

Interactive comment on “Ecosystem function and services provided by the deep sea” by A. R. Thurber et al.

A. R. Thurber et al.

athurber@coas.oregonstate.edu

Received and published: 7 June 2014

Anonymous Referee #2 Received and published: 3 March 2014 The manuscript provides a useful overview over ecosystem function and services provided by the deep sea. I am generally happy with it and have found only a few minor details that need to be fixed.

One aspect I'm not happy with is the extremely uncritical approach to waste dumping (chapter 2.4). For example, there is no biological or geochemical process (neither in the deep sea nor anywhere else) that would speed up the decay of radioactive materials, but the manuscript (indirectly) seems to suggest this. In the search for secure locations for the disposal of nuclear waste, it's the policy in Germany that the locations should allow a 'secure' disposal at least for several tens of thousands of years. With

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



the turnover times of deep-sea water being 'just' over 1000 years, the deep sea does certainly not seem to be a good place to dump nuclear waste. Another case is the dumping of ammunition: after WW II a huge amount of ammunition, including chemical ammunition, was dumped in the Baltic Sea. This ammunition still poses an (occasionally deadly) threat to local fishermen, as well as beach-strolling tourists. Of course the Baltic Sea isn't exactly deep sea, but with increased deep-sea fishing the threat is all the same. Furthermore, waste disposal poses a threat to several other services mentioned in the manuscript, including bioprospecting and the various uses of supposedly pathogen-free deep water mentioned on top of p. 18212. I understand that it is not the purpose of the manuscript to make a strong statement against various (potential and real) threats of commercial activities in the deep sea, but the potential danger of deep sea waste disposal should at least be outlined in the same way as it has been done for the dangers of deep-sea oil drilling (chapter 3.2).

> These are all excellent points and we have 1) Changed the tone of this section and 2) put in the important point that areas of current waste disposal could be the areas of future goods and services. In addition, we have highlighted that degradation of weapons is weapon specific and put in some estimate of scale. Please see this largely rewritten section 3.4.

Further points: p. 18197, line 24: Here you could also cite: Treude, T., Kiel, S., Linke, P., Peckmann, J. and Goedert, J.L., 2011. Elasmobranch egg capsules associated with modern and ancient cold seeps: A nursery for non-seep marine predators. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 437: 175-181. Done. p. 18200, line 25: add Red Sea for additional deep-water masses

>Added P8L31

p. 18203, line 22: at a rate faster than at which. . .

> Fixed P11L32

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

p. 18206/7: I didn't understand what you're saying here. Who 'benefits' when higher predators with accumulated toxic substances are harvested; the remaining 'prey fishes'? Or the people who eat those higher predators? Please rephrase.

> Rephrased (as no one benefits) P15L7-12

p. 18207, lines 2, 3: strange phrasing: "deep-sea fish live much longer when they are harvested". Aren't they dead when they are harvested?

> Fixed P15L9

p. 18207, line 7: I think this event is better known as 'Deepwater Horizon' oil spill. As the paper seems to be aimed at policy makers and a broader public, a well-known name should be used here.

> This has been added (P15L16-17)

p. 18208, lines 22: northern North Atlantic

> Fixed P17L13

p. 18209, lines 9, 10: estimates of global methane hydrates vary greatly; I think this uncertainty should be mentioned here, instead of picking just one value.

> we added a range of estimates P11L1-2

p. 18210, lines 3, 10, 11: in the geological and mining literature these deposits are abbreviated VMS – for 'volcanogenic massive sulfides'

> term added P19L12

p. 18210, line 12ff: see general comment about waste disposal

> Fixed as above

p. 18210, on CO₂ deposition: perhaps the idea to mine methane hydrates and replacing them with CO₂ hydrates could be mentioned

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

> Added P20L17

p. 18215, too many 'yet' in the last sentence

> Sentence rephrased P25L11

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 10, 18193, 2013.

BGD

10, C9592–C9595, 2014

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C9595

