

Interactive comment on “Microhabitat and shrimp abundance within a Norwegian cold-water coral ecosystem” by A. Purser et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 5 April 2013

General comments

The use of the term “habitat” is used a bit sloppy in this MS. It is unclear whether a “live *Paragorgia arborea* habitat” is the habitat where *P. arborea* occur or it is the microhabitat of live *Paragorgia* as opposed to dead *Paragorgia*. This must be tightened up throughout the manuscript.

The use of references illustrates an incomplete knowledge to the topic. Below is a list of references that should be considered. Especially important are the papers documenting shrimp species that have been recorded on corals in the northeast Atlantic: Burdon-Jones & Tambs-Lyche (1960), Dons (1944), Jensen & Frederiksen (1992) (all summarised in: Mortensen & Fosså 2006) and Buhl-Mortensen & Mortensen (2005). The lack of knowledge is special evident on page 3368, line 24-26: “Shrimp species re-

C786

ported from Norwegian reefs include *Pandalus borealis*, *Pandalus montagui*, *Pandalus propinquus* and *Caridion gordonii* (Hopkins and Nilssen, 1990; Jonsson et al., 2004; Buhl-Mortensen and Mortensen, 2004b). The only original paper describing the associated fauna of *Lophelia* is Johnsen et al. (2004) where Pandalid shrimps are reported as three species, including the commercial shrimp *Pandalus borealis* lumped together as *Pandalus* spp. None of the thorough papers dealing with associated macrofauna of Norwegian *Lophelia* reefs are referred to (Burdon-Jones & Tambs-Lyche 1960, Dons 1944, Mortensen & Fosså 2006). To my knowledge Hopkins and Nilssen have not documented shrimps on corals. The shrimps that for sure have been documented on Norwegian reefs are: *Caridion gordonii*, *Pandalus propinquus*, *Eualus gaimardii*, *Eualus pusiolus*, *Lebbeus polaris*, *Pandalus montagui*, *Spirontocaris liljeborgii*. *Pandalus borealis* is a shrimp that inhabit muddy habitats. *Pandalus montagui* seems to have a broader habitat range including soft bottoms and *Sabellaria* reefs. None of the other six species support commercial fisheries.

This is a wrong background, and the last sentence of the introduction (page 3369, line 14-18) falls apart: “It has been hypothesised that CWC reef ecosystems are highly important refuges for some commercial juvenile fish species (Husebø et al., 2002; Ballion et al., 2012) and that these reefs could also play a role in the lifecycle of other mobile commercial fauna, such as some species of shrimp.” I don’t know any publications that suggest that the corals could be important for commercial shrimp.

Read up on the topic and re-write the introduction, as well the rest of the manuscript, with respect to what is known about shrimp species and CWC.

The aim of the study is summarized in two hypotheses that are very similar and not clear. If one hypothesis deals with spatial scale, and the other with habitat type, then state it clearer.

The discussion needs to be revised in accordance with the general comments given here.

C787

Specific comments

Abstract:

Introduce the abbreviation CVC in the first line in parentheses after "Cold-water coral".
Lines 16-18: Skip the term "habitat" here,. It is sufficient to say "densities were observed in association with live *Paragorgia arborea* . . ., live *Primnoa resedaeformis* . . ., and live *Lophelia pertusa*."

Introduction:

Page 3366, line 24-25: add more relevant references from Norway.

Page 3367, line 17 and page 3379 line 23: Kreiger and Wing, 2002; should be Krieger and Wing, 2002.

Page 3367, line 18: Add reference to Mortensen & Fosså (2006).

Page 3367, line 26: Add reference to Mortensen et al (1995) for reference to these species in Norwegian waters.

Page 3367, line 28: Change: "often comprising a number of branched arms draped across or close to the underlying substrate" to "often comprising a number of branches across or close to the underlying substrate".

Page 3368, line 5: Add reference to Mortensen et al. (2000).

Methods

Page 3369, Line 21: "The Røst reef complex, Norway, one of the most extensive in Norwegian waters" This is actually the largest know reef complex in the world. Change!
Line 23 and 24: "Permission to conduct video surveys of the reef complex was granted by the Institute of Marine Research (IMR), Norway." The IMR does not grant permission. Change to "Permission to conduct video surveys of the reef complex was granted by Norwegian authorities", or delete. I am not sure whether it is The Norwegian Fish-

C788

eries Directorate or the Ministry of Fisheries and Coastal Affairs that formally give such permissions.

Page 3370, Line 9: "video-sled": describe it briefly, or refer to a publication describing it.

Some additional references to consider

Buhl-Mortensen, L. & P.B. Mortensen 2005. Distribution and diversity of species Associated with Deep-sea gorgonian corals off Atlantic Canada. Pp 849-879 in Freiwald A, Roberts JM (eds). Cold-water Corals and Ecosystems. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1244pp.

Burdon-Jones, C. & H. Tambs-Lyche 1960. Observations on the fauna of the North Brattholmen stone-coral reef near Bergen. - Årbok for Universitetet i Bergen, Matematisk-naturvitenskaplig Serie. 1960 (4):1-24.

Dons, C. 1944. Norges korallrev. - Det Kongelige Norske Videnskabers Selskabs Forhandlingler 16:37-82.

Jensen, A. & R. Frederiksen 1992. The fauna associated with the bank-forming deep-water coral *Lophelia pertusa* (Scleractinaria) on the Faroe shelf. - *Sarsia* 77:53-69.

Mortensen, P.B., M. Hovland, T. Brattgard & R. Farestveit 1995. Deep water bioherms of the scleractinian coral *Lophelia pertusa* (L.) at 64° N on the Norwegian shelf: structure and associated megafauna. - *Sarsia* 80: 145-158.

Mortensen P.B., J.M. Roberts & R.C. Sundt 2000. Video-assisted grabbing: a minimally destructive method of sampling azooxanthellate coral banks. - *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK* 80: 365-366.

Mortensen, P.B. & J.H. Fosså 2006. Species diversity and spatial distribution of invertebrates on *Lophelia* reefs in Norway. - *Proceedings of the 10th International Coral Reef Symposium*. Okinawa, Japan, pp 1849-1868.

C789

Conclusion

Interesting paper that should be published after changes as suggested here. The use of the image analysis techniques are novel and interesting, but the biological parts are in many cases too weak.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 3365, 2013.