

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG).
Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field during four successive years in the North China Plain

Y. Zhang, Y. Mu, Y. Zhou, J. Liu, and C. Zhang

Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China

Received: 17 October 2013 – Accepted: 17 November 2013 – Published: 26 November 2013

Correspondence to: Y. Mu (yjmu@rcees.ac.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

BGD

10, 18337–18358, 2013

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏮

⏭

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

Agricultural soil with fertilization is a main anthropogenic source for atmospheric N_2O . N_2O fluxes from a maize-wheat field in the North China Plain (NCP) were investigated for four successive years using static chamber method. The annual N_2O fluxes from control (without fertilization) and fertilization plots were 1.5 ± 0.2 and $9.4 \pm 1.7 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2008–2009, 2.0 ± 0.01 and $4.0 \pm 0.03 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2009–2010, 1.3 ± 0.02 and $5.0 \pm 0.3 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2010–2011, and 2.7 ± 0.6 and $12.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2011–2012, respectively. Fertilizer-induced emission factors (EFs) in the corresponding years were 2.4, 0.60, 1.1 and 2.9%, respectively. Significant linear correlation between fertilized-induced N_2O emission (Y , $\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) and rainfall 4 day before and 10 days after fertilization (X , mm) was found as $Y = 0.04767X - 1.06453$ ($N = 4$, $R^2 = 0.99241$, $P = 0.00253$). Therefore, the remarkable interannual variations of N_2O emissions and the EFs from the agricultural field were mainly ascribed to the rainfall. The total N_2O emission from the agricultural field in the NCP was estimated to be 144 Gg N yr^{-1} based on the average flux derived from the measurements of four years, and the fertilizer-induced N_2O emission accounted for about 76 % (110 Gg N yr^{-1}) of total emission.

1 Introduction

Emissions of nitrous oxide (N_2O) to the atmosphere have attracted much attention because of its significance for greenhouse effect and depletion of stratospheric ozone (Crutzen, 1970; Bolle et al., 1986). Agricultural soil has been recognized as a main source of anthropogenic N_2O emissions to the atmosphere (Khalil et al., 2006) and contributes about 65 % of total anthropogenic N_2O emission (Smith, 1997). It is well known that N_2O is a by-product in microbial nitrification and an intermediate in denitrification process (Firestone and Davidson, 1989). N_2O emissions from soils are strongly affected by many factors, e.g. soil temperature and moisture, soil aeration status and

BGD

10, 18337–18358, 2013

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



carbon availability (Smith et al., 2003; Ruser et al., 2006), crop type and residue management (Raich and Tufekcioglu, 2000; Huang et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2008), and the management of N fertilizer (Hao et al., 2001; Bouwman et al., 2002). Among the various influence factors, fertilization, soil temperature and moisture play important roles on N₂O emission. Fertilization directly provides substrate for soil nitrifying and denitrifying microbes, and soil temperature and moisture have major impacts on soil microorganisms (Smith et al., 2003). The microbial process generally increases exponentially with soil temperature when other factors are not limiting (Meixner and Yang, 2006). Soil water content plays important roles not only on the substrate supply for the microorganisms (Meixner and Yang, 2006) but also on gas diffusivity (Smith et al., 2003). Increasing soil moisture is conducive to produce anaerobic condition and thus promotes N₂O formation via denitrification (Dobbie and Smith, 2001). Large temporal-spatial variation of N₂O emission from agricultural fields could be expected due to the changes of the various influence factors, e.g. there are great uncertainties in N₂O emission from agricultural fields with the reported emission factors (EFs) of 0–7 % for mineral soils (Bouwman, 1996). Therefore, it is necessary to conduct long-term N₂O flux measurements from different agricultural field to reduce the uncertainty of N₂O estimation (Barton et al., 2008; Scheer et al., 2008).

North China Plain (NCP) is one of the greatest grain production areas in China. Maize and wheat, the main grain crops in this region, provide 39 % and 48 % of the total maize and wheat yields in China, respectively (Liu and Mu, 1993). The NCP has a cultivated land area of 17.95 million ha, which accounts for 18.6 % of the total agricultural area in China (Liu et al., 2001), and consumes about 30 % of the total national N-fertilizer (Zhang et al., 2004). As N-fertilizer is the necessary substrate for soil nitrification and denitrification, the huge amounts of N-fertilizer applications in this region can greatly stimulate N₂O emission. Therefore, N₂O emissions from the agricultural fields in the NCP have been investigated intensively (Zeng et al., 1995; Dong et al., 2000; Meng et al., 2005; Ding et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009; Li et al., 2010; Cui et al., 2012; Cai et al., 2013). However, among the ten re-

BGD

10, 18337–18358, 2013

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



ports on N₂O emissions from the fields, ~~nine studies conducted one year, and only the study of Cai et al. (2013) implemented the N₂O measurement for three years (2004–2007).~~ According to these treatment-site-year data, large differences of N₂O emissions (ranging from 0.77 to 6.0 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and EFs (in the range of 0.10–1.4%) from the agricultural fields in the NCP were obtained.

In this study, the N₂O flux from maize-wheat rotation system in the NCP was investigated from 2008 to 2012. The objectives of this study were: (1) to understand the interannual variation characters of N₂O emission; (2) to determine the key influence factors on N₂O emission; and (3) to assess the total N₂O emission from the maize-wheat field in the NCP.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Field experiment

This study was conducted in a summer maize (*Zea mays* L.) and winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) rotation system in Wangdu County (38°71' N, 115°15' E), Baoding City, Hebei Province, China. The detail information about the experiment field had been mentioned in our previous papers (Zhang et al., 2011, 2012).

The field experiment was conducted with two different treatments: control plot (CK, without fertilization) and chemical N fertilizer plot (NP). Only with the exception of fertilization, the two plots were identically managed. Each plot (6.5 × 3.5 m²) was separated by a 1.2 m broad zone to prevent nutrient transfer between treatments. Maize and wheat were planted in June and October each year, respectively, and the field was tilled before wheat sowing. Field managements including fertilization, irrigation, herbicide and pesticide applications strictly followed the cultivating manner of local farmers. The detail information about fertilizer management is listed in Table 1.

BGD

10, 18337–18358, 2013

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



2.2 N₂O fluxes measurement

N₂O fluxes were investigated in the summer maize-winter wheat field from June in 2008 to October in 2012. Static chambers (60 × 60 × 90 cm³) were adopted to monitor N₂O fluxes. Three stainless steel pedestals were inserted 10 cm into the soils of each plot during the whole growing season. Four maize seeds (in June) and about 280 wheat seeds (in October) were kept in each pedestal, respectively. ~~N₂O flux was measured every day with duration of at least 10 days after fertilization, then once or twice weekly during other periods of crops' growing seasons.~~ On each sampling day, N₂O flux was measured at 9.30 a.m. (Beijing time).

N₂O concentrations were determined using a gas chromatography (Model SP3410, Beijing Analytical Instrument Factory) equipped with ⁶³N electron capture detector (Zhang et al., 2011, 2012). The N₂O flux (F , ngNm⁻²s⁻¹) was calculated by the following equation:

$$F = H \times \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} \times \frac{P}{RT} \times M_N \times 10^3. \quad (1)$$

where H is the chamber headspace height (m), $\Delta C/\Delta t$ is the slope (ppbvs⁻¹) of the linear regression of N₂O concentration in the chamber with time ($R^2 > 0.85$), P is the atmospheric pressure (atm) measured in the field, R is the gas constant (0.082 atmLK⁻¹mol⁻¹), T is the ambient air temperature (K) and M_N is the molecular weight of N₂O-N (28 gmol⁻¹).

2.3 Measurement of soil characteristics

Four soil samples in each plot were collected from 0–10 cm soil layer using a stainless steel soil sampler and were mixed carefully for the analysis of soil mineral N (NH₄⁺-N and NO₃⁻-N concentrations). ~~Soil water filled pore space (WFPS) was determined at 5 cm depth by a ring sampler (100 cm³) (Zhang et al., 2011, 2012).~~ Soil temperature was recorded on each gas-sampling day at a depth of 10 cm, while only the

BGD

10, 18337–18358, 2013

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



soil temperatures in CK plot were record in 2008. The data of precipitation were from <http://www.wunderground.com>.

2.4 Date calculation and statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was conducted by Origin 8.0 (Origin Lab Corporation, USA) and SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Prior to analysis, normal distributions of N₂O fluxes and driving factors were tested using the Shapiro–Wilk test and data were log-transformed as needed to normalize the distributions. Paired-samples *T* test was adopted to analyze the difference between CK and NP treatments during the no fertilization periods. Stepwise linear regression analysis was performed to examine the relationships between N₂O fluxes and important driving factors, and only the regression equations that have statistical significance are listed in this study. Significance of all tests was accepted at $P < 0.05$.

The N₂O fluxes presented in the figures are the arithmetic means of the replications in each treatment. The cumulative N₂O emission from each treatment was estimated by linear interpolations between the sampling days. The EFs during the investigation periods were calculated as the difference between the cumulative N₂O-N emission in the fertilized plot and control plot divided by the amount of N fertilizer applied.

3 Results

3.1 Environmental variables

The variations of soil moisture were mainly regulated by precipitation and irrigation. Generally, soil moisture would increase quickly after irrigation (WFPS > 60 %), and it could reach 80 % or above when precipitation happened just after irrigation (Fig. 1a–d). The annual precipitation was 352, 356, 306 and 383 mm during the 2008–2009, 2009–2010, 2010–2011 and 2011–2012 maize-wheat seasons, respectively, and the precipitation in the maize season accounted for 75 %, 62 %, 64 % and 79 % of the

BGD

10, 18337–18358, 2013

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



total amount in each year, respectively. The mean soil moistures (WFPS) in the CK and NP plots were 57 % and 65 %, 48 % and 64 %, 55 % and 55 %, 68 % and 69 % in the 2008–2009, 2009–2010, 2010–2011 and 2011–2012 maize-wheat rotation years, respectively. The average soil temperatures of the CK and NP plots were 26.7, 22.5, 26.4 and 25.5 °C in the 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 maize growing seasons, and were 12.9, 11.0, 11.0 and 9.3 °C in the corresponding wheat seasons, respectively.

3.2 N₂O fluxes and key influence factors

The temporal variations of N₂O fluxes from the CK and NP plots during the four years are illustrated in Fig. 2. N₂O emissions from the CK plot were in the range of -37 – $70 \text{ ngNm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and obvious emission pulses occasionally occur after irrigation and rainfall events. As for the NP plot, the relatively high N₂O emissions (75 – $624 \text{ ngNm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) usually occurred after fertilization, and the N₂O emission was from -19 to $33 \text{ ngNm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ during the periods of pre- and post-fertilizer application. Negative N₂O fluxes (uptake, i.e. fluxes from the atmosphere to the soil) were occasionally observed in the CK and NP plots in this study, which accounted for 4–10 % of total investigation data in each maize-wheat season. The lowest detectable flux of the GC-ECD is $0.57 \text{ ngNm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in this study, and thus the extremely low N₂O uptakes are probably caused by the fluctuations of instrument. Nevertheless, the larger N₂O uptakes (-7 to $-37 \text{ ngNm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the CK plot; -7 to $-19 \text{ ngNm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the NP plot) can be ascribed to denitrification and nitrifier denitrification by reduction of N₂O to N₂ (Chapuis lardy et al., 2007). Yamulki et al. (1995) and Mahmood et al. (1998) also reported evident negative N₂O fluxes from agricultural fields.

As shown in Fig. 2, N₂O emission peaks induced by fertilization usually occurred at the 1st–5th day after fertilization following irrigation in each growing season, while they delayed 1–2 days when rainfall events occurred just after fertilizations, e.g. on 21 August 2008, 5 July and 5 August 2009 (Fig. 2a and b). Generally, the N₂O peaks only lasted for one day and then decreased quickly, while the high N₂O emissions

BGD

10, 18337–18358, 2013

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



(about $550 \text{ ngNm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) sustained 3 days after basal fertilization following showers (from 1 July to 2 July) in 2011 maize season (Fig. 2d). Therefore, precipitation coincided with the fertilization would probably promote N_2O emission because of the substrate supplement and development of anaerobic soil condition.

The N_2O emission peaks from the NP plot were 294, 142, 503 and $558 \text{ ngNm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 maize seasons, respectively, and were 75, 100, 147 and $624 \text{ ngNm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in 2008–2009, 2009–2010, 2010–2011 and 2011–2012 wheat seasons, respectively. The N_2O emission peaks after basal or supplemental fertilizer application were usually higher during the maize seasons than during the wheat seasons, which might be due to the relatively low soil temperature in the wheat seasons (Fig. 1e–h). However, the maximal peak of N_2O emission ($624 \text{ ngNm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) from the NP plot among the four investigated years appeared in the 2012 wheat season after the supplemental fertilization, which was 4–8 times higher than those in other wheat seasons. During the period of the N_2O peak emission from the NP plot in the 2012 wheat season, the soil WFPS (78 %) was evidently higher than those in 2009 (66 %) and 2011 (60 %) wheat seasons (Fig. 1a, c and d). Although higher WFPS (82 %) was observed after the supplemental fertilizer application in the wheat season of 2010, the obvious low soil temperature (10°C) compared with that (15.5°C) in 2012 greatly restricted the activities of soil microorganisms (Meixner and Yang, 2006). Therefore, the higher N_2O emission in the wheat season of 2012 was due to the synergistic effect of appropriate soil temperature (15.5°C) and WFPS (78 %), which could build the soil micro environment in favor of denitrification, and thus promote the N_2O emission (Dobbie and Smith, 2001).

To elucidate the influence of various influencing factors on N_2O emission, the regression analysis between N_2O fluxes and important driving factors was conducted as shown in Table 2. Evidently, soil mineral N, temperature and WFPS were positively correlated with N_2O emission. Soil temperature and WFPS could explain 27–52 % and 18–31 % of the total N_2O emission, respectively. However, not all influence factors displayed the significant relationships with the N_2O emission in each year and treatment,

BGD

10, 18337–18358, 2013

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



and the similar conclusion has been drawn by other studies (Wang et al., 2005; Rowlings et al., 2012).

3.3 Cumulative N₂O emissions and emission factors

The cumulative N₂O emissions and EFs are listed in Table 3. The lowest emissions always occurred in the CK plot, with the 4-yr mean fluxes of 0.6 kg N ha⁻¹ in the maize season, 1.3 kg N ha⁻¹ in the wheat season and 1.9 kg N ha⁻¹ in the whole year. The annual cumulative N₂O emissions from the NP plot in 2009–2010 and 2010–2011 were close, and extremely high N₂O emissions were observed in 2008–2009 and 2011–2012 maize-wheat seasons. Mean cumulative N₂O emissions from the NP plot in the maize, wheat growing seasons and the whole year were 4.4, 3.3 and 7.7 kg N ha⁻¹, with the variation coefficients of 46, 90 and 51 %, respectively.

The annual EFs were 2.4 %, 0.6 %, 1.1 % and 2.9 % in 2008–2012 maize-wheat seasons, respectively (Table 3). The mean 4-yr EF in the maize season (2.2 %) was 1.8 times higher than that in the wheat season.

4 Discussion

4.1 Interannual variation of N₂O emission

The above results well revealed evident interannual variation of N₂O emissions from the agricultural field during the four successive years. Considering the nearly identical N-fertilization rates and similar irrigation operations in each year, the interannual variation of N₂O emissions was mainly ascribed to the changes of meteorological condition that affected the soil temperature and moisture. The annual cumulative N₂O emissions (F_1 , kg N ha⁻¹) from the CK plot significantly correlated with the annual total rainfall (X_1 , mm), and the relationship fitted the following equation:

$$F_1 = 5.6 \times 10^{-10} X_1^{3.7}, \quad N = 4, \quad R^2 = 0.754, \quad P = 0.013 \quad (2)$$

BGD

10, 18337–18358, 2013

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



It indicated that rainfall was a dominant factor for controlling N₂O emission from the agricultural field without fertilization. ~~As for the NP plot, there was no evident correlation between the annual cumulative N₂O emissions and total rainfall. However, there was a significant linear correlation ($N = 4$, $R^2 = 0.99241$, $P = 0.00253$) between the annual fertilizer-induced N₂O emissions (F_2 , kgNha⁻¹) during the periods of 10 days after fertilization and the amounts of rainfall (X_2 , mm) 4 days before and 10 days after each fertilization in each year. The equation could be expressed as:~~

$$F_2 = 0.04767X_2 - 1.06453 \quad (3)$$

Because the cumulative N₂O emission from the NP plot during the periods of 10 days after fertilization accounted for ~ 50 % of the total cumulative N₂O emissions, the rainfall events far from fertilization events only made modest contribution to the total cumulative N₂O emissions. The rainfall events just before and after fertilization might favor fostering the community of microorganism and promoting N₂O formation. Therefore, it is easy to understand why the strong correlation only limited between the yearly fertilizer-induced N₂O emissions during the periods of 10 days after fertilizations and the amounts of rainfall around fertilization events in each year.

There were no significant differences between the CK and NP treatments during the no fertilization periods in the four years (T test, $P = 0.056 - 0.177$) and the yearly management (fertilization rate and irrigation) of the agricultural field was almost the same in recent years. Only based on the rainfall, the correlations between the N₂O emissions and the rainfall could be useful to estimate the cumulative N₂O emissions (F , kgNha⁻¹) from the agricultural field:

$$F = 5.6 \times 10^{-10} X_1^{3.7} + 0.04767X_2 - 1.06453 \quad (4)$$

It should be mentioned that the above estimation could be only applied to limit area where the rainfall is nearly identical and fertilization rate is similar with this study. Because the cumulative N₂O emissions usually linearly correlate with fertilization rates

BGD

10, 18337–18358, 2013

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



(Henault et al., 1998; De Klein et al., 2006; Halvorson et al., 2008), the above exponential algorithm for estimating the annual cumulative N₂O emissions (Y , kgNha⁻¹) from agricultural fields could be expressed in more general form:

$$Y = AX_1^n + F/BX_2 - C, \quad (5)$$

where n , A , B and C are constants which can be derived from the correlation of field measurements, and F is the application rate of N-fertilizer. To verify the applicability of the above algorithm, more field studies in various agricultural fields are needed. If the applicability of the above algorithm was verified, the global annual cumulative N₂O emissions from agricultural fields could be easily estimated just based on fertilization rates and rainfall in different regions.

4.2 Comparison with previous studies and assessing the total N₂O emission in the NCP

The results of studies from maize-wheat fields in the NCP are shown in Table 4. It is evident that there are very large temporal-spatial variations of the cumulative N₂O emissions and EFs reported in the NCP. With only the exception of the data in 2009–2011 maize-wheat seasons, the cumulative N₂O emissions from the NP plot in this study were 33–108 % greater than the upper limit value reported in the literatures. The EF value of 0.60 % in 2009–2010 was in good agreement with the values reported by Dong et al. (2000) and Ding et al. (2007), and of 1.1 % in 2010–2011 was in line with the values reported by Li et al. (2010), Sun et al. (2008) and Cai et al. (2013). The EFs from the NP plot in 2008–2009 and 2011–2012 were two times greater than the upper limit value reported in the NCP, but were still within the uncertainty range recommended by the IPCC (0.3–3 %, De Klein et al., 2006).

To check the possible influence of the soils from different areas in the NCP on N₂O emission, soil samples were collected from four sampling sites (Fengqiu, Luancheng, Yucheng and Beijing) where N₂O emissions have been investigated. N₂O emissions from the four fields were simultaneously measured under the same fertilization and

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



irrigation at the agricultural field of this study (data not shown), and no remarkable difference of N_2O emissions from the four agricultural soils was found in comparison with the uncertainty of the triplet treatments for the agricultural soil investigated in this study. Therefore, the very large temporal-spatial variations of the cumulative N_2O emissions and EFs from the agricultural fields in the NCP might also be partially ascribed to the different weather conditions (especially rainfall as mentioned above) in different areas and years during the investigations. To some extent, the field simulation experiment confirmed that the results investigated at any agricultural fields in the NCP could be applied for estimating the annual cumulative N_2O emission and the fertilizer-induced N_2O emission from the agricultural field in the NCP. The estimation would be more representative based on the average value of many years' investigations, because the multi-years rainfall in one small region might partially reflect the uneven distribution of rainfall in different areas of the NCP.

The NCP has a cultivation area of 17.95 million ha (Liu et al., 2001) and the average fertilization rate is about $350 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Table 4). Based on the four years' average cumulative N_2O emission from the CK plot and EF obtained by this study, the annual total N_2O emission and fertilizer induced N_2O emission from the agricultural fields in the NCP were estimated to be 144 Gg N and 110 Gg N, respectively.

5 Conclusions

Large interannual variations of N_2O emissions were observed from the maize-wheat field in the NCP during the four successive years. Precipitation was primarily responsible for the temporal-spatial variation of N_2O emission. The significant correlation between cumulative N_2O emission and precipitation obtained in this study may be used to estimate N_2O emission from the area where the rainfall and fertilization rate are similar with this study.

Acknowledgements. This study was funded by the National Science & Technology Pillar Program (No. 2013BAD11B03), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy

of Sciences (No. XDB05010100), the Chinese National Natural Science Foundation (No. 41075094 and 21177140), and the National Basic Research and the Development Program 973 (No. 2010CB732304).

References

- 5 Barton, L., Kiese, R., Gatter, D., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Buck, R., Hinz, C., and Murphy, D. V.: Nitrous oxide emissions from a cropped soil in a semi-arid climate, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 14, 177–192, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01474.x, 2008.
- Bolle, H. J., Seiler, W., and Bolin, B.: Other greenhouse gases and aerosols, in: *The Greenhouse Effect, Climate Change and Ecosystems*, SCOPE 29, edited by: Bolin, B., Döös, B. R., Jäger, J., and Warrick, R. A., Wiley, New York, 157–203, 1986.
- 10 Bouwman, A. F.: Direct emission of nitrous oxide from agricultural soils, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 46, 53–70, doi:10.1007/BF00210224, 1996.
- Bouwman, A. F., Boumans, L. J. M., and Batjes, N. H.: Emissions of N_2O and NO from fertilized fields: summary of available measurement data, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 16, 1–13, doi:10.1029/2001GB001811, 2002.
- 15 Cai, Y. J., Ding, W. X., and Luo, J. F.: Nitrous oxide emissions from Chinese maize-wheat rotation systems: a 3-year field measurement, *Atmos. Environ.*, 65, 112–122, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.10.038, 2013.
- Chapuis-lardy, L., Wrage, N., Metay, A., Chotte, J. L., and Bernoux, M.: Soils, a sink for N_2O ? A review, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 13, 1–17, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01280.x, 2007.
- 20 Chen, S., Huang, Y., and Zou, J.: Relationship between nitrous oxide emission and winter wheat production, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 44, 985–989, 2008.
- Crutzen, P. J.: The influence of nitrogen oxides on the atmospheric ozone content, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 96, 320–325, doi:10.1007/s00374-008-0284-4, 1970.
- 25 Cui, F., Yan, G. X., Zhou, Z. X., Zheng, X. H., and Deng, J.: Annual emissions of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide from a wheat-maize cropping system on a silt loam calcareous soil in the North China Plain, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 48, 10–19, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.01.007, 2012.
- De Klein, C., Novoa, R. S. A., Ogle, S., Smith, K. A., Rochette, P., Wirth, T. C., McConkey, B. G., Mosier, A., and Rypdal, K.: N_2O emissions from managed soils, and CO_2 emissions from Lime and Urea Application, in: *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Invento-*
- 30

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



ries, Vol 4 – Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use, edited by: Eggleston, S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., and Tanabe, K., Institute for Global Environmental Strategies, Japan, 11.1–11.54, 2006.

- 5 Ding, W. X., Cai, Y., Cai, Z. C., Yagi, K., and Zheng, X. H.: Nitrous oxide emissions from an intensively cultivated maize–wheat rotation soil in the North China Plain, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 373, 501–511, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.12.026, 2007.
- Dobbie, K. E. and Smith, K. A.: The effects of temperature, water-filled pore space and land use on N₂O emissions from an imperfectly drained gleysol, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 52, 667–673, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2389.2001.00395.x, 2001.
- 10 Dong, Y. S., Dieter, S., Manfred, D., Qi, Y. C., and Zhang, S.: N₂O emissions from agricultural soils in the North China Plain: the effect of chemical nitrogen fertilizer and organic manure, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 12, 463–468, 2000.
- Firestone, M. K. and Davidson, E. A.: Microbiological basis of NO and N₂O production and consumption in soil, in: *Exchange of Trace Gases between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere*, edited by: Andreae, M. O. and Schimel, D. S., Wiley, Chichester, 7–21, 1989.
- 15 Halvorson, A. D., Del Grosso, S. J., and Reule, C. A.: Nitrogen, tillage, and crop rotation effects on nitrous oxide emissions from irrigated cropping systems, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 37, 1337–1344, doi:10.2134/jeq2007.0268, 2008.
- Hao, X., Chang, C., Carefoot, J. M., Janzen, H. H., and Ellert, B. H.: Nitrous oxide emissions from an irrigated soil as affected by fertilizer and straw management, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 20 60, 1–8, doi:10.1023/A:1012603732435, 2001.
- Henault, C., Devis, X., Page, S., Justes, E., Reau, R., and Germon, J. C.: Nitrous oxide emissions under different soil and land management conditions, *Biol. Fert. Soils*, 26, 199–207, doi:10.1007/s003740050368, 1998.
- 25 Huang, Y., Zou, J., Zheng, X., Wang, Y., and Xu, X.: Nitrous oxide emissions as influenced by amendment of plant residues with different C : N ratios, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 36, 973–981, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.02.009, 2004.
- Khalil, M. I., Schmidhalter, U., and Gutser, R.: N₂O, NH₃ and NO_x emissions as a function of urea granule size and soil type under aerobic conditions, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 175, 127–148, doi:10.1007/s11270-006-9117-y, 2006.
- 30 Li, H., Qiu, J., Wang, L., Tang, H., Li, C., and Van Ranst, E.: Modelling impacts of alternative farming management practices on greenhouse gas emissions from a winter wheat-maize ro-

BGD

10, 18337–18358, 2013

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



tation system in China, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 135, 24–33, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2009.08.003, 2010.

Liu, C. M., Yu, J. J., and Kendy, E.: Groundwater exploitation and its impact on the environment in the North China Plain, *Water Int.*, 26, 265–272, doi:10.1080/02508060108686913, 2001.

5 Liu, X. H. and Mu, Z. G. (Eds.): *Cropping Systems in China*, Agricultural Press of China, Beijing, 1993 (in Chinese).

▲ Mahmood, T., Ali, R., Malik, K. A., and Shamsi, S. R. A.: Nitrous oxide emissions from an irrigated sandy-clay loam cropped to maize and wheat, *Biol. Fert. Soils*, 27, 189–196, doi:10.1007/s003740050419, 1998.

10 Meixner, F. X. and Yang, W. X.: Biogenic emissions of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide from arid and semi-arid land, in: *Dryland Ecohydrology*, edited by D'Odorico, P. and Porporato, A., Springer, Dordrecht, 233–255, 2006.

Meng, L., Ding, W. X., and Cai, Z. C.: Long-term application of organic manure and nitrogen fertilizer on N₂O emissions, soil quality and crop production in a sandy loam soil, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 37, 2037–2045, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.03.007, 2005.

15 Raich, J. W. and Tufekcioglu, A.: Vegetation and soil respiration: correlations and controls, *Biogeochemistry*, 48, 71–90, doi:10.1023/A:1006112000616, 2000.

Rowlings, D. W., Grace, P. R., Kiese, R., and Weier, K. L.: Environmental factors controlling temporal and spatial variability in the soil-atmosphere exchange of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O from an Australian subtropical rainforest, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 18, 726–738, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02563.x, 2012.

20 Ruser, R., Flessa, H., Russow, R., Schmidt, C., Buegger, F., and Munch, J. C.: Emission of N₂O, N₂, and CO₂ from soil fertilized with nitrate: effect of compaction, soil moisture and rewetting, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 38, 263–274, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.05.005, 2006.

25 Scheer, C., Wassmann, R., Klenzler, K., Lbragimov, N., and Eschanov, R.: Nitrous oxide emissions from fertilized irrigated cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) in the Aral Sea Basin, Uzbekistan: influence of nitrogen applications and irrigation practices, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 40, 290–301, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.08.007, 2008.

30 ▲ Smith, K. A.: The potential for feedback effects induced by global warming on emissions of nitrous oxide by soils, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 3, 327–338, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.1997.00100.x, 1997.

BGD

10, 18337–18358, 2013

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Smith, K. A., Ball, T., Conen, F., Dobbie, K. E., Massheder, J., and Rey, A.: Exchange of greenhouse gases between soil and atmosphere: interactions of soil physical factors and biological processes, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 54, 779–791, doi:10.1046/j.1351-0754.2003.0567.x, 2003.

5 Sun, Y. L., Lu, P. L., Li, J., Yu, Q., Sun, S. B., Wang, J. S., and Ouyang, Z.: Characteristics of soil N_2O flux in a winter wheat-summer maize rotation system in North China Plain and analysis of influencing factors, *Chinese J. Agrometeorol.*, 29, 1–5, 2008 (in Chinese).

Wang, L. G., Li, H., and Qiu, J. J.: Characterization of emissions of nitrous oxide from soils of typical crop fields in Huang-Huai-Hai Plain, *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, 41, 1248–1254, 2008 (in Chinese).

10 Wang, Y., Xue, M., Zheng, X., Ji, B., Du, R., and Wang, Y.: Effects of environmental factors on N_2O emission from and CH_4 uptake by the typical grasslands in the Inner Mongolia, *Chemosphere*, 58, 205–215, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.04.043, 2005.

15 Wang, Y. Y., Hu, C. S., Cheng, Y. S., Zhang, Y. M., Ming, H., and Yang, P. P.: Carbon sequestrations and gas regulations in summer-maize and winter-wheat rotation ecosystem affected by nitrogen fertilization in the piedmont plain of Taihang Mountains, China, *J. Agro-Environ. Sci.*, 28, 1508–1515, 2009 (in Chinese).

Yamulki, S., Goulding, K. W. T., Webster, C. P., and Harrison, R. M.: Studies on NO and N_2O fluxes from a wheat field, *Atmos. Environ.*, 29, 1627–1635, doi:10.1016/1352-2310(95)00059-8, 1995.

20 Zeng, J. H., Wang, Z. P., Zhang, Y. M., Song, W. Z., Wang, S. B., and Su, W. H.: Flux of N_2O emission from the fields in a wheat and maize rotation system, *Chinese J. Environ. Sci.*, 16, 32–35, 1995 (in Chinese).

Zhang, Y. M., Chen, D. L., Zhang, J. B., Edis, R., Hu, C. S., and Zhu, A. N.: Ammonia volatilization and denitrification losses from an irrigated maize-wheat rotation field in the North China Plain, *Pedosphere*, 14, 533–540, 2004.

25 Zhang, Y. Y., Liu, J. F., Mu, Y. J., Pei, S. W., Lun, X. X., and Chai, F. H.: Emissions of nitrous oxide, nitrogen oxides and ammonia from a maize field in the North China Plain, *Atmos. Environ.*, 45, 2956–2961, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.10.052, 2011.

30 Zhang, Y. Y., Liu, J. F., Mu, Y. J., Xu, Z., Pei, S. W., Lun, X. X., Zhang, Y.: Nitrous oxide emissions from a maize field during two consecutive growing seasons in the North China Plain, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 24, 1–9, doi:10.1016/S1001-0742(10)60594-3, 2012.

Nitrous oxide
emissions from
maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Table 1.** The amount, date and type of nitrogen fertilizer application in the experiment field.

Year	Fertilization timing		Fertilizer type		Fertilizer rate (kg N ha ⁻¹)		
	B ^a	S ^b	B ^a	S ^b	B ^a	S ^b	T ^c
Maize							
2008	25 Jun 2008	16 Aug 2008	NPK ^d	NK ^d	89	83	172
2009	29 Jun 2009	1 Aug 2009	NPK ^d	Urea	99	69	168
2010	30 Jun 2010	6 Aug 2010	NPK ^d	NK ^d	107	69	176
2011	30 Jun 2011	8 Aug 2011	NPK ^d	NK ^d	107	69	176
Wheat							
2008–2009	18 Oct 2008	4 Apr 2009	NPK ^d	Urea	75	88	163
2009–2010	13 Oct 2009	20 Apr 2010	NPK ^d	NK ^d	60	105	165
2010–2011	17 Oct 2010	14 Apr 2011	NPK ^d	NS ^d	60	105	165
2011–2012	19 Oct 2011	18 Apr 2012	NPK ^d	Urea	60	105	165

^a Basal fertilizer; ^b Supplemental fertilizer; ^c Total fertilization rate; ^d Compound fertilizers contained nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K) and sulfur (S).

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Table 2.** Regression analysis between N_2O flux and its control factors in the maize-wheat field.

Treatment	Factors	B^a	R^2	Equation
2009–2010 maize-wheat season				
CK	WFPS	0.021	0.306	$\text{lgN}_2\text{O} = 0.021\text{WFPS} + 0.052\text{ST} - 0.935$
	ST^b	0.052	0.516	$(N = 17, R = 0.817, P < 0.001)$
NP	WFPS	0.012	0.184	$\text{lgN}_2\text{O} = 0.012\text{WFPS} + 0.334\text{lgNH}_4^+-\text{N} + 0.488$
	lgNH_4^+-N	0.334	0.287	$(N = 20, R = 0.684, P = 0.005)$
2010–2011 maize-wheat season				
NP	WFPS	0.018	0.225	$\text{lgN}_2\text{O} = 0.018\text{WFPS} + 0.041\text{ST} + 0.011\text{NO}_3^--\text{N}$
	ST^b	0.041	0.270	$+ 0.599\text{lgNH}_4^+-\text{N} - 1.412$
	NO_3^--N	0.011	0.067	$(N = 30, R = 0.612, P = 0.016)$
	lgNH_4^+-N	0.599	0.118	
2011–2012 maize-wheat season				
NP	lgNH_4^+-N	0.767	0.196	$\text{lgN}_2\text{O} = 0.767\text{lgNH}_4^+-\text{N} + 0.871$
				$(N = 28, R = 0.475, P = 0.011)$

^a Unstandardized coefficient; ^b Soil temperature.

Table 3. N_2O fluxes (mean \pm SE) and EFs from different treatments in different years.

Year	Period	Treatment	N_2O cumulative fluxes (kg N ha^{-1})	EF (%)
2008–2009	Maize	CK	0.6 ± 0.2	–
		NP	7.2 ± 1.2	3.8
	Wheat	CK	0.9 ± 0.001	–
		NP	2.2 ± 0.5	0.80
	Annual	CK	1.5 ± 0.2	–
		NP	9.4 ± 1.7	2.4
2009–2010	Maize	CK	0.9 ± 0.02	–
		NP	2.8 ± 0.02	1.1
	Wheat	CK	1.1 ± 0.01	–
		NP	1.3 ± 0.03	0.12
	Annual	CK	2.0 ± 0.01	–
		NP	4.0 ± 0.03	0.60
2010–2011	Maize	CK	0.4 ± 0.01	–
		NP	3.0 ± 0.1	1.5
	Wheat	CK	0.8 ± 0.03	–
		NP	2.0 ± 0.1	0.73
	Annual	CK	1.3 ± 0.02	–
		NP	5.0 ± 0.3	1.1
2011–2012	Maize	CK	0.5 ± 0.3	–
		NP	4.7 ± 0.3	2.4
	Wheat	CK	2.3 ± 0.3	–
		NP	7.8 ± 0.4	3.3
	Annual	CK	2.7 ± 0.6	–
		NP	12.5 ± 0.1	2.9

**Nitrous oxide
emissions from
maize-wheat field**

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Table 4.** Summary of N_2O emissions from maize-wheat soils in the NCP.

Location	Total N ($\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$)	Accumulative fluxes ($\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$)	EF (%)	References
Wangdu, Hebei	335	9.4	2.4	This study, 2008–2009
	333	4.0	0.60	This study, 2009–2010
	341	5.0	1.1	This study, 2010–2011
	341	12.5	2.9	This study, 2011–2012
Quzhou, Hebei	270	4.9	0.96	Li et al. (2010)
	135	5.0	1.0	Wang et al. (2008)
	270	6.0	0.87	
Luancheng, Hebei	200	0.89	0.12	Wang et al. (2009)
	400	1.1	0.10	
	600	1.4	0.13	
	300	1.6	0.23	Zeng et al. (1995)
Yucheng, Shandong	420	2.9	0.67	Dong et al. (2000)
	312	4.4	1.4*	Sun et al. (2008)
Huantai, Shangdong	600	4.0	0.59	Cui et al. (2012)
Fengqiu, Henan	300	0.77	0.21	Meng et al. (2005)
	300	2.5	0.61	Ding et al. (2007)
	500	4.5	0.77	
	300	2.4	0.63	Cai et al. (2013)
	300	3.0	0.95	
	300	2.9	0.88	

* Background N_2O emission wasn't subtracted.

Nitrous oxide
emissions from
maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.




Fig. 1. Precipitation, soil WFPS (a, b, c, d) and soil temperatures (e, f, g, h) in the CK and NP plots during 2008–2012 maize-wheat seasons. Dash arrows show irrigation events.

Nitrous oxide emissions from maize-wheat field

Y. Zhang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 2. N_2O emissions from the CK and NP plots during the N_2O measurement periods in 2008–2009 maize wheat season (a), 2009–2010 maize wheat season (b), 2010–2011 maize wheat season (c) and 2011–2012 maize wheat season (d). Arrows show fertilizer applications.