Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, C622–C623, 2013 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/C622/2013/© Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



**BGD** 

10, C622-C623, 2013

Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "90Sr and 89Sr in seawater off Japan as a consequence of the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear accident" by N. Casacuberta et al.

## **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 28 March 2013

1.- In order to avoid misunderstanding in sentences like: 90Sr ranges from ..... to...... and 89Sr ranges from ..... to...... please, explain in Abstract and Results sections that 90Sr and 89Sr values cannot be compared because they are not measured in the same samples (57 for 90Sr and only 19 for 89Sr and usually in those that present the highest 90Sr values). 2.- Please, explain clearly in the text if the provided 89Sr values are referred to measurement date or to sampling date. 3.- I do not understand the meaning or usefulness of section "89Sr/90Sr ratio". Why the "time delay between sampling and accident" is calculated if this value is known with an approximation better than 98+/-18, which is the value that authors obtain? Even in section 4.2, authors assume that 90Sr peak has been released to the Pacific Ocean on 6 April, and sampling dates are also known. If the objective is to demonstrate that 89Sr comes from Fukushima

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



accident, in my opinion it is clear that with a half-life of 50 days, this radionuclide cannot have another origin. 4.- Table 1 and Fig. 3 has a very small source size, it is quite difficult to read it. 5.- The same for Figures 2 and 4 (right hand plots). It is almost impossible to read them. 6.- In Fig. 1, Fig 2 and Fig 3 it is supposed that the grey contour corresponds to Japanese coast and the red star in Fig 1, Fukusima NPP. Please if this is true, explain it in the text.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 2039, 2013.

## **BGD**

10, C622-C623, 2013

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

