in the attached figures (Please see the following page). As shown in Figs (a)–(c), the increasing rates of temperature, fCO_{2air} and fCO_{2sw} between 1995 and 2004 are +0.26 °C/yr, +1.97 μatm/yr, and +3.25 μatm/yr, respectively. Apparently, the increasing rate of temperature (+0.26 °C/yr) could be problematic, which is much higher than that in any other regions in global oceans. Furthermore, reprocessing the same data for the period 1995–2003, we can find that temperature and fCO_{2sw} did not show an increasing trend any more, but show a decreasing trend (-0.20 °C/yr for temperature, Fig. (d); -1.47 μatm/yr for fCO_{2sw}, Fig. (f)). In contrast, fCO_{2air} still shows an increasing trend with a rate very close to that for the data from 1995 to 2004 (Figs. (b) and (e)). This discrepancy can be largely explained by the fact that the seasonality of fCO_{2air} is much smaller than that of temperature and fCO_{2sw}, and thus suggests that the derived long-term trends for the season-dependent parameters (such as temperature and fCO_{2sw}) may be very questionable. In summary, the above analysis demonstrates that the limited data sets, collected in different seasons and with different sampling frequencies, hinder the authors from obtaining a convincing long-term trend for fCO_{2sw} and pH. Since the following discussions in this paper, including 3.2 seasonal variability, 3.3 decadal trend and 3.4 acidification rate, all depend on a reliable increasing trend of fCO_{2sw}, which is very questionable with the limited data, I do not recommend the manuscript

C5258

for publication at its present version.

RESPONSE: It is quite difficult to understand since the data include large seasonal variation as well as long-term trend with the limited data set. So, we added more data sets available (data sets observed in November, 2008 and in July, 2009). It shows the increase of $f\text{CO}_2\text{sea}$ clearly. And we also re-analysis the data sets using harmonic function analysis not the simple linear regression for the annual increasing trend. Therefore, the values are changed. For example, the long-term $f\text{CO}_2$ increase is changed to $2.7 \mu\text{atm/yr}$ from $3.36 \mu\text{atm/yr}$. The title is also changed to "Long-term trend of CO_2 and ocean acidification in the surface water of the Ulleung Basin, the East/Japan Sea inferred from the underway observational data". For the reviewers' information the revised manuscript is attached in the form of a supplement. Please see the supplement attached.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/C5257/2013/bgd-10-C5257-2013-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 9573, 2013.