1st Reply to interactive comment on "Organic matter quality of deep permafrost carbon – a study from Arctic Siberia"

(S. Pokrovsky (Referee)}

This work presents the results of comprehensive, state-of-the-art research on organic matter chemical composition in two contrasting but dominant sites of permafrost development in eastern Siberia: thermokarst and yedoma. The topic is of high interest and will certainly be useful for a large community of permafrost scientists.

Thank you for this evaluation!

Few technical comments should be addressed to make the text clearer.

The last sentence of the Abstract is somewhat contradictory to the statement of 10 lines above that OM vulnerability and quality are independent on their age. If so, why recent input should yield a better quality of OM?

Thank you for this suggestion, we deleted part "independent from radiocarbon age".

p.15948: The notations 83 +61/ -57 and similar are unclear.

Changed to ± including 1 mean uncertainty estimation.

Section 2.3.2, L25. The C/N ratio interpretation implies a similar source signal. How efficient is such an approach for paleo-reconstruction if the sources of OM (say, terrestrial versus aquatic or different plant species) changed over past periods?

Of course, a change of OM sources would have an influence on the C/N values. Thus, our generalization is not suitable for a detailed paleo-reconstruction. But based on our multi-proxy study including e.g. ACL, a dramatic change is unlikely. To avoid any misunderstandings we deleted the sentence "This assumption implies a similar source signal".

Section 2.3.6, Acetate: Justify the choice of 1 mg/L as threshold value. This is especially important given that the median value of the Yedoma sample falls exactly on this threshold.

This threshold value was defined basing on our measurement experience. Since acetate data can be extremely variable between different habitats, we decided to remove this threshold from the manuscript and use acetate concentrations as a parameter to assess the quality of the organic matter in the different deposits with respect to future microbial degradation. We changed the respective sentence in section 2.3.6. ("*We use the acetate pore water concentrations in the different deposits as a parameter to assess the quality of the organic matter and to compare the potential of the different deposits for future microbial degradation.*")

p.15963, L 9: It is hard to accept "quite stable" the value "between 0.1 and 4.9" – this is a factor of 50 variation…

Thank you for this suggestion. We changed the sentence to "*…the hop-17(21)-ene concentration at Buo-05 varies between…*"

There is a lack of clear quality difference between yedoma and thermokarst in the Abstract. The higher the acetate, the better the quality of OM. Mean 6.7 mg/L (Yedoma) and 23.5 mg/L (thermokarst) are distinctly different. The difference between median values is also perfectly visible. Some inconsistency is seen here. Note that the medians and means C/N also indicate at a lower degradation state better organic matter quality in thermokarst deposits (p. 15966, L19).

Thank you for this comment. In the manuscript, we changed the imprecise use of the words 'significant' and 'clear'. To evaluate a quality difference, we now introduced statistical significance testing (section 2.4.1) using the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test (because of the non-normal distributed parameters in our database) for comparing the two groups, Yedoma and thermokarst and the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test for comparing all 5 profiles.

p. 15966, L8-10: The authors state that thermokarst basins can act as a local sink for the carbon released from thawing permafrost.

This is not a statement by us, but one of the cited reference (van Huissteden and Dolman, 2012, doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2012.09.008). Moreover, we cited another reference of this statement below: "*Walter Anthony et al. (2014) found a net accumulation in thermokarst basins since the last deglaciation*"

This is highly questionable statement given strong aerobic heterotrophic respiration of thermokarst lakes (Shirokova et al.,2013 Biogeochemistry). Methane production here is only a fraction of total CO_2 evasion to the atmosphere from the sediments (frozen peat), mediated by the thermokarst waters.

We agree with the reviewer, therefore we already included the following sentence to the manuscript: "*Nevertheless, at the same time thermokarst lakes also promote intense organic matter degradation including methane production in the anaerobic environments of organic-rich lake sediments and unfrozen deposits (Walter et al., 2007b)*". We added Shirokova et al. 2013 as a citation to this statement.

p. 15967, L15-17: This is contradictory to L20-24 of the Abstract and allows one to think that "blind" PCA analysis is misleading.

We do not see any contradiction to L20-24 of the abstract ("*Supported by principal component analyses, the sediment parameters and quality proxies of Yedoma and thermokarst deposits could not be clearly separated from each other. This lack of clear quality differences revealed that the organic matter vulnerability is heterogeneous, independent from radiocarbon age and depends on different decomposition trajectories and the previous decomposition and preservation history*") and p. 15967, L15-17 ("*Therefore, the $\delta^{13}C$ would indicate somewhat lower organic matter degradation for the thermokarst samples, implying a better quality than that found in Yedoma samples.*"). We visualized in Figure 7 and the PCA that no unambiguous separation quality is possible.

Instead, one by one parameter analysis is capable to assess the true difference between two types of deposits.

Thank you for this comment. We clarified this fact in the manuscript, as there are differences between the two types of deposits, but these differences are not leading in the same direction.

To clarify the presence of significant differences, we added statistical significance testing (section 2.4.1) to evaluate a quality difference. Thus, we the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test for comparing the two groups Yedoma and thermokarst (because non-normal distributed parameters) and the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test for comparing all 5 profiles.

p.15968, L 23-25: The reader is left with a conclusion that the differences are not significant, yet the thermokarst organic matter is of better quality.

We changed this paragraph to: "*Summing up Fig. 7, thermokarst organic matter is partly less degraded compared to the organic matter sequestered in Yedoma deposits (see table S1, significance for C/N, $\delta^{13}C$, and the HPFA index). The CPI points in the other direction (Fig. 7 and table S1). For hop-17(21)-ene, we do not see significant differences. Nevertheless, the interquartile ranges show an overlap for most proxies".*

Moreover, we introduces following paragraph: "*We interpret this as following: Compared to unaltered Yedoma deposits, degradation during thermokarst processes, but also heightened amounts of OC input during climatically more favorable Holocene times, are balancing each other concerning the organic matter quality for future degradation. Nevertheless, as there is more carbon stored in the thermokarst basins (Strauss et al. 2013), thermokarst deposits imply a higher intrinsic potential to contribute greenhouse gases in a warmer future. This is supported by the acetate data indicating a higher mean content for the thermokarst deposits. Acetate is an excellent substrate for microbial turnover e.g. acetoclastic methanogenesis (Kotsyurbenko et al., 2004).*"

It makes sense to compare the measured parameters of two sites with those of other permafrost deposits in Siberia or Northern America to illustrate how variable the organic matter quality of the permafrost regions. The reader may be puzzled: what if all permafrost carbon fall in the range of parameters reported in this study

This study is designed as a detailed case study of the permafrost carbon quality basing on our state-of-the-art biomarker approach. Further works on samples from other Siberian and Alaskan areas are planned, but no more data is available so far.

p.15970, L 8: Why the units are mg/L? per L of interstitial solution? May be the units are mg/cm3 or mg/g soil?

We separated the pore water from the sediment by centrifugation. The pore water was measured with an ion chromatograph and the data are provided in mg acetate per Liter pore water.

p.15971, L 7-12: Organo-mineral bonds as protecting mechanism of organic matter. It makes sense here to distinguish suspended (> 0.45 µm), dissolved (< 0.45 µm), colloidal (0.45 µm – 1 KDa) and "truly dissolved" or low molecular weight (< 1 kDa) OM. See for instance size fractionation scheme in thermokarst lake waters (Pokrovsky et al., 2011, Biogeosciences). Large-size, organo-mineral colloids may be poorly bioavailable, yet being dissolved in the water column.

Thank you for this suggestion. Of course, a more detailed separation would be useful. To be as precise as possible, we changed the sentences to "*When it becomes available and is exported as dissolved OC to e.g. river systems, Vonk et al. (2013) and Mann et al. (2014) found that dissolved OC (<0.45 µm) in ancient Yedoma is exceptionally biolabile. But if it is not dissolved, the suspended (>0.45 µm) eroded ancient organic matter could be protected from extensive degradation by organo-mineral bonds, which stabilize the organic matter (Höfle et al., 2013) and, in an aquatic environment, promote rapid settling because they weigh down the organic matter (Vonk et al., 2010).*" As we are citing other studies, a further separation in colloidal (0.45 µm – 1 KDa) and "truly dissolved" or low molecular weight (< 1 kDa) OM is not possible.

p. 15971, L 17-19: Thermokarst processes are not so local. A million of km^2 of non-Yedoma region in western Siberia is subjected to thermokarst lake formation.

Yes, we agree with the reviewer that thermokarst is not a local phenomenon. The statement on p. 15971, L 17-19 was included to highlight that the processes happen on the local scale, but if summed up they are "*widespread on the regional scale*". Thus we clarified the sentence. *"Thermokarst processes, despite being local in nature, are widespread on the regional scale (Grosse et al., 2011a) and may constitute the crucial process making the deep OC studied here microbiologically available.*"

I also noted some references without capitals in geographical names; please correct

Changed accordingly.

Figure 3 and Figure 4 are totally unreadable in pdf format. Separate each of them in several sub-figures, otherwise the main results of this work will be lost.

Thank you for this suggestion; we changed figures 3 and 4 to improve their readability. The diagrams for radiocarbon age, grain size, Oleanen ratio and acetate are now included in the supplement (Fig. S5 and S6).

2nd Reply to interactive comment on "Organic matter quality of deep permafrost carbon – a study from Arctic Siberia"

Anonymous Referee #1

Fate of permafrost soil organic matter and its response to warming process have attracted increased attentions from the community of geosciences. This paper is focused on the difference in the property of soil organic matter in Yedoma and thermokarst region, to illustrate the impact of Holocene degradation on the soil organic matter composition and properties. They have done extensive characterizations for two deposits and found there is no significant difference between Yedoma and thermokarst deposits. Such result is interesting, while partial explanation needs clarification.

Thank you for this evaluation. Unfortunately, using the term "significant" was misleading here. Thus, we included statistical significance testing. Except for the hopene, there are significant differences in the Yedoma and thermokarst data, but the parameters are pointing in different directions.

The extensive characterizations for two deposits request a better integration and overall discussion. In the abstract, the response of Yedoma permafrost soil to global warming is discussed, but the implication of soil characteristics on the response of permafrost soil to warming process is unclear. This reviewer suggests focusing the discussion on the fate of soil organic matter during the Holocene degradation.

Thank you for this suggestion. We think that the Holocene degradation is already a topic of the discussion. With the study and sampling design it is not possible to identify distinct degradation processes, but the state of degradation as a hint for potential future degradation. Thus, we would like to keep our focus on this comparison of degradation state of Pleistocene vs. Holocene deposits.

The authors need to generate clearer conclusive statement.

Thank you for this suggestion, we clarified and shortened the conclusive statement.

For instance, the results probably can lead to conclusion about whether there is geochemical preference for organic matter degradation during the thermokarst processes.

Unfortunately, our study design does not allow an identification of a detailed preference. This could be identified by incubation experiments, measuring Yedoma biomarkers before and after a long-term incubation. This was not realized in the present study. Nevertheless, the newly introduced HPFA index is based on a geochemical preference of microorganisms to degrade *n*-fatty acids faster than *n*-alkanes. This is described in the method section.

P15946 L16 What is a better quality? Please give a brief definition.

Thank you for this comment. For carbon quality, we added a definition to the abstract: "*To give an idea of how Yedoma region permafrost could respond under future climatic warming, we conducted a study to quantify the organic matter quality (here defined as the intrinsic potential to be further transformed, decomposed, and mineralized) of late Pleistocene (Yedoma) and Holocene (thermokarst) deposits on the Buor Khaya Peninsula, northeast Siberia.*" With this definition, 'better quality' is meant as a 'better future decomposability' of one deposit compared to the other one. To underline this, we added "*Relatively*" to the sentence addressed by the reviewer and added "*for further decomposition*" after 'quality' statements.

P15946 L26-28 Can authors be more specific? What kind of degradation steps? How did data support such degradation reactions?

As stated above, our study design is not designed to identify the degradation preference of specific compounds in detail. Thus, it is not possible to name the specific degradation steps and states. This sentence ("…*it was possible to show that permafrost organic matter degradation likely occurs via a combination of (uncompleted) degradation cycles or a cascade of degradation steps*") is included to emphasize that potential future degradability is not "*a linear function of age or sediment facies*", as expected in unfrozen soils.

P15947 L16 What does that mean by "recent atmosphere"?

Recent atmosphere is the atmosphere in its today's composition. Changed to "*modern*".

P15948 L3-5 It seems there is large uncertainty in estimation for Yedoma OC amount. How about the uncertainty compared to OC in other regions? Can the current study contribute to the reduction in such uncertainty?

Thank you for this comment. Yes, it is quite a large uncertainty range, but this study could not contribute to an OC uncertainty reduction. But a work basing on Strauss et al. (2013), Hugelius et al. (2014) further improved the calculation approach and thus we were able to reduce the uncertainty ranges to 83±12 Gt for late Pleistocene Yedoma deposits and 130±29 Gt for thermokarst deposits. These uncertainties are now included in the manuscript.

P15958 L5 Any reference to support this statement?

This threshold value was defined basing on our measurement experience. Since data can be extremely variable between different habitats, we decided to remove this threshold from the manuscript and use acetate concentrations as a parameter to assess the quality of the organic matter in the different deposits with respect to future microbial degradation. We changed the respective sentence in section 2.3.6.

P15959 L11-15 Is there relationship between the particle size and availability/degradation of organic matter?

We did not separate the grain size fractions by e.g. density fractionation or by sieving. Thus, we have no data on particle-size specific availability/degradation of organic matter. The particle size was included to this study to distinguish the transportation and depositional regimes of the deposits.

P15960 L8 Any explanation for odd preference?

Long chain *n*-alkanes with a strong odd-over even carbon number predominance are a typical signal for terrestrial organic matter, whereas the *n*-alkanes derive from leaf material of land plants (cuticular waxes). Fatty acids in the waxes are synthesized via acetyl-coenzyme A which is a C_2 unit. Thus, fatty acids show an even over odd carbon number predominance. The n-alkanes derive from fatty acids via

decarboxylation (minus the one carbon in CO_2) and are, therefore, predominantly odd (Eglinton and Hamilton, 1963, 1967).

P15961 L1 Probably to make this statement more accurately. What is the standard for higher hop-17(21)-ene concentration

In the method section we described the standard for the hopene: "Other triterpenoids like […] hopene were quantified using the m/z 191 mass trace relative to the peak area of the β,β-diploptene (in the m/z 191 mass trace), the concentration of which was calculated in the total ion current chromatogram relative to the internal standard (5α-androstane)." Moreover, there is no empirical standard for a higher (or lower) concentration. Our interpretation is meant in a relative manner by comparing the measured data. To clarify the sentence, we change the sentence to "*Relatively higher hop-17(21)-ene concentrations are used as an indicator for lower organic matter degradation state*".

P15966 L18-20 If taking the standard deviation into consideration, does this mean anything significantly? The authors may want to do statistical analysis (t-test).

Thank you for this suggestion. By implementing statistical significance testing (section 2.4.1 and 3.3.1), we adapted the manuscript accordingly. Because the majority of the data is not normally distributed, we preferred a using the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test (also known as U-test) instead of the suggested t-test for comparing the two groups Yedoma and thermokarst. The Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test is applied for comparing all 5 profiles. This shows, that higher hop-17(21)-ene conentrations form Yedoma and thermokarst show no significant differences. We added "*For hop-17(21)-ene, we do not see significant differences.*" to the manuscript.

P15967 L15 What does the "relative state of degradation" mean?

Thank you for this suggestion. As you mentioned before, classifying the C quality in good and better could be misinterpreted in an absolute way. This study compares the C quality/degradability of 2 kinds of deposits and the $\delta^{13}C$ allows a comparison of the 2 deposits. This is meant with relative state of degradation.

P15966 L20-22 What is the variance in N content of these soils? If N does not change substantially, this relationship will be self-correlation between TOC and C.

The following table shows the N values including the variance (std and range). The TN values change substantially. TOC and the C in the C/N ratio are identical.

	TN
mean Yedoma	0.22
median Yedoma	0.16
std	0.16
min Yedoma	0.10
max Yedoma	0.87
mean thermokarst	0.31
median thermokarst	0.18
std	0.36
min thermokarst	0.11
max thermokarst	1.54

P15970 L8-12 This is interesting. Why does freezing protect the further decomposition of acetate compared to the degradation of soil organic matter to acetate?

Freezing is protecting both, acetate and organic matter. But acetate is decomposed on a different time scales compared to other OM.

P15985-15986 These two figures are probably too busy. It may be helpful to select representative parameters to present and leave the rest in the supporting information.

Changed accordingly. The diagrams for radiocarbon age, grain size, Oleanen ratio and acetate are now included in the supplement (Fig. S5 and S6).

3rd Reply to interactive comment on "Organic matter quality of deep permafrost carbon – a study from Arctic Siberia"

Anonymous Referee #3

General comments:

This manuscript presents a detailed analysis of cores sampled in the Buor Khaya Peninsula, for both Yedoma and thermokarst locations. As stated in the abstract, the study objective is to develop a stratigraphic classified OM quality characterization. The authors also want to investigate Holocene degradation of OM in thermokarst. The method includes an original combination of indicators, including sedimentological and geochemical analysis and lipid biomarkers, and provides a novel OM characterization in this area.

Thank you for this evaluation.

The results show no significant (although no statistical tests are applied) differences, based on the chosen analysis, between the two deposits.

This significance statement was not meant on the proxy level, but on the direction the data is pointing to (better quality for further decomposition: C/N, $\delta^{13}C$, HPFA: thermokarst; CPI: Yedoma). As stated below, we clarified this potential misunderstanding.

Although, the authors argue that a slightly better quality for the thermokarsts deposit is possible. The authors's conclusions about these results need to be clarified.

Changed accordingly. ("*We interpret this to indicate a comparable magnitude of organic matter quality in both kinds of deposits, but with a likely better thermokarst organic matter quality for further degradation.*")

As a whole, the authors should strengthen their statistical analysis, perform statistical tests to look for significant differences …

By implementing statistical significance testing (section 2.4.1 and 3.3.1), we adapted the manuscript accordingly. Because the majority of the data is not-normally

distributed, we preferred a using the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test (also known as U-test) for comparing the two groups Yedoma and thermokarst. The Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test is applied for comparing all 5 profiles.

and modify the boxplot presentation (see detailed comments).

Changed accordingly. We modified the Boxplot (Fig. 7) by merging the 5 profiles to 2 groups, Yedoma and thermokarst.

The author's should also precise their hypotheses on why Yedoma and thermokarsts deposit should be different. It should be emphasize that both different transformation processes and different OM origin are expected

Changed accordingly. We added "*We hypothesize increased organic matter degradation during thermokarst processes, but also increased organic matter input during climatically favourable Holocene times.*" to the end of the introduction chapter.

Moreover, we added following paragraph to the discussion: "*We interpret this as following: Compared to unaltered Yedoma deposits, degradation during thermokarst processes, but also heightened amounts of OC input during climatically more favorable Holocene times, are balancing each other concerning the organic matter quality for future degradation. Nevertheless, as there is more carbon stored in the thermokarst basins (Strauss et al. 2013), thermokarst deposits imply a higher intrinsic potential to contribute greenhouse gases in a warmer future. This is supported by the acetate data indicating a higher mean content for the thermokarst deposits. Acetate is an excellent substrate for microbial turnover e.g. acetoclastic methanogenesis (Kotsyurbenko et al., 2004)*."

Detailed comments:

Abstract:

- P15946, l16. Please define what a good (and therefore better) quality is. This is true for the whole manuscript.

Thank you for this comment. For carbon quality, we added a definition to the abstract: "*To give an idea of how Yedoma region permafrost could respond under*

future climatic warming, we conducted a study to quantify the organic matter quality (here defined as the intrinsic potential to be further transformed, decomposed, and mineralized) of late Pleistocene (Yedoma) and Holocene (thermokarst) deposits on the Buor Khaya Peninsula, northeast Siberia." With this definition, 'better quality' is meant as a 'better future decomposability' of one deposit compared to the other one. To underline this, we added "*Relatively*" to the sentence addressed by the reviewer and added "*for further decomposition*" after 'quality' statements.

- P15946, l19-20. Are the analyses in the two deposits different or not? The authors should chose based on statistical evidence.

Changed accordingly. We included statistical significance testing (Mann-Whitney U test).

- P15946, l25. Are different origins also an hypothesis

No, we assume a comparable OM origin of both deposit types. A schematic showing different chain lengths in different organisms is given in Fig. S4. The higher C_3 land plants are expected to have an ACL of ~28-29, which is the case for Yedoma and thermokarst deposits

Introduction

- P159448,l1. 83 +61/-57 is confusing

Changed to ±including one mean uncertainty estimation

Material and methods

- P15950. Please comment on why different core depths were sampled. What about the active layer depth in the area?

We were not using cores, but samples from exposures. This is shown in the pictures added to Fig 1. As cited, detailed schemes on the exposures are published in Strauss and Schirrmeister (2011). The different depths are related to the possibility to take undisturbed samples. Concerning the active layer: As stated in the title, the aim of this study is a first-time quality characterization of the deep permafrost carbon. The

active layer is not part of the permafrost. But repeated measurements on Samoylov Island show summer active layer depth up to 60 cm, which was also found at the study sites (Strauss and Schirrmeister, 2011)

- P15958. L5. How was the 1mg/l limit defined for acetate?

Thank you for this question. This threshold value was defined basin on our measurement experience. Since data can be extremely variable between different habitats, we decided to remove this threshold from the manuscript and use acetate concentrations as a parameter to assess the quality of the organic matter in the different deposits with respect to future microbial degradation. We changed the respective sentence in section 2.3.6. ("*We use the acetate pore water concentrations in the different deposits as a parameter to assess the quality of the organic matter and to compare the potential of the different deposits for future microbial degradation.*")

L10. Please justify the log transformation of some of the data and the square root transformation of others.

Both transformations were applied to reduce right skewness and to put the parameters on the same scale. In detail, we used the LOG10(X+1) transformation for all concentration data to make these rightly skewed positive dataset more normally distributed and to stabilize the variances. As the square root transformation is commonly applied to counted data, especially if the values are mostly rather small, we decided to use this weaker (compared to logarithm) transformation for the TOC data.

Results

- P15960. L6-7 "Every radiocarbon-dated sample and additional samples were used for biomarkers analysis. In total 25 biomarker samples were analyzed." This sentence should be moved to the M&M section.

Moved accordingly.

Additionally, the authors should provide some details on how they chose the sampled to be analyzed for biomarkers.

We added the sentence "*Independent from $TOC_{wt\%}$, the sample selection for biomarkers was based on stratigraphic position with the aim to cover the maximum time period.*" to the methods section

- P15963.l9. " is quite stable, between 0.1 and 4.9 µg/gTOCwt%". This sentence is surprising. It would be more convincing to include mean and sdt.

Thank you for this suggestion, we changed to this sentence to a range statement ("*…the hop-17(21)-ene concentration at Buo-05 varies between 0.1 and 4.9 µg/gTOC$_{wt\%}$*").

- P15963.l25-27. The authors should comment the fact that only the peat samples (3-A-03, 2-D-20, 1-A-02) align with axis 1. Indeed, these samples present the high TOC, low d13C, high C/N values. It would be interesting to perform this analysis without these 'special' samples.

We agree with the reviewer, this is an interesting point, but there are more peat and paleosol samples not clustering in this area of the ordination plot, e.g.:

- Buo-02-A-06
- Buo-02-B-12
- Buo-05-A-04

Thus, we would like to keep the clustering 3 peat samples in the ordination plot and the PCA analyses.

Do these samples represent the untransformed OM state and could be used for reference?

Thank you for this comment. We do not think that these three samples are untransformed. Especially the thermokarst deposits are a mixture of preserved Yedoma OM and Holocene input.

Discussion

- P15966.L7. The Holocene OC input in the thermokarst deposit should be discussed. Possible origin? Influence on biomarkers analysis, radiocarbon dating.

The hypothesis is the following. The more favourable Holocene climate increases the plant OM production, but also the OM degradation. Thus, the influence of the biomarker 'qualities' is balanced. Nevertheless, shown by e.g. the higher TOC there has been Holocene OM enrichment. In their recent study, Walter Anthony et al. (2014) also suggested the thermokarst basins have been a carbon sink during the Holocene. The influence on biomarker is a net better quality for further degradation. Thus, we clarified the discussion by adding: "*We interpret this as following: Compared to unaltered Yedoma deposits, degradation during thermokarst processes, but also heightened amounts of OC input during climatically more favorable Holocene times, are balancing each other concerning the organic matter quality for future degradation. Nevertheless, as there is more carbon stored in the thermokarst basins (Strauss et al. 2013), thermokarst deposits imply a higher intrinsic potential to contribute greenhouse gases in a warmer future. This is supported by the acetate data indicating a higher mean content for the thermokarst deposits. Acetate is an excellent substrate for microbial turnover e.g. acetoclastic methanogenesis (Kotsyurbenko et al., 2004).*"

- P15966.L19-20. Which signal? CPI, d13c or both?

We added C/N to the sentence.

- P15968.L28. Figure 7 should be greatly modified. The authors present boxplot with very limited data set (for biomarkers, n=2, 3, 4). A boxplot is designed to provide a synthetic 5-value-indicator for a population. A boxplot cannot be generated with less than 5 samples. I strongly suggest that the authors pool the yedoma and thermokarst data before presenting the boxplots. Please refer to this publication: http://www.nature.com/nmeth/journal/v11/n2/full/nmeth.2813.html

Thank you for this reference. As suggested by the reviewer and the reference, we merged the Yedoma and thermokarst data for the boxplot visualization to reach a sample size of n>5. Moreover, we added notches to the boxplots to illustrate the 95% confidence intervals of the median.

- The authors should relate more clearly the potential fate of OM (what they call 'good quality', and seem to be bioavailability and the biomarkers analysis they have chosen.

Thank you for this comment. First, we added a definition for the 'quality' to the abstract: "To give an idea of how Yedoma region permafrost could respond under future climatic warming, we conducted a study to quantify the organic matter quality (intrinsic potential to be further transformed, decomposed, and mineralized) of late Pleistocene (Yedoma) and Holocene (thermokarst) deposits on the Buor Khaya Peninsula, northeast Siberia." With this definition, "better quality" is meant as a "better future decomposability" of one deposit compared to the other one. In the discussion, we have an section called "4.3 Fate of organic matter", were we discussed the acetate as being used as energy source by e.g. Achaea. What happens to the biomarker is explained in the methods section.

Conclusion

- P15972.L28. The authors should be more specific in the conclusion. Do not leave vague evaluation :' perhaps', better ...

Changed accordingly. We clarified ("*We interpret this to indicate a comparable magnitude of organic matter quality in both kinds of deposits, but with a likely better thermokarst organic matter quality for further degradation.*") and shortened the conclusion.

Figures:

- 3&4 : the quality should be improved for clarity

Changed accordingly. The diagrams for radiocarbon age, grain size, Oleanen ratio and acetate are now included in the supplement.

- 5. The relationships should be tested without the 'peat' samples. Please provide significance levels for correlations.

We added the significant levels to the plot; all linear regressions are statistically significant. The peat samples are an essential part of Yedoma and thermokarst

deposits. Moreover, there are more peat and paleosol samples not clustering in this area of the ordination plot (Buo-02-A-06, Buo-02-B-12, Buo-05-A-04). Thus, we would like to keep the clustering 3 peat samples in the scatterplots (fig 5) ordination plots (fig 6) of the PCA analyses.

- 6. Same for figure 6 + improve clarity

We improved the clarity.

- 7. See detailed comments on box plots.

Changed accordingly.

Organic matter quality of deep permafrost carbon - a study from Arctic Siberia

J. Strauss[1*], L. Schirrmeister[1], K. Mangelsdorf[2], L. Eichhorn[3], S. Wetterich[1] and U. Herzschuh[1]

[1]{Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Periglacial Research Unit Potsdam, Telegrafenberg A 43, Potsdam, Germany}

[2]{Helmholtz Centre Potsdam GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany}

[3]{International Max Planck Research School for Global Biogeochemical Cycles (Max-Planck-Institute for Biogeochemistry and Friedrich Schiller University), Burgweg 11, 07749, Jena, Germany}

Correspondence to: J. Strauss (Jens.Strauss@awi.de)

Abstract

The organic carbon (OC) pool accumulated in Arctic permafrost (perennially frozen ground) equals the carbon stored in the ~~recent~~modern atmosphere. To give an idea of how Yedoma region permafrost could respond under future climatic warming, we conducted a study to quantify the organic matter quality ~~for future decomposition~~(here defined as the intrinsic potential to be further transformed, decomposed, and mineralized) of late Pleistocene (Yedoma) and Holocene (thermokarst) deposits on the Buor Khaya Peninsula, northeast Siberia. The objective of this study was to develop a stratigraphic classified organic matter quality characterization. For this purpose the degree of organic matter decomposition was estimated by using a multiproxy approach. We applied sedimentological (grain-size analyses, bulk density, ice content) and geochemical parameters (total OC, stable carbon isotopes ($\delta^{13}C$), total organic carbon : nitrogen (C/N) ratios) as well as lipid biomarkers (*n*-alkanes, *n*-fatty acids, hopanes, triterpenoids, and biomarker proxies/indices: average chain length, carbon preference index (CPI), and higher plant fatty acid index (HPFA)). Our results show that the Yedoma and thermokarst organic matter qualities for further decomposition exhibit

no obvious degradation - depth trend. ~~The~~ Relatively, the C/N, and $\delta^{13}C$, ~~and hop-17(21)-ene~~ values and the HPFA index show a significantly better ~~quality~~preservation of the organic matter stored in thermokarst deposits compared to Yedoma deposits~~, but the~~. The CPI ~~points in the other direction.~~data suggest less degradation of the organic matter from both deposits with a higher value for Yedoma organic matter. As the interquartile ranges of the proxies mostly overlap, we interpret this as to indicate ~~similar~~comparable quality for further decomposition for both ~~kind~~kinds of deposits with ~~perhaps slightly~~likely better thermokarst organic matter quality. Supported by principal component analyses, the sediment parameters and quality proxies of Yedoma and thermokarst deposits could not be ~~clearly~~ separated without ambiguity from each other. ~~This lack of clear quality differences~~This revealed that the organic matter vulnerability is heterogeneous ~~independent from radiocarbon age~~ and depends on different decomposition trajectories and the previous decomposition and preservation history. Elucidating this was one of the major novelties of our multiproxy study. With the addition of biomarker data, it was possible to show that permafrost organic matter degradation likely occurs via a combination of (uncompleted) degradation cycles or a cascade of degradation steps rather than as a linear function of age or sediment facies. We conclude that the amount of organic matter in the studied sediments is high for mineral soils and of good quality and therefore susceptible to future decomposition. The missing depth trends reveal that permafrost acts like a giant freezer, preserving the constant quality of ancient organic matter ~~independently from its age.~~. When undecomposed Yedoma organic matter is mobilized via thermokarst processes, the fate of this carbon depends largely on the environmental conditions; the carbon could be preserved in an undecomposed state till refreezing occurs. If ~~recent~~modern input has occurred, thermokarst organic matter could be of a better quality for future microbial decomposition than that found in Yedoma deposits.

1 Introduction

During the late Quaternary, the rate of organic matter decomposition in the Arctic has been slower than plant growth, sedimentation, and freezing rates. Thus, a large pool of organic carbon (OC) accumulated in the Arctic and was deeply sequestered in the permafrost. Hugelius et al. (2014) estimates an OC storage of 1300 Gt for the circum-Arctic permafrost region with ~850 Gt OC sequestered in permafrost. This is approximately the carbon stored in the ~~recent~~modern atmosphere (Dlugokencky and Tans, 2014). During warming and

permafrost thawing, this formerly cryo-sequestered OC gradually entered the ~~recent~~modern biogeochemical cycle by microbial turnover. By thawing and microbial activity, the permafrost deposits can turn from a carbon sink to a source (Schuur et al., 2009), releasing greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide and methane to the atmosphere. Besides the near-surface carbon pool representing the uppermost 3 m below surface, and because of rapid permafrost thaw like thermokarst and thermoerosion, deep OC pools, especially those held in ice-rich permafrost deposits in the Yedoma region, are of great significance for current concerns about the effects of global warming. According to Strauss et al. (2013) and Hugelius et al. (2013), the Yedoma region is defined as the area of potential distribution of late Pleistocene ice-rich and organic-rich silty deposits (Yedoma) covering large areas in Siberia and Alaska. Estimates of OC stored in the Yedoma region amount to 83~~ +61/ -57~~±12 Gt for late Pleistocene Yedoma deposits (ages shown in Table 1). Due to Holocene warming, subsequent ground ice melt and surface subsidence, thermokarst basins formed and were partly occupied by lakes. Holocene thermokarst deposits (ages shown in Table 1) contain ~~128 +99/ -96~~130±29 Gt organic carbon. In total, the Yedoma region extends to an area of about 1,387,000 km² of which about 70 % is already affected by permafrost degradation (thermokarst) (Strauss et al., 2013). Kuhry et al. (2009) and Schirrmeister et al. (2011a) showed that Yedoma deposits accumulated at fast rates, implying a short time for the organic matter to decay before it became locked into a perennially-frozen state. Therefore, the organic matter availability for microorganisms is expected to be excellent, resulting in great vulnerability to warming ground conditions (Mu et al., 2014). To elucidate how Yedoma region permafrost could respond under conditions of future climatic warming, we studied the organic matter ~~quality~~degradation state of Yedoma and its Holocene degradation features (called thermokarst deposits) on Buor Khaya Peninsula, Eastern Laptev Sea. As mentioned above, Strauss et al. (2013) found that thermokarst deposits contain the quantitatively more important carbon pool, but the unsolved question is this: Is the thermokarst organic matter pool as degradable as the frozen late Pleistocene Yedoma, or has the most labile carbon already been emitted due to thermokarst degradation processes? In both kinds of deposits the OC was deeply (deeper than 3 m) incorporated into permafrost (Schirrmeister et al., 2013; Strauss et al., 2013). As shown by models and extrapolation from recent observations, the more southern portions of Yedoma deposits thawed during the last deglaciation, resulting in large emissions of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere (Walter et al., 2007a; Ciais et al., 2012; Walter Anthony et al., 2014). Recent ground warming has been observed in the

permafrost zone (Romanovsky et al., 2010), and incubation experiments reveal that permafrost warming is accompanied by a substantial outgassing of greenhouse gases (Lee et al., 2012; Knoblauch et al., 2013; Schädel et al., 2014). As an illustration of the important influence of ground temperature on organic matter ~~quality~~degradation, a higher respiration rate at greater depth close to the permafrost table (Mangelsdorf et al., 2009; Waldrop et al., 2010) was found inside the seasonally-thawed active layer and interpreted as a greater lability of the organic matter close to the perennially frozen ground. Focusing on permafrost deposits in the Laptev Sea region, which includes our Buor Khaya study site, Schirrmeister et al. (2011a) characterize the Yedoma region permafrost organic matter as weakly decomposed.

Biomarkers are used for paleoenvironmental reconstruction of terrestrial permafrost (Andersson et al., 2011) or characterization of permafrost organic matter degradation (Andersson and Meyers, 2012; Vonk et al., 2013; Routh et al., 2014). In our study we estimate molecular markers (*n*-alkanes, *n*-fatty acids, hopanes, and triterpenoids) and use biomarker proxies/indices (absolute lipid concentration, average chain length (ACL), carbon preference index (CPI), hop-17(21)-ene, higher plant fatty acid (HPFA) index, and an Oleanen ratio) to test whether they are useful mirrors of organic matter decomposition, i.e. organic matter ~~quality~~state of degradation in permafrost deposits. Rather established methods, both cryolithological (grain ~~-~~-size analyses, bulk density, ice content) and biogeochemical (total organic carbon ($TOC_{wt\%}$), stable carbon isotope ratios ($\delta^{13}C$ in TOC), total nitrogen (TN), and $TOC_{wt\%}$/TN (C/N) ratios), are applied to our sample set. Finally, principal components analysis (PCA) highlights the relationships between different organic matter degradation proxies.

Because the future feedback from the Yedoma region permafrost OC to climate forcing is driven by both (1) the pool size, estimated to be ~211 Gt (Strauss et al., 2013), and (2) the ~~quality~~state of degradation of OC stored in the studied deposits, the objective of this study is the development of a stratigraphically differentiated organic matter quality characterization using sample material representative of widespread Yedoma and thermokarst permafrost. We hypothesize increased organic matter degradation during thermokarst processes, but also increased organic matter input during climatically favorable Holocene times.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Study area

The Buor Khaya Peninsula study site (71°34'N, 132°12'E) is located in the northeastern part of Siberia (Fig. 1). Buor Khaya Peninsula is framed by the Laptev Sea, a shallow epicontinental part of the Arctic Ocean, and geologically by two rift structures (Drachev et al., 1998). Buor Khaya is underlain by continuous permafrost with ground temperatures of less than -11°C (Drozdov et al., 2005). The permafrost thicknesses is estimated to be between 450 and 650 m (Romanovskii et al., 2004). Stratigraphically, outcrops from two sediment units are distinguished and studied; (1) ice-rich permafrost, called Yedoma deposits, and (2) deposits in permafrost rapid thaw features, generalized as thermokarst deposits. Three profiles of thermokarst deposits (in a thermokarst basin: Buo-01 and Buo-05; initial thermokarst on top of a Yedoma hill: Buo-03) and two profiles of Yedoma deposits (Buo-02, Buo-04) were studied and sampled. Fig. 1 shows an overview of the sampled profiles and their position relative to each other.

2.2 Field work

Field studies were undertaken in summer 2010 at outcrops situated at the western coast of the Buor Khaya Peninsula. The sediment of the profiles and sub-profiles, exposed at the cliff wall or partly in thermokarst mounds in thaw slumps, were dug by spades and cleaned with hacks. The cryolithology, sediment characteristics, and visible organic matter in the sediments of the chosen sequences were surveyed and described. Moreover, the profiles were photographed and sketched. Sub-profiles were stacked together to create composite profiles. Sampling positions in neighboring sub-profiles were correlated by height estimation using measuring tape. The upper edge of each profile was calibrated with tacheometer measurements (Günther et al., 2012). In the field laboratory all sample volumes were measured with a balance and Archimedes principle, and the absolute ice content was determined by drying the sample. In total, 91 samples were taken and kept cool for transport to laboratories for further analysis. Detailed sampling positions for each profile are shown in Strauss and Schirrmeister (2011).

2.3 Indicators of organic matter quality for further decomposition

To validate and to extend the sedimentological approach used, and to estimate the organic matter quality for further decomposition, lipid biomarkers were measured to estimate the degree of organic matter degradation. For biomarker studies we used a "fingerprint" approach by focusing on identifiable markers related to the state of organic matter ~~quality~~degradation. Below, the utilized geochemical indicators and biomarkers are described.

2.3.1 Grain-size analyses

Grain sizes were analyzed using a laser particle sizer (LS 200, Beckmann-Coulter) between 0.375 and 1000 µm (Fig. 2, S1). Grain-size calculations were done after Folk and Ward (1957) using Gradistat v8 (Blott and Pye, 2001). A detailed description of ~~this~~these analytical techniques is given in the supplement (supplement section 1.1).

2.3.2 Elemental composition

To determine the total elemental carbon and total nitrogen (TN) content, the samples were measured by a carbon-nitrogen-sulphur analyzer (Vario EL III, Elementar). $TOC_{wt\%}$ was measured with a TOC analyzer (Vario Max C, Elementar). The volumetric TOC content (TOC_{kg/m^3}) was calculated according to Strauss et al. (2013). A detailed description of this techniques is given in the supplement (supplement section 1.2).

The TOC/TN (C/N) ratio has been used as a general indicator of the degree of organic matter decomposition (Stevenson, 1994). Based on the assumption that organic matter components are degraded selectively, degradation modifies elemental compositions and hence C/N in deposits. Because a decrease in the C/N ratio has been observed in aerated deposits with microbial immobilization of TN (nitrogen stays in the system) accompanied by the re-mineralization of TOC (Sollins et al., 1984) and CO_2 emission, this ratio is used in the following way: The higher the C/N ratio, the lower the degree of decomposition. ~~This assumption implies a similar source signal.~~

2.3.3 Bulk density and volumetric carbon content

BD was calculated using equation 1.

$$\mathrm{BD}\ [10^3\mathrm{kg/m^3}] = \frac{\text{sample dry weight } [10^3\mathrm{kg}]}{\text{sample volume } [\mathrm{m^3}]} \quad (1)$$

Estimating the BD is required to convert the measured-weight-based $TOC_{wt\%}$ content per sample to a volume-based value. Thus, the TOC_{kg/m^3} was calculated according to equation 2:

$$TOC_{kg/m^3} = BD\ [10^3 kg/m^3] \times \frac{TOC_{wt\%}}{100} \quad (2)$$

2.3.4 Carbon isotope studies

Stable TOC carbon isotopes were determined with a Finnigan MAT Delta-S mass spectrometer combined with a FLASH elemental analyzer and a CONFLO III gas mixing system. A detailed method is given in the supplement (supplement section 1.4). The stable carbon isotopes of OC reflect (1) initial contribution from different plant species and plant components, and (2) subsequent degradation processes (Gundelwein et al., 2007). Assuming constant photosynthetic isotope fractionation in source plants in the region (C_3 plants are ubiquitous in the Arctic, Tieszen (1973)), we use $\delta^{13}C$ ratios as a degradation proxy. After Heyer et al. (1976), decomposition discriminates against the lighter isotope (^{12}C), resulting in more negative $\delta^{13}C$ ratios. Thus, this proxy is used in the following way: Lower (more negative) $\delta^{13}C$ values are connected to less degraded material, while higher (less negative) $\delta^{13}C$ values reflect greater decomposition.

Ages were determined by radiocarbon dating of selected macroscopic plant remains performed at the Poznań Radiocarbon Laboratory, Poland (Goslar et al., 2004). The presented radiocarbon ages are uncalibrated ages; Table 1 includes calibrated ages as well. Radiocarbon ages are given in year before present (a BP).

2.3.5 Lipid biomarkers

To look more closely at the molecular composition, we used specific lipid biomarkers. Molecular fossils or biomarkers were studied by chromatography methods coupled with mass spectrometers. Characteristic fractions like *n*-alkanes, *n*-fatty acids, sterols, and hopanes were isolated. Because the $TOC_{wt\%}$ in the profiles is not equally distributed, we calculated and visualized the biomarker concentration as µg/g$TOC_{wt\%}$ and µg/gSediment (µg/gSed). For the results, we focus on µg/g$TOC_{wt\%}$.

Every radiocarbon-dated sample and additional samples were used for biomarker analysis. In total 25 biomarker samples were analyzed. Independent from $TOC_{wt\%}$, the sample selection for biomarkers was based on stratigraphic position with the aim to cover the maximum time period.

Extraction and fraction separation

For lipid biomarker analyses 2-12 g of ground sediment was weighed in an extraction cell with an accelerated solvent extractor (ASE 200, Dionex). Samples were extracted with dichloromethane/methanol (99:1). Each sample was held in a static phase for 20 minutes at 75 °C (after 5 minutes heating, no preheating) at a pressure of 5 MPa. Afterwards, the dissolved compounds were concentrated with a Turbo Vap (Zymark) closed cell concentrator and further dried by evaporating the solvent in a stream of nitrogen gas. After that, internal standards (5α-androstane for the aliphatic fraction, ethylpyrene for the aromatic fraction, 5α-androstan-17-on for nitrogen-, sulfur-, and oxygen- (NSO-) containing compounds, and erucic acid for the NSO fatty acid fraction) were added. The amount of internal standards depended on the $TOC_{wt\%}$ content (<10wt%: 8µg; >10 to ≤25wt%: 20µg; >25wt%: 50µg). After the removal of the *n*-hexane-insoluble fraction (by the addition of a large excess of *n*-hexane, called 'asphaltene' precipitation), the hexane-soluble portion of the extract was separated by medium-pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC; (Radke et al., 1980) into fractions of different polarity (aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons as well as polar hetero (NSO) components). Afterwards, the NSO fraction was split into a fatty acids and an alcohol fraction using a KOH-impregnated silica gel column (Schulte et al., 2000).

For this study, the focus was placed on the aliphatic fraction (containing *n*-alkanes and triterpenoid compounds) and the NSO fraction (containing *n*-fatty acids). The fractions were measured by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS). All compounds of interest were identified using the Xcalibur software (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

GC-MS measurement and compound quantification

The *n*-alkanes, *n*-alcohols, hopenes (hop-17(21)-ene), and other triterpenoids (β-amyrin (olean-12-en-3β-ol), Olean-12-ene, and Olean-13(18)-ene) were measured with a GC-MS system (GC: Trace GC Ultra; MS: DSQ, both Thermo Fisher Scientific). Prior to the measurements, the *n*-fatty acids were methylated with diazomethane and the alcohols were silylated with *N*-methyl-*N*-trimethylsilyltrifluoroacetamide (MSTFA). The GC was equipped with a programmable temperature vaporization (PTV) injector system (starting temperature of 50 °C; heating rate of 10°C/sec to 300°C; isothermal holding time of 10 minutes; operated in splitless mode) and a fused silica capillary column (SGE BPX5, 50 m length, 0.22 mm inner diameter, 0.25 µm film thickness). For the measurements the GC oven was programmed with a starting temperature of 50°C, a heating rate of 3°C/min to 310°C, and an isothermal holding

time of 30 minutes. Helium with a constant flow rate of 1 ml/min was used as a carrier gas. For the *n*-fatty acid fraction a different temperature program (starting temperature of 50°C, 1 min isotherm, heating rate of 3°C/min to 350°C, isothermal holding time 25 minutes) was used. For compound identification, the gas chromatograph was linked to a mass spectrometer, which was operated in electron impact ionization mode at 70 eV. The temperature of the ion source was set to 230°C. Full scan mass spectra were recorded from m/z 50 to 600 Da at a scan rate of 2.5 scans/sec. For the *n*-fatty acids fraction the scan rate was m/z 50 to 650 Da.

Quantification of *n*-alkanes, *n*-fatty acids, and β-amyrin was done in the GC-MS total ion current chromatogram by relating the peak area of the target compound to the peak area of an internal standard of known concentration. Other triterpenoids like Olean-12-ene, Olean-13(18)-ene, and hopene were quantified using the m/z 191 mass trace relative to the peak area of the β,β-diploptene (in the m/z 191 mass trace), the concentration of which was calculated in the total ion current chromatogram relative to the internal standard~~.~~ (5α-androstane).

2.3.6 Biomarker proxies/indices

Absolute lipid concentration

The absolute lipid concentration is used as rough estimator of organic matter quality for degradation in the following sense: The higher the concentration, the better the conservation of the lipid, and the better the quality of the organic matter.

Carbon preference index

The CPI was introduced by Bray and Evans (1961) as the ratio of odd- to neighboring even-numbered alkanes, which is a measure of the alteration of organic matter. Here we use the improved formula after Marzi et al. (1993). In addition, we also applied the CPI for fatty acids in which even-numbered fatty acids predominate over adjacent odd *n*-fatty acids (Glombitza et al., 2009).

$$CPI = \frac{(\sum_{i=n}^{m} C_{2i+1}) + (\sum_{i=n+1}^{m+1} C_{2i+1})}{2 \times (\sum_{i=n+1}^{m+1} C_{2i})} \quad (3)$$

n: starting dominating chain length/2; m: ending dominating chain length/2; i: index (carbon number); C: concentration

The CPI is used as a degradation/alteration proxy by quantifying the odd/even (*n*-alkanes, Fig. S2) or even/odd (*n*-fatty acids, Fig. S3) of the carbon chains (Bray and Evans, 1961;

Glombitza et al., 2009). A low CPI means mature/degraded organic matter (e.g. CPI of crude oil ~1).

Average chain length

As introduced by Poynter (1989), the *n*-alkane ACL value is the concentration-weighted mean of different carbon chain lengths in a geological sample. For *n*-alkanes we use the C_{23}-C_{33} interval, for *n*-fatty acids the C_{20}-C_{34}:

$$ACL = \frac{\sum i \times C_i}{\sum C_i} \quad (4)$$

i: index (carbon number); C: concentration

The ACL is a rough OC source parameter. A schematic showing different chain lengths in different organisms is given in Fig. S4. The higher C_3 land plants are expected to have an ACL of ~28-29.

Hop-17(21)-ene

We use hop-17(21)-ene as another marker for low-maturity organic material. The hop-17(21)-ene is produced by bacteria. The assumption here is that during degradation and diagenesis the hop-17(21)-ene will be transformed into saturated hopane (Luo et al., 2012).

Higher Plant Fatty Acid index

The ratio of the major even wax alcohols over the sum of major odd wax alkanes plus even alcohols was introduced by Poynter (1989) as the Higher Plant Alcohol (HPA) index. It is applied as an indicator for chemical degradation of the wax components. Based on this index, but using fatty acids instead of alcohols, we developed the HPFA index. The general assumption for this index is that it reflects the preservation degree of the organic matter due to the higher lability of *n*-fatty acids in relation to *n*-alkanes.

$$HPFA = \frac{\sum \text{n-fatty acids}_{C_{24},C_{26},C_{28}}}{\sum \text{n-fatty acids}_{C_{24},C_{26},C_{28}} + \sum \text{n-alkanes}_{C_{27},C_{29},C_{31}}} \quad (5)$$

The HPFA ratio cannot be considered an absolute index of degradation, but is an indicator of the relative amounts of the more labile fatty acids that remain in a sample. Since *n*-alkanes are preserved preferentially compared to *n*-fatty acids, a decrease in this index indicates increased decomposition (the more degraded, the lower the HPFA index).

Oleanen ratio

β-amyrin (olean-12-en-3β-ol) is a triterpenoid produced by higher land plants. As a first degradation step, β-amyrin is expected to lose its hydroxy-group and will be transformed to Olean-12-ene. A second step would be a shift of the double bond forming Olean-13(18)-ene. Thus, fresh organic material is associated with a lower oleanen ratio, while more degraded organic matter is reflected in a higher ratio. This index is calculated:

$$\text{oleanen ratio } [\%] = \frac{\text{Olean-12-ene} + \text{Olean-13(18)-ene}}{\beta\text{-amyrin}} \times 100 \quad (6)$$

Acetate

Pore water was obtained from each sample by centrifugation in specific pore water tubes. Water extracts were analyzed twice using ion chromatography with conductivity detection (ICS 3000, Dionex). An analytical column (AS 11 HC, 2 × 250 mm, Dionex) was used at constant 35°C. The sample was eluted with KOH solution of varying concentration over time. The initial concentration was 1.4 mM. Between 0 and 6 minutes, the KOH solution was increased at a constant rate to 1.6 mM. Between 6 and 12 minutes the solution was increased to 10.0 mM KOH and a concentration of 15.0 mM KOH was reached at 22 minutes. After 32 minutes, 60.0 mM KOH concentration was achieved, and maintained for 1 minute, followed by a rapid decrease to 1.4 mM after 33 minutes where samples were fixed for 45 minutes to equilibrate the system. For quantification of acetate, standards containing the investigated compound were measured. The standard deviation of the sample and of standard quantification was <5%. Because acetate can act as excellent feedstock for microbes (Smith and Mah, 1980; Vieth et al., 2008) and it has been shown that acetate was rapidly consumed in the presence of oxygen and nitrate (Kuesel and Drake, 1995), we use ~~this~~the acetate pore water concentrations in the different deposits as a parameter ~~in the following way. If there is an acetate concentration~~to assess the quality of ~~>1 mg/l, the deposit, including its acetate, was less available for degradation, resulting in good~~the organic matter ~~quality~~and to compare the potential of the different deposits for future microbial degradation.

2.4 Statistical methods

~~2.3.7~~2.4.1 Significance testing

For testing the samples concerning their statistical distribution, the Shapiro-Wilk normality test was applied. Because of non-normal distribution, we used the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test for significance testing of Yedoma and thermokarst samples. For comparing the different five profiles, we used Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test.

~~2.3.8~~2.4.2 Principal component analysis

Multivariate statistical techniques, like the PCA used here, allow the analysis of multiple variables in order to investigate connections between the different degradation proxies. Prior to the PCA, concentration data were transformed using a log (x+1) transformation~~, and TOC ($_{wt\%}$ and $_{kg/m^3}$) data were transformed using a square root transformation.~~. As the square root transformation is commonly applied to count data, especially if the values are mostly rather small, we decided to use this weaker (compared to logarithm) transformation for the TOC ($_{wt\%}$ and $_{kg/m^3}$) data. Both transformations were applied to reduce right skewness and to put the parameters on the same scale. We performed three PCA runs. First, a PCA of the sediment parameters was implemented to infer differences between Yedoma and thermokarst deposits. Second, a PCA of biomarker proxies was performed. For this purpose, other characteristics were added as supplementary variables ($TOC_{wt\%}$, TOC_{kg/m^3}, C/N, $\delta^{13}C$, grain size, BD, ice content, and depth) without inclusion in the PCA calculation. These supplementary variables have no influence on the PCA and were plotted afterwards in the PCA biplot. Third, a PCA was conducted on samples of the major odd *n*-alkanes to infer possible changes of the source organisms with the same supplementary variables as described above to relate the different biomarker proxies to each other. Computations were performed using the "vegan" package of R software (Oksanen, 2013).

3 Results

Stratigraphically, there are two types of deposition units at the study site. The first unit is composed of Yedoma deposits. The second unit represents thermokarst deposits resulting from thermal degradation of Yedoma. Grain-size distributions (Fig. 2, S1) and PCA of sediments illustrate that thermokarst deposits are made up of degraded Yedoma sediments. After Gubin and Veremeeva (2010) and Zanina et al. (2011) the Yedoma deposits soil types are mainly less-developed cryopedoliths containing more-developed paleocryosol parts (Fig. ~~1~~3 and ~~2~~4, labeled and grey-shaded areas).

3.1 Organic matter quality of Yedoma deposits

3.1.1 Sedimentological and biogeochemical proxies

The radiocarbon ages (Table 1, Fig. ~~3~~S5) of the Yedoma deposits range from infinite ages (>55,000 a BP) at the very bottom to 30,100 a BP at the uppermost sampled Yedoma unit. This is comparable to other Yedoma sequences in the region (Schirrmeister et al., 2011b). The mean grain sizes show a decreasing trend in the Buo-04 lower Yedoma profile, from 28 µm at the bottom to 11 µm in the upper part of Buo-04-A. The Buo-02 Yedoma profile shows no trend, but exhibits a more heterogeneous mean-grain size including three maxima at 22.5 m above sea level (a.s.l.) (32 µm), 23.7 m a.s.l. (34 µm), and 25.5 m a.s.l. (33 µm). Nevertheless, all Yedoma deposit samples are classified as poorly-sorted medium-to-coarse silts with a stable low clay fraction (<15%).

The $TOC_{wt\%}$ contents vary from 0.2 wt% at 5 m a.s.l to 24.0 wt% in a peaty paleocryosol horizon at 24 m a.s.l. (Fig. 3). The mean $TOC_{wt\%}$ content is 2.4 wt% (median 0.97 wt%). Calculating the TOC_{kg/m^3} according to Strauss et al. (2013) by utilizing the BD (between 0.1 and 1.5 10^3kg/m³ ($10^3 kg/m^3 = g/cm^3$)) and ice content (without ice wedges; 21 to 90 vol%), the Yedoma sediments contain from 3 to 46 kg C/m³ with a mean of 14 kg C/m³ (median 9 kg C/m³). The maxima correspond to the peaty horizons with large $TOC_{wt\%}$ contents and a low BD. Within the paleocryosol horizons, located at 6.8, 24.0 to 24.5, 24.8, and 27.8 to 28.9 m a.s.l., maxima in the C/N ratio are observable. The C/N range in these horizons is 8 to 31. In the cryopedolith profile parts the C/N maximum is reached at the lowermost Buo-04-C sub-profile (17.7 and 16.7). The C/N of the rest of the Yedoma profile falls between 4.1 (at Buo-02-C, 23.7 m a.s.l.) and 14.3 (below the paleocryosol at 23.5 m a.s.l.)

The $\delta^{13}C$ of the Yedoma deposits ranges between -29.0 and -24.7 ‰. The minima fit well to the maxima of the C/N ratio in the paleocryosol horizons at 6.8, 24.0 to 24.5, 24.8, and 27.8 to 28.9 m a.s.l. The minimum C/N of the Buo-02-C sub-profile corresponds approximately to the $\delta^{13}C$ maximum (-25.0 to -24.7 ‰).

3.1.2 Biomarker proxies/indices

~~Every radiocarbon-dated sample and additional samples were used for biomarker analysis. In total 25 biomarker samples were analyzed.~~

A series of long-chain *n*-alkanes that exhibit a strong odd-carbon preference ranging from *n*-C_{21} to *n*-C_{33} are recognized in all Yedoma samples (Fig. S2). Moreover, the *n*-alkanes show a unimodal distribution maximizing at the C_{27}, C_{29}, or C_{31} *n*-alkane (Fig. S2). The *n*-fatty acids show strong even-over-odd carbon number predominance and a bimodal distribution ranging from C_{14} to C_{30} (Fig. S3). The maxima are generally located at *n*-C_{16} in the lower carbon number range and at *n*-C_{24} in the higher carbon number range. Total *n*-alkanes and *n*-fatty acids concentrations related to $TOC_{wt\%}$ and sediment weight show a homogeneous pattern similar to that of the $TOC_{wt\%}$ and C/N values. The *n*-alkane concentration ranges from 3 to 75 µg/gSed (mean 20 µg/gSed) and from 387 to 1715 µg/$TOC_{wt\%}$ (mean 1132 µg/$TOC_{wt\%}$). The *n*-fatty acids range from 4 to 306 µg/gSed (mean 51 µg/gSed) and from 475 to 4669 µg/TOC (mean 2196 µg/$TOC_{wt\%}$).

This Yedoma series shows distinct preference between even and odd carbon. The mean CPI values of the *n*-alkanes (12.2, ranging between 8.3 and 15.9) are higher than the CPI values of the *n*-fatty acids (4.9, ranging between 3.8 and 7.6). Because *n*-fatty acids are functional compounds (including a functional group, e.g. a carboxyl group), their degradation rates are much higher compared to those of *n*-alkanes (Poynter and Eglinton, 1990). This statement is also based on the assumption of similar sources. The ACL of the *n*-alkanes and *n*-fatty acids is very stable at around 28.4 (range 27.6 to 29.2) and 25.0 (range 23.8 to 25.6), respectively.

~~Higher~~Relatively higher hop-17(21)-ene concentrations are used as an indicator for lower organic matter degradation state. In the lower Yedoma profile the hop-17(21)-ene ranges from 0.0 µg/gTOC at the lowermost and uppermost samples (4.3 and 18.5 m a.s.l.) to the overall maximum at the Buor Khaya site (19.4 µg/gTOC) at 9.1 m a.s.l. At Buo-02, the hop-17(21)-ene concentration is lower compared to the other Yedoma profile with a mean of 1.9 µg/$gTOC_{wt\%}$ and a maximum of 7.7 µg/$gTOC_{wt\%}$ in the potentially Holocene-contaminated uppermost sample. The HPFA ratio for the Yedoma samples is very stable around the mean value of 0.50 (median 0.54) with a minimum at 18.5 m a.s.l. (0.15) and a maximum at the uppermost sample (0.69) at 29.7 m a.s.l. For Yedoma, the Oleanen ratio is 0.0 (except a ratio of 10.0 at the uppermost sample). The acetate content of the Yedoma sample is between 0.6 and 57.5 mg/~~l~~L with a mean of 6.7 mg/~~l~~L (median 1.2 mg/~~l~~L).

3.2 Organic matter quality of thermokarst deposits

3.2.1 Sedimentological and biogeochemical proxies

The radiocarbon dating shows Holocene ages between 8140±50 and 3665±35 a BP (Fig. ~~4~~S6, Table 1). The lowermost Buo-05-C profile shows an age inversion for the two samples, (0.3 and 2.2 m a.s.l.). The mean grain size at Buo-05 from the bottom to 6.7 m a.s.l. is 13 µm. Above, the mean grain size increases to 19 µm. The Buo-05 clay fraction is stable at a low level (<15%). The Buo-01 profile shows a very scattered grain size ranging from 4 to 44 µm mean grain size. For the whole dataset, there is a maximum in the clay fraction (35%) in the peat horizon at 8.7 m a.s.l. Buo-03 shows a slight decrease from 18 to 11 µm. All thermokarst deposits are classified as (very) poorly-sorted silts. Similar to the Yedoma deposits, the BD of the thermokarst deposits is between 0.1 and 1.5 10^3kg/m³ and the ice content (without the ice wedges) is 23 to 87 wt~~%.~~% (Fig. 4).

The mean $TOC_{wt\%}$ contents of the thermokarst deposits, 4.7 wt% (median 1.7 wt%), are higher compared to Yedoma deposits, varying between 0.2 wt% and 43.0 wt%. Minimum and maximum $TOC_{wt\%}$ both occur at Buo-01 and exhibit the same scatter as in the grain sizes. TOC_{kg/m^3} ranges between 2.8 and 93.5 kg C/m³ (mean 24 kg C/m³, median 19 kg C/m³).

At Buo-05 the C/N ratio is stable around 9 to 10~~,~~ (Fig. 4), except for a paleocryosol horizon at 9.2 m a.s.l. that shows a value of 22. At Buo-01, the C/N ratio below the paleocryosol horizon is remarkably low, between 2 and 9, followed by the overall maximum in the peaty horizon with a ratio of 34. The Buo-03 cryopedolith samples show C/N ratios around 10, while the paleocryosol samples exhibit C/N ratios from 16 to 19. The $\delta^{13}C$ values range between -29.5 and -25.0 ‰, with minima corresponding to the C/N maxima at the paleocryosol horizons (anti-correlated to the C/N, Fig. 5a).

3.2.2 Biomarker proxies/indices

The absolute lipid concentration of *n*-alkanes are in the same range but slightly higher compared to the Yedoma profiles. The *n*-alkane average is 1275.7 µg/g$TOC_{wt\%}$ (median 1260.1 µg/g$TOC_{wt\%}$), ranging from 599.7 (8.7 m a.s.l.) to 1907.2 µg/g$TOC_{wt\%}$ (29.5 m a.s.l.). The *n*-fatty acids average is nearly double that found in the Yedoma samples. On average, 4096.1 µg/g$TOC_{wt\%}$ (median 3805.7 µg/g$TOC_{wt\%}$) are stored in the thermokarst deposits of

Buor Khaya, ranging from 554.5 (uppermost Buo-01 sample) to 11013.3 (uppermost Buo-03 sample) µg/gTOC$_{wt\%}$.

A series of long-chain *n*-alkanes were recognized in all thermokarst samples with a strong odd carbon number preference ranging from *n*-C_{21} to *n*-C_{33}. Nearly all samples show a unimodal distribution of *n*-alkanes maximized at C_{27}, C_{29}, or C_{31} (Fig. S2). Sample Buo-03-A-03 alone does not fit into this scheme because it maximizes at *n*-C_{25}. Compared to Yedoma samples, the short-chain fraction < *n*-C_{27} is more pronounced (Fig. S2). The *n*-fatty acids show strong even-carbon-number preference and a bimodal distribution between *n*-C_{14} and *n*-C_{30} (Fig. S3), but the *n*-C_{16} is less pronounced than in the Yedoma deposits. An exception to this is found in sample Buo-01-A-02, where the C_{16} monomer reaches the overall maximum of the distribution. Apart from that, the maxima are generally located at the C_{24} *n*-fatty acid.

The *n*-alkane CPI of thermokarst averages 9.6 (median 9.3) and is lower compared to the Yedoma deposits, although the CPI values are in the same range (between 7.0 and 15.3). The CPI of the fatty acids ranges from 4.0 to 9.0 (mean 5.3, median 4.9). The ACL of *n*-alkanes and fatty acids reveal a homogeneous signal between 27.2 and 29.2 (mean 28.3) for *n*-alkanes and 23.6 to 25.6 (mean 24.8) for *n*-fatty acids.

Except for the maximum value of 16.1 µg/gTOC$_{wt\%}$ at 8.7 m a.s.l., the hop-17(21)-ene concentration at Buo-05 ~~is quite stable,~~varies between 0.1 and 4.9 µg/gTOC$_{wt\%}$. Buo-01 paleocryosol values are 0.9 ~~-~~(8.7 m a.s.l.) and 8.4 at the lowermost sample (7.8 m a.s.l.). For Buo-03 the hop-17(21)-ene concentration ranges from 5 µg/gTOC$_{wt\%}$ up to 8 µg/gTOC$_{wt\%}$.

The HPFA ratio for the Buo-05 thermokarst samples is high, between 0.6 and 0.8; only the uppermost sample (9.3 m a.s.l.) shows a ~~significantly~~ lower value of 0.2. The Buo-01 profile decreases from 0.7 at the lowest sample to 0.2 at the top. Buo-03 shows high parameter values of 0.8 and 0.9. The Oleanen ratio for the thermokarst deposits ranges between 0 (Buo-01) and 13.8 (Buo-03). The overall mean Oleanen ratio in thermokarst is 3.7 (median 2.2), which is remarkably higher compared to the Yedoma deposits.

The acetate content of the thermokarst samples is between 0.4 and 109.4 mg/~~l~~L with a mean of 23.5 mg/~~l~~L (median 2.8 mg/~~l~~L). Large acetate contents are found especially in the middle part of Buo-05, from 3.4 m a.s.l. (74.1 mg/~~l~~L) to 6.1 m a.s.l. (109.4 mg/~~l~~L), and in the uppermost Buo-03 sample (35.3 mg/~~l~~L).

3.3 Statistical methods

3.3.1 Significance testing

Except for the Yedoma CPI, the Yedoma HPFA and the thermokarst hop-17(21)-ene Shapiro-Wilk normality test reveals a non-normal distribution. Based on this, we chose non-parametric significance testing. This reveals significant differences for TOC, C/N, $\delta^{13}C$ and HPFA on the stratigraphical level (Yedoma vs. thermokarst, Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test, Tab. S1). On the profile level, we found significant differences for C/N, $\delta^{13}C$ and HPFA by applying the Kruskal-Wallis test (Tab. S1).

~~3.2.3~~3.3.2 Principal component analyses

The first PCA diagram (Fig. 6a) shows that thermokarst sediments, especially at Buo-05, could not be separated from Yedoma deposits. This diagram, including the first two principal components, explains 79 % (pc1 57%, pc2 22%) of the total data set variance. The second PCA diagram (Fig. 6b) illustrates that biomarker quality estimators in Yedoma samples have slightly lower variability because they cluster in an area at pc1 and pc2>0, while the thermokarst samples do not cluster. In this diagram 53 % of the data set variance is explained. Moreover, this PCA shows that there is good consistency between the CPI_{alkane} quality estimator and the C/N ratio (Fig. 6b). The PCA of the *n*-alkane chain length (Fig. 6c) shows that the best separating variables for thermokarst are the shorter-chain *n*-alkanes (C_{17}, C_{19}, and C_{21}), contrary to C_{29} for the majority of the Yedoma samples. The pc1 explains 39% and pc2 explains 29% (total 68%) of the data set variance.

4 Discussion

The Buor Khaya Peninsula is a typical Yedoma hill - thermokarst basin landscape of the Yedoma region ~~landscape~~ (Strauss et al., 2013). The Yedoma deposits cover ~15% of the peninsula (Günther et al., 2013), which is less than the Yedoma region mean of 30%, but inside the overall range of Yedoma deposit coverage (Grosse et al., 2013; Strauss et al., 2013). Thus, the current study of Yedoma and thermokarst deposits is representative for an area covered by similar permafrost deposits of late Pleistocene and Holocene age.

4.1 Sediment facies

The grain-size distribution curves (Fig. 2, S1) indicate a constant deposition environment for the Yedoma sequences. According to Strauss et al. (2012), there have been stable deposition conditions during Yedoma accumulation; this hypothesis is supported by the data presented here. The three thermokarst profiles include three different kinds of thermokarst deposits. Buo-05 is dominated by a lake facies containing valves of two freshwater ostracod taxa: *Cytherissa lacustris* and *Cypria* sp. Moreover, shells have been found in Buo-05 (Strauss and Schirrmeister, 2011). An ice wedge is located next to Buo-01, which points to sub-aerial conditions like a polygon mire. Buo-03 is interpreted as initial thermokarst on top of a Yedoma hill. Thus, the grain-size distributions of Buo-05 and Buo-01 reveal that the thermokarst is granulometrically composed of the same material as Yedoma. The grain-size distributions in Buo-03 paint a different picture. This distribution is likely caused by the early state of thermokarst development dominated by peat aggradation. This peat can act like a selective sediment trap influencing the grain-size distributions, e.g. by producing a less distinct coarse silt-fine sand peak.

4.2 Organic matter degradation

The organic matter proxies of Yedoma deposits are less variable than those of thermokarst deposits (Buo-01 and 03). Except for the paleocryosols, the cryopedolith parts of the Yedoma and the Buo-05 thermokarst profile reveal a rather homogenous picture (Fig. 3, 4, S5, S6). Constant grain-size distributions, less $TOC_{wt\%}$, and smaller absolute lipid concentration scattering reveal that the OC stored in the Yedoma deposits has likely been kept perennially frozen since incorporation. The organic matter signatures (Fig. 4, S2, and S3) as well as the grain-size distributions (Fig. 2, S1) of thermokarst deposits, especially in Buo-01 and Buo-03, show broader variations. This is caused by a more complex degradation and re-deposition history due to reworking. The degradation markers of organic matter found in the paleocryosol parts of all profiles reveal a less-degraded state, indicating that the organic matter in these portions is the best preserved.

The mean $TOC_{wt\%}$ content for Yedoma deposits is comparable to other sites (Fig. ~~S5~~S7) in the Yedoma region (Schirrmeister et al., 2011b; Schirrmeister et al., 2013). Intense accumulation and frozen preservation of plant remains (14 kgC/m³ for Yedoma and 24 kgC/m³ for thermokarst deposits) is caused by syngenetic permafrost formation in polygonal tundra

landscapes over long periods in the Quaternary (Schirrmeister et al., 2013). But comparing the studied deposits to the overall Yedoma region mean (19 kgC/m³ for Yedoma deposits and 33 kgC/m³ (disregarding wedge-ice content) for thermokarst deposits, Strauss et al. (2013)) on Buor Khaya Peninsula reveals that both deposit types contain less OC. Nevertheless, these numbers show that ~~these~~such deposits comprise a large pool of dormant carbon, which could be reactivated due to permafrost thawing. Moreover, thermokarst deposits seem to be the quantitatively more important OC pool (Yedoma : thermokarst carbon ratio ~2:3). The higher carbon inventory in thermokarst deposits is partially related to a concentration effect for reworked Yedoma OC due to thaw subsidence progression including ground ice loss plus input of Holocene OC. Together with ecosystem recovery, thermokarst basins can act as a local sink for portions of the carbon released from thawing permafrost deposits (van Huissteden and Dolman, 2012). Nevertheless, at the same time thermokarst lakes also promote intense organic matter degradation including methane production in the anaerobic environments of organic-rich lake sediments and unfrozen deposits (Walter et al., 2007b~~).~~; Shirokova et al., 2013). To answer this question arisen in the introduction, if the thermokarst organic matter pool is as degradable as the frozen late Pleistocene Yedoma ~~(or has the most labile carbon already been emitted due to thermokarst degradation processes?),~~ we visualized the stratigraphically differentiated main proxies in Fig. 7.

In our study the C/N data shows an overlap (Fig. 7b).~~does not reveal a clear picture.~~ The average values are relatively close together for all profiles ~~(Fig. ~~, but~~7b). Nevertheless,~~ the differences are statistically significant (Tab. S1). Thus, the C/N medians and means hint at a lower degradation state/better organic matter quality of thermokarst deposits (especially Buo-03 and Buo-03). Moreover, in both Yedoma and thermokarst deposits the same pattern is visible: A positive linear relationship exists between $TOC_{wt\%}$ and C/N ratios (Fig. 5b). In soil science literature it is agreed that the elemental composition of organic matter is affected by the degree of humification and microbial activities that metabolize the organic matter (Kumada, 1987). Ongoing organic~~ ~~matter decomposition will release stored C to the atmosphere and N to the soil (Weintraub and Schimel, 2005), resulting in a lower C/N ratio for more-degraded deposits (Gundelwein et al., 2007). This was found in (sub-) arctic peat deposits and soils, where the C/N ratio decreases with depth (Kuhry and Vitt, 1996; McKane et al., 1997; Ping et al., 1998). Because a high TN content can promote stabilization of organic matter at late stages of decomposition (Berg, 2000), this further supports the interpretation that a low C/N ratio indicates recalcitrant/matured organic matter (Rumpel and

Kögel-Knabner, 2011). Schädel et al. (2014) found, with incubation studies, that the C/N ratio is a good estimator for organic ~~-~~matter decomposability/vulnerability. Although the C/N ratios are lower than in arctic peat deposits (Hugelius et al., 2012; Routh et al., 2014), the ratios are still in the range of or higher than those found in many other deep mineral soils of the temperate zone (Jenkinson et al., 2008; Rumpel and Kögel-Knabner, 2011). Thus, both Yedoma and thermokarst deposits show relatively good organic matter quality~~.~~ for microbial decay after becoming available by thaw. The C/N ratios, especially for the paleocryosols, suggest that good quality organic matter was preserved (by sub- or near 0°C temperatures during thermokarst processes) for future decomposition. This is shown by the $\delta^{13}C$ ratio as well. Neglecting the influence of different sources of organic matter on the $\delta^{13}C$ ratio, which is justified by constant ACL values of >28 (higher land plants, Fig. S4) for Yedoma and thermokarst deposits, the $\delta^{13}C$ ratio ~~seems to be~~is an appropriate proxy to use to estimate the ~~relative~~ intrinsic state of degradation. Therefore, the $\delta^{13}C$ ~~would~~ indicate ~~somewhat~~ a significant lower organic matter degradation for the thermokarst samples, implying a better quality than that found in Yedoma samples. The ~~slightly (but still clearly overlapping interquartile range~~high CPI values of the thermokarst and the Yedoma organic matter (around 9 and higher) indicate fresh and less degraded terrigenous organic matter (Brassell et al., 1978) for both deposits (Fig. 7d). The even (significantly) higher CPI values of the Yedoma deposit organic matter ~~reveal~~indicate a better quality for further decomposition (Fig. 7d) than in the thermokarst deposits. ~~We interpret this as a weak signal of the thawing and refreezing history of the old OC incorporated into the frozen thermokarst deposits.~~

Routh et al. (2014) states that other, more labile compounds like *n*-alcohols and *n*-fatty acids are degraded to *n*-alkanes. Thus, an increase of *n*-alkanes (Fig. 3 and 4, absolute lipid concentration column) is an indicator for cumulative decay. We do not see a decreasing trend, which points to a constant low decomposition state. In addition, no increasing *n*-fatty acid CPI with depth (as was shown in an arctic peat by Andersson and Meyers (2012)) was obvious. (Andersson and Meyers, 2012) interpreted this to reflect fatty acid production during humification, but we do not see this humification effect in our data, either in Yedoma, or in the thermokarst deposits. Moreover, as indicated by the dominance of long-chain *n*-alkane and *n*-fatty-acid compounds vs. compounds of shorter chain length (Höfle et al., 2013), we confirm the interpretation of good organic matter ~~quality~~preservation in both Yedoma and thermokarst deposits. At first view, the hop-17(21)-ene (Fig. 7e) concentration does not show a ~~distinct quality~~significant preservation difference between both kinds of deposits, because

the Buo-04 Yedoma profile contains hopene concentrations in the same range as those found in thermokarst deposits. However, if we focus on the median values, the Yedoma deposits again appear to be slightly more strongly degraded than the thermokarst deposits. With the exception of Buo-01, the HPFA index (Fig. 7f) also suggests ~~slightly~~ lower degradation and better organic matter quality in the thermokarst deposit profiles (Buo-05 and Buo-03). Our HPFA index, introduced based on Poynter's (1989) HPA index which was tested in the Arctic environment by Routh et al. (2014), is an appropriate indicator of the relative amount of the labile fatty acids that remain in a sample. The uppermost samples just below the surface at Buo-04, Buo-05, and Buo-01 with lower HPFA values are clearly an exception and suggest the entrainment of higher proportions of material influenced by Holocene degradation. This is likely caused by the fairly recent influence of an active layer or transient layer and warmer permafrost temperatures. The Oleanen ratio shows a separation of Yedoma and thermokarst deposits, but this ratio is dominated by numerous 0.0 measurements in the Yedoma deposits. These results might be caused not only by transformation of β-amyrin to Olean-12-ene (by losing the hydroxyl group) or to Olean-13(18)-ene (by losing the double bond), but also by so far unknown processes in the Yedoma deposits. Thus, because of sparse data, we interpret this proxy as ~~potentially hinting at slightly~~a better Yedoma organic matter quality for further decomposition.

Summing up Fig. 7, thermokarst organic matter is partly less degraded ~~and of better quality~~ compared to the organic matter sequestered in Yedoma deposits (~~as revealed by measurements of~~ see table S1, significance for C/N, $\delta^{13}C$, ~~hop-17(21)-ene,~~ and the HPFA index). The CPI points in the other direction ~~and describes the Yedoma organic matter as better preserved~~ (Fig. 7~~). As~~ and table S1). For hop-17(21)-ene, we do not see significant differences. Nevertheless, the interquartile ranges show an overlap for most proxies~~, we see no significant differences (although better~~. We interpret this as following: Compared to unaltered Yedoma deposits, degradation during thermokarst ~~organic matter quality~~processes, but also heightened amounts of OC input during climatically more favorable Holocene times, are balancing each other concerning the organic matter quality for future degradation. Nevertheless, as there is ~~indicated).~~

more carbon stored in the thermokarst basins (Strauss et al. 2013), thermokarst deposits imply a higher intrinsic potential to contribute greenhouse gases in a warmer future. This is supported by the acetate data indicating a higher mean content for the thermokarst deposits.

Acetate is an excellent substrate for microbial turnover e.g. acetoclastic methanogenesis (Kotsyurbenko et al., 2004).The PCA confirms the picture of little difference between the organic matter ~~quality~~preservation of the Yedoma and the thermokarst samples. Especially Fig. 6a, supported by Fig. 2, reveals that Yedoma and thermokarst are composed of similar sediments. The Buo-05 thermokarst profile is very similar to both Yedoma profiles. The PCA of the degradation proxies (Fig. 6b) also shows no clusters, but exhibits slightly better separation between both kinds of deposits. Fig. 6b reveals that the C/N ratio, the $\delta^{13}C$ ratio, and the CPI are correlated. This is also separately illustrated in Fig. 5a and c. Thus, these proxies seem to confirm each other. The PCA of the *n*-alkane chain length points to a potential dominance of longer chain alkanes in Yedoma and shorter chain alkanes in thermokarst, indicating better quality for further decomposition of Yedoma samples (Höfle et al., 2013). Exceptions are the Buo-05-A-01 and Buo-03-A-03 thermokarst samples which point in the same direction as the *n*-C_{35} concentration.

The abovementioned overlap of the interquartile range (Fig. 7) and especially the PCA of the biomarkers (Fig. 6b and c) show that the organic matter degradation/decomposition vulnerability is heterogeneous and depends on different decomposition trajectories and differing former decomposition/incorporation histories. This is likely shown in both Yedoma and thermokarst deposits, covering the whole range of degradation proxy values (Fig 7b, c, e). To elucidate this was one of the benefits of the applied multiproxy approach. With the addition of biomarker data, it is possible to show that the permafrost organic matter degradation is not a linear function of age or sediment facies, but likely a combination of (interrupted) degradation cycles and a cascade of degradation steps. In particular, the reasonably good organic matter ~~quality~~preservation of thermokarst deposits reveals that the sediment degradation processes do not necessarily degrade the organic matter. Potentially, the loss of labile OC during thermokarst processes was compensated for by high rates of Holocene OC accumulation in e.g. lake sediments. Nutrient release from thawing permafrost could have stimulated lake productivity, whereas decomposition was slow because of low lake temperatures, resulting in cold anoxic lake environments (Boike et al., 2013; Walter Anthony et al., 2014). When the lake drained, permafrost formation rapidly recovered the sediments (Jones et al., 2011) including any possibly newly-accumulated OC.

4.3 Fate of organic matter

The permafrost OC resilience or vulnerability is a topic of recent research (Schuur and Abbott, 2011; Knoblauch et al., 2013; Hodgkins et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014; Mu et al., 2014). Any warming permafrost is potentially vulnerable to thawing. The remaining important question is this: What is the fate of the organic matter exposed to degradation after permafrost has thawed? ~~The occurrence of >1 mg/l acetate, which is an ideal substrate for microorganisms (Smith and Mah, 1980; Kuesel and Drake, 1995; Vieth et al., 2008).~~The lipid biomarker data discussed (CPI etc.) indicates that the organic matter in the sediments was, after initial degradation processes, relatively quickly protected against microbial alteration by freezing. This is confirmed by an absent degradation - depth trend which reveals good organic matter quality independent of age. Thus, the very old frozen organic matter is also vulnerable to degradation after thawing. This interpretation fits results from studies of permafrost-affected Arctic peats (Hugelius et al., 2012; Routh et al., 2014). Walter Anthony et al. (2014) found a net accumulation in thermokarst basins since the last deglaciation, but predict a change to a large carbon source when permafrost thaws and the OC will be available for oxidation. Due to ongoing climate warming in the Arctic, Grosse et al. (2011b) suppose an increasing occurrence and magnitude of disturbance processes, especially fire and thermokarst, which will accelerate permafrost degradation. Because our sedimentological and biomarker proxies show a low degradation state, especially for the paleocryosol sequences, we expect a significant vulnerability to microbial degradation after thawing. As evidence that the OC is vulnerable when thawed, Gaglioti et al. (2014) found that ~10 times more ancient OC found in permafrost was made available for degradation during warm times of the Holocene (Holocene Thermal Maximum (11.7-9.0 ka) and Bølling-Allerød periods) than is available today. By increased disturbances like deep surface subsidence caused by thawing and the draining of excess water from melting ice in a warmer climate, the Yedoma and, to a lesser degree because of lower excess ice content, the thermokarst organic matter could become deeply bioavailable. The wedge-ice volume is estimated at up to ~60 vol% for Yedoma and up to ~10 vol% for thermokarst deposits (Ulrich et al., 2014). When added to segregated ice, ~80 vol% and ~65 vol% mean sedimentary ice volume exists in Yedoma and thermokarst, respectively (Strauss et al., 2013). When it becomes available and is exported as dissolved OC to e.g. river systems, Vonk et al. (2013) and Mann et al. (2014) found that dissolved OC (<0.45 µm) in ancient Yedoma is exceptionally biolabile. But if it is not dissolved, the suspended (>0.45 µm) eroded ancient organic matter could be protected from

extensive degradation by organo-mineral bonds, which stabilize the organic matter (Höfle et al., 2013) and, in an aquatic environment, promote rapid settling because they weigh down the organic matter (Vonk et al., 2010).

From the modeling perspective, global-scale models are limited so far because they implement one-dimensional vertical thaw only (Koven et al., 2011; Schneider von Deimling et al., 2012; Schaphoff et al., 2013). Thus, the potentially labile Yedoma and thermokarst deep OC pool described in this study is not realistically implemented in these models, because the models disregard rapid phenomena like thermokarst processes. Thermokarst processes, despite being local in nature, ~~can be~~are widespread on the regional scale (Grosse et al., 2011a) and may constitute the crucial process making the deep OC studied here microbiologically available.

5 Conclusions

As being freeze-locked, the great amount of organic matter in the studied sediments is highly decomposable. Generally, in all applied proxies there is no ~~obviously clear~~ degradation - depth trend obvious, revealing that permafrost acts like a freezer, preserving the organic matter ~~at constant quality.~~after freezing. Based on interpreting the mean values of the C/N ratio, isotope ratio ($\delta^{13}C$), ~~hop-17(21)-ene concentration,~~ and the HPFA index, the thermokarst organic matter is less degraded and of better quality for degradation after thawing compared to the organic matter sequestered in Yedoma deposits. The CPI ~~points in~~data suggest less degradation of the ~~other direction, describing the~~ organic matter from both deposits with a higher value for Yedoma organic matter ~~as being better preserved~~. For the hop-17(21)-ene concentration no significant difference was found. We do not see any conflict between these two determinations, because the interquartile ranges overlap for most proxies. We interpret this to indicate ~~similar~~a comparable magnitude of organic matter quality in both ~~kind~~kinds of deposits, but with ~~perhaps slightly~~a likely better thermokarst organic matter quality for further degradation. For a modelling approach, this conclusion could be extrapolated to the Laptev Sea Region as the studied deposits are akin to other Yedoma and thermokarst deposits of the northeast Siberian Arctic (Schirrmeister et al. 2011a).

The fate of mobilized Yedoma deposit OC depends largely on the environmental conditions that exist during the thermokarst processes and in the resulting thermokarst basin. ~~When~~In conclusion, when the conditions are good for organic matter preservation, for example cold

(slightly above 0°C) or anoxic (lake) conditions, and reworked fossil organic matter can rapidly refreeze to permafrost, good-quality organic matter for further decomposition can be maintained and inputs ~~could~~likely compensate for losses due to thermokarst degradation.

~~In conclusion, we found that a combination of classical sedimentological proxies and biomarker ratios is useful for getting closer to an understanding of the complex history of organic matter delivery and degradation in permafrost, as well as the future fate of organic matter when it is exposed due to active layer deepening and further thermokarst development.~~

Author contribution

J.~~S.,~~ Strauss, L.~~S.,~~ Schirrmeister, and S.~~W.~~ Wetterich sampled and coordinated all sediment sampling at the Buor Khaya field campaign in 2010. K.~~M.~~ Mangelsdorf supported the biomarker analysis and interpretation. J.~~S.~~ Strauss carried out the laboratory analyses, except for one profile, which was analyzed by L.~~E~~ Eichhorn. U.~~H.~~ Herzschuh designed the statistical analyses. J.~~S.~~ Strauss planned and wrote the publication with input from all co-authors.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support of this research by the German Ministry of ~~Science and~~ Education and Research (the “System Laptev Sea” and ~~"~~“CarboPerm~~"~~” (03G0836A) projects). We also thank the Russian and German partners who were involved in the "Eastern Laptev Sea - Buor Khaya Peninsula 2010" expedition. J.~~S.~~ Strauss was supported by a grant by the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes (German National Academic Foundation) and a European Research Council Starting Grant (PETA-CARB, #338335).

References

Andersson, R. A., Kuhry, P., Meyers, P., Zebühr, Y., Crill, P., and Mörth, M.: Impacts of paleohydrological changes on n-alkane biomarker compositions of a holocene peat sequence in the eastern ~~european russian arctic~~European Russian Arctic, Organic Geochemistry, 42, 1065-1075, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2011.06.020, 2011.

Andersson, R. A., and Meyers, P. A.: Effect of climate change on delivery and degradation of lipid biomarkers in a ~~holocene~~Holocene peat sequence in the eastern ~~european russian~~

~~arctic~~European Russian Arctic, Organic Geochemistry, 53, 63-72, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2012.05.002, 2012.

Berg, B.: Litter decomposition and organic matter turnover in northern forest soils, Forest Ecology and Management, 133, 13-22, doi:10.1016/S0378-1127(99)00294-7, 2000.

Blott, S. J., and Pye, K.: Gradistat: A grain size distribution and statistics package for the analysis of unconsolidated sediments, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 26, 1237-1248, doi:10.1002/esp.261, 2001.

Boike, J., Kattenstroth, B., Abramova, K., Bornemann, N., Chetverova, A., Fedorova, I., Fröb, K., Grigoriev, M., Grüber, M., Kutzbach, L., Langer, M., Minke, M., Muster, S., Piel, K., Pfeiffer, E. M., Stoof, G., Westermann, S., Wischnewski, K., Wille, C., and Hubberten, H. W.: Baseline characteristics of climate, permafrost and land cover from a new permafrost observatory in the ~~lena river delta, siberia~~Lena River Delta, Siberia (1998-2011), Biogeosciences, 10, 2105-2128, doi:10.5194/bg-10-2105-2013, 2013.

Brassell, S., Eglinton, G., Maxwell, J., and Philp, R.: Natural background of alkanes in the aquatic environment. In: Aquatic pollutants: Transformation and biological effects, Hutzinger, O., Lelyveld, I. H., and Zoetman, B. C. J. (Eds.), Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1978.

Bray, E. E., and Evans, E. D.: Distribution of n-paraffins as a clue to recognition of source beds, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 22, 2-15, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(61)90069-2, 1961.

Ciais, P., Tagliabue, A., Cuntz, M., Bopp, L., Scholze, M., Hoffmann, G., Lourantou, A., Harrison, S. P., Prentice, I. C., Kelley, D. I., Koven, C., and Piao, S. L.: Large inert carbon pool in the terrestrial biosphere during the last glacial maximum, Nature Geoscience, 5, 74-79, doi:10.1038/ngeo1324, 2012.

Dlugokencky, E., and Tans, P.: Trends in atmospheric carbon dioxide: http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/global.html, access: 23.03.2014, 2014.

Drachev, S. S., Savostin, L. A., Groshev, V. G., and Bruni, I. E.: Structure and geology of the continental shelf of the ~~laptev sea~~Laptev Sea, eastern ~~russian arctic~~Russian Arctic, Tectonophysics, 298, 357-393, doi:10.1016/S0040-1951(98)00159-0, 1998.

Drozdov, D. S., Rivkin, F. M., Rachold, V., Ananjeva-Malkova, G. V., Ivanova, N. V., Chehina, I. V., Koreisha, M. M., Korostelev, Y. V., and Melnikov, E. S.: Electronic atlas of

the ~~russian arctic~~Russian Arctic coastal zone, Geo-~~Mar Lett~~Marine Letters, 25, 81-88, doi:10.1007/s00367-004-0189-7, 2005.

Folk, R. L., and Ward, W. C.: Brazos river bar: A study in the significance of grain size parameters, Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, 27, 3-26, 1957.

Gaglioti, B. V., Mann, D. H., Jones, B. M., Pohlman, J. W., Kunz, M. L., and Wooller, M. J.: Radiocarbon age-offsets in an ~~arctic~~Arctic lake reveal the long-term response of permafrost carbon to climate change, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, ~~2014JG002688,~~ 119, 1630–1651, doi:10.1002/2014jg002688, 2014.

Glombitza, C., Mangelsdorf, K., and Horsfield, B.: Maturation related changes in the distribution of ester bound fatty acids and alcohols in a coal series from the ~~new zealand~~New Zealand coal band covering diagenetic to catagenetic coalification levels, Organic Geochemistry, 40, 1063-1073, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2009.07.008, 2009.

Goslar, T., Czernik, J., and Goslar, E.: Low-energy ~~14c~~^{14}C ams in ~~poznań~~Poznań radiocarbon laboratory, ~~poland~~Poland, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 223–224, 5-11, doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2004.04.005, 2004.

Grosse, G., Harden, J., Turetsky, M. R., McGuire, A. D., Camill, P., Tarnocai, C., Frolking, S., Schuur, E. A. G., Jorgenson, T., Marchenko, S., Romanovsky, V., Wickland, K. P., French, N., Waldrop, M. P., Bourgeau-Chavez, L., and Striegl, R. G.: Vulnerability of high-latitude soil organic carbon in ~~north america~~North America to disturbance, Journal of Geophysical Research, 116, G00K06, doi:10.1029/2010JG001507, 2011a.

Grosse, G., Romanovsky, V., Jorgenson, T., Anthony, K. W., Brown, J., and Overduin, P. P.: Vulnerability and feedbacks of permafrost to climate change, Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union, 92, 73-74, doi:10.1029/2011eo090001, 2011b.

Grosse, G., Robinson, J. E., Bryant, R., Taylor, M. D., Harper, W., DeMasi, A., Kyker-Snowman, E., Veremeeva, A., Schirrmeister, L., and Harden, J.: Distribution of late ~~pleistocene~~Pleistocene ice-rich syngenetic permafrost of the yedoma suite in east and central ~~siberia, russia~~Siberia, Russia, U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report, 1078, ~~37~~U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, USA, 37 pp, 2013.

Gubin, S. V., and Veremeeva, A. A.: Parent materials enriched in organic matter in the northeast of ~~russia~~Russia, Eurasian Soil Science, 43, 1238-1243, doi:10.1134/s1064229310110062, 2010.

Gundelwein, A., Muller-Lupp, T., Sommerkorn, M., Haupt, E. T. K., Pfeiffer, E., and Wiechmann, H.: Carbon in tundra soils in the lake labaz region of ~~arctic siberia~~Arctic Siberia, European Journal of Soil Science, 58, pp. 1164-1174, 2007.

Günther, F., Overduin, P. P., Sandakov, A., Grosse, G., and Grigoriev, M. N.: Thermo-erosion along the yedoma coast of the ~~buor khaya~~Buor Khaya peninsula, ~~laptev sea~~Laptev Sea, east ~~siberia~~Siberia, Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Permafrost, Volume 1: International Contributions, Salekhard, Russia, 25-29 June 2012, 137-142, 2012

Günther, F., Overduin, P. P., Sandakov, A. V., Grosse, G., and Grigoriev, M. N.: Short- and long-term thermo-erosion of ice-rich permafrost coasts in the ~~laptev sea~~Laptev Sea region, Biogeosciences, 10, 4297-4318, doi:10.5194/bg-10-4297-2013, 2013.

Heyer, J., Hübner, H., and Maaβ, I.: Isotopenfraktionierung des ~~kohlenstoffs~~Kohlenstoffs bei der mikrobiellen ~~methanbildung~~Methanbildung, Isotopes in Environmental and Health Studies, 12, 202–205, doi:10.1080/10256017608543912, 1976.

Hodgkins, S. B., Tfaily, M. M., McCalley, C. K., Logan, T. A., Crill, P. M., Saleska, S. R., Rich, V. I., and Chanton, J. P.: Changes in peat chemistry associated with permafrost thaw increase greenhouse gas production, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111, 5819-5824, doi:10.1073/pnas.1314641111, 2014.

Höfle, S., Rethemeyer, J., Mueller, C. W., and John, S.: Organic matter composition and stabilization in a polygonal tundra soil of the ~~lena delta~~Lena Delta, Biogeosciences, 10, 3145-3158, doi:10.5194/bg-10-3145-2013, 2013.

Hugelius, G., Routh, J., Kuhry, P., and Crill, P.: Mapping the degree of decomposition and thaw remobilization potential of soil organic matter in discontinuous permafrost terrain, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 117, G02030, doi:10.1029/2011jg001873, 2012.

Hugelius, G., Strauss, J., Zubrzycki, S., Harden, J., Schuur, E. A. G., Ping, C.-L., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Michaelson, G., Koven, C., O'Donnel, J., Elberling, B., Mishra, U., Camill, P., Yu, Z., Palmtag, J., and Kuhry, P.: ~~Improved estimates show large~~ Estimated stocks of circumpolar ~~stocks of~~ permafrost carbon ~~while quantifying substantial~~with

quantified uncertainty ranges and ~~identifying remaining~~identified data gaps, Biogeosciences ~~Discussions~~, 11, ~~4771–4822,~~ 6573–6593, doi:10.5194/~~bgd~~bg-11-~~4771~~6573-2014, 2014.

Jenkinson, D. S., Poulton, P. R., and Bryant, C.: The turnover of organic carbon in subsoils. Part 1. Natural and bomb radiocarbon in soil profiles from the ~~rothamsted~~Rothamsted long-term field experiments, European Journal of Soil Science, 59, 391-399, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2008.01025.x, 2008.

Jones, B. M., Grosse, G., Arp, C. D., Jones, M. C., Walter Anthony, K. M., and Romanovsky, V. E.: Modern thermokarst lake dynamics in the continuous permafrost zone, northern ~~seward peninsula, alaska~~Seward Peninsula, Alaska, J. Geophys. Res., 116, G00M03, doi:10.1029/2011JG001666, 2011.

Knoblauch, C., Beer, C., Sosnin, A., Wagner, D., and Pfeiffer, E.-M.: Predicting long-term carbon mineralization and trace gas production from thawing permafrost of northeast ~~siberia~~Siberia, Glob. Change Biol., 19, 1160–1172, doi:10.1111/gcb.12116, 2013.

Kotsyurbenko, O. R., Chin, K.-J., Glagolev, M. V., Stubner, S., Simankova, M. V., Nozhevnikova, A. N., and Conrad, R.: Acetoclastic and hydrogenotrophic methane production and methanogenic populations in an acidic West-Siberian peat bog, Environmental Microbiology. 6, 1159-1173, doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2004.00634.x, 2004.

Koven, C. D., Ringeval, B., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Cadule, P., Khvorostyanov, D., Krinner, G., and Tarnocai, C.: Permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks accelerate global warming, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108, 14769-14774, doi:10.1073/pnas.1103910108, 2011.

Kuesel, K., and Drake, H. L.: Effects of environmental parameters on the formation and turnover of acetate by forest soils, Applied and environmental microbiology, 61, 3667-3675, 1995.

Kuhry, P., and Vitt, D. H.: Fossil carbon/nitrogen ratios as a measure of peat decomposition, Ecology, 77, 271-275, doi:10.2307/2265676, 1996.

Kuhry, P., Ping, C.-L., Schuur, E. A. G., Tarnocai, C., and Zimov, S.: Report from the international permafrost association: Carbon pools in permafrost regions, Permafrost ~~Periglac~~Periglacial Process., 20, 229-234, doi:10.1002/ppp.648, 2009.

Kumada, K.: Chemistry of soil organic matter, Developments in soil science, Elsevier/Japan Scientific Societies Press, Amsterdam, 1987.

Lee, H., Schuur, E. A. G., Inglett, K. S., Lavoie, M., and Chanton, J. P.: The rate of permafrost carbon release under aerobic and anaerobic conditions and its potential effects on climate, Glob. Change Biol., 18, 515-527, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02519.x, 2012.

Li, J., Luo, Y., Natali, S., Schuur, E. A. G., Xia, J., Kowalczyk, E., and Wang, Y.: Modeling permafrost thaw and ecosystem carbon cycle under annual and seasonal warming at an ~~arctic~~Arctic tundra site in ~~alaska~~Alaska, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 119, 2013JG002569, doi:10.1002/2013jg002569, 2014.

Luo, Q., Yu, S., Liu, Y., Zhang, Y., Han, H., Qi, L., and Zhong, N.: Existence and implications of hop-17(21)-enes in the lower cretaceous of the ~~saihantala sag, erlian basin, china~~Saihantala Sag, Erlian Basin, China, Pet. Sci., 9, 154-160, doi:10.1007/s12182-012-0195-8, 2012.

Mangelsdorf, K., Finsel, E., Liebner, S., and Wagner, D.: Temperature adaptation of microbial communities in different horizons of ~~siberian~~Siberian permafrost-affected soils from the ~~lena delta~~Lena Delta, Chemie der Erde - Geochemistry, 69, 169-182, doi:10.1016/j.chemer.2009.02.001, 2009.

Mann, P. J., Sobczak, W. V., LaRue, M. M., Bulygina, E., Davydova, A., Vonk, J. E., Schade, J., Davydov, S., Zimov, N., Holmes, R. M., and Spencer, R. G. M.: Evidence for key enzymatic controls on metabolism of ~~arctic~~Arctic river organic matter, Glob. Change Biol., 20, 1089-1100, doi:10.1111/gcb.12416, 2014.

Marzi, R., Torkelson, B. E., and Olson, R. K.: A revised carbon preference index, Organic Geochemistry, 20, 1303-1306, doi:10.1016/0146-6380(93)90016-5, 1993.

McKane, R. B., Rastetter, E. B., Shaver, G. R., Nadelhoffer, K. J., Giblin, A. E., Laundre, J. A., and Chapin, F. S.: Climatic effects on tundra carbon storage inferred from experimental data and a model, Ecology, 78, 1170-1187, doi:10.1890/0012-9658(1997)078[1170:ceotcs]2.0.co;2, 1997.

Mu, C., Zhang, T., Schuster, P. F., Schaefer, K., Wickland, K. P., Repert, D. A., Liu, L., Schaefer, T., and Cheng, G.: Carbon and geochemical properties of cryosols on the north slope of ~~alaska~~Alaska, Cold Regions Science and Technology, 100, 59-67, doi:10.1016/j.coldregions.2014.01.001, 2014.

Oksanen, J.: Multivariate analysis of ecological communities in r: Vegan tutorial, University of Oulu, Oulu, 43 pp., 2013.

Ping, C. L., Bockheim, J. G., Kimble, J. M., Michaelson, G. J., and Walker, D. A.: Characteristics of cryogenic soils along a latitudinal transect in ~~arctic alaska~~Arctic Alaska, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 103, 28917-28928, doi:10.1029/98jd02024, 1998.

Poynter, J., and Eglinton, G.: 14. Molecular composition of three sediments from hole 717c: The ~~bengal~~Bengal fan, Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program: Scientific results, 116, 155-161, 1990.

Poynter, J~~. G.~~.: Molecular stratigraphy: The recognition of palaeoclimatic signals in organic geochemical data, PhD, School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, 324 pp., 1989.

Radke, M., Willsch, H., and Welte, D. H.: Preparative hydrocarbon group type determination by automated medium pressure liquid chromatography, Analytical Chemistry, 52, 406-411, doi:10.1021/ac50053a009, 1980.

Romanovskii, N. N., Hubberten, H. W., Gavrilov, A. V., Tumskoy, V. E., and Kholodov, A. L.: Permafrost of the east ~~siberian arctic~~Siberian Arctic shelf and coastal lowlands, Quaternary Science Reviews, 23, 1359-1369, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2003.12.014, 2004.

Romanovsky, V. E., Smith, S. L., and Christiansen, H. H.: Permafrost thermal state in the polar northern hemisphere during the international polar year 2007–2009: A synthesis, Permafrost Periglacial Process., 21, 106-116, doi:10.1002/ppp.689, 2010.

Routh, J., Hugelius, G., Kuhry, P., Filley, T., Tillman, P. K., Becher, M., and Crill, P.: Multi-proxy study of soil organic matter dynamics in permafrost peat deposits reveal vulnerability to climate change in the ~~european russian arctic~~European Russian Arctic, Chemical Geology, 368, 104-117, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.12.022, 2014.

Rumpel, C., and Kögel-Knabner, I.: Deep soil organic matter - a key but poorly understood component of terrestrial c cycle, Plant Soil, 338, 143-158, doi:10.1007/s11104-010-0391-5, 2011.

Schädel, C., Schuur, E. A. G., Bracho, R., Elberling, B., Knoblauch, C., Lee, H., Luo, Y. Q., Shaver, G. R., and Turetsky, M. R.: Circumpolar assessment of permafrost ~~c~~C quality and its

vulnerability over time using long-term incubation data, Glob. Change Biol., 20, 641-652, doi:10.1111/gcb.12417, 2014.

Schaphoff, S., Heyder, U., Ostberg, S., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., and Lucht, W.: Contribution of permafrost soils to the global carbon budget, Environmental Research Letters, 8, 014026, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/014026, 2013.

Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Wetterich, S., Overduin, P. P., Strauss, J., Schuur, E. A. G., and Hubberten, H.-W.: Fossil organic matter characteristics in permafrost deposits of the northeast Siberian Arctic, Journal of Geophysical Research, 116, G00M02, doi:10.1029/2011jg001647, 2011a.

Schirrmeister, L., Kunitsky, V., Grosse, G., Wetterich, S., Meyer, H., Schwamborn, G., Babiy, O., Derevyagin, A., and Siegert, C.: Sedimentary characteristics and origin of the late pleistocene ice complex on north-east ~~siberian arctic~~Siberian Arctic coastal lowlands and islands - a review, Quaternary International, 241, 3-25, doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2010.04.004, 2011b.

Schirrmeister, L., Froese, D. G., Tumskoy, V., Grosse, G., and Wetterich, S.: Yedoma: ~~Late pleistocene~~late Pleistocene ice-rich syngenetic permafrost of ~~beringia~~Beringia, in: Encyclopedia of quaternary sciences, 2 ed., edited by: Elias, S. A., Quaternary glaciation | cold regions landforms, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2013.

Schneider von Deimling, T., Meinshausen, M., Levermann, A., Huber, V., Frieler, K., Lawrence, D. M., and Brovkin, V.: Estimating the near-surface permafrost-carbon feedback on global warming, Biogeosciences, 9, 649-665, cc, 2012.

Schulte, S., Mangelsdorf, K., and Rullkötter, J.: Organic matter preservation on the ~~pakistan~~Pakistan continental margin as revealed by biomarker geochemistry, Organic Geochemistry, 31, 1005-1022, doi:10.1016/S0146-6380(00)00108-X, 2000.

Schuur, E. A. G., Vogel, J. G., Crummer, K. G., Lee, H., Sickman, J. O., and Osterkamp, T. E.: The effect of permafrost thaw on old carbon release and net carbon exchange from tundra, Nature, 459, 556-559, doi:10.1038/nature08031, 2009.

Schuur, E. A. G., and Abbott, B.: High risk of permafrost thaw, Nature, 480, 32-33, doi:10.1038/480032a, 2011.

Shirokova, L. S., Pokrovsky, O. S., Kirpotin, S. N., Desmukh, C., Pokrovsky, B. G., Audry, S., and Viers, J.: Biogeochemistry of organic carbon, CO_2, CH_4, and trace elements in thermokarst water bodies in discontinuous permafrost zones of Western Siberia, Biogeochemistry, 113, 573-593, doi:10.1007/s10533-012-9790-4, 2013.

Smith, M. R., and Mah, R. A.: Acetate as sole carbon and energy source for growth of methanosarcina strain 227, Applied and environmental microbiology, 39, 993-999, 1980.

Sollins, P., Spycher, G., and Glassman, C. A.: Net nitrogen mineralization from light- and heavy-fraction forest soil organic matter, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 16, 31-37, doi:10.1016/0038-0717(84)90122-6, 1984.

Stevenson, F. J.: Humus chemistry: Genesis, composition, reactions, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1994.

Strauss, J., and Schirrmeister, L.: Permafrost sequences of buor khaya peninsula, in: Reports on polar and marine research - ~~russian-german~~Russian-German cooperation system ~~laptev sea~~Laptev Sea: The expedition eastern ~~laptev sea-buor khaya peninsula~~Laptev Sea-Buor Khaya Peninsula 2010, edited by: Wetterich, S., Overduin, P. P., and Grigoriev, M., Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany, 35-50, 2011.

Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Wetterich, S., Borchers, A., and Davydov, S. P.: Grain-size properties and organic-carbon stock of yedoma ice complex permafrost from the ~~kolyma~~Kolyma lowland, northeastern ~~siberia~~Siberia, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 26, GB3003, doi:10.1029/2011GB004104, 2012.

Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Wetterich, S., Ulrich, M., Herzschuh, U., and Hubberten, H.-W.: The deep permafrost carbon pool of the yedoma region in ~~siberia~~Siberia and ~~alaska~~Alaska, Geophys. Res. Lett., ~~2013GL058088, 40, 6165–6170,~~ doi:10.1002/2013GL058088, 2013.

Stuiver, M., Reimer, P., and Reimer, R.: Calib 6.0, in, ^{14}C CHRONO Centre, Queens University Belfast, Belfast, 2010.

Tieszen, L.: Photosynthesis and respiration in ~~arctic~~Arctic tundra grasses: Field light intensity and temperature responses, Arctic and Alpine Research, 5, 239-251, 1973.

Ulrich, M., Grosse, G., Strauss, J., and Schirrmeister, L.: Quantifying wedge-ice volumes in yedoma and thermokarst basin deposits, Permafrost Periglacial Process., 25, 151–161, doi:10.1002/ppp.1810, 2014.

van Huissteden, J., and Dolman, A. J.: Soil carbon in the ~~arctic~~Arctic and the permafrost carbon feedback, Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 4, 545-551, doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2012.09.008, 2012.

Vieth, A., Mangelsdorf, K., Sykes, R., and Horsfield, B.: Water extraction of coals – potential for estimating low molecular weight organic acids as carbon feedstock for the deep terrestrial biosphere, Organic Geochemistry, 39, 985-991, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2008.02.012, 2008.

Vonk, J. E., Sánchez-García, L., Semiletov, I., Dudarev, O., Eglinton, T., Andersson, A., and Gustafsson, Ö.: Molecular and radiocarbon constraints on sources and degradation of terrestrial organic carbon along the ~~kolyma~~Kolyma paleoriver transect, east ~~siberian sea~~Siberian Sea, Biogeosciences, 7, 3153-3166, doi:10.5194/bg-7-3153-2010, 2010.

Vonk, J. E., Mann, P. J., Davydov, S., Davydova, A., Spencer, R. G. M., Schade, J., Sobczak, W. V., Zimov, N., Zimov, S., Bulygina, E., Eglinton, T. I., and Holmes, R. M.: High biolability of ancient permafrost carbon upon thaw, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 2689-2693, doi:10.1002/grl.50348, 2013.

Waldrop, M. P., Wickland, K. P., White Iii, R., Berhe, A. A., Harden, J. W., and Romanovsky, V. E.: Molecular investigations into a globally important carbon pool: Permafrost-protected carbon in ~~alaskan~~Alaskan soils, Glob. Change Biol., 16, 2543-2554, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02141.x, 2010.

Walter Anthony, K. M., Zimov, S. A., Grosse, G., Jones, M. C., Anthony, P. M., Chapin III, F. S., Finlay, J. C., Mack, M. C., Davydov, S., Frenzel, P., and Frolking, S.: A shift of thermokarst lakes from carbon sources to sinks during the ~~holocene~~Holocene epoch, Nature, ~~advance online publication,~~ 511, 452–456, doi:10.1038/nature13560, 2014.

Walter, K. M., Edwards, M. E., Grosse, G., Zimov, S. A., and Chapin, F. S.: Thermokarst lakes as a source of atmospheric ~~ch4~~CH_4 during the last deglaciation, Science, 318, 633-636, doi:10.1126/science.1142924, 2007a.

Walter, K. M., Smith, L. C., and Chapin, S. F.: Methane bubbling from northern lakes: Present and future contributions to the global methane budget, Philosophical Transactions of

the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 365, 1657-1676, doi:10.1098/rsta.2007.2036, 2007b.

Weintraub, M. N., and Schimel, J. P.: Nitrogen cycling and the spread of shrubs control changes in the carbon balance of ~~arctic~~Arctic tundra ecosystems, BioScience, 55, 408-415, doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2005)055[0408:ncatso]2.0.co;2, 2005.

Zanina, O. G., Gubin, S. V., Kuzmina, S. A., Maximovich, S. V., and Lopatina, D. A.: Late-~~pleistocene (mis~~Pleistocene (MIS 3-2) palaeoenvironments as recorded by sediments, palaeosols, and ground-squirrel nests at ~~duvanny yar, kolyma lowland~~Duvanny Yar, Kolyma Lowland, northeast ~~siberia~~Siberia, Quaternary Science Reviews, 30, 2107–2123, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.01.021, 2011.

Table 1: Radiocarbon AMS dating on plant macro remains. Calibrations were done by using Calib 6.0 software and the IntCal09 calibration curve (Stuiver et al., 2010). Depth is given in meter below surface level (m b.s.l.) and height in meter above sea level (m a.s.l.). Age is given as year before present (a BP). Poz: Poznań Radiocarbon Laboratory, Poland.

Lab. no.	Sample name	Depth [m b.s.l.]	Height [m a.s.l.]	Radiocarbon ages [a BP]	±	Calibrated ages 2σ 95.4% [a BP]	±	
Poz-42080	Buo-03-A-03	1.3	28.7	4760	40	5519	70	**thermokarst deposits**
Poz-42072	Buo-01-A-02	0.7	8.7	3665	35	3990	100	
Poz-42073	Buo-01-A-04	1.8	7.6	8140	50	9075	78	
Poz-42086	Buo-05-A-04	0.8	8.7	5990	40	6837	103	
Poz-42087	Buo-05-B-10	3.4	6.1	8000	80	8817	215	
Poz-42088	Buo-05-B-19	6.1	3.4	7940	50	8811	122	
Poz-42090	Buo-05-C-23	7.3	2.2	5280	35	6059	74	
Poz-42091	Buo-05-C-29	9.2	0.3	6710	90	7566	138	
Poz-42074	Buo-02-A-03	0.7	29.3	30,100	300	34613	596	**Yedoma deposits**
Poz-42075	Buo-02-B-09	3.5	26.5	34,650	550	39813	1242	
Poz-42076	Buo-02-B-12	5	25	41,500	1500	45312	2649	
Poz-42077	Buo-02-D-20	5.5	24.5	45,000	2000	47614	2386	
Poz-42078	Buo-02-D-23	7	23	43,000	1500	46,830	2678	
Poz-42081	Buo-04-A-02	1.5	17.1	49,000	3000			
Poz-42082	Buo-04-A-08	5	13.6	>48,000				
Poz-42083	Buo-04-B-10	8.5	9.1	>55,000				
Poz-42084	Buo-04-C-16	10.5	8	>49,000				
Poz-42085	Buo-04-C-20	11.7	6.8	>55,000				



Figure 1. Location of the Buor Khaya Peninsula and the study area. The square black box in the globe inset indicates the area shown in the map below. The profile diagram and the photographs below it show the profiles and their positions relative to each other. Modified after Strauss and Schirrmeister (2011), pictures taken by J. Strauss.



Figure 2. Three-dimensional grain-size distributions of a) Yedoma and b) thermokarst profiles. To avoid an overlap of Buo-05 and Buo-01 in b), the altitude axis was adapted and does not ascend consistently. A two-dimensional grain-size plot is shown in Fig. S1.



Figure 3. Summary of sedimentological, biogeochemical, and biomarker parameters for the Buo-04 and Buo-02 Yedoma profiles. All diagrams are drawn in such a way as to show more degraded samples on the left and less degraded samples on the right side. Thus, the axis of

$\delta^{13}C$ values ~~and the Oleanen ratio are~~is descending. In the text, the paleocryosol parts are reported with altitude measurements from the lowest to the highest sample of each paleocryosol. The grey shaded areas are for visualization, not for exact height estimations of the paleocryosols.



Figure 4. Summary of sedimentological, biogeochemical, and biomarker parameters for the Buo-05, Buo-01, and Buo-03 thermokarst profiles. ~~The grain-size colors and the~~The *n*-alkane and *n*-fatty acid symbols are explained in Fig. 3. All diagrams are drawn in such a way as to show more degraded samples on the left and less degraded samples on the right side

(descending axis of $\delta^{13}C$ values ~~and Oleanen ratio).~~). In the text, the paleocryosol parts are reported with altitude measurements from the lowest to the highest sample of each paleocryosol. The grey shaded areas are for visualization, not for exact height estimations of the paleocryosols.



Figure 5. Scatter plots of selected degradation markers. The x-axis shows the C/N ratio always. Yedoma deposits are shown as black triangles, thermokarst deposits as grey diamonds. Regression equations, the r^2, ~~and the~~ sample number (n) and the p-value are inserted as texts.


a)
Yedoma deposits (2; 4)
Thermokarst deposits (1; 3; 5)
Silt
Clay
Sand
bulk density
C/N
ice
pc2 22 %
pc1 57 %


b)
alkane concentration
bulk density
ACL fatty acids
Silt
ACL alkanes
Oleanen ratio
hop-17(21)en
acetate
fatty acid concentration
HPFA
Clay
depth
ice
C/N
Sand
pc2 20 %
pc1 33 %


c)
Clay
bulk density
Silt
ice
Sand
depth
pc2 29 %
pc1 39 %

Figure 6. Ordination plots of the principal component analyses~~.~~ (PCA). In diagram a) the sedimentological parameters are plotted. In b) a PCA of biomarker proxies is shown. Supplementary variables (in blue: $TOC_{wt\%}$, C/N, $\delta^{13}C$, grain size, BD, ice content) were added without including them in the PCA calculation. In diagram c) the PCA of the major odd *n*-alkanes is visualized using the same supplementary variables as in b).


a)
0 1 2 3 4 5 20 30 40 50
TOC (wt%)
32
49
b)
0 5 10 15 30 40
C/N ratio
31
42
c)
-22 -24 -26 -28 -30
δ13C (‰ vs VPDB)
32
48
d)
0 4 8 12 16
CPI
10
14
e)
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 16 20
hop-17(21)-ene (µg/gTOCwt%)
10
14
f)
0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1
HPFA
10
14
high
relative degradation
low
Thermokarst deposits
42
Yedoma deposits
48
sample size

1

Figure 7. Conceptual scheme of the organic matter degradation state, estimated using the different applied proxies with boxplots ~~for each studied profile.~~. The ~~boxplots show the studied~~merged profiles ~~separately, with~~of Yedoma ~~deposits~~ deposit boxplots (white boxes) are shown below the thermokarst deposits (grey boxes). The whiskers illustrate the data range, and the box ends indicate the 25^{th} and the 75^{th} quartile (interquartile range). The vertical lines inside each box show the median (=50^{th} quartile~~).~~) including the 95% confidence intervals, illustrated as notches. All diagrams are drawn in such a way as to show more degraded samples on the left and less degraded samples on the right side. Thus, the axis of $\delta^{13}C$ values is descending.