1	Differential Effects of Extreme Drought on Production and Respiration: Synthesis and
2	Modeling Analysis
3	
4	Z. Shi <sup>1*</sup> , M. L. Thomey <sup>2</sup> , W. Mowll <sup>3</sup> , M. Litvak <sup>2</sup> , N. A. Brunsell <sup>4</sup> , S. L. Collins <sup>2</sup> , W. T.
5	Pockman <sup>2</sup> , M. D. Smith <sup>3</sup> , A. K. Knapp <sup>3</sup> , Y. Luo <sup>1</sup> ,
6	
7	1. Department of Microbiology and Plant Biology, University of Oklahoma, OK, USA
8	2. Department of Biology, MSC03-2020, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM
9	87131
10	3. Department of Biology and Graduate Degree Program in Ecology, Colorado State
11	University, Fort Collins, CO, 80523, USA
12	4. Department of Geography, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, 66045, USA
13	
14	Running title: differential effects of drought on production and respiration
15	
16	*Correspondence author: Zheng Shi
17	Mailing address: Department of Botany and Microbiology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK
18	73019, USA
19	Tel: +1 (405) 325-6519
20	Fax: +1 (405) 325-8578
21	Email: <u>zheng.shi-1@ou.edu</u>
22	

**Abstract**: Extremes in climate may severely impact ecosystem structure and function, with both 23 the magnitude and rate of response differing among ecosystem types and processes. We 24 conducted a modeling analysis of the effects of extreme drought on two key ecosystem processes, 25 production and respiration, and to provide broader context we complemented this with a 26 27 synthesis of published results across multiple ecosystems. The synthesis indicated that across a 28 broad range of biomes gross primary production (GPP) generally was more sensitive to extreme drought (defined as proportional reduction relative to average rainfall periods) than was 29 ecosystem respiration (ER). Furthermore, this differential sensitivity between production and 30 31 respiration increased as drought severity increased and occurred only in grassland ecosystems but not in evergreen needle-leaf and broad-leaf forests or woody savannahs. The modeling 32 analysis was designed to better understand the mechanisms underlying this pattern and focused 33 on four grassland sites arrayed across the Great Plains, USA. Model results consistently showed 34 that net primary productivity (NPP) was reduced more than heterotrophic respiration (Rh) by 35 extreme drought (i.e., 67% reduction in annual ambient rainfall) at all four study sites. The 36 sensitivity of NPP to drought was directly attributable to rainfall amount, whereas sensitivity of 37 Rh to drought was driven by soil drying, reduced carbon (C) input and a drought-induced 38 39 reduction in soil C content, a much slower process. However, differences in reductions in NPP and Rh diminished as extreme drought continued due to a gradual decline in the soil C pool 40 leading to further reductions in Rh. We also varied the way in which drought was imposed in the 41 42 modeling analysis, either as reductions in rainfall event size (ESR) or by reducing rainfall event number (REN). Modeled NPP and Rh decreased more by ESR than REN at the two relatively 43 44 mesic sites but less so at the two xeric sites. Our findings suggest that responses of production

- 45 and respiration differ in magnitude, occur on different timescales and are affected by different
- 46 mechanisms under extreme, prolonged drought.

### 48 **1 Introduction**

The hydrological cycle is forecast to be intensified by climate warming, leading to increased 49 drought frequency and severity, especially in water-limited ecosystems (IPCC, 2007). Responses 50 51 of ecosystem processes to drought, especially carbon (C) fluxes, are critical given that any net 52 change of ecosystem C balance acts as a feedback to climate change. Many studies have reported ecosystem responses to climate extremes. For example, Ciais et al. (2005) reported that heat and 53 severe drought caused an unprecedented continental scale reduction in primary productivity with 54 55 ecosystem respiration decreasing concurrently. In contrast, by analyzing observational data from a global network of eddy flux towers, Schwarm et al. (2010) found that global mean gross 56 primary production (GPP) was more sensitive to a drought event than respiration. In a long-term 57 field experiment, Jentsch et al. (2011) imposed an extreme drought in a constructed grassland 58 and reported the opposite - that drought decreased soil respiration without reducing net primary 59 60 production (NPP). Finally, by decreasing throughfall in a Mediterranean evergreen forest, Mission et al. (2010) reported a greater reduction in GPP than that in ecosystem respiration (ER), 61 especially soil respiration. Such divergent responses of ecosystem productivity and respiration 62 63 to extreme drought suggests that greater mechanistic understanding is needed with regard to how these two key C cycling processes are likely to respond to climate extremes. 64

Drought can affect production and respiration through both common and unique mechanisms. Drought lowers plant C uptake by reducing stomatal conductance and leaf area, and by increasing soil water deficit (Bréda et al., 2006), whereas soil water deficits and reduced substrate availability can reduce ecosystem respiration (Luo and Zhou, 2006). Although there are now many studies that have reported C cycling responses to both natural and experimentally

imposed droughts in a variety of biomes (e.g., Reichstein et al., 2002, Ciais et al., 2005,

Schwalm et al., 2012), these have not been synthesized to determine if there are any general

72 patterns of production and respiration responses to extreme drought across terrestrial biomes (but

rage see Schwalm et al., 2010). Identifying such patterns is key for determining if general

74 mechanisms underlie production and respiration responses.

75 One critical limitation to both observational and experimental studies is that they are all conducted at short time scales - from seasonal to annual in length - whereas ecological responses 76 to drought over the longer term are likely to be more complex (Anderson et al., 2011). This is 77 78 especially true for heterotrophic respiration, which is affected by drought induced reductions in the soil C pool as a function of lower GPP (Mission et al., 2010). Knowing how ecosystems 79 respond to long-term, extreme drought is important given that climate models predict an increase 80 in the frequency and magnitude of these events in the future (Dai, 2011). It has been 81 hypothesized that although the sensitivity of production and respiration to drought may differ 82 initially, they will eventually become equivalent as carbon cycle processes equilibrate over time 83 (Luo and Weng, 2011). Such long-term response patterns of ecosystems to drought are difficult 84 to reveal in experiments or observational studies but can be explored by ecosystem modeling 85 86 (Luo et al., 2011).

Drought has often been imposed in global change experiments by reducing each rainfall
event amount (Yahdjian and Sala, 2006, Mission et al., 2010, Cherwin and Knapp, 2012).
However, as climate models have predicted decreases in rainfall frequency in the future, drought
could also occur due to declines in rainfall event number (e.g., Báez et al. 2013). These two
different types of drought may affect ecosystem functions differently. For example, Harper et al.
(2005) observed more drought-induced reduction on aboveground NPP (ANPP) and soil CO<sub>2</sub>

flux under natural drought caused by reducing rainfall event number and size than simply
altering the size of each rainfall event. This drought-event size interaction has also been observed
in shortgrass steppe where experimental droughts only reduced ANPP when rainfall events were
frequent and small rather than few but large (Cherwin and Knapp, 2012).

97 Our objectives were 2-fold. First, we determined if general patterns of drought effects on 98 production and respiration exist across multiple biomes based on published papers of both observational and experimental studies. Second, we used an ecosystem model to examine 99 mechanisms possibly underlying differential sensitivity of production and respiration in four 100 101 different grassland types over a rainfall gradient in Central US Great Plains. In the modeling 102 analysis, we assessed responses of NPP and heterotrophic respiration (Rh) to a long-term severe drought imposed by either reducing the size or the number of individual rainfall events. In 103 104 addition to assessing responses over longer time scales and mechanistically, we also compared responses in these sites to identical treatments, thus overcoming a weakness of syntheses of 105 106 published studies that each impose drought in different ways and of different magnitudes and 107 measure responses uniquely (Luo et al. 2011).

108

#### 109 2 Materials and Methods

110

# 111 **2.1** Synthesis methods and data analysis

We searched ISI's Web of Science using these search strings: '(drought OR severe drought OR extreme drought) AND (ecosystem fluxes OR ecosystem carbon balance)', 'drought AND NEE AND eddy covariance', '(precipitation OR drought OR rainfall) AND net ecosystem exchange AND manipulation' and 'drought AND NPP AND respiration' to identify both observational and manipulative studies of drought effects on ecosystem C fluxes over global terrestrial biomes. We

also used 'rain forest AND eddy flux AND drought' to search for studies focused more
appropriately on seasonal droughts in rain forest dry seasons. We reviewed the most relevant
studies in which GPP and ER were reported in both drought and normal years or dry and wet
seasons for rain forest (Table 3 and Table S1).

121 Drought was categorized as extreme drought when ecosystems experienced more than a 122 40% decrease in annual precipitation relative to the long term average, as moderate drought with less than a 40% but more than a 25% rainfall decrease, and minor drought with less than 25% 123 precipitation reduction. The drought sensitivity of production as estimated by GPP and 124 125 respiration estimated by ER for each study site was calculated as the drought induced absolute reduction relative to the normal year divided by GPP or ER in the normal years (i.e.  $\Delta$ GPP% = 126 127  $(GPP_{normal} - GPP_{drought})/GPP_{normal}$  or  $\Delta ER\% = (ER_{normal} - ER_{drought})/ER_{normal})$ . The differential sensitivities were also assessed based on ecosystem types. The ecosystems were divided into 128 grassland, evergreen needle-leaf forest (ENF), broad-leaf forest (BF) and woody savannahs (WS). 129 130 One open shrubland, one oak woodland and one pine woodland were not included into the data 131 analysis due to limited sample size. The significance between  $\Delta$ GPP% and  $\Delta$ ER% was tested using paired-sample T test. Seasonal drought effects on  $\Delta$ GPP% and  $\Delta$ ER% in rainforest were 132 133 not included in this analysis because of different responses and underlying mechanisms. Thus, 134 seasonal drought effects in rainforest are discussed separately in this study.

135

# 136 **2.2 Modeling analyses**

## 137 **2.2.1 Model description**

The terrestrial ecosystem model (TECO) is a process-based ecosystem model and was designed to examine ecosystem responses to climatic perturbations including elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, warming and altered precipitation (Luo et al., 2008, Weng and Luo, 2008). The algorithms applied in TECO are described in detail by Weng and Luo (2008). Here we provide a brief description, focusingon mechanisms related to drought.

TECO is composed of four major sub-models that represent canopy processes, plant 143 growth, C transfer, and soil water dynamics. The canopy photosynthesis-transpiration submodel 144 is a two-leaf model with multiple canopy layers, derived primarily from Wang and Leuning 145 146 (1998), to simulate canopy energy balance, canopy photosynthesis and conductance. For each layer, foliage is divided into sunlit and shaded leaves. Leaf photosynthesis and transpiration are 147 estimated by coupling the Farquhar photosynthesis (Farquhar et al., 1980) and Ball-Berry 148 149 stomata-conductance model (Ball et al., 1987). In the plant growth submodel, allocation of 150 photosynthetic assimilates depends on growth rate of leaves, stems and roots following ALPHAPHA model (Denison and Loomis, 1989), and varies with phenology following CTEM 151 152 (Arora and Boer, 2005). Phenology is represented by seasonal variation in leaf area index (LAI). Leaf onset is determined by growing degree days and leaf senescence is induced by low 153 temperature and low soil water content. The C transfer submodel simulates movement of C from 154 plant to soil C pools in three layers through litterfall and the decomposition of litter and soil 155 organic C. Carbon fluxes from litter and soil carbon pools are based on residence time of each C 156 157 pool and C pool sizes (Luo and Reynolds, 1999).

The soil water dynamics submodel has ten soil layers and simulates the dynamics of soil
water content based on precipitation, evaporation, transpiration and runoff. Evaporation is
determined by water content of the first soil layer and evaporative demand of the atmosphere.
Transpiration is regulated by stomatal conductance and soil water content of layers where roots
are present. When precipitation exceeds water recharge to soil water holding capacity, runoff
occurs. In this study, a soil moisture scalar, ω, is the most important parameter because the

164 reduction in precipitation directly affects soil water content and thus the soil moisture scalar. In

165 TECO, relative soil water content is defined as  $\omega = (W_{soil}-W_{min})/(W_{max}-W_{min})$  where  $W_{max}$  is soil

166 water holding capacity,  $W_{min}$  is the permanent wilting point and  $W_{soil}$  is soil water content.

167 Photosynthesis and plant growth rate are reduced whenever  $\omega$  is less than 0.3.

168

#### 169 **2.2.2 Study sites**

170 The sites selected for the modeling analysis are the Konza Prairie Biological Station (Konza), the

171 Hays Agricultural Research Center (Hays), the High Plains Grasslands Research Center

172 (Cheyenne), and the Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge (Sevilleta). The four grasslands are

distributed along mean annual temperature (MAT) and mean annual precipitation (MAP)

174 gradients (Table 1). Cheyenne has the lowest mean annual temperature among the four sites

175 (Table 1). Sevilleta has much coarser soil texture than the other three grasslands.

176

#### 177 2.2.3 Modeling scenarios

The objective of this experimental simulation was to use the long-term records of rainfall to 178 model extreme drought effects on ecosystem C dynamics. Therefore, the long-term records of 179 180 daily rainfall data were collected from weather stations closest to each grassland. The periods of rainfall data were 1982-2010 for Konza, 1949-2010 for Hays and Sevilleta and 1949-2011 for 181 Cheyenne. The four meteorological variables (solar radiation, air temperature, soil temperature, 182 183 and relative humidity) used to drive the model were from year 2007 for Konza, Cheyenne, and Sevilleta, and from year 2006 for Hays, repeated for each rainfall year. In order to simulate the 184 effects of extreme drought, the annual rainfall amount was reduced to 33% of ambient rainfall by 185 186 two approaches. One was to reduce each rainfall event size (ESR) by 67% of ambient rainfall

187 (AMB), and the other was to reduce rainfall event number (REN) to achieve the same 67% reduction in annual rainfall as ESR. The REN treatment resulted in intermittent periods with no 188 rain events and thus increased precipitation variability compared with ESR treatment. These two 189 190 treatments allowed us to explore the differential effects of drought and increased rainfall variability on ecosystem C dynamics in different grassland ecosystems along the MAT and MAP 191 192 gradients. The selection of 67% rainfall reduction in the model was based on analysis of longterm rainfall records in central US grasslands. Multi-year drought similar to 67% rainfall 193 reduction occurred but only for 4-6 times in a 70-year record for semi-arid Colorado and 108-194 195 year record for mesic Kansas (data not shown).

196

#### 197 **2.2.4 Statistical analysis**

The linear regressions were conducted in SigmaPlot version 12. A student's t-test for the slope 198 difference between ambient condition and rainfall reduction treatments was conducted in SAS 199 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). A multiple regression between relative reduction 200 201 in Rh (dependent variable) and relative reduction in soil water content (SWC), NPP and soil C content (independent variables) was performed to assess the relative contribution to drought-202 203 induced reduction in Rh from each of the three factors. The regression model is  $\Delta Rh = a^{\Delta}SWC + b^{\Delta}ANPP + c^{\Delta}Soil C + \varepsilon$ . The relative contributions are calculated as  $a^{\Delta}SWC / c^{\Delta}$ 204  $\Delta Rh*100\%$ , b\* $\Delta NPP / \Delta Rh*100\%$  and c\* $\Delta Soil C / \Delta Rh*100\%$ . 205

206

207 **3 Results** 

## 208 **3.1 Model validation**

209 The TECO model was driven by meteorological data from eddy flux towers for Konza tallgrass

210 prairie and Sevilleta desert grassland and from meteorological stations for the Hays and

Cheyenne mixed-grass prairie sites. Meteorological data include hourly solar radiation, air temperature, soil temperature, precipitation and relative humidity from 2007 - 2010. For Hays, meteorological data in 2006 were used, instead of 2007 due to its incomplete record. The model was validated against daily net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange (NEE) from eddy flux towers during 2007 -2010 at Konza and Sevilleta (Fig. 1), along with biometric data including ANPP and soil respiration measured at these grasslands (Table 2). For all the variables, the modeled results were in good agreement with observational data (Fig. 1 and Table 2).

218

# 3.2 Differential drought effects on production and respiration and mechanisms: Literature synthesis

We synthesized results from 39 studies that included grasslands, deciduous broad-leaf forests, 221 222 evergreen needle-leaf forests, woody savanna and shrubland (Table S1). Eleven out of the 39 study sites experienced extreme drought (i.e., >40% below long-term average rainfall), 10 sites 223 experienced moderate drought and 18 sites were subject to minor drought. GPP was more 224 225 sensitive to drought than ER under extreme and moderate drought (Fig. 2a). Minor drought had no differential impacts on GPP or ER. Drought had greater impact on GPP than ER in grassland 226 227 ecosystems, whereas in forest and woody savannah ecosystem drought did not have differential 228 impact (Fig. 2b).

For the five study sites with data available, seasonal drought in rainforest had only a limited impact on GPP (Table 3) likely because the tree root systems had access to an adequate water supply in deep soil layers. Respiration, especially heterotrophic respiration was reduced due to drying of the surface soil. As a consequence, ecosystem carbon uptake actually increased under seasonal drought in tropical rainforests.

# 3.3 Modeled drought effect on ecosystem C variables 235 Both extreme drought treatments decreased annual NPP, heterotrophic respiration (Rh), NEE and 236 237 soil C content with similar patterns over modeled years in each of the four grasslands (Fig. 3 and Fig. S1). The relative reduction in NPP was consistently greater than in Rh in all the grassland 238 239 sites, but the difference diminished over time due to continued decreases in Rh with drought (Fig. 3a-h). Annual GPP and ER showed similar drought responses to annual NPP and Rh, 240 respectively (Fig. S2). However, in order to reveal directional change in Rh, we used annual NPP 241 242 and Rh in the model analysis. The differential responses of NPP and Rh to drought caused NEE to increase (more ecosystem $CO_2$ release), but the drought-induced change in NEE decreased 243 over time (Fig. 3i-1). Drought-induced reduction in soil C content also increased over time, the 244 same as Rh in all the study sites (Fig. 3m-p). 245 Annual NPP, Rh, NEE and soil C content responded differently to the two different 246 drought types (Fig. S1, Fig. 3 and Table S2). In the tallgrass prairie and the Hays mixed-grass 247 prairie, annual NPP, Rh, and soil C content decreased more under ESR than under REN, whereas 248 annual NPP, Rh, and soil C content decreased less under ESR than under REN in the Cheyenne 249 250 mixed-grass prairie and the desert grassland (Sevilleta). Differential responses of NEE to the two drought types were contingent upon year. Overall, Sevilleta had the greatest inter-annual 251 variability (23%, 19%, and 29% average coefficient of variation over the two rainfall treatments) 252 253 whereas the mixed grass site near Cheyenne had the lowest inter-annual variability (10%, 12%, and 17% average coefficient of variation over the two rainfall treatments) for relative reductions 254

in NPP, Rh, and soil C, respectively with drought.

256

#### 257 3.4 Controls on annual C fluxes and the long-term impacts of drought

Annual NPP increased with increasing rainfall amounts for all the grasslands under each of the 258 three rainfall scenarios (ambient, ESR and REN) (Fig. 4a-d). The slopes of change in NPP were 259 greater under the drought scenarios than that under ambient conditions (Table S3). Annual NEE 260 decreased with rainfall (Fig. 4i-l). The slopes were negative and smaller under drought 261 treatments than under ambient condition (Table S3). Annual Rh was not related to rainfall under 262 drought scenarios, but a positive linear relationship with rainfall was noted under ambient 263 conditions for all grasslands (Fig. 4e-h). The interannual variation in the relative reduction in 264 265 NPP negatively correlated with annual rainfall amount in all grassland sites except for Cheyenne (Fig. 5) and the relative reduction in Rh was positively correlated with drought-induced relative 266 reductions in soil C content (Fig. 6). 267

Contribution from reduced soil C to drought sensitivity of Rh increased over time and contributions from both reduced NPP and soil water content decreased over time (Fig. 7). In general, reductions in NPP and soil water content contributed more than reduction in soil C in the early years, whereas their relative importance switched later on (Fig. 7) due to gradually increased reduction in soil C content.

273

# 274 **4 Discussion**

Our synthesis and modeling analysis both revealed that production (GPP and NPP) was more
sensitive to moderate to severe drought than respiration (ER and Rh). Furthermore, this
differential sensitivity between production and respiration increased as drought severity
increased and occurred only in grassland ecosystems but not in evergreen needle-leaf and broadleaf forests or woody savannahs. In the modeling analysis, NPP was reduced more than Rh by

extreme drought. However, the difference between NPP and Rh (i.e., NEE) diminished over time
with drought over multiple years. Our findings suggest that responses of production and
respiration to drought differ in magnitude, occur on different timescales and are affected by
different mechanisms under extreme, prolonged drought. Additionally, the finding of different
responses to drought types indicates the diverse interactive effects on ecosystem functions
between rainfall variability and rainfall amount.

286

#### **4.1 General patterns of drought effects on production and respiration**

288 In the literature synthesis, GPP and ER responded differently to extreme and moderate drought, but not to minor drought, which suggests that moderate to extreme drought may override other 289 confounding factors, for example site characteristics, climate conditions, and dominant plant 290 species. However, during minor drought, evidence indicates that the responses of GPP and ER 291 were largely regulated by topographic position and soil texture (Kljun et al., 2006), drought-292 associated high summer radiation (Granier et al., 2007) and high summer temperature (Welp et 293 294 al., 2007), along with a lagged effect from previous soil water condition (Welp et al., 2007). A broad range of ecosystems were included in the synthesis (Table S1), for example grasslands, 295 296 deciduous broad-leaf forests, evergreen needle-leaf forests, woody savanna and shrubland. Thus, the general pattern of such differential responses is representative and robust across biomes. 297 When synthesized data were analyzed based on ecosystem types, differential responses of 298 299 production and respiration were not found in forest ecosystems. There are two possibly main reasons. The rooting systems of forests could partially relieve drought stress on production by 300 301 tapping deep soil water (Kerhoulas et al., 2013) and secondly, most of the forest ecosystems only 302 experienced moderate or minor drought in the synthesized studies. On the other hand, most of

the grassland ecosystems went through extreme drought and do not have deep rooting systems,together resulting in the differential responses.

In contrast to the general pattern, however, in rainforest ecosystems where dry seasons 305 occur annually, seasonal drought had limited effects on GPP due to adequate water supply from 306 307 deep soil layers and hydraulic redistribution by deep roots. Therefore, rainforest GPP is generally 308 controlled more by factors such as solar radiation (Bonal et al., 2008) rather than precipitation. Indeed, previous modeling studies that included deep water supply and hydraulic redistribution 309 closely captured the seasonal drought effects in rain forest (Baker et al., 2008). Even though trees 310 311 in other ecosystems were also able to tap deep soil water (e.g., Kerhoulas et al., 2013), GPP was still reduced due to drought-increased vapor pressure deficit which causes leaf stomata to 312 partially close (Kolb et al., 2013). 313

314

4.2 Mechanisms underlying differential response of production and respiration to drought 315 316 In agreement with the synthesis results, the modeling analysis revealed the general pattern that 317 production (GPP and NPP) was more drought sensitive than respiration (ER and Rh). The underlying mechanisms were explored in the model analysis of four grasslands over a rainfall 318 319 gradient ranging from 240 to 860 mm. The greater sensitivity of modeled NPP to extreme drought that we observed (Fig. 3) at all four sites was due to different controls of the two 320 ecosystem C variables. In grassland ecosystems, production generally increases linearly or 321 322 asymptotically with rainfall amount (e.g., Fig. 4; Sala et al., 1988; Huxman et al., 2004). Therefore, in the extreme drought treatments NPP declined almost linearly with precipitation 323 324 amount. However, heterotrophic respiration, which is the mineralization of litter and soil C, is a 325 C pool-controlled ecological process that is often regulated by soil temperature over the long

326 term in addition to soil water content and substrate availability in the short term (Luo and Zhou, 327 2006, Vargas et al., 2010). Therefore, drought-induced reductions in NPP, soil water content and soil C content all contributed to the reduction in Rh. Responses of NPP and soil water content 328 329 were prompt and contributed significantly to reduced Rh in the early years, especially in the most mesic site. However, their contributions generally decreased over time due to increased relative 330 331 importance of reduction in soil C content. As a consequence, Rh was less impacted than NPP in the short-term and the difference gradually disappears over time. Even though reduction in soil C 332 content is relative smaller to short-term drought than NPP and soil water content, its smaller 333 334 reduction played more important role than NPP and soil water content due to its high correlation with Rh, especially in the arid sites. 335

The model analysis also showed that the reduction in Rh increased during long-term 336 drought due to diminishment of soil C pool size. The decrease in the soil C pool could be caused 337 by drought-reduced NPP, the primary source of soil C. To our knowledge, we are not aware of 338 any empirical studies that reported long-term effects of drought on soil C and Rh. However, 339 340 space-for-time studies provide indirect evidence regarding ecosystem C dynamics under longterm climatic changes (Luo et al., 2011). For example, soil C declined linearly with decreasing 341 342 precipitation in observations along precipitation gradients (Anderson et al., 2011; Talmon et al., 2011), which indirectly supports model results indicating a long-term drought-induced decrease 343 in soil C content. This long-term decline in soil C content could cause the difference between the 344 345 drought sensitivities of production and respiration to diminish gradually over time. Due to these differential responses over longer timescales, our modeling results showed that grassland 346 347 ecosystems all released  $CO_2$  to the atmosphere during drought, but the amount of released  $CO_2$ 348 decreased over time as soil C pools declined. The model results, however, would benefit from

long-term field experiments to provide direct support for these results. This exploration of
ecosystem sensitivity dynamics over the long term is critical for global change studies because
many ecological responses are strongly regulated by slow processes (Luo et al., 2011).

Our model results also showed that Sevilleta and Cheyenne had the largest and least 352 353 inter-annual variation, respectively, in the relative reduction of NPP and Rh. Soil texture has 354 long been known to affect plant productivity through the inverse soil texture effect (Noy-Meir, 1973) and has the potential to interact with rainfall regimes to mediate the impacts of drought 355 (Weng and Luo, 2008). The much larger inter-annual variability in relative reduction in NPP and 356 357 Rh in Sevilleta could be explained by coarse-textured soils because lower average water 358 availability can amplify drought effects (Paruelo et al., 1999). The low variability and lack of correlation between rainfall and relative reduction in NPP at Cheyenne may have occurred 359 360 because the lower average temperatures for this site relative to the other three (Table 1) resulted in less evaporation, and thus increased available water for plants and constrained the inter-annual 361 variation in sensitivity to drought. These results emphasize the need for multi-site long-term 362 363 drought experiments, because inter-annual variation in precipitation as well as lagged effects from soil water storage likely play important roles in regulating ecosystem responses to climate 364 365 extremes (Granier et al., 2007).

The mechanisms associated with drought responses in forest ecosystems are likely to be similar to those in grasslands. The primary responses of forests to drought are to reduce productivity and respiration due to water deficit (Dale et al., 2001) and the responses of production and respiration could be different. However, it is also likely that the mechanisms could be more complicated in forest ecosystems than in grasslands and consequently have different long-term effects. For example, deep rooting systems in forest ecosystems could buffer

drought effect on production in forest ecosystems; drought associated increase in solar radiation might increase production as we found in rainforest; hydraulic lifting by tree roots could also alleviate drought effect on C processes. As we found out in our synthesis, drought had similar effects on both production and respiration in forest ecosystems (Fig. 2b). As a consequence, the long-term response pattern may be different from that in the grassland ecosystems. Therefore, it is critical to include above possible mechanisms when simulating long term drought effect in forest ecosystem.

379

#### **4.3 Drought attributes and differential responses of production and respiration**

In our modeling analyses, total annual rainfall in the two reduced rainfall treatments was the 381 same. Drought imposed by reducing every event (ESR treatment) was characterized by lower 382 rainfall event size (1/3 of ambient rainfall), but ambient rainfall frequency. In comparison, the 383 REN treatment was characterized by ambient rainfall event size but lower frequency, and longer 384 dry intervals between rain events. Responses of NPP and Rh to these treatments were contingent 385 386 on grassland type, with greater reductions in NPP and Rh when drought was caused by every rain event becoming smaller (ESR scenario) at the two most mesic and productive grasslands 387 388 (Konza and Hays) However, REN also caused in reduction in both NPP and Rh. Knapp et al. (2002) also observed that lower frequency in rainfall events without changing total rainfall in a 389 mesic tallgrass prairie resulted in less production than ambient rainfall frequency. However, if 390 391 annual precipitation amount was low (1/3 of ambient rainfall in our study), the larger rainfall event size under REN relative to ESR could lead to higher soil water content and consequently 392 393 higher production (Fig. S1a and b) in mesic grasslands. More water was stored in deep soil layers 394 under ambient rainfall event size compared to lower rainfall event size in ESR, which decreased

395 evaporative loss to the atmosphere and increased water availability to plants. Lower rainfall frequency (i.e. REN treatment), however, led to lower NPP in xeric grasslands (Fig. S1c and d). 396 Frequent small rainfall events (the ESR treatment) can potentially alleviate chronic water stress, 397 whereas the longer dry period under REN inhibited early leaf and root development and 398 399 consequently decreased production. In addition, different levels of rainfall frequency could affect 400 its effect size (Heisler-White et al., 2009). Responses of Rh to the two drought types are consistent with those of NPP, indicating NPP controls the responses of Rh to different drought 401 402 types.

403

# 404 **4.4 Implications for future experimental studies**

Our findings have several important implications for field experiments. First, reported 405 observations and manipulative climate change studies are often short term. The snapshot of 406 observed responses, therefore, may not be representative of long-term response, especially when 407 slow ecological processes are involved. Second, many manipulated drought experiments only 408 409 decrease event sizes. Our results showed that reduced rainfall event number had differential effects on ecological processes than simply decreasing the size of each rain event. Future 410 411 experiments should impose drought through different patterns of rainfall size, different levels of frequency and intensity. Third, other components of ecosystem C processes should be assessed 412 in global change experiments in addition to production. Different ecosystem C variables are 413 414 likely to have different response patterns to imposed perturbation. In order to provide information on positive or negative feedbacks of terrestrial biomes to climate change, 415 416 measurements of both production and respiration are needed.

417

#### 418 **4.5 Model limitations**

419 Ecosystem carbon models have often been used as a tool to investigate effects of global changing on ecosystem carbon cycling (Norby and Luo, 2004; Parton et al., 2007; Luo et al., 2008; 420 421 Schwalm et al., 2010b; Grant et al., 2011). At present, most of the models, however, do not 422 represent photosynthetic and respiratory acclimation (Smith and Dukes, 2013), mortality 423 (McDowell et al., 2013), and species shift (Sebastia et al., 2008) well yet due to limited understanding. As a consequence, their regulations may not be well captured in the modeling 424 results when models are used to simulate long-term effects of climate changing factors on 425 426 ecosystems. In this study, we used data from space-for-time studies to support our model results. For example, soil C declined linearly with decreasing precipitation in observations along 427 precipitation gradients (Anderson et al., 2011; Talmon et al., 2011), which is consistent with our 428 modeling results indicating a long-term drought-induced decrease in soil C content. This 429 consistency between model and empirical studies suggest that the responses of ecosystem 430 variables to extreme climatic changes are unlikely to be overridden by biotic adaptation 431 432 (Anderson et al. 2011). Rather, the extent of the responses might be attenuated or exacerbated (Smith 2011, Reichstein et al., 2013). Nonetheless, further research is needed to incorporate 433 434 acclimation, adaptation and vegetation change into ecosystem models to improve ecological forecasting. 435

Vegetation mortality due to carbon starvation or hydraulic failure or both (McDowell et
al., 2008) is likely to occur if the drought is severe enough and can therefore have legacy effect
on most aspects of ecosystem carbon cycling (Liu et al., 2011). It is difficult for ecosystem
models to accurately capture plant mortality due to the lack of thorough understanding on the
mechanisms (Xu et al., 2013, McDowell et al., 2013, Reichstein et al., 2013). Mortality in

grasslands differs from that in forest ecosystems. In a forest ecosystem, when large area of
mortality occurs, the whole ecosystem would have to start over from secondary succession.
However, grasslands are characterized by the high recovery potential of plant growth and they
would recover to their original states in a very short time and had less impact on carbon cycling
than forest ecosystems (Reichstein et al., 2013).

Another limitation in our study is possible model bias due to only one ecosystem model 446 was used. A multiple-model inter-comparisons (MI) would be nice to test the robustness of our 447 main conclusion that extreme drought had differential effect on production and respiration in 448 449 grassland ecosystems. However, it is not our focus of this study. Nonetheless, findings from previous multiple model analysis can provide evidence for the robustness of our conclusion. For 450 example, using four ecosystem C models, Luo et al. (2008) explored potential individual and 451 interactive effects of climate warming, altered precipitation amount and elevated  $CO_2$ 452 concentration across a broad range of biomes. They found that half precipitation reduced net 453 454 primary production more than heterotrophic respiration, and as a result decreased net ecosystem 455 production.

456

#### 457 Acknowledgements

The authors thank Ensheng Weng for valuable suggestions and Jianyang Xia for help in
statistical analysis. This study was financially supported by NSF Macrosystems Biology grant
EF 1137293 and grants to Kansas State University and the University of New Mexico for longterm ecological research.

462

# 463 **References**

- Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., Delong, J. P., Fox, A. M., Brese, D. A., and Litvak, M. E.:, Differential
  responses of production and respiration to temperature and moisture drive the carbon
  balance across a climatic gradient in New Mexico, Glob. Change Biol., 17: 410–424,
  doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02269.x, 2011.
- Arora, V. K. and Boer, G. J.: A parameterization of leaf phenology for the terrestrial ecosystem
   component of climate models, Glob. Change Biol., 11, 39– 59, 2005.
- Báez, S., Collins, S. L. Pockman, W. T., Johnson, J. E., and Small, E. E.: Effects of experimental
  rainfall manipulations on Chihuahuan Desert grassland and shrubland plant communities,
  Oecologia, 172, 1117-1127, 2013.
- Baker, I. T., Prihodko, L., Denning, A. S., Goulden, M., Miller, S., and da Rocha, H. R.:
  Seasonal drought stress in the Amazon: Reconciling models and observations, J Geophys
  Res-Biogeo, 113, Artn G00b01, Doi 10.1029/2007jg000644, 2008.
- Ball, J. T., Woodrow, I. E., and Berry, J. A.: A model predicting stomatal conductance and its
  contribution to the control of photosynthesis under different environmental conditions, in
  Progress in Photosynthesis Research, edited by J. Biggens, pp. 221–224, Martinus
  Nijhoff, Zoetermeer, Netherlands, 1987.
- Bonal, D., Bosc, A., Ponton, S., Goret, J. Y., Burban, B., Gross, P., Bonnefond, J. M., Elbers, J.,
  Longdoz, B., Epron, D., Guehl, J. M., and Granier, A.: Impact of severe dry season on net
  ecosystem exchange in the Neotropical rainforest of French Guiana, Global Change Biol,
  14, 1917-1933, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01610.x, 2008.
- Bréda, N., Huc, R., Granier, A., and Dreyer, E.: Temperate forest trees and stands under severe
  drought: a review of ecophysiological responses, adaptation processes and long-term
  consequences, Ann. For. Sci., 63, 625-644, 2006.
- Carrillo, Y., Pendall, E., Dijkstra, F. A., Morgan, J. A., and Newcomb, J. M.: Response of soil
  organic matter pools to elevated CO2 and warming in a semi-arid grassland, Plant Soil,
  347, 339-350, DOI 10.1007/s11104-011-0853-4, 2011.
- Cherwin, K. and Knapp, A.: Unexpected patterns of sensitivity to drought in three semi-arid
   grasslands, Oecologia, 169, 845-852, 2012.
- Chimner, R. A., Welker, J. M., Morgan, J., LeCain, D., and Reeder, J.: Experimental
  manipulations of winter snow and summer rain influence ecosystem carbon cycling in a
  mixed-grass prairie, Wyoming, USA, Ecohydrology, 3, 284-293, Doi 10.1002/Eco.106,
  2010.
- Ciais, P., Reichstein, M., Viovy, N., Granier, A., Ogée, J., Allard, V., Aubinet, M., Buchmann,
  N., Bernhofer, C., Carrara, A., Chevallier, F., De Noblet, N., Friend, A. D., Friedlingstein,
  P., Grünwald, T., Heinesch, B., Keronen, P., Knohl, A., Krinner, G., Loustau, D., Manca,
  G., Matteucci, G., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J. M., Papale, D., Pilegaard, K., Rambal, S.,
- Seufert, G., Soussana, J. F., Sanz, M. J., Schulze, E. D., Vesala, T., and Valentini, R.:
  Europe-wide reduction in primary productivity caused by the heat and drought in 2003,
  Nature, 437, 529-533, 2005.
- Dai, A. G.: Drought under global warming: a review, Wires Clim Change, 2, 45-65, Doi 10.1002/Wcc.81, 2011.
- Denison, F. and Loomis, B.: An integrative physiological model of alfalfa growth and
   development, UC ANR Publication 1926, Univ. California, Davis, 1989.
- Farquhar, G. D., von Caemmerer, S., and Berry, J. A.: A biochemical model of photosynthetic
   CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C3 species, Planta, 149, 78–90, 1980.

- Goulden, M. L., Miller, S. D., da Rocha, H. R., Menton, M. C., de Freitas, H. C., Figueira, A. M.
  E. S., and de Sousa, C. A. D.: Diel and seasonal patterns of tropical forest CO2 exchange, Ecol Appl, 14, S42-S54, 2004.
- 512 Granier, A., Reichstein, M., Breda, N., Janssens, I. A., Falge, E., Ciais, P., Grunwald, T.,
- Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Facini, O., Grassi, G., Heinesch,
  B., Ilvesniemi, H., Keronen, P., Knohl, A., Kostner, B., Lagergren, F., Lindroth, A.,
- 515 Longdoz, B., Loustau, D., Mateus, J., Montagnani, L., Nys, C., Moors, E., Papale, D.,
- 516 Peiffer, M., Pilegaard, K., Pita, G., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rebmann, C., Rodrigues,
- A., Seufert, G., Tenhunen, J., Vesala, I., and Wang, Q.: Evidence for soil water control on
  carbon and water dynamics in European forests during the extremely dry year: 2003, Agr
  Forest Meteorol, 143, 123-145, DOI 10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.12.004, 2007.
- Harper, C. W., Blair, J. M., Fay, P. A., Knapp, A. K., and Carlisle, J. D.: Increased rainfall
   variability and reduced rainfall amount decreases soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux in a grassland ecosystem,
   Glob. Change Biol., 11, 322-334, 2005.
- Heisler-White, J. L., Blair, J. M., Kelly, E. F., Harmoney, K., and Knapp, A. K.: Contingent
  productivity responses to more extreme rainfall regimes across a grassland biome, Global
  Change Biol, 15, 2894-2904, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01961.x, 2009.
- Hutyra, L. R., Munger, J. W., Saleska, S. R., Gottlieb, E., Daube, B. C., Dunn, A. L., Amaral, D.
  F., de Camargo, P. B., and Wofsy, S. C.: Seasonal controls on the exchange of carbon and water in an Amazonian rain forest, J Geophys Res-Biogeo, 112, Artn G03008Doi 10.1029/2006jg000365, 2007.
- Huxman, T. E., Smith, M. D., Fay, P. A., Knapp, A. K., Shaw, M. R., Loik, M. E., Smith, S. D.,
  Tissue, D. T., Zak, J. C., Weltzin, J. F., Pockman, W. T., Sala, O. E., Haddad, B. M.,
  Harte, J., Koch, G. W., Schwinning, S., Small, E. E., and Williams, D. G.: Convergence
  across biomes to a common rain-use efficiency, Nature, 429, 651-654, Doi
  10.1038/Nature02561, 2004.
- [IPCC] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2007. Climate change 2007: The physical
   science basis. Summary for policymakers, New York Cambridge University Press.
- Isbell, F., Reich, P. B., Tilman, D., Hobbie, S. E., Polasky, S., and Binder, S.: Nutrient
  enrichment, biodiversity loss, and consequent declines in ecosystem productivity, P Natl
  Acad Sci USA, 110, 11911-11916, DOI 10.1073/pnas.1310880110, 2013.
- Jentsch, A., Kreyling, J., Elmer, M., Gellesch, E., Glaser, B., Grant, K., Hein, R., Lara, M.,
  Mirzae, H., Nadler, S. E., Nagy, L., Otieno, D., Pritsch, K., Rascher, U., Schädler, M.,
  Schloter, M., Singh, B. K., Stadler, J., Walter, J., Wellstein, C., Wöllecke, J., and
  Beierkuhnlein, C.: Climate extremes initiate ecosystem-regulating functions while
  maintaining productivity, J. Ecol., 99, 689–702, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01817.x,
  2011.
- Johnson, D., Vachon, J., Britton, A. J., and Helliwell, R. C.: Drought alters carbon fluxes in
  alpine snowbed ecosystems through contrasting impacts on graminoids and forbs, New
  Phytol, 190, 740-749, DOI 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03613.x, 2011.
- Jongen, M., Pereira, J. S., Aires, L. M. I., and Pio, C. A.: The effects of drought and timing of
  precipitation on the inter-annual variation in ecosystem-atmosphere exchange in a
  Mediterranean grassland, Agr Forest Meteorol, 151, 595-606, DOI
  10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.01.008, 2011.
  - 23

- Kerhoulas, L. P., Kolb, T. E., and Koch, G. W.: Tree size, stand density, and the source of water
  used across seasons by ponderosa pine in northern Arizona, Forest Ecol Manag, 289,
  425-433, DOI 10.1016/j.foreco.2012.10.036, 2013.
- Kljun, N., Black, T. A., Griffis, T. J., Barr, A. G., Gaumont-Guay, D., Morgenstern, K.,
  McCaughey, J. H., and Nesic, Z.: Response of net ecosystem productivity of three boreal
  forest stands to drought, Ecosystems, 9, 1128-1144, DOI 10.1007/s10021-005-0082-x,
  2006.
- Knapp, A. K., Burns, C. E., Fynn, R. W., Kirkman, K. P., Morris, C. D., and Smith, M. D.:
  Convergence and contingency in production–precipitation relationships in North
  American and South African C 4 grasslands, Oecologia, 149, 456-464, 2006.
- Knapp, A. K., Fay, P. A., Blair, J. M., Collins, S. L., Smith, M. D., Carlisle, J. D., Harper, C. W.,
  Danner, B. T., Lett, M. S., and McCarron, J. K.: Rainfall variability, carbon cycling, and
  plant species diversity in a mesic grassland, Science, 298, 2202-2205, DOI
  10.1126/science.1076347, 2002.
- Kolb, T., Dore, S., and Montes-Helu, M.: Extreme late-summer drought causes neutral annual
  carbon balance in southwestern ponderosa pine forests and grasslands, Environ Res Lett,
  8, Artn 015015Doi 10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/015015, 2013.
- Kosugi, Y., Tanaka, H., Takanashi, S., Matsuo, N., Ohte, N., Shibata, S., and Tani, M.: Three
  years of carbon and energy fluxes from Japanese evergreen broad-leaved forest, Agr
  Forest Meteorol, 132, 329-343, DOI 10.1016/j.agrformet.2005.08.010, 2005.
- Liu, S. G., Bond-Lamberty, B., Hicke, J. A., Vargas, R., Zhao, S. Q., Chen, J., Edburg, S. L., Hu,
  Y. M., Liu, J. X., McGuire, A. D., Xiao, J. F., Keane, R., Yuan, W. P., Tang, J. W., Luo,
  Y. Q., Potter, C., and Oeding, J.: Simulating the impacts of disturbances on forest carbon
  cycling in North America: Processes, data, models, and challenges, J. Geophys. Res.Biogeosci., 116, Artn G00k08 Doi 10.1029/2010jg001585, 2011.
- Luo, Y., Gerten, D., Le Maire, G., Parton, W. J., Weng, E., Zhou, X., Keough, C., Beier, C.,
  Ciais, P., Cramer, W., Dukes, J. S., Emmett, B., Hanson, P. J., Knapp, A., Linder, S.,
  Nepstad, D., and Rustad, L.: Modeled interactive effects of precipitation, temperature,
  and [CO<sub>2</sub>] on ecosystem carbon and water dynamics in different climatic zones. Glob.
  Change Biol., 14: 1986–1999, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01629.x, 2008.
- Luo, Y., Melillo, J., Niu, S., Beier, C., Clark, J. S., Classen, A. T., Davidson, E., Dukes, J. S.,
  Evans, R. D., Field, C. B., Czimczik, C. I., Keller, M., Kimball, B. A., Kueppers, L. M.,
  Norby, R. J., Pelini, S. L., Pendall, E., Rastetter, E., Six, J., Smith, M., Tjoelker, M. G.,
  and Torn, M. S.: Coordinated approaches to quantify long-term ecosystem dynamics in
  response to global change. Glob. Change Biol., 17: 843–854, doi: 10.1111/j.13652486.2010.02265.x, 2011.
- Luo, Y. and Reynolds, J. F.: Validity of extrapolating field CO<sub>2</sub> experiments to predict carbon
   sequestration in natural ecosystems, Ecology, 80, 1568–1583, 1999.
- Luo, Y. Q., and Weng, E. S.: Dynamic disequilibrium of the terrestrial carbon cycle under global
   change, Trends Ecol Evol, 26, 96-104, DOI 10.1016/j.tree.2010.11.003, 2011.
- Luo, Y. and Zhou, X.: Soil respiration and the environment, Academic press, 2006.
- McDowell, N., Pockman, W. T., Allen, C. D., Breshears, D. D., Cobb, N., Kolb, T., Plaut, J.,
  Sperry, J., West, A., Williams, D. G., and Yepez, E. A.: Mechanisms of plant survival
  and mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while others succumb to
  drought?, New Phytol, 178, 719-739, DOI 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02436.x, 2008.

598 McDowell, N. G., Fisher, R. A., Xu, C., Domec, J. C., Hölttä, T., Mackay, D. S., Sperry, J. S., 599 Boutz, A., Dickman, L., Gehres, N., Limousin, J. M., Macalady, A., Martínez-Vilalta, J., Mencuccini, M., Plaut, J. A., Ogée, J., Pangle, R. E., Rasse, D. P., Ryan, M. G., Sevanto, 600 601 S., Waring, R. H., Williams, A. P., Yepez, E. A., and Pockman, W. T.: Evaluating theories of drought-induced vegetation mortality using a multimodel-experiment 602 603 framework, New Phytol, 200, 304-321, 10.1111/nph.12465, 2013. Misson, L., Rocheteau, A., Rambal, S., Ourcival, J. M., Limousin, J. M., and Rodriguez, R.: 604 605 Functional changes in the control of carbon fluxes after 3 years of increased drought in a Mediterranean evergreen forest?, Glob. Change Biol., 16: 2461-2475, 606 607 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02121.x, 2010. Muldavin, E. H., Moore, D. I., Collins, S. L., Wetherill, K. R., and Lightfoot, D. C.: 608 Aboveground net primary production dynamics in a northern Chihuahuan Desert 609 ecosystem, Oecologia, 155, 123-132, DOI 10.1007/s00442-007-0880-2, 2008. 610 Nagy, Z., Pinter, K., Czobel, S., Balogh, J., Horvath, L., Foti, S., Barcza, Z., Weidinger, T., 611 Csintalan, Z., Dinh, N. Q., Grosz, B., and Tuba, Z.: The carbon budget of semi-arid 612 grassland in a wet and a dry year in Hungary, Agr Ecosyst Environ, 121, 21-29, DOI 613 10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.003, 2007. 614 Noormets, A., McNulty, S. G., DeForest, J. L., Sun, G., Li, Q., and Chen, J.: Drought during 615 canopy development has lasting effect on annual carbon balance in a deciduous temperate 616 forest, New Phytol, 179, 818-828, DOI 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02501.x, 2008. 617 Noy-Meir, I.: Desert ecosystems: Environment and producers, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. S., 4, 25-618 51, 1973. 619 Paruelo, J. M., Lauenroth, W. K., Burke, I. C., and Sala, O. E.: Grassland precipitation-use 620 efficiency varies across a resource gradient, Ecosystems, 2, 64-68, DOI 621 10.1007/s100219900058, 1999. 622 623 Pereira, J. S., Mateus, J. A., Aires, L. M., Pita, G., Pio, C., David, J. S., Andrade, V., Banza, J., David, T. S., Paco, T. A., and Rodrigues, A.: Net ecosystem carbon exchange in three 624 contrasting Mediterranean ecosystems - the effect of drought, Biogeosciences, 4, 791-802, 625 2007. 626 Potts, D. L., Suding, K. N., Winston, G. C., Rocha, A. V., and Goulden, M. L.: Ecological effects 627 of experimental drought and prescribed fire in a southern California coastal grassland, J 628 629 Arid Environ, 81, 59-66, DOI 10.1016/j.jaridenv.2012.01.007, 2012. Reichstein, M., Bahn, M., Ciais, P., Frank, D., Mahecha, M. D., Seneviratne, S. I., Zscheischler, 630 J., Beer, C., Buchmann, N., Frank, D. C., Papale, D., Rammig, A., Smith, P., Thonicke, 631 K., van der Velde, M., Vicca, S., Walz, A., and Wattenbach, M.: Climate extremes and 632 the carbon cycle, Nature, 500, 287-295, Doi 10.1038/Nature12350, 2013. 633 Reichstein, M., Tenhunen, J. D., Roupsard, O., Ourcival, J.-m., Rambal, S., Miglietta, F., 634 Peressotti, A., Pecchiari, M., Tirone, G. and Valentini, R.: Severe drought effects on 635 ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O fluxes at three Mediterranean evergreen sites: revision of current 636 hypotheses?, Glob. Change Biol., 8: 999–1017, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2002.00530.x, 637 2002. 638 639 Sala, O. E., Parton, W. J., Joyce, L. A., and Lauenroth, W. K.: Primary production of the central grassland region of the United-States, Ecology, 69, 40-45, Doi 10.2307/1943158, 1988. 640 Saleska, S. R., Miller, S. D., Matross, D. M., Goulden, M. L., Wofsy, S. C., da Rocha, H. R., de 641 Camargo, P. B., Crill, P., Daube, B. C., de Freitas, H. C., Hutyra, L., Keller, M., 642 Kirchhoff, V., Menton, M., Munger, J. W., Pyle, E. H., Rice, A. H., and Silva, H.: Carbon 643

644 in amazon forests: Unexpected seasonal fluxes and disturbance-induced losses, Science, 645 302, 1554-1557, DOI 10.1126/science.1091165, 2003. Schwalm, C. R., Williams, C. A., Schaefer, K., Arneth, A., Bonal, D., Buchmann, N., Chen, J., 646 647 Law, B. E., Lindroth, A., Luyssaert, S., Reichstein, M., and Richardson, A. D.: Assimilation exceeds respiration sensitivity to drought: A FLUXNET synthesis, Glob. 648 Change Biol., 16: 657–670, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01991.x, 2010. 649 Schwalm, C. R., Williams, C. A., Schaefer, K., Baldocchi, D., Black, T. A., Goldstein, A. H., 650 651 Law, B. E., Oechel, W. C., Paw U, K. T., and Scott, R. L.: Reduction in carbon uptake during turn of the century drought in western North America, Nat. Geosci., 5, 551-556, 652 653 2012. Scott, R. L., Hamerlynck, E. P., Jenerette, G. D., Moran, M. S., and Barron-Gafford, G. A.: 654 Carbon dioxide exchange in a semidesert grassland through drought-induced vegetation 655 change, J Geophys Res-Biogeo, 115, Artn G03026 Doi 10.1029/2010jg001348, 2010. 656 657 Smith, M. D.: An ecological perspective on extreme climatic events: a synthetic definition and framework to guide future research, J Ecol, 99, 656-663, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-658 659 2745.2011.01798.x, 2011. 660 Smith, M. D., Knapp, A. K., and Collins, S. L.: A framework for assessing ecosystem dynamics in response to chronic resource alterations induced by global change, Ecology, 90, 3279-661 3289, Doi 10.1890/08-1815.1, 2009. 662 Talmon, Y., Sternberg, M., and Grunzweig, J. M.: Impact of rainfall manipulations and biotic 663 controls on soil respiration in Mediterranean and desert ecosystems along an aridity 664 gradient, Global Change Biol, 17, 1108-1118, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02285.x, 665 2011. 666 Thomey, M. L., Collins, S. L., Vargas, R., Johnson, J. E., Brown, R. F., Natvig, D. O., and 667 Friggens, M. T.: Effect of precipitation variability on net primary production and soil 668 669 respiration in a Chihuahuan Desert grassland, Global Change Biol, 17, 1505-1515, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02363.x, 2011. 670 Vargas, R., Baldocchi, D. D., Allen, M. F., Bahn, M., Black, A., Collins, S. L., Yuste, J. C., 671 Hirano, T., Jassal, R. S. Pumpanen, J., and Tang, J.: Looking deeper into the soil: 672 environmental controls and seasonal lags of soil CO<sub>2</sub> production and efflux across four 673 vegetation types, Ecol Appl, 20, 1569-1582, 2010. 674 Vargas, R., Collins, S. L., Thomey, M. L., Johnson, J. E., Brown, R. F., Natvig, D. O., and 675 Friggens, M. T.: Precipitation variability and fire influence the temporal dynamics of soil 676 CO2 efflux in an arid grassland, Global Change Biol, 18, 1401-1411, DOI 677 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02628.x, 2012. 678 Wang, Y. P. and Leuning R.: A two-leaf model for canopy conductance, photosynthesis and 679 partitioning of available energy, part I: Model description and comparison with a multi-680 layered model, Agric. Forest Meteorol., 91, 89-111, 1998. 681 Welp, L. R., Randerson, J. T., and Liu, H. P.: The sensitivity of carbon fluxes to spring warming 682 and summer drought depends on plant functional type in boreal forest ecosystems, Agr 683 Forest Meteorol, 147, 172-185, DOI 10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.07.010, 2007. 684 Weng, E., and Luo Y.: Soil hydrological properties regulate grassland ecosystem responses to 685 multifactor global change: A modeling analysis, J. Geophys. Res., 113, G03003, 2008. 686 Wolf, S., Eugster, W., Potvin, C., Turner, B. L., and Buchmann, N.: Carbon sequestration 687 potential of tropical pasture compared with afforestation in Panama, Global Change Biol, 688 17, 2763-2780, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02460.x, 2011. 689

- Wu, Z. T., Koch, G. W., Dijkstra, P., Bowker, M. A., and Hungate, B. A.: Responses of
   ecosystem carbon cycling to climate change treatments along an elevation gradient,
   Ecosystems, 14, 1066-1080, DOI 10.1007/s10021-011-9464-4, 2011.
- Ku, C., McDowell, N. G., Sevanto, S., and Fisher, R. A.: Our limited ability to predict vegetation
   dynamics under water stress, New Phytol, 200, 298-300, 10.1111/nph.12450, 2013.
- Yahdjian L. and Sala O. E.: Vegetation structure constrains primary production response to water
   availability in the Patagonian steppe, Ecology, 87: 952-962, 2006.
- Yang, F. L., and Zhou, G. S.: Sensitivity of temperate desert steppe carbon exchange to seasonal
   droughts and precipitation variations in Inner Mongolia, China, Plos One, 8, ARTN
   e55418 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0055418, 2013.
- Zhang, Y. P., Tan, Z. H., Song, Q. H., Yu, G. R., and Sun, X. M.: Respiration controls the unexpected seasonal pattern of carbon flux in an Asian tropical rain forest, Atmos Environ, 44, 3886-3893, DOI 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.07.027, 2010.

- 704 **Table 1** Key climate, plant, and soil characteristics of four grassland ecosystem types located
- vithin the US America Great Plains

	Konza	Hays	Cheyenne	Sevilleta
Latitude	39°05′N	38°53′N	41°11′N	34°20′N
Longitude	96°35′W	99°23′W	104°54′W	106°43′W
Grassland Type	Tallgrass	Southern mixed-grass	Northern mixed-grass	Desert/shortgrass
MAT (°C)	12.9	12.0	7.6	13.3
MAP (mm)	860	577	384	242
Soil Texture	Silty Clay Loam <sup>1</sup>	Silty Clay Loam <sup>1</sup>	Fine-loamy <sup>2</sup>	Sandy Loam <sup>3</sup>

1: Heislter-White et al., 2009; 2: Carrillo et al., 2011; 3: Muldavin et al., 2008;

# **Table 2** Comparisons between modeled and measured aboveground net primary production

# 708 (ANPP) and soil respiration (Rs).

	ANPP(g m <sup>-2</sup>	)	Monsoon Rs* (g C m <sup>-2</sup> )		
	Observed Modeled		Observed	Modeled	
Konza	461 (134) <sup>a</sup>	488 (38)	-	-	
Hays	$300(-)^{b}$	342 (46)	-	-	
Cheyenne	$130(25)^{c}$	163 (15)	-	-	
Sevilleta	$140(3)^{d}$	165 (2)	$63(3)^{\rm e}$	81 (8)	

a: mean ANPP from 1984-1998 (Knapp et al., 2006); b: Long term mean ANPP (Heisler-White

et al., 2009); c: PHACE measurement (Personal communication); d: average in 2007 and 2008

711 (Thomey et al., 2011); e: average in 2007 and 2008 (Vargas et al., 2012). Values in the

parentheses are standard errors across years. '-' mean that values were not available. '\*'

monsoon Rs is cumulative soil respiration during monsoon season from July through September

714 in Sevilleta desert grassland.

**Table 3** Synthesis of published studies in differential responses of GPP and ER to extreme seasonal drought in tropical rainforest

Site	Biome type	Results	Mechanisms	Reference	Note
Tapajos km83,	Amazonian rain	Seasonal drought did not impact	Deep rooting depth buffered drought	Saleska et al., 2003;	Eddy
Brazil	forest	GPP, but decreased ER	stress on GPP	Goulden et al., 2004	flux
Tapajos km67, Brazil	Amazonian rain forest	Seasonal drought reduced GPP less than ER	Adequate water supply for photosynthesis during dry season	Hutyra et al., 2007	Eddy flux
French Guiana, South America	Neotropical rainforest	Seasonal drought increased GPP, but reduced ER	Drought-associated higher incident radiation increased GPP	Bonal et al., 2008	Eddy flux
Sardinilla, Panama	Plantation	Seasonal drought reduced both GPP and ER by similar amount	Deep rooting depth buffered drought stress on GPP	Wolf et al., 2011	Eddy flux
Xishuangbanna, China	Tropical rain forest	Seasonal drought reduced less on GPP than on ER	Deep rooting depth alleviated drought stress on GPP	Zhang et al., 2010	Eddy flux

#### 718 **Figure legends**

Figure 1 Comparisons between observed daily net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange (NEE) from eddy
flux data and modeled daily NEE in Konza tallgrass prairie and Sevilleta desert grassland from
2007 to 2010. Open black circles represent observed daily NEE. Black solid lines represent
modeled daily NEE.

**Figure 2** Synthesized published observational and experimental results on sensitivity of gross primary production (GPP) and ecosystem respiration (ER) to drought severity (a) and to drought in different ecosystem types (b). The ecosystems were divided into grassland, evergreen needleleaf forest (ENF), broad-leaf forest (BF) and woody savannahs (WS). One open shrubland, one oak woodland and one pine woodland were not included into the data analysis due to limited sample size. Numbers represent the number of studies included, \*\* represents significant (P < 0.05) difference and \* represents marginally significant difference (P < 0.1).

Figure 3 Drought-induced reductions in net primary production (NPP), heterotrophic respiration
(Rh), NEE and soil C content along modeled years in four North American grasslands (Konza: a,
e, i and m; Hays: b, f, j and n; Cheyenne: c, g, k and o; Sevilleta: d, h, l and p). ESR is rainfall
event size reduction and REN is reduced rainfall event number. Figures a-d show reduction in
NPP and Rh under ESR. Figures e-h show reduction in NPP and Rh under REN. Figures 3i-1
show reduction in NEE and Figures m- p showed reduction in soil C content.

Figure 4 Relationships between annual precipitation and annual C fluxes under the three rainfall
scenarios (AMB: ambient rainfall; ESR: rainfall event size reduction; REN: reduced event

number) in four North American grasslands (Konza: a, e and i; Hays: b, f and j; Cheyenne: c, g

and k; Sevilleta: d, h and l). Figures a-d show relationship between precipitation and NPP.

Figures e-h show relationship between precipitation and Rh. Figures i-l show relationshipbetween precipitation and NEE.

Figure 5 Relationships between annual precipitation and drought-induced relative reduction
(calculated as absolute change caused by drought divided by NPP under the ambient condition)
in NPP in four North American grasslands (Konza: a; Hays: b; Cheyenne: c; Sevilleta: d). Open
circles represent ESR treatment. Solid circles represent REN treatment.

**Figure 6** Relationships between drought-induced relative reduction (calculated as absolute

change caused by drought divided by soil C under the ambient condition) in soil C content and

relative reduction (calculated as absolute change caused by drought divided by Rh under the

ambient condition) in Rh in four North American grasslands (Konza: a; Hays: b; Cheyenne: c;

750 Sevilleta: d). Open circles represent ESR treatment. Solid circles represent REN treatment.

751 Figure7 Relative contributions to drought sensitivity of Rh from reductions in soil C content,

NPP and soil water content under both rainfall reduction treatments in four North American

753 grasslands (Konza: a and b; Hays: c and d; Cheyenne: e and f; Sevilleta: g and h). Solid line

represents contribution from reduction in soil C, dotted line represents contribution from

reduction in NPP and dashed line represents contribution from reduction in soil water content.

756





**Fig. 1** Comparisons between observed daily NEE from eddy flux data and modeled daily NEE in

Konza tallgrass prairie (a) and Sevilleta desert grassland (b) from 2007 to 2010. Overall, the

761 TECO model can reproduce observed NEE.



**Fig. 2** Synthesized published observational and experimental results on sensitivity of gross primary production (GPP) and ecosystem respiration (ER) to drought severity (a) and to drought in different ecosystem types (b). The ecosystems were divided into grassland, evergreen needleleaf forest (ENF), broad-leaf forest (BF) and woody savannahs (WS). One open shrubland, one oak woodland and one pine woodland were not included into the data analysis due to limited sample size. Numbers represent the number of studies included, \*\* represents significant (P < 0.05) difference and \* represents marginally significant difference (P< 0.1).



Fig. 3 Drought-induced reductions in modeled annual NPP, Rh, NEE and soil C content over
time in four North American grasslands (Konza: a, e, i and m; Hays: b, f, j and n; Cheyenne: c, g,
k and o; Sevilleta: d, h, l and p). Absolute reduction in NEE was calculated as the difference in
NEE between drought treatments and ambient condition. Relative reduction in NPP, Rh and soil
C content were presented and calculated as absolute reduction divided by ambient condition.
Differential effects of long-term droughts diminish over time as soil C content decreases.



Fig. 4 Relationships between annual rainfall and annual C fluxes under the three rainfall
scenarios (AMB: ambient rainfall; ESR: rainfall event size reduction; REN: reduced event
number) in four North American grasslands (Konza: a, e and i; Hays: b, f and j; Cheyenne: c, g
and k; Sevilleta: d, h and l). Annual rainfall determined grassland ecosystem carbon fluxes.



Fig. 5 Relationships between annual rainfall and drought-induced relative reduction in NPP in
four North American grasslands (Konza: a; Hays: b; Cheyenne: c; Sevilleta: d). Open circles
represent ESR treatment. Solid circles represent REN treatment. Variation in drought-induced
relative reduction in NPP was significantly related to annual precipitation for all but the northern
mixed grass site (Cheyenne).



Fig. 6 Relationships between drought-induced relative reduction in soil C content and relative
reduction in Rh in four North American grasslands (Konza: a; Hays: b; Cheyenne: c; Sevilleta:
d). Open circles represent ESR treatment. Solid circles represent REN treatment. Droughtinduced reductions in soil C were significantly and positively related to Rh reduction.



Fig. 7 Relative contributions to drought sensitivity of Rh from reductions in soil C content, NPP
and soil water content under both rainfall reduction treatments in four North American
grasslands (Konza: a and b; Hays: c and d; Cheyenne: e and f; Sevilleta: g and h). Solid line
represents contribution from reduction in soil C, dotted line represents contribution from
reduction in NPP and dashed line represents contribution from reduction in soil water content.

# 802 Supporting information

803

804	Figure S1 Drought-induced reductions in NPP and Rh along modeled years in four North
805	American grasslands (Konza: a, e, i and m; Hays: b, f, j and n; Cheyenne: c, g, k and o; Sevilleta:
806	d, h, l and p). ESR is rainfall event size reduction and REN is reduced rainfall event number.
807	Solid line represents ESR treatment and dash line represents REN treatment.
808 809	Figure S2 Drought-induced reductions in GPP and ER along modeled years in four North

- 810 American grasslands (Konza: a and e; Hays: b and f; Cheyenne: c and g; Sevilleta: d and h). ESR
- 811 is rainfall event size reduction and REN is reduced rainfall event number. Solid line represents
- 812 GPP and dash line represents ER.

# **Table S1** Literature review of differential responses of production and respiration to drought in field observations and manipulative

# 815 experiments across different biomes

0	1	-
ŏ	Т	/

Site	Biome type	Results	Mechanisms	Reference	Note
European forests and one grassland	Pine, oak, beech, spruce, fir, juniper, and grassland	In most sites, extreme drought induced more reduction in GPP than ER except a few Mediterranean ecosystems where the drought effect was smaller for GPP than ER	Not specified	Cias et al., 2005	Eddy flux
East coast of japan	Temperate evergreen and deciduous broadleaved tree	GPP was reduced more than ER	Not specified	Kosugi et al., 2005	Eddy flux
Saskatchewan Canada	Southern boreal forests including aspen, spruce and jack pine	In aspen, first-year drought suppressed ER, but enhanced GPP whereas second- and third-year drought reduced GPP and ER with more reduction in GPP; In spruce and jack pine forests, drought did not significant affected GPP and ER	The enhanced GPP in aspen was due to warmer spring in that year; the lack of response to drought in the two coniferous forests was because of summer rainfall, low topographic position and low soil water holding canacity	Kljun et al., 2006	Eddy flux
European forests	Beech, Douglas-fir, Scots pine, Spruce, mixed coniferous	Drought inhibited GPP greater than ER	Not specified	Granier et al., 2007	Eddy flux
Hungaria	Semi-arid sandy grassland	Drought is more effective in reducing plant CO2 uptake than in reducing ER	Uncoupled heterotrophic respiration to photosynthesis is more resistant to drought	Nagy et al., 2007	Eddy flux
Southern Portugal	Evergreen oak woodland, grassland, and eucalyptus plantation	Severe drought affected more GPP than ER	Not specified	Pereira et al., 2007	Eddy flux

Interior Alaska, USA	Black spruce and aspen	GPP was reduced in the two forests, but ER increased	Drought-associated temperature increase might cause ER to rise	Welp et al., 2007	Eddy flux
Northwest Ohio, USA	Oak and red maple	Greater suppression of GPP than of ER by drought	Drought caused lower leaf area, lower apparent quantum yield and lower canopy conductance	Noormets et al., 2008	Eddy flux
Global network of eddy flux towers	Grassland, forest, shrubland, wetland, savannas	Overall, production is 50% more sensitive than respiration to drought, with a few exceptions	Not specified	Schwalm et al., 2010	Eddy flux
Kendall grassland, USA	Semi-desert grassland	Drought reduced more gpp than ER	Not specified	Scott et al., 2010	Eddy flux
Southern Portugal	semi-natural Mediterranean grassland	Drought reduced GPP more than ER	Not specified	Jongen et al., 2011	Eddy flux
Western North America	Grassland, evergreen needle forest (ENF), woody sayannas	GPP of grassland and ENF were more sensitive to drought, whereas in woody savanas GPP was less sensitive	Not specified	Schwalm et al., 2012	Eddy flux
Inner-Mongolia, China	Arid grassland	GPP was more sensitive to seasonal drought than ER	None but suggested drought might have lasted longer for assimilation than respiration in this ecosystem	Yang and Zhou, 2013	Eddy flux
Southwestern US	Ponderosa pine and grassland	GPP was more sensitive to summer drought than ER in the forest, but less sensitive in the grassland/shruhland	Not specified	Kolb et al., 2013	Eddy flux
France	Mediterranean evergreen oak forest	Drought inhibited GPP greater than ER	Shallow soil water content was not strongly affected by drought and thus soil respiration was less affected than GPP	Misson et al., 2010	Manipulative experiment
Wyoming, USA	High Plains Grassland	GPP was more sensitive to reduced rainfall than ER	Not specified	Chimner et al., 2010	Manipulative experiments
Northern Arizona	Desert grassland, pinyon-juniper, ponderosa pine forest, mixed conifer forest	Precipitation reduction did not impact both GPP and ER	Not specified	Wu et al., 2011	Manipulative experiments
Cairngorms, Scotland	Grassland	Drought reduced more reduction in GPP than in ER	Not specified	Johnson et al., 2011	Manipulative experiment
Southern California	Coastal grassland	Imposed drought reduced GPP more than ER	Not specified	Potts et al., 2012	Manipulative experiment

- 818 Table S2 Results (p values) of paired-sample T test in reduction of C variable ( $\Delta$ NPP,  $\Delta$ Rh,
- 819  $\triangle NEE, \triangle Soil C$ ) between the two drought types (even size reduction and reduced event number)

Sites	Konza	Hays	Cheyenne	Sevilleta
NPP	0	0	0	0
Rh	0	0	0	0
NEE	0	0.044	0.098	0.412
Soil C	0	0	0	0

820 in the four grassland sites

- Table S3 Slopes of the linear regression between rainfall and C variables (NPP, Rh, and NEE) in
- 823 each of three rainfall scenarios, and the significance (p) in slope difference between ambient and

	Sites	Konza		Hays		Cheyenne		Sevilleta	
	Rainfall scenarios	Slopes	р	Slopes	р	Slopes	р	Slopes	р
Rainfall vs. NPP	Ambient	0.16	-	0.27	-	0.15	-	0.24	-
	ESR	0.46	0.002	0.48	0.0016	0.23	0.0646	0.75	<.0001
	REN	0.55	0.0001	0.5	0.0011	0.23	0.0858	0.46	<.0001
Rainfall vs. Rh	Ambient	0.04	-	0.06	-	0.04	-	0.07	-
	ESR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	REN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rainfall vs. NEE	Ambient	-0.14	-	-0.25	-	-0.12	-	-0.21	-
	ESR	-0.56	0.0005	-0.45	0.0076	-0.23	0.0443	-0.85	<.0001
	REN	-0.63	<.0001	-0.48	0.0028	-0.23	0.0309	-0.55	0.001

rainfall treatments. "-" means not applicable.



Figure S1 Drought-induced reductions in NPP and Rh along modeled years in four North

American grasslands (Konza: a, e, i and m; Hays: b, f, j and n; Cheyenne: c, g, k and o; Sevilleta:

d, h, l and p). ESR is rainfall event size reduction and REN is reduced rainfall event number.

831 Solid line represents ESR treatment and dash line represents REN treatment.



Figure S2 Drought-induced reductions in GPP and ER along modeled years in four North
American grasslands (Konza: a and e; Hays: b and f; Cheyenne: c and g; Sevilleta: d and h). ESR
is rainfall event size reduction and REN is reduced rainfall event number. Solid line represents
GPP and dash line represents ER.