1	Technical note: Methionine, a precursor of methane in living plants
2	Katharina Lenhart ^{1,2,3*} Frederik Althoff ^{1,2} , Markus Greule ^{1,2} and Frank Keppler ^{1,2*}
3	
4	1 Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Hahn-Meitner-Weg 1, 55128 Mainz, Germany.
5	² Institute of Earth Sciences, University Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 234-236,
6	69120 Heidelberg, Germany.
7	³ Department of Plant Ecology (IFZ), Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26-32, 35320 Gießen, Germany
8	
9	*Authors for correspondence:
10	Katharina Lenhart, Tel: +49 641 99 35326, Email: <u>katharina.lenhart@mpic.de</u>
11	Frank Keppler, Tel: +49 6221 546009, Email: <u>frank.keppler@mpic.de</u>
12	
13	
14	
15	

16 **INTRODUCTION**

The observation that plants produce methane (CH₄) under aerobic conditions has 17 caused considerable controversy amongst the scientific community (Keppler et al., 18 2006; Dueck et al., 2007; Evans, 2007; Beerling et al., 2008; Kirschbaum and Walcroft, 19 2008;McLeod et al., 2008;Vigano et al., 2008;Wang et al., 2008;Nisbet et al., 2009;Bloom 20 21 et al., 2010, Covey et al. 2012, Zhang et al. 2014). Despite much scepticism a number of recent studies provide support for the phenomenon not only for living plants but also 22 for dead plant matter (Keppler et al., 2009;Qaderi and Reid, 2011;Bruhn et al., 23 2012; Wang et al., 2013). 24

Non-microbial CH₄ release has been shown to occur under ultraviolet (UV) irradiation 25 and elevated temperatures from dry and detached fresh plant material (McLeod et al., 26 2008;Vigano et al., 2008;Bruhn et al., 2009). Pectin has been considered a source of CH₄ 27 production primarily because of its high degree of methylation (Keppler et al., 28 29 2008;Bruhn et al., 2009;Messenger et al., 2009). A reaction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) with pectic polysaccharides was suggested as a possible route to CH₄ formation 30 under UV radiation (Messenger et al., 2009). From plant matter CH₄ can be derived from 31 other structural components as shown with commercially purified lignin and cellulose 32 (Vigano et al., 2008), leaf surface waxes (Bruhn et al., 2014), and even from ascorbic acid 33 under highly oxidative conditions (Althoff et al., 2010). For further information on CH₄ 34 formation, from dry and fresh detached plant matter and specific plant structural 35 compounds, the reader should refer to the recent reviews of Bruhn et al. (2012) and 36 Wang et al. (2013). 37

Stable isotope analysis has been employed to demonstrate that living plants release CH₄ 38 at rates ranging from 0.16 to 12 ng g⁻¹ dry weight (DW) h⁻¹ (Brüggemann et al., 39 2009;Wishkerman et al., 2011). Isotope labelling studies involving young poplars grown 40 in a ¹³CO₂ atmosphere (Brüggemann et al., 2009) together with several plants cell 41 culture experiments with ¹³C-labelled sucrose (Wishkerman et al., 2011) confirmed that 42 CH₄ is produced in plants per se and not by their associated micro-organisms. Here it 43 was suggested that CH₄ formation was the result of abiotic stress factors to which the 44 plant had been exposed, an idea supported by findings of two other recent studies 45 (Qaderi and Reid, 2009, 2011). Although these results would support the contention that 46 living plants release CH₄, the mechanism of its formation and its precursors still remain 47 unknown. 48

A first step towards delineating the formation pathway was recently undertaken by 49 Wishkerman et al. (2011) who investigated the influence of the toxin sodium azide 50 51 (NaN₃) on cell cultures such as tobacco, sugar beet and grape vine. As sodium azide is known to disrupt electron transport flow at the cytochrome c oxidase (complex IV) in 52 53 plant mitochondria, findings of the study suggested that disturbance of the electron transport chain (ETC) cause CH₄ formation in plant cells. Thus CH₄ formation in living 54 plants may be an integral part of cellular responses to changes in oxidative status 55 present in all eukaryotes. It has been hypothesized that compounds such as 56 phosphatidylcholine or methionine (Met) might be carbon precursors of CH₄ in both 57 animal and plant cells particularly when under stress conditions (Wishkerman et al., 58 2011;Bruhn et al., 2012) 59

Furthermore, very recently a chemical reaction showing that CH₄ can be readily formed 60 from organosulfur compounds under oxidative conditions, ambient atmospheric 61 pressure and temperature has been suggested (Althoff et al., 2014). In the first step of 62 the reaction, methyl sulfides are oxidised to the corresponding sulfoxides. Then, in the 63 next step, demethylation of the sulfoxide via homolytic bond cleavage leads to methyl 64 radical formation and finally to CH₄. In the same study tobacco plants (Nicotiana 65 *tabacum*) grown under sterile conditions were supplemented with positionally labelled 66 Met, where only the methyl group (-S-CH₃) was enriched with ¹³C atoms. These 67 experiments provided the first evidence that the thio-methyl group of Met is a parent 68 compound of CH₄ in tobacco plants. The potential role of methionine and its oxidation 69 product methionine sulfoxide (MSO) in CH₄ biosynthesis in living plants was also 70 71 suggested by Bruhn et al. (2012). Additionally methionine has been shown to be involved in CH₄ formation in saprotrophic fungi (Lenhart et al., 2012). 72

In this study we investigated methionine, an amino acid that plays a major role in trans methylation reactions, as a precursor of CH₄ biosynthesis in living plants under dark conditions. We employed *Lavandula angustifolia* which was previously shown to produce significant amounts of CH₄ both under normal and stress conditions such as physical wounding and anoxia (Wang et al., 2009;Wang et al., 2011b;Wang et al., 2011a). We made use of stable isotope techniques and ¹³C-positionally labelled methionine to verify in vivo formation and identify the carbon atom precursor of CH₄.

80

82 MATERIAL & METHODS

83 Cultivation of plants

Commercially available *L. angustifolia* plants grown to approximately 20-25 cm were purchased for the initial experiment (consecutive treatment experiment). Plants used in the second experiment (parallel treatment experiment) were grown in a greenhouse until a height of 20 cm was reached. Trace gas measurements were carried out at 22 ± 2 °C and 100 % humidity under dark conditions.

89

90 Supplementation of *L. angustifolia* with ¹³C-methionine

L. angustifolia plants were removed from pots and the soil washed from the roots. For 91 incubation, each plant was placed inside a 2.5 l flask (Weck[©], Hanau, Germany) 92 containing 50 ml distilled H₂O and then sealed with a lid held in place with a rubber 93 band. To facilitate headspace sampling each lid had a central hole fitted with a silicone 94 stopper. In the ¹³C-Met labelled flasks the distilled water was supplemented with 0.4 mM 95 ¹³C-Met. We used a positionally labelled Met where only the methyl group $(-S-CH_3)$ was 96 enriched with ¹³C atoms. After sealing, measurements of headspace CH₄ concentrations 97 and ¹³C-CH₄ signatures were conducted over a four day period. Different incubation 98 periods and measurement intervals were necessary to correspond with the available 99 100 schedule times for use of the isotope measurement system. For isotope measurements the incubation flask was directly connected to the IRMS system. 101

102

104 **Physical stressing of plants**

Detached leaves of *L. angustifolia* have previously been shown to release significant 105 amounts of CH₄ both under normal and stress conditions, such as physical wounding by 106 cutting (Wang et al., 2011b). However, stressing of plants by cutting is a time-consuming 107 process and a rapid, stress-induced increase in CH₄ emissions might occur during this 108 process and before the flasks were sealed. Therefore, physical stress in our study was 109 performed by gently compressing individual leaves of each plant between fingertips for 110 a short time period (one to two seconds). This procedure took approximately one 111 minute for each plant, i.e. until the majority of the leaf surface area was injured. 112

113

114 Analytical procedures

Gas samples were analysed within 24 h on a gas chromatograph (Shimadzu GC-14B, 115 Kyoto, Japan) with a flame ionization detector (CH₄) and an electron capture detector 116 (N_2O, CO_2) operated at 230 and 320 °C with N_2 as carrier gas (25 ml min ⁻¹) (Kammann 117 et al., 2009;Kammann et al., 2012). The GC column (PorapakQ, Fa. Millipore, 118 Schwallbach, mesh 80/100) was 3.2 m long and 1/8 inch in diameter. The length of the 119 precolumn was 0.8 m. The GC gas flow scheme and automated sampling was that of 120 Mosier and Mack (1980) and Loftfield et al. (1997), and peak area integration was 121 undertaken with the Software PeakSimple, version 2.66. The s.d. of the mean of six 122 atmospheric air standard samples was below 1.0%, 0.5%, and 0.2% for CO₂, N₂O, and 123 124 CH₄, respectively.

126 Continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS) for measurement of δ^{13} C 127 values of CH₄

Headspace gas from the flasks was transferred to an evacuated sample loop (40 mL). 128 Interfering compounds were separated by GC and CH₄ trapped on Hayesep D. The 129 sample was then transferred to the IRMS system (ThermoFinnigan Delta^{plus} XL, Thermo 130 Finnigan, Bremen, Germany) via an open split. The reference gas was carbon dioxide of 131 high purity (carbon dioxide 4.5, Messer Griesheim, Frankfurt, Germany) with a known 132 δ^{13} C value of -23.64 ‰ (V-PDB). All δ^{13} C values were normalized relative to V-PDB 133 (Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite) using a CH₄ standard. Samples were routinely analysed 134 three times (n = 3) and the average standard deviations of the GC/C/IRMS 135 measurements were in the range of 0.1 to 0.3 %. 136

All ¹³C/¹²C -isotope ratios are expressed in the conventional δ notation in per mil versus
V-PDB, [‰] using the following equation (Eq. 1):

139
$$\delta^{13}C_{V-PDB} = (({}^{13}C/{}^{12}C)_{sample} / ({}^{13}C/{}^{12}C)_{standard}) - 1.$$
 (Eq. 1)

140

141 Statistics

For the evaluation of results from experiments with *L. angustifolia* plants we utilised the software package SPSS Version 20. In the initial experiment a paired sample *t-test* was used to compare the rates of CH₄ production between consecutive treatments "nonstressed" and "stressed" on the same plants (Levene-Test was 0.394 after log transformation of data). For the purposes of brevity from this point forward this experiment will be referred to as the consecutive treatment experiment. The second experiment where simultaneously different samples were used to compare between ¹⁴⁹ "non-stressed" and "stressed" treatments is referred to as the parallel treatment ¹⁵⁰ experiment. The CH₄ and δ^{13} CH₄ emission ratio between stressed and non-stressed ¹⁵¹ plants (Tab. 1) was calculated by using the mean value of the samples 9-11 as reference ¹⁵² for the non-stressed plants.

Data from experiment 2 and differences between experiments 1 and 2 were analysed by an independent samples t-test. Differences between results are given as significant (p < 0.05) or highly significant (p < 0.001).

In the case where no significant differences occurred between the control (no stress
applied or Met added) and the treatment where only Met was added, CH₄ emission rates
and CH₄:CO₂ ratios were pooled in order to calculate the mean values.

159

160 **RESULTS**

L. angustifolia supplemented with -S-CH₃ positionally labelled Met and the effect of physical stress

We investigated CH₄ emissions of *L. angustifolia* supplemented with ¹³C-positionally 163 labelled Met (¹³CH₃-Met; only the methyl group –S–CH₃ was enriched with ¹³C atoms). 164 under stressed and non-stressed conditions. The incubations were carried out in total 165 darkness in order to exclude possible light effects and to measure the gross respiration 166 of plants. The effect of physical stress was investigated in parallel experiments where in 167 each case three different individual plants were placed under "stressed" and "non-168 stressed" conditions. Additionally, an experiment was performed where CH₄ emissions 169 were first measured on intact plants, and then after physical stress was applied to these 170 plants, CH₄ emissions were again measured. 171

172

The CH₄ emission rates of non-stressed *L. angustifolia* plants ranged from 0.06 to 0.57 ng CH₄ g⁻¹ DW h⁻¹, with a mean value of 0.33 ±0.16 ng CH₄ g⁻¹ DW h⁻¹ (Table 1).

Physical stress enhanced CH₄ emissions by a factor of 5.7 up to a mean CH₄ emission rate of 1.7 ±0.57 ng g⁻¹ h⁻¹ (n = 8). The effect of physical stress was significant both for plants undergoing consecutive treatment (paired t-test with p = 0.002, n = 5) and those in the parallel treatment experiment (independent samples t-test with p = 0.005). Addition of Met did not affect the CH₄ production of non-stressed plants (Tab. 1, comparison of flasks 6-8 vs. 9-11; p = 0.632, n = 3).

181

L. angustifolia (n = 2) supplemented with ¹³CH₃-Met showed a strong response in the 182 isotope signal with $\delta^{13}C(CH_4)$. After addition of $^{13}CH_3$ -Met to the roots (n = 2) $\delta^{13}C(CH_4)$ 183 values increased from -47 at time 0 to 29 and 97 ‰ after 20 h in non-stressed (Fig. 1d) 184 and stressed plants (Fig. 1f), respectively, whereas in the control samples (n = 3) only a 185 marginal change (± 1 $\%_0$) was noted (Fig. 1b). Mean changes in Δ^{13} CH₄ signature were 186 $0.39 \pm 0.16 \%$ g⁻¹ h⁻¹ for the non-stressed plants. After stressing, a strong response in the 187 188 mixing ratio of CH₄ occurred (Fig. 1), reaching a Δ^{13} CH₄ up to 0.64 ±0.30 ‰ g⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Tab. 1). The ¹³C signature increase in headspace-CH₄ over time (Fig. 1d, f) clearly shows that 189 the methyl group of Met is a precursor of CH₄ in plants under both non-stressed and 190 stressed conditions. 191

192

For non-stressed and stressed plants, 0.008 and 0.009 % of ${}^{13}CH_3$ -Met molecules were on average daily converted to CH_4 assuming that all ${}^{13}CH_3$ -Met was taken up by the plant. 196 The ratio of CH₄ emissions (5.7) and Δ^{13} CH₄ h⁻¹ g⁻¹ (1.9) between stressed and non-197 stressed plants (Tab. 1) indicated a higher effect of physical stress on CH₄ formation 198 than on the changes in δ^{13} C(CH₄) values.

199

200 **Respiration**

During incubation of *L. angustifolia*, changes in the CO_2 mixing ratio were also monitored. Since the incubation was conducted in the dark no CO_2 uptake took place and thus changes in the mixing ratio only reflect the plants respiration. Respiration was on average for non-stressed and stressed plants 0.36.±0.14 and 0.50 ±0.16 mg CO_2 g⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively.

The CO₂ production by respiration reflects metabolic activity of the plants, and to relate 206 CH₄ production to metabolic activity we related CH₄ formation to respiration by 207 calculating the CH₄:CO₂ ratio [mol:mol x 10⁶] separately for each sample. Figure 2 shows 208 209 the CH₄ and CO₂ production rates and the respective CH₄:CO₂-ratio, which was 2.0 ±1.1 for the non-stressed plants (n = 6) and 8.5 ± 1.8 for the plants where physical stress was 210 211 applied (n = 3). Thus, physical damage resulted in a 4-fold increase in the CH₄:CO₂ ratio (p = 0.004). Supplementation of plants with Met did not affect the CH₄ or CO₂ emission 212 213 rates (p > 0.1).

214

216 **DISCUSSION**

217 Effects of physical stress on CH₄ production

Methane emission rates of 0.33 ±0.16 and 1.71 ±0.57 ng g⁻¹ DW h⁻¹ were observed for *L. angustifolia* under non-stressed and physically stressed conditions, respectively. The upper range (under physical stress) here is still considerably lower (one to two orders of magnitude) than the range of CH₄ emission rates from intact living plants (12 to 370 ng g⁻¹ DW h⁻¹) reported by Keppler et al. (2006) and those reported from crop species under environmental stress (Qaderi & Reid, 2009; Qaderi & Reid, 2011).

Without the application of physical stress the calculated emission rates were 224 considerably lower (factor of three) with maximum values reaching 0.57 ng CH₄ g⁻¹ DW 225 h^{-1} and are similar to those reported in previous studies employing stable carbon 226 isotope labelling tools (Bruggemann et al., 2009; Wishkerman et al., 2011). The very low 227 values reported here again highlight the benefit of using stable isotope tools as it would 228 229 be very difficult to clearly monitor aerobic CH₄ formation in non-stressed living plants without their employment. This might also include the sensitive optical online methods 230 employed in several studies (Dueck et al., 2007; Beerling et al., 2008). However, these 231 spectroscopic methods might be able to measure CH₄ formation in plants under 232 particular stress conditions. 233

Earlier studies (Wang *et al.*, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2011a; Wang *et al.*, 2011b) already revealed a significant increase in CH₄ emissions after physical injury and suggested reactive oxygen species (ROS) to be involved in the cleavage of methyl groups from pectin and/or lignin (Wang et al., 2011b; Keppler et al., 2008; McLeod et al., 2008; Messenger et al., 2009). Our finding of close to a 4-fold increase in CH₄ emissions after

application of physical stress is within the range of the values reported by Wang et al. 239 (2011b) where the CH₄ emission rates of *L. angustifolia* were 0.42 ±0.05 and 6.32 ±0.69 240 ng g⁻¹ h⁻¹ for intact leaves and after wounding, respectively. In that study observations of 241 CH₄ emission rates of intact plants (0.4 ng $g^{-1} h^{-1}$) and after wounding (0.7 ng $g^{-1} h^{-1}$) for 242 56 plant species were reported. The discrepancy in the physical stress-induced response 243 in CH₄ emissions of *L. angustifolia* might be due to an exceptionally high response of this 244 species when compared to other species tested by Wang et al. (2011b). Differences in 245 the stress-response between samples (ratio between stressed and non-stressed plants 246 was in a range of 3.3 to 11.2) in this study might be due to a different degree of injury 247 during the compression of the individual leaves of each plant. 248

249

250 CH₄:CO₂-ratio

Based on the CH_4 and CO_2 emission rates the calculated $CH_4:CO_2$ ratio [mol:mol x 10⁶] of intact plants was 2.0 ±1.1 (n = 6), i.e. per mol CO_2 2.0 µmol CH_4 was released by the plants.

This is close to the ratios observed for saprotrophic fungi including *Pleurotus sapidus*, *Stereum sanguinolentum, Panaerochaete chrysosporium* where the CH₄:CO₂-ratio was 3.5 ± 1.92 mol:mol x 10⁶ or 1.15 ± 0.70 ng:mg (Lenhart et al., 2012) and cryptogams including, mosses and , lichens (n =31) with a ratio of 2.58 ± 2.60 mol:mol x 10⁶ or 0.94 ± 0.95 ng:mg (Lenhart et al., submitted). The CH₄:CO₂-ratio of *L. angustifolia* tends to be lower, but, as indicated by the standard deviation, this difference is probably not significant.

The physical stress-induced increase in the $CH_4:CO_2$ ratio (factor 4) of up to 8.5 ±1.8 was 261 caused by a significant increase in CH₄ emissions accompanied only by a small increase 262 in respiration. The overall stress-induced increase in respiration of L. angustifolia 263 compared to non-stressed plants was 40 % (n.s., p > 0.3), which is similar to that 264 observed by Wang et al. (2009) who reported a 50 % increase in CO₂ emissions for 265 plants physically wounded by cutting compared to those left uncut. The CH₄:CO₂-ratio 266 might be a useful tool to relate CH₄ emissions to metabolic activity in the dark and to 267 compare CH₄ emission rates of different species obtained under different conditions. 268

269

270 Methionine as a precursor of CH₄ in plants

Based on the addition of ${}^{13}CH_3$ -Met and stable carbon isotope measurements it was possible to clearly monitor ${}^{13}CH_4$ formation in *L. angustifolia*. The increase in the $\delta^{13}CH_4$ signature of CH₄ released by the plants after supplementation with ${}^{13}CH_3$ -Met (Fig. 1, Tab. 1) is a strong indicator that the methyl thiol group of Met is a CH₄ precursor during metabolic processes. When plants were physically stressed, there was not only an increase in CH₄ release but also an increase in its ${}^{13}CH_4$ signature (Fig. 1, Tab. 1).

It is important to note that our data cannot be used to conclude that the methionine *per se* is a direct precursor of CH_4 in plants. Indeed, since most methionine resides in proteins it is much more likely to be the source of CH_4 production after oxidation by ROS as suggested by Bruhn *et al.* (2012). These workers based their hypothesis on the finding that dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) could act as a hydroxyl radical scavenger in cells and produces CH_4 via a radical mechanism (Repine *et al.*, 1981). They argued that when

the amino acid methionine is oxidized the first oxidized product is methionine sulfoxide, 283 where the side chain is similar to DMSO. Therefore methionine sulfoxide might also be a 284 285 precursor of CH₄ biosynthesis. The concentration of the free amino acid in normal plant tissues is <100 µM (Inaba *et al.*, 1994), while that found in protein is two to three orders 286 of magnitude higher (Bruhn et al., 2012). Methionine residues are known to be prone to 287 oxidation by H₂O₂ (Levine *et al.*, 1996; Moller *et al.*, 2007) to form methionine sulfoxide. 288 Based on the calculations of Bruhn et al. (2012) it would only require a conversion rate 289 and release of less than one CH₄ molecule per hour for every 1000 protein methionine 290 sulfoxide to explain a release rate of 10 ng CH₄ g⁻¹ DW h⁻¹ by intact plant tissues. These 291 considerations are strongly supported by findings reported in a recent chemical study 292 (Althoff et al. 2014) which suggest that under oxidative conditions demethylation of 293 294 sulfoxides might lead to CH₄. In that study methyl groups of organosulfur compounds were shown to be efficiently converted to CH₄ when using iron(II/III), hydrogen 295 peroxide and ascorbic acid as reagents. It is thought that methyl sulfides are first 296 oxidised to the corresponding sulfoxides and demethylation of the sulfoxide via 297 homolytic bond cleavage leads to methyl radical formation and then to CH₄. 298

However, in our study we focused on the identification of the precursor compound of
CH₄ in living plants and the location of the carbon atom within the precursor molecule.
Thus additional investigations and measurements concerning the formation of ROS,
distinguishing between free methionine and that in protein, as well as the possibility of
methionine residue oxidation to Met-SO will need to be undertaken.

Next to methionine, several other organic compounds with methyl- or methoxyl groups
were already identified to serve as a substrate for CH₄ formation in plants (McLeod et al.,

2008;Vigano et al., 2008;Bruhn et al., 2009, Keppler et al., 2008, Messenger et al., 2009).
Thus, in our experiment besides Met also other organic compounds such as pectin, lignin
or choline might serve as further methyl-group donors for CH₄ formation. A detailed
discussion on potential plant precursors of CH₄ was recently provided by Wang et al.
(2013).

311

312 **Conclusions and Outlook**

Our study is an important step in deciphering the precursor compounds involved in CH₄ 313 biosynthesis in living plants. The employment of ¹³CH₃-Met clearly showed that the 314 sulphur bonded carbon atom of the methyl group in methionine was transferred to CH₄ 315 in *L. angustifolia*. Knowledge of the precursor compound and identification of the carbon 316 atom involved is a first step towards elucidating the pathway of its formation. An 317 understanding of the mechanisms of CH₄ formation in living plants may reveal if the 318 process has a more general physiological role. Further experiments where other stress 319 factors in combination with ¹³CH₃-methionine are investigated should provide further 320 321 insight into the pathways involved in CH₄ formation.

Although it has been argued that plants may not produce CH₄ as an end-product or byproduct of their metabolism (Nisbet et al., 2009), our results would strongly indicate that plants do contain a mechanism for CH₄ production. The stress-induced increase in CH₄ emissions together with studies on sterile plants (Wishkerman *et al.*, 2011) or cryptogamic covers supplemented with diverse inhibitors (Lenhart *et al.*, submitted), do not support the hypothesis of a noteworthy contribution of *Archaea* to plant-derived 328 CH₄-formation in this study. However, we did not scan for methanogenic Archaea in the 329 wooden parts of lavendula plants to exclude Archaeal-derived CH₄ formation as 330 postulated by Covey et al. (2012). Furthermore, it is considered that organosulfur 331 compounds might play an important role in the formation of CH₄ in living plants 332 particularly when under stress conditions.

333

334 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to John Hamilton for his thoughtful comments on an early version of this manuscript. We thank Sonja Jung, Ilka Hermes, Christoph Hann and Tamara Borrmann for assistance. This work was funded by the ESF (EURYI Award to F.K.) and DFG (KE 884/2-1, KE 884/8-1 and KE 884/9-1).

340 **Figure legends**

Figure 1: Mixing ratios (a, c, e) and δ^{13} C values (b, d, f) of CH₄ produced by *L. angustifolia* (n = 3) in the control flasks (a, b) without addition of methionine or physical stress, supplemented with ¹³C-labelled Met without physical stress (c, d), and supplemented with ¹³C-labelled Met and the application of physical stress (e, f). Different symbols mark the replicates per treatment.

346

Figure 2: (a) Methane and CO₂ emissions of *L. angustifolia* supplemented with ¹³Clabelled Met under non-stressed conditions and after application of physical stress, and
(b) CH₄ to CO₂ emission ratio. Control plants were not supplemented with Met or
exposed to physical stress.

351

353	Table 1: Rates of formation and δ^{13} C signature increase of CH ₄ released during
354	incubation of <i>L. angustifolia</i> plants before and after physical stress. Samples 1-5 were
355	measured in experiment 1 (consecutive treatment experiment, measurements at day 1,
356	non-stressed and 2, stressed), samples 6-14 in experiment 2 (parallel treatment
357	experiment). The difference in the CH4 rate increase between the treatments "non-
358	stressed" and "stressed" was significant ($p = 0.003$ and $p = 0.005$ for Exp. 1 and Exp. 2,
359	respectively). *supplemented with ¹³ C-Met

Sample	CH ₄ [ng g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹]			Δ ¹³ CH ₄ [‰ g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹]		
			Ratio			Ratio
	non-		stressed/non-	non-		stressed/non-
	stressed	stressed	stressed	stressed	stressed	stressed
1	0.52	1.70	3.3	-	-	
2	0.57	1.87	3.3	-	-	
3	0.43	2.41	5.6	-	-	
4*	0.31	1.21	3.9	0.27	0.39	1.4
5*	0.18	1.67	9.1	0.11	0.34	3.2
6	0.37	-		-	-	
7	0.39	-		-	-	
8	0.14	-		-	-	
9*	0.24	-		0.36	-	
10*	0.06	-		0.43	-	
11*	0.40	-		0.79	-	
12*	-	1.26	5.4	-	0.78	1.5
13*	-	0.98	4.2	-	0.63	1.2
14*	-	2.61	11.2	-	1.07	2.0
Mean ±sd	0.33 ±0.16	1.71 ±0.57	5.7 ±2.9	0.39 ±0.25	0.64 ±0.30	1.86 ±0.81

362 **References**

Althoff, F., Jugold, A., and Keppler, F.: Methane formation by oxidation of ascorbic acid using iron minerals and hydrogen peroxide, Chemosphere, 80, 286-292, 2010.

Althoff, F., Benzing, K., Comba, P., McRoberts, C., Boyd, D. R., Greiner, S., and Keppler, F.: Abiotic
methanogenesis from organosulfur compounds under ambient conditions, Nature Communications,
5, doi: 10.1038/ncomms5205, 2014.

- Beerling, D. J., Gardiner, T., Leggett, G., Mcleod, A., and Quick, W.: Missing methane emissions from leaves of terrestrial plants, Global Change Biology, 14, 1821-1826, 2008.
- Bloom, A. A., Lee Taylor, J., Madronich, S., Messenger, D. J., Palmer, P. I., Reay, D. S., and McLeod,
 A. R.: Global methane emission estimates from ultraviolet irradiation of terrestrial plant foliage, New
 phytologist, 187, 417-425, 2010.
- Brüggemann, N., Meier, R., Steigner, D., Zimmer, I., Louis, S., and Schnitzler, J. P.: Nonmicrobial
 aerobic methane emission from poplar shoot cultures under low-light conditions, New Phytologist,
 182, 912-918, doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02797.x, 2009.
- Bruhn, D., Mikkelsen, T. N., Øbro, J., Willats, W. G. T., and Ambus, P.: Effects of temperature,
 ultraviolet radiation and pectin methyl esterase on aerobic methane release from plant material,
 Plant Biology, 11, 43-48, doi: 10.1111/j.1438-8677.2009.00202.x, 2009.
- Bruhn, D., Møller, I. M., Mikkelsen, T. N., and Ambus, P.: Terrestrial plant methane production and emission, Physiologia Plantarum, 144, 201-209, doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2011.01551.x, 2012.
- Bruhn, D., Mikkelsen, T. N., Rolsted, M., Egsgaard, H., and Ambus, P.: Leaf surface wax is a source of
 plant methane formation under UV radiation and in the presence of oxygen, Plant Biology, 16, 512516, 2014.
- Covey KR, Wood SA, Warren RJ, Ii, Lee X, Bradford MA (2012) Elevated methane concentrations in trees of an upland forest. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L15705.
- Dueck, T. A., de Visser, R., Poorter, H., Persijn, S., Gorissen, A., de Visser, W., Schapendonk, A.,
 Verhagen, J., Snel, J., Harren, F. J. M., Ngai, A. K. Y., Verstappen, F., Bouwmeester, H., Voesenek, L. A.
 C. J., and van der Werf, A.: No evidence for substantial aerobic methane emission by terrestrial
 plants: a ¹³C-labelling approach, New Phytologist, 02103, 2007.
- 390 Evans, J. R.: Resolving methane fluxes, New Phytologist, 175, 1-4, doi: 10.1111/j.1469-391 8137.2007.02114.x, 2007.
- Inaba, K.; Fujiwara, T.; Hayashi, H.; Chino, M.; Komeda, Y.; Naito, S., Isolation of an Arabidopsis
- thaliana Mutant, mto1, That Overaccumulates Soluble Methionine (Temporal and Spatial Patterns of
 Soluble Methionine Accumulation). Plant Physiol. 1994, 105, (4), 1465-1465.
- Kammann, C., Hepp, S., Lenhart, K., and Müller, C.: Stimulation of methane consumption by
 endogenous CH₄ production in aerobic grassland soil, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 41, 622-629,
 2009.
- 398 Kammann, C., Ratering, S., Eckhard, C., and Müller, C.: Biochar and hydrochar effects on greenhouse
- 399 gas (carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, and methane) fluxes from soils, Journal of environmental quality,
- 400 41, 1052-1066, 2012.
- Keppler, F., Hamilton, J. T. G., Braß, M., and Röckmann, T.: Methane emissions from terrestrial plants
 under aerobic conditions, Nature, 439, 187-191, 2006.

- Keppler, F., Hamilton, J. T. G., McRoberts, W. C., Vigano, I., Braß, M., and Röckmann, T.: Methoxyl
 groups of plant pectin as a precursor of atmospheric methane: evidence fom deuterium labelling
 studies, New Phytologist, 02411, 2008.
- Keppler, F., Boros, M., Frankenberg, C., Lelieveld, J., McLeod, A., Pirttilä, A. M., Röckmann, T., and
 Schnitzler, J.: Methane formation in aerobic environments, Environmental Chemistry, 6, 459-465,
 2009.
- 409 Kirschbaum, M., and Walcroft, A.: No detectable aerobic methane efflux from plant material, nor 410 from adsorption/desorption processes, Biogeosciences, 5, 2008.
- Lenhart, K., Bunge, M., Ratering, S., Neu, T. R., Schüttmann, I., Greule, M., Kammann, C., Schnell, S.,
- 412 Müller, C., Zorn, H., and Keppler, F.: Evidence for methane production by saprotrophic fungi, Nat 413 Commun, 3, 1046, 2012.
- Lenhart, K., Weber, B., Elbert, W., Steinkamp, J., Müller, C., Clough, T., Crutzen, P., Pöschl, U., and Keppler, F.: Nitrous oxide and methane emissions of cryptogamic covers on ground and plant surfaces, submitted.
- 417 Levine, R. L.; Mosoni, L.; Berlett, B. S.; Stadtman, E. R., Methionine residues as endogenous 418 antioxidants in proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1996, 93, (26), 15036-15040.
- Loftfield, N.: Automated gas chromatographic system for rapid analysis of the atmospheric trace gases methane, carbon dioxide, and nitrous oxide, Journal of Environmental Quality, 26, 560-564, 1997.
- McLeod, A. R., Fry, S. C., Loake, G. J., Messenger, D. J., Reay, D. S., Smith, K. A., and Yun, B. W.:
 Ultraviolet radiation drives methane emissions from terrestrial plant pectins, New Phytologist, 180,
 124-132, 2008.
- 425 Messenger, D. J., McLeod, A. R., and Fry, S. C.: The role of ultraviolet radiation, photosensitizers,
- reactive oxygen species and ester groups in mechanisms of methane formation from pectin, Plant,
 Cell & Environment, 32, 1-9, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2008.01892.x, 2009.
- Moller, I. M.; Jensen, P. E.; Hansson, A., Oxidative modifications to cellular components in plants.
 Annual Review of Plant Biology 2007, 58, 459-481.
- 430 Mosier, A. R., and Mack, L.: Gas-chromatographic system for precise, rapid analysis of nitrous oxide,
 431 Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 44, 1121-1123, 1980.
- Nisbet, R. E. R., Fisher, R., Nimmo, R. H., Bendall, D. S., Crill, P. M., Gallego-Sala, A. V., Hornibrook, E.
 R. C., López-Juez, E., Lowry, D., Nisbet, P. B. R., Shuckburgh, E. F., Sriskantharajah, S., Howe, C. J., and
 Nisbet, E. G.: Emission of methane from plants, Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological
 Sciences, 276, 1347-1354, doi: 10.1098/rspb.2008.1731, 2009.
- Qaderi, M. M., and Reid, D. M.: Methane emissions from six crop species exposed to three
 components of global climate change: temperature, ultraviolet B radiation and water stress,
 Physiologia plantarum, 137, 139-147, 2009.
- Qaderi, M. M., and Reid, D. M.: Stressed crops emit more methane despite the mitigating effects of
 elevated carbon dioxide, Functional Plant Biology, 38, 97-105, 2011.
- Repine, J. E., Fox, R. B., and Berger, E. M.: Dimethyl sulfoxide inhibits killing of Staphylococcus aureus
 by polymorphonuclear leukocytes, Infection and Immunity, 31, 510-513, 1981.
- Vigano, I., van Weelden, H., Holzinger, R., Keppler, F., McLeod, A., and Röckmann, T.: Effect of UV
 radiation and temperature on the emission of methane from plant biomass and structural
 components, Biogeosciences, 5, 937-947, 2008.

- Wang, Z.-P., Han, X.-G., Wang, G. G., Song, Y., and Gulledge, J.: Aerobic Methane Emission from
 Plants in the Inner Mongolia Steppe, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 62-68, 2008.
- Wang, Z.-P., Xie, Z.-Q., Zhang, B.-C., Hou, L.-Y., Zhou, Y.-H., Li, L.-H., and Han, X.-G.: Aerobic and
 Anaerobic Nonmicrobial Methane Emissions from Plant Material, Environmental Science &
 Technology, 45, 9531-9537, doi: 10.1021/es2020132, 2011a.
- 451 Wang, Z.-P., Chang, S. X., Chen, H., and Han, X.-G.: Widespread non-microbial methane production by
- 452 organic compounds and the impact of environmental stresses, Earth-Science Reviews, 127, 193-202,453 2013.
- 454 Wang, Z., Keppler, F., Greule, M., and Hamilton, J. T. G.: Non-microbial methane emissions from fresh 455 leaves: Effects of physical wounding and anoxia, Atmospheric Environment, 45, 4915-4921, 2011b.
- 456 Wang, Z. P., Gulledge, J., Zheng, J. Q., Liu, W., Li, L. H., and Han, X. G.: Physical injury stimulates 457 aerobic methane emissions from terrestrial plants, Biogeosciences, 6, 615-621, 2009.
- 458 Wishkerman, A., Greiner, S., Ghyczy, M., Boros, M., Rausch, T., Lenhart, K., and Keppler, F.: Enhanced
- 459 formation of methane in plant cell cultures by inhibition of cytochrome c oxidase, Plant, Cell &
- 460 Environment, 34, 457-464, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02255.x, 2011.

461



464 Figure 1



466 Figure2