Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, C8305–C8307, 2014
www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/10/C8305/2014/

BGD
10, C8305–C8307, 2014

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Impacts of rice varieties and management on yield-scaled greenhouse gas emissions from rice fields in China: a meta-analysis" *by* H. Zheng et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 28 January 2014

This manuscript provide a useful meta-analysis of area-based and yield-based GHG emission for two different rice varieties. The authors also investigated whether the GHG emission differed among different growth duration after transplanting , and how N fertilization affected GHG emission. Because the demand for rice will continue to increase, it is critical for agricultural lands to be managed effectively, not only to improve the yield, but also to reduce its environmental impacts. This study explored an important perspective that appropriate selection of rice varieties will mitigate GHG emission from rice paddy.

My major comments are listed below:

As a review paper, the manuscript should provide a comprehensive perspective of this topic. However, the writing of the manuscript is quite rough in places. For example, numerous literates suggested that factors, such as root biomass, root turnover rate, microbial community composition and nitrogen, are critical to regulate CH4 emission. In the introduction section, the authors did not address any of those factors, but concluded that aerechyma is the most important factor controlling CH4 emission of rice paddy, and such conclusion was based on findings from only one study (Wang et al 1995). In the discussion section, the authors made a similar conclusion that the difference in GHG emission between the two rice varieties was due to the difference in their gas transport capacity (Page 19055, line 19-22). Although the authors discussed why aerenchyma systems affected GHG emission, their literature review is far too general. A more specific discussion on aerenchyma systems of the two rice varieties is needed.

The data presentation/interpretation also needs to be improved. Many conclusions were made without statistical support, or contradict with their statistical results. For example, in section 3.2, the authors made comparisons of CH4 and N2O emission between different GDAT, but did not provide statistical support for their conclusion. In section 3.3, the authors concluded that the largest reduction of yield-based GWP occurred at the N application rate of 150–200 kgN/ha. However, yield-based GWP were not different among different N addition levels. Their 95% CI of yield-based GWP overlapped with each other.

Almost all rice paddies need to receive N fertilizer. Under the same N fertilization rates, do the two rice varieties differ in their yield-based GHG emission? Further analysis addressing this issue will be helpful.

Specific comments:

Page 19047 Line 14: This statement is misleading. Most CH4 and N2O are emitted via microbial activities. Rice plants may stimulate microbial CH4 and N2O emission by increasing carbon supply to microbes or altering soil conditions. Page 19049 line 26:

BGD

10, C8305–C8307, 2014

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

A brief descriptions about two rice varieties will be helpful Page 19053 Line 9: Area-based CH4 emission of the two rice varieties were not significant different ($P>0.05$) Page 19503 line 18: You cannot make this conclusion without a statistical test. A multiple comparison test is needed. Page 19054: Section 3.3: The overall response ratio across all N addition should be assessed. The sample sizes for several N addition levels are too small, which limited the power of the statistical analysis. Page 19054 line 12: N has small impacts on the area-based GWP. The RRs of all N addition level, except for 100-150 kg/ha N, overlapped with 1.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 19045, 2013.