



# 1           **Soil organic carbon in the Sanjiang Plain of China: Storage,** 2                                   **distribution and controlling factors**

## 3 4   **Abstract**

5       Accurate estimation of soil organic carbon (SOC) storage and determination of its pattern  
6   controlling factors is critical to understanding the ecosystem carbon cycle and ensuring  
7   ecological security. The Sanjiang Plain, an important grain production base in China, is typical  
8   of ecosystems, yet its SOC storage and pattern has not been fully investigated because of  
9   deficient soil investigation. In this study, 419 soil samples obtained in 2012 for each of the three  
10   soil depth ranges 0 - 30 cm, 30 - 60 cm, 60 - 100 cm, and a geostatistical method are used to  
11   estimate the total SOC storage and density (SOCD) of this region. The results give rise to 2.32  
12   Pg C for the SOC storage, and 21.20 kg m<sup>-2</sup> for SOCD which is higher than the mean value for  
13   the whole country. The SOCD shows notable changes in lateral and vertical distribution. In  
14   addition, vegetation, climate, and soil texture, as well as agricultural activities, are  
15   demonstrated to have remarkable impacts on the variation of SOCD of this region. Soil texture  
16   has stronger impacts on the distribution of SOCD than climate in the Sanjiang Plain.  
17   Specifically, clay content can explain the largest proportion of the SOC variations (21.2% in  
18   the top 30 cm) and is the most dominant environmental controlling factor. Additionally, the  
19   effects of both climate and soil texture on SOCD show weakening with increasing soil layer  
20   depth. This study indicates that reducing the loss of SOC requires effective conservation and  
21   restoration efforts of wetlands and forestlands, and rational fertilization. The results from this  
22   study provide the most updated knowledge on the storage and pattern of SOC in the Sanjiang  
23   Plain, and have important implications for the determination of ecosystem carbon budgets and  
24   understanding ecosystem services.

25  
26   **Key words:** soil organic carbon, climate, soil texture, agricultural activities, the Sanjiang Plain  
27   of China

## 1 **1 Introduction**

2 Soil is the largest terrestrial organic carbon pool, contains twice as much carbon as those in  
3 the atmosphere or vegetation (Batjes, 1996), and plays an important role in the global carbon  
4 cycle. Accurate quantification of soil organic carbon (SOC) storage and further investigating  
5 its association with environmental factors is essential to in-depth analyses of the terrestrial  
6 carbon cycle and updating the carbon budget (Conant et al., 2011; Dorji et al., 2014; Piao et al.,  
7 2009).

8 In the past decades, numerous studies were undertaken to investigate the storage and  
9 distribution heterogeneity of SOC in different regions, which includes the North American  
10 Arctic (Ping et al., 2008), the Amazon (Batjes and Dijkshoorn, 1999), the British moorland  
11 (Garnett et al., 2001), Laos (Chaplot, et al., 2010), France (Martin et al., 2011), and China (Ni,  
12 2013; Yu et al., 2007). Globally, 32% of SOC is stored in tropical soils, and mainly in forest  
13 soils (Eswaran et al., 1993). In China, the total SOC storage has been estimated using field  
14 samples, and the value was 89.61 Pg C in the 1980s and 86.75 Pg C in the 2000s (1 Pg C = 10<sup>15</sup>  
15 gC), representing ~5.0 % of the world storage (Xie et al., 2007). However, the large of the two  
16 estimated SOC values implies a necessity of improving SOC estimation at regional and local  
17 scales to achieve accurate updating of the world and national SOC budget.

18 The storage and distribution heterogeneity of SOC depend on climate conditions (Davidson  
19 and Janssens, 2006), land-use patterns (Poeplau and Don, 2013; Yu et al., 2012), and human  
20 activities and policies (Cao et al., 2011a, 2011b; Heikkinen et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2011). The  
21 distribution of SOC has been correlated with various climate factors, soil texture, and land cover  
22 types (Batjes and Dijkshoorn, 1999; Jobb ágy and Jackson, 2000; Li and Zhao, 2001; Saiz et al.,  
23 2012; Wang et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2007). Globally, total SOC content has been shown to  
24 increase with precipitation but decrease with temperature, and the two climate factors control  
25 SOC in shallow soil layers (Jobb ágy and Jackson, 2000). Jobb ágy and Jackson (2000) also  
26 showed that total SOC increases with clay content, which drives SOC in deeper soil layers.  
27 Plant functional types can significantly impact the vertical distribution of SOC (Jobb ágy and

1 Jackson, 2000; Yang et al., 2010). Although the influence of climate, vegetation and soil texture  
2 on SOC storage has been noticed (Chaplot et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2008), it  
3 has been difficult to assess this influence because of large uncertainties in characterizing the  
4 distribution of SOC. One reason for causing this difficulty is due to lack of appropriate data. A  
5 large amount of data from recent field investigations are required to facilitate the assessment of  
6 SOC storage in typical regions.

7 The Sanjiang Plain is one of the main food and agricultural bases and has the largest natural  
8 wetland in China (Wang et al., 2011). Typical monsoon climate of medium latitudes, diverse  
9 land-cover types, dramatic land use changes and other human disturbances in recent decades  
10 (Song et al., 2014) make it an ideal region for investigating the pattern and environmental  
11 controls of the SOC storage in Northeast Asia. Previous studies have mainly focused on the  
12 topsoil organic carbon and used a limited number of soil profiles measured in this area, which  
13 would not allow for a comprehensive investigation on and a comparison of the lateral and  
14 vertical distribution of SOC in various ecosystems (Wang et al., 2002). In addition, significant  
15 wetland reclamation, conversion from dry farmland to paddy field, and intensive chemical  
16 fertilizer applications have been observed in this region (Wang et al., 2011), which could  
17 implicate in the SOC cycle. These considerations create the need for studying the current SOC  
18 storage and distribution as well as their associations with various environmental factors so that  
19 regional soil carbon sources or sinks can be determined for this region.

20 In this study, the SOC storage in the Sanjiang Plain was estimated based on extensive 1 m  
21 depth soil profiles. The primary objective of the study was to further characterization of SOC  
22 of this region. The secondary objectives were to 1) estimate the SOC storage and map its lateral  
23 and vertical distribution, 2) compare SOC across different terrestrial land-cover types and 3)  
24 examine the associations of environmental factors with the lateral and vertical variability of  
25 SOC storage.

26 **2 Data and Methods**

27 **2.1 Study area**

1 The Sanjiang Plain is located in the northeast corner of China and separated from Russia by  
2 the Heilongjiang and Wusuli rivers (Fig. 1). The region has 23 counties and extends from 129 °  
3 11' E to 134° 47' E in longitude and from 43° 49' N to 48°25' N in latitude, with a total area of  
4 108 596 km<sup>2</sup> (Wang et al., 2011). It is a low alluvial plain deposited by the Heilongjiang,  
5 Songhua, and Wusuli rivers with elevation in the southwest being higher than in the northeast.  
6 Annual precipitation is between 500 mm and 650 mm, and 80% of rainfall occurs in growing  
7 seasons (May to September). The mean air temperature ranges from 1.4 to 4.3 °C, and the frost-  
8 free period is 120 - 140 days. The climate of this area belongs to the temperate humid or sub-  
9 humid continental monsoon climate (Wang et al., 2006), which is suitable for natural wetlands  
10 and growing grains.

### 11 **Fig. 1. Position and terrain of the Sanjiang Plain**

#### 12 **2.2 Land-cover and soil type datasets**

13 The Landsat thematic mapper (TM) and Chinese Huan Jing (HJ) satellite images (Zhang et  
14 al., 2014) acquired in 2010 for the study region were classified using the eCognition software  
15 to extract land-cover data (Mao et al., 2014a). All the images (32 of them being TM and 6 for  
16 HJ) were atmospherically corrected using the 6S radiative transfer model and geometrically  
17 rectified. Furthermore, based on the digital elevation model (DEM) and field investigations,  
18 image segmentation was performed for these satellite images. Validation of the land cover  
19 classification on the field data collected in 2010 (1326 points) resulted a kappa coefficient of  
20 0.894 and overall accuracy of 89%. Area for each land cover type was calculated through the  
21 ArcMap software. Statistic results further revealed that the major land cover types in the  
22 Sanjiang Plain were cropland, forestland, and wetland (Fig. 2A), with an area of 59 531.49 km<sup>2</sup>,  
23 36 556.49 km<sup>2</sup>, 6 527.89 km<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

24 The soil type dataset covering the Sanjiang Plain was clipped from the soil map of China,  
25 resulting from Chinese second soil investigations at a scale of 1: 1 000 000 (Wang et al., 2006).  
26 Five main soil types in the area were dark-brown soil, meadow soil, lessive, swamp soil, and  
27 black soil, and occupied more than 95% of the whole area (Fig. 2B). In the Sanjiang Plain, dark-  
28 brown soil and meadow soil are the largest and second largest soil type with an area of 32103.54

1 km<sup>2</sup> and 31017.36 km<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Considering the SOC content and density differ among  
2 soil types (Mao et al., 2014b; Yu et al., 2007), different soil types need to be accommodated in  
3 the deployment of field sampling sites.

4 **Fig. 2. Spatial distribution of field samples, land cover (A) and soil types (B) in the**  
5 **Sanjiang Plain**

6 **2.3 Soil sampling and determination**

7 Soil samples were collected in 2012 on the basis of visual navigation via a GPS unit linked  
8 with ArcGIS installed laptop. Each of these samples was collected using a standard container  
9 with a volume of 100 cm<sup>3</sup> and a cloth pocket. For each soil site (three soil profiles at each site),  
10 the SOC content for each depth range (i.e. 0 - 30 cm, 30 - 60 cm, and 60 - 100 cm) was  
11 represented by the average of SOC values of three spatially random profiles at the sampling  
12 site. Land-cover types, sampling time and depth, and geographic locations were recorded while  
13 sampling. Because of the inaccessibility of some land-cover types and the areal difference of  
14 land-cover types, a total of 419 soil samples (59 for forestland, 13 for grassland, 59 for paddy  
15 field, 206 for dry farmland, and 82 for wetland) for each soil depth range were obtained, and  
16 their locations were overlaid on the land-cover and soil types as shown in Fig. 2.

17 All of the soil samples were air-dried and then oven-dried at 105°C to determine their bulk  
18 densities. Visible plant detritus and all rock fragments were removed from the soil samples in  
19 the cloth pockets before the soil samples were further processed by grounding and sieving with  
20 2-mm meshes and analyzed for SOC concentration and soil texture. The SOC concentration  
21 was measured by wet combustion with K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (Yang et al., 2007). A Mastersizer 2000  
22 instrument was used to measure the soil texture of 80 sample profiles equally distributed in the  
23 study area, including clay content (< 0.002 mm), silt content (0.02 - 0.002 mm), and sand  
24 content (0.02 - 2 mm).

25 **2.4 Climate data**

26 The mean annual temperature (MAT) and mean annual precipitation (MAP) were calculated  
27 from the meteorological data recorded during 1981 - 2012. All of these data were downloaded

1 from the National Climatic Data Center of NOAA (NCDC, <http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/>) and the  
 2 China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System (<http://cdc.cma.gov.cn>), respectively. For  
 3 bettering the accuracy of spatial interpolation of climate factors, 35 meteorological stations (12  
 4 of them being Russia and 23 in China) were used and spatially interpolated using the Kriging  
 5 method. The MAT and MAP for each sampling site were extracted based on its geographical  
 6 position from the interpolated raster with a spatial resolution of 8 km.

## 7 **2.5 Amounts of Fertilizer**

8 The amounts of fertilizer for each of 23 counties in the Sanjiang Plain was obtained from the  
 9 statistical yearbook of Heilongjiang Province in 2012. The ratio ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) of the amount of  
 10 fertilizer to the area of croplands of each county was calculated. A relation of the fertilization  
 11 amount to the SOC content was derived for the individual soil layers considered in this study.

## 12 **2.6 Estimation of SOC storage**

13 This study analyzed the spatial distribution of soil organic carbon density (SOCD) within  
 14 different soil depth ranges (0 - 30 cm, 0 - 60 cm, and 0 - 100 cm). The SOCD and SOC storage  
 15 in a depth of  $h$  (cm) were calculated as follows:

$$16 \quad SOCD_h = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - \delta_i \%) \times \rho_i \times C_i \times T_i}{100} \quad (1)$$

$$17 \quad SOC_h = SOCD_h \times AREA \quad (2)$$

18 where  $n$  is the number of the soil layer;  $\delta_i$  is the concentration of gravel larger than 2 mm in the  
 19  $i_{th}$  soil layer (volume percentage);  $\rho_i$  and  $C_i$  are the bulk density and the SOC content ( $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) in  
 20 the  $i_{th}$  soil layer, respectively;  $T_i$  is the thickness of the  $i_{th}$  soil layer.

21 The Kriging interpolation and the semivariable function were used to determine the spatial  
 22 distribution of SOC. Kriging is a geostatistical method that is commonly used to interpolate a  
 23 SOCD dataset from discrete points to a spatially continuous surface (Kumar et al., 2012; Khalil  
 24 et al., 2013), and the semivariable function can be used to quantify the spatial autocorrelation  
 25 and provides an input parameter for a spatial interpolation (Liu et al., 2011). All of the  
 26 calculations for mapping SOC within individual soil depth ranges were performed using the  
 27 ArcGIS software (Version 9.3).

1 **2.7 Statistical analysis**

2 The General Linear Model (GLM) was used to determine the relationship between SOCD  
3 and each of different environmental factors (MAT, MAP, clay content, silt content, and sand  
4 content) and to assess how each factor influences the variation of SOC within a soil depth range  
5 (Yang et al., 2007). All GLM analyses were performed with the software package R (R  
6 Development Core Team 2005).

7 The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) and the correlation coefficient ( $p$ ) obtained from  
8 regressive and correlative analyses performed with the SPSS software were employed to  
9 describe the effects of individual controlling factors on SOC, such as climate factors and soil  
10 parameters. In addition, the estimated SOCD for the Sanjiang Plain was compared to the SOCD  
11 values estimated for different regions of the world to investigate the effects of climate factors  
12 and vegetation.

13 To address the effects of agricultural activities on the distribution of SOC, we examined the  
14 correlation of the amount of applied fertilizers to the SOC content on the county scale.

15 **3 Results**

16 **3.1 Storage and spatial distribution of SOC**

17 SOCD of the 419 sampling profiles varied remarkably within each soil depth range (Fig. 3).  
18 The mean SOCD values of all sample profiles for the three depth ranges (0 - 30 cm, 0 - 60 cm,  
19 and 0 - 100 cm) were 10.19 kg m<sup>-2</sup>, 15.98 kg m<sup>-2</sup>, and 21.20 kg m<sup>-2</sup>, and the standard deviation  
20 of the corresponding SOCD were 7.12 kg m<sup>-2</sup>, 10.15 kg m<sup>-2</sup>, and 12.36 kg m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively.  
21 Excluding the regions of water bodies, the total SOC storage of the Sanjiang Plain was  
22 estimated to be 1.16 Pg C for the depth range 0 - 30 cm, 1.80 Pg C for 0 - 60 cm, and 2.32 Pg  
23 C for 0 - 100 cm.

24 **Fig. 3. Frequency distributions of SOCD at different soil depth ranges (A: 0 - 30 cm; B: 0 -**  
25 **60 cm; C: 0 - 100 cm)**

26 The spatial variation of SOC storage within soil depth range is apparent (Fig. 4). For the soil  
27 depth range 0 - 60 cm, high SOCD values mainly present in the northeast, northwest corner,



1 and small areas of the north, whereas low SOCD values present in the north central area and  
2 southwest. For the soil depth range 0 - 100 cm, the SOC storage values higher than 24 kg C m<sup>-2</sup>  
3 mainly appear in the northeast and northwestern corner of the Sanjiang Plain.

4 **Fig. 4. Spatial pattern of SOC storage at different soil depths (A: 0 - 30 cm; B: 0 - 60 cm;**  
5 **C: 0 - 100 cm)**

### 6 **3.2 Mean SOCD and SOC storage for different land-cover types**

7 Table 1 provides a detailed description of SOCD and SOC storage for different land-cover  
8 types of the Sanjiang Plain. The SOCD for the soil depth range 0 - 30 cm increases in the order  
9 of dry farmland, paddy field, grassland, forestland, and wetland, whereas for the soil ranges 0  
10 - 60 cm and 0 - 100 cm in the order of grassland, dry farmland, paddy field, forestland, and  
11 wetland. Wetlands have the largest SOCD at all three soil depths (0 - 30 cm, 0 - 60 cm, 0 - 100  
12 cm). Forestlands covering the second largest area of the Sanjiang Plain have the second largest  
13 SOCD (23.40 kg m<sup>-2</sup>) among the land cover types and stock the second largest SOC (827.52 Tg  
14 C, 1 Tg C = 10<sup>12</sup> gC) in the soil depth range 0 - 100 cm, and forestlands and dry farmlands  
15 together account for 72.7% of SOC storage in the same depth range soil of the Sanjiang Plain.

16 **Table 1 SOCD and SOC storage for different land-cover types in the Sanjiang Plain**

### 17 **3.3 Vertical distribution characteristics of SOC storage for different land-cover types**

18 An apparent vertical differentiation of SOC storage can be observed in the Sanjiang Plain  
19 (Fig. 5). For the soil depth range 0 -100 cm, approximately 49% of total SOC storage is  
20 concentrated within the top 30 cm. The SOC storage within each soil depth range (0 - 30 cm,  
21 30 - 60 cm, and 60 - 100 cm) varies significantly across different land-cover types. The  
22 percentage which SOC within the depth range 0 - 30 cm can account for SOC within the range  
23 0 - 100 cm is 48%, 50%, 50%, 52%, 53% for dry farmlands, forestlands, wetlands, paddy fields,  
24 and grasslands, respectively, implying that the relative distribution of the SOC of the topsoil is  
25 the deepest in dry farmlands, intermediate in the forestlands and wetlands, and the shallowest  
26 in paddy fields and grasslands. These percentages also indicate that the SOC storage decrease  
27 with soil depth when the paddy fields and wetlands are considered. In contrast, the SOC storage  
28 increases from the depth range 30 - 60 cm to 60 - 100 cm for the grasslands and forestlands.

1 **Fig. 5. Vertical distribution of SOC storage in different soil depth ranges for various**  
2 **land-cover types**

3 **3.4 Effects of environmental factors on SOCD**

4 The SOC storages within different soil depth ranges are significantly affected by climate and  
5 soil texture. As shown in Fig. 6, SOCD in the Sanjiang Plain is not only significantly correlated  
6 with MAT (Fig. 6 A1 - A3) and MAP (Fig. 6 B1 - B3) for the different soil depth ranges, but  
7 strongly associated with soil texture as well (Fig. 6 C1 - E3 and Table 3). The SOCD in the  
8 depth ranges 0 - 30 cm, 0 - 60 cm, and 0 - 100 cm of soil decreases with increasing MAT up to  
9 ~4.6 °C and then increases with MAT (Fig. 6 A1 - A3). Similarly, the SOCD for the different  
10 depth ranges decreases and then increases with soil clay content ( $P < 0.01$ , Fig. 6 C1 - C3). In  
11 addition, SOCD increases with MAP (Fig. 6 B1 - B3) and soil silt content (Fig. 6 D1 - D3). The  
12 SOCD shows a significantly negative correlation with sand content within the depth range 0 -  
13 60 cm and 0 - 100 cm, but an insignificant correlation for the depth range 0 - 30 cm (Fig. 6 E1  
14 - E3).

15 **Fig. 6. Correlations of SOCD with various environmental factors for different soil**  
16 **depths in the Sanjiang Plain (A1 - E1: 0 - 30 cm; A2 - E2: 0-60 cm; A3 - E3: 0 - 100 cm)**

17 Table 2 presents the results from the GLM, which reveal that environmental factors explain  
18 57.78%, 52.03%, and 37.67% of the overall variation of SOCD for the depth range 0 - 30 cm,  
19 0 - 60 cm, and 0 - 100 cm, respectively. Both the associations of climate and soil texture with  
20 SOCD are weak with increasing soil depth. Clay content explains the largest proportion of the  
21 SOCD variation (21.20% for the range 0 - 30 cm, 18.30% for 0 - 60 cm, and 15.40% for 0 -  
22 100 cm), and thus is the most dominant environmental variable. Silt content also plays an  
23 important role in shaping the pattern of SOC storage, explaining the second largest proportion  
24 of SOCD variation. Therefore, soil texture has more impacts on the distribution of SOCD than  
25 climate factors in the Sanjiang Plain. When comparing temperature with precipitation, the  
26 former exhibits more significant effects on the SOCD within the depth range 0 - 100 cm than  
27 the latter as shown by a regressive coefficient (Fig. 6 A3, B3) for temperature and a more  
28 variance of SOCD explained by temperature (Table 2).

1 **Table 2 GLM results for correlating SOCD with environmental factors**

2 The associations of climate and soil texture with vertical SOCD vary significantly (Table 3).  
3 For the different soil depths (0 - 30 cm, 30 - 60 cm, 60 - 100 cm), SOCD is negatively correlated  
4 to both MAT and sand content, but positively correlated with MAP, clay content and silt content.  
5 Clay content has the largest correlation coefficient with SOCD ( $P < 0.01$ ), meaning that it plays  
6 a more important role in driving the SOCD vertical distribution as compared to other  
7 environmental variables. The correlations between SOCD and sand content are found high for  
8 deeper soil depth ranges, whereas the correlations between SOCD and other examined  
9 controlling factors are low.

10 **Table 3 Correlation coefficients between SOCD and environmental factors in different**  
11 **soil layers**

12 **3.5 Effects of fertilization amount on SOC storage**

13 We examined the amount of fertilizer and SOC content for croplands in the 23 counties and  
14 found that agricultural activities, especially fertilization, have remarkable impacts on SOC  
15 content. Significantly negative correlations ( $P < 0.01$ ) between the amount of fertilizer and SOC  
16 content are found for the 0 - 30 cm and 30 - 60 cm depth ranges (Fig. 7). Meanwhile, the  
17 correlation between the amount of fertilizer and SOC content decreases with soil depth.

18 **Fig. 7. Correlations of the cropland fertilization amount with SOC content in the**  
19 **Sanjiang Plain for different soil layers (A: 0 - 30 cm; B: 30 - 60 cm; C: 60 - 100 cm)**

20 **4 Discussion**

21 **4.1 SOC estimates in the Sanjiang Plain**

22 Spatially explicit estimates of SOC at regional scales are vital for monitoring carbon  
23 sequestration, which impacts global climate change and food security (Lal, 2004a). In this study,  
24 extensive soil investigation that took land cover types and soil types into consideration has been  
25 undertaken to quantify the SOC storage in the Sanjiang Plain. A geostatistical approach was  
26 further used to map the regional pattern of SOC in different soil depth ranges. The method that  
27 was used for estimating the regional carbon pool in the present study is different from that used

1 by Yang et al. (2008), who estimated SOC storage by correlating SOC content with a remote  
2 sensing vegetation index. Considering the rich ecosystem types of the Sanjiang Plain and coarse  
3 resolution remote sensing imagery, this study used the Kriging method to achieve more accurate  
4 estimation of SOC than those by previous studies (Wang et al., 2002; Yu et al., 2007). The SOC  
5 estimates were based on a large volume dataset including the most recently measured data.

6 Observed was the larger mean SOCD for the depth range 0 - 100 cm (21.20 kg m<sup>-2</sup>) in the  
7 Sanjiang Plain as compared to the reported mean SOCD of China 7.8 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (Yang et al., 2007)  
8 and the whole world 10.8 kg m<sup>-2</sup> estimated by Post et al. (1982), which is mostly due to  
9 relatively low temperature as compared to the south, more precipitation than the western part  
10 of the country, as well as extensive wetlands and forests in the Sanjiang Plain (Yu et al., 2007).  
11 In addition, the estimated SOCD value 10.19 kg m<sup>-2</sup> for the depth range 0 - 30 cm in the study  
12 area is higher than 7.70 kg m<sup>-2</sup> observed in the Loess Plateau of China (Liu et al., 2011) and the  
13 value 5.91 kg m<sup>-2</sup> for France (Martin et al., 2011). This is largely attributed to the humid climate  
14 and high natural vegetation (i.e. forest and wetland) cover. In this study, we have observed that  
15 forestlands have higher SOCD than grasslands, which is different from the SOC results of China  
16 reported by Wang et al. (2004) and of France by Martin et al. (2011). We attribute these  
17 differences to the climate zones in which these studies have focused on.

18 This study resulted in the total estimated SOC storage 2.32 Pg C within the soil depth range  
19 0 - 100 cm in the Sanjiang Plain. Similar estimations yielded 26.43 Pg C for the Northeast  
20 China (Wang et al., 2003) and 69.10 Pg C for the whole China (Wu et al., 2003). Converting  
21 these two SOC storage values to SOCD based on related publications would give rise to SOCD  
22 values of the Sanjiang Plain, which are smaller than the SOCD result observed in this study. It  
23 is worth to discuss which SOCD estimate is more accurate.

24 Our results reveal that the farmland has a SOCD value smaller than those for the forestland  
25 and wetland. Fig. 6 shows negative correlation of SOCD with temperature and positive  
26 correlation with precipitation. Additionally, the Sanjiang Plain experienced significant losses  
27 of both forestland and wetland to farmland, obvious increases in temperature, and notable  
28 decreases in precipitation (Wang et al., 2011; Song et al., 2014). All these factors should

1 contribute to the loss of SOC storage. Therefore, we are confident that the present SOCD  
2 estimation is more close to the actual SOC storage in the Sanjiang Plain, and that the previous  
3 reported SOCD for the Northeast China and the whole country level underestimated the SOC  
4 storage.

#### 5 **4.2 Impacts of land-cover type on SOC**

6 It has been pointed out that the SOC storage strongly depends on land cover types (Chaplot  
7 et al., 2010; Martin et al., 2011). Fig. 2 supports the same observation. It is thus necessary to  
8 discuss the impacts of land cover types on SOC storage.

9 Jobbágy and Jackson (2000) and Yang et al. (2007) observed that land cover types  
10 significantly affected the distribution of SOC. This conclusion is supported by our result shown  
11 in Table 1 and Fig. 5. The results demonstrate that the wetlands have the highest SOCD, which  
12 is most likely related to a low decomposition rate of soil organic matter and high soil moisture  
13 content (Taggart et al., 2012). A notable loss of topsoil SOC as a result of cultivation was  
14 observed in China (Song et al., 2005). A significant loss of wetlands to croplands was reported  
15 in the Sanjiang Plain in the past few decades (Wang et al., 2009; 2011), which is believed to  
16 lead to enhanced carbon emission. These observations imply that implementation of an  
17 effective plan for wetland management, conservation, and restoration in the Sanjiang Plain is  
18 required for increasing regional carbon sequestration and reducing the carbon budget. Similarly,  
19 effectively reducing the loss of forestlands and rationally replacing cultivated land for  
20 forestland are essential for balancing the carbon budget (Cao et al., 2011b). Intensive  
21 agricultural activities (e.g. tillage) have resulted in enhanced soil mineralization (Lal, 2002),  
22 which has led to low SOC in dry farmlands (red and orange colors in Fig. 4). Although a low  
23 SOCD was found for croplands, their large areas make them the largest SOC pool among all  
24 land cover types considered in this study (Table 1).

25 The results show different vertical patterns of SOC storage for the five land-cover types.  
26 Grasslands have the shallowest root distribution and less fresh carbon supply in deep soil layers,  
27 and account for a large SOC proportion in the topsoils (Fontaine et al., 2007). The relatively  
28 low decomposability and deep root distribution pattern in wetlands can be used to explain the

1 observed difference of the vertical SOC features between the wetlands and grasslands (Jobb gy  
2 and Jackson, 2000). Loosened soil and plow tillage in dry farmlands, which are favorable to the  
3 soil respiration, can explain the low SOC storage within the soil depth range 0 - 30 cm in the  
4 Sanjiang Plain. In contrast, paddy fields exhibit a large SOC content, which is most likely  
5 related to the stability of the soil environment (Pan et al., 2003), suggesting a SOC proportion  
6 of the topsoil larger than that in dry farmlands, as shown in Fig. 5. The correlations of SOCD  
7 with the examined environmental factors decrease with the soil depth. This observation could  
8 be related to the changes of vegetation types. Vegetation affects the lateral and vertical patterns  
9 of SOC through the distribution and production of above- or below-ground biomass. Severe  
10 population pressure, and misguided policies resulted significant changes of land cover types,  
11 especially in losses of forestlands and wetlands to croplands (Song et al., 2014; Wang et al.,  
12 2012). The SOC storage dynamics controlled by changes of land cover types needs to be  
13 investigated in future.

#### 14 **4.3 Relationships between SOC and climate factors**

15 MAT and MAP explain a large amount of the variation of SOCD in different soil depth ranges  
16 (Table 2), implying that climate conditions are an important environmental force in controlling  
17 the lateral and vertical distribution of SOC. The results also show that the variances of SOCD  
18 is driven less by MAT than MAP for the soil depth range 0 - 30 cm of the study region. This is  
19 consistent with the observation made in France (Martin et al., 2011) because of humid climate  
20 in both France and the Sanjiang Plain.

21 With respect to the association of SOCD with MAT, SOCD goes down and then up with  
22 increasing MAT, which is most likely related to various balances between SOC inputs and  
23 outputs (Davidson and Janssens, 2006). A decrease in SOCD at low MAT could be caused by  
24 low carbon inputs of plant production and high carbon outputs of soil decomposition. MAT is  
25 often lower than 4.6 °C in the Sanjiang Plain. This is why a significantly negative correlation  
26 ( $r = -0.33$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ) is observed between MAT and SOCD (Table 3). On the contrary, MAT  
27 higher than 4.6 °C may increase the vegetation productivity and thus contribute to increasing  
28 carbon inputs that overrides the temperature-induced rise in the soil decomposition rate (Yang

1 et al., 2008). Our results confirm the observation made by Yang et al. (2007) that the increasing  
2 trend of SOCD from the tropical to cold-temperate zone in the eastern part of China is correlated  
3 with temperature. In the Sanjiang Plain, MAT can explain 4.23% of the SOCD variability,  
4 suggesting that temperature plays an important role in shaping the pattern of SOC.

5 In relation to MAP, SOCD values within different soil depth ranges show strong positive  
6 correlations to MAP as shown by the power relationships in Fig. 6 B1 - B3). These positive  
7 correlations can be explained by the fact that precipitation enhances the vegetation productivity  
8 and thus leads to accumulation of SOC. This finding is in agreement with the observation made  
9 for the spatial pattern of SOC in Northern China, i.e., increasing precipitation contributes to an  
10 increase in SOCD from the arid to semi-humid zone (Yang et al., 2007). Similarly, the SOCD  
11 of the Sanjiang Plain estimated by this study is higher than that for the Loess Plateau (Liu et al.,  
12 2011) due to the difference of the two areas in precipitation. MAP explains the variation of  
13 SOCD at less degree when soil depth increases (Table 2) and shows diminishing correlation  
14 with SOCD (Table 3). This can be attributed to relative low soil moisture to deep soil depth  
15 layers which affects the root vertical distribution with increasing soil depth (Jobbágy and  
16 Jackson, 2000).

17 **4.4 Effects of soil texture on SOC**

18 The GLM results indicate that the observed soil texture explains 48%, 44% and 35% of the  
19 variability of SOCD for the depth ranges 0 - 30, 0 - 60, and 0 - 100 cm, respectively. For the  
20 country scale of China, climate was observed as the leading factor driving the spatial pattern of  
21 SOCD (Wu et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2007). However, at a smaller regional scale, such as the  
22 Sanjiang Plain, the variation of SOCD is mostly attributed to soil texture rather than climate.  
23 The similar result was shown in Laos (Chaplot et al., 2010) where SOC storage is mainly  
24 controlled by soil types and texture. Soil texture is closely related to the soil water holding  
25 capacity and the decomposition rate of organic matter, which thus signifies a key role in shaping  
26 the spatial pattern of SOCD at the regional scale (Chaplot et al., 2010). In spite of the fact that  
27 climate controls the pattern of SOC storage in a large continental scale, soil texture shows more  
28 effects on the distribution of SOC in a small regional level.

1 This study shows that clay content contributes to the pattern of SOCD more significantly  
2 than silt and sand do. This result supports the observation by Jobb gy and Jackson (2000) that  
3 clay content is the best predictor of SOCD in deeper depth layers. Moreover, this study shows  
4 that SOCD is highly and positively correlated to silt content within different soil depth ranges.  
5 This result is expected because high clay and silt contents can stabilize soil organic matter and  
6 largely slow down the soil carbon cycle (Hassink et al., 1997). However, negative relationships  
7 are observed for SOCD and sand content (Fig. 6 E1 - E3 and Table 3), which can be explained  
8 by the sandy soil properties: low water holding capacity, limited vegetation productivity and  
9 carbon sequestration. Small magnitude correlation coefficients for sandy soil could be  
10 explained by low carbon inputs and relatively efficient decomposition of organic matter within  
11 deep soil layers (Ontl et al., 2013).

#### 12 **4.5 Impacts of agricultural activities on SOC**

13 Given the fact that both soil texture and vegetation types are highly influenced by climate,  
14 and that soil texture has obvious effects on vegetation types. These interactive systems drive  
15 the SOC distribution in very complicated ways. The GLM results indicate that the examined  
16 environmental factors only explain 57.78%, 52.03% and 47.67% of the SOCD variability  
17 within the depth range 0 - 30 cm, 0 - 60 cm and 0 - 100 cm, respectively. Therefore, we speculate  
18 that the anthropogenic factor is critical in explaining the pattern and storage of SOC.

19 Croplands, including dry farmlands and paddy fields, covering 54.2% of the whole area of  
20 the Sanjiang Plain, have the largest carbon pool among the land types (Table 1). Therefore, the  
21 change of SOCD in cropland could result in significant variation in the lateral and vertical  
22 distribution of SOC. It is well known that cropland management plays an important role in the  
23 carbon exchange of ecosystems (Lal, 2004b). In the Sanjiang Plain, soil tillage and the return  
24 of crop stubble into soils have a long history, and which are expected to be a crucial force for  
25 shaping the lateral and vertical pattern of SOC (Liu et al., 2006; Mao et al., 2014b). Generally,  
26 fertilization can raise the SOC storage by enhancing the carbon input from plant productivity  
27 and crop biomass (Ren et al., 2012, Zhao et al., 2013). However, over application of fertilizer  
28 can have negative net effects on carbon sequestration because organic carbon mineralization



1 neutralizes the carbon input (Russell et al., 2005). Influences of fertilization on SOC are  
2 complicated, and can be related to the history of cropland, vegetation types, as well as soil types  
3 and texture. Comparing between the amount of fertilizer and SOC at the county scale, indicates  
4 that the counties using high amounts of fertilizer have low SOC content (Fig. 7). This may  
5 manifest different SOC decomposition scenarios due to temperature, soil moisture and soil  
6 types in this plain. Long-term field experiments for different crop types are needed to  
7 investigate the effects of fertilization on SOC at the local scale.

## 8 **5 Conclusions**

9 This study has used Kriging, a spatial interpolation technology, and 419 soil sampling sites  
10 (1257 profiles in total) collected in 2012 for each of the soil depth ranges 0 - 30 cm, 30 - 60 cm,  
11 and 60 - 100 cm to determine the SOC storage in the Sanjiang Plain, China. Relationships of  
12 SOCD with different environmental factors were examined. The results reveal that the total  
13 SOC storage within the depth range 0 - 100 cm in the Sanjiang Plain was estimated to be 2.32  
14 Pg C, and mainly stocked in the topsoil. Over the Sanjiang Plain, soil texture plays more  
15 important roles than climate in determining the distribution of SOC with clay content  
16 contributing more than other observed factors. Vegetation, climate, and soil texture, as well as  
17 agricultural activities has remarkable impacts on the storage and distribution of SOC. Wetlands  
18 have the highest SOCD as compared with other land cover types, but display a significant loss  
19 in the recent decades. Thus, implementation of an effective wetland management and  
20 conservation plan in the Sanjiang Plain is required for fostering regional carbon sequestration.  
21 A comparison of the estimate to those by other previous studies demonstrates underestimation  
22 of the SOC storage in the Sanjiang Plain if values at the Northeast China and the whole country  
23 level are used. An accurate and the updated estimates of SOC storage by this study will  
24 significantly improve the knowledge of carbon cycles and the determination of the carbon  
25 budget for the Sanjiang Plain.

## 26 **Acknowledgements**

27 The present paper was jointly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of

1 China (No. 41371403, 41401502), the CAS/SAFEA International Partnership Program for  
2 Creative Research Teams, the National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2009CB421103),  
3 and the Professor Fund for IGA, CAS (Y2H1071001). We give heartfelt thanks to those who  
4 participated in the field soil surveys and the anonymous reviewers for their comments that  
5 resulted in a greatly improved manuscript.

## 6 **References**

7 Batjes, N. H.: Total carbon and nitrogen in the soils of the world, *Euro. J. Soil Sci.*, 47, 151-  
8 163, 1996.

9 Batjes, N. H. and Dijkshoorn, J.A.: Carbon and nitrogen stocks in the soils of the Amazon  
10 Region, *Geoderma*, 89, 273-286, 1999.

11 Cao, S., Sun, G., Zhang, Z., Chen, L., Feng, Q., Fu, B., McNulty, S., Shankman, D., Tang, J.,  
12 Wang, Y. and Wei, X.: Greening China Naturally, *Ambio*, 40, 828-831, 2011a.

13 Cao, S.: Impact of China's large-scale ecological restoration program on the environment and  
14 society: achievements, problems, synthesis, and applications, *Critical Reviews in Environ.*  
15 *Sci. Technol.*, 41, 317-335, 2011b.

16 Chaplot, V., Bouahom, B. and Valentin, C.: Soil organic carbon stocks in Laos: spatial  
17 variations and controlling factors, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 16, 1380-1393, 2010.

18 Conant, R. T., Ogle, S. M., Paul, E. A. and Paustian, K.: Measuring and monitoring soil organic  
19 carbon stocks in agricultural lands for climate mitigation, *Front Ecol Environ.*, 9, 169-173,  
20 2011.

21 Davidson, E. A. and Janssens, I. A.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and  
22 feedbacks to climate change, *Nature*, 440, 165-173, 2006.

23 Dorji, T., Odeh, I. O. A., Field, D. J. and Baillie, I. C.: Digital soil mapping of soil organic  
24 carbon stocks under different land use and land cover types in montane ecosystems,  
25 Eastern Himalayas, *Forest Ecol. Manag.*, 318, 91-102, 2014.

26 Eswaran, H., Berg, E. V. D. and Reich, P.: Organic carbon in soils of the world, *Soil Sci. Soc.*  
27 *Am. J.*, 57, 192-194, 1993.

- 1 Fontaine, S., Barot, S., Barré P., Bdioui, N., Mary, B. and Rumpel, C.: Stability of organic  
2 carbon in deep soil layers controlled by fresh carbon supply, *Nature*, 450, 227-280, 2007.
- 3 Garnett, M. H., Ineson, P., Stevenson, A. C. and Howard D. C.: Terrestrial organic carbon in  
4 a British moorland, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 7, 375-388, 2001.
- 5 Hassink, J.: The capacity of soils to preserve organic C and N by their association with clay  
6 and silt particles, *Plant Soil*, 191, 77-87, 1997.
- 7 Heikkinen, J., Ketoja, E., Nuutinen, V. and Regina, K.: Declining trend of carbon in Finnish  
8 cropland soils in 1974-2009, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 19, 1456-1469, 2013.
- 9 Jobbágy, E. G. and Jackson, R. B.: The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its  
10 relation to climate and vegetation, *Ecol. Appl.*, 10(2), 423-436, 2000.
- 11 Khalil, M. I., Kiely, G., Brien, P. O. and Müller, C.: Organic carbon stocks in agricultural soils  
12 in Ireland using combined empirical and GIS approaches, *Geoderma*, 193, 222-235, 2013.
- 13 Kumar, S., Lal, R. and Liu, D.: A geographically weighted regression kriging approach for  
14 mapping soil organic carbon stock, *Geoderma*, 189, 627-634, 2012.
- 15 Lal, R.: Soil carbon dynamics in cropland and rangeland, *Environ. Pollut.*, 116(3), 353-362,  
16 2002.
- 17 Lal, R.: Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security, *Science*,  
18 304, 1623-1627, 2004a.
- 19 Lal, R.: Agricultural activities and the global carbon cycle, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 70, 103-  
20 116, 2004b.
- 21 Li, Z. and Zhao, G.: Organic carbon content and distribution in soils under different land uses  
22 in tropical and subtropical China, *Plant Soil*, 231(2), 175-185, 2001.
- 23 Liu, D., Wang, Z., Zhang, B., Song, K., Li, X., Li, J., Li, F. and Duan, H.: Spatial distribution  
24 of soil organic carbon and analysis of related factors in croplands of the black soil region,  
25 Northeast China, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 113, 73-81, 2006.
- 26 Liu, Z., Shao, M. and Wang, Y.: Effect of environmental factors on regional soil organic carbon  
27 stocks across the Loess Plateau region, China, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 142, 184-194, 2011.
- 28 Mao, D., Wang, Z., Li, L., Song, K. and Jia, M.: Quantitative assessment of human-induced

1 impacts on marshes in Northeast China from 2000 to 2011, *Ecol. Eng.*, 68, 97-104, 2014a.

2 Mao, D., Wang, Z., Wu, C., Zhang, C. and Ren, C.: Topsoil carbon stock dynamics in the  
3 Songnen Plain of Northeast China from 1980 to 2010, *Fresen. Environ. Bull.*, 23, 531-539,  
4 2014b.

5 Martin, M. P., Wattenbach, M., Smith, P., Meersmans, J., Jolivet, C., Boulonne, L. and  
6 Arrouays, D.: Spatial distribution of soil organic carbon stocks in France, *Biogeosciences*,  
7 8, 1053-1065, 2011.

8 Ni, J.: Carbon storage in Chinese terrestrial ecosystems: approaching a more accurate estimate,  
9 *Climatic Change*, 119, 905-917, 2013.

10 Ontl, T. A., Hofmockel, K. S., Cambardella, C. A., Schulte, L. A. and Kolka R. K.: Topographic  
11 and soil influences on root productivity of three bioenergy cropping systems, *New  
12 Phytologist*, 199(3), 727-737, 2013.

13 Pan, G., Li, L., Wu, L. and Zhang, X.: Storage and sequestration potential of topsoil organic  
14 carbon in China's paddy soils, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 10(1), 79-92, 2003.

15 Piao, S., Fang, J., Ciais, P., Peylin, P., Huang, Y., Sitch, S. and Wang, T.: The carbon balance of  
16 terrestrial ecosystems in China, *Nature*, 458, 1009-1014, 2009.

17 Ping, C., Michaelson, G. J., Jorgenson, M., Kimble, J. M., Epstein, H., Romanovsky, V. E. and  
18 Walker D. A.: High stocks of soil organic carbon in the North American Arctic region,  
19 *Nature Geosci.*, 1, 615-619, 2008.

20 Poeplau, C. and Don, A.: Sensitivity of soil organic carbon stocks and fractions to different  
21 land-use changes across Europe, *Geoderma*, 192, 189-201, 2013.

22 Post, W. M., Emanuel, W. R., Zinke, P. J. and Stangenberger, A. G.: Soil carbon pools and  
23 world life zones, *Nature*, 298, 156-159, 1982.

24 Ren, W., Tian, H., Tao, B., Huang, Y. and Pan, S.: China's crop productivity and soil carbon  
25 storage as influenced by multifactor global change, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 18(9), 2945-2957,  
26 2012.

27 Russell, A. E., Laird, D. A., Parkin, T. B. and Mallarino, A. P.: Impact of nitrogen fertilization  
28 and cropping system on carbon sequestration in Midwestern Mollisols, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am.*

- 1 J., 69, 413-422, 2005.
- 2 Saiz, G., Bird, M. I., Domingues, T., Schrodt, F., Schwarz, M., Feldpausch, T. R., Veenendaal,  
3 E., Djaabletey, G., Hien, F., Compaore, H., Diallo, A. and Liyoyd, J.: Variation in soil  
4 carbon stocks and their determinants across a precipitation gradient in West Africa, *Glob.*  
5 *Change Biol.*, 18(5), 1670-1683, 2012.
- 6 Song, G., Li, L., Pan, G. and Zhang, Q.: Topsoil organic carbon storage of China and its loss  
7 by cultivation, *Biogeochemistry*, 74, 47-62, 2005.
- 8 Song, K., Wang, Z., Li, L., Tedesco, L., Li, F., Jin, C. and Du, J.: Wetlands shrinkage,  
9 fragmentation and their links to agriculture in the Muleng-Xingkai Plain, China, *J. Environ.*  
10 *Manage.*, 111, 120-132, 2012.
- 11 Song, K., Wang, Z., Du, J., Liu, L., Zeng, L. and Ren, C.: Wetland degradation: its driving  
12 forces and environmental impacts in the Sanjiang Plain, China, *Environ. Manage.*, 54,  
13 255-271, 2014.
- 14 Taggart, M., Heitman, J. L., Shi, W. and Vepraskas, M.: Temperature and water content effects  
15 on carbon mineralization for sapric soil material, *Wetlands*, 32, 939-944, 2012.
- 16 Wang, S., Zhou, C., Liu, J., Tian, H., Li, K. and Yang, X.: Carbon storage in northeast China  
17 as estimated from vegetation and soil inventories, *Environ. Pollut.*, 116, 157-165, 2002.
- 18 Wang, S., Huang, M., Shao, X. and Mickler, R. A.: Vertical distribution of soil organic carbon  
19 in China, *Environ. Manage.*, 33 suppl. 1, 200-209, 2004.
- 20 Wang, Y. and Cao, S.: Carbon Sequestration may have negative impacts on ecosystem health,  
21 *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 45, 1759-1760, 2011.
- 22 Wang, Z., Zhang, B., Zhang, S., Li, X., Liu, D., Song, K., Li, J., Li, F. and Duan, H.: Changes  
23 of land use and of ecosystem service values in Sanjiang Plain, Northeast China, *Environ.*  
24 *Monit. Assess.*, 112, 69-91, 2006.
- 25 Wang, Z., Liu, Z., Song, K., Zhang, B., Zhang, S., Liu, D., Ren, C. and Yang, F.: Land use  
26 changes in Northeast China driven by human activities and climatic variation, *Chin.*  
27 *Geogra. Sci.*, 19(3), 225-230, 2009.
- 28 Wang, Z., Song, K., Ma, W., Ren, C., Zhang, B., Liu, D., Chen, J. and Song, C.: Loss and

1 fragmentation of marshes in the Sanjiang Plain, Northeast China, 1954-2005, *Wetlands*,  
2 31, 945-954, 2011.

3 Wang, Z., Wu, J., Madden, M. and Mao, D.: China's wetlands: conservation plans and policy  
4 impacts, *Ambio*, 41, 782-786, 2012.

5 Wu, H., Guo, Z. and Peng, C.: Distribution and storage of soil organic carbon in China. *Global*  
6 *Biogeochem. Cy.*, 17(2), 1048, doi:10.1029/2001GB001844, 2003.

7 Xie, Z., Zhu, J., Liu, G. and Cadisch, G.: Soil organic carbon stocks in China and changes from  
8 1980s to 2000s, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 13(9), 1987-2007, 2007.

9 Yang, Y., Mohammat, A., Feng, J., Zhou, R. and Fang, J.: Storage, patterns and environmental  
10 controls of soil organic carbon in China, *Biogeochemistry*, 84, 131-141, 2007.

11 Yang, Y., Fang, J., Tang, Y., Ji, C., Zheng, C., He, J. and Zhu, B.: Storage, patterns and controls  
12 of soil organic carbon in the Tibetan grasslands, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 14, 1592-1599, 2008.

13 Yang, Y., Fang, J., Guo, D., Ji C. and Ma, W.: Vertical patterns of soil carbon, nitrogen and  
14 carbon: nitrogen stoichiometry in Tibetan grasslands, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 7, 1-24,  
15 2010.

16 Yu, D., Shi, X., Wang, H., Sun, W., Chen, J., Liu, Q. and Zhao, Y.: Regional patterns of soil  
17 organic carbon stocks in China, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 85, 680-689, 2007.

18 Yu, J., Wang, Y., Li, Y., Dong, H., Zhou, D., Han, G., Wu, H., Wang, G., Mao, P. and Gao, Y.:  
19 Soil organic carbon storage changes in coastal wetlands of the modern Yellow River Delta  
20 from 2000 to 2009, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 2325-2331, 2012.

21 Zhang, M., Wu, B., Meng, J., Dong, T. and You X.: Fallow land mapping for better crop  
22 monitoring in Huang-Huai-Hai Plain using HJ-1 CCD data, *IOP Conf.: Earth Environ.*  
23 *Sci.*, 17, 012048, 2014.

24 Zhao, G., Bryan, B., King, D., Luo, Z., Wang, E., Song, X. and Yu, Q.: Impact of agricultural  
25 management practices on soil organic carbon: simulation of Australian wheat systems,  
26 *Glob. Change Biol.*, 19, 1585-1597, 2013.

27

28

1 **Table1** SOCD and SOC storage for different land-cover types in the Sanjiang Plain

Land-cover types	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	SOCD (kg m <sup>-2</sup> )			SOC storage (Tg C)		
		0 - 30 cm	0 - 60 cm	0 - 100 cm	0 - 30 cm	0 - 60 cm	0 - 100 cm
<b>Dry farmland</b>	41462.87	9.72	14.56	19.68	412.10	637.71	821.84
<b>Paddy field</b>	18068.62	9.88	15.53	19.79	191.00	302.24	388.14
<b>Grassland</b>	124.30	10.65	11.33	17.38	1.47	2.31	71.58
<b>Forestland</b>	36556.49	11.41	16.84	23.40	420.20	639.10	827.52
<b>Wetland</b>	6527.89	14.78	23.50	29.59	76.71	123.85	160.85

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

**Table 2** GLM results for correlating SOCD and environmental factors

Depth	Factors	MAT	MAP	Clay content	Silt content	Sand content	Others
	DF	1	1	1	1	1	80
0-30 cm	MS	0.87*	1.49*	4.70*	4.65*	2.40*	0.02
	SS(%)	4.23	5.21	21.20	17.80	9.34	42.22
0-60 cm	MS	2.24*	1.45*	8.23*	6.54*	5.23*	0.05
	SS(%)	5.21	3.22	18.30	15.20	10.10	47.97
0-100 cm	MS	1.11*	0.23	6.21*	5.07*	4.21*	0.07
	SS(%)	1.65	0.68	15.40	12.40	7.54	62.33

2

\*  $P < 0.01$ ; DF, degree of freedom; MS, mean squares; SS, sum of squares, means the proportion of variances explained by a variable.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23



1 **Table3** Correlation coefficients between SOCD and environmental factors in different soil layers

Soil depth (cm)	MAT	MAP	Clay content	Silt content	Sand content
<b>0 - 30</b>	-0.33 <sup>b</sup>	0.29 <sup>b</sup>	0.49 <sup>b</sup>	0.35 <sup>b</sup>	-0.18
<b>30 - 60</b>	-0.30 <sup>b</sup>	0.22 <sup>a</sup>	0.46 <sup>b</sup>	0.34 <sup>b</sup>	-0.37 <sup>b</sup>
<b>60 - 100</b>	-0.11	0.20	0.42 <sup>b</sup>	0.22 <sup>a</sup>	-0.38 <sup>b</sup>

2 <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.05$ ; <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.01$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20


21

22

23


24

25



**Fig. 1.** Position and terrain of the Sanjiang Plain


- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

**Fig. 2.** Spatial distribution of field samples, land cover (A) and soil types (B) in the Sanjiang

Plain



1 **Fig. 3.** Frequency distributions of SOCD at different soil depth ranges (A: 0 - 30 cm; B: 0 - 60  
 2 cm; C: 0 - 100 cm)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15


16

17

18

19

20



1 **Fig. 4.** Spatial pattern of SOC storage at different soil depths (A: 0 - 30 cm; B: 0 - 60 cm; C: 0  
 2 - 100 cm)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12


13

14

15

16

17



1

2 **Fig. 5.** Vertical distribution of SOC storage in different soil depth ranges for various land-  
 3 cover types

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13


14

15

16


17

18



1 **Fig. 6.** Correlations of SOCD with various environmental factors for different soil depths in  
 2 the Sanjiang Plain (A1 - E1: 0 - 30 cm; A2 - E2: 0 - 60 cm; A3 - E3: 0-100 cm)

3  
 4



1 **Fig. 7.** Correlations of the cropland fertilization amount with SOC content in the Sanjiang

2 Plain for different soil layers (A: 0 - 30 cm; B: 30 - 60 cm; C: 60 - 100 cm)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23