

**Keywords.** Dissolved organic matter (DOM), particulate organic matter (POM), total organic matter (TOM), European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.), solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$  CPMAS NMR spectroscopy, Biodiversity Exploratories

Manuscript prepared for Biogeosciences Discuss.

with version 2014/07/29 7.12 Copernicus papers of the L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X class copernicus.cls.

Date: 15 April 2015

# Properties of dissolved and total organic matter in throughfall, stemflow and forest floor leachate of Central European forests

**S. Bischoff<sup>1</sup>, M. T. Schwarz<sup>2</sup>, J. Siemens<sup>3</sup>, L. Thieme<sup>3</sup>, W. Wilcke<sup>2,\*</sup>, and B. Michalzik<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Geography, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Löbdergraben 32, 07743 Jena, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Geography, University of Berne, Hallerstrasse 12, 3012 Berne, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Crop Science and Resource Conservation, Rheinische Friedrich Willhelms University Bonn, Nussallee 13, 53115 Bonn, Germany

\*now at: Institute of Geography and Geocology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Reinhard-Baumeister-Platz 1, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

Correspondence to: S. Bischoff (sebastian.bischoff@uni-jena.de)

## Abstract

For the first time, we investigated the composition of dissolved organic matter (DOM) compared to total OM (TOM, consisting of DOM,  $< 0.45 \mu\text{m}$  and particulate OM,  $0.45 \mu\text{m} < \text{POM} < 500 \mu\text{m}$ ) in throughfall, stemflow and forest floor leachate of common beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) and Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) H. Karst.) forests using solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$  nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. We hypothesized that the composition and properties of OM in forest ecosystem water samples differ between DOM and TOM and between the two tree species.

The  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR results, derived from 21 samples, point to pronounced differences in the composition of DOM and TOM in throughfall solution at the beech sites with TOM exhibiting higher relative intensities for the alkyl C region, representing aliphatic C from less decomposed organic material compared to DOM. Furthermore, TOM shows lower intensities for lignin-derived and aromatic C of the aryl C region resulting in lower aromaticity indices and a diminished degree of humification. Along the ecosystem compartments, differences in the structural composition of DOM and TOM under beech lessened in the order throughfall  $>$  stemflow  $\approx$  forest floor leachate.

In contrast to the broadleaved sites, differences between DOM vs. TOM in throughfall solution under spruce were only less pronounced and spectra were overall dominated by the alkyl C region, representing aliphatic C. Explanations to the reported results might be substantiated in differences in tree species-specific structural effects, leaching characteristics or differences in the microbial community of the tree species' phyllosphere and cortisphere. However, the fact that throughfall DOM under beech showed the highest intensities of recalcitrant aromatic and phenolic C among all samples analyzed, likely points to a high allelopathic potential of beech trees negatively affecting other organisms and hence ecosystem processes and functions.

## Abbreviations.

<sup>13</sup> C CPMAS NMR	Carbon-13 cross-polarization and magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance
ALB	Schwäbische Alb exploratory
A/O-A	Alkyl C to O-alkyl C ratio
BP	Bulk precipitation
DOM	Dissolved organic matter
FF	Forest floor
HAI	Hainich–Dün exploratory
OM	Organic matter
PE	Polyethylene
POM	Particulate organic matter
SCH	Schorfheide–Chorin exploratory
SF	Stemflow
TF	Throughfall
TOM	Total organic matter

## 1 Introduction

Dissolved organic matter (DOM), often described as solutes passing filter  $< 0.45 \mu\text{m}$  in pore size, contributes substantially to the biogeochemistry of carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) in forest ecosystems (Qualls et al., 1991; Michalzik and Matzner, 1999; Fröberg et al., 2006; Kindler et al., 2011). While many investigations addressed the nature and dynamics of DOM in terrestrial ecosystems (e.g., Qualls and Haines, 1991; Currie et al., 1996), only a few investigated the dynamics (Carlisle et al., 1966; Sollins et al., 1980; le Mellec, Habermann; le Mellec et al., 2010) and none the character of water-bound total OM (TOM) including the particulate OM fraction (POM;  $0.45 \mu\text{m} < \text{POM} < 500 \mu\text{m}$ ). Since water-bound element and nutrient concentrations are conventionally measured after filtration (frequently to  $< 0.45 \mu\text{m}$  pore size), the exclusion of the POM fraction potentially results

in misleading inferences and budgeting gaps in studies of nutrient and energy fluxes in ecosystems (Michalzik and Stadler, 2005; Stadler et al., 2006). We are aware of only a handful of studies over the last 35 years which address POM or size equivalent in temperate broadleaved and coniferous ecosystems (e.g., Carlisle et al., 1966; Sollins et al., 1980; Likens et al., 1983; Lamersdorf and Blank, 1995; Levia et al., 2013). The majority of these studies highlighted the importance of OM  $> 0.45 \mu\text{m}$  to the overall budgets of C, N and other nutrients. (Lamersdorf and Blank, 1995) revealed a significant additional input of organic carbon and nitrogen to the soil with throughfall (TF) via the particulate fraction ( $> 0.45 \mu\text{m}$ ). These results are corroborated by recent findings for POM and DOM in TF of beech, spruce and pine forest stands (le Mellec and Michalzik, 2008; le Mellec, Habermann; le Mellec et al., 2010), where the additional input of C and N by particulate organic matter represented between 20–30 % of the total organic C and 10–20 % of the total N fluxes with throughfall. The low C-to-N ratios of 12–14 for POM as reported by Lamersdorf and Blank (1995) furthermore suggest that this material might be particularly relevant to promote microbial decomposition processes (Fontaine et al., 2004), while wider C-to-N ratios of  $> 30$  tend to decelerate decomposition rates (Pérez-Harguindeguy et al., 2000).

Although some knowledge of the amount and temporal dynamics of DOM and POM is available, the composition especially of TOM in solutions and its alteration traversing forest canopies, stem surfaces and subsequently the forest floor (FF) is largely unknown. The chemical nature of mobile OM is a prerequisite for advancing understanding of the C and nutrient cycling as well as other ecosystem processes in forests (Schnitzer and Khan, 1972; Guggenberger and Zech, 1994; Wardle et al., 1998). Alteration in the composition of OM in throughfall, stemflow (SF) and FF solutions are assumed to be driven by various abiotic and biotic processes. In this context, precipitation patterns (Mercier and Lindow, 2000) and canopy architecture (Levia and Frost, 2006; Levia et al., 2012) basically alter the release of OM with throughfall. Atmospheric deposition (e.g. pollen, inorganic N deposition, Lamersdorf and Blank (1995)) and increased nutrient leaching from damaged leaves and immobilization processes within the canopy mediated by phyllosphere microorganisms (Guggenberger and Zech, 1994; Stadler and Müller, 2000) appear to influence the chemical

qualities of OM from the forest canopy. Interspecific differences in bark traits and cortisphere properties, e.g. bark texture and colour, influence the microclimate and arthropod fauna (Nicolai, 1986), the plant epiphyte (Wyse and Burns, 2011) and microbial community (Andrews and Harris, 2000) consequently affecting the amount and composition of OM as well. For example, enhanced bark microrelief increases the contact time of stemflow solutions with bark surfaces leading to an enrichment of aromatic hydrocarbons (Levia et al., 2012) likely due to the enhanced degradation and release of soluble lignin compounds (Guggenberger et al., 1994).

Previous work has demonstrated that tree species and spatial and temporal patterns of environmental conditions such as chemical soil properties influence the input and cycling of cations (Gersper and Holowaychuk, 1971; Finzi et al., 1998; Levia et al., 2011; Van Stan et al., 2012) and DOM in temperate forests (Levia et al., 2012). However, it is unclear how tree species influence chemical properties of DOM and especially of POM in TF, SF and FF leachates and hence subsequently affect soil processes by varying chemical composition and associated functionality. In this context, beech trees potentially exhibit the highest amounts of phenolics in leaves (Bussotti et al., 1998), bark (Dübeler et al., 1997), wood (Mämmelä, 2001) and roots (Weissen and Van Praag, 1991) compared to co-occurring species. Phenolic compounds released from living or dead plant material have been shown to function as allelochemicals, affecting associated species (Rice, 1984; Wardle et al., 1998). An interspecific allelopathic potential on other organisms may result in net changes in ecosystem processes and functions (e.g. herbivory, decomposition and nutrient mineralization) (Wardle et al., 1998). However, the release of phenolic or other allelopathic compounds from living plant material via throughfall and stemflow and the effects on ecosystem processes and function is still insufficiently understood.

To elucidate the composition of DOM and TOM in terrestrial ecosystem water samples we applied solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$  nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy with cross-polarization and magic angle spinning (CPMAS-NMR), which is a nondestructive method that provides information on the chemical surroundings of C atoms forming the major component of OM. Consequently, solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy is widely used for the characterization of

a range of organic materials from various natural environments (Baldock et al., 2004). It has been applied to soil OM (Helfrich et al., 2006; Keeler et al., 2006), hot water extracts (Leinweber et al., 1995; Balaria et al., 2009) and DOM in aqueous solutions from aquatic (Schumacher et al., 2006) and terrestrial ecosystems (Guggenberger et al., 1994; Fröberg et al., 2003; Sanderman et al., 2008). Although the technique has been applied to POM from aquatic systems (McKnight et al., 1997; Lankes et al., 2008), to the best of our knowledge, it has never been applied to POM or TOM in aqueous samples from terrestrial ecosystems like TF, SF or FF leachates. Therefore, we present the first study investigating the composition of DOM compared to TOM by solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy.

We tested the hypotheses that (i) the structural composition of DOM in filtered and TOM in unfiltered forest ecosystem water samples differ along ecosystem compartments and (ii) between tree species, exemplarily tested between beech and spruce at one of our study regions.

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 Study sites

The sites are in three landscapes forming part of the German "Biodiversity Exploratories" ([www.biodiversity-exploratories.de](http://www.biodiversity-exploratories.de)), which were established in 2006 as a scientific platform for biodiversity research funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG SPP 1374 "Exploratories for Large-scale and Long-term Functional Biodiversity Research"; see Fischer et al. (2010) for a detailed description). The three regions differ in environmental conditions (Table 1) and are representative of large areas of Central Europe. We selected three extensively managed "close to native" European beech forests (*Fagus sylvatica* L.; mature forest stands, protected for at least 60 years; see Fischer et al., 2010) in each of the three exploratories. These forests are comparable with regard to tree age and tree species composition, and are similar to stand density, mean tree diameter at breast height, basal area and forest management (Table 2). In the Hainich–Dün exploratory (HAI) we additionally

collected samples from three Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) H. Karst.) plantations (Table 2). We only choose the HAI spruce sites, because the Schorfheide–Chorin (SCH) exploratory only provides pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) stands and the spruce stands of the Schwäbische Alb exploratory (ALB) differ in tree species composition (Schwarz et al., 2014).

## 2.2 Experimental setup

The experimental setup was identical for all the sites. At each exploratory, bulk precipitation (BP) was collected in five replicates from three open areas using 2 L polyethylene (PE) open funnel samplers (diameter 0.12 m). TF was sampled using rain collectors of the same type arranged in a cross-shaped grid with 20 replicates per forest site. The sampling bottles were wrapped with aluminum foil to minimize the impact of irradiation and algal growth and were equipped with a polyester net (mesh width 1.6 mm) to prevent sample contamination with coarse matter. Stemflow was collected in three replicates per site using sliced polyurethane hoses (diameter 4 cm) as a collar installed at 1.60 m height, sealed with polyurethane glue and connected with a polypropylene or PE barrel via a PE tube. To address the element input from the organic layer to the mineral soil, FF leachates were collected with three zero-tension lysimeters per site (280 cm<sup>2</sup> sampling area), installed in the vicinity of the TF samplers. They consisted of polyvinyl chloride plates covered with a PE net (mesh width 0.5 mm) connected with PE hoses to 2 L PE bottles stored in a box below ground.

## 2.3 Sampling procedure and analysis

Samples of BP, TF, SF and FF leachate were collected in September 2010 for ALB and the HAI beech sites and in August/ September 2011 for SCH and the HAI spruce sites after a bi-weekly routine sample collection interval. The reasons to choose these sampling dates were threefold: beech canopies were fully developed, external inferring events such as the release of pollen by coniferous trees was over (mid May to mid June) and generally sufficient precipitation water occurs during this time of the year to perform the freeze-drying of large

amounts of sample solutions for solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR analysis. Individual samples of BP (3 sites  $\times$  5 replicates = 15), TF (3 sites  $\times$  20 replicates = 60), SF (3 sites  $\times$  3 replicates = 9) and FF leachates (3 sites  $\times$  3 replicates = 9) were pooled to one volume-weighted sample per sample type, sampling date and exploratory, respectively. The samples were stored on ice in cool boxes until further processing in the laboratory. At latest 24 h after collection, an aliquot of the BP, TF, SF and FF leachate samples was bottled unfiltered, whereas another was filtered with  $< 0.45\ \mu\text{m}$  cellulose acetate filters (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). Both the unfiltered and filtered aliquots were immediately frozen to prevent microbial alteration.

For solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR analysis, between 400 and 800 mL of the filtered and unfiltered sample aliquots were freeze-dried (Christ Alpha 1–4, Osterode/ Harz, Germany). Samples of BP contained insufficient amount ( $< 30\ \text{mg}$ ) of dry matter and could therefore not be analyzed with NMR spectroscopy. The same held true for three other ALB samples, encompassing the filtered TF sample and the filtered and unfiltered SF samples. In total, 21 samples were analyzed by solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy. Table 3 provides an overview over the sample consortium.

Solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR measurements were obtained with a Bruker DSX 200 NMR spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany). CPDAS was applied with a  $^{13}\text{C}$  resonance frequency of 50.32 MHz and a spinning speed of 6.8 kHz. A ramped  $^1\text{H}$  pulse starting at 100% and decreasing to 50% of the initial power was used during a contact time of 1 ms in order to circumvent spin modulation during the Hartmann–Hahn contact. Pulse delays of 2 s were used for all spectra. Pre-experiments confirmed that the pulse delays were long enough to avoid saturation. Depending on the C content of the samples, 2070 to 130 939 scans were accumulated and a line broadening between 50 and 100 Hz was applied. The  $^{13}\text{C}$  chemical shifts were calibrated relative to tetramethylsilane (0 ppm). Relative contributions from the various C groups were determined by integration of the signal intensity in the respective chemical shift regions according to Knicker et al. (2005). The region from 220 to 160 ppm was assigned to carbonyl (aldehyde and ketone) and carboxyl/ amide C. Olefinic and aromatic C were detected between 160 and 110 ppm. O-alkyl and N-alkyl C signals were found from 110 to 60 ppm and from 60 to 45 ppm,



respectively. Resonances of alkyl C were assigned to the region 45 to  $-10$  ppm. We calculated the alkyl C/O-alkyl C (A/O-A) ratio for each fraction according to Baldock et al. (1997) as a sensitive index for the extent of decomposition. The relative aromaticity (in %) was estimated from the ratio aryl C/(aryl C + O-alkyl C + alkyl C) as proposed by Hatcher et al. (1981).

### 3 Results and discussion

All spectra shown in Fig. 1 were dominated by the O-alkyl C region (110–45 ppm) and exhibited pronounced signals at ca. 72 ppm, corresponding to secondary carbinol C (i.e. C-2, C-3 and C-5 of pyranoside rings) in cellulose and hemicellulose (Almendros et al., 2000; Keeler et al., 2006; Lemma et al., 2007; Conte et al., 2010). Resonances at ca. 103 ppm were assigned to anomeric C in carbohydrates (Rodríguez-Murillo et al., 2011), whereas N-substituted C of amino sugars contributed to the region between 58 and 51 ppm (Knicker and Kögel-Knabner, 1998). In all samples, a maximum peak in the carboxyl C region at ca. 172 ppm indicated the presence of carboxylic acids, amides and esters originating from compounds such as cutin, proteins and hydrolysable tannins (Lemma et al., 2007).

#### Throughfall

Unfiltered and filtered TF samples from the beech sites differed remarkably with regard to C composition. Unfiltered samples revealed clearly decreased signal intensities for the aryl C region (160–110 ppm) that mostly refers to lignin systems [ca. 135 ppm, unsubstituted; ca. 145 ppm, heterosubstituted; ca. 153 ppm, ether-linked; Conte et al., 2010; Rodríguez-Murillo et al., 2011] and increased intensities for the alkyl C region (45 to  $-10$  ppm), corroborating with higher A/O-A ratio values and lower aromaticity indices for TOM vs. DOM (Tables 3 and 4).

This might likely point to aliphatic C from less decomposed organic material accompanied by reduced humification intensity compared with filtered TF samples, where the intensity of

the aromatic C region (140–110 ppm) was amplified by 60 % on average. For the O-alkyl C (110–45 ppm) and carboxyl C (220–160 ppm) regions, the trends appeared inconsistent (Table 3, Fig. 1). In essence, DOM in TF under beech was dominated by aryl C at the expense of alkyl C, resulting in remarkably higher aromaticity index values. A high proportion in aromatic C in DOM points to increased recalcitrance of DOM towards microbial degradability due to the inhibitory effects of these compounds on enzyme activity (e.g.  $\alpha$ -amylase, trypsin, lysozyme) (Rohn et al., 2002; Marschner and Kalbitz, 2003).

About 30 % of the aryl C was composed of phenolic C (Table 3), partly forming secondary metabolites, which are known to inhibit both germination and growth of plants, thereby affecting the distribution patterns and composition of understory vegetation of forests (Rice, 1984; Muscolo et al., 2001; Hane et al., 2003). Furthermore, they affect the growth of mycorrhizal fungi (Lindeberg, 1985) and inhibit feeding by various fungi (Alfredsen et al., 2008) and potential herbivores (Dübeler et al., 1997; Petrakis et al., 2011). In their review, Wardle et al. (1998) concluded that the effect of plants with allelopathic potential on other organisms may ultimately result not only in net change at the population level (e.g. plant–plant interference), but in net change in ecosystem processes and functions (e.g. herbivory, decomposition and nutrient mineralization), which may be important in shaping plant community structure in the long term.

In comparison with beech, the analysis of  $^{13}\text{C}$  chemical shift regions of the unfiltered and filtered TF samples from the HAI spruce forests indicated only minor differences in C fraction composition (Table 3, Fig. 1). In this context, the C of the unfiltered TF samples exhibited slightly higher relative intensities for aliphatic C (45 to –10 ppm) and carbohydrate C (90–60 ppm) and lower relative intensities for aryl C (160–110 ppm) and carboxyl C (220–160 ppm) compared with filtered samples. This aspect was mirrored by the lower aromaticity index of the unfiltered samples (17.0) compared with the filtered samples (19.6) (Table 4).

The less pronounced differences in DOM and TOM composition under spruce compared to beech appear to be substantiated in differing interspecific canopy metrics. Spruce trees in Germany exhibit a denser foliage and higher leaf area index of  $20.5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$  compared with  $6.5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$  for beech, as well as higher needle surface roughness and higher leaf longevity

(Rothe et al., 2002). Consequently, spruce canopies provide a higher filtering capacity for airborne compounds and higher accumulation rate than beech canopies. Additionally, spruce canopies exhibit higher rainfall interception rate values of 30–40 % compared with 17–20 % for beech canopies (Rothe et al., 2002). This might lead to longer periods of water availability, longer contact time for rainwater and needle surfaces and substrate availability and hence more stable environmental conditions within the phyllosphere, likely promoting the microbial decomposition of TOM to DOM and hence diminishing structural differences.

Compared to the HAI spruce sites, Sanderman et al. (2008) found similar distributions in the relative intensities of chemical shift regions for DOM in TF of a nearly 100 years old mixed Redwood and Douglas-fir stand in California. In contrast, Fröberg et al. (2003) reported differing intensities for DOM in TF from a 35yr old Norway spruce stand in southern Sweden, with lower carbonyl C and aryl C, but higher O-alkyl C contents, even resulting in lower A/O-A ratio (0.42) and aromaticity index (12.24 %). To our knowledge, there are no comparable studies of beech-derived TF samples as of SF samples in general. The diverting results from Sweden are likely due to the younger stand age or differences in stand structure and climate.

### Stemflow

As illustrated by difference spectra, unfiltered and filtered SF samples under beech as well as under spruce showed similar distributions and signal intensities (Fig.1). However, the difference spectra from SCH samples exhibited pronounced peaks at ca. 30 ppm within the alkyl C region, which can be assigned to methylenes in fatty acyl chains (Lundberg et al., 2001). These peaks point to a local event at the SCH beech sites which might be derived from excretions of canopy insects such as the beech woolly aphid (*Phyllaphis fagi* L.) or other leaf-sucking insects. Herbivorous insect species are known to temporarily occur in mass infestations and then alter the input fluxes, especially of POM, by e.g. green leaf litter, wax wool excretion and cell tissue (Stadler et al., 2001; le Mellec and Michalzik, 2008). In this context, Greenway et al. (1974) reported on the composition of triglycerides found in cornicle secretions from a range of aphid families, including *P. fagi*. Additionally,

Stanley-Samuelson et al. (1988) reviewed the formation of fatty acids in cuticular secretion components of other insect orders.

### Forest floor leachates

Regarding FF leachates under beech, unfiltered samples of two (HAI and SCH) out of the three exploratories exhibited higher intensities within the O-alkyl C region (110–45 ppm) and lower signals in the carboxyl C region (220–160 ppm) compared with the filtered samples (Fig. 1). While the aromaticity index among the FF leachate fractions were similar, with values around 22 and 24 %, the A/O-A ratio values were lower for the TOM than the DOM fractions (Table 4). This trend was observed for FF leachates from all the sites and for both tree species, except for the ALB beech sites. Here, the two fractions of FF leachate revealed only minor differences in C composition and A/O-A ratio. Again, no corresponding data for beech stands were available in the literature, but for a spruce forest site in southern Sweden, Fröberg et al. (2003) reported similar signal intensities from solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra of Oa layer solutions. In contrast,  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data for FF leachates of a Californian mixed Redwood stand reported by Sanderman et al. (2008) exhibited lower intensities for alkyl C and remarkably higher intensities for the aromatic C region, resulting in an approximately halved A/O-A ratio compared with our spruce sites. We assume that the diverting sampling period and season along with different climatic and hydrological conditions (Mediterranean vs. Temperate) are responsible for the differences in DOM composition. In this context, enhanced hydrological flux generation (e.g. throughout rainy seasons) was shown to increase the aromaticity of DOM by the intensified degradation of soluble lignin compounds as observed by Guggenberger et al. (1994) and Levia et al. (2012).

In summary, the compositional differences between DOM and TOM at the beech sites diminished from  $\text{TF} > \text{SF} \approx \text{FF}$  leachates. This might be related to longer stemflow–bark contact time due to the bark micro-relief, less changing environmental conditions and better substrate availability on the tree stem (Levia et al., 2012) and stagnant water on

the litter surfaces of the forest floor favoring degradation of canopy-derived OM by the soil biota and epiphytic microorganisms that level out differences in the composition and quality between the two size fractions.

Differing composition of DOM under beech vs. spruce might be substantiated in differences in tree species-specific structural effects, leaching characteristics or differences in the microbial community of the tree species' phyllosphere and cortisphere (Guggenberger and Zech , 1994; Stadler and Müller, 2000).

The discussion generally lacks of comparable data on TOM composition as, to the best of our knowledge, there are no studies available reporting on solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy-derived TOM characteristics in TF, SF and FF leachate samples under beech or other tree species.

## 4 Conclusions

Structural data derived from solid state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR analysis confirmed pronounced differences between filtered and unfiltered beech TF samples. The compositional differences between DOM and TOM diminished from TF to SF and FF leachates. This might be due to increased contact time and intensified microbial activity levelling out the compositional differences between the two OM fractions. Since differences in the structural composition of DOM and TOM in TF and SF were less pronounced under spruce than under beech, we suspect a tree species-related effect on the origin of OM composition and resulting properties such as recalcitrance and allelopathic potential. To consolidate our findings on tree species-specific differences in the amount and functionality of OM in TF samples and ecological implications, research has to be extended spatially and to further tree species.

*Acknowledgements.* We thank the managers of the three Exploratories, Kirsten Reichel-Jung, Swen Renner, Katrin Hartwich, Sonja Gockel, Kerstin Wiesner, and Martin Gorke for their work in maintaining the plot and project infrastructure; Christiane Fischer and Simone Pfeiffer for giving support through the central office, Michael Owonibi for managing the central data base, and Markus

Fischer, Eduard Linsenmair, Dominik Hessenmöller, Jens Nieschulze, Daniel Prati, Ingo Schöning, François Buscot, Ernst-Detlef Schulze, Wolfgang W. Weisser and the late Elisabeth Kalko for their role in setting up the Biodiversity Exploratories project.

We also thank M. Steffens for performing the NMR measurements, S. Tetzlaff and K. Pfeiffer for technical assistance and D.F. Levia for constructive comments on the manuscript.

The work has been funded by the DFG Priority Program 1374 "Infrastructure-Biodiversity-Exploratories" (MI927/2–1,2–2; SI1106/4–1,4–2; WI1601/12–1,12–2). Field work permits were issued by the responsible state environmental offices of Brandenburg, Thüringen and Baden-Württemberg (according to §72 BbgNatSchG).

## References

- Alfredsen, G., Solheim, H., and Slimestad, R.: Antifungal effect of bark extracts from some European tree species, *European J. Forest Res.-Jpn.*, 127, 387–393, 2008.
- Almendros, G., Dorado, J., González-Vila, F. J., Blanco, M., and Lankes, U.:  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR assessment of decomposition patterns during composting of forest and shrub biomass, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 32, 793–804, 2000.
- Andrews, J. H. and Harris, R. F.: The ecology and biogeography of microorganisms on plant surfaces, *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 38, 145–180, 2000.
- Balaria, A., Johnson, C. E., and Xu, Z.: Molecular-scale characterization of hot-water-extractable organic matter in organic horizons of a forest soil, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 73, 812–821, 2009.
- Baldock, J., Oades, J., Nelson, P., Skene, T., Golchin, A., and Clarke, P.: Assessing the extent of decomposition of natural organic materials using solid-state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy, *Aust. J. Soil Res.*, 35, 1061–1084, 1997.
- Baldock, J. A., Masiello, C., Gelinas, Y., and Hedges, J.: Cycling and composition of organic matter in terrestrial and marine ecosystems, *Mar. Chem.*, 92, 39–64, 2004.
- Bussotti, F., Gravano, E., Grossoni, P. and Tani, C.: Occurrence of tannins in leaves of beech trees (*Fagus sylvatica*) along an ecological gradient, detected by histochemical and ultrastructural analyses, *New Phytol.*, 138, 469–479, 1998.
- Carlisle, A., Brown, A., and White, E.: The organic matter and nutrient elements in the precipitation beneath a sessile oak (*Quercus petraea*) canopy, *J. Ecol.*, 54, 87–98, 1966.

- Conte, P., De Pasquale, C., Novotny, E. H., Caponetto, G., Laudicina, V. A., Ciofalo, M., Panno, M., Palazzolo, E., Badalucco, L., and Alonzo, G.: CPMAS  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR characterization of leaves and litters from the reafforested area of Mustigarufi in Sicily (Italy), *Open Magnetic Resonance Journal*, 3, 89–95, 2010.
- Coulson, C., Davies, R., and Lewis, D.: Polyphenols in plant, humus, and soil. 1. Polyphenols of leaves, litter, and superficial humus from mull and mor sites, *J. Soil Sci.*, 11, 20–29, 1960.
- Currie, W. S., Aber, J. D., McDowell, W. H., Boone, R. D., and Magill, A. H.: Vertical transport of dissolved organic C and N under long-term N amendments in pine and hardwood forests, *Biogeochemistry*, 35, 471–505, 1996.
- Dübeler, A., Voltmer, G., Gora, V., Lunderstädt, J., and Zeeck, A.: Phenols from *Fagus sylvatica* and their role in defence against *Cryptococcus fagisuga*, *Phytochemistry*, 45, 51–57, 1997.
- Finzi, A. C., Canham, C. D., and Van Breemen, N.: Canopy tree–soil interactions within temperate forests: species effects on pH and cations, *Ecol. Appl.*, 8, 447–454, 1998.
- Fischer, M., Bossdorf, O., Gockel, S., Hänsel, F., Hemp, A., Hessenmöller, D., Korte, G., Nieschulze, J., Pfeiffer, S., Prati, D., Renner, S., Schöning, I., Schumacher, U., Wells, K., Buscot, F., Kalko, E., Linsenmair, K., Schulze, E., and Weisser, W.: Implementing large-scale and long-term functional biodiversity research: the biodiversity exploratories, *Basic Appl. Ecol.*, 11, 473–485, 2010.
- Fontaine, S., Bardoux, G., Abbadie, L., and Mariotti, A.: Carbon input to soil may decrease soil carbon content, *Ecol. Lett.*, 7, 314–320, 2004.
- Fröberg, M., Berggren, D., Bergkvist, B., Bryant, C., and Knicker, H.: Contributions of Oi, Oe and Oa horizons to dissolved organic matter in forest floor leachates, *Geoderma*, 113, 311–322, 2003.
- Fröberg, M., Berggren, D., Bergkvist, B., Bryant, C., and Mulder, J.: Concentration and fluxes of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in three Norway spruce stands along a climatic gradient in Sweden, *Biogeochemistry*, 77, 1–23, 2006.
- Gersper, P. L. and Holowaychuk, N.: Some effects of stem flow from forest canopy trees on chemical properties of soils, *Ecology*, 52, 691–702, 1971.
- Greenway, A., Griffiths, D., Furk, C., and Prior, R.: Composition of triglycerides from aphids of six different families and from different seasonal forms of *Aphis evonymi*, *J. Insect Physiol.*, 20, 2423–2431, 1974.
- Guggenberger G. and Zech, W.: Composition and dynamics of dissolved carbohydrates and lignin-degradation products in two coniferous forests, NE Bavaria, Germany, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 26, 19–27, 1994.

- Guggenberger, G., Zech, W., and Schulten, H.-R.: Formation and mobilization pathways of dissolved organic matter: evidence from chemical structural studies of organic matter fractions in acid forest floor solutions, *Org. Geochem.*, 21, 51–66, 1994.
- Hane, E. N., Hamburg, S. P., Barber, A. L., and Plaut, J. A.: Phytotoxicity of American beech leaf leachate to sugar maple seedlings in a greenhouse experiment, *Can. J. Forest Res.*, 33, 814–821, 2003.
- Hatcher, P. G., Schnitzer, M., Dennis, L. W., and Maciel, G. E.: Aromaticity of humic substances in soils, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 45, 1089–1094, 1981.
- Helfrich, M., Ludwig, B., Buurman, P., and Flessa, H.: Effect of land use on the composition of soil organic matter in density and aggregate fractions as revealed by solid-state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy, *Geoderma*, 136, 331–341, 2006.
- IUSS Working group WRB: Guidelines for Soil Description, 4th edn., Food and Agricultural Organisation of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 2006.
- Keeler, C., Kelly, E. F., and Maciel, G. E.: Chemical-structural information from solid-state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR studies of a suite of humic materials from a lower montane forest soil, Colorado, USA, *Geoderma*, 130, 124–140, 2006.
- Kindler, R., Siemens, J., Kaiser, K., Walmsley, D., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Cellier, P., Eugster, W., Gleixner, G., Grünwald, T., Heim, A., Ibrom, A., Jones, S., Jones, M., Klumpp, K., Kutsch, W., Larsen, K., Lehuger, S., Loubet, B., McKenzie, R., Moors, E., Osborne, B., Pilegaard, K., Rebmann, C., Saunders, M., Schmidt, M., Schrumpf, M., Seyfferth, J., Skiba, U., Soussana, J.-F., Sutton, M., Tefs, C., Vowinkel, B., Zeeman, M., and Kaupenjohann, M.: Dissolved carbon leaching from soil is a crucial component of the net ecosystem carbon balance, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 17, 1167–1185, 2011.
- Knicker, H. and Kögel-Knabner, I.: Soil organic nitrogen formation examined by means of NMR spectroscopy, in: *Nitrogen-Containing Macromolecules in the Bio- and Geosphere*, edited by: Stankiewicz, B., and van Bergen, P., ACS Symposium Series, American Chemical Society, Washington DC, 339–356, 1998.
- Knicker, H., González-Vila, F. J., Polvillo, O., González, J. A., and Almendros, G.: Fire-induced transformation of C- and N-forms in different organic soil fractions from a dystric cambisol under a Mediterranean pine forest *Pinus pinaster*, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 37, 701–718, 2005.
- Lamersdorf, N. and Blank, K.: Evaluation of fine material input with throughfall for a spruce forest in Solling, FRG, by means of a roof construction, in: *Ecosystem Manipulation Experiments: Scientific Approaches, Experimental Design and Relevant Results*, edited by Jenkins, A., Ferrier, R., and



- Kirby, C., no. 23 in Ecosystem Research Report, Commission of the European Communities, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Brussels, 168–170, 1995.
- Lankes, U., Lüdemann, H.-D., and Frimmel, F. H.: Search for basic relationships between “molecular size” and “chemical structure” of aquatic natural organic matter – answers from  $^{13}\text{C}$  and  $^{15}\text{N}$  CPMAS NMR spectroscopy, *Water Res.*, 42, 1051–1060, 2008.
- le Mellec, A. and Michalzik, B.: Impact of a pine lappet (*Dendrolimus pini*) mass outbreak on C and N fluxes to the forest floor and soil microbial properties in a Scots pine forest in Germany, *Can. J. Forest Res.*, 38, 1829–1841, 2008.
- le Mellec, A., Habermann, M., and Michalzik, B.: Canopy herbivory altering C to N ratios and soil input patterns of different organic matter fractions in a Scots pine forest, *Plant Soil*, 325, 255–262, 2009.
- le Mellec, A., Meesenburg, H., and Michalzik, B.: The importance of canopy-derived dissolved and particulate organic matter (DOM and POM) – comparing throughfall solution from broadleaved and coniferous forests, *Ann. For. Sci.*, 67, p. 411, 2010.
- Leinweber, P., Schulten, H.-R., and Körschens, M.: Hot water extracted organic matter: chemical composition and temporal variations in a long-term field experiment, *Biol. Fert. Soils*, 20, 17–23, 1995.
- Lemma, B., Nilsson, I., Kleja, D. B., Olsson, M., and Knicker, H.: Decomposition and substrate quality of leaf litters and fine roots from three exotic plantations and a native forest in the southwestern highlands of Ethiopia, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 39, 2317–2328, 2007.
- Levia, D. F. and Frost, E. E.: Variability of throughfall volume and solute inputs in wooded ecosystems, *Prog. Phys. Geog.*, 30, 605–632, 2006.
- Levia, D. F., Van Stan, J. T., Siegert, C., M., Inamdar, S. P., Mitchell, M. J., Mage, S. .M, and McHale, P. J.: Atmospheric deposition and corresponding variability of stemflow chemistry across temporal scales in a mid-Atlantic broadleaved deciduous forest, *Atmos. Environ.*, 45, 3046–3054, 2011.
- Levia, D. F., Van Stan, II, J. T., Inamdar, S. P., Jarvis, M. T., Mitchell, M. J., Mage, S. M., Scheick, C. E., and McHale, P. J.: Stemflow and dissolved organic carbon cycling: temporal variability in concentration, flux, and UV-Vis spectral metrics in a temperate broadleaved deciduous forest in the eastern United States, *Can. J. Forest Res.*, 42, 207–216, 2012.
- Levia, D. F., Michalzik, B., Bischoff, S., Nätke, K., Legates, D. R., Gruselle, M.-C., and Richter, S.: Measurement and modeling of diameter distributions of particulate matter in terrestrial solutions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 1317–1321, 2013.

- Likens, G. E., Edgerton, E. S., and Galloway, J. N.: The composition and deposition of organic carbon in precipitation, *Tellus B*, 35, 16–24, 1983.
- Lindeberg, G.: Stimulation of some litter-decomposing basidiomycetes by shikimic acid, *Physiol. Plantarum*, 65, 9–14, 1985.
- Lundberg, P., Ekblad, A., and Nilsson, M.:  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy studies of forest soil microbial activity: glucose uptake and fatty acid biosynthesis, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 33, 621–632, 2001.
- Mämmelä, P.: Phenolics in selected European hardwood species by liquid chromatography–electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry, *Analyst*, 126, 1535–1538, 2001.
- Marschner, B. and Kalbitz, K.: Controls of bioavailability and biodegradability of dissolved organic matter in soils, *Geoderma*, 113, 211–235, 2003.
- McKnight, D. M., Harnish, R., Wershaw, R. L., Baron, J. S., and Schiff, S.: Chemical characteristics of particulate, colloidal, and dissolved organic material in Loch Vale Watershed, Rocky Mountain National Park, *Biogeochemistry*, 36, 99–124, 1997.
- Mercier, J. and Lindow, S.: Role of leaf surface sugars in colonization of plants by bacterial epiphytes, *Appl. Environ. Microb.*, 66, 369–374, 2000.
- Michalzik, B., Dorsch, T., and Matzner, E.: Stability of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) and mineral nitrogen in bulk precipitation and throughfall, *Z. Pflanz. Bodenkunde*, 160, 433–434, 1997.
- Michalzik, B. and Matzner, E.: Dynamics of dissolved organic nitrogen and carbon in a Central European Norway spruce ecosystem, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 50, 579–590, 1999.
- Michalzik, B. and Stadler, B.: Importance of canopy herbivores to dissolved and particulate organic matter fluxes to the forest floor, *Geoderma*, 127, 227–236, 2005.
- Muscolo, A., Panuccio, M., and Sidari, M.: The effect of phenols on respiratory enzymes in seed germination, *Plant Growth Regul.*, 35, 31–35, 2001.
- Nicolai, V.: The bark of trees: thermal properties, microclimate and fauna, *Oecologia*, 69, 148–160, 1986.
- Pérez-Harguindeguy, N., Díaz, S., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Vendramini, F., Cabido, M., and Castellanos, A.: Chemistry and toughness predict leaf litter decomposition rates over a wide spectrum of functional types and taxa in central Argentina, *Plant Soil*, 218, 21–30, 2000.
- Petrakis, P. V., Spanos, K., Feest, A., and Daskalidou, E.: Phenols in leaves and bark of *Fagus sylvatica* as determinants of insect occurrences, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 12, 2769–2782, 2011.
- Qualls, R. G. and Haines, B. L.: Geochemistry of dissolved organic nutrients in water percolating through a forest ecosystem, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 55, 1112–1123, 1991.

- Qualls, R. G., Haines, B. L., and Swank, W. T.: Fluxes of dissolved organic nutrients and humic substances in a deciduous forest, *Ecology*, 72, 254–266, 1991.
- Rice, E. L.: *Allelopathy*, Academic Press, New York, 1984.
- Rodríguez-Murillo, J. C., Almendros, G., and Knicker, H.: Wetland soil organic matter composition in a Mediterranean semiarid wetland (Las Tablas de Daimiel, Central Spain): insight into different carbon sequestration pathways, *Org. Geochem.*, 42, 762–773, 2011.
- Rohn, S., Rawel, H. M., and Kroll, J.: Inhibitory effects of plant phenols on the activity of selected enzymes, *J. Agr. Food Chem.*, 50, 3566–3571, 2002.
- Rothe, A., Huber, C., Kreuzer, K., and Weis, W.: Deposition and soil leaching in stands of Norway spruce and European beech: results from the Höglwald research in comparison with other European case studies, *Plant Soil*, 240, 33–45, 2002.
- Sanderman, J., Baldock, J. A., and Amundson, R.: Dissolved organic carbon chemistry and dynamics in contrasting forest and grassland soils, *Biogeochemistry*, 89, 181–198, 2008.
- Schnitzer, M. and Khan, S. U.: *Humic Substances in the Environment*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
- Schumacher, M., Christl, I., Vogt, R. D., Barmettler, K., Jacobsen, C., and Kretzschmar, R.: Chemical composition of aquatic dissolved organic matter in five boreal forest catchments sampled in spring and fall seasons, *Biogeochemistry*, 80, 263–275, 2006.
- Schwarz, M. T., Bischoff, S., Blaser, S., Boch, S., Schmitt, B., Thieme, L., Fischer, M., Michalzik, B., Schulze, E. D., Siemens, J., Wilcke, W.: More efficient aboveground nitrogen use in more diverse Central European forest canopies, *Forest Ecol. Manag.*, 313, 274–282, 2014.
- Sollins, P., Grier, C., McCorison, F., Cromack Jr., K., Fogel, R., and Fredriksen, R.: The internal element cycles of an old-growth Douglas-fir ecosystem in western Oregon, *Ecol. Monogr.*, 50, 261–285, 1980.
- Stadler, B. and Müller, T.: Effects of aphids and moth caterpillars on epiphytic microorganisms in canopies of forest trees, *Can. J. Forest Res.*, 30, 631–638, 2000.
- Stadler, B., Solinger, S., and Michalzik, B.: Insect herbivores and the nutrient flow from the canopy to the soil in coniferous and deciduous forests, *Oecologia*, 126, 104–113, 2001.
- Stadler, B., Müller, T., and Orwig, D.: The ecology of energy and nutrient fluxes in hemlock forests invaded by hemlock woolly adelgid, *Ecology*, 87, 1792–1804, 2006.
- Stanley-Samuelson, D. W., Jurenka, R. A., Cripps, C., Blomquist, G. J., and de Renobales, M.: Fatty acids in insects: composition, metabolism, and biological significance, *Arch. Insect Biochem.*, 9, 1–33, 1988.

- Van Stan, J. T., Levia, D. F., Inamdar, S. P., Lepori-Bui, M., and Mitchell, M.: The effects of phenoseason and storm characteristics on throughfall solute washoff and leaching dynamics from a temperate deciduous forest canopy, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 430, 48–58, 2012.
- Wardle, D. A., Nilsson, M.-C., Gallet, C., and Zackrisson, O.: An ecosystem-level perspective of allelopathy, *Biol. Rev.*, 73, 305–319, 1998.
- Weissen, F. and Van Praag, H. J.: Root growth inhibition effects of holorganic moder humus layer under spruce (*Picea abies* Karst.) and beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.), *Plant Soil*, 135, 167–174, 1991.
- Wyse, S. V. and Burns, B. R.: Do host bark traits influence trunk epiphyte communities?, *New Zeal. J. Ecol.*, 35, 296–301, 2011.

**Table 1.** Environmental properties of the study regions (mainly given by Fischer et al., 2010; soil classification according to IUSS Working group WRB, 2006).

	Schorfheide-Chorin (SCH)	Hainich-Dün (HAI)	Schwäbische Alb (ALB)
Location	Northeast Germany (53° 2' N, 13° 51' E)	Central Germany (51° 10' N, 10° 23' E)	Southwest Germany (38° 24' N, 9° 24' E)
Altitude above sea level	3–140 m	285–550 m	460–860 m
Mean annual temperature	8–8.5 °C	6.5–8 °C	6–7 °C
Mean annual precipitation	500–600 mm	500–800 mm	700–1000 mm
Geological substrate	Quarzitic glacial till	Triassic limestone with loess cover	Jurassic limestone
Main soil types	Cambisols Albeluvisols	Luvisols Stagnosols	Leptosols Cambisols
Main tree species	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L. <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L. <i>Quercus</i> spp.	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L. <i>Picea abies</i> (L.) H. Karst. <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L. <i>Picea abies</i> (L.) H. Karst.

**Table 2.** Stand structural properties and management type of the study plots (dbh = tree diameter at breast height; data from Schwarz et al., 2014).

Region	Plot ID	Main tree species	Management type	Stand density (n ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean dbh (cm)	Basal area (m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Schwäbische Alb	AEW7	Beech	extensively managed	200	85.2	33.3
	AEW8	Beech	extensively managed	276	56.9	40.0
	AEW9	Beech	extensively managed	376	42.5	31.7
Hainich-Dün	HEW1	Spruce	age-class forest	276	49.4	43.0
	HEW2	Spruce	age-class forest	660	35.9	41.3
	HEW3	Spruce	age-class forest	651	32.2	42.7
	HEW10	Beech	extensively managed	377	50.3	34.3
	HEW11	Beech	extensively managed	568	51.1	36.7
	HEW12	Beech	extensively managed	326	54.9	36.5
Schorfheide-Chorin	SEW7	Beech	extensively managed	155	61.2	36.9
	SEW8	Beech	extensively managed	154	68.0	38.3
	SEW9	Beech	extensively managed	259	52.5	43.6


**Table 3.** Relative signal intensity (in %) of chemical shift regions revealed by solid-state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy (filtered (fil.) and unfiltered (unf.) samples of throughfall (TF), stemflow (SF) and forest floor (FF) leachates; n.a., not analyzed; ALB, Schwäbische Alb; HAI, Hainich-Dün; SCH, Schorfheide-Chorin).

	Integrated region max.	min.	Chemical shift region		TF unf.	TF fil.	SF unf.	SF fil.	FF unf.	FF fil.
HAI spruce	220	185	Carboxyl C	Carbonyl C	1.4	2.8	2.5	2.4	3.1	2.6
	185	160		Carboxyl C	9.2	9.7	10.2	10.2	12.8	12.7
	160	140	Aryl C	Phenolic C	4.9	5.7	5.6	5.5	6.0	5.8
	140	110		Aromatic C	10.3	11.5	11.5	11.1	12.8	12.2
	110	90	O-alkyl C	Di-O-alkyl C	9.0	8.6	9.7	9.6	8.7	8.2
	90	60		Carbohydrate C	29.3	27.4	29.9	30.4	25.3	24.8
	60	45		Methoxyl C	10.0	9.7	9.7	9.7	10.1	9.8
45	-10	Alkyl C	Aliphatic C	25.8	24.7	20.9	21.1	21.3	23.9	
HAI beech	220	185	Carboxyl C	Carbonyl C	3.9	1.5	2.4	2.1	2.4	4.4
	185	160		Carboxyl C	10.2	8.8	11.3	11.0	13.3	13.7
	160	140	Aryl C	Phenolic C	5.1	9.0	5.3	4.9	7.1	6.9
	140	110		Aromatic C	9.7	17.1	9.9	9.1	13.7	12.9
	110	90	O-alkyl C	Di-O-alkyl C	7.4	11.7	9.7	9.3	9.3	8.2
	90	60		Carbohydrate C	28.3	24.5	30.8	31.3	24.2	22.6
	60	45		Methoxyl C	8.5	8.6	9.5	9.8	10.4	9.9
45	-10	Alkyl C	Aliphatic C	26.8	18.8	21.1	22.5	19.5	21.4	
SCH beech	220	185	Carboxyl C	Carbonyl C	1.4	3.6	0.6	0.8	1.6	6.7
	185	160		Carboxyl C	9.3	10.2	9.5	10.7	9.6	11.9
	160	140	Aryl C	Phenolic C	7.0	10.2	3.9	5.3	6.5	6.2
	140	110		Aromatic C	13.3	19.0	8.2	9.7	13.4	12.0
	110	90	O-alkyl C	Di-O-alkyl C	10.2	11.9	8.2	8.8	9.9	8.1
	90	60		Carbohydrate C	27.0	23.5	30.6	29.4	27.5	24.0
	60	45		Methoxyl C	9.3	7.3	10.2	10.4	9.7	9.1
45	-10	Alkyl C	Aliphatic C	22.5	14.3	28.8	24.9	21.7	22.0	
ALB beech	220	185	Carboxyl C	Carbonyl C	1.9	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2.2	2.7
	185	160		Carboxyl C	10.1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	12.2	13.2
	160	140	Aryl C	Phenolic C	6.9	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	6.1	6.3
	140	110		Aromatic C	12.7	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	12.6	13.6
	110	90	O-alkyl C	Di-O-alkyl C	10.3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	8.9	9.4
	90	60		Carbohydrate C	28.6	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	25.7	25.8
	60	45		Methoxyl C	8.7	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	10.4	9.7
45	-10	Alkyl C	Aliphatic C	20.9	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	21.9	19.3	

**Table 4.** Alkyl C/O-alkyl C (A/O-A) ratios and aromaticity (in %) calculated from chemical shift regions revealed by solid-state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy of filtered (fil.) and unfiltered (unf.) samples of throughfall (TF), stemflow (SF) and forest floor (FF) leachates; n.a., not analyzed; ALB, Schwäbische Alb; HAI, Hainich-Dün; SCH, Schorfheide-Chorin.

	Sample	A/O-A ratio	Aromaticity [%]
HAI spruce	TF unf.	0.53	17.0
	TF fil.	0.54	19.6
	SF unf.	0.42	19.7
	SF fil.	0.42	19.0
	FF unf.	0.48	22.4
	FF fil.	0.56	21.2
HAI beech	TF unf.	0.61	17.3
	TF fil.	0.42	29.1
	SF unf.	0.42	17.7
	SF fil.	0.45	16.1
	FF unf.	0.44	24.7
	FF fil.	0.53	24.2
SCH beech	TF unf.	0.49	22.7
	TF fil.	0.33	33.9
	SF unf.	0.59	13.5
	SF fil.	0.51	16.9
	FF unf.	0.46	22.5
	FF fil.	0.53	22.4
ALB beech	TF unf.	0.44	22.3
	TF fil.	n.a.	n.a.
	SF unf.	n.a.	n.a.
	SF fil.	n.a.	n.a.
	FF unf.	0.49	21.8
	FF fil.	0.43	23.7





**Figure 1.** Solid-state CPMAS  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra obtained from filtered (fil.) and unfiltered (unf.) forest ecosystem water samples as well as calculated difference spectra (diff.) of both fractions; TF = throughfall, SF = stemflow, FF = forest floor leachate, ALB = Schwäbische Alb, HAI = Hainich-Dün, SCH = Schorfheide-Chorin; red lines indicate zero level within difference spectra.