Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, C7307–C7308, 2013 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/C7307/2013/

© Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



**BGD** 

10, C7307-C7308, 2013

Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "Livestock exclosure with consequent vegetation changes alters photo-assimilated carbon cycling in a *Kobresia* meadow" by J. Zou et al.

## **Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 14 December 2013

<Livestock exclosure with consequent vegetation changes alters photo-assimilated carbon cycling in a Kobresia meadow>

This manuscript addressed the effects of livestock exclosure on (1) species composition and structure of plant community, and (2) ecosystem functioning, as reflected by plant aboveground productivity, and C partitioning using C isotope pulse labeling technique. In general, this study could be valuable toward better understandings of C process in alpine grassland, but I believe it needs further measurements to make the conclusions more robust. My major concern is the experiment design.

As the authors mentioned, the effects of exclosure on grassland are controversial,

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



some of these uncertainties arise from grazing intensity, vegetation type, and the specific environment. In the current study, 4 quadrates within and 4 quadrates outside a fence in the research station were used for all the community and functioning measurement. From a statistical point of view, this comparison only reflects the variations in this specific site, and cannot be extended to other site. In short, the design of the current study lacks true replication between sites, which makes the conclusion very weak.

Secondly, the authors state "At the experimental site, a total area of 100×100m was fenced for ecological research in 2005 to exclude yaks, sheep and goats." I do not believe this is true. I have ever visited the station; it seems the fenced area is inside the observatory area of the station, 30-by-30 m at largest. I do not know why the authors expanded the fenced area in the manuscript, probably also related to this pseudoreplication.

Thirdly, studies related to grazing effect also need consider the grazing intensity.

Therefore, the current manuscript at the present status cannot answer their research questions efficiently, a revised version with more measurements could make it publishable in Biogeosciences.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 17633, 2013.

## **BGD**

10, C7307-C7308, 2013

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

