

Interactive comment on "Assessing the relationship between the δ^{18} O signatures of siliceous sponge spicules and water in a tropical lacustrine environment (Minas Gerais, Brazil)" by M. C. Matteuzzo et al.

A. Alexandre

alexandre@cerege.fr

Received and published: 25 October 2013

Matteuzzo et al. manuscript 'Assessing the relationship between the d18O signatures of siliceous sponge spicules and water in a tropical lacustrine environment (Minas Gerais, Brazil)' Authors' response to Anonymous Referee #1.

The authors want to thank anonymous referee #1 for his very detailed and constructive review. Comments were answered point by point in a supplementary file. The supplementary file also includes a corrected draft where most of referee #1 suggestions were

C6108

taken into account. The main concerns raised by Referee #1 are answered below.

Methodological bias correction applied to the measured δ 18Osilica values. This correction was previously discussed in Chapligin et al., 2011 and Alexandre et al., 2012. Although this methodological bias remained unexplained, it is reproducible and could thus be quantified. As pointed out by referee #1, this correction can lead to large uncertainties (Chapligin et al., 2011), although its consistency was verified on independent datasets (Alexandre et al., 2012). In the present case, the simulated uncertainty (calculated by Monte Carlo simulation using R software) on final corrected δ 18Osilica values ranges from 0.5 and 0.8 ‰ (cf Table 1 of the corrected draft and L144). Corrected δ 18Osilica values are linearly correlated with measured δ 18Osilica values (corrected δ 18Osilica =1.006 * measured δ 18Osilica -2.96; r2=0.96). Thus the methodological bias correction is not responsible for the occurrence or absence of relationship found between ïĄĎ18Osilica values instead of corrected δ 18Osilica values, as suggested by referee #1, there is no relationship between ïĄĎ18Osilica – weighted water and weighted temperature (r2=0.03 instead of 0.02 with the corrected δ 18Osilica values).

The use of an internal biogenic amorphous silica standard. We always use a phytolith lab standard (MSG40 or MSG60) to check that data obtained after the two controlled isotopic exchanges (CIE) (δ 18Omeasured 1 and δ 18Omeasured 2 in table 1) values are in the standard deviation of the mean value measured during a long term calibration (Crespin et al., 2008). This was not precised in the submitted draft as the entire procedure has been previously described in detail (Crespin et al., 2008; Alexandre et al., 2012). This was added in the corrected draft (L141).

The choice of a shallow pond, characterized by large daily variations of water temperature, for calibrating the relationship between δ 18Osilica, δ 18Owater and temperature. Indeed large daily variations of the environmental parameters may be smoothed by reconstructed averages. This is a drawback which is underlined in the corrected draft (L242). However, as noted in the GBD paper, we checked that although modest, there is still a positive correlation between the reconstructed monthly mean water temperature and water temperature measured at midday (r2=0.5). Moreover, uncertainties on reconstructed values of water temperature and ïĄd'18Owater do not put into question the positive relationships obtained between ïĄĎ18Osilica - water and water temperature when measured data are considered. This excludes that any isotopic equilibrium has been reached and prevents the use of ïĄd'18Osilica values from the spongillites of northwestern Minas Gerais as a direct proxy for past ïĄd'18Owater and/or temperature changes.

Referee #1 finally suggests that the positive correlation between the iAD18Osilicawater value and water temperature, obtained when the data from the time of sample collection are considered, may be of interest for paleoenvironmental reconstructions. As noted in the BGD paper, several kinetic fractionations may occur during enzymatically controlled Si uptake, polymerization, depolymerization, and reorganization of the silica sheath inherent to spicule formation. In the present case, the summed amplitude of these fractionations increases with temperature during the latest month of growth at a rate of approximately 0.3%°C-1. However, other parameters co-varying with temperature, such as nutrient feeding or dissolved Si concentration, that were not considered in the present study, need to be assessed as potential controlling factors before using any kinetic fractionation coefficient for paleoenvironmental reconstruction purposes. In order to further assess the parameters responsible of the δ 180 imprint in lacustrine sponge spicules, additional calibrations are needed, e.g. using a single species grown under laboratory controlled conditions of δ 180water, water temperature, dissolved Si and nutrient concentration. This was added in the corrected draft (L297).

References Alexandre, A., Crespin, J., Sylvestre, F., Sonzogni, C., and Hilbert, D.W. (2012). The oxygen isotopic composition of phytolith assemblages from tropical rainforest soil tops (Queensland, Australia): validation of a new paleoenvironmental tool. Clim. 8, 307–324. Chapligin, B., Leng, M.J., Webb, E., Alexandre, A., Dodd, J.P., Ijiri, A., Lucke, A., Shemesh, A., Abelmann, A., Herzschuh, U., et al. (2011).

C6110

Inter-laboratory comparison of oxygen isotope compositions from biogenic silica. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 75, 7242–7256. Crespin, J., Alexandre, A., Sylvestre, F., Sonzogni, C., Pailles, C., and Garreta, V. (2008). IR laser extraction technique applied to oxygen isotope analysis of small biogenic silica samples. Anal. Chem. 80, 2372–2378. Melão M.G.G. and Rocha, O.: Biomass and produtivity of the freshwater sponge Metania spinata (Carter, 1881) (Demospongiae: Metaniidae) in a Brazilian reservoir, Hydrobiologia, 390, 1-10, 1999.

Please also note the supplement to this comment: http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/C6108/2013/bgd-10-C6108-2013supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 12887, 2013.