

Interactive  
Comment

## ***Interactive comment on “Biological and physical influences on soil $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ seasonal dynamics in a temperate hardwood forest” by C. L. Phillips et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 25 September 2013

C.L. Phillips and co-authors present an impressive set of measurements of  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  from the soil profile of a temperate forest over a growing season with the goal of determining the driving factors of changes to respiration  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  signature. The authors use multiple methods, namely, in vitro incubation of soils from various depths, trenched plots, and dynamic  $\text{CO}_2$  transport models, to test three main hypotheses that could drive the seasonal pattern. The authors conclude instead that the overriding influence of soil C added over the course of the growing season was the most likely cause of the observed  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  shift, detailing how none of the original hypotheses adequately explain the trend independently.

The manuscript lays out a comprehensive soil respiration dataset that takes advantage

C5337

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



of a unique set of tools to examine the problem from multiple perspectives. In particular, the combination of trenched plot respiration data along with  $^{14}\text{C}$  partitioning of soil respiration represents a valuable contribution. The methods and reasoning are well documented and presented. The quality of the manuscript is very high, and more than adequate for publication in its present form. I offer a few minor suggestions that authors may consider incorporating into the text. In particular, addition of a few more citations could strengthen the discussion of the findings.

(line 26 on p. 10737): The authors mention that there were no vertical trends in  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  of production between 0–20 cm. This strongly suggests that most  $\text{CO}_2$  in this layer is root derived, since soil C declines strongly in  $^{14}\text{C}$  with depth in this interval. This finding adds additional support to the authors' conclusions about the importance of recently added root C to soil respired  $\text{CO}_2$ . There is not likely to be much of an age gradient in  $^{14}\text{C}$  of roots with depth (Schrumpf et al. 2013).

Section 3.4 details the results of in vitro incubation study of the same soils. The primary finding is that the  $\text{CO}_2$  production rates from incubation and trenched plots are similar, but the  $^{14}\text{C}$  signature of respired  $\text{CO}_2$  differs. The authors attribute this difference to the lack of decaying roots (as they were picked out) in the incubated soils as the cause of the difference. However, this explanation is partially incomplete—by the laws of mass balance, there must be another source of low  $^{14}\text{C}$   $\text{CO}_2$  in incubated soils that is not present in trenched plots (since respiration amounts are nearly the same in both treatments, despite the fact that incubated soils lack roots which provide a high  $^{14}\text{C}$   $\text{CO}_2$  source to trenched soils). It is quite likely that removal of soils and root picking caused a disturbance to incubated soils that released older (low  $^{14}\text{C}$   $\text{CO}_2$ ) C sources for microbial respiration. This is often seen with removal of deep soils, such as in the study of Ewing and co-authors (2006). It may be useful to readers if the discussion could address this possibility as a reason for extremely low  $^{14}\text{C}$   $\text{CO}_2$  observations from incubations that were not observed in situ.

Another factor that may be contributing to the decline in  $^{14}\text{C}$   $\text{CO}_2$  respired over the grow-

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

ing season is a potential change in the  $^{14}\text{C}$  signature of the autotrophic endmember over the growing season. Some studies have shown a decline in the age of root respired  $\text{CO}_2$  over the growing season (recently reviewed by Hopkins et al. 2013). Indeed, this is likely a partial contributor to the divergence of the  $R_h/R_{\text{tot}}$  from isotopic partitioning vs. trenching methods.

Reference Marin-Spiotta et al. 2011 (line 16, p. 10742) was missing from the citation list.

\*\*\*\*\* Ewing SA, Sanderman J, Baisden WT, Wang Y, Amundson R. 2006. Role of large-scale soil structure in organic carbon turnover: Evidence from California grassland soils. *J. Geophys Res*, G03012.

Schrumpf M, Kaiser K, Guggenberger G, Persson T, Kögel-Knabner I, Schulze ED. 2013. Storage and stability of organic carbon in soils as related to depth, occlusion within aggregates, and attachment to minerals. *Biogeosciences* 10: 1675-1691.

Hopkins F, Gonzalez Meler MA, Flower CE, Lynch DJ, Czimczik C, Tang J, Subke J-A. 2013. Ecosystem-level controls on root-rhizosphere respiration. *New Phytologist*. doi: 10.1111/nph.12271

---

[Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 10721, 2013.](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)