

1 **Tidal controls on trace gas dynamics in a seagrass**
2 **meadow of the Ria Formosa lagoon (southern Portugal)**

3

4 Enno Bahlmann*¹, Ingo Weinberg¹, Jošt V. Lavrič², Tim Eckhard¹, Walter Michaelis¹, Rui
5 Santos³ and Richard Seifert¹

6 ¹University of Hamburg, Institute for Biogeochemistry and Marine Chemistry,
7 Bundesstraße 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

8 ²Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Hans-Knoell Str 10, 07745 Jena, Germany

9 ³Centro de ciências do mar, Universidade do Algarve, Gambelas, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal

10

11 *corresponding author: enno.bahlmann@zmaw.de

12 phone: +49-40-42838-5167

13 fax: +49-40-42838-6347

14

15 **Abstract**

16 Coastal zones are important source regions for a variety of trace gases including halocarbons
17 and sulphur-bearing species. While salt-marshes, macroalgae and phytoplankton communities
18 have been intensively studied, little is known about trace gas fluxes in seagrass meadows.
19 Here we report results of a newly developed dynamic flux chamber system that can be
20 deployed in intertidal areas over full tidal cycles allowing for highly time resolved
21 measurements. The fluxes of CO₂, methane (CH₄) and a range of volatile organic compounds
22 (VOCs) showed a complex dynamic mediated by tide and light. In contrast to most previous
23 studies our data indicate significantly enhanced fluxes during tidal immersion relative to
24 periods of air exposure. Short emission peaks occurred with onset of the feeder current at the
25 sampling site.

26 We suggest an overall strong effect of advective transport processes to explain the elevated
27 fluxes during tidal immersion. Many emission estimates from tidally influenced coastal areas

1 still rely on measurements carried out during low tide only. Hence, our results may have
2 significant implications for budgeting trace gases in coastal areas. This dynamic flux chamber
3 system provides intensive time series data of community respiration (at night) and net
4 community production (during the day) of shallow coastal systems.

5

6 **1 Introduction**

7 Coastal zones are important sites for carbon turnover and hot spots for a variety of volatile
8 organic compounds (VOCs), including halogenated compounds (Gschwend et al., 1985;
9 Moore et al., 1995; Baker et al., 1999; Rhew et al., 2000; Christoph et al., 2002, Manley et al.,
10 2006; Valtanen et al., 2009) and sulphur-bearing compounds (Dacey et al., 1987; Cooper et
11 al., 1987a, b; De Mello et al., 1987; Turner et al., 1989; Leck and Rhode, 1990; Baker et al.,
12 1992), but a minor source for hydrocarbons such as CH₄ (Van der Nat and Middelburg, 2000;
13 Middelburg et al., 2002). While coastal ecosystems, such as salt-marshes, macroalgae and
14 phytoplankton communities have been intensively studied, little is known about trace gas
15 fluxes from seagrass meadows. Seagrass meadows are amongst the most productive coastal
16 ecosystems with an average net primary production of 817 g carbon m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Mateo et al.,
17 2006). They cover a considerable portion of global coastal zones with estimates ranging from
18 300,000 km² (Duarte et al., 2005) to 600,000 km² (Mateo et al., 2006). Most previous studies
19 in seagrass meadows have focussed on carbon dynamics (e.g. Migné et al., 2004; Davoult et
20 al., 2004; Spilmont et al., 2005, Silva et al., 2005; Hubas et al., 2006) and were often
21 restricted to periods of air exposure. More recently, benthic chambers for underwater
22 incubations were developed (Nicholson et al., 1999; Larned, 2003; Barron et al., 2006; Silva
23 et al., 2008; Ferron et al., 2009). There is some evidence that seagrass meadows (*Zostera*
24 *spec.*) are capable to form a variety of trace gases (Urhahn, 2003; Weinberg et al., 2013). As
25 other higher plants rooting in anoxic soils and sediments, seagrasses have an aerenchymatic
26 tissue for supplying oxygen to their root system. This aerenchymatic tissue may also provide
27 an effective transport pathway for trace gases from the sediment to the atmosphere
28 (Armstrong, 1979; Larkum et al., 1989). The importance of this transport pathway has been
29 shown for CH₄ emissions from a variety of vegetation types (Laanbroek, 2010). However,
30 early incubation experiments have indicated fairly low emission rates from *Thalassia*
31 *testudinum* beds (Oremland et al., 1975). More recently Deborde et al. (2010) reported CH₄

1 fluxes from *Z. noltii* meadows in the Arcachon lagoon (SW France) being below $1.6 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2}$
2 h^{-1} , which was the detection limit of the instrumentation used for the experiment.

3 So far, the fluxes of trace gases in coastal environments, mainly CH_4 and CO_2 , have been
4 measured in most cases using static chambers (e.g. Van der Nat and Middelburg, 2000;
5 Delaune et al., 1983; Bartlett et al., 1987; Migne' et al., 2002, 2004; Davoult et al., 2004;
6 Spilmont et al., 2005, Silva et al., 2005; Hubas et al., 2006). There are several problems
7 arising from chamber based flux measurements that require a careful testing of the chamber
8 system., Problems may arise under aerial conditions from perturbations of turbulence in the
9 air and in the water filled chamber spaces, the introduction of artificial gradients,
10 perturbations of the thermal environment of the chamber and the gas composition inside the
11 chamber (Gao et al., 1997; Meixner et al., 1997; Gao & Yates, 1998; Zhang et al., 2002; Pape
12 et al., 2009). In particular deposition fluxes of reactive trace gases are very sensitive towards
13 the aerodynamic properties of the chamber (Meixner et al., 1997; Pape et al., 2008). In
14 contrast, the emission fluxes of most VOCs are insensitive against the turbulent conditions
15 inside the chamber. The reason is that their production is independent of the headspace
16 concentration (Pape et al., 2008).

17 Solid static chambers will most likely introduce stagnant conditions under submersed
18 conditions and thus reduce the diffusive exchange and suppress advective exchange (Cook et
19 al., 2007). This has for instance been shown for oxygen (Billerbeck et al., 2006; Werner et al.,
20 2006; Kim & Kim, 2007; Cook et al., 2007; Jansen et al., 2009), total inorganic carbon (Cook
21 et al., 2007) and dissolved organic matter (Huettel et al., 1997). Tengberg et al. (2004)
22 compared three different types of stirred benthic chambers and found no significant
23 differences between these chambers. The authors concluded that benthic chambers are
24 insensitive to the hydrodynamic conditions as long as the water is well mixed and the
25 sediment is not re-suspended.

26 In this study we used a modified dynamic chamber allowing flux measurements over full tidal
27 cycles. The chamber is continuously purged during tidal immersion whereby the purging
28 introduces a turbulent flow inside the chamber. Though artificial, this turbulent motion inside
29 the chamber may to some extent mimic the turbulent flow outside the chamber. The system
30 allows continuous CH_4 and CO_2 flux measurements with a time resolution of 15 minutes as
31 well as the determination of VOC fluxes by discrete sampling. Here we provide a detailed
32 description of the flux chamber system and first results of a field study conducted in a

1 seagrass meadow of the Ria Formosa lagoon, southern Portugal. We report tidal-cycle fluxes
2 of CO₂, CH₄, propene, chloromethane (CH₃Cl), bromomethane (CH₃Br), iodomethane
3 (CH₃I), chloroform (CHCl₃), Bromoform (CHBr₃) as well as carbondisulfide (CS₂) and
4 discuss them in terms of the factors controlling trace gas dynamics in intertidal seagrass
5 meadows.

6

7 **2 Methods**

8 **2.1 Flux chamber design**

9 Dynamic flux chambers have been widely used in trace gas studies in terrestrial systems (Gao
10 et al., 1997; Gao and Yates, 1998; Kim and Lindberg, 1995; Zhang et al., 2002; Pape et al.,
11 2009). Details on the theory of dynamic flux chamber measurements are given in Gao et al.
12 (1997) and Meixner et al. (1997). Briefly, the surface of interest is enclosed with a chamber
13 and air is pumped through the chamber at a predefined flow rate. Net fluxes above the
14 covered surface are commonly calculated from the concentration difference between the
15 outlet and inlet of the chamber.

$$16 \quad F_{Net} = \frac{Q_N \times (C_{out} - C_{in})}{A \times V_N \times 1000} \quad (1)$$

17 where F_{Net} is the net flux [mol m⁻² h⁻¹], Q_N is the flushing flow rate through the chamber
18 [m³ h⁻¹, at 1013.25 mbar and 298.15 K], C_{out} and C_{in} are the air mixing ratios of target
19 compounds [mole fractions] at the outlet and the inlet of the flux chamber, respectively, A is
20 the bottom surface area of the flux chamber [m²], and V_N is the molar volume [m³] at 1013.25
21 mbar and 298.15 K. Note that emission fluxes are positive.

22 The chamber we used was made from a 10 L Duran glass bottle with the bottom cut off (fig.
23 1). The chamber had a volume of 8 L, a bottom surface area of 0.037 m², and a height of 0.3
24 m. The chamber is pressed 5 cm into the sediment prior to sampling, resulting in a headspace
25 volume of approximately 6 L. Water enters and leaves the chamber through a U-tube at the
26 bottom during tidal change (stainless steel tube 50 cm length, 4 mm i.d.). The tube was
27 connected to a valve that was closed during air exposure and open during tidal immersion.
28 Ambient air is pumped through the chamber with a membrane pump (KNF-Neuberger,
29 Germany, mod. N86KNDC) at a flow rate between 3.0 and 3.5 L min⁻¹. The air enters the

1 chamber through a PFA-tube at the top of the chamber and is further distributed to two metal
2 frits (10 μm pore size). The frits are placed 12 cm above the sediment surface preventing
3 visible dispersion of surface sediments. The outlet of the chamber is connected to an open
4 split in 2.5 m height via a $\frac{1}{2}$ ' o.d. PFA-tube. The tube is inserted 30 cm into a stainless steel
5 tube (50 cm long, $\frac{3}{4}$ ' o.d.) that is open at the bottom and has two sampling ports at the top.
6 Typically, about 0.5 L min^{-1} are directed to the $\text{CO}_2 / \text{CH}_4$ analyzer and 1.5 L min^{-1} are
7 directed to the trace gas sampling system. The excess air, along with water droplets and
8 aerosols, is vented into the atmosphere via the open split. Two Teflon® membrane filters are
9 used to further protect the sampling systems from water and aerosols. The U-tube at the
10 bottom and the open split ensured pressure equilibrium between the chamber and the ambient
11 water body. The performance of the chamber has been tested under aerial und submersed
12 condition in the laboratory. A detailed description of these tests is given in the supplementary
13 material. The response time of the chamber is 2 min under aerial conditions. at a flushing flow
14 rate of 3 L min^{-1} . Complete mixing of the chamber volume is achieved within 0.4 min. Hence,
15 with respect to our sampling frequency, we can safely assume complete mixing of the air
16 inside the chamber.

17 The physical nature of trace gas fluxes across natural interfaces is commonly described in
18 terms of a multiresistance model (Hicks et al., 1987). This model has been applied to flux
19 chambers (Gao & Yates, 1987; Zhang et al., 2002; Pape et al., 2008):

$$20 \quad F_i = \frac{c_s - c_a}{R_c + R_s} \quad (2)$$

21 Where F_i denotes the flux across the interface, c_s is the concentration in the sediment, c_a is the
22 gas concentration on the air side of the interface R_c [t L^{-1}], is the overall transfer resistance of
23 the chamber system and R_s [t L^{-1}] transfer resistance of the sediment surface layer (R_s). While
24 R_c is dependent on the aerodynamic properties of the chamber, R_s is dependent on the
25 sediment properties. The sensitivity of the overall flux against the aerodynamic properties
26 depends on the magnitude of R_c and R_s . When both share the same magnitude, the flux across
27 the interface depends on R_c and R_s . On the other hand, when R_s becomes large relative to R_c ,
28 the flux is mainly governed by R_s (Zhang et al., 2002). The chamber tests revealed an upper
29 limit of 0.162 h m^{-1} for the aerodynamic transfer resistance of the chamber. The sediment side
30 transfer resistance has been estimated from the diffusivity of the sediment surface layer and
31 its thickness (Gao, 1986; Zhang et al., 2002). R_s ranges from 1.54 to 15.4 h m^{-1} for water
32 logged intertidal sediments with an air filled pore space from 1% to 10%. The transfer

1 resistance of the seagrass leaf has been derived from the CO₂ permeability of the cuticula of
2 submersed plants (MacFarlane, 1992) and the leaf area index of *Z. noltii* in the Ria Formosa
3 (Pérez-Lloréns & Niell, 1993). It has been estimated to range from 26.5 to 46 h m⁻¹, thus
4 being one to two orders of magnitude larger than R_c. Given this, it is reasonable to assume
5 that during air exposure the gas exchange across the sediment surface and the seagrass leaf is
6 not dependent on the aerodynamic properties of the chamber. Further, our tests suggest a
7 minor effect of the flushing flow rate on the atmospheric transfer resistance making the
8 overall transfer resistance insensitive against the aerodynamic properties of the chamber.

9 The interfacial fluxes are insensitive to the hydrodynamic conditions in the chamber during
10 submersion as long as the water inside the chamber is well mixed and the sediment is not re-
11 suspended. Re-suspension of the sediments was avoided during the experiments and has been
12 checked visibly. The gas flow through the chamber introduced a water flow in the order of 10
13 to 15 cm s⁻¹ providing a corresponding boundary layer thickness in the range of 60 to 120 μm
14 where the carbon uptake is mainly enzymatically limited. The visible inferred mixing time
15 was in 1.1 min. Under submersed conditions the dissolved trace gases are equilibrated with
16 ambient air. The flux and thus the response time will depend on the volatility (given by the
17 inverse Henry's law constant) and the water air transfer resistance of the chamber system. In
18 analogy to the air sea gas exchange the gas air water exchange can be computed as:

$$19 \quad F = k_c \times (c_w/H - c_g) = \frac{(c_w/H - c_g)}{R_c} \quad (3)$$

20 where k_c is the specific gas exchange velocity [L t⁻¹] of the chamber. k_c depends on the
21 flushing flow rate (Q) and the chamber design (in particular the chamber geometry and the
22 gas bubble geometry) $R_c = 1/k_c$ is the corresponding transfer resistance, c_w is the water
23 concentration [mol L⁻³], c_g is the concentration in the gas phase inside the chamber, and H is
24 Henry's law constant.

25 The response time of the chamber towards changes in the pCH₄ was 1.20± 0.20 min. The
26 response time for DIC (dissolved inorganic carbon) depends on the carbon speciation. It
27 ranged from 10 min to 58 min for a ΔDIC ranging from 188 to -203 μmol kg⁻¹. Reflecting the
28 changing ratio of dissolved CO₂ to DIC. Here ΔDIC refers to the deviation of the DIC
29 concentration from equilibrium with the inlet air. Equilibrium conditions during the tests were
30 a DIC of 1960±15 μmol kg⁻¹, an alkalinity of 2180±15 μeq kg⁻¹ and a pCO₂ of 425±10 ppm at
31 296.5 K.

1 The U-tube at the bottom of the chamber inevitably leads to an exchange of water between the
2 chamber and the surrounding water body that may affect the flux measurements. The water
3 exchange was not metered onsite. From Hagen-Poiseilles law we estimated a response time
4 towards water exchange of 2.15 ± 0.15 h. This is substantially larger than the respective
5 response times for the gas exchange. For CH_4 we can safely assume that the bias due to water
6 exchange is regardless of the concentration difference between the chamber and the
7 surrounding water less than 1%. Due to the much slower response time the bias with respect
8 to DIC becomes larger.

9 We assumed a constant source or sink inside the chamber and an incubation time of 6 h for a
10 first estimate of the bias. Under these conditions the recovery for a CO_2 sink ranges from 69%
11 to 75% and the recovery for a CO_2 source ranges from 78% to 83% with both depending on
12 the source/sink strength. We found these recoveries acceptable for a first tentative assessment
13 of the DIC dynamics over full tidal cycles as was the primary goal of our study.

14 **2.2 Sampling site**

15 The sampling was conducted in an intertidal seagrass meadow of *Zostera noltii* (Hornemann)
16 of Ria Formosa lagoon, a mesotidal system located in southern Portugal. The lagoon has a
17 surface area of 84 km² with about 80% of it being intertidal. It is separated from the open
18 ocean by a system of sand barrier islands. Six inlets allow exchanges of water with the
19 Atlantic Ocean. The tidal amplitude ranges from 3.50 m on spring tides to 1.30 m on neap
20 tides. In each tidal cycle about 50% to 75% of the water in the lagoon is renewed. Except
21 during sporadic periods of heavy rainfall salinity ranges from 35.5 to 36.0 PSU throughout the
22 year; water temperature varies between 12 and 27° C in winter and summer, respectively.

23 *Z. noltii* is the most abundant seagrass species in the Ria Formosa, covering about 45% of the
24 intertidal area (Guimarães et al., 2012). The species plays a major role in the whole ecosystem
25 metabolism of the lagoon (Santos et al., 2004). The range of *Z. noltii* biomass variation at the
26 sampling site is 229 - 310 g DW m⁻² (Cabaço et al., 2008).

27 **2.3 Sampling and measurement**

28 The CO_2 and CH_4 flux measurements were performed between 23 April and 27 April 2012.
29 VOC fluxes were measured between April 17th and April 28th 2012. Therefore, the time base
30 of the VOC sampling does not fully overlap the time base of the CO_2 and CH_4 sampling. The

1 sampled seagrass patches (*Z. noltii*) were free of visible epiphytes and macroalgae. The
2 canopy coverage was estimated to be higher than 95%.

3 CO₂ and CH₄ were measured on site with a Picarro 1301 cavity ring down spectrometer. A six
4 port Valco valve was used to switch between three different sampling lines. The first
5 sampling line was directly connected to the dynamic flux chamber and the two other sampling
6 lines were used to sample ambient air from two different heights above the ground (2m and
7 4m). The sampling lines were consecutively sampled for 5 minutes and each line was
8 connected to an additional membrane pump for continuously flushing at a flow rate of 0.5 L
9 min⁻¹ when not sampled. The sampling order was height 1, height 2, chamber. The mixing
10 ratios from the two air sampling lines were averaged to calculate the inlet concentration of the
11 chamber. Discrete gas samples were taken from the second sampling port of the flux chamber
12 to determine the outlet concentration of the VOCs. In parallel, discrete samples were taken
13 from the feeding line to the flux chamber via a T-union to determine the inlet concentration of
14 the VOCs. Details of the VOC sampling system are given in Weinberg et al. (submitted).
15 Briefly, 30±5 L of ambient air was drawn through a cryo trap at a flow rate of 1.0±0.2 L min⁻¹
16 ¹. The samples were thermally desorbed from the cryo trap (310°C) using a flow of helium
17 (30 mL min⁻¹ for 15 min) and recollected on peltier-cooled adsorption tubes maintained at –
18 10°C. From the adsorption tube the samples were again desorbed into a flow of helium and
19 refocused on a quartz capillary (0.32 mm i.d., 60 cm length) immersed in liquid nitrogen. The
20 analytes were desorbed from the quartz capillary at ambient temperature and transferred to a
21 GC-MS system (6890N/5975B, Agilent). VOCs were separated on a CP-PorabondQ column
22 (Varian, 25m, 0.25 µm i.d.) with helium as a carrier gas. Quantification of CH₃Cl, CH₃Br,
23 CH₃I, CHCl₃, CHBr₃, propene, and CS₂ was performed against a Scott TOC 15/17 standard
24 containing, among others, 1ppm each in nitrogen. Typically two to four aliquots of 1ml were
25 analyzed each day. The overall precision of this method is better than ± 6%.

26

27 **3 Results**

28 The high time resolution of our measurements provided detailed insights into the complex
29 dynamics of CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes of Ria Formosa intertidal. The flux patterns of CO₂ and CH₄
30 of both *Z. noltii* and adjacent bare sediment patches are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively.
31 Table 1 provides the time-averaged fluxes for different stages of the tidal cycle. In general,
32 much higher CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes were observed for the seagrass covered areas than for the

1 bare sediment. The fluxes of both gases showed clear diurnal variations with similar patterns
2 above the seagrass and the bare sediment. We observed a strong influence of the tidal cycle on
3 fluxes of both gases with more pronounced emission fluxes generally occurring during tidal
4 inundation. At daytime, CO₂ assimilation dominated over benthic respiration resulting in a net
5 uptake, regardless of the tidal state. Elevated fluxes during tidal immersion were also
6 observed for all non-CH₄ VOCs studied here.

7 **3.1 Methane**

8 During air exposure at low tide, CH₄ fluxes averaged 4.4 μmol m⁻² h⁻¹ at night and 6.9 μmol
9 m⁻² h⁻¹ at day. With the flood current just arriving at the sampling site the fluxes dropped
10 almost to zero for > 15 minutes. A sharp emission peak was observed for 15 minutes
11 following this drop. Accounting for the integration time and the response time of the chamber
12 system we deduce that these events may have actually lasted for 2 to 5 minutes. †The fluxes
13 averaged 71 μmol m⁻² h⁻¹ during these peak events. The peaks were more pronounced during
14 the night (76 and 123 μmol m⁻² h⁻¹) than during daytime (38 and 51 μmol m⁻² h⁻¹). The fluxes
15 rapidly decreased after the peak events to values below 9±1 μmol m⁻² h⁻¹.

16 During tidal immersion, the CH₄ fluxes increased with rising height of the water and showed
17 a second maximum of 30 ±1 μmol m⁻² h⁻¹ at high tide. The CH₄ fluxes decreased constantly
18 with the ebb flow to values about 9±1 μmol m⁻² h⁻¹ at water levels below 10 cm. The change
19 from tidal immersion to air exposure was marked by slightly elevated fluxes observed for
20 about 15 minutes followed by a drop close to zero before the flux stabilized on the low tide
21 level again.

22 The diurnal flux cycles observed above the sediment (Fig. 3) were similar to those above the
23 seagrass but, with much lower values (Table 1 and Fig. 2). The CH₄ fluxes averaged 0.3 μmol
24 m⁻² h⁻¹ during low tide, and 6 μmol m⁻² h⁻¹ (5.2 μmol m⁻² h⁻¹ at daytime and 6.6 μmol m⁻² h⁻¹
25 at night time) during tidal inundation.

26 **3.2 CO₂**

27 In contrast to CH₄, the CO₂ flux was strongly influenced by both, the time of day and the tidal
28 cycle. Deposition fluxes were observed during the day resulting from photosynthetic carbon
29 uptake while positive fluxes were observed during the night due to respiratory release of CO₂.
30 The emissions were relatively constant during air exposure at night and averaged 8.4±0.5

1 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹. As observed for CH₄, the flux dropped to zero for about 10 minutes with the
2 incoming tide and then rapidly increased to highest CO₂ emissions of up to 62 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹.
3 Thereafter, the CO₂ flux decreased rapidly to 38±4 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ and then further declined
4 slowly over the period of tidal inundation. After sunrise, roughly coinciding with high tide
5 during our measurements, the CO₂ fluxes declined more rapidly due to the beginning of
6 photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation. CO₂ assimilation dominated over benthic CO₂ respiration
7 during the daylight period resulting in a net uptake of CO₂ with average fluxes of -9.1 mmol
8 m⁻² h⁻¹ during air exposure and of -16.4 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ during immersion.

9 At night, the average sedimentary CO₂ fluxes were 1.0 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ during air exposure and
10 6.4 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ during tidal inundation. The CO₂ night time flux during inundation
11 decreased until high tide and increased again with the onset of ebb flow indicating an inverse
12 relation with the height of the water table. The daytime average CO₂ fluxes from sediment
13 were -1 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ during low tide and -2 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ during tidal inundation.

14 **3.3 VOCs**

15 Relative fluxes of, CS₂, CH₃Cl, CH₃Br, CH₃I, CHCl₃, CHBr₃, and propene are shown in
16 figure 4. Mean fluxes and ranges are provided in table 2. It has to be noted that for most of the
17 VOC flux data the sampling time does not coincide with the sampling time for the CO₂ and
18 CH₄ data shown above. As observed for CO₂ and CH₄, the emission rates during tidal
19 immersion significantly exceeded those measured during air exposure. The average
20 enhancement during tidal immersion (relative to the average fluxes during air exposure)
21 ranged from 4 – 12 for CS₂, CH₃Br, CH₃I, CHCl₃ and CHBr₃. A higher enhancement was
22 observed for CH₃Cl. A less pronounced enhancement ranging from 1 to 3 was observed for
23 propene. Among the analysed VOCs, only CH₃Cl fluxes increase similarly drastically as the
24 CH₄ with the feeder current arriving at the sampling site. In this context it is important to note
25 that the sampling time for the VOCs was 30 minutes followed by a break of 15 minutes
26 required to change the cryo traps. Hence, it is possible that peak flux, lasting 3 to 5 minutes
27 for CH₄, is missed or not fully captured by our VOC sampling protocol. For CHBr₃ our data
28 also show a small enhancement when the water just starts receding from the sampling site.

29 The temporal flux patterns show some remarkable differences between individual VOCs
30 during tidal immersion. Strongly enhanced fluxes during high tide were observed for CS₂,
31 showing a similar pattern as observed for CH₄. The fluxes of the other monitored compounds

1 decreased or even turned from emission to uptake during high tide and thus acted more
2 similar as CO₂.

3 **3.4 Atmospheric mixing ratios of CO₂ and CH₄**

4 The atmospheric mixing ratios of CO₂ and CH₄ are shown in figure 5. CO₂ mixing ratios
5 (both heights) ranged from 395.5 to 429.7 ppm and averaged 400.3 ppm. The atmospheric
6 mixing ratios of CH₄ ranged from 1.831 to 1.895 ppm (both heights) and averaged 1.861 ppm.
7 Lowest mixing ratios of 395.8±0.2 ppm for CO₂ and of 1.834±0.004 ppm for CH₄ were
8 observed between 8:00 pm on April 25th and 4:00 am on April 26th and coincided with
9 westerly winds from the Open Ocean and wind speeds above 4m/s. With decreasing wind
10 speeds and during easterly winds, when the air masses passed over large parts of the lagoon,
11 the atmospheric mixing ratios of CO₂ and CH₄ increased.

12 The close coupling between the measured fluxes and the atmospheric mixing ratios at low
13 wind speeds becomes in particular evident at the end of the campaign. The atmospheric
14 mixing ratios of CH₄ nicely resemble the enhanced emissions during immersion over the last
15 two tidal cycles. The sharp methane emission peak observed when the water entered the
16 chamber becomes diffuse under ambient conditions as bubble ebullition will occur throughout
17 rising tide at the water line. This coupling is somewhat confounded on April 27th because of
18 rapidly changing wind conditions. Nevertheless, elevated CH₄ mixing ratios coincide with
19 elevated fluxes during tidal immersion. As for CH₄, elevated mixing ratios of atmospheric
20 CO₂ coincide with periods of strong CO₂ emissions during tidal immersion at night. Notably
21 on April 26th at noon the atmospheric CO₂ mixing ratios show a slight drop when carbon
22 assimilation was largest. In summary, the pattern of the atmospheric mixing ratios support the
23 flux pattern observed with the chamber.

24

25 **4 Discussion**

26 **4.1 Temporal flux patterns**

27 The most striking feature of our results is the pronounced effect of the tidal cycle on the
28 fluxes of all trace gases, which were significantly enhanced during immersion compared to air
29 exposure periods. Additionally, strong emission peaks of CH₄, among other VOCs, and
30 particularly of CO₂ occurred during a short transition period from air exposure to immersion.

1 We are aware of only one study reporting a positive correlation of CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes with
2 the height of the water table from a brackish coastal lagoon in Japan (Yamamoto et al., 2009).
3 The authors of this study did not come up with a conclusive explanation for this observation.
4 They suggested either lateral transport in the sediment in combination with salinity gradients
5 affecting the source strength and/or enhanced gas ebullition due to increased pressure from
6 the water column. The Ria Formosa lagoon has a negligible inflow of freshwater and a year
7 round salinity between 35 and 36 PSU. This makes salinity driven lateral changes in
8 methanogenesis and benthic respiration implausible. Spatial variations in the source strength
9 that might occur due to variations in the benthic communities and in the supply of substrate
10 by litter production and root exudates are also not plausible as the benthic vegetation around
11 the sampling site consisted almost exclusively of *Z. noltii* and was quite homogeneous.
12 Variations in the above ground biomass were clearly below a factor of 2 and thus do not
13 support a linear change in the source strength by a factor of 6 as observed for CH₄ during tidal
14 immersion. On the other hand, a negative relation between bubble ebullition and water
15 pressure has been reported in other studies (Baird et al., 2004; Glaser et al., 2004), including
16 the only study we are aware of that was carried out in a tidally influenced system (Chanton et
17 al., 1989).

18 Most previous studies on trace gas fluxes in tidally influenced systems have reported higher
19 fluxes during low tide than during high tide. These higher emissions during low tide were
20 attributed to reduced gas diffusion during inundation (Heyer and Berger, 2000; Van der Nat
21 and Middelburg, 2000) or to deep pore water circulation in tidal flats (Barnes et al., 2006; De
22 La Paz et al., 2008; Grunwald et al., 2009; Deborde et al., 2010). Since the pioneering work of
23 Riedl et al. (1972) there is rising evidence that advective exchange processes at the sediment-
24 water interface strongly affect the fluxes and concentrations of trace constituents. Billerbeck
25 et al. (2006) proposed two different pathways for pore water circulation in intertidal
26 sediments. The first pathway, called “body circulation”, is generated by the hydraulic gradient
27 between sea water and pore water levels in the sediment, and leads to seepage of pore water
28 close to the low water line at low tide. The second pathway, called “Skin circulation”, refers
29 to the advective exchange in surface sediments and is driven by bottom current induced
30 pressure gradients at the sediment surface. Several studies have shown a prominent effect of
31 advective transport processes on the exchange of organic matter and nutrients in tidal sand
32 flats (Werner et al., 2006; Billerbeck et al., 2006; Huettel et al., 1996; Precht et al., 2004).
33 Werner et al. (2006) found a more intense and deeper transport of oxygen into the sediment

1 due to advective exchange during tidal immersion than during air exposure, when the
2 exchange is presumably driven by gas diffusion. This is also supported by a study of Kim and
3 Kim (2007), who reported total oxygen fluxes exceeding diffusive fluxes by a factor of 2 to 3
4 for intertidal sediments from Teaeon Bay located in the Midwestern part of the Korean
5 peninsula. Cook et al. (2007) reported a concurrent increase of total oxygen and TIC (total
6 inorganic carbon) fluxes at the sediment surface by a factor of up to 2.5 under turbulent
7 conditions relative to stagnant (diffusive) conditions. In our study, the respiratory CO₂-fluxes
8 during tidal immersion exceeded the respiratory CO₂ flux during air exposure by a factor of
9 2.4 and the methane fluxes during immersion exceeded those during air exposure by a factor
10 of 2.9.

11 During measurements carried out in the back barrier area of the island of Spiekeroog
12 (Billerbeck et al., 2006, Jansen et al., 2009), the highest oxygen penetration rates were
13 observed immediately after high tide. In accordance, Yamamoto et al. (2009) noted a
14 concurrent increase of the redox potential of the sediment with increasing CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes
15 during tidal inundation. The CH₄ fluxes observed in the Ria Formosa lagoon provide a mirror
16 image of these oxygen dynamics. Given this, we deduce an overall strong effect of advective
17 solute transport at the sediment water interface on trace gas fluxes to explain the elevated
18 fluxes during tidal immersion. Both, the observed similarities between the flux patterns
19 among all trace gases and the relatively constant CO₂/CH₄ ratios observed at night time, when
20 photosynthesis was not interfering flux patterns, suggest physical forcing as the major driver
21 of trace gas fluxes rather than the biogeochemical processes controlling their formation.

22 It is commonly thought that the fluxes during air exposure are most likely driven by gas
23 evasion across the sediment-air and plant-air interface, respectively, and are hence controlled
24 by the transfer resistance across these interfaces (Yamamoto et al., 2009 and references
25 therein). However, this model cannot explain the observed drop to zero of CO₂ and CH₄
26 fluxes for about 15 minutes when the incoming tide reached the sampling site. In waterlogged
27 sediments trace gases have to be transported to the sites of gas diffusion, such as to a water
28 gas interface or to the root systems of higher plants. Werner et al. (2006) observed a constant
29 flow velocity of pore water over the entire period of air exposure and noted a decreasing flow
30 velocity in the top 2 cm shortly before the flood current reached the sampling site and flow
31 direction reversed. Although the chamber will certainly affect the water flow in the top
32 sediment, this may provide a clue to explain the observed drop in the emission fluxes.

1 The drop in the fluxes was followed by a dramatic peak in both, CO₂ and CH₄ emissions,
2 when floodwater reached the chamber. Thereafter, CH₄ fluxes dropped to increase again with
3 tidal height. In contrast, the respiratory CO₂ night flux showed a gradual decline. Similar flux
4 peaks at incoming floodwater have been previously reported for biogenic sulphur compounds
5 (Aneja et al., 1986; Cooper et al., 1987a, b) and ammonia (Falcão and Vale, 2003), being
6 attributed to increased hydrodynamic pressure. In contrast to these observations, we did not
7 observe a pronounced peak for any of the VOCs other than CH₄. However, it is possible that
8 the peak events were not captured due to our discrete VOC sampling method.

9 We speculate that the peaks are caused by the sudden release of the air trapped in the
10 sediment pore space that becomes enriched in CH₄ and CO₂ during air exposure. The release
11 of trapped air from the sediment may be fostered by the aforementioned reversal of flow
12 direction in tidal surface sediments reported by Werner et al. (2006). Such an emission
13 mechanism is further supported by the fact that a similar drop in the CH₄ emission is also
14 observed for the change from tidal immersion to air exposure, but not followed by an
15 emission peak, which is simply due to the lack of air bubbles in the sediment at this stage of
16 the tidal cycle. Furthermore, the higher fluxes during tidal inundation may impede the
17 enrichment of trace gases in the surface sediment. The short and sharp emission peak for CH₄
18 suggests that the CH₄ has been accumulated close to the sediment surface or close to the roots
19 of the seagrass from where it can be readily transferred into the atmosphere. In agreement
20 with this, our data clearly show higher CH₄ emission peaks during night time than daytime,
21 when sediment oxygenation resulting from photosynthesis favours CH₄ oxidation.

22 During night time, the respiratory CO₂ flux and the CH₄ flux show a fairly constant ratio
23 during air exposure but evolve differently during tidal immersion. In contrast to the gradual
24 decline of CO₂ after the peak at incoming tide, CH₄ dropped sharply after this peak to
25 increase again with tidal height. CH₄ originating from deeper sediment layers has a fairly low
26 water solubility and thus becomes strongly enriched in the entrapped gas. Hence, the
27 transition from a bubble ebullition driven emission, as suggested for the “CH₄ peak”, to an
28 advective transport of pore water, as suggested for the period of tidal immersion, results in a
29 sharp decrease of the CH₄ flux. The following increase in CH₄ may reflect the increasing
30 penetration depth of the advective flow with the rising water table. CO₂ is always close to
31 equilibrium with the much larger pore water DIC pool. After the transition from bubble
32 ebullition to advective transport, the CO₂ flux is driven by the exchange of enriched pore

1 water DIC and the observed gradual decline in the CO₂ flux reflects the dilution of the pore
2 water with the overlying seawater.

3 While the seagrass incubations showed a continuous decline of the CO₂ flux during tidal
4 immersion, the incubations at the non-vegetated sediment showed a partial recovery of the
5 CO₂ flux after high tide and thus an inverse correlation with the height of the water table. As
6 outlined before, this difference may result from the onset of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation
7 at the end of the tidal cycle at sunrise, which had a more pronounced impact within the
8 seagrass incubations.

9 **4.2 Magnitude of CH₄ fluxes**

10 CH₄ emissions of *Z. noltii* community averaged 0.31 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ with ~76% being released
11 during tidal immersion. They are about 4 fold higher than CH₄ fluxes from the non-vegetated
12 sediment community (0.07 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ with ~93% being released during tidal immersion).
13 Oremland (1975) reported CH₄ production rates ranging from 0.26 to 1.80 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ from
14 a *Thalassium testudinum* bed and production rates ranging from 0.08 to 0.19 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹
15 from a *Syringopodium* sp. community. In a study of Deborde et al (2010) the methane
16 production rates in the surface sediments of *Z. noltii* sites were generally below 0.04 mmol⁻²
17 m² d⁻¹ (being the detection limit of their method). Somehow in contrast to our results they
18 observed higher production rates in unvegetated sediments ranging from <0.04 to 0,78 mmol
19 m² d⁻¹. The average sedimentary CH₄ flux of 0.07 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ in our study is at the lower
20 end of this range.

21 Bartlett et al. (1987) and Delaune et al. (1983) reported decreasing CH₄ fluxes with increasing
22 salinity. CH₄ fluxes decreased from 17 to 34.2 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ at salinities around 1 PSU to 0.17
23 to 0.85 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ at salinities above 18 PSU. our data fell well into the range given for
24 higher salinities, although a direct comparison of these values with our data is difficult due to
25 the differences in salinity. Middelburg et al. (2002) have estimated the average CH₄ flux from
26 European estuarine waters to be 0.13 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, which is about twice the fluxes of the
27 non-vegetated sediments of the Ria Formosa lagoon. Hence, our data suggest that apart from
28 body circulation (Jansen et al. 2009; Grunwald et al. 2009), skin circulation may substantially
29 contribute to CH₄ fluxes in tidal flats.

30

1 A tentative upscaling using our flux data and a global seagrass coverage area of 300.000 km²
2 (Duarte et al., 2005) reveals a global CH₄ flux of ~ 0.5 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹ from seagrass meadows.
3 Including the data from Oremland (1975) and from Deborde et al. (2010) global emissions
4 may range from < 0.1 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹ to 2.5 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹. The ocean, including the productive
5 coastal ecosystems, is a minor source for atmospheric CH₄ contributing about 10% to the
6 global sources (Wuebbles and Hayhoe, 2002) with emissions estimated to be in the range of
7 11 to 18 Tg yr⁻¹ (Bange et al., 1994). Despite the large uncertainty in this estimate it is
8 reasonable to suppose seagrass meadows being a minor global source of CH₄.

9 **4.3 Magnitude of CO₂ fluxes**

10 Our method may underestimate the CO₂-fluxes by 20±15% as outlined in the method section.
11 However, it is worth to compare the results from this study with those from previous studies.

12 During our experiment, the overall net community production (NCP) of *Z. noltii* was 101
13 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹ and that of unvegetated sediments was 50 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹, showing that
14 heterotrophic metabolism was dominating in the intertidal of Ria Formosa lagoon. Santos et
15 al. (2004) found that the intertidal was marginally autotrophic in July 2002 with a NCP of -5.5
16 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹ for *Z. noltii* and of -21.2 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹ for the unvegetated sediment.

17 To the best of our knowledge, we present here the first assessment of how the respiration of a
18 seagrass community varies over night along with the tidal cycle. Several previous studies used
19 punctual measurements, either with dark chambers or during the night, to assess the
20 community respiration (Santos et al., 2004; Silva et al., 2008; Duarte et al., 2010; Clavier et
21 al., 2011). These punctual data were scaled up to estimate daily respiration rates and to
22 calculate daily metabolic budgets of seagrass communities. Our data show that this practice
23 may seriously affect the estimation of the metabolic daily budgets of seagrass communities,
24 particularly in the intertidal. The average net CO₂ emissions (community respiration, CR) of
25 *Z. noltii* during night were 10.2 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ (air exposure), 23.2 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ (tidal
26 immersion) and 55.0 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ (peak event) (Table 1). With an average daylight period of
27 12 h and an average period of tidal inundation of 15.30 h d⁻¹, the community respiration is
28 estimated to 233 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ during night time.

29 The respiratory CO₂ production peaks during incoming flood tide are immediately recycled
30 during the day, i.e assimilated by the seagrass community. The observed accelerated
31 decreases in the CO₂ flux coinciding with sunrise and the much lower CO₂ peaks observed

1 during the day at the transition from air exposure to inundation provide evidence for this.
2 Over the course of the experiment, a net CO₂ assimilation occurred roughly between 9:00 am
3 and 6:00 pm with average net assimilation rates of 9.1 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ during air exposure and
4 16.4 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ during immersion summing up to a net CO₂ assimilation of 125 mmol m⁻²
5 d⁻¹. The NCP of *Z. noltii* during air exposure estimated here compares well to the previously
6 reported rates ranging from 10 to 15 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ (Silva et al., 2005), whereas NCP during
7 tidal immersion significantly exceeds previously reported rates of less than 5 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹
8 from the Ria Formosa (Santos et al., 2004; Silva et al., 2005, 2008). These earlier studies used
9 static chambers prone to introduce stagnant conditions. In contrast, the bubbling in our
10 chamber introduces turbulent mixing and hence may facilitate the transport of CO₂ across the
11 water leaf interface. Thus, these differences can be mainly attributed to the introduction of
12 advection in our chamber system. In accordance with our results, Clavier et al. (2011) have
13 recently reported a higher NCP during submersion than under aerial conditions from a *Z.*
14 *noltii* bed in the Banc D'Arguin (Mauritania). A benthic chamber equipped with submersible
15 pumps to maintain a turbulent water flow during submersion was used in this study. They
16 found a NCP of about 3 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ under aerial conditions and of about 20 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹
17 under submerged conditions with the latter being derived from DIC and oxygen
18 measurements. The respective gross primary production rates in the study of Clavier et al.
19 (2011) were 6 and 42.7 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹. From our CO₂-flux measurements we have estimated a
20 net community production of 9.1 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ under aerial conditions and of 16.4 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹
21 under submerged conditions. As a first rough estimate of the gross community production in
22 our study, we can simply add the observed respiration fluxes measured during night to the net
23 community production resulting in an estimated gross community production of 17.5 mmol
24 m⁻² h⁻¹ under aerial conditions and of 36.5 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ under submerged conditions, whereas
25 the peak occurring at the transition from air exposure to immersion has not been included. The
26 net and gross community production rates from both studies agree quite well in particular
27 under submerged conditions while our production rates under aerial conditions were about
28 three times higher than those reported in Clavier et al. (2012). When including the carbon
29 evolution from the sediment we can estimate a gross primary production of 4.3 g C m⁻² d⁻¹
30 being close to that (~ 5 g C m⁻² d⁻¹) computed from changes in the living biomass by Cabaço
31 et al. (2012) for established meadows of *Z. noltii* in the Ria Formosa for this time (late spring)
32 of the year. In this context it should be noted that the available data on the aerial versus
33 submerged photosynthesis of *Z. noltii* are not consistent, as already outlined in Silva et al.

1 (2005). While Leuschner and Rees (1993) and Leuschner et al. (1998) measured comparable
2 rates of CO₂ assimilation in air and water, Perez-Llorens and Niell (1994) found CO₂ uptake
3 rates in air 10 to 20 times lower than in water. We currently cannot appraise the quality and
4 reliability of the different chamber systems as the strength of advection in our chamber
5 system relative to ambient conditions is unknown. However, these differences highlight the
6 importance of accurately addressing the perturbations of turbulent flows in benthic flux
7 chambers.

8 **4.4 VOCs**

9 The overall focus of this section is the temporal evolution of the VOC fluxes over a tidal
10 cycle. A quantitative discussion of the VOC data and an assessment of potential intrinsic
11 sources are beyond the scope of this paper. For the halocarbons this will be done elsewhere
12 (Weinberg et al., 2015). CS₂ having a known sedimentary source (Bodenbender et al., 1999)
13 show a similar temporal pattern as CH₄ during high tide. Thus, we conclude that the emission
14 of CS₂ is in analogy to CH₄ mainly controlled by advective transport across the sediment
15 water interface.

16 Halocarbon production in the marine environment is generally attributed to photoautotrophic
17 sources (Gschwend et al., 1985; Manley et al., 2006; Moore et al., 1995) though there is some
18 evidence of a sedimentary bacterial source for iodomethane (Amachi et al., 2001). In seagrass
19 meadows halocarbons are presumably produced by the seagrass or by the microphytobenthos.
20 Only in the latter case porewater flow across the sedimentary interface can directly affect the
21 emission. However, the elevated halocarbon fluxes during tidal immersion may reflect an
22 enhanced transport across the leaf water interface and/or result from the enhanced net primary
23 production during immersion. Sediments may also act as a sink for monohalomethanes
24 (Miller et al., 2001; Bill et al., 2002), and trihalomethanes are known to be degraded by a
25 variety of microorganisms (Alasdair and Allard, 2008). Hence, the remarkable decrease and
26 the uptake of the halocarbons may simply reflect sedimentary degradation processes. We
27 further noted remarkable levels of H₂S and methanethiol in our samples during high tide. In
28 particular H₂S is a very reactive nucleophile, readily reacting with monohalomethanes
29 (Barbash and Reinhard, 1989) and thus may additionally foster their degradation. In
30 summary, similarly to CH₄ and CO₂, the VOC fluxes are more pronounced during tidal
31 immersion than during air exposure but further show some differences resulting from their
32 different sources and sinks.

1

2 **5 Conclusions**

3 We have presented flux measurements for a variety of trace gases in a tidally influenced
4 seagrass bed (*Z. noltii*) using a newly developed dynamic flux chamber system that can be
5 deployed over full tidal cycles. An unambiguous quantification of carbon fluxes in future
6 studies requires additional measures such as pH or alkalinity to better constrain the carbonate
7 system. Further, the water exchange between the chamber and surrounding waters should be
8 quantified. Despite this caveats, our results provide new insights into the temporal flux
9 dynamics. In particular the CO₂ and CH₄ -data illustrate the need for high time resolution
10 measurements to accurately address the fluxes and dynamics of trace gases in tidally
11 controlled systems. We observed short emission peaks with the flood current arriving at the
12 sampling site for CH₄. In line with previous studies that have demonstrated the importance of
13 advective transport processes for the oxygenation of sediments, our results show a general
14 strong control of advective transport processes on trace gas fluxes in intertidal systems during
15 submersion. We are aware of only very few earlier studies in intertidal systems indicating
16 elevated fluxes during tidal immersion or periods of tidal change. Contrasting to most
17 previous flux chamber studies, our data indicate significant enhanced fluxes during tidal
18 immersion relative to periods of air exposure for all trace gases measured. Similar was
19 previously reported for oxygen, DIC nutrients and suspended matter. Hence, our results
20 highlight the importance of accurately addressing the perturbations of turbulent flows in flux
21 chamber studies. If the observed flux enhancements are more than just episodic events, this
22 may have fundamental implications for our understanding of the carbon and trace gas cycling
23 in coastal environments.

24

25 **6 Acknowledgements**

26 The authors thank the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) for
27 funding (grants 03F0611E and 03F0662E). This work was partly supported by the EU FP7
28 Assemble research infrastructure initiative and the project "Whole-system metabolism and
29 CO₂ fluxes in a coastal lagoon dominated by saltmarsh and seagrass meadows", PTDC/AAC-
30 CLI/103348/2008. João Reis, and Bruno Fragoso (CCMAR, Universidade do Algarve) are
31 greatly acknowledged for their extensive support during sampling site selection and sampling.

1 Our technical staff members Sabine Beckmann and Ralf Lendt are thanked for their
2 invaluable help.

3

4

1 **References**

- 2 Alasdair H.N, Allard A-S, 2008. Environmental degradation and transformation of organic chemicals, CRC
3 Press , Boca Raton
4
- 5 Amachi, S., Kamagata, Y., Kanagawa, T., and Muramatsu, Y. 2001. Bacteria mediate methylation of iodine in
6 marine and terrestrial environments, *Appl. Environ. Microb.*, 67, 2718-2722
7
- 8 Aneja, V.P., 1986. Characterization of emissions of biogenic hydrogen sulfide. *Tellus* 38b, 81 – 86
9
- 10 Armstrong, W., 1979. Aeration in higher plants. *Adv. Botanical Res.* 7:225-332
11
- 12 Bahlmann, E., Weinberg, I., Seifert, R., Tubbesing, C., Michaelis, W., 2011. A high volume sampling system for
13 isotope determination of volatile halocarbons and hydrocarbons. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* 4, 2073-
14 2086.
15
- 16 Baird, A.J., Beckwith, C.W., Waldron, S., Waddington, J.M., 2004. Ebullition of methane-containing gas
17 bubbles from near-surface Sphagnum peat. *Geophysical Research Letters* 31.
18
- 19 Baker, J.M., Reeves, C.E., Nightingale, P.D., Penkett, S.A., Gibb, S.W., Hatton, A.D., 1999. Biological
20 production of methyl bromide in the coastal waters of the North Sea and open ocean of the northeast Atlantic.
21 *Marine Chemistry* 64, 267-285.
22
- 23 Bange, H.W., Bartell, U.H., Rapsomanikis, S., and Andreae, M.O. 1994. Methane in the Baltic and North Seas
24 and a reassessment of the marine emissions of methane, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 8,
25 465–480.
26
- 27 Barbash, J.E., Reinhard, M., 1989. Reactivity of sulfur Nucleophiles toward halogenated organic compounds in
28 natural waters, in *Biogenic Sulfur in the Environment*, edited by Saltzman, E., Cooper, W. J., 101-137, American
29 Chemical Society, Washington D .C.
30
- 31 Barnes, J., Ramesh, R., Purvaja, R., Rajkumar, A.N., Kumar, B.S., Krithika, K., Ravichandran, K., Uher, G.,
32 Upstill-Goddard, R., 2006. Tidal dynamics and rainfall control N₂O and CH₄ emissions from a pristine mangrove
33 creek. *Geophysical Research Letters* 33.
34
- 35 Barron, C., Duarte, C.M., Frankignoulle, M., Borges, A.V., 2006. Organic carbon metabolism and carbonate
36 dynamics in a Mediterranean seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) meadow. *Estuaries and Coasts* 29, 417-426.
37
- 38 Bartlett, K.B., Bartlett, D.S., Harriss, R.C., Sebacher, D.I., 1987. Methane emissions along a salt-marsh salinity
39 gradient. *Biogeochemistry* 4, 183-202.
40
- 41 Bates, T.S., Lamb, B.K., Guenther, A., Dignon, J., Stoiber, R.E., 1992. Sulfur emissions to the atmosphere from
42 natural sources. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry* 14, 315-337.

1
2 Bill, M., Rhew, R. C., Weiss, R. F., Goldstein, A. H. 2002. Carbon isotope ratios of methyl bromide and methyl
3 chloride emitted from a coastal salt marsh, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(4), 1045,
4
5 Billerbeck, M., Werner, U., Bosselmann, K., Walpersdorf, E., Huettel, M., 2006a. Nutrient release from an
6 exposed intertidal sand flat. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 316, 35-51.
7
8 Billerbeck, M., Werner, U., Polerecky, L., Walpersdorf, E., deBeer, D., Huettel, M., 2006b. Surficial and deep
9 pore water circulation governs spatial and temporal scales of nutrient recycling in intertidal sand flat sediment.
10 *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 326, 61-76.
11
12 Bodenbender, J., Wassmann, R. Papen, H. Rennenberg, H. 1999. Temporal and spatial variation of sulfur-gas-
13 transfer between coastal marine sediments and the atmosphere. *Atmospheric Environment* 33. 3487 - 3502
14
15 Borum, J., Sand-Jensen, K., Binzer, T., Pedersen, O., Greve, T., 2006. Oxygen Movement in Seagrasses,
16 *Seagrasses: Biology, ecology and conservation*. Springer Netherlands, pp. 255-270.
17
18 Brito, A., Newton, A., Tett, P., Fernandes, T.F., 2010. Sediment and water nutrients and microalgae in a coastal
19 shallow lagoon, Ria Formosa (Portugal): Implications for the Water Framework Directive. *Journal of*
20 *Environmental Monitoring* 12, 318-328.
21
22 Brotas, V., Amorimferreira, A., Vale, C., Catarino, F., 1990. Oxygen profiles in intertidal sediments of Ria
23 Formosa (S Portugal). *Hydrobiologia* 207, 123-129.
24
25 Cabaço, S., Machás, R., Vieira, V., & Santos, R. (2008). Impacts of urban wastewater discharge on seagrass
26 meadows (*Zostera noltii*). *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 78 (1), 1–13.
27
28 Chanton, J.P., Martens, C.S., Kelley, C.A., 1989. Gas-transport from methane-saturated, tidal fresh-water and
29 wetland sediments. *Limnology and Oceanography* 34, 807-819.
30
31 Charpy-Roubaud, C., Sournia, A., 1990. The comparative estimation of phytoplanktonic microphytobenthic and
32 macrophytobenthic primary production in the oceans. *Marine Microbial Food Webs* 4, 31-58.
33
34 Christof, O., Seifert, R., Michaelis, W., 2002. Volatile halogenated organic compounds in European estuaries.
35 *Biogeochemistry* 59, 143-160.
36
37 Cook, P.L.M., Wenzhöfer, F., Glud, R.N., Jansen, F., Huettel, M. 2007. Benthic solute exchange and carbon
38 mineralization in two shallow subtidal sandy sediments: Effect of advective pore-water exchange. *Limnology*
39 *and Oceanography* 52, 1943 - 1963
40
41 Cooper, D.J., Demello, W.Z., Cooper, W.J., Zika, R.G., Saltzman, E.S., Prospero, J.M., Savoie, D.L., 1987a.
42 Short-term variability in biogenic sulfur emissions from a Florida *Spartina alterniflora* marsh *Atmospheric*
43 *Environment* 21, 7-12.
44

1 Cooper, W.J., Cooper, D.J., Saltzman, E.S., Demello, W.Z., Savoie, D.L., Zika, R.G., Prospero, J.M., 1987b.
2 Emissions of biogenic sulfur-compounds from several wetland soils in Florida. *Atmospheric Environment* 21,
3 1491-1495.
4
5 Dacey, J.W.H., King, G.M., Wakeham, S.G., 1987. Factors controlling emission of dimethyldulfide from salt
6 marshes. *Nature* 330, 643-645.
7
8 de la Paz, M., Gomez-Parra, A., Forja, J., 2008. Variability of the partial pressure of CO₂ on a daily-to-seasonal
9 time scale in a shallow coastal system affected by intensive aquaculture activities (Bay of Cadiz, SW Iberian
10 Peninsula). *Marine Chemistry* 110, 195-204.
11
12 Delaune, R.D., Smith, C.J., Patrick, W.H., 1983. Methane release from gulf-coast wetlands. *Tellus Series B-*
13 *Chemical and Physical Meteorology* 35, 8-15.
14
15 Demello, W.Z., Cooper, D.J., Cooper, W.J., Saltzman, E.S., Zika, R.G., Savoie, D.L., Prospero, J.M., 1987.
16 Spatial and diel variability in the emissions of some biogenic sulfur-compounds from a Florida *Spartina-*
17 *alterniflora* coastal zone. *Atmospheric Environment* 21, 987-990.
18
19 Deborde, F., Anschutz, P., Guèrin, F, Porier, D, Marty, D., Boucher, G., Thouzeau, G., Canto, M., Abril, G.,
20 2010. Methane sources, sinks and fluxes in a temperate tidal lagoon: The Arcachon Lagoon (SW France).
21 *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 89, 256-266
22
23 Ding, W.X., Cai, Z.C., Tsuruta, H., 2004. Methane concentration and emission as affected by methane transport
24 capacity of plants in freshwater marsh. *Water Air and Soil Pollution* 158, 99-111.
25
26 Duarte, C.M., Middelburg, J.J., Caraco, N., 2005. Major role of marine vegetation on the oceanic carbon cycle.
27 *Biogeosciences* 2, 1-8.
28
29 Duarte, C.M., Marba, N., Gacia, E., Fourqurean, J.W., Beggins, J., Barron, C., Apostolaki, E.T., 2010. Seagrass
30 community metabolism: assessing the carbon sink capacity of seagrass meadows. *Global. Biogeochem. Cycles*.
31 24, GB4032. doi:10.1029/2010GB003793.
32
33 Ferron, S, Alonso-Perez, S.F., Ortega, T., Forja, J.M., 2009. Benthic respiration on the north- eastern shelf of the
34 Gulf of Cadiz (SW Iberian Peninsula). *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 392: 69-80
35
36 Gao, F., Yates, S.R., Yates, M.V., Gan, J.Y., Ernst, F.F., 1997. Design, fabrication, and application of a dynamic
37 chamber for measuring gas emissions from soil. *Environmental Science & Technology* 31, 148-153.
38
39 Gao, F., and Yates, S. R.: Laboratory study of closed and dynamic flux chambers: Experimental results and
40 implications for field application, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 103, 26 115–26 125, 1998.
41
42 Glaser, P.H., Chanton, J.P., Morin, P., Rosenberry, D.O., Siegel, D.I., Ruud, O., Chasar, L.I., Reeve, A.S., 2004.
43 Surface deformations as indicators of deep ebullition fluxes in a large northern peatland. *Global Biogeochemical*
44 *Cycles* 18.

1
2 Grunwald, M., Dellwig, O., Beck, M., Dippner, J.W., Freund, J.A., Kohlmeier, C., Schnetger, B., Brumsack, H.-
3 J., 2009. Methane in the southern North Sea: sources, spatial distribution and budgets. *Estuarine, Coastal and*
4 *Shelf Science* 81, 445 - 456.
5
6
7 Gschwend, P.M., Macfarlane, J.K., Newman, K.A., 1985. Volatile halogenated organic-compounds released to
8 seawater from temperate marine macroalgae. *Science* 227, 1033-1035.
9
10 Guimarães, H., Cunha, A.H., Nzinga, R., Marques, J., 2012. The distribution of seagrass (*Zostera noltii*
11 Hornem.) in the Ria Formosa lagoon system and the implications of clam farming on its conservation. *J. Nat.*
12 *Conserv.* 20 (1), 30–40.
13
14 Hemminga, M., Duarte, C.M., 2000. *Seagrass ecology*, Cambridge.
15
16 Heyer, J., Berger, U., 2000. Methane emission from the coastal area in the southern Baltic Sea. *Estuar. Coast.*
17 *Shelf Sci.* 51, 13-30.
18
19 Hubas, C., Davoult, D., Cariou, T., Artigas, L.F., 2006. Factors controlling benthic metabolism during low tide
20 along a granulometric gradient in an intertidal bay (Roscoff Aber Bay, France). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*
21 316, 53-68.
22
23 Huettel, M., Rusch, A., 2000. Transport and degradation of phytoplankton in permeable sediment. *Limnology*
24 *and Oceanography* 45, 534-549.
25
26 Huettel, M., Ziebis, W., Forster, S., 1996. Flow-induced uptake of particulate matter in permeable sediments.
27 *Limnology and Oceanography* 41, 309-322.
28
29 Jansen, S., E. Walpersdorf, U. Werner, M. Billerbeck, M.E. Böttcher and D. de Beer (2009). Functioning of
30 intertidal flats inferred from temporal and spatial dynamics of O₂, H₂S and pH in their surface sediment. *Ocean*
31 *Dynamics* 59, 317-332.
32 Jonkers, H.M., van Bergeijk, S.A., van Gemerden, H., 2000. Microbial production and consumption of dimethyl
33 sulfide (DMS) in a sea grass (*Zostera noltii*)-dominated marine intertidal sediment ecosystem (Bassin
34 d'Arcachon, France). *Fems Microbiology Ecology* 31, 163-172.
35
36 Jorgensen, B.B., Okholmhansen, B., 1985. Emissions of biogenic sulfur gases from a danish estuary.
37 *Atmospheric Environment* 19, 1737-1749.
38
39 Khalil, M.A.K., Rasmussen, R.A., 1984. Global sources, lifetimes and mass balances of carbonyl sulfide (OCS)
40 and cabon-disulfide (CS₂) in the earths atmosphere. *Atmospheric Environment* 18, 1805-1813.
41
42 Kim, K.H., Kim, D., 2007. Seasonal and spatial variability of sediment oxygen fluxes in the Beobsan intertidal
43 flat of Taea Bay, mid-western Korean Peninsula. *Geosciences Journal* 11, 323-329.
44

- 1 Kim, K.H., Lindberg, S.E., 1995. Design and initial tests of a dynamic enclosure chamber for measurements of
2 vapor-phase mercury fluxes over soils. *Water Air and Soil Pollution* 80, 1059-1068.
- 3
- 4 Koch, E., Ackerman, J., Verduin, J., Keulen, M., 2006. Fluid Dynamics in Seagrass Ecology—from Molecules
5 to Ecosystems, *Seagrasses: Biology, Ecology and Conservation*. Springer Netherlands, pp. 193-225.
- 6
- 7 Koch, E.W., 1999. Preliminary evidence on the interdependent effect of currents and porewater geochemistry on
8 *Thalassia testudinum* Banks ex Konig seedlings. *Aquatic Botany* 63, 95-102.
- 9
- 10 Laanbroek, H.J., 2010. Methane emission from natural wetlands: interplay between emergent macrophytes and
11 soil microbial processes. A mini-review. *Annals of Botany* 105, 141-153.
- 12
- 13 Larkum, A.W.D., Roberts, G., Kuo, J., S. Strother, S., 1989. Gaseous movement in seagrasses. In: Larkum
14 AWD, McComb AJ and Shepherd SA (eds). *Biology of Seagrasses*, pp 686-722. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 15
- 16 Larned, S.T., 2003. Effects of the invasive, nonindigenous seagrass *Zostera japonica* on nutrient fluxes between
17 the water column and benthos in a NE Pacific estuary. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 254: 69–80
- 18 Leck, C., Rodhe, H., 1991. Emissions of marine biogenic sulfur to the atmosphere of Northern Europe. *Journal*
19 *of Atmospheric Chemistry* 12, 63-86.
- 20
- 21 Leuschner, C., Landwehr, S., Mehlig, U., 1998. Limitation of carbon assimilation of intertidal *Zostera noltii* and
22 *Z.-marina* by desiccation at low tide. *Aquatic Botany* 62, 171-176.
- 23
- 24 Leuschner, C., Rees, U., 1993. CO₂ gas-exchange of 2 intertidal seagrass species, *Zostera-marina* L and *Zostera*
25 *noltii* Hornem during emersion. *Aquatic Botany* 45, 53-62.
- 26
- 27 Lopez, N.I., Duarte, C.M., 2004. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) reduction potential in mediterranean seagrass
28 (*Posidonia oceanica*) sediments. *Journal of Sea Research* 51, 11-20.
- 29
- 30 Manley, S.L., Wang, N.-Y., Walser, M.L., Cicerone, R.J., 2006. Coastal salt marshes as global methyl halide
31 sources from determinations of intrinsic production by marsh plants. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 20.
- 32
- 33 Martens, C.S., Berner, R.A., 1974. Methane production in interstitial waters of sulfate-depleted marine
34 sediments. *Science* 185, 1167-1169.
- 35
- 36 Mateo, M., Cebrián, J., Dunton, K., Mutchler, T., 2006. Carbon Flux in Seagrass Ecosystems, *Seagrasses:*
37 *Biology, Ecology and Conservation*. Springer Netherlands, pp. 159-192.
- 38
- 39 Meixner, F.X., Fickinger, T., Marufu, L., Serca, D., Nathaus, F.J., Makina, E., Mukurumbira, L., Andreae, M.O.,
40 1997. Preliminary results on nitric oxide emission from a southern African savanna ecosystem. *Nutrient Cycling*
41 *in Agroecosystems* 48, 123-138.
- 42

1 Meysman, F.J.R., Galaktionov, E.S., Gribsholt, B., Middelburg, J.J., 2006. Bioirrigation in permeable sediments:
2 Advective pore-water transport induced by burrow ventilation. *Limnology and Oceanography* 51, 142-156.
3

4 Middelburg, J.J., Nieuwenhuize, J., Iversen, N., Høgh, N., De Wilde, H., Helder, W., Seifert, R., Christof, O.,
5 2002. Methane distribution in European tidal estuaries. *Biogeochemistry* 59, 95-119.
6

7 Migne, A., Davoult, D., Spilmont, N., Menu, D., Boucher, G., Gattuso, J.P., Rybarczyk, H., 2002. A closed-
8 chamber CO₂-flux method for estimating intertidal primary production and respiration under emersed
9 conditions. *Marine Biology* 140, 865-869.
10

11 Migne, A., Spilmont, N., Davoult, D., 2004. In situ measurements of benthic primary production during
12 emersion: seasonal variations and annual production in the Bay of Somme (eastern English Channel, France).
13 *Continental Shelf Research* 24, 1437-1449.
14

15 Miller, L. G., Kalin, R. M., McCauley, S. E., Hamilton, D. J. T., Harper, G. B., Millet, D. B., Oremland, R. S.,
16 Goldstein A. H., 2001. Large carbon isotope fractionation associated with oxidation of methyl halides by
17 methylotrophic bacteria, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
18 98(10), 5833-5837
19

20 Moore, R.M., Tokarczyk, R., Tait, V.K., Poulin, M., Geen, C., 1995. Marine phytoplankton as a natural source
21 of volatile organohalogenes, in: Grimvall, A., Leer, E.B. (Eds.), *Naturally-Produced Organohalogenes*. Springer
22 Netherlands, pp. 283-294.
23

24 Newton, A., Icely, J.D., Falcao, M., Nobre, A., Nunes, J.P., Ferreira, J.G., Vale, C., 2003. Evaluation of
25 eutrophication in the Ria Formosa coastal lagoon, Portugal. *Continental Shelf Research* 23, 1945-1961.
26

27 Nicholson, G.J., Longmore, A.R., Berelson, W.M., 1999. Nutrient fluxes measured by two types of benthic
28 chamber. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 50(6) 567 – 572
29

30 Nielsen, P., 1990. Tidal dynamics of the water-table in beaches. *Water Resources Research* 26, 2127-2134.
31

32 Oremland, R.S., 1975. Methane production in shallow-water, tropical marine sediments. *Applied Microbiology*
33 30, 602-608.
34


35 Oremland, R.S., Marsh, L.M., Polcin, S., 1982. Methane production and simultaneous sulfate reduction in
36 anoxic, salt-marsh sediments. *Nature* 296, 143-145.
37

38 Ouisse, V., Migne, A., Davoult, D., 2011. Community-level carbon flux variability over a tidal cycle in *Zostera*
39 *marina* and *Z-noltii* beds. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 437, 79-87.
40

41 Pape, L., Ammann, C., Nyfeler-Brunner, A., Spirig, C., Hens, K., Meixner, F.X., 2009. An automated dynamic
42 chamber system for surface exchange measurement of non-reactive and reactive trace gases of grassland
43 ecosystems. *Biogeosciences* 6, 405-429.
44


- 1 Pérez-Llorens, J.L., Niell, F.X., 1994. Photosynthesis in air: comparative responses to different temperatures of
2 two morphotypes of *Zostera noltii* Hornem. from Palmones River estuary (southern Spain). *Verh. Internat.*
3 *Verein. Limnol.* 25, 2265– 2269.
- 4
- 5 Precht, E., Huettel, M., 2003. Advective pore-water exchange driven by surface gravity waves and its ecological
6 implications. *Limnology and Oceanography* 48, 1674-1684.
- 7
- 8 Precht, E., Huettel, M., 2004. Rapid wave-driven advective pore water exchange in a permeable coastal
9 sediment. *Journal of Sea Research* 51, 93-107.
- 10
- 11 Rhew, R.C., Miller, B.R., Weiss, R.F., 2000. Natural methyl bromide and methyl chloride emissions from
12 coastal salt marshes. *Nature* 403, 292-295.
- 13 Riedl, R.J., Machan, R., Huang, N., 1972. Subtidal pump - mechanisms of interstitial water exchange by wave
14 action. *Marine Biology* 13, 210-&.
- 15
- 16 Santos, R., Silva, J., Alexandre, A., Navarro, N., Barron, C., Duarte, C.M., 2004. Ecosystem metabolism and
17 carbon fluxes of a tidally-dominated coastal lagoon. *Estuaries* 27, 977-985.
- 18
- 19 Sebacher, D.I., Harriss, R.C., Bartlett, K.B., 1985. Methane emissions to the atmosphere through aquatic plants.
20 *Journal of Environmental Quality* 14, 40-46.
- 21
- 22 Silva, J., Feijoo, P., Santos, R., 2008. Underwater measurements of carbon dioxide evolution in marine plant
23 communities: A new method. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 78, 827-830.
- 24
- 25 Silva, J., Santos, R., Calleja, M.L., Duarte, C.M., 2005. Submerged versus air-exposed intertidal macrophyte
26 productivity: from physiological to community-level assessments. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and*
27 *Ecology* 317, 87-95.
- 28
- 29 Spilmont, N., Migne, A., Lefebvre, A., Artigas, L.F., Rauch, M., Davoult, D., 2005. Temporal variability of
30 intertidal benthic metabolism under emersed conditions in an exposed sandy beach (Wimereux, eastern English
31 Channel, France). *Journal of Sea Research* 53, 161-167.
- 32
- 33 Tengberg, A., Stahl H., G. Gust, G., V. Mueller, V., U. Arning, U., Andersson, H., Hall, P.A.J., 2004.
34 Intercalibration of benthic flux chambers I. Accuracy of flux measurements and influence of chamber
35 hydrodynamics. *Progress in Oceanography* 60 (2004) 1–28
- 36
- 37 Thibodeaux, L.J., Boyle, J.D., 1987. Bedform-generated convective-transport in bottom sediment. *Nature* 325,
38 341-343.
- 39
- 40 Turner, S.M., Malin, G., Liss, P.S., 1989. Dimethyl Sulfide and (Dimethylsulfonio)propionate in European
41 Coastal and Shelf Waters, *Biogenic Sulfur in the Environment*. American Chemical Society, pp. 183-200.
- 42

- 1 Urhahn, T., 2003. Leichtflüchtige ECD-aktive Verbindungen in der marinen Grundsicht (MBL) des
2 Atlantischen Ozeans : Vorkommen , Quellen und Verteilung, Department of Analytical Chemistry and
3 Environmental Chemistry. University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany.
4
- 5 Valtanen, A., Solloch, S., Hartikainen, H., Michaelis, W., 2009. Emissions of volatile halogenated compounds
6 from a meadow in a coastal area of the Baltic Sea. *Boreal Environment Research* 14, 915-931.
7
- 8 Van der Nat, F.J., Middelburg, J.J., 2000. Methane emission from tidal freshwater marshes. *Biogeochemistry* 49,
9 103-121.
10
- 11 Werner, U., Billerbeck, M., Polerecky, L., Franke, U., Huettel, M., van Beusekom, J.E.E., de Beer, D., 2006.
12 Spatial and temporal patterns of mineralization rates and oxygen distribution in a permeable intertidal sand flat
13 (Sylt, Germany). *Limnology and Oceanography* 51, 2549-2563.
14
- 15 Wuebbles, D. J., and Hayhoe, K., 2002. Atmospheric methane and global change, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 57,v330 177-
16 210.
17
- 18 Yamamoto, A., Hirota, M., Suzuki, S., Oe, Y., Zhang, P., Mariko, S., 2009. Effects of tidal fluctuations on CO₂
19 and CH₄ fluxes in the littoral zone of a brackish-water lake. *Limnology* 10, 228-237.
20
- 21 Zhang, H., Lindberg, S.E., Barnett, M.O., Vette, A.F., Gustin, M.S., 2002. Dynamic flux chamber measurement
22 of gaseous mercury emission fluxes over soils. Part 1: simulation of gaseous mercury emissions from soils using
23 a two-resistance exchange interface model. *Atmospheric Environment* 36, 835-846.
24
25



1
 2 Fig.1: Scheme of the dynamic flux chamber system. During air exposure the chamber acts as a
 3 conventional dynamic flux chamber. During tidal immersion the enclosed water is continuously
 4 purged with ambient air.

5
 6




1

2 Fig. 2: Diurnal variations of the CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes above a meadow of the seagrass *Z. noltii*. Light
 3 intensity is also shown. The measurements were carried out from 25 to 28 April 2012. Yellow bars
 4 indicate daylight periods, green bars indicate periods of air exposure, blue bars indicate periods of
 5 tidal immersion.


6

7




1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Fig. 3: CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes above a bare sediment patch recorded on April 23rd, 2012. The upper graph in red shows the CH₄ fluxes in $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}$ and the lower graph show the CO₂ fluxes in $\text{mmol m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}$. Yellow bars indicate daylight periods, green bars indicate periods of air exposure and blue bars indicate periods of tidal immersion respectively.




1
2
3
4
5

Fig.4: Relative enhancement of selected VOC fluxes from a tidally influenced seagrass bed. All fluxes were normalized to the respective mean fluxes during low tide. Mean and ranges are provided in Table 2.



1

2 Fig. 5a



1

2 Fig. 5b

1 Fig. 5a & b: Time series of CO₂ mixing ratios at the chamber outlet and in the atmosphere
2 along with meteorological conditions (20 min moving average). In the upper panel the dashed
3 blue line indicate the windspeed. 5b: The same for CH₄
4

1 Table 1: Averaged CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes above seagrass for different periods of the tidal cycle. The
 2 fluxes were calculated from the measurements of day 2 and 3. By definition emission fluxes are
 3 positive and deposition fluxes are negative.

Tidal stage	CO ₂ (mmol m ⁻² h ⁻¹)		CH ₄ (μmol m ⁻² h ⁻¹)	
	Sediment	Seagrass	Sediment	Seegrass
Air exposure (day)	-1.1	-9.1	0.4	6.9
Air exposure (night)	1.0	8.4	0.2	4.4
Tidal inundation (day)	-2.0	-16.4	6.6	14.3
Tidal inundation (night)	6.4	20.1	5.2	16.6
peak (water just arriving)	14.8	55.0	10.8	71.0
Mean (time averaged)	2.1	4.2	3.0	12.8

4

5

6

1 Table 2: Mean trace gas fluxes (bold) obtained from seagrass meadows along the tidal cycle. Fluxes
 2 are given in $\text{nmol m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$. Numbers in parenthesis are the range of fluxes. Fluxes during high tide are
 3 given as single values. Further details on CH_3Cl , CH_3Br , CH_3I , and CHBr_3 are given in Weinberg et al.
 4 (submitted) By definition emission fluxes are positive and deposition fluxes are negative.

5

Compound	Low tide (n=17)	CH₄ peak (n=5)	Feeder current (n=6)	High tide (n=2)	Ebb flow (n=5)
CH₃Cl	1.0 (-29.6- 69.0)	40.1 (-14.2- 99.7)	11.4 (-14.7- 36.6)	-18.1, -58.3	21.3 (-13.5- 46.2)
CH₃Br	0.4 (-0.8- 3.9)	2.7 (0.1- 8.3)	1.8 (0.2- 3.3)	-0.5, -1.6	2.1 (0.1- 4.4)
CH₃I	0.6 (-0.6- 2.6)	3.3 (0.1- 8.0)	1.6 (0.1- 2.9)	0.1, 0.1	1.5 (0.2- 3.0)
CHCl₃	0.3 (-0.8- 2.8)	2.4 (0.1- 6.6)	2.0 (0.5- 3.0)	-0.1, -2.0	2.0 (-0.6- 3.7)
CHBr₃	0.4 (-0.5- 1.3)	2.9 (0.2- 10.6)	2.8 (0.2- 5.1)	0.5, -0.1	4.5 (-0.4- 8.6)
CS₂	52 (-34- 192)	216 (22- 544)	135 (-5.5- 200.0)	420, 398	129 (-13.4- 230)
Propene	56 (-26- 377)	167 (91- 331)	91 (-5.1- 170)	33, 27	182 (3.4- 407)

6