Modeling the impediment of methane ebullition bubbles by seasonal lake ice

S. Greene^a, K. M. Walter Anthony^{b*}, D. Archer^c, A. Sepulveda-Jauregui^b, K. Martinez-Cruz^{b,d}

^a Department of Chemistry, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA

^b Water and Environmental Research Center, Institute of Northern Engineering, University of

Alaska-Fairbanks, Fairbanks, Alaska, USA

^c Department of the Geophysical Sciences, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA

^d Biotechnology and Bioengineering Department, Cinvestav, Mexico City, D. F., Mexico

*Corresponding author: **kmwalteranthony@alaska.edu**

1 **Abstract**

2 Microbial methane (CH₄) ebullition (bubbling) from anoxic lake sediments comprises a 3 globally significant flux to the atmosphere, but ebullition bubbles in temperate and polar lakes 4 can be trapped by winter ice cover and later released during spring thaw. This "ice-bubble 5 storage" (IBS) constitutes a novel mode of CH₄ emission. Before bubbles are encapsulated by 6 downward-growing ice, some of their CH_4 dissolves into the lake water, where it may be subject 7 to oxidation. We present field characterization and a model of the annual CH4 cycle in 8 Goldstream Lake, a thermokarst (thaw) lake in interior Alaska. We find that summertime 9 ebullition dominates annual CH_4 emissions to the atmosphere. Eighty percent of CH_4 in bubbles 10 trapped by ice dissolves into the lake water column in winter, and about half of that is oxidized. 11 The ice growth rate and the magnitude of the CH_4 ebullition flux are important controlling 12 factors of bubble dissolution. Seven percent of annual ebullition CH_4 is trapped as IBS and later 13 emitted as ice melts. In a future warmer climate, there will likely be less seasonal ice cover, less 14 IBS, less CH4 dissolution from trapped bubbles, and greater CH4 emissions from northern lakes.

1 Introduction

16 Globally, the magnitude of methane (CH₄) emissions from freshwater lakes (72 Tg CH₄) 17 yr⁻¹; Bastviken et al., 2011) constitutes an estimated 30% of all natural emissions (217 Tg CH₄ γ ¹; IPCC, 2013). Methane is typically produced in anoxic bottom sediments by methanogenic microbes and can be released to the atmosphere by diffusion, vascular transport through aquatic plants, or ebullition (bubbling) (Rudd and Hamilton, 1978; Bastviken et al., 2004; Whalen, 21 2005). Methanogenesis in the oxic water column has been proposed as an additional CH₄ source in some lakes (Tang et al., 2014). In many lakes, ebullition from bottom sediments is the 23 dominant mode of emission because gas-phase CH_4 in bubbles is not subject to oxidation, 24 whereas a significant proportion of dissolved $CH₄$ is typically oxidized by methanotrophic bacteria, including in the plant rhyzosphere (Keller and Stallard, 1994; Casper et al., 2000; Bastviken et al., 2008).

 Most ebullition CH4 in shallow lakes is released directly to the atmosphere in the summer, but in cold-climate regions bubbles are trapped beneath and encapsulated by downward-growing lake ice in the winter (Walter et al., 2006). Methane involved in this "ice- bubble storage" (IBS) is later released during spring thaw. The CH4 content of bubbles decreases as they are encapsulated, which suggests CH4 dissolution into the water column (Walter et al., 2008). Dissolved CH4 accumulates in many lakes during the ice cover period due to the slowdown or inactivity of methanotrophs in the cold, often anoxic water column (Michmerhuizen et al., 1996; Phelps et al., 1998; Boereboom et al., 2012), so dissolved CH4 from bubbles may not be immediately subject to oxidation. However, it can potentially be 36 oxidized when oxygen is reintroduced during spring ice melt. The fraction of $CH₄$ that escapes to the atmosphere from seasonally ice-covered lakes depends on these biogeochemical processes.

 Previously, Sasaki et al. (2009) measured the CH4 concentration of bubbles trapped within lake ice in Antarctica and used aerial imaging to estimate the total volume of gas trapped before the ice melts. Elsewhere, others have measured dissolved CH₄ concentrations beneath winter lake ice 41 or the CH₄ diffusion rate following ice-off to estimate net springtime emissions (e.g.,

Michmerhuizen et al., 1996; Phelps et al., 1998; Smith and Lewis, 1992).

 In North Siberian thermokarst (thaw) lakes, ebullition occurs mainly at discrete locations ("seeps") on the lake bottom (Walter et al., 2006). Bubbles trapped within the ice above seeps (at "seep sites") are easily visible in early-winter lake ice (Fig. 1a). Walter et al. (2006) defined four classes of seeps: A, B, C, and Hotspot (Fig. 1b). A-type seeps exhibit lower ebullition rates and a greater degree of separation among ice-trapped bubbles; B- and C-type seeps exhibit progressively higher ebullition rates and greater degrees of bubble coalescence in ice. Ebullition rates are highest for Hotspots, where frequent bubbling brings warmer water from the lake bottom to the surface, maintaining open water during a portion of the ice-cover period. When air temperatures are sufficiently cold, a thin layer of ice covers Hotspot seep sites, beneath which gas accumulates in a cavity open to the water column. Using submerged bubble traps placed above seeps to measure short- and long-term (year-round) seep-class ebullition rates and counts of ebullition seep sites in lake-ice transects, Walter Anthony and Anthony (2013) estimated 55 whole-lake seep ebullition. Translating seep ebullition to atmospheric CH₄ emission from seeps was not possible due to a lack of knowledge about the degree to which seasonal lake ice reduces ebullition emissions.

 This manuscript describes the formulation of a model, informed by field measurements, of CH4 dissolution from trapped ebullition bubbles in Goldstream Lake, a thermokarst lake in interior Alaska. This study has three goals: (1) to gain insight into how some physical and

 biogeochemical processes within a thermokarst lake interact to determine seasonal and annual CH4 emissions via diffusion, ebullition, and IBS, (2) to determine the extent to which lake ice reduces net annual emissions, and (3) to gain insight into how changes in climate will influence emissions by different modes in the future.

-
- **2 Methods**
- **2.1 Study site**

68 Goldstream Lake (informal name; 64.92° N, 147.85° W; area 10.030 m²; volume 15,700 μ ³; mean depth 1.6 m; max. depth 2.9 m) is located near the bottom of Goldstream Valley in the discontinuous permafrost zone of interior Alaska. The lake formed by the melting of permafrost ground ice in retransported late-Quaternary loess common on many hill slopes and valley bottoms of interior Alaska (Muhs and Budahn, 2006). Colluvial forces and frost action gradually eroded loess downslope during the late Pleistocene and early Holocene, forming icy, organic-rich deposits known as "yedoma" frequently several tens of meters deep in valley bottoms (Péwé, 1975; Muhs and Budahn, 2006; Reyes et al., 2010; Kanevskiy et al., 2011). Ice wedges 2 to 4 m wide at their tops and up to tens of meters deep are common in this type of permafrost (Hamilton et al*.,* 1988). Ground-ice melt leads to collapse of the surface and ground subsidence, a process known as thermokarst (Mackay, 1970). Ponding of water in depressions further accelerates permafrost thaw, leading to expansion and deepening of thermokarst lakes over time. Remote- sensing observations of Goldstream L. showed that a partial drainage event occurred in the lake sometime after 1949; however, thermokarst expansion continues today, predominantly along its eastern margin (the "thermokarst zone," Fig. 2). Walter Anthony and Anthony (2013) observed

2.2 Field observations

2.2.1 Lake bathymetry

87 During the winter of 2011–2012, we mapped the bathymetry of Goldstream L. using sonar point measurements (Vexilar LPS-1 Hand-Held Depth Finder, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA) through ice or in ice-augered holes combined with centimeter-accuracy RTK differential GPS (Leica Geosystems AG, Heerbrugg, Switzerland) at 99 distributed locations on the lake. In late October 2011, depth measurements were obtained using sonar through ice at 347 points within two rectangular plots in the lake center and northeast thermokarst zone at a density of 93 approximately 0.22 measurements per m^2 . We produced an interpolated bathymetric map using kriging in ArcGIS (Esri, Redlands, California, USA). The resulting map was used to create a three-dimensional model of the lake in ArcScene (Esri), from which we estimated the water 96 volume in 0.5 m depth intervals relative to the water level on 30 October 2011, the date of $> 95\%$ of bathymetry measurements.

2.2.2 Water level

 Measurements of hydrostatic pressure at the bottom of Goldstream L. (Global Water WL 16 vented submersible pressure transducer with a Global Logger v2.1.4 data logger, College Station, Texas, USA) were used to estimate changes in the lake's water level and volume throughout the study period. We define the water level during the ice-cover period as the height of the water column at the measurement site if, hypothetically, all of the snow and ice on the lake surface melted. Hydrostatic pressure measurements were not available from 21 May 2011 to 14 February 2012 and on several dates in the fall of 2012. From 12 October 2011 to 14 February

 2012, we used daily precipitation measurements (Sect. 2.2.8) to extrapolate the water level backward from 14 February 2012. The water level from 21 May 2011 to 11 October 2011 and on dates in the fall of 2012 was linearly interpolated between adjacent values (Fig. B1).

2.2.3 Water temperature

Temperature-depth profiles were obtained within Goldstream L. between 19 October

2010 and 2 July 2012 using a handheld Hach DS5 Multiprobe Sonde (Hach Hydromet,

Loveland, Colorado, USA). Measurements were obtained at approximately 5 depths at each of

two sites on each sampling day. Additionally, we measured water column temperatures at depth

intervals of 0.5 m from the lake surface hourly from 10 April 2009 through 8 December 2013

using Hobo Water Temperature/Light pendant loggers (Onset, Bourne, Massachusetts, USA).

One logger was located near the center of Goldstream L. and another in the thermokarst zone.

2.2.4 Lake ice types and phenology

 Congelation (black) ice is formed when water freezes at the bottom of the ice layer and therefore appears clear. In contrast, snow (white) ice is opaque and is formed when the weight of snow depresses the ice surface beneath the water level, causing lake water to flood snow on top of the ice layer and freeze. During the ice-cover seasons from October 2010 to May 2012, we obtained the thicknesses of each type of ice, as well as that of snow, by augering a hole in the ice (20 cm diameter) near the lake center, measuring the total ice and snow thicknesses around the auger hole, and looking through the hole for differences in ice color to estimate white and black ice thicknesses with a measuring tape. In the winter of 2010–2011, measurements were made where snow was occasionally compacted by foot traffic; measurements in 2011–2012 were made in undisturbed locations. Using a time-lapse camera (Game Spy I-60, Moultrie, Alabaster, Alabama, USA) we acquired images of the lake surface every four hours from 16 April 2010 to

 14 May 2010 to constrain the timing of freeze-up and ice-off (the first and last days of any observed ice cover, respectively).

2.2.5 Water column dissolved gases

 Dissolved CH4 concentration-depth profiles were measured in the center of Goldstream L. and 5 m away from the eastern shore on 34 days between 27 October 2010 and 22 September 2012. Duplicate water samples were collected from a boat in summer or from the lake ice surface through an auger hole in winter. Water samples (10 mL) collected with a Van Dorn bottle (Wildco, Yulee, Florida, USA) were gently transferred into 25 mL glass serum bottles and immediately sealed with butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum crimp caps. Bottles were stored upside down and frozen in the dark until laboratory analysis. We thawed samples overnight in the refrigerator, brought them to room temperature for 10 minutes, and shook them for 15 140 seconds to equilibrate headspace and water. We then measured the CH₄ concentration in the headspace using a GC-2014 gas chromatograph (Shimadzu, Addison, Illinois, USA) equipped 142 with a flame ionization detector and a PLOT alumina column (detector temperature 250 °C, oven $\,$ 40 °C, high purity Helium as carrier gas). Dissolved CH₄ concentrations were calculated from headspace CH4 concentrations using a temperature-dependent Henry's Law constant (Wilhelm et al., 1977).

146 Dissolved oxygen (O_2) concentrations were measured simultaneously with water temperature using a Clark-type microelectrode on the calibrated Hach DS5 Multiprobe Sonde (Sect. 2.2.3).

149 Measured concentrations were used to estimate total amounts of CH₄ and O₂ dissolved in Goldstream L. To facilitate comparison with our model, interpolated concentration profiles were integrated with respect to depth using bathymetry measurements to calculate total quantities of

 dissolved gas separately in the upper 1.25 m of the water column and in the lower layer (below 1.25 m). The volume of the upper layer was adjusted to account for changes in the ice/snow layer thickness, calculated using our ice growth model (Sect. 2.3.2).

2.2.6 Ebullition

 Seep ebullition in Goldstream L. was characterized using methods described previously 157 by Walter Anthony et al. (2010). We used average areal densities (seeps m^{-2}) for each class measured by Walter Anthony and Anthony (2013) in transects covering 11% of Goldstream L., 159 plus another, subsequent 428 m^2 survey plot that increased the total area of the lake surveyed for A, B and C-type seeps to 15%. The entire lake surface was surveyed for Hotspot seeps. The 161 resulting seep densities $(A, 0.31 \text{ seeps m}^2; B, 0.08 \text{ seeps m}^2; C, 0.03 \text{ seeps m}^2;$ and Hotspot, 162 0.01 seeps m^{-2}) were used as inputs to the model.

163 Seep class-specific smoothed ebullition rates (mL gas seep⁻¹ d⁻¹), indexed by Julian Day, were applied to all seeps in the model. These were calculated from long-term (up to 700 days) measurements of daily ebullition rates for 31 seeps in four Arctic thermokarst lakes (Walter Anthony et al., 2010). Twelve of these seeps were located in Goldstream L., and average bubbling rates for each class of seeps in Goldstream L. were not significantly different from the Arctic averages. We calculated the mean ebullition rate on each Julian Day for each class from our measurements of individual seeps. Because ebullition from individual seeps is often highly episodic, and because there were significant data collection gaps for many seeps, resulting in certain Julian Days on which only a few seeps were represented in the average, the resulting annual flux cycle represented by Julian Day averages was highly irregular. We smoothed Julian Day averages three times by taking 30-day running averages of daily averages in order to remove these irregularities, as we wanted this smoothed data to represent the annual ebullition cycle

 rather than the ebullition dynamics of individual seeps. This smoothing did not change the total calculated yearly amount of CH4 released from seeps by ebullition.

 For comparison with smoothed fluxes in a sensitivity analysis of the model, we also used measured fluxes from individual seeps with relatively few data gaps, which were scaled and applied to the 2010–2012 study period. In the sensitivity analysis, we included measurements of nine A-type seeps, seven B-type seeps, five C-type seeps, and seven Hotspots in Goldstream L., Cranberry Lake (interior Alaska), Shuchi Lake (Siberia), and Grass Lake (Siberia) year-round during one or more years between 2003 and 2014. All of these lakes are thermokarst lakes that formed in yedoma-type permafrost deposits. Smoothed and individual seep fluxes are presented in Fig. 3.

 During the period of decreasing ice thickness in the spring (the "ice-melt period"), when standing water was present on the ice surface, we observed gas escaping from bubbles trapped by the ice. On 18 April 2010 and 21 April 2011, we collected such gas from eight ice-trapped bubbles in Goldstream L., four of which were located above the same ebullition seep. Gas was collected by displacement into inverted, water-filled glass serum bottles, which were then sealed with butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum crimp caps until later analysis. Additionally, bubbles from 246 ebullition events in Goldstream L. were collected from submerged bubble traps above ebullition seeps from 2008 to 2011 following methods described by Walter et al. (2008). These 193 "fresh" samples enabled us to calculate the CH₄ composition of bubbles after they ascend through the water column but before they interact with lake ice, allowing for the conversion of 195 measured volumetric fluxes (ml gas seep⁻¹ d⁻¹) to molar fluxes (mol CH₄ seep⁻¹ d⁻¹). All samples 196 were transported to the lab and stored under refrigeration, in the dark. The CH₄ concentrations of samples were analyzed using the Shimadzu GC-2014 gas chromatograph.

 Additionally, blocks of ice were harvested from ebullition seep sites with a chainsaw in the late winter and early spring, and the shapes of encapsulated bubbles and cavities within ice 200 blocks were measured (Fig. 1c).

2.2.7 Ice-bubble mesocosms

 Measurements of the rate of CH4 diffusion from submerged, gas-filled mesocosm chambers constrained our model of diffusion from trapped bubbles. During each of two trials (spring and fall, 19–24 April 2011 and 30 October – 6 November 2011), we suspended 24 chambers approximately 14 cm below the water surface in random positions within two 1 m by 3 m holes opened in the ice near the center of Goldstream L. The observation of relatively few ice- trapped bubbles in bubble transect surveys in the lake's center suggests that rates of natural ebullition from the sediments are relatively low there. Mesocosm chambers consisted of inverted containers (14 cm by 14 cm by 25 cm tall; Tupperware, Orlando, Florida, USA) filled with lake water and fitted with a three-way stopcock to release gas. A gas standard (140 mL) with a 211 composition similar to that of natural seep ebullition bubbles (80% CH₄, 20% N₂) was then injected such that its height within each chamber (approx. 10 mm) approximated the measured 213 thickness of small bubbles trapped beneath ice $(5.7 \pm 1.0 \text{ mm})$, mean \pm standard deviation). Chambers were open to lake water but fitted with deflectors beneath to deter natural ebullition bubbles from entering. At 1-day intervals (including a time zero control), we recovered gas from 216 triplicate chambers and measured its volume and CH₄ composition. Re-growth of lake ice above the chambers did not reach 14 cm, so no chambers became surrounded by ice.

 The following equation, which is based on Fick's First Law in one dimension, gives the CH4 dissolution flux, J, from chambers (Holocher et al., 2003):

220
$$
J = D_{CH4} \frac{[CH_4]_{eq} - [CH_4]}{\delta_{eff}}
$$
 (1)

221 where D_{CH4} is the diffusivity of CH₄ in water (0.98 \times 10⁻⁹ m² s⁻¹; Broecker and Peng, 1974), and 222 [CH₄]_{eq} and [CH₄] are the equilibrium and bulk concentrations of dissolved CH₄ in lake water. 223 δ_{eff} represents an effective diffusively controlled layer thickness, calibrated such that the gradient 224 calculated from $\text{[CH_4]}_{\text{eq}}$, [CH_4] , and δ_{eff} equals the true gradient at the bubble's surface. The CH₄ 225 mole fraction determines [CH_4]_{eq} , based on the hydrostatic pressure in the chamber and a 226 Henry's Law constant of 2.533×10^{-5} mol L⁻¹ kPa⁻¹ for CH₄ in water at 0 °C (Yamamoto et al., 227 1976). The bulk CH₄ concentration was taken to be the average concentration in the upper 1.25 228 m of the water column, calculated from measurements (Sect. 2.2.5). 229 A simple model based on Eq. (1) with a 1-hour time step was used to simulate CH4 230 dissolution from chambers. For each trial, δ_{eff} was calibrated to optimize the fit between the 231 modeled and measured CH_4 composition and volume. The exchange of other gases between 232 chambers and the water column was neglected, as we estimated that including N_2 exchange has a 233 negligible (approx. 7%) effect on the magnitude of CH₄ dissolution. This model exhibited close 234 fits to measurements at the optimum values of δ_{eff} (0.25 mm and 0.27 mm for the spring and fall 235 trials, respectively, Fig. 4). Because δ_{eff} values were fairly consistent between trials, which were 236 conducted in conditions with substantially different upper-layer CH₄ concentrations (290 and 2) 237 µM in spring and fall trials, respectively), we applied this formula with a δ_{eff} of 0.26 mm in our 238 model of CH4 diffusion from trapped ebullition bubbles (Sect. 2.3.3). 239 **2.2.8 Meteorological observations**

 Daily measurements of maximum and minimum air temperature, barometric pressure, precipitation, wind velocity, and snow depth were obtained from a U. S. National Weather Service Co-Op site located approximately 2.6 km southwest of Goldstream L. Minimum and maximum temperatures were averaged to calculate daily mean temperatures.

2.2.9 Atmospheric CH4 concentrations

245 We monitored the concentration of atmospheric CH₄ 50 cm above the lake surface from 12 April 2010 to 9 May 2011 using a LI-7700 Open Path Methane Analyzer (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) mounted on a stationary raft. The radius of its 248 concentration measurement footprint was approximately 60 m (area $10,600$ m²; Gash, 1986). The lake surface occupied the majority of the footprint; however, littoral vegetation and black spruce forest-tundra occupied approximately 30% of the footprint, primarily in the southeastern quadrant (Fig. 2). The prevailing wind direction in Goldstream Valley from 2008 to 2013 was from the N in summer and from the NNE the remainder of the year; thus the terrestrial 253 contribution to the LI-7700 signal should have been minimal. Measurements of atmospheric CH₄ concentration were obtained five times per second and converted to daily averages. **2.2.10 Methane diffusion from sediments** 256 We calculated the rate of CH₄ diffusion into the water column from CH₄-rich lake bottom

257 sediments using measurements of CH₄ concentrations in the surface sediments of Vault Lake, a thermokarst lake near Goldstream L., because measurements from Goldstream L. were unavailable. Since Vault L. formed in similar yedoma-type permafrost deposits, we assumed that sediment concentrations from Vault L. were representative of Goldstream L. We obtained two sediment cores in March 2013 from a location in between the center and active thermokarst 262 margin of Vault L. and kept the cores under refrigeration at 2 °C prior to laboratory analysis. Triplicate samples (5 mL each) were obtained with a syringe at multiple depths within the top 5 cm of the cores and transferred to 20 mL serum vials containing 10 mL of water. Vials were 265 sealed with rubber caps. The CH_4 concentration in the water was determined using methods described previously in Sect. 2.2.5 and converted to a CH4 concentration per unit sediment

267 volume. This was converted to a concentration per unit water volume using measurements of sediment density in Vault L. (K. M. W. A., unpublished data). The resulting concentration gradient between the topmost two measurements was multiplied by the molecular diffusivity of CH4 to calculate the diffusive flux according to Fick's First Law.

 The methanogenic rate in the sediments of Goldstream L. varies with temperature throughout the year (Schulz et al., 1997; Sepulveda-Jauregui et al., 2014a). In order to quantify this effect on sediment diffusion rates in the model, we monitored the temperature of surface sediments in Goldstream L. from 3 July 2008 to 23 March 2012 using the Hobo temperature loggers (Sect. 2.2.3). On days during the study period when temperature measurements were available, we applied the average temperature from both measurement locations in the model; when temperature measurements were unavailable, we used Julian Day temperature averages 278 calculated from data from the entire measurement period. We used a Q_{10} value for methanogenesis of 2.4 from Kelly and Chynoweth (1981) to scale the average diffusive flux calculated from both sediment cores from Vault L. on each day of the study period, depending on the sediment temperature in Goldstream L. We assumed that the diffusive flux calculated from 282 measurements was representative of the in-situ diffusive flux at 2 °C, the temperature of the cores before analysis. Calculated daily fluxes are shown in Fig. B2. We acknowledge that there is uncertainty in our calculated sediment diffusion rate for the following reasons: (1) In reality, a greater rate of methanogenesis in surface sediments may correspond to a greater proportion of CH4 escaping via ebullition instead of diffusion; the rate of methanogenesis may scale differently 287 than the rate of diffusion as temperature varies. (2) The diffusive flux of CH_4 is usually very variable across the lake bottom, and our calculations for Goldstream L. are based on the average flux calculated from only two cores from Vault L.

290 **2.3 Modeling**

291 **2.3.1 Overview**

292 Our model simulates the processes that influence CH₄ transport through Goldstream L. during the two-year period in which primary field measurements were collected. Bubbles, released from seeps as observed (Sect. 2.2.6), are either trapped beneath lake ice or released to the atmosphere. Some CH4 dissolves out of bubbles before they are encapsulated by growing ice. The model water column is resolved into two layers ("upper" and "lower"), divided at 1.25 m 297 below the lake surface, because measured CH₄ concentrations varied independently in these 298 layers during spring ice-melt periods. Changes in the dissolved CH₄ concentration in the lake's water column were driven by dissolution from bubbles trapped beneath ice, aerobic methanotrophy, atmospheric CH4 emission from freezing water on the ice surface during winter "flooding events" (Sect. 2.3.2), dissolved CH4 diffusion to the atmosphere in spring and summer, and lake overturn events. CH4 diffused into the lower layer of the water column from sediments at a constant rate determined from measurements (Sect. 2.2.10).

304 **2.3.2 Ice growth**

 The rate of black ice growth, which determines how quickly bubbles become encapsulated, is calculated based on a diffusive energy balance model from the steady-state temperature profile through snow and ice on the lake surface. Setting the surface temperature to the observed air temperature and the temperature at the ice-water interface to 0 ˚C yields the following formula for the daily change in black ice thickness:

310
$$
\Delta z_{b} = \alpha^{2} \frac{\Delta t}{\rho_{i}} \frac{\Delta H_{fus}}{\Delta H_{fus}} \times \frac{0 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} - \text{T}_{air}}{\frac{Z_{b}}{k_{i}} + \frac{Z_{w}}{k_{i}} + \frac{Z_{s}}{k_{s}}}
$$
(2)

311 where Δt is 1 d; ρ_i is the ice density (913 kg m⁻³; Duguay et al., 2003); ΔH_{fus} is the enthalpy of 312 fusion for water (334 J g^{-1}); T_{air} is the mean daily air temperature; z_b , z_w , and z_s are the 313 thicknesses of black ice, white ice, and snow, respectively; k_i and k_s are the thermal 314 conductivities of ice (2.034 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹; Duguay et al., 2003) and of snow, respectively. α is a parameter included to account for other processes, such as wind and solar irradiation, following Ashton (1986).

 Equation (2) is inaccurate when the snow/ice layer is thin, so Equation (3) was used to 318 calculate z_b when snow and white ice are absent:

$$
319 \t z_b = \alpha \sqrt{\frac{2 k_i}{\rho_i \Delta H_{\text{fus}}}} \int (0 \text{ °C} - T_{\text{air}}) dt
$$
 (3)

320 where T_{air} is integrated over time since freeze-up. This formula represents an analytical solution to the differential equation describing black ice growth (of which Eq. (2) is the discretized form) and was applied before the first date of observed snowfall.

323 The assumptions underlying Eqs. (2) and (3) are invalid for $T_{air} > 0$, which was the case on four consecutive days in October 2010 after freeze-up, but we applied this model as an approximation. Previous studies of melting ice found the formation of channels within lake ice instead of decreasing thickness (e.g. Browman, 1974; Nye, 1989; Jakkila et al., 2009), so we assume zero ice growth around trapped bubbles during this period.

 The snow's thermal conductivity was calculated from its density (Sturm et al., 1997), which was estimated from measurements of precipitation and snow depth (Sect. 2.2.8). We assumed that decreases in measured snow depth resulted only from compaction and not

sublimation, which resulted in our underestimating the maximum black ice thickness by at most

9 cm (Liston and Sturm, 2002).

 Occasionally, the weight of snow depresses the ice surface beneath the water level, causing lake water to flood the ice surface and form white ice (i.e., a "flooding" event). The white ice thickness was increased during such events according to the thickness of water required to balance the weight of the snow/ice layer:

$$
337 \qquad \Delta z_{\rm w} = \frac{\rho_{\rm i}}{\rho_{\rm w} \ \rho_{\rm s}} (z_{\rm s} \ \rho_{\rm s} - z_{\rm i} \ \rho_{\rm i} - z_{\rm i} \ \rho_{\rm w}) \tag{4}
$$

338 where ρ_s and ρ_w are the densities of snow and water, respectively. The volume, V_{flood}, and CH₄ 339 concentration of water involved in each flooding event determined the amount of CH4 released to 340 the atmosphere:

$$
341 \t Vflood = \Delta z_w A \left(1 - \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_i}\right)
$$
 (5)

342 where A is the lake area $(10,030 \text{ m}^2)$.

343 The daily change in lake snow depth was calculated as the change in the measured snow 344 depth on land minus Δz_w . An α value of 0.95 yielded the best fit to the measured total ice 345 thickness during the winter of 2011–2012 (Fig. 5d). A second model was constructed for 2010– 2011 in which the snow density was set to 450 kg m^3 (Bohren and Beschta, 1979; Fancy and 347 White, 1985) on days when the measurement site was visited so that modeled thicknesses could 348 be compared to measured ice thicknesses at the disturbed (artificially compacted) site. An α 349 value of 0.94 yielded the best fit to these data (Fig. 6). Due to the similarity of α values during 350 both winter periods and the greater number of measurements in 2011–2012, an α value of 0.95 351 was used to calculate undisturbed ice thicknesses in the model.

352 **2.3.3 Methane dissolution from bubbles**

353 The model uses Eq. (1) with 60-s time steps to simulate CH4 diffusion from trapped 354 bubbles into the upper layer of the water column. We calculated that typical ebullition bubbles in 355 Goldstream L. $(6.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm}$ bubble diameter measured at the lake surface, mean \pm standard 356 deviation, n = 433) lose < 1% of their CH₄ during their ascent through the \leq 2.9 m water column (Woolf and Thorpe, 1991; Holocher et al., 2003), which is significantly less than the difference in CH4 contents of fresh and encapsulated bubbles (Sect. 2.2.6). Methane dissolution from rising bubbles was therefore neglected, an approximation similarly employed by Stepanenko et al. (2011).

 The shapes of trapped bubbles at each seep site determine the area over which CH4 dissolves out. All ebullition bubbles at each site are modeled as a single gas pocket. We tested this approximation by modeling multiple pockets at each site (20 at A-seep sites and 10 at B-seep sites, representative of the number of bubbles found at typical sites in these seep classes), and 365 found that this had a negligible $(< 0.5\%)$ effect on the total amount of CH₄ that dissolved into the water column during the ice-cover periods.

 At each seep site, the model tracks the growth of a cavity within the ice layer, caused by the localized inhibition of ice growth. If the total volume of gas exceeds the cavity's volume, the gas beneath the ice-water interface is modeled as a cylinder. At A, B, and C seep sites, the height of this cylinder is 5.7 mm, as informed by measurements of air bubbles of known volume that we artificially introduced beneath the ice in Goldstream L. The cylinder's radius determines that of the cavity as the ice grows downward. The cylinder's volume and radius decrease as CH4 diffuses out and the ice grows downward, causing cavities to taper at the bottom. At the beginning of each day, a bubble is added to the site, with a CH₄ composition and volume determined from measurements (Sect. 2.2.6). When no gas remains beneath the ice-water interface, the site's bubbles are considered encapsulated. Since the rate of gas diffusion in ice is typically negligible compared to that in water (Hemmingsen, 1958), we assumed that the CH4

 content of bubbles remained constant after encapsulation. Figure 7a summarizes this component of the model.

 At Hotspot seep sites, warmer water brought to the surface by frequent ebullition events inhibits ice growth and affects the shapes of cavities. Following Zimov et al. (2001), Hotspot sites were opened to the atmosphere when the daily maximum air temperature exceeded –15 ˚C. The shape of Hotspot cavities was determined by averaging measurements of three cavities in Goldstream L. The bottom radius of the cavity determined the radius of the cylinder beneath the ice-water interface. Bubbles were added to Hotspot seep sites every 8 min, according to our field observations. We found that changing this interval to 1 min and 20 min, both within the range of 387 variability of our observations, affected the total amount of CH₄ that dissolves annually from of hotspot bubbles by no more than 0.05%. Hotspot cavities never closed at the bottom, and when the total volume of gas was less than the cavity volume, the cavity's interior shape determined the area of gas exposed to lake water (Fig. 7b).

2.3.4 Release of ice-trapped bubbles

 The "spring ice-melt period" begins on the first day of above-freezing air temperatures and ends on the observed ice-off date. During this period, vertical melt channels spanning the ice layer form between black ice crystals with horizontal c-axes and tend to grow larger as melt progresses, facilitating the transport of water and trapped gas (Browman, 1974). The absorption of solar radiation within the ice layer accelerates this process, particularly after the high-albedo snow cover has melted completely (Williams, 1969; Ashton, 1986; Jakkila et al., 2009), as does the presence of ice-trapped bubbles (our observation when harvesting ice blocks; A. Bondurant pers. comm., 2014). Time-lapse photos indicate significant spatial and temporal variability in the surface albedo of Goldstream L. during the spring melt period, suggesting that ice permeability was also highly variable.

 Ebullition seeps can open prior to ice-off when water pressure breaks ice separating encapsulated bubbles (Zimov et al., 2001). In agreement with the findings of Sasaki et al. (2009), we observed the opening of ebullition seeps throughout the thaw period, indicated by (1) open 405 holes in ice at the locations of former ice-trapped bubbles; (2) rapid, but short-lived (usually ≤ 1 min, but occasionally > 10 min) streams of bubbles escaping from ice through puddles of water on the ice surface; and (3) the lack of gas escape from gas pockets in ice when punctured with an ice spear. We observed that seep sites with higher ebullition flux opened earlier, presumably due to thinner ice between encapsulated bubbles. On each day, the number of open seep sites in each class was increased according to a release rate function informed by these field observations (Fig. B3). Bubble dissolution at closed sites during this period was simulated assuming a zero ice growth rate.

2.3.5 Water column dissolved gases

414 During the winter ice-cover periods of the model, we assume that dissolved CH₄ is 415 excluded from water as it freezes. This is consistent with observations of CH₄ concentrations in boreal lake ice 10 to 100 times lower than in the underlying water column (Phelps et al., 1998). In some cases, the exclusion of dissolved CH4 from downward-growing ice leads to the formation of millimeter-scale-diameter tubular bubbles within ice (Adams et al., 2013; Boereboom et al., 2012). Such bubbles were not obvious to us in ice blocks from Goldstream L., so the model did not include this process. We assume no gas exchange between the water column and the atmosphere during the winter ice-cover periods except for the release of dissolved CH4 from water on the ice surface during flooding events (Sect. 2.3.2).

423 The rate of CH4 consumption by methanotrophy, r, was calculated according to a double 424 Monod equation (Van Bodegom et al., 2001):

425
$$
r = r_{\text{max}} \left(\frac{[CH_4]}{K_{s,CH_4} + [CH_4]} \right) \left(\frac{[O_2]}{K_{s,O2} + [O_2]} \right)
$$
(6)

426 where [CH₄] and [O₂] denote the concentrations of dissolved CH₄ and O₂, respectively, r_{max} is the 427 potential maximum methanotrophic rate, and $K_{S,CH4}$ and $K_{S,O2}$ are the affinity constants for CH₄ 428 and O₂, respectively. We used a $K_{S,CH4}$ of 0.110 mg L⁻¹ (Liikanen et al., 2002; Lofton et al., 429 2014), a K_{S,O2} of 0.672 mg L⁻¹ (Lidstrom and Somers, 1984), and an estimated r_{max} of 0.48 mg 430 L^{-1} d⁻¹ based on measurements in Goldstream L. by Martinez-Cruz et al. (2014). In both years of 431 the model, dissolved O_2 was depleted within 60 days of freeze-up, after which the 432 methanotrophic rate was essentially zero until the spring ice-melt period (Fig. 8b). Measured O_2 433 concentrations followed a similar trend as modeled O_2 concentrations during the ice-cover 434 periods. We assumed uniform water column CH_4 and O_2 concentrations in the winter before the 435 spring ice-melt periods, as we found that imposing the vertical gradients observed in our field 436 measurements would have minor effects on the magnitude of CH₄ dissolution from bubbles and

437 the magnitude of CH₄ emissions from flooding events. Our field measurements suggest that

438 assuming uniform concentrations resulted in our underestimating the magnitude of CH₄

439 emissions from flooding events during the study period by approx. 5%.

440 The rate of water-atmosphere gas exchange during ice-melt depends on the extent of ice 441 permeability and the advection of water through ice, both of which are poorly constrained. The 442 methanotrophic rate depends on the availability of dissolved O_2 , which is spatially irregular.

443 Furthermore, methanotrophy sometimes does not resume until after an "induction period"

444 following the reintroduction of $O₂$. Induction periods of 0 to 20 d have been observed in soils and

445 in Arctic lakes (Bender and Conrad, 1995; Dunfield et al., 1999; Martinez-Cruz et al., 2014).

 To account for these uncertainties, the model includes two parameters adjusted to fit 447 modeled amounts of dissolved CH_4 and O_2 during the spring ice-melt periods to measurements (Fig. 8). The amount in moles of CH4, R, released to the atmosphere on each day by diffusion 449 from the upper 1.25 m of the water column was calculated as follows:

$$
450 \t R = s p_1 D_{CH4} ([CH_4] - [CH_4]_{eq}) \t(7)
$$

451 where s is the total number of seep sites open to the atmosphere, p_1 is an adjustable parameter 452 (with units m s site⁻¹), and [CH₄] represents the average modeled CH₄ concentration in the upper 453 layer. The amount of O_2 diffusing into the upper layer from the atmosphere was calculated with 454 the same p_1 and s parameters. As suggested by field measurements, O_2 did not diffuse into the lower layer (deeper than 1.25 m). The rate of CH4 consumption was calculated according to Eq. (6) and scaled by the second adjustable parameter.

457 We did not observe a decrease in dissolved CH₄ or an increase in O_2 in the hypolimnion of Goldstream L. immediately following ice-off, suggesting that the water column remained stratified as surface temperatures increased. Others have similarly observed water column stability in Arctic lakes during and after spring melt, which they attributed to intense solar radiation and the inflow of meltwater from surrounding areas (Bergmann and Welch, 1985; Burn, 2002; Bastviken et al., 2004). Measured CH4 concentrations in the upper 1 m of the water column increased significantly during a period of approx. 12 days in early May 2012 spanning the date of observed ice-off, suggesting significant upper-layer mixing during that time. Measured temperature profiles indicate a similar phenomenon in May 2011. As an 466 approximation, all CH₄ in the upper layer of the water column was released to the atmosphere over a period of five days following ice-off.

 The rate of dissolved CH4 diffusion to the atmosphere during the ice-free period was 469 calculated by multiplying the difference between the measured surface CH₄ concentration and 470 the equilibrium CH₄ concentration on each day by a "gas-transfer coefficient," k. We used a k determined empirically by Cole and Caraco (1998), representing an average k for the open-water period at Mirror Lake, a low-wind temperate lake. We converted it appropriately using a Schmidt 473 number for CH₄ at 10 °C of 1052 (Wania et al., 2010), which gave a k for CH₄ of 1.82 cm h⁻¹. As we did not measure wind velocities at Goldstream L. during the study period, we were unable to apply the wind-dependent parameterization of k presented by Cole and Caraco (1998). However, we believe this did not significantly affect our results for two reasons: (1) Goldstream L. is surrounded by trees, suggesting that wind speeds there are similar to those at the low-wind lake measured by Cole and Caraco, and (2) Cole and Caraco noted that k is relatively independent of wind speed at low wind speeds, suggesting that any difference in average wind speed between Mirror L. and Goldstream L. would not have appreciably affected the value of k. The value of k we used differed by 2% from that applied by Kling et al. (1992) to lakes on the northern coastal plain of Alaska, which are presumably windier than Goldstream L. Kling et al. noted that they likely underestimated the rate of gas diffusion from these lakes, suggesting that applying this value of k for Goldstream L. is justified.

 Measured concentrations from 2012 were used during the summer of 2011 because 486 surface CH₄ concentrations were not measured in 2011. The similarities between CH₄ concentration-depth profiles obtained during both open-water periods (Fig. 9) suggest that 488 surface CH₄ concentrations were also similar. The mean daily rate of CH₄ diffusion to the 489 atmosphere during both open-water periods $(1.2 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} d^{-1})$ was within the range of observations for other boreal lakes (Phelps et al., 1998; Huttunen et al., 2003; Walter et al., 491 2006). We assumed that CH₄ not emitted to the atmosphere during this period was consumed by methanotrophy.

- **2.4 Statistical analysis**
- Heteroscedastic t-tests were performed using Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA).
-
- **3 Results and discussion**
- **3.1 Evidence of bubble dissolution**

 Our model of CH4 dissolution from submerged, gas-filled mesocosm chambers (Sect. 2.2.7) suggests that a significant amount of CH4 dissolves out of bubbles on the timescale of

 bubble encapsulation (days to months). This model agrees with measured volumes and CH4 compositions of gas in chambers, except at 145 and 165 hours in the fall trial (Fig. 4). We observed defects in the ebullition deflectors on those chambers, and our weather observations indicate a decrease in barometric pressure prior to 145 hours, which is known to induce ebullition events (Mattson and Likens, 1990). We therefore attribute these discrepancies to the addition of natural ebullition bubbles.

 Measurements of CH4 concentrations in bubbles trapped within the ice layer also indicate significant dissolution. At C seep sites, "fresh" bubbles collected immediately after their ascent 522 through the water column (Sect. 2.2.6) had significantly greater CH_4 concentrations than encapsulated bubbles (85% vs. 72%, t-test, p < 0.05). However, we did not find significant differences between the concentrations of encapsulated vs. "fresh" A- and B-type bubbles due to a paucity of field measurements (zero and two encapsulated A- and B-type bubbles were sampled, respectively).

3.2 Baseline results

 Both the model as described in Sect. 2.3 (the "Baseline" version) and our measurements indicate that dissolved CH4 accumulates in Goldstream L. throughout the ice-cover periods, reaching maxima approximately one month prior to ice-off (Fig. 8a). The measured maximum 531 concentrations (400 μ M and 337 μ M in 2011 and 2012, respectively) are within the range of measurements for other lakes in Alaska (Phelps et al., 1998). Figure 10 shows the relative contributions of all sources of dissolved CH4 in the model.

 We calculated annual atmospheric CH4 emissions by five distinct mechanisms: (1) The direct release of bubbles from open ebullition seep sites ("Direct Ebullition"), (2) the release of free-phase gas trapped by the ice layer ("IBS"), (3) emission during winter "Flooding" events

 (Sect. 2.3.2), (4) "Diffusion" of dissolved CH4 from the water column, and (5) emission during partial lake overturn events ("Overturn"). Bastviken et al. (2004) referred to the fifth mechanism as "storage flux," but we termed it "Overturn" to distinguish it from the release of "stored" CH4 by diffusion through open ebullition seep sites during the spring melt period prior to lake overturn. Figure 11 shows emissions from the entire surface of Goldstream L. by each of these modes.

 Periods of zero emissions correspond to periods of atmospheric temperatures below –15 544 °C, when Hotspot seeps in the model were closed. When Hotspot seeps reopened, IBS emissions peaked due to the sudden release of gas within cavities. In reality, other factors, including ebullition rates and ice morphology, govern the opening and closing of Hotspot seep sites, so Hotspot IBS emissions may actually be distributed more evenly throughout the ice cover period. This is a potential reason for why peaks in the measured atmospheric CH₄ concentration occurred more frequently than peaks in Hotspot IBS emissions during the winter of 2010–2011 (Fig. 11b). For this reason, and because there are no field observations to validate our representation of the geometry of gas beneath the ice-water interface at Hotspot seep sites, our estimates of the magnitude and timing of Hotspot IBS emissions are likely uncertain. The average daily rate of atmospheric CH4 emissions was highest during the spring ice-melt periods 554 (70 mol CH₄ d⁻¹) due to emission of dissolved and trapped free-phase CH₄, and lowest during the 555 winter ice-cover periods (24 mol CH₄ d⁻¹) due to the impediment of ebullition bubbles by lake ice. The atmospheric CH4 concentration measured above Goldstream L. peaked significantly in early April 2011, when modeled IBS emissions also peaked (Fig. 11b). This supports our representation of bubble release prior to ice-off in the model (Sect. 2.3.4). A period of elevated atmospheric concentrations prior to ice-off was also observed in 2010. On average, 75% and 8%

560 of annual atmospheric CH₄ emissions occurred via Direct Ebullition and IBS, respectively, during the two-year study period (Fig. 11). IBS emissions constituted 6% and 9% of annual emissions from Goldstream L. in each year (2010–2011 and 2011–2012, respectively). The majority (67%) of CH4 released annually from the bottom sediments of Goldstream L. by ebullition seeps in the model was emitted directly to the atmosphere, unimpeded by lake ice (Fig. 12a). This proportion is determined primarily by the ebullition flux from Hotspot seeps, which remain open to the atmosphere throughout much of the ice cover period. Ebullition from Hotspot seeps constitutes 48% of the total annual seep ebullition flux from sediments in 568 Goldstream L. Efforts to improve estimates of CH₄ emissions from Goldstream L. should therefore focus first on Direct Ebullition emissions, particularly those from Hotspots. Our estimate of Direct Ebullition is conservative because it does not include ebullition from non-seep "background" locations. Walter et al. (2006) found that "background" ebullition comprises 25% of total annual CH4 emissions from two Siberian thermokarst lakes and was highest in the 573 summer, when microbes in warm surface sediments produce CH₄ that is released from non-seep locations. Our preliminary data from bubble traps over non-seep locations, as identified by bubble-free ice in the early winter, suggest that background ebullition also occurs in Goldstream L.

 The model indicates that seasonal ice cover effectively impedes the release of ebullition CH4 to the atmosphere. During the ice-cover period, 44% of CH4 bubbling from sediments dissolved into the water column when bubbles were trapped by ice. Conversely, 45% was released by Direct Ebullition from Hotspots and A, B, and C seep sites open during spring melt, and 11% was trapped as free-phase gas by ice. On an annual basis, 7% percent of the total seep

 ebullition flux was trapped as IBS and later released to the atmosphere when ice melted. The majority of IBS emissions was associated with Hotspots reopening in the winter (Fig. 12a).

 Of the CH4 in seep ebullition bubbles impeded by lake ice, 80% ultimately dissolved into 585 the water column, and 56% of this dissolved CH₄ was consumed by methanotrophy (Fig. 12b). In 586 the model, the extent of winter methanotrophy is determined entirely by the amount of $O₂$ dissolved in the water column at the time of freeze-up. Eighty-two percent of the total amount of CH4 emitted annually from the sediments of Goldstream L. by seep ebullition and diffusion 589 reached the atmosphere without being oxidized (Table 1). Because the amount of CH₄ that dissolves out of bubbles during the ice cover period is much greater than the amount that diffuses out of sediments (Fig. 10), ice cover facilitates substantially greater CH4 dissolution into the water column and therefore increased methanotrophy.

 The shapes of ebullition bubbles in harvested ice blocks (Sect. 2.2.6) varied greatly, depending on the seep type, ice growth rates, and the degree of bubble coalescence. Individual bubbles often tapered at the bottom, but vertically joined bubbles sometimes had tapering tops (Fig. 12c). For comparison with observations, the bubble dissolution component of the model was executed in isolation to simulate CH₄ dissolution from a single trapped bubble, assuming a constant ice growth rate and zero dissolved CH4 concentration. We fit the shapes of modeled bubbles to measurements by adjusting the ice growth rate and initial volume. Results agreed reasonably with measurements of bubbles that tapered at the bottom, and fits were better for bubbles with more concave sides (Fig. 12a). Bubble radii calculated assuming a constant 602 dissolved CH₄ concentration of 387 μ M, the maximum concentration observed during the study period, differed from those in our original results by no more than 1 mm, suggesting that bubble shapes are more strongly influenced by the ice growth rate than the rate of CH4 dissolution.

 Discrepancies between calculated and measured shapes likely arose from fluctuations in the ice growth rate and the error associated with modeling gas beneath the ice-water interface as a cylinder with constant height.

 In the model, the decrease in bubble volume due to CH4 dissolution gives rise to the shape of bubbles that taper at the bottom, so no bubbles had flat bottoms or tapering tops. We attribute observations in lake ice blocks of the occasional formation of bubbles with this opposite orientation to the insulating effect of gas frozen within the ice layer (Engram et al., 2013), which causes ice around trapped bubbles to grow faster than ice directly beneath, giving rise to dome- shaped or conical cavities at ebullition seep sites. This effect may compete with the effect of volume loss to determine bubble shapes, therefore causing a distribution of both orientations. Further investigation is needed to determine the effect of localized ice growth inhibition on 616 bubble shapes and CH_4 dissolution.

 Modeled CH4 concentrations of encapsulated bubbles did not differ significantly from 618 measurements for bubbles at B and C seep sites (t-tests, $p > 0.05$); however, only two encapsulated B-type bubbles and four C-type bubbles were sampled from Goldstream L. To increase the sample size, we also compared modeled concentrations to measurements of 30 encapsulated bubbles from four other thermokarst lakes in interior Alaska, the northern Seward Peninsula in Alaska, and northern Siberia (Walter et al., 2008; Sepulveda-Jauregui et al., 2014b). It was often impossible to classify ebullition sites beneath white ice during the spring ice-melt period as A, B, or C, so measurements from all ebullition classes were pooled and adjusted to account for observed differences in "fresh" bubble CH4 concentrations among lakes (Sepulveda- Jauregui et al., 2014b). The mean adjusted concentration in encapsulated bubbles from other 627 lakes (58%) did not differ significantly from those measured in Goldstream L. (68%; t-test, $p >$

 0.05), but the mean adjusted concentration for all five lakes, (60%) was significantly lower than 629 modeled concentrations (69%; t-test, $p < 0.05$).

 As a sensitivity analysis, we also constructed a version of the model, "Episodic Ebullition," in which individual seep fluxes, rather than smoothed fluxes, were applied during the ice-cover periods in the model. We found that encapsulated bubbles in the "Episodic Ebullition" version had a mean CH4 concentration (32%) significantly less than both the mean measured concentration for all five lakes and the mean modeled concentration when smoothed 635 fluxes were applied (t-tests, $p < 0.001$). The larger surface area, on average, of trapped gas 636 pockets at non-Hotspot seep sites in the "Episodic Ebullition" version allowed more CH₄ to dissolve out after individual ebullition events, despite the fact that gas pockets were encapsulated faster by ice (due to extended periods of no ebullition). Consequently, IBS emission from A, B, and C seep sites was 28% less than in the "Baseline" version. The "Episodic Ebullition" version appeared to underestimate encapsulated bubble CH4 concentrations in comparison to observations from the five thermokarst lakes. Likely reasons for this are that we assume the 5.7 mm bubble height measured on 20–40 mL gas pockets applies to all bubbles, but a 5,000 mL ebullition event, which can occur in the "Episodic Ebullition" version, produces larger gas pockets with possibly different bubble heights. Secondly, we did not account for lateral migration of bubbles beneath ice in the model.

 Results suggest that our slight overestimation of encapsulated bubble CH4 concentrations in the "Baseline" version of the model arises from our applying smoothed ebullition fluxes. However, applying smoothed ebullition fluxes is justified for three reasons: (1) The magnitude of CH₄ dissolution into the water column in the model was more sensitive to the magnitude of the CH4 ebullition flux during the ice-cover period than the timing of this release. This magnitude is

 more accurately represented by the larger sample size of measured seep fluxes that informed our 652 calculation of smoothed fluxes. (2) The majority of CH_4 in bubbles impeded by lake ice dissolves into the water column (Fig. 12), so major discrepancies in the mean CH4 concentration of encapsulated bubbles (69% "Baseline" vs*.* 32% "Episodic Ebullition") result in only minor discrepancies in the magnitude of CH4 dissolution into the water column and therefore in the magnitude of annual CH4 emissions. In the "Episodic Ebullition" version, the total magnitude of 657 CH₄ dissolution from bubbles trapped at A, B, and C seep sites and the total annual CH₄ emissions from Goldstream L. were, respectively, 2.5% and 5.3% greater than those in the "Baseline" version (Fig. A1). (3) It is more computationally efficient to execute the model using smoothed fluxes than with a large number of individual seeps.

3.3 Additional "Tinies" ebullition seeps

 Our field observations indicate a previously undefined class of ebullition seeps, "Tinies," in Goldstream L. Bubbles released from Tinies seeps are trapped beneath lake ice typically without lateral merging (similar to A-type bubbles). However, unlike individual A, B, C, and Hotspot seeps, which have a more focused point of release and sites with tightly clustered and/or 666 coalesced ice-bubbles (usually less than 0.8 m^2 in area), Tinies bubbles are typically found in patches that span several square meters. Most Tinies seeps release bubbles throughout the winter (K. M. W. A., unpublished data). Preliminary measurements indicate that Tinies seeps occupy approximately 1.4% of the area of Goldstream L. and that including ebullition from Tinies seeps would increase our estimate of total seep ebullition in Goldstream L. by 2 to 9%. We estimate that including these seeps in the model would result in a 2% increase in the amount of dissolved CH₄ in Goldstream L. during the ice cover periods, although this estimate is uncertain due to a

 lack of constraints on our representation of Tinies in the model. These results warrant further investigation and characterization of Tinies seeps.

3.4 Sensitivity analysis

 Because of the many approximations in the formulation of the model, its output often differed from measurements. These discrepancies informed the construction of additional modified versions of the model used to estimate uncertainties in our results arising from these approximations. The modifications in these versions are summarized in Table 1, and their results are discussed in detail in Appendix A. Our findings imply two key conclusions. (1) Secondary processes that influence the dissolved CH4 concentration in Goldstream L. during the ice-cover periods, such as CH4 diffusion from bottom sediments and methanotrophy, do not appreciably 683 affect the magnitudes of IBS emissions. (2) The magnitudes of CH_4 emission and oxidation during spring ice-melt and summer open-water periods are not well constrained in the model, and further investigation of these processes is therefore necessary.

3.5 Future climate change

 We constructed a "Warmer Climate" version of the model, in which air temperatures 688 were increased uniformly by 5° C, to simulate future climate change. The only processes in the model affected were ice growth and the closing of Hotspot seep sites to the atmosphere. In this version, freeze-up was delayed by 15 and eight days in 2010 and 2011, respectively, and the average yearly maximum ice thickness was 12% less than in the Baseline version. The resulting increase in total atmospheric emissions was driven primarily by a 7% increase in Direct Ebullition emissions arising from shorter ice-cover periods and fewer days on which Hotspots were closed (Fig. A1c). Less CH4 dissolved into the water column from ebullition seep sites despite slower ice growth, resulting in decreased Diffusion and IBS emissions during the spring

 ice-melt periods (Fig. A1b). A greater proportion (85% vs. 82%) of CH4 released annually from sediments reached the atmosphere than in the "Baseline" version (Table 1). As we did not account for the temperature dependence of methanogenesis, our estimates of the increase in CH4 emissions due to warming are likely conservative.

4 Conclusions

 We present a model of CH4 transport and emission processes in seasonally ice-covered lakes, focusing primarily on CH4 dissolution from bubbles trapped beneath lake ice and "ice- bubble storage" (IBS) emissions. Close agreement between the model's output and our field measurements suggests that our model accurately represents many of these processes in Goldstream L. and other thermokarst lakes.

 IBS emissions constituted 8% of total annual emissions from Goldstream Lake during the two-year study period. This quantity is primarily determined by ebullition and lake ice growth; it 709 is insensitive to the amount of dissolved CH_4 because the water column CH_4 concentration is typically undersaturated relative to concentrations in bubbles. On an annual basis, a majority of CH4 in ebullition bubbles in the model is released directly to the atmosphere, unimpeded by lake ice. Methanotrophy determines the extent to which lake ice reduces net atmospheric CH4 emissions. In the model, the magnitudes of methanotrophy during the winter and summer periods are constrained by measurements of dissolved gases. The magnitude of spring methanotrophy was not well constrained due to uncertainties in the timing of CH4 release from trapped ebullition seep sites, the rate of water-atmosphere gas exchange, and the possible "induction period" of methanotrophy. The amount of dissolved CH4 subject to methanotrophy during spring ice-melt is significant (21% of CH4 released annually by diffusion and ebullition from the sediments of

 Goldstream L.), so further investigation is needed to reduce this source of uncertainty in calculated CH4 emissions. Our model indicates that seasonal lake ice increases the amount of CH4 consumed by methanotrophs by facilitating CH4 dissolution from ice-trapped bubbles prior to encapsulation. Therefore, shorter ice-cover seasons and less black ice growth simulated in a 723 warmer climate will likely increase CH₄ emissions from northern lakes. The model could be further refined by including the temperature dependence of methanogenesis and the response of lake ice to projected changes in precipitation.

Appendix A: Sensitivity analyses

A1 CH4 diffusion from sediments

 The rate of CH4 diffusion from sediments in the model is uncertain because it was calculated from measurements in Vault Lake (Sect. 2.2.10), which exhibits greater seep ebullition activity than Goldstream Lake (Sepulveda-Jauregui et al., 2014b), likely because it is a younger thermokarst lake. This suggests the greater availability of substrate for methanogenesis (Kessler et al., 2012) in Vault L. and therefore greater sediment diffusion rates. Furthermore, the diffusion rate in Goldstream L. likely exhibits significant spatial variability, due to differences in substrate availability between its thermokarst and non-thermokarst zones, and temporal variability, due to seasonal variation in sediment temperature, which also influences methanogenesis rates (Whalen, 2005). We constructed a "Less Diffusion" version of the model, in which the diffusion rate from sediments was five times less than in the "Baseline" version, which resulted in a marginal

740 decrease in the modeled amount of dissolved $CH₄$ in the water column throughout the study

period (Fig. 8a). This difference was not substantial because dissolution from trapped bubbles

 comprised 87% of the dissolved CH4 sources during the ice-cover periods in the "Baseline" version (Fig. 12). Methanotrophy during the summer periods was considerably reduced (Fig. A1b), and a greater proportion (86% vs*.* 82% in the "Baseline" version) of CH4 released from bottom sediments reached the atmosphere (Table 1). 746 Due to lower dissolved CH₄ concentrations throughout the winter and spring in the "Less" Diffusion" version, 0.4% more CH4 diffused into the water column from trapped bubbles. Because the maximum CH4 concentration in Goldstream L. is generally significantly less than 749 the saturation concentration calculated from the CH₄ concentration within trapped bubbles, the magnitudes of bubble dissolution and IBS emissions are insensitive to the diffusion rate from sediments and other processes that affect the dissolved CH4 concentration. It may be possible to estimate Ebullition and IBS emissions for lakes similarly undersaturated in dissolved CH4 using only information about lake ice and ebullition dynamics.

A2 Lake ice thickness

 Although the model exhibits good agreement with measured total ice thicknesses, it does not agree as closely with measured white and black ice thicknesses during the ice-cover period (Fig. 5). These measurements are more uncertain than those of total ice thickness due to error associated with observers looking through narrow auger holes in winter for differences in ice color. We constructed two additional versions of the model in which calculated black ice thickness matched the upper and lower extremes of the range of our measurements and the total ice thickness matched measurements (Fig. A2). The snow density was fixed at either 300 or 100 762 kg m⁻³, resulting in "More Black Ice" or "Less Black Ice," respectively, due to the associated changes in snow conductivity and snow depth. In the "Baseline" version, the average snow 764 density was 180 kg m^3 .

 In the "More Black Ice" version, flooding events (Sect. 2.3.2) were less frequent, resulting in less white ice growth and a 97% reduction in Flooding emissions. At A, B, and C ebullition seep sites, more CH4 was encapsulated by ice, and 14% less CH4 dissolved out of trapped bubbles (Fig. A1a). The magnitude of IBS emissions from A, B, and C seep sites was 2.5 times greater than in the "Baseline" version. The opposite was true of results from the "Less Black Ice" version.

A3 Diffusively controlled layer thickness

772 Setting the effective thickness of the diffusively controlled layer, δ_{eff} , to 0.15 mm and 0.35 mm in the model of CH4 dissolution from submerged chambers (Sect. 2.2.7) yielded 774 reasonable fits to measured volumes and CH_4 concentrations for both trials (Fig. 4), so these 775 values were used in two additional versions of the model. At $\delta_{\text{eff}} = 0.35$ mm ("Greater δ_{eff} "), 5% less CH4 dissolved into the water column from bubbles, which resulted in greater IBS emissions and less emission of dissolved CH4 during the spring ice-melt period (Fig. A1). The opposite was 778 true for results from the "Lesser δ_{eff} " version (δ_{eff} = 0.15 mm). 83% and 81% of CH₄ reached the 779 atmosphere in the "Greater" and "Lesser δ_{eff} " versions, respectively (Table 1).

A4 Methane emissions during spring melt

781 The model does not include biological processes that consume or produce O_2 during the 782 spring ice-melt period, which could significantly impact the magnitude of CH₄ oxidation during this period. Furthermore, the timing of the release of trapped gas represented in the model may differ from reality due to the paucity of field observations of this timing. Consequently, the magnitudes of CH4 diffusion to the atmosphere and methanotrophy during the spring ice-melt 786 periods in the model are uncertain. In the "Baseline" version of the model, the amount of CH₄ that dissolves into the water column from ebullition seep sites and sediments during the winter

 and spring periods, minus the amount oxidized during the winter periods, represents 21% of the 789 total CH₄ budget for Goldstream L. Methanotrophs consume 17% of this dissolved CH₄ during the spring and summer periods. Michmerhuizen et al. (1996) found that an insignificant portion of CH4 dissolved in Minnesota and Wisconsin lakes is oxidized during spring ice-melt. If we similarly assumed no methanotrophy during the spring ice-melt periods in the model, Spring Diffusion emissions would increase by a factor of 2.8, and total atmospheric emissions during the study period would increase by 4.3%.

 We constructed two versions of the model ("Early" and "Late Release"), in which gas trapped at A, B, and C seep sites was released to the atmosphere on the first or last day of the ice-melt periods, respectively, to evaluate the impact of uncertainties in our release rate functions 798 (Sect. 2.3.4). In the "Late Release" version, 4.4 times more CH₄ dissolved from trapped bubbles during the spring ice-melt periods than in the "Baseline" version, resulting in decreased IBS emissions from non-Hotspot seep sites and increased Overturn emissions (Fig. A1). Total 801 emissions during the spring ice-melt periods were 26% greater than in the "Baseline" version, and 85% of CH4 released from bottom sediments throughout the study period reached the atmosphere (Table 1). Results from the "Early Release" version did not differ as much from the "Baseline" version (Fig. A1) because the maxima of our release rate functions occurred close to the beginning of the spring ice-melt period. These findings suggest that the timing of bubble 806 release during the spring-ice melt period can significantly impact spring CH₄ emissions and that further investigation is needed to reduce this source of uncertainty in our results.

A5 Summer CH4 Diffusion Emissions

 There is a significant degree of uncertainty associated with our estimate of the magnitude of Diffusion emissions during the open-water periods in the model, as we did not include the

- GIS analysis. Martin Jeffries and Frederic Thalasso provided input on the model's design.
- Melanie Engram and Martin Jeffries provided useful comments on the manuscript. This work
- was supported by the DOE #DE-NT0005665, NASA Carbon Cycle Sciences #NNX11AH20G,
- the NASA Astrobiology Institute's Icy Worlds node, and NSF #0732735 and #1107892.
-

References

- 841 Adams, E. E., Priscu, J. C., Fritsen, C. H., Smith, S. R., and Brackman, S. L.: Permanent ice
- covers of the McMurdo Dry Valley Lakes, Antarctica: Bubble formation and metamorphism, in:
- Ecosystem Dynamics in a Polar Desert: The McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica, Priscu, J. C.
- (Ed.), American Geophysical Union, Washington, D. C., USA, 281–295,
- doi:10.1029/AR072p0281, 2013.
- Ashton, G. D. (Ed.): River and Lake Ice Engineering, Water Resources Publications, Highlands
- Ranch, Colorado, USA, 1986.
- Bastviken, D., Cole, J. J., Pace, M. L., Van de Bogert, M. C.: Fates of methane from different
- 849 lake habitats: Connecting whole-lake budgets and CH₄ emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 113,
- G02024, doi:10.1029/2007JG000608, 2008.
- Bastviken, D., Cole, J., Pace, M., and Tranvik, L.: Methane emissions from lakes: Dependence
- of lake characteristics, two regional assessments, and a global estimate, Global Biogeochem. Cy.,
- 18, GB4009, doi:10.1029/2004GB002238, 2004.
- Bastviken, D., Tranvik, L. J., Downing, J. A., Crill, P. M., and Enrich-Prast, A.: Freshwater
- methane emissions offset the continental carbon sink, Science, 331, 50,
- doi:10.1126/science.1196808, 2011.
- 857 Bender, M. and Conrad, R: Effect of CH₄ concentrations and soil conditions on the induction of
- CH4 oxidation activity, Soil Biol. Biochem., 27, 1517–1527, doi:10.1016/0038-0717(95)00104- M, 1995.
- Bergmann, M. A. and Welch, H. E.: Spring meltwater mixing in small arctic lakes, Can. J. Fish.
- Aquat. Sci., 42, 1789–1798, doi:10.1139/f85-224, 1985.
- Boereboom, T., Depoorter, M., Coppens, S., and Tison, J.-L.: Gas properties of winter lake ice in
- Northern Sweden: implication for carbon gas release, Biogeosciences, 9, 827–838,
- doi:10.5194/bg-9-827-2012, 2012.
- 865 Bohren, C. F. and Beschta, R. L.: Snowpack albedo and snow density, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.,
- 1, 47–50, doi:10.1016/0165-232X(79)90018-1, 1979.
- Broecker, W. S. and Peng, T. H.: Gas exchange rates between air and sea, Tellus, 26, 21–35,
- doi:10.1111/j.2153-3490.1974.tb01948.x, 1974.
- 869 Browman, L. G.: Channels in ice, in: Advanced concepts and techniques in the study of snow
- and ice resources, Santeford, H. S. and Smith, J. L. (Comp.), Monterey, California, USA, 2–6
- December 1973, 224–234, 1974.
- Burn, C. R.: Tundra lakes and permafrost, Richards Island, western Arctic coast, Canada, Can. J.
- Earth Sci., 39, 1281–1298, doi:10.1139/e02-035, 2002.
- Casper, P., Maberly, S. C., Hall, G. H., and Finlay. B. J.: Fluxes of methane and carbon dioxide
- 875 from a small productive lake to the atmosphere, Biogeochemistry, 49, 1–19,
- doi:10.1023/A:1006269900174, 2000.
- Cole, J. J. and Caraco, N. F.: Atmospheric exchange of carbon dioxide in a low-wind
- 878 oligotrophic lake measured by the addition of SF₆, Limnol. Oceanogr., 43, 647–656, 1998.
- Duguay, C. R., Flato, G. M., Jeffries, M. O., Ménard, P., Morris, K., and Rouse, W. R.: Ice-cover
- variability on shallow lakes at high latitudes: model simulations and observations, Hydrol.
- Process., 17, 3465–3483, doi:10.1002/hyp.1394, 2003.
- Dunfield, P. F., Liesack, W., Henckel, T., Knowles, R., and Conrad, R.: High-affinity methane
- oxidation by a soil enrichment culture containing a type II methanotroph, Appl. Environ.
- Microb., 65, 1009–1014, 1999.
- Engram, M., Walter Anthony, K., Meyer, F. J., and Grosse, G.: Characterization of L-band
- synthetic aperture radar (SAR) backscatter from floating and grounded thermokarst lake ice in
- Arctic Alaska, The Cryosphere, 7, 1741–1752, doi:10.5194/tc-7-1741-2013, 2013.
- Fancy, S. G. and White, R. G.: Energy expenditures by caribou while cratering in snow, J.
- Wildlife Manage., 49, 987–993, doi:10.2307/3801384, 1985.
- Gash, J. H. C.: A note on estimating the effect of a limited fetch on micrometeorological
- evaporation measurements, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 35, 409–413, doi:10.1007/BF00118567,
- 1986.
- Hamilton, T. D., Craig, J. L., and Sellmann, P. V.: The Fox permafrost tunnel: a late Quaternary
- geologic record in central Alaska, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 100, 948–969, doi:10.1130/0016-
- 7606(1988)100<0948:TFPTAL>2.3.CO;2, 1988.
- Hemmingsen, E.: Permeation of gases through ice, Tellus, 11, 355–359, doi:10.1111/j.2153-
- 3490.1959.tb00041.x, 1958.
- Holocher, J., Peeters, F., Aeschbach-Hertig, W., Kinzelbach, W., and Kipfer, R.: Kinetic model
- of gas bubble dissolution in groundwater and its implications for the dissolved gas composition,
- Environ. Sci. and Technol., 37, 1337–1343, doi:10.1021/es025712z, 2003.
- Huttunen, J. T., Alm, J., Liikanen, A. Juutinen, S., Larmola, T., Hammar, T., Silvola, J., and
- Martikainen, P. J.: Fluxes of methane, carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide in boreal lakes and
- potential anthropogenic effects on the aquatic greenhouse gas emissions, Chemosphere, 52, 609–
- 621, doi:10.1016/S0045-6535(03)00243-1, 2003.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): Climate Change 2013: The Physical
- Science Basis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, USA,
- 1535 pp., 2013.
- Jakkila, J., Leppäranta, M., Kawamura, T., Shirasawa, K., and Salonen, K.: Radiation transfer
- and heat budget during the ice season in Lake Pääjärvi, Finland, Aquat. Ecol., 43, 681–692,
- doi:10.1007/s10452-009-9275-2, 2009.
- Kanevskiy, M., Shur, Y., Fortier, D., Jorgenson, M. T., and Stephani, E.: Cryostratigraphy of late
- Pleistocene syngenetic permafrost (yedoma) in northern Alaska, Itkillik River exposure,
- Quaternary Res., 75, 584–596, doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2010.12.003, 2011.
- Kankaala, P., Taipale, S., Nykänen, H., and Jones, R. I.: Oxidation, efflux, and isotopic
- fractionation of methane during autumnal turnover in a polyhumic, boreal lake, J. Geophys. Res.,
- 112, G02003, doi:10.1029/2006JG000336, 2007.
- Keller, M. and Stallard, R. F.: Methane emission by bubbling from Gatun Lake, Panama, J.
- Geophys. Res., 99, 8307–8319, doi:10.1029/92JD02170, 1994.
- Kelly, C. A. and Chynoweth, D. P.: The contributions of temperature and the input of organic
- matter in controlling rates of sediment methanogenesis, Limnol. Oceanogr., 26, 891–897, 1981.
- Kessler, M. A., Plug, L., and Walter Anthony, K.: Simulating the decadal to millennial scale
- dynamics of morphology and sequestered carbon mobilization of two thermokarst lakes in NW
- Alaska, J. Geophys. Res., 117, doi:10.1029/2011JG001796, 2012.
- 924 Kling, G. W., Kipphut, G. W., and Miller, M. C.: The flux of CO_2 and CH_4 from lakes and rivers
- in arctic Alaska, Hydrobiologia, 240, 23–36, doi:10.1007/BF00013449, 1992.
- Lidstrom, M. E. and Somers, L.: Seasonal study of methane oxidation in Lake Washington,
- Appl. Environ. Microb., 47, 1255–1260, 1984.
- Liikanen, A., Huttunen, J. T., Valli, K., and Martikainen, P. J: Methane cycling in the sediment
- and water column of mid-boreal hyper-eutrophic Lake Kevaton, Finland, Arch. Hydrobiol., 154,
- 585–603, 2002.
- Liston, G. E. and Sturm, M.: Winter precipitation patterns in arctic Alaska determined from a
- blowing snow model and snow-depth observations, J. Hydrometeorol., 3, 646–659,
- doi:10.1175/1525-7541(2002)003<0646:WPPIAA>2.0.CO;2, 2002.
- 934 Lofton, D. D., Whalen, S. C., and Hershey, A. E.: Effect of temperature on methane dynamics
- and evaluation of methane oxidation kinetics in shallow Arctic Alaskan lakes, Hydrobiologia,
- 721, 209–222, doi:10.1007/s10750-013-1663-x, 2014.
- Mackay, J. R.: Disturbances to the tundra and forest tundra environment of the western Arctic,
- Can. Geotech. J., 7, 420–432, doi:10.1139/t70-054, 1970.
- 939 Martinez-Cruz, K., Sepulveda-Jauregui, A., Walter Anthony, K. M., and Thalasso, F.:
- Latitudinal and seasonal variation of aerobic methane oxidation in Alaskan lakes,
- Biogeosciences, in preparation, 2014.
- Mattson, M. D. and Likens, G. E.: Air pressure and methane fluxes, Nature, 347, 718–719,
- doi:10.1038/347718b0, 1990.
- Michmerhuizen, C. M., Striegl, R. G., and McDonald, M. E.: Potential methane emission from
- north-temperate lakes following ice melt, Limnol. Oceanogr., 41, 985–991, 1996.
- 946 Muhs, D. R. and Budahn, J. R.: Geochemical evidence for the origin of late Quaternary loess in
- central Alaska, Can. J. Earth Sci., 43, 323–337, doi:10.1139/e05-115, 2006.
- Nye, J. F.: The geometry of water veins and nodes in polycrystalline ice, J. Glaciol., 35, 17–22,
- doi:10.3189/002214389793701437, 1989.
- Péwé, T. L.: Quaternary geology of Alaska, United States Geological Survey Professional Paper
- 835, United States Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., USA, 145 pp., 1975.
- Phelps, A. R., Peterson, K. M., and Jeffries, M. O.: Methane efflux from high-latitude lakes
- during spring ice melt, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 29029–29036, doi:10.1029/98JD00044, 1998.
- Reyes, A. V., Froese, D. G., and Jensen, B. J. L.: Permafrost response to last interglacial
- warming: Field evidence from non-glaciated Yukon and Alaska, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 29, 3256-
- 3274, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.07.013, 2010.
- Rudd, J. W. M. and Hamilton, R. D.: Methane cycling in a eutrophic shield lake and its effects
- on whole lake metabolism, Limnol. Oceanogr., 23, 337–348, doi:10.4319/lo.1978.23.2.0337,
- 1978.
- Sasaki, M., Imura, S., Kudoh, S. Yamanouchi, T., Morimoto, S., Hashida, G.: Methane efflux
- 961 from bubbles suspended in ice-covered lakes in Syowa Oasis, East Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res.,
- 114, D18114, doi:10.1029/2009JD011849, 2009.
- Schulz, S., Matsuyama, H., Conrad, R.: Temperature dependence of methane production from
- different precursors in a profundal sediment (Lake Constance), FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 22, 207–
- 213, doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.1997.tb00372.x, 1997.
- Sepulveda-Jauregui, A., Hoyos-Santillan, J., Martinez-Cruz, K., Belmonte-Izquierdo, Y., Walter
- Anthony, K. M., Casper, P., and Thalasso, F.: Eutrophication exacerbates potential methane
- production and consumption response in lake ecosystems to warming, Environ. Sci. Technol., in preparation, 2014a.
- Sepulveda-Jauregui, A., Walter Anthony, K. M., Martinez-Cruz, K., Greene, S., and Thalasso,
- F.: Methane and carbon dioxide emissions from 42 lakes along a North-South latitudinal transect
- in Alaska, Biogeosciences, in preparation, 2014b.
- Smith, L. K. and Lewis Jr., W. M.: Seasonality of methane emissions from five lakes and
- associated wetlands of the Colorado Rockies, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 6, 323–338,
- doi:10.1029/92GB02016, 1992.
- Stepanenko, V. M., Machul'skaya, E. E., Glagolev, M. V., and Lykossov, V. N.: Numerical
- modeling of methane emissions from lakes in the permafrost zone, Izv. Atmos. Ocean. Phys., 47,
- 252–264, doi:10.1134/S0001433811020113, 2011.
- 979 Sturm, M., Holmgren, J., König, M., and Morris, K.: The thermal conductivity of seasonal snow,
- J. Glaciol., 43, 26–41, 1997.
- Tang, K. M., McGinnis, D. F., Frindte, K., Brüchert, V., and Grossart, H.-P.: Paradox
- reconsidered: Methane oversaturation in well-oxygenated lake waters, Limnol. Oceanogr., 59,
- 275–284, doi:10.4319/lo.2014.59.1.0275, 2014.
- Van Bodegom, P., Goudriaan J., and Leffelaar P.: A mechanistic model on methane oxidation in
- a rice rhizosphere, Biogeochemistry, 55, 145–177, doi:10.1023/A:1010640515283, 2001.
- Walter Anthony, K. M. and Anthony, P.: Constraining spatial variability of methane ebullition
- seeps in thermokarst lakes using point process models, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 1–20,
- doi:10.1002/jgrg.20087, 2013.
- Walter Anthony, K. M., Vas, D. A., Brosius, L., Chapin III, F. S., Zimov, S. A., and Zhuang, Q:
- Estimating bubble emissions from northern lakes using ice-bubble surveys, Limnol. Oceanogr.
- 991 Meth., 8, 592–609, 2010.
- Walter, K. M., Chanton, J. P., Chapin III, F. S., Schuur, E. A. G., and Zimov, S. A.: Methane
- production and bubble emissions from arctic lakes: Isotopic implications for source pathways
- and ages, J. Geophys. Res., 113, G00A08, doi:10.1029/2007JG000569, 2008.
- Walter, K. M., Zimov, S. A., Chanton, J. P., Verbyla, D., and Chapin III, F. S.: Methane
- bubbling from Siberian thaw lakes as a positive feedback to climate warming, Nature, 443, 71–
- 75, doi:10.1038/nature05040, 2006.
- Wania, R., Ross, I., and Prentice, I. C.: Implementation and evaluation of a new methane model
- 999 within a dynamic global vegetation model: LPJ-WHyMe v1.3.1, Geosci. Model Dev., 3, 565–
- 584, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-565-2010, 2010.
- Whalen, S. C.: Biogeochemistry of methane exchange between natural wetlands and the
- atmosphere, Environ. Eng. Sci., 22, 73–94, doi:10.1089/ees.2005.22.73, 2005.
- Wilhelm, E., Battino, R., and Wilcock, R. J.: Low-pressure solubility of gases in liquid water,
- Chem. Rev., 77, 219–262, doi:10.1021/cr60306a003, 1977.
- Williams, G. P.: Water temperature during the melting of lake ice, Water Resour. Res., 5, 1134–
- 1138, doi:10.1029/WR005i005p01134, 1969.
- Woolf, D. K. and Thorpe, S. A.: Bubbles and the air-sea exchange of gases in near-saturation
- conditions, J. Mar. Res., 49, 435–466, doi:10.1357/002224091784995765, 1991.
- Yamamoto, S., Alcauskas, J. B., and Crozier, T. E.: Solubility of methane in distilled water and
- seawater, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 21, 78–80, doi:10.1021/je60068a029, 1976.
- Zimov, S. A., Voropaev, Y. V., Davydov, S. P., Zimova, G. M., Davydova, A. I., Chapin III, F.
- S., Chapin, M. C.: Flux of methane from north Siberian aquatic systems: Influence on
- atmospheric methane, in: Permafrost Response on Economic Development, Environmental
- Security and Natural Resources, NATO Science Series 2, 76, Paepe, R. and Melnikov, V. P.
- (Eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands; Boston, Massachusetts, USA,
- 511–524, 2001.
-

- 1019
 1020 Table 1. Names of the versions of the model discussed as sensitivity analyses in Sects. 3.4 and
- 1021 3.5 and Appendix A, and descriptions of how they differ from the "Baseline" version, described
- 1022 in Sect. 2.3. At right, the fraction of the total amount of CH₄ in each version released annually
- 1023 from the sediments of Goldstream Lake by diffusion and ebullition that is emitted to the
- 1024 atmosphere without being consumed by methanotrophy.
- 1025

1048 and fall trials, respectively. Values of $\delta_{\text{eff}} = 0.35$ mm and 0.15 mm encompassed the range of

uncertainty in these measurements, so they were used in sensitivity analyses (Sect. A3).

1052 snow, and (d) the ice layer (white and black ice) during the winter of 2011–2012. A value of α =

0.95 was used in the ice growth model (Sect. 2.3.2) for this period.

Figure 6. Modeled and measured total thicknesses of the ice layer (white and black ice) during

1056 the winter of 2010–2011. Measurements were obtained in areas where snow was occasionally

1057 compacted by foot traffic, an effect accounted for in the modeled thickness. A value of $\alpha = 0.94$

was used in the ice growth model for this period.

Figure 7. (a) A cross section of the model's representation of trapped gas at A, B, and C seep

sites. The dashed line indicates the area over which CH4 dissolves into the water column. Gas

beneath the ice-water interface is modeled as a cylinder with constant height (5.7 mm). The

volume and radius of this cylinder decrease (indicated by arrows) as the ice grows downward

and CH4 diffuses out, giving rise to the tapering shape of encapsulated bubbles. (b) Schematic of

a closed Hotspot seep site. The cavity's shape is determined by field measurements, and its

bottom radius determines that of the cylinder of gas beneath the ice-water interface. The height

of this cylinder decreases as CH4 diffuses out and the ice grows downward (indicated by arrows).

Note the different scales in (a) and (b).

1070 Figure 8. The measured amounts of (a) CH_4 and (b) O_2 dissolved in the water column of Goldstream Lake during the study period, and those calculated in the "Baseline" and "Less

Diffusion" versions of the model (Sect. A1).

CH4 emission are discussed in Sect. 3.2.

 Figure 13. The shapes of bubbles encapsulated by lake ice above an A-type ebullition seep. Field measurements of bubbles (a) and (b) are compared to shapes calculated in the bubble dissolution component of the model (Sect. 2.3.3). Plots represent a side profile of bubbles, with the y-axis representing depth within the ice layer. Note the different scales on both axes. (c) Encapsulated

- bubbles oriented in both directions, either with flat bottoms and tapering tops, or vice-versa.
- Photos courtesy of Melanie Engram.

1100 **Figures**

 $b)$

- 1101
- 1102 **Figure 1**
- 1103

- 1104
- 1105 **Figure 2**
- 1106

- 1114 **Figure 5**
- 1115

1120 **Figure 7**

- 1123 **Figure 8**
- 1124

1129 **Figure 10**

- 1134
- 1135 **Figure 12**
- 1136

