Secondary calcification and dissolution respond

2 differently to future ocean conditions.

- 4 N. J. Silbiger¹ and M. J. Donahue¹
- 5 [1] {University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Hawai'i Institute of Marine Biology, PO Box 1346,
- 6 Kāne'ohe, Hawai'i, 96744}
- 7 Correspondence to: N.J. Silbiger (silbiger@hawaii.edu)

Abstract

Climate change threatens both the accretion and erosion processes that sustain coral reefs. Secondary calcification, bioerosion, and reef dissolution are integral to the structural complexity and long-term persistence of coral reefs, yet these processes have received less research attention than reef accretion by corals. In this study, we use climate scenarios from RCP 8.5 to examine the combined effects of rising ocean acidity and sea surface temperature (SST) on both secondary calcification and dissolution rates of a natural coral rubble community using a flow-through aquarium system. We found that secondary reef calcification and dissolution responded differently to the combined effect of pCO₂ and temperature. Calcification had a non-linear response to the combined effect of pCO₂-temperature: the highest calcification rate occurred slightly above ambient conditions and the lowest calcification rate was in the highest pCO₂-temperature condition. In contrast, dissolution increased linearly with pCO₂-temperature. The rubble community switched from net calcification to net dissolution at +271 µatm pCO₂ and 0.75° C above ambient conditions, suggesting that rubble reefs may shift from net

calcification to net dissolution before the end of the century. Our results indicate that (i) dissolution may be more sensitive to climate change than calcification and (ii) that calcification and dissolution have different functional responses to climate stressors; this highlights the need to study the effects of climate stressors on both calcification and dissolution to predict future changes in coral reefs.

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

24

25

26

27

28

1 Introduction

In 2013, atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO_{2(atm)}) reached an unprecedented milestone of 400 ppm (Tans and Keeling, 2013), and this rising CO_{2(atm)} is increasing sea-surface temperature (SST) and ocean acidity (Caldeira and Wickett, 2003; Cubasch et al., 2013; Feely et al., 2004). Global SST has increased by 0.78°C since pre-industrial times (Cubasch et al., 2013), and it is predicted to increase by another 0.8-5.7°C by the end of this century (Meinshausen et al., 2011; Van Vuuren et al., 2008; Rogelj et al., 2012). The Hawai'i Ocean Time-series detected a 0.075 decrease in mean annual pH at Station ALOHA over the past 20 years (Doney et al., 2009) and there have been similar trends at stations around the world including the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series and the European Station for Time-series Observations in the ocean (Solomon et al. 2007). pH is expected to drop by an additional 0.14-0.35 pH units by the end of the 21st century (Bopp et al., 2013). All marine ecosystems are at risk from rising SST and decreasing pH (Doney et al., 2009; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Hoegh-Guldberg and Bruno, 2010), but coral reefs are particularly vulnerable to these stressors (reviewed in Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007).

Corals create the structurally complex calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) foundation of coral reef ecosystems. This structural complexity is at risk from climate-driven shifts from high-complexity, branched coral species to mounding and encrusting growth forms (Fabricius et al., 2011) and from increases in the natural processes of reef destruction, including bioerosion and dissolution (Wisshak et al., 2012, 2013; Tribollet et al., 2006). While substantial research attention has focused on the response of reef-building corals to climate change (reviewed in Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Fabricius, 2005; Pandolfi et al., 2011), secondary calcification (calcification by non-coral invertebrates and calcareous algae), bioerosion, and reef dissolution that are integral to maintaining the structural complexity and net growth of coral reefs has received less attention (Andersson and Gledhill, 2013; Andersson et al., 2011; Andersson and Mackenzie, 2012). Bioerosion and dissolution breakdown the reef framework while secondary calcification helps maintain reef stability by cementing the reef together (Adey, 1998; Camoin and Montaggioni, 1994; Littler, 1973) and producing chemical cues that induce settlement of many invertebrate larvae including several species of corals (Harrington et al. 2004; Price 2010). Coral reefs will only persist if constructive reef processes (growth by corals and secondary calcifiers) exceed destructive reef processes (bioerosion and dissolution). In this study, we examine the combined effects of rising ocean acidity and SST on both calcification and dissolution rates of a natural community of secondary calcifiers and bioeroders.

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

Recent laboratory experiments have focused on the response of individual taxa of bioeroders or secondary calcifiers to climate stressors. For example, studies have specifically addressed the effects of rising ocean acidity and/or temperature on bioerosion

by a *Clionid* sponge (Wisshak et al., 2012, 2013; Fang et al., 2013) and a community of photosynthesizing microborers (Tribollet et al., 2009; Reyes-Nivia et al., 2013). These studies found that bioerosion increased under future climate change scenarios. Several studies have focused on tropical calcifying algae and have found decreased calcification (Semesi et al., 2009; Johnson et al., 2014; Comeau et al., 2013; Jokiel et al., 2008; Kleypas and Langdon, 2006) and increased dissolution (Diaz-Pulido et al., 2012) with increasing ocean acidity and/or SST. However, the bioeroding community is extremely diverse and can interact with the surrounding community of secondary calcifiers: for example, crustose coralline algae (CCA) can inhibit internal bioerosion (White, 1980; Tribollet and Payri, 2001). To understand the combined response of bioeroders and secondary calcifiers, we take a community perspective and examine the synergistic effects of rising SST and ocean acidity on a natural community of secondary calcifiers and bioeroders. Using the total alkalinity anomaly technique, we test for net changes in calcification during the day and dissolution (most of which is caused by bioeroders; Andersson and Gledhill, 2013) at night. Our climate change treatments are modelled after the Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 climate scenario (Van Vuuren et al., 2011; Meinshausen et al., 2011), one of the high emissions scenarios used in the most recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report (Cubasch et al., 2013). The RCP 8.5 scenario predicts an increase in temperature of 3.8 - 5.7°C (Rogelj et al., 2012) and an increase in atmospheric CO₂ of 557 ppm by the year 2100 (Meinshausen et al., 2011). We use the RCP 8.5 scenario because the current CO₂ concentrations are tracking just above what this scenario predicts (Sanford et al., 2014). While prior studies have focused on the contributions of individual community members to increased

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

temperature and CO₂; here, we examine the community response to the RCP 8.5 climate scenario and measure calcification, dissolution, and net community production rates.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Collection Site

All collections were made on the windward side of Moku o Lo'e (Coconut Island) in Kāne'ohe Bay, Hawai'i adjacent to the Hawai'i Institute of Marine Biology. This fringing reef is dominated by *Porites compressa* and *Montipora capitata*, with occasional colonies of *Pocillopora damicornis*, *Fungia scutaria*, and *Porites lobata*. Kāne'ohe Bay is a protected, semi-enclosed embayment; the residence time can be >1 month long in the protected southern portion of the Bay (Lowe et al., 2009a;Lowe et al., 2009b) that is coupled with a high daily variance in pH (Guadayol et al., 2014). The wave action is minimal (Smith et al., 1981;Lowe et al., 2009a;Lowe et al., 2009b) and currents are relatively slow (5cm s⁻¹ maximum) and wind-driven (Lowe et al., 2009a;Lowe et al., 2009b).

2.2 Sample Collection

We collected pieces of dead *Porites compressa* coral skeleton (hereafter, referred to as rubble) as representative communities of bioeroders and secondary calcifiers. Rubble was collected with a hammer and chisel from a shallow reef flat (~1m depth) in November, 2012. Only pieces of rubble without any live coral were collected. The rubble community in Kāne'ohe Bay is comprised of secondary calcifiers, including CCA from the genera *Hydrolithon*, *Sporolithon*, and *Peyssonnelia* and non-coral calcifying invertebrates (e.g. boring bivalves (*Lithophaga fasciola* and *Barbatia divaricate*), oysters (*Crassostrea*

gigas), and small crustaceans); filamentous and turf algae; and internal bioeroders, including boring bivalves (*L. fasciola* and *B.divaricate*), sipunculids (*Aspidosiphon elegans*, *Lithacrosiphon cristatus*, *Phascolosoma perlucens*, and *Phascolosoma stephensoni*), phoronids (*Phoronis ovalis*), sponges (*Cliona* spp.) and a diverse assemblage of polychaetes (White, 1980). All rubble pieces were combined after collection and maintained in a 100L flow-through tank with ambient seawater from Kāne'ohe Bay until random assignment to treatments.

2.3. Experimental Design

The Hawai'i Institute of Marine Biology (HIMB) hosts a mesocosm facility with flow-through seawater from Kāne'ohe Bay and controls for light, temperature, pCO₂, and flow rate. The facility is comprised of 24 experimental aquaria split between four racks; each rack has a 150L header tank which feeds 6 experimental aquaria, each 50L in volume (Figure 1).

Before adding rubble to the experimental aquaria, we collected day and night samples of pH, total alkalinity (TA), temperature, and salinity from all aquaria to demonstrate the consistency of water conditions across aquaria without any rubble present (Table 1). The long-term temporal stability of the mesocosm system is reported in Putnam (2012). We then conducted "control" and "treatment" experiments to determine how RCP 8.5 predictions affect daytime calcification and nighttime dissolution rates in a natural rubble community. The first "control experiment" characterized baseline calcification and dissolution in each aquarium caused by differences in rubble communities. In the second "treatment experiment", we manipulated pCO₂ and

temperature to simulate four climate scenarios (pre-industrial, present day, 2050, and 2100) and tested the response of calcification, dissolution, and net community production. Each experiment used the TA anomaly method (Smith and Key, 1975; Andersson et al., 2009). This method calculates net calcification from changes in total alkalinity, and calculates net community production from changes in total dissolved inorganic carbon adjusted for changes in carbon due to calcification. Because estimates of calcification are based on changes in total alkalinity, this method does not account for mechanical erosion (e.g., small chips of CaCO₃ produced by sponge erosion). However, given the short duration of the experiment and the types of bioeroders present, we expect that chemical dissolution captured a significant proportion of the erosion in the system.

Approximately 1.2L of rubble (3-4 pieces of weight 499 \pm 148 g and skeletal density 1.53 \pm 0.1 g cm⁻³ (mean \pm SD, n=85)) were placed in each of the 24 experimental aquaria and acclimated to tank conditions in ambient seawater for three days. On the fourth day, we performed the control experiment, calculating daytime calcification and nighttime dissolution for rubble in ambient seawater conditions using the TA anomaly technique. The next day we manipulated seawater pCO₂ and temperature to replicate four climate scenarios for the treatment experiment: pre-industrial (-1 \pm 0.057°C and -205 \pm 11.9 μ atm), present day (natural Kāne'ohe Bay seawater 24.8 \pm 0.09 °C, 614 \pm 15.6 μ atm), 2050 (+1.4 \pm 0.09 °C and +255 \pm 31 μ atm), and 2100 (+2.4 \pm 0.08 and +433 \pm 40 μ atm). Note that all changes in temperature and pCO₂ were made relative to present day Kāne'ohe Bay seawater conditions: pCO₂ in Kāne'ohe Bay is consistently high relative to the open ocean and can range from 196-976 μ atm in southern Kāne'ohe bay depending on conditions (Drupp et al., 2013). The yearly average pCO₂ at our collection site ranged

from 565-675 µatm (Silbiger et al., 2014). After an acclimation time of seven days, we sampled the treatment experiment, calculating daytime calcification and nighttime dissolution over a 24 hour period.

During both experiments, TA, pH, salinity, temperature, and dissolved inorganic nutrient (DIN) samples were collected every 12 hours over a 24 hour period: just before lights-on in the morning (time 1) and just before lights-off at night (time 2) to capture light conditions, and then again before lights-on the next morning (time 3) to capture dark conditions. Flow into each aquarium was monitored and adjusted every three hours to ensure a consistent flow rate over the 24 hour experiment. We calculated net ecosystem calcification, dissolution, and net community production using a simple box model (Andersson et al., 2009) and normalized all our calculations to the surface area of the rubble in each tank. Surface area of the rubble was calculated using the wax dipping technique (Stimson and Kinzie III, 1991) at the end of the experiment.

2.4 Mesocosm Set-up

The mesocosm facility (Figure 1) is supplied with ambient seawater from Kāne'ohe Bay, which is filtered through a sand filter, passed through a water chiller (Aqualogic Multi Temp MT-1 Model # 2TTB3024A1000AA), and then fed into one of the four header tanks. pCO₂ was manipulated using a CO₂ gas blending system (see Fangue et al., 2010; Johnson and Carpenter, 2012). Each target pCO₂ concentration was created by mixing CO₂-free atmospheric air with pure CO₂ using mass flow controllers (C100L Sierra Instruments). Output pCO₂ was analyzed using a calibrated infrared CO₂ analyzer (A151, Qubit Systems). CO₂ mixtures were then bubbled into one of the

four header tanks and water from each individual header tank fed into the six individual treatment aquaria (Figure 1). The pCO₂ in each treatment aquarium was estimated with CO2SYS (Van Heuven et al., 2009) using pH and TA as the parameters.

Temperature was manipulated in each treatment aquarium using dual-stage temperature controllers (Aqualogic TR115DN). The temperature was continuously monitored with temperature loggers (TidbiT v2 Water Temperature Data Logger, sampling every 20 min) and point measurements were taken during every sampling period with a handheld digital thermometer (Traceable Digital Thermometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific; precision = 0.001 °C). Light was controlled by positioning an oscillating pendant metal-halide light (250 W) over a set of three aquaria and was programmed to emit an equal amount of light to each tank (~500μE of light). Lights were set to a 12:12 hour photoperiod and were monitored using a LI-COR spherical quantum PAR sensor. Flow rate was maintained at 115±1 ml min⁻¹, resulting in a residence time of 7.3±0.07 hours per tank. Each aquarium was equipped with a submersible powerhead pump (Sedra KSP-7000 powerhead) to ensure that the tank was well-mixed.

2.5 Seawater Chemistry

All sample collection and storage vials were cleaned in a 10% HCl bath for 24 hours and rinsed three times with MilliQ water before use and rinsed three times with sample water during sample collection and processing.

2.5.1 Total Alkalinity

Duplicate TA samples were collected in 300 ml borosilicate sample containers with glass stoppers. Each sample was preserved with 100µL of 50% saturated HgCl₂ and analyzed

within 3 days using open cell potentiometric titrations on a Mettler T50 autotitrator (Dickson et al., 2007). A Certified Reference Material (CRM - Reference Material for Oceanic CO_2 Measurements, A. Dickson, Scripps Institution of Oceanography) was run at the beginning of each sample set. The accuracy of the titrator never deviated more than $\pm 0.8\%$ from the standard, and TA measurements were corrected for these deviations. The precision was $3.55\mu Eq$ (measured as standard deviation of the duplicate water samples). During the 24-hour control experiment the average changes in TA were $37\mu Eq$ over the day and $20\mu Eq$ over the night (day and night TA changes were of larger magnitude in the treatment experiments): these are measurable changes given the precision and accuracy of the TA measurements.

2.5.2 pH_t (total scale)

Duplicate pHt samples were collected in 20ml borosilicate glass vials, brought to a constant temperature of 25°C in a water bath, and immediately analyzed using an m-cresol dye addition spectrophotometric technique (Dickson et al., 2007). Accuracy of the pH was tested against a Tris buffer of known pHt from the Dickson Lab at Scripps Institution of Oceanography (Dickson et al., 2007). Our accuracy was better than ±0.04%, and the precision was 0.004 pH units (measured as standard deviation of the duplicate water samples). *In situ* pH and the remaining carbonate parameters were calculated using CO2SYS (Van Heuven et al., 2009) with the following measured parameters: pHt, TA, temperature, and salinity. The K1K2 apparent equilibrium constants were from Mehrbach (1973) and refit by Dickson & Millero (1987) and HSO4⁻ dissociation constants were taken from Uppström (1974) and Dickson (1990).

2.5.3 Salinity

- 226 Duplicate salinity samples were analyzed on a Portasal 8410 portable salinometer
- calibrated with an OSIL IAPSO standard (accuracy = ± 0.003 , precision = ± 0.0003).

228 **2.5.4 Nutrients**

234

- Nutrient samples were collected with 60ml plastic syringes and immediately filtered
- through combusted 25mm glass fiber filters (GF/F 0.7µm) and transferred into 50ml
- plastic centrifuge tubes. Nutrient samples were frozen and later analyzed for Si(OH)₄,
- NO₃-, NO₂-, NH₄+, and PO₄³- on a Seal Analytical AA3 HR Nutrient Analyzer at the UH
- 233 SOEST Lab for Analytical Chemistry.

2.6 Measuring Net Ecosystem Calcification

- We assumed that the mesocosms were well mixed systems; thus, we calculated net
- ecosystem calcification and net community photosynthesis following the simple box
- 237 model presented in Andersson et al. (2009). TA was normalized to a constant salinity
- 238 (35) to account for changes due to evaporation and then corrected for dissolved inorganic
- 239 nitrogen and phosphate to account for their small contributions to the acid-base system
- 240 (Wolf-Gladrow et al., 2007). Net ecosystem calcification, or G, was calculated using the
- 241 following equation:

$$G = \left[F_{TAin} - F_{TAout} - \frac{dTA}{dt} \right] / 2$$
 Eq. 1

- where F_{TAin} is the rate of TA flowing into an aquarium (= average TA in the header tank
- 243 times the inflow rate), F_{TAout} is the rate of TA flowing out of an aquarium (= average
- TA in the aquarium times the outflow rate), and, $\frac{dTA}{dt}$ is the change in TA in an aquarium

during the measurement period (change in TA normalized to the volume of water and the surface area of the rubble); specific calculations are given in the supplemental material. The equation is divided by two because one mole of $CaCO_3$ is precipitated or dissolved for every two moles of TA removed or added to the water column. Here, G represents the sum of all the calcification processes minus the sum of all the dissolution processes in mmol $CaCO_3$ m⁻² hr⁻¹; thus, all positive numbers are net calcification, and all negative numbers are negative net calcification (i.e., net dissolution). Net daytime calcification (G_{day}) is calculated from the first 12 hour sampling period in the light, net nightime dissolution (G_{night}) is calculated from the second 12 hour sampling period in the dark, and total net calcification (G_{net}) is calculated from the full 24 hour cycle $(G_{day} + G_{night})$. G_{day} , G_{night} , and G_{net} are converted from hourly to daily rates and presented as mmol $CaCO_3$ m⁻² d⁻¹.

2.7 Measuring Net Community Production and Respiration

- Net community production (NCP) was calculated by measuring changes in DIC (Gattuso
- et al., 1999). DIC was normalized to a constant salinity (35) to account for any
- evaporation over the 24 hour period. We used a simple box model to calculate NCP:

$$NCP = \left[F_{\text{DIC}in} - F_{\text{DIC}out} - \frac{d\text{DIC}}{dt} \right] - G$$
 Eq. 2

- $F_{\text{DIC}in}$, $F_{\text{DIC}out}$, and $\frac{d\text{DIC}}{dt}$ are the rates of DIC flowing into the aquaria, flowing out of the
- aquaria, and the change in DIC in the aquaria per unit time in mmol C m⁻² hr⁻¹,
- respectively. To measure NCP, we subtract G to remove any change in carbon due to
- inorganic processes. NCP represents the sum of all the photynthetic processes minus the

sum of all the respiration processes, thus all positive numbers are net photosynthesis and all negative numbers are negative net photosynthesis (i.e., net respiration). Net daytime NCP (NCP_{day}) is calculated from the first 12 hour sampling period in the light, net nightime NCP (NCP_{night}) is calculated from the second 12 hour sampling period in the dark, and total NCP (NCP_{net}) is calculated from the full 24 hour cycle (NCP_{day} + NCP_{night}). All rates are presented as mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹.

2.8 Statistical Analysis

Each aquarium contained a slightly different rubble community because of the randomization of rubble pieces to each treatment. To ensure there were no systematic differences in rubble communities between racks (rack effects) before the experimental treatments were applied, we tested for differences in calcification and NCP between racks in the control experiment using an ANOVA (Figure A2).

In the treatment experiment, we first tested for feedbacks in carbonate chemistry due to the presence of rubble: using a paired t-test, we compared the day-night difference in measured pCO₂ in each aquarium with rubble, $\left(pCO_{2,day} - pCO_{2,night}\right)_{rubble}$, and without rubble, $\left(pCO_{2,day} - pCO_{2,night}\right)_{no\ rubble}$.

Although we imposed four discrete temperature-pCO₂ scenario treatments on each tank (Table 1), random variation between treatments and the feedback between the rubble communities and the water chemistry resulted in near-continuous variation in temperature-pCO₂ treatments across aquaria (Figures 2 and A1). To capture this continuous variation in temperature-pCO₂ in the analysis, we used the measured

temperature-pCO₂ seawater condition as a continuous independent variable in a regression rather than the four categorical treatment conditions in an ANOVA (an analysis of G and NCP using the ANOVA approach is included in Figures A3, A4 and Tables A1, A2). The regression approach allowed us to better capture the quantitative relationships between net calcification (G) or NCP and the temperature-pCO₂ treatment. We created a single, continuous variable, Standardized Climate Change (SCC), from a linear combination of temperature and pCO₂ values in each aquarium. A simple linear combination was used because pCO₂ increased linearly with temperature (Figure 2), as imposed by our treatments. We first calculated the relationship between Δ Temp (Eq 3) and Δ pCO₂ (Eq 4) using linear regression. The coefficients from this regression (slope: α = 0.0031; y-intercept: β = -0.078) were used to combine pCO₂ and temperature onto the same scale, as a measure of Standardized Climate Change (Eq 5):

298
$$\Delta Temp_i = Temp_{trt,i} - Temp_{cont,i}$$
 Eq. 3

$$\Delta pCO_{2i} = pCO_{2trt,i} - pCO_{2cont,}$$
 Eq. 4

$$SCC_{i} = \Delta Temp_{i} + \alpha * \Delta pCO_{2_{i}} + \beta$$
 Eq. 5

This synthetic temperature-pCO₂ axis, SCC, is centered on the ambient (control)

conditions such that a value of 0 corresponds to present day Kāne'ohe Bay conditions, a

negative value corresponds to water that is colder and less acidic (pre-industrial) and a

positive value corresponds to water that is warmer and more acidic (future conditions)

compared to background seawater. (The independent relationships between G and NCP

with Δ Temp and Δ pCO₂ are shown in Figures A5 and A6 and are similar to the

relationship with SCC.)

With SCC as a continuous, independent variable, we used a regression to test for linear and non-linear relationships between day, night, and net calcification (G_{day} , G_{night} , and G_{net}) and NCP (NCP_{day}, NCP_{night}, and NCP_{net}) versus SCC. For a simple test of nonlinearity in the response of calcification to SCC, we included a quadratic term (SCC²) in the model. For G_{day} , we used weighted regression (weight function: $w_i=1/(1+/r_i/)$, where w_i = weight and r_i = residual, Fair, 1974) to account for heteroscedasticity. All other data met assumptions for a linear regression. Lastly, we used a linear regression to test the relationship between G and NCP.

3 Results

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

326

3.1 Control Experiment

- For rubble in ambient seawater conditions, the average G_{day}, G_{night}, and G_{net} in the control
- 319 experiment were $3.4\pm0.16 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, $-2.4\pm0.15 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $0.96\pm0.20 \text{ mmol m}^{-1}$
- 2 d⁻¹, respectively. There was no significant difference in G_{day} ($F_{3,23}$ =0.68, p=0.58), G_{night}
- 321 $(F_{3,23}=1.52, p=0.24)$, or $G_{net}(F_{3,23}=1.38, p=0.28)$ between racks in the control experiment
- 322 (Figure A2). NCP rates also did not show any racks effects. Average NCP rates were
- 323 23.2 \pm 1.4 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ (F_{3,23}=0.07, p=0.94) during the day, -20.7 \pm 1.9 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹
- 324 ($F_{3,23}=1.95$, p=0.15) during the night, and 2.5±2.1 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ ($F_{3,23}=1.5$, p=0.25) over
- 325 the entire 24 hour period.

3.2 Treatment Experiment

- The rubble communities significantly altered the seawater chemistry, with higher pCO₂
- 328 than the applied pCO₂ manipulation, particularly at night (Figure A1). The mean

difference between day and night pCO₂ for all treatments was 134.4 ± 39 µatm without rubble and was 438.5 ± 163.9 µatm when rubble was present (t_{23} = -7.23, p<0.0001; Figure 2).

Standardized Climate Change was a significant predictor for G_{day} , G_{night} , and G_{net} (Table 2; Figure 3). G_{day} had a non-linear relationship with Standardized Climate Change (Table 2, Figure 3a), increasing to a threshold and then rapidly declining. G_{night} , however, had a strong linear relationship with Standardized Climate Change (Table 2; Figure 3c), suggesting that joint increases in ocean pCO₂ and temperature will increase nighttime dissolution of coral rubble. Lastly, G_{net} had a strong negative relationship with Standardized Climate Change (Table 2; Figure 3e) and the rubble community switched from net calcification to net dissolution at an increase in pCO₂ and temperature of 271 μ atm and 0.75° C, respectively. Standardized Climate Change was also a significant predictor of NCP: Day, night, and net NCP rates all declined with standardized climate change (Table 2; Figure 3b,d,f; Figure 3).

Net ecosystem calcification increased with net community production ($F_{1,46}$ = 260, p<0.0001, R^2 =0.85; Figure 4). In general, communities were net photosynthesizing and net calcifying during the day (Figure 4a: squares in the upper right quadrant) and were net respiring and net dissolving at night (Figure 4a: circles in the lower left quadrant). The exception was communities in the most extreme temperature-pCO₂ treatment: these communities were net respiring during the day while holding a positive, yet very low, calcification rate (Figure 4a: squares in the upper left quadrant).

4 Discussion

4.1 Carbonate Chemistry Feedbacks

The rubble communities in the aquaria significantly altered the seawater chemistry, particularly at night (t₂₃= -7.23, p<0.0001; Figure 2, Figure A1). This daynight difference in seawater chemistry increased under more extreme climate scenarios, as predicted by Jury *et al.* (2013). This large diel swing in pCO₂ is not uncommon on shallow coral reef environments. pCO₂ ranged from 480 to 975μatm over 24 hours on a shallow reef flat adjacent to our collection site (Silbiger et al. 2014) and from 450 to 742 μatm on a Moloka'i reef flat dominated by coral rubble (Yates and Halley, 2006). Here, pCO₂ had an average difference of 438 μatm between day and night with a range of 412 μatm in the pre-industrial treatment to 854 μatm in the most extreme temperature-pCO₂ treatments (Figure 2). In our study, we incorporated these feedbacks into the statistical analysis by using the actual, sampled pCO₂ (and temperature) in each aquaria (Figure 3) rather than using the intended pCO₂ (and temperature) treatments in an ANOVA (Tables A1, A2 and Figures A3, A4), better reflecting the pCO₂ experienced by organisms in each aquarium.

4.2 Calcification, Dissolution, and Net Community Production in a High CO₂ and Temperature Environment

Our results suggest that as pCO₂ and temperature increase over time, rubble reefs may shift from net calcification to net dissolution. In our study, this tipping point occurred at a pCO₂ and temperature increase of 271 μ atm and 0.75° C. Further, our results showed that G_{day} and G_{night} in a natural coral rubble community have different

functional responses to changing pCO₂ and temperature (Figure 3). The ranges in G_{day} and G_{night} in our aquaria were similar to *in situ* rates on Hawaiian rubble reefs. Yates & Halley (2006) saw G_{day} values between 3.3 to 11.7 mmol $CaCO_3$ m⁻² d⁻¹ and G_{night} values between -2.4 to -24 mmol $CaCO_3$ m⁻² d⁻¹ on a Moloka'i reef flat with only coral rubble (Note that Yates and Halley calculated G over a 4 hour timeframes and the data was multiplied by 3 here to show G in mmol m⁻² d⁻¹. Also note that we normalized our rates to the surface area of the rubble while Yates and Halley (2006) normalized their rates to planar surface area.). G_{day} and G_{night} in our experiment ranged from 1.9 to 9.4 and -1.3 to -10.5 mmol $CaCO_3$ m⁻² d⁻¹, respectively, across all treatment conditions. The higher dissolution rates in the *in situ* study by Yates and Halley (2006) are likely due to dissolution in the sediment, which was not present in our study.

G_{day} had a non-linear response to Standardized Climate Change. G_{day} increased with temperature-pCO₂ until slightly above ambient conditions, and then decreased under more extreme climate conditions (Figure 3a). This mixed response, increasing and then decreasing with Standardized Climate Change, is reflected in prior experiments. We suggest three possible mechanisms to explain why calcification increases in slightly higher temperature-pCO₂ than ambient conditions. 1) Some calcifiers can maintain and even increase their calcification rates in acidic conditions (Kamenos et al., 2013;Findlay et al., 2011;Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2011;Martin et al., 2013) by either modifying their local pH environment (Hurd et al., 2011) or partitioning their energetic resources towards calcification (Kamenos et al., 2013). For example, in low, stable pH conditions the coralline algae, *Lithothamnion glaciale*, increased its calcification rate relative to a control treatment but, did not concurrently increase its rate of photosynthesis (Kamenos

et al., 2013). Kamenos et al (2013) suggest that the up-regulation of calcification may limit photosynthetic efficiency. In the present study, the increase in G_{day} coincided with a decrease in net photosynthesis (Figure 3a,b). Photosynthesizing calcifiers in the community may be partitioning their energetic resources more towards calcification and away from photosynthesis in order to maintain a positive calcification rate (Kamenos et al., 2013). Notably, turf algae likely have a major control over the NCP in this community which would not have any impact on calcification. 2) An alternative hypothesis is that the calcifiers may be adapted or acclimatized to high pCO₂ conditions (Johnson et al., 2014) and have not yet reached their threshold because the rubble was collected from a naturally high and variable pCO₂ environment (Guadayol et al., 2014; Silbiger et al. 2014). 3) In this study, the calcifiers experienced a combined increase in both pCO₂ and temperature and, thus, the non-linear response in G_{day} may also be due a metabolic response. In a typical thermal performance curve, organisms increase their metabolism until they have reached a thermal maximum and then rapidly decline (Huey and Kingsolver, 1989; Pörtner et al., 2006), and we see this response in our results. A recent study found a similar nonlinear response to temperature and pCO₂ in the coral Siderastrea sidera (Castillo et al. 2014). While they attribute the pCO₂ response to photosynthesis being neutralized (we did not see this response in our non-coral community), they suggest that the thermal response is due to both changes in metabolism and thermally-driven changes in aragonite saturation state (Castillo et al. 2014). We saw a decline in both calcification and NCP in the extreme temperature-pCO₂

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

We saw a decline in both calcification and NCP in the extreme temperature-pCO₂ condition (Figure 3). Calcification has been shown to decline with climate stressors and the magnitude of decline differs across species (Kroeker et al., 2010;Pandolfi et al.,

2011;Ries et al., 2009;Kroeker et al., 2013). The concurrent decline in NCP and calcification (Figure 3a,b & 4) suggests that non-photosynthesizing invertebrates in the community (such as bivalves) might be dominating the calcification signal in these conditions. This hypothesis would explain the pattern that we see in Figure 4, where communities in the most extreme pCO₂ and temperature conditions are net respiring during the day while still maintaining a small, positive calcification rate (Figure 4a: five points in the upper left quadrant).

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

G_{night} rates are more straightforward, decreasing linearly with pCO₂ and temperature (Figures 3c and 4). NCP_{night} rates also decreased linearly with pCO₂ and temperature (Figure 3d). Similarly, Andersson et al. (2009) saw an increase in dissolution under acidic conditions in a community of corals, sand, and CCA. Previous studies on individual bioeroder taxa have also found higher rates of bioerosion or dissolution in more acidic, higher temperature conditions (Wisshak et al., 2013; Fang et al., 2013; Reyes-Nivia et al., 2013; Tribollet et al., 2009; Wisshak et al., 2012). There are several mechanisms that could be mediating the increased dissolution rates in the high temperature-pCO₂ treatments: 1) Higher temperatures could increase the metabolism of the bioeroder community, thus increasing borer activity (e.g., Davidson et al. 2013). 2) Because many boring organisms excrete acidic compounds to erode the skeletal structure (Hutchings 1986), reduced pH in the overlaying water column may reduce the metabolic cost to the organisms, making it easier for eroders to breakdown the CaCO₃. 3) Higher dissolution rates could be mediated by an increase in the proportion of dolomite in the skeletal structure of CCA on the rubble. A recent study found a 200% increase in dolomite in CCA that were exposed to high pCO₂ and temperature conditions; this

increase in dolomite resulted in increased bioerosion by endolithic algae (Diaz-Pulido et al., 2014). However, it is unlikely that changes in the mineralogy of the CCA indirectly increased dissolution here given the short time-scale of our study. In the present study, we used the TA anomaly method to calculate chemical dissolution as a proxy for bioerosion. Future studies should also include measures of mechanical breakdown (e.g. the production of sponge chips) in addition to chemical dissolution for a more complete picture of the impacts of climate stress on reef breakdown. Studies, including the present one, which focused on community-level responses, have consistently found that ocean acidification will increase dissolution rates on coral reefs (Andersson and Gledhill, 2013).

Standardized Climate Change explained more of the variance in dissolution than in calcification in our rubble community: $(R_{Gnight}^2 = 0.64 > R_{Gday}^2 = 0.33; \text{ Table 2})$ this result is not surprising. Bioerosion, an important driver of dissolution, may be more sensitive to changes in ocean acidity than calcification, leading to net dissolution in high CO_2 waters. Many boring organisms excrete acidic compounds, which may be less metabolically costly in a low pH environment. Erez et al. (2011) hypothesize that increased dissolution, rather than decreased calcification, maybe be the reason that net coral reef calcification is sensitive to ocean acidification. The results of this study support this hypothesis. Although G_{net} declines linearly with p CO_2 -temperature, calcification (G_{day}) and dissolution (G_{night}) have distinct responses to Standardized Climate Change: G_{day} had a non-linear response while G_{night} declined linearly with Standardized Climate Change. Our results highlight the need to study the effects of climate stressors on both calcification and dissolution.

464	Author contributions:
465	Conceived and designed the experiments: NJS MJD. Performed the experiments: NJS
466	Analyzed the data: NJS MJD. Wrote the paper: NJS MJD.
467	Acknowledgements
468	Thanks to I Caldwell, R Coleman, J Faith, K Hurley, J Miyano, R Maguire, D Schar, JM
469	Sziklay, and MM Walton for help in field collections and lab analyses and to R. Briggs
470	from UH SOEST Lab for Analytical Chemistry. MJ Atkinson, R Gates, C Jury, H
471	Putnam, and R Toonen gave thoughtful advice throughout the project. Comments by F
472	Mackenzie and our two anonymous reviewers improved this manuscript. This project
473	was supported by a NOAA Dr. Nancy Foster Scholarship to NJS, a PADI Foundation
474	Grant to NJS, and Hawaii SeaGrant 1847 to MJD. This paper is funded in part by a grant
475	/cooperative agreement from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,
476	Project R/IR-18, which is sponsored by the University of Hawaii Sea Grant College
477	Program, SOEST, under Institutional Grant No. NA09OAR4170060 from NOAA Office
478	of Sea Grant, Department of Commerce. The views expressed herein are those of the
479	author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of NOAA or any of its subagencies.
480	This is HIMB contribution #1607, Hawai'i SeaGrant contribution # UNIHI-
481	SEAGRANT-JC-12-19, and SOEST contribution #9237.

483 References

- 484 Adey, W.H. Review—coral reefs: algal structures and mediated ecosystems in shallow
- turbulent, alkaline waters. Journal of Phycology, 34, 393-406, 1998.
- 486 Andersson, A. J., Kuffner, I. B., Mackenzie, F. T., Jokiel, P. L., Rodgers, K. S., and Tan,
- 487 A.: Net Loss of CaCO₃ from a subtropical calcifying community due to seawater
- acidification: mesocosm-scale experimental evidence, Biogeosciences, 6, 1811-1823,
- 489 2009.
- 490 Andersson, A. J., Mackenzie, F. T., and Gattuso, J.-P.: Effects of ocean acidification on
- benthic processes, organisms, and ecosystems, in: Ocean Acidification, edited by:
- 492 Gattuso, J.-P., and Hansson, L., Oxford University Press, 122-153, 2011.
- 493 Andersson, A. J., and Mackenzie, F. T.: Revisiting four scientific debates in ocean
- 494 acidification research, Biogeosciences, 9, 893-905, 2012.
- 495 Andersson, A. J., and Gledhill, D.: Ocean Acidification and Coral Reefs: Effects on
- 496 Breakdown, Dissolution, and Net Ecosystem Calcification, Annual Review of Marine
- 497 Science, Vol 5, 5, 321-348, 2013.
- Bopp, L., Resplandy, L., Orr, J. C., Doney, S. C., Dunne, J. P., Gehlen, M., Halloran, P.,
- 499 Heinze, C., Ilyina, T., Séférian, R., Tjiputra, J., and Vichi, M.: Multiple stressors of ocean
- ecosystems in the 21st century: projections with CMIP5 models, Biogeosciences, 10,
- 501 3627-3676, 2013.
- Caldeira, K., and Wickett, M. E.: Oceanography: anthropogenic carbon and ocean pH,
- 503 Nature, 425, 365-365, 2003.
- Camoin, G.F., Montaggioni, L.F., High energy coralgal-stromatolite frameworks from
- Holocene reefs (Tahiti, French Polynesia), Sedimentology, 41, 656-676, 1994.
- Castillo, K.D., Ries, J.B., Bruno, J.F., Westfield, I.T., The reef-building coral *Siderastrea*
- 507 siderea exhibits parabolic responses to ocean acidification and warming. Proc. R. Soc. B.,
- 508 281, 20141856, 2014
- Comeau, S., Edmunds, P. J., Spindel, N. B., and Carpenter, R. C.: The responses of eight
- 510 coral reef calcifiers to increasing partial pressure of CO₂ do not exhibit a tipping point,
- 511 Limnol. Oceanogr, 58, 388-398, 2013.
- 512 Cubasch, U., Wuebbles, D., Chen, D., Facchini, M. C., Frame, D., Mahowald, N., and
- Winther, J.-G.: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of
- Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
- 515 Climate Change Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA., 2013.
- 516 Davidson, T.M., de Rivera, C.E., Carlton, J.T., Small increases in temperature exacerbate
- 517 the erosive effects of a non-native burrowing crustacean, Journal of Experimenal Marine
- 518 Biology and Ecology, 446, 115-121, 2013.
- 519 Diaz-Pulido, G., Anthony, K., Kline, D. I., Dove, S., and Hoegh-Guldberg, O.:
- 520 Interactions between ocean acidification and warming on the mortality and dissolution of
- 521 coralline alge, Journal of Phycology, 48, 32-39, 2012.

- 522 Diaz-Pulido, G., Nash, M.C., Anthony, K.R.N., Bender. D., Opdyke, B.N., Reyed-Nivia,
- 523 C., Troitzsch, U., Greenhouse conditions induce mineralogical changes and dolomite
- 524 accumulation in coralline algae on tropical reefs, Nature Communications, 5, 3310,
- 525 DOI:10.1038/ncomms4310, 2014
- 526 Dickson, A. G., and Millero, F. J.: A comparison of the equilibrium constants for the
- dissociation of carbonic acid in seawater media, Deep Sea Research Part A.
- 528 Oceanographic Research Papers, 34, 1733-1743, 1987.
- 529 Dickson, A. G.: Standard potential of the reaction: AgCl (s)+ $12H_2(g)$ = Ag (s)+ HCl (aq),
- and and the standard acidity constant of the ion HSO₄ in synthetic sea water from 273.15
- 531 to 318.15 K, The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics, 22, 113-127, 1990.
- Dickson, A. G., Sabine, C. L., and Christian, J. R.: Guide to best practices for ocean CO2
- measurements, 2007.
- Doney, S. C., Fabry, V. J., Feely, R. A., and Kleypas, J. A.: Ocean Acidification: The
- Other CO₂ Problem, Annual Review of Marine Science, 1, 169-192, 2009.
- Drupp, P. S., De Carlo, E. H., Mackenzie, F. T., Sabine, C. L., Feely, R. A., and
- 537 Shamberger, K. E.: Comparison of CO₂ dynamics and air—sea gas exchange in differing
- tropical reef environments, Aquatic Geochemistry, 19, 371-397, 2013.
- 539 Erez, J., Reynaud, S., Silverman, J., Schneider, K., and Allemand, D.: Coral calcification
- under ocean acidification and global change, in: Coral Reefs: an ecosystem in transition,
- edited by: Dubinski, Z., and Stambler, N., Springer, 2011.
- Fabricius, K., Langdon, C., Uthicke, S., Humphrey, C., Noonan, S., De'ath, G., Okazaki,
- R., Muehllehner, N., Glas, M., and Lough, J.: Losers and winners in coral reefs
- acclimatized to elevated carbon dioxide concentrations, Nature Climate Change, 1, 165-
- 545 169, 2011.
- Fabricius, K. E.: Effects of terrestrial runoff on the ecology of corals and coral reefs:
- 547 review and synthesis, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 50, 125-146, 2005.
- Fair, R. C.: On the robust estimation of econometric models, in: Annals of Economic and
- Social Measurement, Volume 3, number 4, NBER, 117-128, 1974.
- Fang, J. K. H., Mello-Athayde, M. A., Schönberg, C. H. L., Kline, D. I., Hoegh-
- 551 Guldberg, O., and Dove, S.: Sponge biomass and bioerosion rates increase under ocean
- warming and acidification, Global Change Biology, 19, 3581-3591, 2013.
- Fangue, N. A., O'Donnell, M. J., Sewell, M. A., Matson, P. G., MacPherson, A. C., and
- Hofmann, G. E.: A laboratory-based, experimental system for the study of ocean
- acidification effects on marine invertebrate larvae, Limnology and Oceanography:
- 556 Methods, 8, 441-452, 2010.
- Feely, R. A., Sabine, C. L., Lee, K., Berelson, W., Kleypas, J., Fabry, V. J., and Millero,
- F. J.: Impact of anthropogenic CO₂ on the CaCO₃ system in the oceans, Science, 305,
- 559 362-366, 2004.

- 560 Findlay, H. S., Wood, H. L., Kendall, M. A., Spicer, J. I., Twitchett, R. J., and
- Widdicombe, S.: Comparing the impact of high CO₂ on calcium carbonate structures in
- different marine organisms, Marine Biology Research, 7, 565-575, 2011.
- Gattuso, J.-P., Frankignoulle, M., and Smith, S. V.: Measurement of community
- metabolism and significance in the coral reef CO₂ source-sink debate, Proceedings of the
- 565 National Academy of Sciences, 96, 13017-13022, 1999.
- Guadayol, O., Silbiger, N. J., Donahue, M. J., and Thomas, F. I. M.: Patterns in Temporal
- Variability of Temperature, Oxygen and pH along an Environmental Gradient in a Coral
- 568 Reef, PloS one, 9, e85213, DOI:10..1371/journal.pone.0085213, 2014.
- Harrington, L., Fabricius, K., De'ath, G., Negri, A., Recognition and selection of
- settlement substrata determine post-settlement survival in corals. Ecology, 84-3428-3437,
- 571 2004.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez,
- 573 E., Harvell, C. D., Sale, P. F., Edwards, A. J., Caldeira, K., Knowlton, N., Eakin, C. M.,
- 574 Iglesias-Prieto, R., Muthiga, N., Bradbury, R. H., Dubi, A., and Hatziolos, M. E.: Coral
- 575 reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification, Science, 318, 1737-1742, 2007.
- Huey, R.B., Kingsolver, J.G. Evolution of thermal sensitivity of ecotherm performance.
- 577 Trends Ecol. Evol., 4,131-135, 1989
- 578 Hutchings, P.A., Biological destruction of coral reefs, Coral Reefs, 4, 239-252, 1986.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O., and Bruno, J. F.: The impact of climate change on the world's
- 580 marine ecosystems, Science, 328, 1523-1528, 2010.
- Hurd, C. L., Cornwall, C. E., Currie, K., Hepburn, C. D., McGraw, C. M., Hunter, K. A.,
- and Boyd, P. W.: Metabolically induced pH fluctuations by some coastal calcifiers
- exceed projected 22nd century ocean acidification: a mechanism for differential
- susceptibility?, Global Change Biology, 17, 3254-3262, 2011.
- Johnson, M. D., and Carpenter, R. C.: Ocean acidification and warming decrease
- 586 calcification in the crustose coralline alga Hydrolithon onkodes and increase
- susceptibility to grazing, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 434, 94-101, 2012.
- Johnson, M. D., Moriarty, V. W., and Carpenter, R. C.: Acclimatization of the Crustose
- Coralline Alga *Porolithon onkodes* to Variable pCO₂, PLOS ONE, 9, e87678, DOI:
- 590 10.1371/journal.pone.0087678, 2014.
- Jokiel, P. L., Rodgers, K. S., Kuffner, I. B., Andersson, A. J., Cox, E. F., and Mackenzie,
- 592 F. T.: Ocean acidification and calcifying reef organisms: a mesocosm investigation, Coral
- 593 Reefs, 27, 473-483, 2008.
- Jury, C. P., Thomas, F. I. M., Atkinson, M. J., and Toonen, R. J.: Buffer Capacity,
- 595 Ecosystem Feedbacks, and Seawater Chemistry under Global Change, Water, 5, 1303-
- 596 1325, 2013.
- Kamenos, N. A., Burdett, H. L., Aloisio, E., Findlay, H. S., Martin, S., Longbone, C.,
- 598 Dunn, J., Widdicombe, S., and Calosi, P.: Coralline algal structure is more sensitive to

- rate, rather than the magnitude, of ocean acidification, Global Change Biology, 19, 3621-
- 600 3628, 2013.
- Kleypas, J., and Langdon, C.: Coral reefs and changing seawater chemistry, in: Coral
- Reefs and Climate Change: Science and Management., edited by: Phinney, J., Skirving,
- W., Kleypas, J., and Hoegh-Guldberg, O., American Geophysical Union, Washington
- 604 D.C., pp. 73-110, 2006.
- Kroeker, K. J., Kordas, R. L., Crim, R. N., and Singh, G. G.: Meta-analysis reveals
- negative yet variable effects of ocean acidification on marine organisms, Ecology Letters,
- 607 13, 1419-1434, 2010.
- Kroeker, K. J., Kordas, R. L., Crim, R., Hendriks, I. E., Ramajo, L., Singh, G. S., Duarte,
- 609 C. M., and Gattuso, J. P.: Impacts of ocean acidification on marine organisms:
- quantifying sensitivities and interaction with warming, Global Change Biology, 19, 1884-
- 611 1896, 2013.
- 612 Littler, M.M.m The population and community structure of Hawaiian fringing-reef
- 613 crustose corallinaceae (Rhodophyta, Cryptonemiales), Journal of Experimental Marine
- 614 Biology and Ecology, 11, 103-120, 1973.
- Lowe, R. J., Falter, J. L., Monismith, S. G., and Atkinson, M. J.: A numerical study of
- circulation in a coastal reef-lagoon system, Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans,
- 617 114, C06022, 2009a.
- Lowe, R. J., Falter, J. L., Monismith, S. G., and Atkinson, M. J.: Wave-driven circulation
- of a coastal reef-lagoon system, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 39, 873-893, 2009b.
- Martin, S., Cohu, S., Vignot, C., Zimmerman, G., and Gattuso, J. P.: One-year
- experiment on the physiological response of the Mediterranean crustose coralline alga,
- 622 Lithophyllum cabiochae, to elevated pCO₂ and temperature, Ecology and evolution, DOI:
- 623 10.1029/2008JC005081, 2013.
- Mehrbach, C.: Measurement of the apparent dissociation constants of carbonic acid in
- seawater at atmospheric pressure, Limnol. Oceanogr., 18, 897-907, 1973.
- Meinshausen, M., Smith, S. J., Calvin, K., Daniel, J. S., Kainuma, M. L. T., Lamarque, J.
- 627 F., Matsumoto, K., Montzka, S. A., Raper, S. C. B., and Riahi, K.: The RCP greenhouse
- gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300, Climatic Change, 109, 213-
- 629 241, 2011.
- Pandolfi, J. M., Connolly, S. R., Marshall, D. J., and Cohen, A. L.: Projecting coral reef
- futures under global warming and ocean acidification, Science, 333, 418-422, 2011.
- Price, N., Habitat selection, facilitation, and biotic settlement cues affect distribution and
- performance of coral recruits in French Polynesia, Oecologia, 163, 747-758, 2010.
- 634 Pörtner, H.O., Bennet, A.F., Bozinovic, F., Clarke, A., Lardies, M.A., Lucassen, M.,
- Pelster, B., Schiemer, F., Stillman, J.H., Trade-offs in therman adaptation: the need for
- molecular ecological integration, Phys. Biochem. Zool., 79, 295-313, 2006.
- Putnam, H.M. Resilience and acclimitization potential of reef corals under predicted
- climate change stressors, PhD, Zoology, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, 2012

- Reyes-Nivia, C., Diaz-Pulido, G., Kline, D., Guldberg, O.-H., and Dove, S.: Ocean
- acidification and warming scenarios increase microbioerosion of coral skeletons, Global
- 641 Change Biology, 19, 1919-1929, 2013.
- Ries, J. B., Cohen, A. L., and McCorkle, D. C.: Marine calcifiers exhibit mixed responses
- to CO₂-induced ocean acidification, Geology, 37, 1131-1134, 2009.
- Rodolfo-Metalpa, R., Houlbrèque, F., Tambutté, É., Boisson, F., Baggini, C., Patti, F. P.,
- Jeffree, R., Fine, M., Foggo, A., and Gattuso, J. P.: Coral and mollusc resistance to ocean
- acidification adversely affected by warming, Nature Climate Change, 1, 308-312, 2011.
- Rogelj, J., Meinshausen, M., and Knutti, R.: Global warming under old and new
- scenarios using IPCC climate sensitivity range estimates, Nature Climate Change, 2, 248-
- 649 253, 2012.
- 650 Sanford, T., Frumhoff, P. C., Luers, A., and Gulledge, J.: The climate policy narrative for
- a dangerously warming world, Nature Climate Change, 4, 164-166, 2014.
- 652 Semesi, I. S., Kangwe, J., and Björk, M.: Alterations in seawater pH and CO₂ affect
- calcification and photosynthesis in the tropical coralline alga, *Hydrolithon*
- 654 sp.(Rhodophyta), Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 84, 337-341, 2009.
- 655 Silbiger, N., Guadayol, O., Thomas, F. I., and Donahue, M.: Reefs shift from net
- accretion to net erosion along a natural environmental gradient, Marine Ecology Progress
- 657 Series, 515, 33-44, 2014.
- 658 Smith, S. V., and Key, G. S.: Carbon dioxide and metabolism in marine environments,
- 659 Limnol. Oceanogr, 20, 493-495, 1975.
- 660 Smith, S. V., Kimmerer, W. J., Laws, E. A., Brock, R. E., and Walsh, T. W.: Kaneohe
- Bay sewage diversion experiment- perspectives on ecosystem responses to nutritional
- 662 perturbation, Pacific Science, 35, 279-402, 1981.
- 663 Solomon, S., Qin. D., Manning. M. Chen. Z., Marquis, M., et al. Climate Change 2007:
- The physical Science Basis: Contributions of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment
- Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change., New York, Cambridge Univ.
- 666 Press, 2007.
- 667 Stimson, J., and Kinzie III, R. A.: The temporal pattern and rate of release of
- zooxanthellae from the reef coral *Pocillopora damicornis* (Linnaeus) under nitrogen-
- enrichment and control conditions, Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology,
- 670 153, 63-74, 1991.
- Tans, P., and Keeling, R.: NOAA/ESRL, www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/, 2013.
- 672 Tribollet, A., and Payri, C.: Bioerosion of the coralline alga *Hydrolithon onkodes* by
- 673 microborers in the coral reefs of Moorea, French Polynesia, Oceanologica Acta, 24, 329-
- 674 342, 2001.
- 675 Tribollet, A., Atkinson, M. J., and Langdon, C.: Effects of elevated pCO₍₂₎ on epilithic
- and endolithic metabolism of reef carbonates, Global Change Biology, 12, 2200-2208,
- 677 2006.

- 678 Tribollet, A., Godinot, C., Atkinson, M., and Langdon, C.: Effects of elevated pCO₍₂₎ on
- dissolution of coral carbonates by microbial euendoliths, Global Biogeochemical Cycles,
- 680 23, GB3008, 2009.
- Uppström, L. R.: The boron/chlorinity ratio of deep-sea water from the Pacific Ocean,
- Deep Sea Research and Oceanographic Abstracts, 1974, 161-162.
- Van Heuven, S., Pierrot, D., Lewis, E., and Wallace, D. W. R.: MATLAB Program
- developed for CO2 system calculations, Rep. ORNL/CDIAC-105b, 2009.
- Van Vuuren, D. P., Meinshausen, M., Plattner, G. K., Joos, F., Strassmann, K. M., Smith,
- 686 S. J., Wigley, T. M. L., Raper, S. C. B., Riahi, K., and De La Chesnaye, F.: Temperature
- increase of 21st century mitigation scenarios, Proceedings of the National Academy of
- 688 Sciences, 105, 15258-15262, 2008.
- Van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K.,
- Hurtt, G. C., Kram, T., Krey, V., and Lamarque, J.-F.: The representative concentration
- pathways: an overview, Climatic Change, 109, 5-31, 2011.
- White, J.: Distribution, recruitment and development of the borer community in dead
- 693 coral on shallow Hawaiian reefs, Ph.D., Zoology, University of Hawaii at Manoa,
- 694 Honolulu, 1980.

- 695 Wisshak, M., Schönberg, C. H. L., Form, A., and Freiwald, A.: Ocean acidification
- accelerates reef bioerosion, Plos One, 7, e45124-e45124, 2012.
- 697 Wisshak, M., Schönberg, C. H. L., Form, A., and Freiwald, A.: Effects of ocean
- 698 acidification and global warming on reef bioerosion—lessons from a clionaid sponge,
- 699 Aquatic Biology, 19, 111-127, 2013.
- Wolf-Gladrow, D.A., Zeebe, R.E., Klass, C., Körtzinger, A., Dickson, A.G.,: Total
- Alkalinity: The explicit conservative expression and its application to biogeochemical
- 702 processes, Marine Chemistry, 106, 287-300, 2007.
- Yates, K. K., and Halley, R. B.: CO_3^{2-} concentration and pCO₂ thresholds for calcification
- and dissolution on the Molokai reef flat, Hawaii, Biogeosciences, 3, 357-369, 2006.

707

Rack	Pre-industrial	Present Day	2050 prediction	2100 prediction	
Temp (°C)	23.8±0.07	24.8±0.08	26.2±0.06	27.2±0.08	
Salinity	35.65±0.01	35.71±0.02	35.62±0.02	35.71±0.02	
Total Alkalinity (µmol kg ⁻¹)	2137±1.7	2138±2.3	2139±2.0	2142±1.9	
pH_t	8.02±0.02	7.87±0.01	7.74±0.02	7.67±0.02	
pCO ₂ (µatm)	409±20.0	614±15.6	868±33.0	1047±38.7	
HCO ₃ (µmol kg ⁻¹)	1692±16.9	1815±7.3	1894±7.8	1939±6.6	
CO ₃ ²⁻ (µmol kg ⁻¹)	194.20±6.7	147.08±2.8	113.98±3.8	99.24±3.3	
DIC (µmol kg ⁻¹)	1898±10.9	1980±5.1	2032±5.0	2067±4.5	
$\Omega_{ m arag}$	3.06±0.1	2.32±0.04	1.80±0.06	1.57±0.05	
NO ₂ - (µmol L ⁻¹)	0.082 ± 0.0028	0.078 ± 0.0045	0.074 ± 0.0047	0.070 ± 0.0051	
PO ₄ ³⁻ (μmol L ⁻¹)	0.017 ± 0.014	0.0097 ± 0.0081	0.033 ± 0.016	0.018±0.0061	
Si(OH) ₄ (µmol L ⁻¹)	3.60 ± 0.58	3.64 ± 0.61	3.88 ± 0.49	3.78 ± 0.52	
NH ₄ ⁺ (μmol L ⁻¹)	0.45 ± 0.30	0.19 ± 0.067	0.23 ± 0.15	0.34 ± 0.14	
NO ₃ (µmol L ⁻¹)	2.13±0.20	2.25±0.21	2.55±0.10	2.48±0.11	

Table 2: Regression results for the treatment experiments: G_{day} , G_{night} , and G_{net} versus Standardized Climate Change (Figure 3a,c,e) and NCP_{day}, NCP_{night}, and NCP_{net} versus Standardized Climate Change (Figure 3b,d,f). Bold values indicate a statistically significant p-value at an α <0.05.

	SS	df	F	p	R^2
G _{day}					
Standardized Climate Change	3.79	1	1.45	0.06	
(Standardized Climate Change) ²	23.63	1	9.04	0.007	
Error	54.89	21			0.33
Gnight					
Standardized Climate Change	67.80	1	39.14	<0.0001	
Error	38.11	22			0.64
G _{net}					
Standardized Climate Change	88.01	1	19.49	<0.001	
Error	99.35	22			0.47
NCP _{day}					
Standardized Climate Change	5687.2	1	57.36	<0.0001	
Error	2181.4	22			0.72
NCP _{night}					
Standardized Climate Change	3816.1	1	52.06	<0.0001	
Error	1612.6	22			0.70
NCP _{net}					
Standardized Climate Change	17925	1	121.47	<0.0001	
Error	3246.4	22			0.85

Figure legends:

715

716 Figure 1: A schematic of the mesocosm system at the Hawai'i Institute of Marine Biology. 717 Ambient seawater is pumped into the system from a nearby fringing reef in Kāne'ohe Bay. 718 The seawater is filtered with a sand trap filter, passed through a water chiller and then fed into 719 one of four header tanks. pCO₂ is manipulated in each header tank by bubbling a mixture of 720 CO₂-free air and pure CO₂ to the desired concentration. The water from one header tank flows 721 into 6 aquaria (a rack). Light is controlled by rack with metal-halide lights. There are two 722 metal-halide lights per rack with each light oscillating over a set of three aquaria. Flow and 723 temperature are controlled in each individual aquarium with flow valves and aquarium heaters 724 and coolers, respectively. 725 Figure 2: pCO₂ and temperature in each aquarium (a) without any rubble present and (b) with 726 rubble present. Daily variability in pCO₂ was higher when rubble was present due to 727 feedbacks from the rubble community (note the different x-axis scales in panels a and b). 728 Panel (c) shows the mean difference between day and night pCO₂ with and without rubble 729 present with observations paired by aquarium (error bars are standard error) (t_{23} = -7.23, 730 p<0.0001). 731 Figure 3: Net ecosystem calcification ((a) G_{day} , (c) G_{night} , (e) and G_{net}) and net community 732 production ((b) NCP_{day}, (d) NCP_{night}, and (f) NCP_{net}) versus Standardized Climate Change 733 (SCC). Each point represents net ecosystem calcification (left panel) or net community production (right panel) calculated from an individual aquarium. Standardized Climate 734 735 Change was centered around background seawater conditions such that a value of 0 indicated 736 that there was no change in pCO₂ or temperature. Positive values indicate an elevated pCO₂ 737 and temperature condition relative to background and negative values represent lower pCO₂ 738 and temperature conditions. G_{dav} had a non-linear relationship with Standardized Climate

Change $(y = -0.27 x^2 + 0.59x + 5.7)$, while G_{night} (y=-0.63x - 3.6) and G_{net} (y=-0.76x + 1.1) each had a negative linear relationship with Standardized Climate Change (Table 2). NCP_{day} (y=-7.01x + 23.4), NCP_{night} (y=-35.76 - 4.74), and NCP_{net} (y=-12.07x - 10.85) all had significant negative relationships with Standardized Climate Change. Black lines are best fit lines for each model with 95% confidence intervals in gray. The x's on the top panel represent the imposed conditions for Pre-industrial, Present Day, 2050, and 2100. The black horizontal line in panels (b), (e) and (f) shows the point where G and NCP = 0. Points above the line are net calcifying (e) or net photosynethsizing (f) and points below the line are net dissolving (e) or net respiring (f) over the entire 24 hour period.

Figure 4: (a) Calculated G and NCP rates for all treatment aquaria. Squares are data collected during light (day) conditions and circles represent data collected during dark (night) conditions, and the color represents Standardized Climate Change (color bar). There is a strong positive relationship between G and NCP (y = 0.14x + 1.9, p<0.0001, R²=0.85). Negative and positive y-values are net dissolution and net calcification, respectively; negative and positive x-values are net respiration and net photosynthesis, respectively. (b) TA versus DIC: There is a strong positive relationship between TA and DIC (y = 0.31x + 1577.4, p<0.0001, R²=0.85). Black and gray lines represent the best-fit line and 95% confidence intervals, respectively. As expected, the slope of TA versus DIC (0.31) is approximately twice that of G versus NCP (0.14).