

Reply to referee comments

We thank the referees for their constructive comments which were very helpful for improving our manuscript. By having performed additional model simulations and by showing additional model output (as suggested by both referees) we now provide additional information for the interpretation of our model results. This information allows to illustrate the role of individual carbon pool contributions and of model dynamics from hydrologic and depth changes.

In the following we reply to all referee comments point by point.

Reply to referee #1:

- 1) *What segregates mineral vs. organic pools? In the original version of the model, the organic pools were referred to as peatlands. What really constitutes the difference between the “mineral” and “organic” pools in this version? If we think about the analysis of Harden et al. (2012), which segregates the permafrost domain into turbels, histels, and orthels, how does mineral vs. organic correspond to these designations? Are you referring to mineral horizons and organic horizons of turbels, histels, and orthels that are not yedoma and refrozen thermokarst?*

We allocate soil carbon contents according to the inventories estimates of the Northern Circumpolar Soil Carbon Database (Hugelius et al., ESSD, 2013). Hereby, we describe the mineral soil pool by the sum of SOC contents from orthels and turbels, and the organic pool by the SOC content from histels. So far we only had referred to this segregation in section 2.1. of the supplement and in table 1.

To clarify our classification, we now mention the segregation of organic and mineral pools in section 2.2 in the revised manuscript. To allocate SOC for the Yedoma and refrozen thermokarst pools, we assume that these inventories are largely dominated by mineral horizons and we discuss the overlap of pools in the supplement (section 2.1).

- 2) *A better description of transitions involving thermokarst lakes and wetlands It is not clear what pool is lost as the thermokarst lake and wetland pools expand. It is also not clear what pool gains when thermokarst lakes contract. Normally, when wetlands can be derived from permafrost degradation of permafrost plateaus or from the contraction of thermokarst lakes, but the carbon dynamics of these two transitions are quite different in my experience. It is also not clear to me what happens to carbon after a transition. Is the carbon pool simply transferred to the new landscape type and subject to the C dynamics of that landscape type depending on depth/latitude band?*

Each soil pool (mineral, organic, Yedoma, refrozen thermokarst) is subdivided into an aerobic and two anaerobic compartments. Given the large-scale dominance of aerobic over anaerobic

landscapes (considered from a full circum-Arctic perspective), we assume that any increase in the area of anaerobic pools (wetland or thermokarst lake) will lead to a decrease of the aerobic pool fraction in each latitude band (and vice versa a decrease in anaerobic pool fractions will result in an increase in the aerobic pool fraction). Carbon is transferred from the decreasing to the increasing pool according to the change in area fractions and is subject to the environmental control of thaw and decomposition of the corresponding new pool. We do not consider the separate, more complex case in which thermokarst lake areas, which were newly formed during our simulation period, develop into a wetland by terrestrialization (also within the time horizon of our simulations). We neither consider the reverse case of a wetland becoming a thermokarst-affected terrain. We consider these transitions an issue for future model extensions.

To clarify our underlying model assumptions for thermokarst lake and wetland dynamics we now discuss the transition of pools in the revised manuscript in section 2.1 (page7) and in the supplement (section 2.3).

- 3) *An improved justification for the substantial depth of thaw in thermokarst lakes in response to future changes in climate. The results of this study are dominated by the methane loss associated with the substantial depth of thaw in thermokarst lakes in response to future changes in climate. The justification of this is from the modelling studies of Kessler et al. (2012) and Ling (2003). But the dynamics in the lower panels of Figure 2 don't make sense to me. I wouldn't expect that the high latitude thaw depths would expand beyond the initial low latitude thaw depths. There seems to be something wrong and unrealistic with the formulations used to model the thickening of the thaw bulb in thermokarst lakes.*

Figure 2 shows a two-stage process: 1) a slow deepening of the active layer in sediments overlain by non-thermokarst ponds (until the year 2000), and 2) a strong increase in thawing rates after the pond deepens enough to prevent winter refreeze, effectively initiating a new thermokarst lake (around the year 2000). A strong talik deepening in continuous permafrost at stage 2 (Fig.2, lower panels, blue curves) below the initial active layer depth of southerly permafrost at stage 1 (Fig.2, lower panels, red curves) is not at odds with model physics. It rather describes the potential of abrupt and continuous thaw after deep thermokarst lakes have formed. In contrast to cold surrounding ground temperatures, a warm lake bottom supports strong and sustained thaw of thermokarst affected sediments. Therefore, high latitude thaw after thermokarst formation can reach deeper into the ground than at southerly permafrost regions which are not affected by thermokarst.

So far we had only discussed the two-stage description in the supplement (bottom of page 6). We now emphasize this aspect in the revised manuscript in section 3.1 and in the legend of Fig.2.

- 4) *The need to run an ensemble of control simulations for each RCP: One question that I have (and that I think will be of interest to others) is the degree to which the results are driven by the transitions vs. the depth dynamics. To answer this question it would have been helpful to have*

had a set of control simulations in which (1) there was no consideration of deep carbon, (2) the thermokarst lake and wetland areas were static, and (3) the combination of the two.

We agree that additional control simulations will provide valuable information not included in the current manuscript. We now have performed additional sensitivity simulations for each RCP to illustrate the role of dynamics resulting from transitions vs. depths changes (see additional discussion in section 3.3 of the revised manuscript, and new figure S4 in the supplement).

- 5) *The need to report the amount of carbon lost from each pool I would have found it helpful to have documented the amount of carbon lost from each pool for each scenario (perhaps arranged somewhat like Table 2) reported in the supplementary information. This would help to support the text on the contribution of deep deposits on pages 16617 and 16618.*

To better support our conclusions we now address the issue of individual pool contributions by showing the amounts of carbon lost from each pool under all RCP scenarios (as suggested by the referee) in figures S2 and S3 of the supplement. We also added a discussion of the individual carbon contributions in more detail in section 3.3 of the revised manuscript.

- 6) *The need to completely revise the discussion: I found that the discussion largely repeated what had already been stated in either the results or the limitations subsection of the methods.*

We have re-structured the “Model results” and “Discussion and conclusion” sections.

What I found missing were two issues: (1) how does this study compare with the first version of the model published in 2012,

We now discuss the differences in simulated carbon fluxes and in the inferred temperature feedback compared to our previous study in the revised manuscript (section 3.4).

and (2) how does this study contrast with that of Gao et al (2013).

We now also discuss in detail the differences in approach and conclusions compared to Gao et al. (2013) in section 4 (page 21) of the revised manuscript.

For the RCP 8.5 scenario, the previous study had lower C losses through 2100, but higher C losses through 2300. However, the estimated additional warming through 2100 and 2300 was higher in the previous study than in this study. I recognize that different model changes besides the additional pools/processes probably explain this paradox. But the differences at least need to be discussed, and the control simulations I've suggested above will help sort out the issues of the relative importance of deep carbon vs. thermokarst transitions. With respect to the comparison to

Gao et al. (2013), I think it is quite important to identify the differences in approach as well as conclusions.

As mentioned above, we now discuss in detail the differences in approach and conclusions.

Specific Comments

Page 16600, line 23: Change “the mid of” to “the middle of”. Page 16600, line 25: Change “accounted for” to “taken into account” (don’t end with a preposition. Page 16601, line 3: Change “amounts about” to “amounts to about”.

Modified accordingly.

Page 16602, lines 15-18: It is not clear what is meant by “mineral” vs. “organic”. My first reaction in reading this sentence was that mineral soils, like yedoma, tend to have larger ice content than peatlands when considering the entire profile. Need to revise the sentence so that it makes sense to the reader at this point in the manuscript.

We have modified the corresponding section to make clearer the differences between mineral and organic soils.

Page 16604, line 7: delete “in order” – just extra words that are not needed. Page 16604, line 10:

Change “for abrupt thaw processes” to “for some abrupt thaw processes”. Page 16604, lines 16 and 17: Many of the models that consider permafrost carbon with depth are considering methane now, so I don’t think it is fair to say that methane is neglected in these suites of models. Page 16604, line 18: Change “not accounted for, although first modelling” to “not taken into account, although first-order modelling”. Page 16605, line 21: Change “Our proceeding” to “Our analysis”. Page 16605, line 23: Change “identifying” to “identification of”. Page 16605, line 24: Change “for shaping” to “in affecting”.

Modified accordingly.

Page 16606, line 10: Define what you mean by mineral and organic surface pools.

We now refer to the subsequent section of the manuscript where pools are defined. Further, we added a “terminology and definitions section” in the supplement.

Page 16606, line 12: Change “By taberal deposits we understand” to “We define taberal deposits as”.

Modified accordingly.

Page 16609, line 12: Change “frozen grounds” to “frozen ground”. Page 16609, line 25: Change “who are” to “which”. Page 16613, line 10: Change “mid of” to “middle of”. Page 16614, line 2: End of first sentence needs a period.

Modified accordingly.

Page 16614, lines 4-9: See my general comments on this issue – this doesn’t make sense to me. There has already been strong surface warming in the southern permafrost zone, and thaw depths in lakes are generally thicker than they are in the continuous permafrost zone. So – how could the thaw depths in lakes of the continuous permafrost zone warm up more than the current thaw depths in the southern permafrost zone (especially under an RCP 2.6 scenario). In my opinion, something is seriously wrong with the physics in the model.

See our comments above (point 3).

Page 16617, line 18: Change “per-industrial” to “pre-industrial”. Page 16618, line 26: Shouldn’t you cite Figure 5 and Table 2 at the end of this sentence. I don’t think that Figure 5 is cited in the manuscript, at least not in section 3.4 where it should be cited. Page 16619, line 2: Change “Despite of methane release” to “Despite methane release”. Page 16620, line 18: Change “carbon can be released as” to “carbon was released as”. Page 16620, line 20: Change “can reach 87” to “reached 87”. Page 16620, line 22: Change “Modelling studies estimated” to “Other modelling studies have estimated”. Page 16622, line 19: Change “Despite of assuming” to “Despite assuming”.

Modified accordingly.

Reply to referee #2:

1) *I agree with Referee #1, who called for a better explanation of the differences between organic and mineral soils in main manuscript text.*

We now discuss the segregation of organic and mineral pools in section 2.2 in the revised manuscript (see also reply to referee 1).

2) *I have some questions about the treatment of “wetlands” in this study, particularly the application of thaw depth changes under saturated conditions. Permafrost thaw in permafrost plateaus typically results in ground subsidence, impoundment, and collapse-scar bog /fen formation, followed by rapid wholesale loss of near-surface permafrost. This is an abrupt thaw process that could have been considered in this study. The prescribed thermal parameters don't appear to account for non-conductive heat transfer that occurs following these ecosystem state changes, and likely underestimates thaw rates.*

In our model description of permafrost degradation we account for abrupt thaw by separately considering carbon pools which are subject to strongly enhanced thaw following ground subsidence and thermokarst formation. This does not only concern mineral soils but also our considered organic-rich pools. This point is illustrated now in the additional new figure S3 in the supplement of our revised manuscript. This figure shows the contribution of thermokarst affected soil carbon in mineral, organic, Yedoma, and refrozen thermokarst deposits. Yet we do not consider the case of a transformation of a thermokarst-affected ground into a wetland including fen/bog formation, (neither do we consider the potential reversion of a wetland into a lake). These are aspects of future model improvement. To account for the referee's comment, we now discuss the transformation of aerobic into anaerobic compartments in more detail in section 2.1 of the revised manuscript and in section 2.3 of the supplement.

Accelerated thaw of peatland permafrost carbon has been reported e.g. by Payette et al. (GRL, 2004), but the concurrent fast terrestrialization proved to stabilize the carbon balance of the investigated region. Therefore, from the viewpoint of permafrost carbon fluxes it is questionable to what extent accelerated thawing of specific permafrost features (such as peat plateaus) will have a strong impact on the large-scale Arctic carbon balance. On smaller scales, lateral thaw may also be important to consider (McClymont, JGR 2013, Baltzer et al., GCB 2014) and is likely to result in enhanced thawing at the edge of peat plateaus in sporadic and discontinuous permafrost regions.

With a focus on large-scale permafrost dynamics, Wissler et al. (ESD, 2011) have simulated soil temperatures in peatlands responding more slowly to increasing air temperatures due to the

insulating properties of peat. Further, the occurrence of permafrost in warmer regions (sporadic and isolated permafrost) is mostly linked to frozen peat, which indicates that peat can be more resilient to thaw than mineral soils.

In the revised manuscript we now acknowledge that organic rich soils can reveal enhanced thaw rates due to non-conductive heat flow which we do not account for in our model setting - and we stress that we therefore consider our carbon fluxes from thawing of wetland permafrost being conservative (see section 3.2, page 16).

- 3) *The authors should describe if and how the depth distributions of soil carbon (e.g. Harden et al. 2012) were prescribed in this model. This seems like an important component, given the approach of tracking recently thawed C released in response to active layer thickness increases.*

We now describe the vertical carbon profile in section 2.1 of the revised manuscript.

- 4) *This paper would be greatly strengthened by some additional modeling simulations or sensitivity analyses designed to quantify how the inclusion of yedoma and thaw lake dynamics impacted total C loss and climate warming.*

We have performed additional model simulations to illustrate how thaw lake dynamics and the inclusion of deep carbon deposits affect total circumpolar carbon release (see new supplementary figure S4). We have also prepared two additional figures which show the contribution of carbon fluxes separated into soil types, aerobic/anaerobic fractions and deep deposits (see new supplementary figures S2 and S3). We have extended the discussion of individual pool contributions in the revised manuscript in section 3.3.

Specific Comments

1. Page 16602, Lines 15-18: I'm not sure that I agree with this statement, although it's difficult to say without a better definition of mineral vs. organic soils. Clearly peatlands are highly vulnerable to permafrost thaw. Ground ice volumes are variable, and differences between organic and mineral will depend on the thickness of the deposit, no? Please clarify and add citations to justify statement.

We have updated the corresponding section in the revised manuscript and now emphasize the vulnerability of peatlands if conditions are favourable for enhanced thaw (see also our comments above, point 2).

2. Page 16602, Line 18: *While this statement about anaerobic environments is generally true, some recent studies have shown the potential for large C loss from deep thawed peat deposits*

We now mention the work of Camill et al. (Climatic Change, 2005) and Johnson et al. (ERL 2013) at page 3 (line 29) to underline that peat deposits can be highly vulnerable to thaw.

3. Page 16602, Line 21 – *Hydrologic **and redox** conditions*

Modified accordingly.

4. Page 16603, Line 12 – *remove hyphen from “bio-geochemical”*

Modified accordingly.

5. Page 16603, Line 24 – *replace “underline” with “note” or “observe”. Also I think it would be good to mention why thermokarst has not been included to date in these models.*

Modified accordingly.

6. Page 16604, Line 15, *Change this to “pools governed by different environmental controls”*

Modified accordingly.

7. Page 16606, Line 3 – *Change composition to texture, unless you mean “chemical composition”*

Modified accordingly.

8. Page 1606, Lines 25 – 27 – *Would be good to cite Gao et al. (2013) and justify here wetland increase in the text here. How do those scenarios reconcile with findings of Avis et al. (2011)? Also add Gao et al. (2013) to reference list.*

We now refer to the work of Gao et al. (2013) and Avis et al. (2011) to stress that future changes in wetland extent are subject to large uncertainty.

9. Page 16613, Line 1 – *Use different word here than “exemplarily”*

Modified accordingly.

10. Page 16616, Line 8 – *Correct grammar here: should be “after the middle of the century”*

Modified accordingly.

11. Page 16619, Line 2 – *Grammar – omit “of” here*

Modified accordingly.

12. Page 16622, Line 13 – Correct grammar here “despite of the organic matter”

Modified accordingly.

13. Page 16622, Line 19 – Omit “of” from “Despite of”

Modified accordingly.

14. Table 1, footnote e – I have some issue with the assumptions regarding thaw rates in wetland soils. In many cases, saturated conditions in high-latitude peatlands function to accelerate thaw rates, due to non-conductive heat transfer processes. This approach for wetlands needs better justification in the text.

See our comments made in the general discussion above (point 2).

15. Table 1, Footnote d – Not entirely sure what you mean by “thaw rates are exemplary”. Could you elaborate? Did you conduct a validation experiment in comparing observed vs. modeled thaw rates for some sites?

Our simulated thaw rates depend on four key factors: thermal ground properties, mean annual ground temperatures, active layer depth, and magnitude of the regional warming anomaly which drives permafrost degradation. We calculate thaw rates for each pool in each latitude band for each time step depending on those factors. In table 1 we show the range of our simulated thaw rates which is spanned by cold and warm mineral soil permafrost under the conditions specified under footnote d.

16. Figure 5 - Add decimals to RCP scenarios?

Modified accordingly.

17. Supplemental, Page 2, Lines 15-18 – The authors should provide more detail here about soil temperature dynamics. This “lag” or “phase shift” in ground temperature has been well quantified in prior numerical evaluations. Please detail the assumptions made here.

We now have detailed our assumptions in section 2.1 of the supplement.

18. Supplemental, Page 3, Line 13 – This section primarily describes variation in thermal properties across soil types, but what about variation in thermal properties with frozen and unfrozen ground?

We do not explicitly account for differences in thermal diffusivities between frozen and unfrozen ground. As the ratio of unfrozen to frozen ground generally increases from northern to southern permafrost (because of a deepening of the active layer) we expect that an increasing contribution of unfrozen soil layers to the thermal ground state should show a general north-south dependency. In our thaw rate parametrization we introduce a latitudinal scaling of the calculated thaw rates (see section 2.2 in the supplement) and thus indirectly account for the above mentioned effect.

List of relevant changes

The focus of our work for preparing a revised manuscript was on performing additional model simulations (as requested by both referees) and to perform additional analyses of our model results to show the contribution of individual carbon pools. We discuss the outcome of these additional runs and analyses in the revised manuscript in section 3.3, while we present new additional figures in the supplementary material (Figures S2, S3, S4).

Revised manuscript:

- We now discuss the segregation of organic carbon into mineral and organic soils in section 2.1 (Model structure). In this section we now also clarify our assumptions of the transitions between aerobic and anaerobic pools.
- We now emphasize the vulnerability of organic soils in the introduction. In section 3.2. we discuss our simulated carbon release of wetlands in the context of non-conductive heat flow.
- Section 3.2: We have moved a part of the discussion of carbon fluxes to chapter 4 of the revised manuscript (marked with tracked changes)
- Section 3.3: For each RCP scenario we have performed two additional sets of model simulations (with stationary wetland&thermokarst fraction and with neglecting deep deposits). We present the outcome of these simulations in the supplement (new Figure S4). In section 3.3 we discuss the contribution of changes in hydrology and of the release from deep deposits.
- We have also analysed the contribution of individual pools and we present two additional figures in the supplement (Fig. S2 and Fig.S3) which illustrate how strongly individual pools contribute to CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes.
- Chapter 4: We have modified the Discussion section by moving some text from section 3.2 to chapter 4, by slightly shortening some sections, and by adding a discussion of the results of Gao et al. (2013) and of Schneider von Deimling et al. (2012).
-

Supplementary material:

- We have included a new “Terminology and definitions” section at the beginning (chapter 1).
- We have added an additional chapter (3. *Individual pool contributions and sensitivity runs*) to illustrate the results of our additional sensitivity runs (Fig. S4) and to show the results of our new analysis of the contribution of individual carbon pools (Fig. S2 and S3).

Observation-based modelling of permafrost carbon fluxes with accounting for deep carbon deposits and thermokarst activity

1
2 Thomas Schneider von Deimling^{1,2}, Guido ~~Grosse~~²Grosse¹, Jens
3 ~~Strauss~~²Strauss¹, Lutz ~~Schirrmeister~~²Schirrmeister¹, Anne
4 ~~Morgenstern~~²Morgenstern¹, Sibyll ~~Schaphoff~~⁴Schaphoff², Malte Meinshausen³,
5 Julia ~~Boike~~²Boike¹

6 ~~{1}{Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany}~~

7 ~~{2}{1}{Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Periglacial~~
8 ~~Research Unit, Potsdam, Germany}~~

9 ~~{2}{Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany}~~

10 ~~{3}{School of Earth Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia}~~

11 Correspondence to: T. Schneider von Deimling (~~schneider@pik-~~
12 ~~potsdam~~Thomas.Schneider@awi.de)

14 Abstract

15 High-latitude soils store vast amounts of perennially frozen and therefore inert organic matter.
16 With rising global temperatures and consequent permafrost degradation, a part of this carbon
17 ~~storestock~~ will become available for microbial decay and eventual release to the atmosphere. We
18 have developed a simplified, two-dimensional multi-pool model to estimate the strength and
19 timing of future carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) fluxes from newly thawed permafrost
20 carbon (i.e. carbon thawed when temperatures rise above pre-industrial levels). We have
21 especially simulated carbon release from deep deposits in Yedoma regions by describing abrupt
22 thaw under newly formed thermokarst lakes. The computational efficiency of our model allowed
23 us to run large, multi-centennial ensembles under various scenarios of future warming to express
24 uncertainty inherent to simulations of the permafrost-carbon feedback.

1 Under moderate warming of the representative concentration pathway (RCP) 2.6 scenario,
2 cumulated CO₂ fluxes from newly thawed permafrost carbon amount to 20 to 58
3 ~~petagrammes~~petagrams of carbon (Pg-C) (68% range) by the year 2100 and reach 40 to 98 Pg-C
4 in 2300. The much larger permafrost degradation under strong warming (RCP8.5) results in
5 cumulated CO₂ release of 42-to 141Pg-C and 157-to 313 Pg-C (68% ranges) in the years 2100
6 and 2300, respectively. Our estimates do only consider fluxes from newly thawed permafrost but
7 not from soils already part of the seasonally thawed active layer under ~~preindustrial~~pre-industrial
8 climate. Our simulated ~~methane~~CH₄ fluxes contribute a few percent to total permafrost carbon
9 release yet they can cause up to 40% of total permafrost-affected radiative forcing in the 21st
10 century (upper 68% range). We infer largest ~~methane~~CH₄ emission rates of about 50 Tg-CH₄ per
11 year around the ~~mid~~middle of the 21st century when simulated thermokarst lake extent is at its
12 maximum and when abrupt thaw under thermokarst lakes is ~~accounted for~~taken into account.
13 CH₄ release from newly thawed carbon in wetland-affected deposits is only discernible in the
14 22nd and 23rd century because of the absence of abrupt thaw processes. We further show that
15 release from organic matter stored in deep deposits of Yedoma regions does crucially affect our
16 simulated circumpolar ~~methane~~CH₄ fluxes. The additional warming through the release from
17 newly thawed permafrost carbon proved only slightly dependent on the pathway of
18 anthropogenic emission and amounts to about 0.03-0.14°C (68% ranges) by end of the century.
19 The warming increased further in the 22nd and 23rd century and was most pronounced under the
20 RCP6.0 scenario with adding 0.16-to 0.39°C (68% range) to simulated global mean surface air
21 temperatures in the year 2300.

22

23

24 **1 Introduction**

25 Soils in high northern latitudes represent one of the largest reservoirs of organic carbon in the
26 terrestrial biosphere, holding an estimated 900-to 1700 ~~petagramms~~petagrams (Pg) of organic
27 carbon (Hugelius et al., 2014). While portions of this carbon pool are already affected by
28 seasonal thaw in the active layer, substantial amounts are locked in perennially frozen deposits at
29 depths currently exceeding the seasonal thaw depth. Zimov et al. (2006) have estimated that an
30 amount of 450 Pg-C is stored in deep Siberian organic-rich frozen loess and have speculated that

1 | this carbon ~~store~~stock could significantly contribute to global carbon fluxes when thawed. A
2 | more recent study based on updated observations estimates a total of 211 (58 to 371) Pg-C being
3 | stored in ice- and carbon-rich deep deposits in Siberia and Alaska (Strauss et al., 2013). As long
4 | as frozen in the ground, permafrost organic matter is not part of the active carbon cycle and can
5 | be considered mainly inert. With sustained warming and subsequent degradation of deeper
6 | permafrost deposits, a part of this carbon pool will become seasonally thawed. Consequently, it
7 | will become prone to microbial decomposition and mineralization. By ultimately increasing the
8 | atmospheric concentration of the greenhouse gases CO₂ and CH₄, the carbon release from
9 | thawing permafrost regions is considered a potentially large positive feedback in the climate-
10 | carbon system (Schaefer et al., 2014), Schuur et al., 2015. Given the long millennial timescale
11 | processes leading to the build-up of old carbon in permafrost soils, future rapid releases from
12 | these deposits are irreversible on a human timescale.

13 | However, the magnitude and timing of carbon fluxes as a consequence of permafrost degradation
14 | are highly uncertain. This is mainly due to incomplete observational knowledge of the amount of
15 | organic matter stored in permafrost deposits, of its quality and decomposability, as well as due to
16 | the challenge of modelling the full chain of processes from permafrost thaw to carbon release.
17 | Furthermore, conceptual and numerical permafrost landscape models also require suitable
18 | upscaling methods ranging from local to global scales, based on field-based knowledge of the
19 | surface characteristics, key processes and data collection of key parameters (Boike et al., 2012).
20 | The vulnerability of permafrost carbon and its fate when thawed will be strongly determined by
21 | various environmental controls (Grosse et al., 2011) such as soil type and soil moisture, which
22 | both affect soil thermal conductivity and therefore determine the timescale of heat penetration
23 | into the ground. Additionally, surface conditions such as organic-rich soil surface layers,
24 | vegetation cover and snow exert strong controls on subsurface temperatures by insulating the
25 | ground from surface air temperatures (Koven et al., 2013a). In the absence of conditions for
26 | abrupt permafrost thaw, mineral permafrost soils are typically more vulnerable to degradation
27 | than carbon-rich organic soils. The difference in vulnerability results from the insulating
28 | properties of thick organic layers which slow down permafrost degradation (Wisser et al. 2011).
29 | Further, the often higher ice-content of ~~the latter organic as compared to mineral soils~~ requires a
30 | larger energy input for phase transition, and the usually anaerobic environments in organic soils
31 | slow down carbon mineralization. Yet, organic soils which are prone to ground subsidence and

1 [impoundment can be highly vulnerable and thus reveal permafrost degradation at increased rates](#)
2 [\(e.g. Camill et al., 2005; Johnson et al., 2013\).](#)

3 Therefore, for capturing site-specific pathways of carbon release from permafrost degradation, it
4 is important to consider the differing soil environments under which the organic matter will be
5 thawed. Of key importance is the impact of hydrological [and redox](#) conditions which determine
6 whether mineralized carbon will be emitted as CO₂ or CH₄ (Olefeldt et al., 2013). Future changes
7 in hydrological conditions in permafrost regions will therefore crucially affect the high latitude
8 carbon balance. Especially regions of ice-rich late Pleistocene deposits (Yedoma) are considered
9 to become potential hot spots for intensive thermokarst lake formation with consequent increases
10 in the fraction of permafrost-affected sediments under anaerobic environments (Walter et al.,
11 2007a). Apart from affecting hydrological conditions, thermokarst lakes also exert a strong
12 warming of sub-lake sediments and thus enhance abrupt permafrost degradation. If thermokarst
13 lake depths exceed the maximum thickness of winter lake ice, these lakes retain liquid water
14 year-round and provide a strong warming and thawing of the underlying sediments (Arp et al.,
15 2012). As a consequence, mean annual temperatures of thermokarst lake bottom sediments can
16 be up to 10 °C warmer than mean annual air temperatures (Jorgenson et al., 2010).

17 So far, permafrost carbon dynamics are not included [into](#) standard climate model projections,
18 possibly due to only recent recognition of the large vulnerable permafrost carbon pool and given
19 the complexity of processes involved. The complexity arises not only from the need to simulate
20 physical changes in soil thermal conditions and phase transitions of water as a consequence of
21 various environmental controls (e.g. interactions among topography, water, soil, vegetation and
22 snow (Jorgenson et al., 2010)). It also arises from the challenge of describing the full chain of
23 ~~bio-geochemical~~[biogeochemical](#) processes for eventual carbon decomposition in the soils and
24 release to the atmosphere. Therefore, various aspects of permafrost physics and biogeochemistry
25 are only [recently](#) being implemented into current global climate models (formulated e.g. in
26 ~~(~~Lawrence and Slater, 2008; Koven et al., 2009; Lawrence et al., 2011; Dankers et al., 2011;
27 Schaphoff et al., 2013; Koven et al., 2013b; Ekici et al., 2014). First modelling results suggest a
28 very large range in predicted soil carbon losses from permafrost regions under scenarios of
29 unmitigated climate change (about 20 to 500 Pg-C by 2100, see Schaefer et al. (2014) for an
30 overview). This large range demonstrates the current uncertainty inherent to predictions of the
31 timing and strength of the permafrost carbon feedback.

1 Yet, these studies are based on models which still miss important mechanisms to capture the full
2 complexity of the permafrost carbon feedback. Grosse et al. (2011) and van Huissteden and
3 Dolman (2012) underline that none of the current permafrost models consider the spatially
4 inhomogeneous and potentially ~~much more~~ rapid degradation of ice-rich permafrost ~~and~~
5 thermokarst lake formation. This omission of abrupt thaw processes may result in
6 underestimating an important part of anaerobic soil carbon decomposition. Studies have also
7 underlined the importance of considering small scales: not only large Arctic lakes, but also the
8 smaller Arctic thaw ponds, are biological hotspots for the emission of CO₂ and CH₄ (Abnizova et
9 al., 2012; Laurion, 2010). A recent expert assessment has emphasized the importance of abrupt
10 thaw processes and so far unaccounted carbon stored in deep deposits below three meters
11 (Schuur et al., 2013). Evidence for rapid and abrupt thaw on decadal scale, is already widespread
12 (Jorgenson et al., 2006; Sannel and Kuhry, 2011; Kokelj et al., 2013; Reynolds et al., 2014), is
13 likely to increase with future warming, and thus needs to be considered ~~in order~~ to make realistic
14 projections of carbon dynamics in permafrost regions.

15 Our study aims to estimate the range of potential carbon fluxes from thawing permafrost by
16 accounting for some abrupt thaw processes which can accelerate the degradation of frozen
17 ground beyond what is inferred by standard modelling approaches that consider gradual thaw. By
18 allocating permafrost organic matter into pools governed by different environmental controls, we
19 describe different pathways of carbon release and we especially account for carbon released as
20 CH₄. By explicitly modelling carbon releases from deep carbon stores below three meters, we
21 contribute to a more complete quantification of the permafrost-carbon feedback. ~~By allocating~~
22 ~~permafrost organic matter into pools of differing environmental controls, we describe different~~
23 ~~pathways of carbon release and we especially account for carbon released as methane which is~~
24 ~~mostly neglected in current modelling approaches. Similarly,~~ Permafrost carbon release from
25 deep deposits is has mostly not ~~accounted for~~ been taken into account previously, although first-
26 order modelling studies have considered the contribution of permafrost carbon in Yedoma
27 regions (Koven et al., 2011; Schaphoff et al., 2013). Yet in these studies the deep deposits have
28 not contributed significantly to simulated carbon release because the models did not describe
29 abrupt thaw processes: which may affect great depths. Khvorostyanov et al. (2008) have inferred
30 a large contribution from Yedoma carbon deposits after the year 2300 when assuming that
31 microbial heat strongly speeds-up permafrost degradation. To the best of our knowledge, our

1 modelling approach is the first to globally quantify the permafrost-carbon feedback for the
2 coming centuries under considering carbon release from deep deposits and accounting for abrupt
3 thaw processes.

4

5 **2 Multi-pool permafrost model**

6 Building on previous work (Schneider von Deimling et al., 2012), we have developed a
7 simplified large-scale two-dimensional model with parameters tuned to match observed
8 permafrost carbon characteristics. The model calculates permafrost degradation and eventual
9 CO₂ and CH₄ release under differing environmental conditions. The newly developed model is
10 shortly described in the following sections while more details are given in the supplementary
11 material.

12 The model accounts for several processes which are keycrucial to the permafrost carbon
13 feedback:

- 14 1. Depending on soil-physical factors, hydrologic conditions, and organic matter quality,
15 permafrost carbon inventories were sub-divided into a total of 24 pools.
- 16 2. Permafrost thaw was calculated for various scenarios of global warming to determine the
17 amount of carbon vulnerable to eventual release. Anaerobic soil fractions were
18 calculated to determine the amount of organic matter stored in wetland- and
19 thermokarst-affected sediments.
- 20 3. Permafrost carbon release as either CO₂ or CH₄ was calculated based on typical rates for
21 aerobic and anaerobic carbon release.
- 22 4. By using a simplified climate-carbon model, we have determined the additional increase
23 in global mean temperature through the permafrost carbon feedback.

24 The computational efficiency of our model allows us to explore the range of simulated
25 permafrost carbon feedbacks by running large ensembles. Our proceedinganalysis expresses the
26 uncertainty inherent to current knowledge of permafrost carbon release. Our framework allows
27 identifyingidentification of key model parameters and processes and thus enables us to assess the
28 importance of these factors for-shapingin affecting the strength and timing of the permafrost
29 carbon feedback.

1 2.1 Model structure

2 The magnitude and timing of carbon release from thawing permafrost soils will be strongly
3 determined by soil-physical factors such as soil ~~composition~~texture and organic matter
4 decomposability, hydrologic state, and surface conditions. To account for these factors, we have
5 developed a simplified but observationally constrained and computationally efficient two-
6 dimensional model which allocates permafrost soil organic matter into various carbon pools.
7 These pools describe carbon amount and quality, soil environments, and hydrological conditions
8 (Fig. 1). To account for deposit-specific permafrost carbon vulnerability, we divide our carbon
9 inventory into two near-surface pools (mineral and organic, 0 to 3m) and into two deep-ranging
10 pools (Yedoma and refrozen thermokarst (including taberal sediments), 0 to 15m, see next
11 section and Table 1). ~~By taberal deposits we understand~~ We allocate soil carbon contents
12 according to the inventory estimates of the Northern Circumpolar Soil Carbon Database
13 (Hugelius et al., 2013). Hereby, we describe our mineral soil pool by the sum of SOC contents
14 from orthels and turbels, and our organic pool by the SOC content from histels (see
15 supplementary material for details and for soil classification definitions). We define taberal
16 deposits as permafrost sediments that underwent thawing in a talik (a layer of year-round
17 unfrozen ground in permafrost areas, such as under a deep lake), resulting in diagenetic alteration
18 of sediment structures (loss of original cryostructure, sediment compaction) and biogeochemical
19 characteristics (depletion of organic carbon). In addition, taberal deposits may be subject to
20 refreezing (e.g., after lake drainage) (Grosse et al., 2007).

21 We describe differing hydrological controls by further subdividing each carbon pool into one
22 aerobic fraction and two anaerobic fractions. Hereby we account for anaerobic conditions
23 provided in wetland soils and by water-saturated sediments under thermokarst lakes. We put our
24 model focus on the formation of new thermokarst lakes. We do not consider the contribution of
25 lake areas which existed already under pre-industrial climate. The scarcity of observational data
26 hampers an estimate of circumpolar lake ages. Therefore, estimates of the fraction of sub-lake
27 sediments, which were thawed by past talik formation and growth, are highly uncertain.

28 In the following we define wetland soils from a purely hydrological viewpoint, i.e. by assuming
29 that these soils are water-saturated and not affected by thermokarst. We further assume that
30 anaerobic soil fractions are not stationary but will increase or decrease with climate change.

1 Therefore, we re-calculate the wetland and thermokarst fraction for each time step (see
2 supplementary material for model details). Given the large-scale dominance of aerobic over
3 anaerobic landscapes (considered from a full circum-Arctic viewpoint), we assume that any
4 increase in the fraction of anaerobic areas, i.e. in wetland or new thermokarst lake, will lead to a
5 decrease in the aerobic fraction in each latitude band. Vice versa, a decrease in the anaerobic
6 fractions will lead to an increase in the aerobic fraction. We do not consider the case of a
7 thermokarst lake which develops into a wetland by terrestrialization. We neither consider the
8 reverse case of a wetland becoming a thermokarst-affected terrain. The change in aerobic and
9 anaerobic areas determines the amount of carbon which gets transferred between the pools and
10 which then is subject to environmental control of thaw and decomposition of the new pool.

11 We assume a linear increase in wetland extent with global warming with mean maximum
12 increases up to 30% above pre-industrial wetland extent (see Table 1). We stress that future
13 changes in wetland extent are subject to large uncertainty. While e.g. Gao et al. (2013)
14 investigate future CH₄ release from Arctic regions based on simulating future increases in
15 saturated areas, Avis et al. (2011) consider a scenario of a reduction in future areal extent and
16 duration of high-latitude wetlands.

17 To capture ~~changes in future~~ the growth and decline of newly formed thermokarst ~~lake~~
18 ~~coverage~~ lakes, we have developed a conceptual model by making the simplifying assumption
19 that future increases in high latitude surface air temperatures are the main driver for thermokarst
20 formation. We hereby assume that future warming results in a gradual increase ~~of~~ in newly
21 formed thermokarst lake areas (Smith et al., 2005; Plug and West, 2009; Walter et al., 2007b)
22 until a maximum extent is reached (see Table 1). With further warming our model describes a
23 decrease in thermokarst lake extent as we assume that lake drainage is becoming a key factor
24 which strongly limits thermokarst lake area (van Huissteden et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2005;
25 Jones et al., 2011; Morgenstern et al., 2011); see also supplementary Fig. S1).

26 As the quality of organic matter is a further key determinant for the timescale of carbon release
27 (Strauss et al., ~~2014~~2015) we subdivide the carbon of each individual pool into a fast and a
28 slowly decomposing fraction, with annual or respectively decadal timescales (Table 1). We do
29 not describe permafrost organic matter of low quality (passive pool) which decays on a multi-

1 centennial to millennial timescale. The partitioning of permafrost organic matter results in a total
2 of 24 separate carbon pools which all contribute individually to simulated carbon fluxes (Fig. 1).
3 All pools and processes are stratified along latitudinal bands that provide a simplified gradient of
4 climate and permafrost types. To describe the climate control exerted by surface-air and ground
5 temperatures in each ~~latitude~~latitudinal band, we assume that large-scale climate effects can be
6 described by a general north-south temperature gradient. We acknowledge that longitudinal
7 patterns can also be pronounced, but with a focus on large-scale regional rather than local
8 changes we expect that the dominant climate control can be described by a profile of coldest
9 permafrost temperatures at the northern limit and warmest temperatures at the southern limit
10 (Romanovsky et al., 2010; Beer et al., 2013). Our model also resolves vertical information to
11 account for varying carbon density with depth and to track active layer changes- (see section
12 2.2). We chose a model resolution of 20 latitudinal bands (which range from 45°N to 85°N with
13 a 2° gridding) and of 27 vertical soil layers (corresponding to layer thicknesses of 25cm for the
14 upper four meters, and of 1m for the depth range 4 to 15m).

15 **2.2 Model initialization**

16 The flexibility of our model allows us to tune model parameters to observed data, e.g. to
17 permafrost carbon inventories, carbon qualities, or active layer depths. This approach assures
18 that our simulations do not suffer from an initial bias in the amount of modelled permafrost
19 carbon. This is contrary to model studies, which fully simulate soil thermal conditions with
20 potentially large biases in initial permafrost extent (Slater and Lawrence, 2013). Such biases
21 result in a large spread in simulated initial permafrost carbon ~~stores~~stocks (Mishra et al., 2013;
22 Gouttevin et al., 2012). Based on updated Arctic soil carbon data (Hugelius et al., 2013; Hugelius
23 et al., 2014; Strauss et al., 2013; Walter Anthony et al., 2014) we allocate permafrost carbon
24 pools (latitudinally and vertically resolved) in different regions: two deep-ranging pools (0 to
25 15m) in regions with Yedoma (80 Pg-C) and refrozen thermokarst deposits (240 Pg-C), and two
26 near-surface pools (0 to 3m) in remaining regions with mineral soils (540 Pg-C) and organic
27 soils (120 Pg-C), see the supplementary material and Table 1. We describe the vertical soil
28 carbon distribution separately for each meter of near-surface permafrost based on the Northern
29 Circumpolar Soil Carbon Database (Hugelius et al., 2013). For deep soils below three meters we
30 assume a constant vertical carbon density (see Strauss et al., 2013, Strauss et al., 2015).

1 We then initialize each ~~latitude~~latitudinal band with a mean annual ground temperature between
2 -0.5°C and -10°C based on summer air temperature climatology data from the Berkeley Earth
3 dataset (<http://berkeleyearth.org/data>; see supplementary material). The above temperature range
4 is consistent with observed ground temperatures of continuous and discontinuous permafrost in
5 the northern hemisphere (Romanovsky et al., 2010). We do not consider permafrost temperatures
6 below -10°C (observed in the Canadian Archipelago and Northern Russia) which we consider in
7 the outer tail of permafrost temperature distributions.

8 By assuming that the equilibrium active layer depth is determined by mean annual ground
9 temperature and by the seasonal cycle of soil temperatures (see Koven et al. ~~(., 2013a))~~, we
10 calculate typical minimum seasonal thaw depths of about ~~0.3m~~30cm (northernmost permafrost
11 regions) and maximum seasonal thaw of about ~~2.5~~250 to ~~3m~~300cm (southernmost regions) for
12 present-day climate conditions (see supplementary material). Although topography, soil type, as
13 well as organic layer, vegetation cover, and snow cover variability can lead to spatially very
14 heterogeneous patterns of active layer thicknesses, our scheme describes a latitudinal tendency of
15 a strong north-south gradient of both subsoil temperature and active-layer thickness that
16 generally matches observations (Beer et al., 2013).

17 By calculating the active layer depth for each carbon pool and in each latitudinal band, we can
18 determine the fraction of permafrost carbon below the active layer and therefore the amount of
19 organic matter perennially frozen under our baseline climate conditions (i.e. pre-industrial
20 climate). Large amounts of organic matter in permafrost soils reside in the active layer and were
21 affected by past decomposition and release over millennia. It is unclear to what extent the quality
22 of this seasonally-thawed organic material will allow extensive microbial decay in the future.
23 Therefore we follow a strategy similar to Burke et al. (2012) and Harden et al. (2012) of
24 considering only the part of permafrost carbon which was locked in perennially frozen
25 groundsground since pre-industrial times and thus was not part of the active carbon cycle for
26 millennia. We hereby assume that our carbon inventory describes organic matter in continuous
27 and discontinuous permafrost. This carbon is likely to represent organic matter perennially
28 frozen since pre-industrial climate. We do not consider soil carbon stored in younger permafrost
29 deposits (sporadic and isolated patches) which likely had been thawed for the majority of the
30 Holocene and therefore is likely depleted in labile organic matter. When accounting for
31 uncertainty in model parameters, we infer a range of about 400 to 1100 Pg of carbon perennially

1 frozen under pre-industrial climate. By combining field information with modelling, Harden et
2 al. (2012) have estimated a total of about 130 to 1060 Pg of carbon perennially frozen under
3 present day climate.

4 Further, we account for the fact that a large part of the permafrost carbon inventory (i.e. the
5 passive pool) will likely be recalcitrant to decay on a multi-centennial timescale (Schmidt et al.,
6 2011). Assuming a passive pool fraction of about 40 to 70%, only about 120 to 660 Pg of
7 permafrost carbon can become vulnerable for eventual carbon release in our simulation setting.

8 To capture uncertainty in modelled carbon fluxes from thawing permafrost deposits, we have
9 independently sampled a set of 18 key model parameters ~~wh~~hich are subject to either
10 observational or to model description uncertainty. For each warming scenario, we have
11 performed 500 ensemble runs by applying a statistical Monte-Carlo sampling and by assuming
12 uniformly and independently distributed model parameters and initial values.

13 **2.3 Permafrost thaw and carbon release**

14 With increasing high latitude warming the active layer will deepen. We model this process by
15 assuming that climate-driven long-term thaw rates can be described depending on four key
16 factors: physical ground properties, mean annual ground temperatures, depth of the thawed
17 sediment layer, and magnitude of the warming anomaly which drives permafrost degradation
18 (see supplementary material). Hereby we capture factors which strongly affect pool-specific
19 thaw dynamics, e.g. talik formation under thermokarst lakes, dampening of the thaw signal with
20 depth, variable soil-ice contents. We therefore can determine the amount of newly thawed
21 organic matter under various anthropogenic emission scenarios as a consequence of warming
22 above pre-industrial temperatures. We hereby assume carbon emissions proportional to the
23 amount of newly thawed carbon in each pool. Eventual carbon emission as CO₂ or CH₄ is
24 determined through calculated aerobic and anaerobic emission rates (see supplementary
25 material).

26 Finally, the permafrost model was coupled to a simple multi-pool climate-carbon cycle model to
27 close the feedback loop: while the permafrost model simulates permafrost degradation and
28 subsequent carbon release (as CO₂ and CH₄), the climate carbon-cycle model calculates
29 atmospheric changes in CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations and subsequent increases in global mean

1 surface air temperatures. Based on state-of-the-art climate models (CMIP-5, Taylor et al., 2011),
2 we infer polar amplification factors to describe surface air warming in each latitudinal band
3 which then drives permafrost degradation in the next time step.

4 **2.4 Model limitations**

5 Our approach of modelling permafrost thaw relies on the simplifying assumption that the main
6 driver of permafrost degradation is the rise of Arctic air temperatures. Yet soil thermal
7 conditions can be influenced by factors other than temperature (e.g. vegetation cover, snow
8 thickness, topography) (Jafarov et al., 2012; Jorgenson et al., 2010). We motivate our modelling
9 approach by focusing on the large-scale and long-term deepening of active layer thickness under
10 various warming scenarios. Although snow cover is considered a key factor for simulating
11 present day permafrost extent consistent with observations (Koven et al., 2013a; Langer et al.,
12 2013; Osterkamp, 2007; Stieglitz et al., 2003), it is unclear how strongly future changes in high-
13 latitude snow cover will affect permafrost degradation. Given that no high-quality data products
14 are available for a circumpolar mapping of snow cover, snow depth, and snow density – and
15 given that climate models simulate strongly divergent pathways of future snowfall – we here
16 make the simplifying assumption that the long term evolution of permafrost is largely driven by
17 changes in surface air temperatures. Similarly, our simplified approach of describing thermokarst
18 dynamics is based on the assumption that future thermokarst formation is largely affected by
19 increasing surface air temperature. Temperature-unrelated, local factors (such as topography,
20 precipitation changes or wildfire) can also be key determinants for thermokarst dynamics. We
21 understand our approach mainly as quantifying carbon fluxes under different hypotheses of
22 future thermokarst development rather than providing deterministic and explicit predictions of
23 individual thermokarst terrains. An alternative scenario of a reduction in high-latitude inland
24 water surface area under future warming was e.g. investigated by Krinner and Boike (2010).

25 Nutrient limitation in the soils and abrupt carbon release after wildfires are considered two
26 ~~further, additional and~~ potentially important mechanisms for the carbon balance of thawed
27 permafrost deposits which we do not consider in our model design (Koven et al., 2015; Mack et
28 al., 2004; Turetsky et al., 2011). Probably the largest effect of unaccounted processes on our
29 simulated carbon fluxes comes from the omission of high latitude vegetation dynamics.
30 Increased carbon uptake in a warmer climate through more productive vegetation can strongly

1 affect the Arctic carbon balance (Schaphoff et al., 2013). The capturing of this feedback
2 component requires the implementation of a dynamic vegetation model which is beyond the
3 scope of this study. Also of importance in this respect is the potential restoration of carbon sinks
4 after lake drainage which could, on the long-term, partially compensate for high CH₄ emission
5 (van Huissteden and Dolman, 2012; Kessler et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2012; Walter Anthony et
6 al., 2012).

7 Our simulated wetland CH₄ fluxes describe ~~methane~~CH₄ produced from newly thawed
8 permafrost carbon. Yet the full carbon balance of wetlands is rather complex and possibly more
9 affected by future changes in soil moisture, soil temperature, and vegetation composition than by
10 the delivery of newly thawed organic matter through permafrost degradation (Olefeldt et al.,
11 2013). The accounting of these additional factors requires the implementation of comprehensive
12 wetland models (such as suggested by Frohling et al. (2001); Kleinen et al. (2012); Eliseev et al.
13 (2008)).

14

15 **3 Model results**

16 **3.1 Permafrost degradation**

17 We have run our model under various scenarios of future warming, ranging from moderate
18 (RCP2.6) to extensive (RCP8.5). Under RCP2.6, global greenhouse gas emissions peak by 2020
19 and decline strongly afterwards. We simulate subsequent increases in global mean surface-air
20 temperatures which are constrained to below two degrees above pre-industrial levels. In case of
21 unmitigated climate change (RCP8.5), global mean surface air temperatures continuously
22 increase and reach 10°C by the end of the 23rd century at the upper range of our simulations. This
23 pronounced difference in simulated surface air temperatures results in strongly differing
24 pathways of long-term permafrost degradation (Fig. 2).

25 Depending on initial mean annual ground temperatures (MAGT_{t0}), we ~~exemplarily~~infer for cold
26 (MAGT_{t0}=-10°C), medium (MAGT_{t0}=-5°C), and warm (MAGT_{t0}=-0.5°C) permafrost mean
27 active layer depths of 20cm, 70cm, and ~~250 cm~~250cm, respectively. In a recent study, Koven et
28 al. (2013a) have diagnosed observed active layer depths north of 55°N from a circumpolar and a
29 Russian data set (CALM (Brown et al., 2000), and Zhang et al. (2006)). Their analysis suggests

1 a range of measured present-day active layer depths ranging from ~~30cm~~30 to 230cm. The authors
2 underline the challenge of comparing ~~modeled~~modelled with observed active layer depths given
3 the different spatial coverage of models and observations.

4 As projections of surface air temperatures only start to diverge strongly after ~~mid~~the middle of
5 the 21st century, continuous but slow deepening of the active layer is similar under RCP2.6 and
6 RCP8.5 until 2050 (Fig. 2). We first focus on active layer deepening of the largest pool of
7 permafrost carbon, i.e. organic matter in mineral soils under aerobic conditions (Fig. 2, upper
8 panels). Under moderate warming (RCP2.6), active layer depths stabilize after 2100 for cold and
9 medium permafrost temperatures (blue and green curves). ~~Yet~~Permafrost in southerly warm
10 regions will degrade in our simulations with disappearance of near-surface (0 to 3m) permafrost
11 before 2100 (red curve). Under strong warming (RCP8.5), a sharp increase in thawing rates in
12 the second half of the 21st century can be seen and the majority of model runs suggest a
13 degradation of near-surface permafrost towards the end of the century. In northern and cold
14 permafrost regions, a complete disappearance of near-surface permafrost is only realized after
15 2150 (blue curve, upper right panel). The sustained long-term warming leads to a continuous
16 deepening of the permafrost table which can reach about 10m (~7 to 13m, 68% range) by the
17 year 2300 in our simulations.

18 Under wetland conditions (i.e. water/ice-saturated sediments), the active layer shows a similar
19 but slower deepening in response to rising surface air temperatures (Fig. 2, mid panels). In
20 contrast, when considering thermokarst lake formation, thaw rates increase sharply (Fig. 2, ~~lower~~
21 ~~panels~~)-2, lower panels) once lakes have reached a critical depth which prevents winter refreeze.
22 As we do not model lake depth expansion we assume that formation of new thermokarst lakes is
23 initiated for any warming above pre-industrial climate, while we assume that critical lake depths
24 are only realized with beginning of the 21st century (see supplementary material). In the first
25 years after intense thermokarst formation, sub-lake talik progression is very pronounced and
26 annual thaw rates amount many decimetres (~~see supplementary material~~)— in line with
27 observational and modelling studies (Ling et al., 2012; Kessler et al., 2012;~~Grosse~~). The abrupt
28 thaw dynamics results in disappearance of near-surface permafrost well before 2050 (Fig. 2,
29 lower panels). By the year 2100, typical talik depths amount to 10 to ~~15 meters~~15m. The
30 evolution of active layer depths in thermokarst-affected deposits does not strongly differ between
31 moderate and extensive warming (Fig. 2, lower panels). This is because the degradation in

1 thermokarst-affected sediments is driven by lake_{bottom} temperatures. Averaged over a full
2 year, lake_{bottom} temperatures do not strongly differ between moderate and strong surface-air
3 warming (see [also Boike et al. \(2015\) and](#) supplementary material).

4 In our model setting, we explicitly account for permafrost carbon in deep inventories (Yedoma
5 and refrozen thermokarst deposits). By the end of the 23rd century, typical depths of the
6 permafrost table in these carbon- and ice-rich sediments reach about 5 to ~~9 meters~~9m under the
7 RCP8.5 scenario if no abrupt thaw is considered (not shown). Thus even under strong surface air
8 warming, our simulations suggest a large part of the deep carbon deposits will remain
9 perennially frozen over the coming centuries if only gradual thaw is considered. In contrast, in
10 most latitudes ~~of where~~ ice-rich Yedoma ~~regions which are~~is affected by new thermokarst
11 formation, thaw reaches the maximum model depth of 15m before 2300.

12 **3.2 Permafrost carbon release**

13 We define permafrost carbon fluxes similar to Burke et al. (2012) and Harden et al. (2012) as the
14 release from newly thawed permafrost carbon, i.e. the contribution of perennially frozen soil
15 organic matter which becomes part of the active carbon cycle if warmed above pre-industrial
16 temperatures. We stress that these fluxes do not describe the full carbon balance of permafrost
17 regions which is also affected by changes in vegetation uptake, new carbon inputs into deeper
18 soil layers, and carbon release from soil surface layers which were already seasonally thawed
19 under pre-industrial climate (see discussion in section ~~Model Initialization~~2.2).

20 Depending on the degree of ground warming and thus on the extent of active layer deepening,
21 differing amounts of newly thawed carbon will be made available for microbial decomposition
22 and eventual release to the atmosphere. Fig. 3 illustrates permafrost carbon thaw and emissions
23 under a scenario of moderate warming (RCP2.6, upper panels) and extensive warming (RCP8.5,
24 lower panels). Under RCP2.6, largest increases in newly thawed permafrost carbon (Fig. 3, first
25 column) are realized until ~~mid~~the middle of the 21st century with a total of 167 Pg-C (113 to 239
26 Pg-C, 68% range) of which 40 to 70% is assumed part of the passive carbon pool and thus
27 recalcitrant on the timescale considered here. In contrast, the pronounced and continuous
28 warming under RCP8.5 results in much larger amounts of newly thawed permafrost carbon. By
29 the year 2100, 367 Pg-C are thawed (233 to 497 Pg-C, 68% range), and through further

1 permafrost degradation in the 22nd and 23rd century, a total of 564 Pg-C (392 to 734 Pg-C, 68%
2 range) of organic matter is newly thawed by the year 2300. Focusing on the top three soil meters
3 and considering a larger uncertainty spread in the permafrost carbon inventory, two recent
4 studies estimated a min-max range of 75 to 870 Pg (Burke et al. 2012) and of 105 to 851 Pg
5 (Harden et al. 2012) of newly thawed permafrost carbon under RCP8.5 until the year 2100.

6 The intensity of carbon release after permafrost thaw differs strongly among the scenarios in our
7 simulations (Fig. 3). While under RCP2.6, maximum annual CO₂ emission rates are constrained
8 to about 0.4 Pg-C/yr (0.2 to 0.6 Pg-C/yr, 68% range), peak emission rates under RCP8.5 amount
9 to 1.7 Pg-C/yr (median) and can reach 2.6 Pg-C/yr (upper 68% range). The decline in emission
10 rates in the 22nd and 23rd century describes the depletion of thawed permafrost carbon through
11 release to the atmosphere. Under all RCPs, peak CO₂ emission rates occur around the end of the
12 21st century.

13 Due to much lower anaerobic CH₄ as compared to aerobic CO₂ production rates (Table 1), and
14 due to the majority of soil carbon being thawed under aerobic conditions, methane-emission from
15 thawing permafrost soils amounts to only a few percent of total permafrost carbon release.
16 Observational and modelling experts have estimated that methaneCH₄ will contribute by about
17 1.5% to 3.5% to future permafrost carbon release (Schuur et al., 2013).

18 Given the slow progression of permafrost thaw in wetland-affected sediments, CH₄ release from
19 newly thawed permafrost carbon is only discernible after end of this century (Fig. 3). We
20 consider our estimates of wetland carbon fluxes being conservative: we neither account for
21 carbon release from organic matter contained in the active layer which is already thawed since
22 pre-industrial times, nor do we account for enhanced thaw of water-saturated grounds affected by
23 non-conductive heat flow.

24 Our simulations suggest maximum annual CH₄ emission rates of a few Tg-CH₄ for moderate
25 warming, about 16 Tg-CH₄ (8 to 28 Tg-CH₄, 68% range) for strong warming. To the contrary,
26 abrupt thaw under thermokarst lakes results in peak methaneCH₄ emission after ~~mid~~ the middle
27 of this century. Under RCP2.6, maximum annual CH₄ emissions are constrained to about 5.5 Tg-
28 CH₄ (up to 11.5 Tg-CH₄ for the upper 68% range), while under RCP8.5 peak CH₄ emission reach
29 about 26 Tg-CH₄ (14 to 49 Tg-CH₄, 68% range). The strong decline in emission rates towards
30 the end of the century is an expression of the sharp decrease in thermokarst lake extents through

Comment [s1]: This section has been moved to section 4.

1 increasing drainage under sustained warming (see Fig. S1). ~~A pronounced spike in methane~~
2 ~~emissions as a consequence of rapidly expanding and subsequently shrinking thermokarst lake~~
3 ~~areas is in line with hypotheses of past rapid thermokarst lake formation and expansion. Walter~~
4 ~~et al. (2007a) suggest an annual CH₄ release of 30 to 40 Tg CH₄ from thermokarst lakes to~~
5 ~~partially explain CH₄ excursions of early Holocene atmospheric methane levels. Brosius et al.~~
6 ~~(2012) discuss a yearly contribution from thermokarst lakes of 15±4 Tg CH₄ during the Younger~~
7 ~~Dryas and 25±5 Tg CH₄ during the Preboreal period.~~

8 ~~Our modelled total CH₄ fluxes under strong warming are comparable in magnitude to an~~
9 ~~estimated current release of 24.2±10.5 Tg CH₄ per year from northern lakes (Walter et al.,~~
10 ~~2007b). The majority of our results suggest that methane fluxes from newly thawed permafrost~~
11 ~~carbon are an order of magnitude smaller than the contribution from all current natural (about~~
12 ~~200 Tg CH₄ per year) and anthropogenic (about 350 Tg CH₄ per year) sources (Environmental~~
13 ~~Protection Agency (EPA), 2010). Focusing on thermokarst lakes in ice rich sediments (i.e. on~~
14 ~~our Yedoma and refrozen thermokarst deposits), we infer 21st-century averaged median emission~~
15 ~~rates of 6.3 Tg CH₄/yr which are about double compared to recent model estimates of~~
16 ~~thermokarst lake CH₄ release (van Huissteden et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2013). Based on a carbon~~
17 ~~mass balance calculation of methane release from Siberian thermokarst lakes, Walter et al.~~
18 ~~(2007b) suggest a contribution of about 50.000 Tg CH₄ (or 50-100 Tg CH₄/yr over centuries) in~~
19 ~~case of a complete thaw of the Yedoma ice complex. Considering contributions from permafrost~~
20 ~~wetlands and lakes, Burke et al. (2012) infer 21st-century methane emission rates below 53 Tg-~~
21 ~~CH₄ per year for the majority of their model runs. Although our CH₄ release estimates, which are~~
22 ~~inferred by an independent modelling approach, are comparable in magnitude with recent work,~~
23 ~~a direct comparison with studies extrapolating observed CH₄ fluxes should be considered with~~
24 ~~care. Observed methane fluxes describe the full carbon balance, including contributions from soil~~
25 ~~surface layers and vegetation cover, which we do not consider in our model setting.~~

26 Under strong warming, our modelled ~~methane~~CH₄ emissions accumulate to 836 to 2614 Tg-CH₄
27 (68% range) until the year 2100. Maximum contributions until the year 2300 can reach 10.000
28 Tg-CH₄ (upper 68% range, see Table 2).

29 We have additionally analysed the impact of uncertainty in initial MAGT distribution on the
30 calculated carbon fluxes. Soil temperatures affect the magnitude of carbon release in two ways.

1 First, MAGTs determine the initial active layer profile and thus the amount of carbon perennially
2 frozen under ~~per~~pre-industrial climate. Second, soil temperatures determine the vulnerability of
3 permafrost carbon to future degradation. Based on a model ensemble with sampling solely
4 uncertainty in MAGT, we inferred a spread in the year 2100 of $32.5 \pm 23\%$ Pg-C and $81.5 \pm 8\%$
5 Pg-C for the scenarios RCP2.6 and RCP8.5 respectively, which further increase to $60 \pm 33\%$ Pg-C
6 and $235 \pm 6\%$ Pg-C in the year 2300. The factor 3-5 larger fractional uncertainty for the climate
7 mitigation scenario (RCP2.6) illustrates the enhanced sensitivity to initial permafrost
8 temperatures of modelled carbon fluxes under moderate warming.

9 **3.3 Contribution of individual soil pools and of deep deposits**

10 Carbon release discussed in the previous section describes the sum of fluxes over all individual
11 soil types, hydrologic controls, and organic matter qualities (based on a total of 24 individual
12 carbon pools, see section 2.1). We illustrate the contribution of individual fluxes to the total
13 carbon budget in supplementary figures S2 and S3. It can be seen that CO₂ fluxes are largely
14 controlled by contributions from mineral soils, as these soils describe the largest source of
15 organic matter and as they are dominated by aerobic conditions (Fig. S2). In contrast, the total
16 CH₄ balance is influenced by contributions from all soils types. In our simulation setting, 21st
17 century CH₄ fluxes are largely controlled by the formation and expansion of new thermokarst
18 lakes, while discernible CH₄ release from newly thawing permafrost in wetlands results only in
19 the 22nd and 23rd century.

20 We account for a total of 230 Pg of organic ~~matter~~carbon buried below ~~3~~three meters in Yedoma
21 and refrozen thermokarst deposits (including taberal sediments). Under aerobic or wetland
22 conditions, our simulations suggest only small contributions of these deep deposits to the total
23 release of newly thawed permafrost carbon even under scenarios of strong warming (Fig. ~~4~~4,
24 supplementary figures S2 and S3). Discernible contributions are only inferred towards the end of
25 our simulations (23rd century), with fluxes from deep deposits contributing a maximum of about
26 10% to accumulated CO₂ release or about 5% to total wetland CH₄ release (upper 68% ranges).
27 The lagged response of deep carbon release is an expression of the slow penetration of heat into
28 the ground. In most latitude bands under the RCP2.6 scenario, no frozen carbon from deep
29 deposits is thawed as the moderate warming does not result in active layer depths exceeding
30 three meters.

1 Yet if abrupt thaw under thermokarst lakes is accounted for, the fast propagation of sub-lake
2 taliks can unlock large amounts of perennially frozen deep organic matter even within this
3 century- [\(see supplementary figures S2 and S3\)](#). Our simulations suggest that until 2100 about 25
4 to 30% of emitted methane CH_4 from thermokarst lakes stems from contributions of deep
5 permafrost carbon (Fig. 4, lower panel). Maximum contributions until 2300 can amount to 35%
6 (upper 68% range).

7 [We have performed additional model simulations to illustrate the extent to which our simulated](#)
8 [permafrost carbon fluxes are affected by changes in anaerobic soil fractions and by deep carbon](#)
9 [release. For this purpose we have run two further model ensembles under identical parameter](#)
10 [settings for each warming scenario in which we 1\) fixed anaerobic soil fractions at initial values](#)
11 [\(i.e. static anaerobic soil fractions\), and 2\) disregarded soil carbon below 3 meters. Resulting](#)
12 [CO₂ fluxes reveal a comparable magnitude under the different simulation settings because our](#)
13 [simulated changes in anaerobic soil fractions and contributions from deep carbon deposits do](#)
14 [only slightly affect total CO₂ release. Yet these factors were found to exert a strong control on](#)
15 [simulated CH₄ release \(supplementary figure S4\). Especially CH₄ release in the 21st century is](#)
16 [largely driven by the contribution from newly formed thermokarst lakes, enhanced by carbon](#)
17 [release from deep deposits.](#)

18

19 **3.4 Permafrost-affected warming**

20 To disentangle the warming caused by anthropogenic greenhouse gas emission from warming
21 caused by permafrost-carbon release, we have performed paired-simulations under identical
22 parameter settings – once with the permafrost module activated and once deactivated. The
23 difference in global mean surface-air temperatures between each pair of ensemble simulations is
24 what we define as the additional global warming caused by newly thawed permafrost carbon (i.e.
25 permafrost-affected warming).

26 Although permafrost carbon release increases strongly with rising global temperatures (Fig. 3),
27 our results suggest a permafrost-affected global warming of about 0.05°C to 0.15°C (68% range)
28 until 2100 which is only slightly dependent on the anthropogenic emission pathway. [\(Fig. 5,](#)
29 [Table 2\)](#). The quasi path-independency of the permafrost temperature feedback is an expression

1 of the decreasing radiative efficiency under high atmospheric greenhouse gas levels. Long-term
2 warming from the release of newly thawed permafrost carbon can add an additional 0.4°C (upper
3 68% range) to global temperatures until the year 2300. Despite ~~of methane~~CH₄ release
4 contributing only a few percent to total permafrost carbon release, our analyses suggest that it
5 can cause up to about 40% (upper 68% range) of permafrost-affected warming. In the 22nd and
6 23rd century the radiative balance is largely affected by aerobic permafrost carbon release as
7 emitted CO₂ accumulates over centuries in the atmosphere – in contrast to the fast decline in
8 ~~methane~~CH₄ anomalies with a typical CH₄ life-time of about a decade.

9

10 **4 Discussion and conclusions**

11 This paper presents a new observation-based model for assessing long-term climatic
12 consequences of permafrost degradation. Our simulation strategy consisted in partitioning carbon
13 inventories into different pools of varying soil and surface conditions to model site-specific
14 carbon release. Rather than trying to capture permafrost-carbon dynamics in detail, we instead
15 have aimed at describing in a simplified manner a multitude of processes which are key to
16 permafrost carbon release – such as abrupt thaw in thermokarst-affected sediments. We have
17 especially aimed at accounting for the contribution of carbon release from known deep deposits
18 in the 1.3 million km² large Yedoma region of Siberia and Alaska (Strauss et al., 2013; Walter
19 Anthony et al., 2014), which had been neglected in most previous modelling studies. Our
20 computationally efficient model has enabled us to scan the large uncertainty inherent to
21 observing and modelling the permafrost carbon feedback. In our study we had focused on the
22 contribution of newly thawed permafrost carbon which becomes vulnerable through soil
23 warming above pre-industrial temperatures. However, we stress that the full permafrost carbon
24 feedback is also affected by ~~contributions~~ carbon fluxes from sources not considered in this
25 study, such as the contribution from soil surface layers (seasonally thawed active layer) and
26 changes in high-latitude vegetation. With rising soil temperatures, further contributions will also
27 result from known carbon stocks in permafrost regions, which are not ~~considered in this~~
28 ~~study-classified as gelisols (e.g. histosols).~~ Finally, abrupt thaw processes other than thermokarst
29 (e.g. caused by wildfires, coastal and thermal erosion) not considered in our study will
30 potentially result in enhanced permafrost carbon fluxes (Grosse et al., 2011).

1 The large spread in future carbon release from permafrost degradation inferred from modelling
2 studies (see Schaefer et al. (2014) and Schuur et al. (2015) for an overview) is caused by various
3 factors. One key issue are pronounced differences in the strength of simulated permafrost
4 degradation. In a recent observationally-constrained model study, Hayes et al. (2014) suggest a
5 mean deepening of the active layer of 6.8 cm over the period 1970 to 2006. We simulate a
6 deepening by 5.9 to 15.5 cm (68% range) over the same period when focusing on our mineral
7 soil pool under aerobic conditions. By the year 2100, our simulations suggest a mean active layer
8 deepening of this pool by 40 to 76 cm under RCP2.6, and of 105 to 316 cm under RCP8.5. The
9 latter range covers a large part of previous estimates, although some studies suggest lower values
10 (Schaefer et al., 2014). Yet a comparison of aggregated simulated active layer depths should be
11 considered with care as differences in definitions (e.g. of the considered permafrost domain and
12 its vertical extent) or different assumptions of future warming can lead to estimating
13 systematically lower or higher active layer depths.

14 Our simulations suggest that permafrost emissions will be strongly constrained when limiting
15 global warming: under a climate mitigation pathway (RCP2.6), the increase in high latitude
16 temperatures results in a moderate deepening of the active layer which stabilizes in most
17 latitudes after the year 2100 (in line with diagnostics based on complex models (Slater and
18 Lawrence, 2013)). Until end of the century about 36 Pg (20 to 58 Pg, 68% range) of carbon ~~can~~
19 ~~be~~ released as CO₂. Under strong warming (RCP8.5), permafrost degradation proves
20 substantial and cumulated CO₂ emissions ~~can reach~~ 87 Pg-C (42 to 141 Pg-C, 68%
21 range) by the year 2100. A release of 87 Pg-C corresponds to a mean loss of about 12% of our
22 initial inventory of 750 Pg of carbon perennially frozen under pre-industrial climate. Other
23 modelling studies have estimated a loss of 6-to 33% of initial permafrost carbon stocks, while
24 the majority of models suggest a loss of 10 to 20% (Schaefer et al., 2014). Incubation of
25 permafrost soil samples suggest a carbon loss from mineral soils under aerobic conditions of
26 13% and 15% over 100 years when assuming thaw during 4four months in a year (Schädel et al.
27 2013; Knoblauch et al. 2013).

28 ~~The sustained long-term warming under RCP8.5 results in an almost complete degradation of~~
29 ~~near surface permafrost in the 22nd century and illustrates the long term consequences of~~
30 ~~permafrost carbon release: our simulations suggest that until the year 2300, a total of about 157~~
31 ~~to 313 Pg-C can be released to the atmosphere. Peak emissions occur at the end of the 21st~~

1 century and reach 2.5 Pg-C per year under strong warming (RCP8.5, upper 68% range). In the
2 22nd- and 23rd-century depletion of permafrost carbon gets increasingly noticeable and total
3 emissions from newly thawed carbon decline. Our analyses have shown a large potential of
4 reducing uncertainty in simulated carbon fluxes especially for climate mitigation pathways when
5 more and spatially higher resolved data of present day permafrost temperatures will be available.

6 Based on our conceptual model of thermokarst lake formation and drainage, our results suggest
7 that abrupt thaw can unlock large amounts of frozen carbon within this century. We infer a
8 deepening of the permafrost table by several meters in 100 years after thermokarst initiation,
9 with additional talik propagation large enough to fully thaw sediments to our lower pool
10 boundary (15m) in the second half of the 22nd century. Subsequent CH₄ release from newly
11 thawed permafrost under RCP8.5 results in ~~peak~~-emissions ~~up to that peak at~~ about 50 Tg-CH₄
12 per year (upper 68% range) in the 21st century. ~~Our modelled methane releases are~~ **A pronounced**
13 **spike in CH₄ emissions as a consequence** ~~of a magnitude comparable to paleo-based estimates~~
14 ~~from past rapidly expanding and subsequently shrinking thermokarst dynamics (lake areas is in~~
15 ~~line with hypotheses of past rapid thermokarst lake formation and expansion. Walter et al.,~~
16 ~~(2007a); suggest an annual CH₄ release of 30 to 40 Tg-CH₄ from thermokarst lakes to partially~~
17 ~~explain CH₄ excursions of early Holocene atmospheric CH₄ levels. Brosius et al., (2012) and~~
18 ~~suggest slightly larger~~ ~~discuss a yearly contribution from thermokarst lakes of 15±4 Tg-CH₄~~
19 ~~during the Younger Dryas and 25±5 Tg-CH₄ during the Preboreal period.~~

Comment [s2]: Moved from 3.2.

20 ~~Our modelled total CH₄ fluxes under strong warming are comparable in magnitude to an~~
21 ~~estimated current release of 24.2±10.5 Tg-CH₄ per year from northern lakes (Walter et al.,~~
22 ~~2007b). The majority of our results suggest CH₄ fluxes from newly thawed permafrost carbon~~
23 ~~are an order of magnitude smaller than the contribution from all current natural (about 200 Tg-~~
24 ~~CH₄/yr) and anthropogenic (about 350 Tg-CH₄/yr) sources (Environmental Protection Agency~~
25 ~~(EPA), 2010). Focusing on thermokarst lakes in ice-rich deposits (i.e. on Yedoma and refrozen~~
26 ~~thermokarst deposits), we infer 21st century averaged median emission rates of 6.3 Tg-CH₄/yr~~
27 ~~which are about double~~ compared to ~~two~~ recent ~~modelling studies (Gao et al., 2013; estimate~~
28 ~~based on a stochastic thaw-lake model for Siberian ice-rich deposits (van Huissteden et al.,~~
29 ~~2011). Using an integrated earth-system model framework, Gao et al. (2013) estimate that~~
30 ~~increases in CH₄ emissions until 2100 from inundated area expansion and soil warming range~~
31 ~~between 5.6 to 15.1 Tg-CH₄/yr. In contrast to our analyses, their simulated CH₄ fluxes are~~

Comment [s3]: Comparison with Gao et al. (2013)

1 largely dominated by wetland CH₄ release because they assume a fixed value of 3.35 for the
2 wetland:lake ratio in regions north of 45°. Even under assumptions of maximum increases in
3 saturated areas, Gao et al. (2013) simulate future thermokarst lake extents which cover only a
4 few percent of Arctic landscapes. In our model setting (see table 1), we have investigated the
5 scenario of a potential large transformation of northern landscapes, considering up to 50% of ice-
6 rich regions being affected by newly formed thermokarst lakes – and therefore we simulate a
7 much larger CH₄ contribution from permafrost sediments affected by thermokarst.

8 Burke et al. (2012) infer 21st century annual CH₄ emission rates from permafrost wetlands and
9 lakes below 53 Tg-CH₄ for the majority of their model runs. Although our CH₄ release estimates,
10 which are inferred by an independent modelling approach, are comparable in magnitude with
11 recent work, a direct comparison with studies extrapolating observed CH₄ fluxes (e.g. van
12 Huissteden et. al (2011); Gao et al. (2011)) should be considered with care. Observed CH₄ fluxes
13 describe the full carbon balance, including contributions from soil surface layers and vegetation
14 cover, which we do not consider in our model setting.

15
16 In contrast to abrupt thaw and fast release under thermokarst lakes, methaneCH₄ release from
17 newly thawed carbon in wetland-affected soils is slow with discernible contributions only in the
18 22nd and 23rd century. Although contributing only a few percent to total permafrost carbon
19 release, our simulated methaneCH₄ fluxes from newly thawed permafrost carbon can cause up to
20 40% of permafrost-affected warming in the 21st century. Given the short lifetime of
21 methaneCH₄, the radiative forcing from permafrost carbon in the 22nd and 23rd century is largely
22 dominated by aerobic CO₂ release.

23 Under strong warming, our modelled methaneCH₄ emissions from newly thawed permafrost
24 accumulate to some thousand terra-grammesTg until the year 2100, with maximum contributions
25 of 10.000 Tg-CH₄ (upper 68% range) until the year 2300 (see Table 1). Yet the release of this
26 amount of CH₄ would only slightly affect future atmospheric methaneCH₄ levels under projected
27 RCP CH₄ emissions as the anthropogenic contribution will dominate atmospheric CH₄
28 concentrations. Based on a carbon mass balance calculation of CH₄ release from Siberian
29 thermokarst lakes, Walter et al. (2007b) suggest a contribution of about 50.000 Tg-CH₄ (or 50 to
30 100 Tg-CH₄/yr over centuries) in the extremely unlikely case of a complete thaw of the Yedoma

1 | ~~ice complex, Walter et al. (2007b) have discussed a contribution of 50.000 Tg of methane being~~
2 | ~~released into the atmosphere deposits.~~

3 | To put into relation the contribution of carbon fluxes from deep deposits to the total, circumpolar
4 | release from newly thawed permafrost, we have analysed the contribution of individual pools.
5 | Our simulations suggest that the omission of deep carbon ~~stores~~stocks is unlikely to strongly
6 | affect CO₂ release from permafrost degradation in the coming centuries. In contrast, CH₄ fluxes
7 | from newly thawed permafrost are strongly influenced by carbon release from organic matter
8 | stored in deep deposits. Although our considered deep pools cover only about 12% of the total
9 | area of northern hemisphere gelisols, and despite of the organic matter in these pools being
10 | buried deep in the ground, these pools contribute significantly to the total CH₄ balance because
11 | abrupt thaw under thermokarst lakes can unlock a large portion of previously inert organic
12 | matter. About a quarter of 21st century thermokarst lake CH₄ release stems from newly thawed
13 | organic matter stored in deep deposits (i.e. from soil layers deeper than 3m). Further, our
14 | analyses revealed that the release from mineralization of labile organic matter contributes
15 | disproportionately high to these fluxes. ~~Despite of~~ assuming a fast (labile) pool fraction of only a
16 | few percent, our simulated CH₄ fluxes from newly thawed labile organic matter account for up to
17 | half of the total thermokarst-affected deep CH₄ release in the 21st century. Therefore, improved
18 | observational estimates of the share of labile organic matter would help to reduce uncertainty in
19 | simulated ~~methane~~CH₄ release from deep carbon deposits (Strauss et al., ~~2014~~2015). The
20 | analysis of individual deep pools revealed a ~~methane~~CH₄ release ~~about a factor of two larger~~
21 | from refrozen thermokarst ~~compared up~~ to twice the emission from unaltered Yedoma.

22 | Our results suggest a mean increase in global average surface temperature of about 0.1°C by the
23 | year 2100 (0.03 to 0.14°C, 68% ranges) caused by carbon release from newly thawed permafrost
24 | soils. Long-term warming through the permafrost carbon feedback (year 2300) can add an
25 | additional 0.4°C (upper 68% range) to projected global mean surface air temperatures.

26 | Our analyses suggest ~~at that the~~ permafrost-~~affected~~induced additional warming ~~which~~ is similar
27 | under ~~differing~~different scenarios of anthropogenic emissions – despite of largest carbon release
28 | from permafrost degradation under strong warming. The weak path dependency is a consequence
29 | of the decreasing radiative efficiency of emitted permafrost carbon under increasing greenhouse
30 | gas levels-background CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations.

Comment [s4]: Comparison with our previous work

1 In a previous study (Schneider von Deimling et al. (2012) – referred to as SvD2012 in the
2 following – the authors calculated carbon fluxes from degradation of near-surface permafrost
3 based on a model which described permafrost dynamics in less detail but was coupled to a more
4 comprehensive description of climate-carbon cycle feedbacks (MAGICC-6, Meinshausen et al.,
5 2011). The various differences in model description between SvD2012 and our current study
6 (SvD2015) affect simulated permafrost carbon fluxes and the inferred temperature feedback in
7 multiple ways. In contrast to SvD2012, we now resolve vertical model levels and account for
8 depth dependent thaw dynamics and carbon distribution. This allows us to better initialize our
9 model based on observed active layer profiles and soil carbon concentrations. As a consequence
10 of our improved thaw rate parametrization (see section 2.2 of the supplement), in our new study
11 we simulate increased permafrost thaw (compared to SvD2012), especially under moderate
12 warming. Therefore, we now generally simulate larger carbon fluxes in the 21st century which
13 are also due to an improved tuning of soil carbon decomposition. Yet in our current study, we
14 model smaller cumulated carbon fluxes in the 22nd and 23rd century under RCP8.5 because we
15 consider a smaller fraction of permafrost carbon being available for long-term release.

16 The quantification of additional warming through permafrost carbon release requires a model
17 description of translating permafrost carbon fluxes into atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ and
18 CH₄, and ultimately into global mean temperature anomalies. In SvD2012, these calculations
19 were based on the MAGICC-6 model (Meinshausen et al., 2011), while in our current study we
20 use a more simplified description based on Allen et al. (2009, see supplement section 2.5).
21 Finally, the use of a fully-fledged carbon cycle emulation (MAGICC-6) in SvD2012 results in
22 additional carbon fluxes from non-permafrost terrestrial and oceanic sources which are triggered
23 by additional warming through permafrost degradation – and thus increase the overall
24 temperature feedback. Differences in estimates of permafrost affected warming between
25 SvD2012 and SvD2015 illustrate that factors independent from permafrost dynamics (such as
26 differing model formulations of ocean heat uptake) do affect the strength of the inferred
27 temperature feedback.

28 MacDougall et al. (2012) also modelled a permafrost-carbon feedback largely independent of the
29 emission pathway but inferred larger upper estimates of permafrost-affected warming due to
30 considering a much larger pool available for carbon release triggered by permafrost degradation.
31 An increase in the permafrost temperature feedback with global warming was inferred by Burke

1 et al. (2012) who considered a much larger spread in the near-surface permafrost carbon
2 inventory (~300 to 1800 Pg-C) and who estimated the permafrost temperature feedback by the
3 year 2100 as 0.02 to 0.11°C and 0.08 to 0.36°C (90% ranges) under RCP2.6 and RCP8.5
4 respectively.

5 In conclusion, our results demonstrate that deep carbon deposits and abrupt thaw processes, such
6 as provided by thermokarst lake formation, should be included into future model simulations for
7 an improved representation of the permafrost-carbon feedback.

8

9 **5 Outlook**

10 We consider our estimates conservative because carbon release from further, in this study
11 unaccounted sources, are likely to increase the strength of the full permafrost-carbon feedback.

12 Firstly,(1) Our study focuses solely on the carbon fluxes resulting from newly thawed soils and
13 deposits in our simulation scenarios, thus excluding carbon fluxes from permafrost-affected soils
14 in the current active layer. These soils will also warm to different levels under RCP scenarios
15 and very likely will be subject to enhanced mineralization of the large already seasonally thawed
16 C pool of about 500 Pg (Hugelius et al., 2014). Secondly,(2) We do not account for the
17 contribution of newly thawed organic matter of low quality, which we assume recalcitrant on the
18 timescale considered here (i.e. 40 to 70% of thawed organic matter is not available for release).
19 More data and longer time series of incubation experiments, in combination with modelling work
20 of soil-carbon dynamics, are needed to better constrain timescale assumptions for soil organic
21 matter decomposition. Also of importance are improved data-based estimates of
22 CH₄:CO₂ anaerobic:CO₂ anaerobic production ratios, which determine the share of carbon emitted
23 as CH₄. Thirdly,(3) We do not account for the presence, and potential thaw and mobilization,
24 deep frozen carbon outside the Yedoma and RTKrefrozen thermokarst region. Currently no
25 coherent data is available on the distribution and organic carbon characteristics of soils and
26 sediments below 3three meter depth for large regions in Siberia, Alaska, and Canada. Our model
27 results suggest that these depths will be affected by thaw over the coming centuries and available
28 thawed organic matter would contribute to the permafrost carbon feedback. Fourthly,(4) We do
29 not consider carbon release from degrading submarine permafrost which might result in an
30 underestimation of circumpolar permafrost-affected methaneCH₄ fluxes in our study (Shakhova

1 | et al., 2010). ~~Fifthly,~~(6) Extensive permafrost degradation can support a large and abrupt release
2 | of fossil CH₄ from below the permafrost cap based on presence of regional hydrocarbon
3 | reservoirs and geologic pathways for gas migration (Walter Anthony et al., 2012). We do not
4 | consider this pathway of potentially abrupt ~~methane~~CH₄ release which could lead to a non-
5 | gradual increase in the permafrost-carbon feedback if sub-cap CH₄ increases non-linearly with
6 | warming. Likely, the most important omission in our study stems from changes in the high-
7 | latitude carbon balance caused by altered vegetation dynamics. Here, an increased carbon uptake
8 | through more productive high-latitude vegetation and the renewal of carbon sinks in drained
9 | thermokarst basins can considerably decrease the net carbon loss on centennial time-scales
10 | (Schaphoff et al., 2013; van Huissteden et al., 2011). Yet this loss can be partially compensated
11 | through enhanced respiration of soil-surface organic matter which is stored in large amounts in
12 | permafrost regions (but which was not incorporated into permafrost in the past and thus is not
13 | considered in this study here). On the other hand, a transition from tundra- towards taiga-
14 | dominated landscapes as a consequence of high-latitude warming can strongly decrease surface
15 | albedo and therefore additionally warm permafrost regions. We consider the implementation of
16 | high-latitude vegetation dynamics into permafrost models a key step towards an improved
17 | capturing of the timing and strength of the full permafrost-carbon feedback.

18

19 | **Acknowledgements**

20 | Special thanks to S. Mathesius for the analysis of CMIP-5 data, G. Hugelius for providing soil
21 | carbon data for near-surface permafrost inventories, M. Allen for having provided an earlier
22 | version of the climate carbon cycle model, H. Lantuit for discussing aspects of permafrost
23 | degradation through coastal erosion, C. Schädel for discussing incubation results of soil carbon
24 | lability, and ~~Katey~~K. Walter Anthony and ~~Hanna~~H. Lee for discussing ratios of ~~methane~~CH₄
25 | versus carbon dioxide production rates.

26

27 | ~~Portions of~~ This study ~~were~~was supported by the Federal Environment Agency for Germany
28 | (UBA) under project UFOPLAN FKZ 3712 41 106 and ERC Starting Grant #338335.

1 J. Strauss was supported by a grant of the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes (German
2 National Academic Foundation) ~~and~~, the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research
3 (Grant 01DM12011) ~~and the Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association~~
4 ~~(#ERC-0013). A. Morgenstern was supported by the Helmholtz Postdoc Programme of the~~
5 ~~Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association (#PD-003). M. Meinshausen was~~
6 ~~supported by the Australian Research Council grant ARC FT130100809.~~
7

1 **References**

- 2 Abnizova, A., Siemens, J., Langer, M., and Boike, J.: Small ponds with major impact: The
3 relevance of ponds and lakes in permafrost landscapes to carbon dioxide emissions, *Global*
4 *Biogeochemical Cycles*, 26, GB2041, 10.1029/2011GB004237, 2012.
- 5 Arp, C. D., Jones, B. M., Lu, Z., and Whitman, M. S.: Shifting balance of thermokarst lake ice
6 regimes across the Arctic Coastal Plain of northern Alaska, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39,
7 L16503, 10.1029/2012GL052518, 2012.
- 8 Avis, C. A., Weaver, A. J., and Meissner, K. J.: Reduction in areal extent of high-latitude
9 wetlands in response to permafrost thaw, *Nature Geosci*, 4, 444-448, 2011.
- 10 Beer, C., Fedorov, A. N., and Torgovkin, Y.: Permafrost temperature and active-layer thickness
11 of Yakutia with 0.5-degree spatial resolution for model evaluation, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 5, 305-
12 310, 10.5194/essd-5-305-2013, 2013.
- 13 Boike, J., Langer, M., Lantuit, H., Muster, S., Roth, K., Sachs, T., Overduin, P., Westermann, S.,
14 and McGuire, A. D.: Permafrost – Physical Aspects, Carbon Cycling, Databases and
15 Uncertainties, in: *Recarbonization of the Biosphere*, edited by: Lal, R., Lorenz, K., Hüttl, R. F.,
16 Schneider, B. U., and von Braun, J., Springer Netherlands, 159-185, 2012.
- 17 Boike, J., Kattenstroth, B., Abramova, K., Bornemann, N., Chetverova, A., Fedorova, I., Fröb,
18 K., Grigoriev, M., Grüber, M., Kutzbach, L., Langer, M., Minke, M., Muster, S., Piel, K.,
19 Pfeiffer, E. M., Stoof, G., Westermann, S., Wischnewski, K., Wille, C., and Hubberten, H. W.:
20 Baseline characteristics of climate, permafrost and land cover from a new permafrost
21 observatory in the Lena River Delta, Siberia (1998–2011), *Biogeosciences*, 10, 2105-
22 2128, 10.5194/bg-10-2105-2013, 2013.
- 23 [Boike, J., Georgi, C., Kirilin, G., Muster, S., Abramova, K., Fedorova, I., Chetverova, A.,](#)
24 [Grigoriev, M., Bornemann, N., and Langer, M.: Physical processes of thermokarst lakes in the](#)
25 [continuous permafrost zone of northern Siberia - observations and modeling \(Lena River Delta,](#)
26 [Siberia\), *Biogeosciences Discussions* \(accepted\), 2015.](#)
- 27 Brosius, L. S., Walter Anthony, K. M., Grosse, G., Chanton, J. P., Farquharson, L. M., Overduin,
28 P. P., and Meyer, H.: Using the deuterium isotope composition of permafrost meltwater to

1 constrain thermokarst lake contributions to atmospheric CH₄ during the last deglaciation, *Journal*
2 *of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 117, G01022, 10.1029/2011JG001810, 2012.

3 Brown J., Hinkel K. M., and Nelson F. E.: The circumpolar active layer monitoring (calm)
4 program: research designs and initial results, *Polar Geogr.*, 24, 166–258, 2000.

5 Burke, E. J., Hartley, I. P., and Jones, C. D.: Uncertainties in the global temperature change
6 caused by carbon release from permafrost thawing, *The Cryosphere*, 6, 1063-1076, 10.5194/tc-6-
7 1063-2012, 2012.

8 [Camill, P.: Permafrost Thaw Accelerates in Boreal Peatlands During Late-20th Century Climate](#)
9 [Warming. *Climatic Change*, 68, 135-152, 10.1007/s10584-005-4785-y, 2005.](#)

10 Conrad, R., Klose, M., and Claus, P.: Pathway of CH₄ formation in anoxic rice field soil and rice
11 roots determined by ¹³C-stable isotope fractionation, *Chemosphere*, 47, 797-806,
12 10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00120-0, 2002.

13 Dankers, R., Burke, E. J., and Price, J.: Simulation of permafrost and seasonal thaw depth in the
14 JULES land surface scheme, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 773-790, 10.5194/tc-5-773-2011, 2011.

15 Dutta, K., Schuur, E. A. G., Neff, J. C., and Zimov, S. A.: Potential carbon release from
16 permafrost soils of Northeastern Siberia, *Global Change Biology*, 12, 2336-2351, 2006.

17 Ekici, A., Beer, C., Hagemann, S., Boike, J., Langer, M., and Hauck, C.: Simulating high-
18 latitude permafrost regions by the JSBACH terrestrial ecosystem model, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 7,
19 631-647, 10.5194/gmd-7-631-2014, 2014.

20 Eliseev, A. V., Mokhov, I. I., Arzhanov, M. M., Demchenko, P. F., and Denisov, S. N.:
21 Interaction of the methane cycle and processes in wetland ecosystems in a climate model of
22 intermediate complexity, *Izvestiya Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics*, 44, 139-152, 2008.

23 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA): Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from natural
24 sources EPA 430-R-10-001, US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, 2010.

25 Frauenfeld, O. W., Zhang, T., Barry, R. G., and Gilichinsky, D.: Interdecadal changes in
26 seasonal freeze and thaw depths in Russia, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 109,
27 D05101, 10.1029/2003JD004245, 2004.

1 Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., Doney, S.,
2 Eby, M., Fung, I., Bala, G., John, J., Jones, C., Joos, F., Kato, T., Kawamiya, M., Knorr, W.,
3 Lindsay, K., Matthews, H. D., Raddatz, T., Rayner, P., Reick, C., Roeckner, E., Schnitzler, K.-
4 G., Schnur, R., Strassmann, K., Weaver, K., Yoshikawa, C., and Zeng, N.: Climate–Carbon
5 Cycle Feedback Analysis: Results from the C4MIP Model Intercomparison, *Journal of Climate*,
6 19, 3337-3353, 10.1175/JCLI3800.1, 2006.

7 Frohking, S., Roulet, N. T., Moore, T. R., Richard, P. J. H., Lavoie, M., and Muller, S. D.:
8 Modeling northern peatland decomposition and peat accumulation, *Ecosystems*, 4, 479-498,
9 2001.

10 Gao, X., Schlosser, C. A., Sokolov, A., Anthony, K. W., Zhuang, Q., and Kicklighter, D.:
11 Methane Feedback : What is the Worst We Can Expect ?, *Environmental Research Letters*, 8,
12 2013.

13 Gouttevin, I., Menegoz, M., Dominé, F., Krinner, G., Koven, C., Ciais, P., Tarnocai, C., and
14 Boike, J.: How the insulating properties of snow affect soil carbon distribution in the continental
15 pan-Arctic area, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 117, G02020,
16 10.1029/2011JG001916, 2012.

17 ~~Grosse, G.: A synthesis of thermokarst and thermo-erosion rates in northern permafrost regions,~~
18 ~~(in preparation).~~

19 ~~Grosse, G.~~, Schirrmeister, L., Siegert, C., Kunitsky, V. V., Slagoda, E. A., Andreev, A. A., and
20 Dereviagnyn, A. Y.: Geological and geomorphological evolution of a sedimentary periglacial
21 landscape in Northeast Siberia during the Late Quaternary, *Geomorphology*, 86, 25-51,
22 10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.08.005, 2007.

23 Grosse, G., Harden, J., Turetsky, M., McGuire, A. D., Camill, P., Tarnocai, C., Frohking, S.,
24 Schuur, E. A. G., Jorgenson, T., Marchenko, S., Romanovsky, V., Wickland, K. P., French, N.,
25 Waldrop, M., Bourgeau-Chavez, L., and Striegl, R. G.: Vulnerability of high-latitude soil organic
26 carbon in North America to disturbance, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, G00K06, 2011.

27 Harden, J. W., Koven, C. D., Ping, C.-L., Hugelius, G., David McGuire, A., Camill, P.,
28 Jorgenson, T., Kuhry, P., Michaelson, G. J., O'Donnell, J. A., Schuur, E. A. G., Tarnocai, C.,
29 Johnson, K., and Grosse, G.: Field information links permafrost carbon to physical

1 vulnerabilities of thawing, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, L15704, 10.1029/2012GL051958,
2 2012.

3 Hayes, D. J., Kicklighter, D. W., McGuire, A. D., Chen, M., Zhuang, Q., Yuan, F., Melillo, J.
4 M., and Wullschlegel, S. D.: The impacts of recent permafrost thaw on land-atmosphere
5 greenhouse gas exchange, Environmental Research Letters, 9, 045005, 2014.

6 Hugelius, G., Tarnocai, C., Broll, G., Canadell, J. G., Kuhry, P., and Swanson, D. K.: The
7 Northern Circumpolar Soil Carbon Database: spatially distributed datasets of soil coverage and
8 soil carbon storage in the northern permafrost regions, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 5, 3-13,
9 10.5194/essd-5-3-2013, 2013.

10 Hugelius, G., Strauss, J., Zubrzycki, S., Harden, J. W., Schuur, E. A. G., Ping, C. L.,
11 Schirmer, L., Grosse, G., Michaelson, G. J., Koven, C. D., O'Donnell, J. A., Elberling, B.,
12 Mishra, U., Camill, P., Yu, Z., Palmtag, J., and Kuhry, P.: ~~Improved estimates show large~~
13 ~~Estimated stocks of~~ circumpolar ~~stocks of~~ permafrost carbon ~~while quantifying substantial~~
14 ~~quantified~~ uncertainty ranges and ~~identifying remaining~~ identified data gaps, Biogeosciences
15 ~~Discuss.~~, 11, ~~4771-4822~~6573-6593, 10.5194/bgd-11-4771-2014, 2014.

16 Jafarov, E. E., Marchenko, S. S., and Romanovsky, V. E.: Numerical modeling of permafrost
17 dynamics in Alaska using a high spatial resolution dataset, The Cryosphere, 6, 613-624,
18 10.5194/tc-6-613-2012, 2012.

19 ~~Johnson, K. D., Harden, J. W., McGuire, A. D., Clark, M., Yuan, F., and Finley, A. O.:~~
20 ~~Permafrost and organic layer interactions over a climate gradient in a discontinuous permafrost~~
21 ~~zone, Environmental Research Letters, 8, 035028, 2013.~~

22 Jones, B. M., Grosse, G., Arp, C. D., Jones, M. C., Walter Anthony, K. M., and Romanovsky, V.
23 E.: Modern thermokarst lake dynamics in the continuous permafrost zone, northern Seward
24 Peninsula, Alaska, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 116, G00M03,
25 10.1029/2011JG001666, 2011.

26 Jones, M. C., Grosse, G., Jones, B. M., and Walter Anthony, K.: Peat accumulation in drained
27 thermokarst lake basins in continuous, ice-rich permafrost, northern Seward Peninsula, Alaska,
28 Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 117, G00M07, 10.1029/2011JG001766, 2012.

1 Jorgenson, M. T., Shur, Y. L., and Pullman, E. R.: Abrupt increase in permafrost degradation in
2 Arctic Alaska, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33, L02503-L02503, 2006.

3 Jorgenson, M. T., Romanovsky, V., Harden, J., Shur, Y., O'Donnell, J., Schuur, E. A. G.,
4 Kanevskiy, M., and Marchenko, S.: Resilience and vulnerability of permafrost to climate change,
5 *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere*, 40, 1219-
6 1236, 2010.

7 Kaufman, D. S., Ager, T. A., Anderson, N. J., Anderson, P. M., Andrews, J. T., Bartlein, P. J.,
8 Brubaker, L. B., Coats, L. L., Cwynar, L. C., Duvall, M. L., Dyke, A. S., Edwards, M. E., Eisner,
9 W. R., Gajewski, K., Geirsdóttir, A., Hu, F. S., Jennings, A. E., Kaplan, M. R., Kerwin, M. W.,
10 Lozhkin, A. V., MacDonald, G. M., Miller, G. H., Mock, C. J., Oswald, W. W., Otto-Bliesner, B.
11 L., Porinchu, D. F., Rühland, K., Smol, J. P., Steig, E. J., and Wolfe, B. B.: Holocene thermal
12 maximum in the western Arctic (0–180°W), *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 23, 529-560,
13 10.1016/j.quascirev.2003.09.007, 2004.

14 Kessler, M. A., Plug, L. J., and Walter Anthony, K. M.: Simulating the decadal- to millennial-
15 scale dynamics of morphology and sequestered carbon mobilization of two thermokarst lakes in
16 NW Alaska, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 117, G00M06,
17 10.1029/2011JG001796, 2012.

18 Khvorostyanov, D. V., Ciais, P., Krinner, G., and Zimov, S. A.: Vulnerability of east Siberia's
19 frozen carbon stores to future warming, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, L10703, 2008.

20 Kleinen, T., Brovkin, V., and Schuldt, R. J.: A dynamic model of wetland extent and peat
21 accumulation: results for the Holocene, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 235-248, 10.5194/bg-9-235-2012,
22 2012.

23 Knoblauch, C., Beer, C., Sosnin, A., Wagner, D., Pfeiffer, E. M.: Predicting long-term carbon
24 mineralization and trace gas production from thawing permafrost of Northeast Siberia, *Global
25 Change Biology*, 19, 1160–1172, 2013.

26 Kokelj, S. V., Lacelle, D., Lantz, T. C., Tunnicliffe, J., Malone, L., Clark, I. D., and Chin, K. S.:
27 Thawing of massive ground ice in mega slumps drives increases in stream sediment and solute
28 flux across a range of watershed scales, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 118,
29 681-692, 10.1002/jgrf.20063, 2013.

1 Koven, C., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Khvorostyanov, D., Krinner, G., and Tarnocai, C.: On the
2 formation of high-latitude soil carbon stocks: Effects of cryoturbation and insulation by organic
3 matter in a land surface model, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36, L21501,
4 10.1029/2009GL040150, 2009.

5 Koven, C. D., Ringeval, B., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Cadule, P., Khvorostyanov, D., Krinner,
6 G., and Tarnocai, C.: Permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks accelerate global warming,
7 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108, 14769-14774, 2011.

8 Koven, C. D., Riley, W. J., and Stern, A.: Analysis of Permafrost Thermal Dynamics and
9 Response to Climate Change in the CMIP5 Earth System Models, *Journal of Climate*, 26, 1877-
10 1900, 10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00228.1, 2013a.

11 Koven, C. D., Riley, W. J., Subin, Z. M., Tang, J. Y., Torn, M. S., Collins, W. D., Bonan, G. B.,
12 Lawrence, D. M., and Swenson, S. C.: The effect of vertically resolved soil biogeochemistry and
13 alternate soil C and N models on C dynamics of CLM4, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 7109-7131,
14 10.5194/bg-10-7109-2013, 2013b.

15 Krinner, G., and Boike, J.: A study of the large-scale climatic effects of a possible disappearance
16 of high-latitude inland water surfaces during the 21st century, *Boreal Environment Research* 15,
17 203-217, 2010.

18 Langer, M., Westermann, S., Heikenfeld, M., Dorn, W., and Boike, J.: Satellite-based modeling
19 of permafrost temperatures in a tundra lowland landscape, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 135,
20 12-24, 10.1016/j.rse.2013.03.011, 2013.

21 Laurion, I., Vincent, W. F., MacIntyre, S., Retamal, L., Dupont, C., Francus, P., Pienitz, R.:
22 Variability in greenhouse gas emissions from permafrost thaw ponds, *Limnology and*
23 *Oceanography* 55, 115–133, 2010.

24 Lawrence, D. M., and Slater, A. G.: Incorporating organic soil into a global climate model,
25 *Climate Dynamics*, 30, 145-160, 2008.

26 Lawrence, D. M., Oleson, K. W., Flanner, M. G., Thornton, P. E., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, P.
27 J., Zeng, X., Yang, Z.-L., Levis, S., Sakaguchi, K., Bonan, G. B., and Slater, A. G.:
28 Parameterization improvements and functional and structural advances in Version 4 of the

1 Community Land Model, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 3,
2 10.1029/2011ms000045, 2011.

3 Lee, H., Schuur, E. A. G., Inglett, K. S., Lavoie, M., and Chanton, J. P.: The rate of permafrost
4 carbon release under aerobic and anaerobic conditions and its potential effects on climate, *Global*
5 *Change Biology*, 18, 515-527, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02519.x, 2012.

6 Ling, F.: Numerical simulation of permafrost thermal regime and talik development under
7 shallow thaw lakes on the Alaskan Arctic Coastal Plain, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108,
8 4511-4511, 2003.

9 Ling, F., Wu, Q., Zhang, T., and Niu, F.: Modelling Open-Talik Formation and Permafrost
10 Lateral Thaw under a Thermokarst Lake, Beiluhe Basin, Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, *Permafrost and*
11 *Periglacial Processes*, 23, 312-321, 10.1002/ppp.1754, 2012.

12 MacDougall, A. H., Avis, C. A., and Weaver, A. J.: Significant contribution to climate warming
13 from the permafrost carbon feedback, *Nature Geosci*, advance online publication, 2012.

14 Mack, M. C., Schuur, E. A. G., Bret-Harte, M. S., Shaver, G. R., and Chapin, F. S.: Ecosystem
15 carbon storage in arctic tundra reduced by long-term nutrient fertilization, *Nature*, 431, 440-443,
16 2004.

17 Marcott, S. A., Shakun, J. D., Clark, P. U., and Mix, A. C.: A Reconstruction of Regional and
18 Global Temperature for the Past 11,300 Years, *Science*, 339, 1198-1201,
19 10.1126/science.1228026, 2013.

20 Mishra, U., Jastrow, J. D., Matamala, R., Hugelius, G., Koven, C. D., Harden, J. W., Ping, C. L.,
21 Michaelson, G. J., Fan, Z., Miller, R. M., McGuire, A. D., Tarnocai, C., Kuhry, P., Riley, W. J.,
22 Schaefer, K., Schuur, E. A. G., Jorgenson, M. T., and Hinzman, L. D.: Empirical estimates to
23 reduce modeling uncertainties of soil organic carbon in permafrost regions: a review of recent
24 progress and remaining challenges, *Environmental Research Letters*, 8, 035020, 2013.

25 Morgenstern, A., Grosse, G., Günther, F., Fedorova, I., and Schirrmeister, L.: Spatial analyses of
26 thermokarst lakes and basins in Yedoma landscapes of the Lena Delta, *The Cryosphere*, 5, 849-
27 867, 10.5194/tc-5-849-2011, 2011.

28 Myhre, G., Highwood, E. J., Shine, K. P., and Stordal, F.: New estimates of radiative forcing due
29 to well mixed greenhouse gases, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 2715-2718, 1998.

1 Olefeldt, D., Turetsky, M. R., Crill, P. M., and McGuire, A. D.: Environmental and physical
2 controls on northern terrestrial methane emissions across permafrost zones, *Global Change*
3 *Biology*, 19, 589-603, 10.1111/gcb.12071, 2013.

4 Osterkamp, T. E.: Causes of warming and thawing permafrost in Alaska, *Eos, Transactions*
5 *American Geophysical Union*, 88, 522-523, 10.1029/2007EO480002, 2007.

6 Plug, L. J., and West, J. J.: Thaw lake expansion in a two-dimensional coupled model of heat
7 transfer, thaw subsidence, and mass movement, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*,
8 114, F01002, 10.1029/2006JF000740, 2009.

9 Raynolds, M. K., Walker, D. A., Ambrosius, K. J., Brown, J., Everett, K. R., Kanevskiy, M.,
10 Kofinas, G. P., Romanovsky, V. E., Shur, Y., and Webber, P. J.: Cumulative geocological
11 effects of 62 years of infrastructure and climate change in ice-rich permafrost landscapes,
12 Prudhoe Bay Oilfield, Alaska, *Global Change Biology*, 20, 1211-1224, 10.1111/gcb.12500,
13 2014.

14 Romanovsky, V. E., Smith, S. L., and Christiansen, H. H.: Permafrost thermal state in the polar
15 Northern Hemisphere during the international polar year 2007–2009: a synthesis, *Permafrost and*
16 *Periglacial Processes*, 21, 106-116, 10.1002/ppp.689, 2010.

17 Sannel, A. B. K., and Kuhry, P.: Warming-induced destabilization of peat plateau/thermokarst
18 lake complexes, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 116, G03035,
19 10.1029/2010JG001635, 2011.

20 Scanlon, D., and Moore, T.: Carbon dioxide production from peatland soil profiles: The
21 influence of temperature, oxic/anoxic conditions and substrate, *Soil Science*, 165, 153-160, 2000.

22 Schädel, C., Schuur, E. A. G., Bracho, R., Elberling, B., Knoblauch, C., Lee, H., Luo, Y.,
23 Shaver, G. R., and Turetsky, M. R.: Circumpolar assessment of permafrost C quality and its
24 vulnerability over time using long-term incubation data, *Global Change Biology*, 20, 641-652,
25 10.1111/gcb.12417, 2014.

26 Schaefer, K., Lantuit, H., Romanovsky, V. E., Schuur, E. A. G., and Witt, R.: The impact of the
27 permafrost carbon feedback on global climate, *Environmental Research Letters*, 9, 085003, 2014.

28 Schaphoff, S., Heyder, U., Ostberg, S., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., and Lucht, W.: Contribution of
29 permafrost soils to the global carbon budget, *Environmental Research Letters*, 8, 014026, 2013.

1 Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Wetterich, S., Overduin, P. P., Strauss, J., Schuur, E. A. G., and
2 Hubberten, H.-W.: Fossil organic matter characteristics in permafrost deposits of the northeast
3 Siberian Arctic, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 116, G00M02,
4 10.1029/2011JG001647, 2011.

5 Schmidt, M. W. I., Torn, M. S., Abiven, S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I. A.,
6 Kleber, M., Kogel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Manning, D. A. C., Nannipieri, P., Rasse, D. P.,
7 Weiner, S., and Trumbore, S. E.: Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property,
8 *Nature*, 478, 49-56, 2011.

9 Schneider von Deimling, T., Meinshausen, M., Levermann, a., Huber, V., Frieler, K., Lawrence,
10 D. M., and Brovkin, V.: Estimating the near-surface permafrost-carbon feedback on global
11 warming, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 649-665, 2012.

12 Schuur, E. A. G., Bockheim, J., Canadell, J. G., Euskirchen, E., Field, C. B., Goryachkin, S. V.,
13 Hagemann, S., Kuhry, P., Lafleur, P. M., Lee, H., Mazhitova, G., Nelson, F. E., Rinke, A.,
14 Romanovsky, V. E., Shiklomanov, N., Tarnocai, C., Venevsky, S., Vogel, J. G., and Zimov, S.
15 A.: Vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change: Implications for the global carbon
16 cycle, *Bioscience*, 58, 701-714, 2008.

17 Schuur, E. A. G., Abbott, B. W., Bowden, W. B., Brovkin, V., Camill, P., Canadell, J. G.,
18 Chanton, J. P., Chapin, F. S., III, Christensen, T. R., Ciais, P., Crosby, B. T., Czimeczik, C. I.,
19 Grosse, G., Harden, J., Hayes, D. J., Hugelius, G., Jastrow, J. D., Jones, J. B., Kleinen, T.,
20 Koven, C. D., Krinner, G., Kuhry, P., Lawrence, D. M., McGuire, A. D., Natali, S. M.,
21 O'Donnell, J. A., Ping, C. L., Riley, W. J., Rinke, A., Romanovsky, V. E., Sannel, A. B. K.,
22 Schädel, C., Schaefer, K., Sky, J., Subin, Z. M., Tarnocai, C., Turetsky, M. R., Waldrop, M. P.,
23 Walter Anthony, K. M., Wickland, K. P., Wilson, C. J., and Zimov, S. A.: Expert assessment of
24 vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change, *Climatic Change*, 119, 359-374,
25 10.1007/s10584-013-0730-7, 2013.

26 Schuur, E. A. G., McGuire, A. D., Schadel, C., Grosse, G., Harden, J. W., Hayes, D. J.,
27 Hugelius, G., Koven, C. D., Kuhry, P., Lawrence, D. M., Natali, S. M., Olefeldt, D.,
28 Romanovsky, V. E., Schaefer, K., Turetsky, M. R., Treat, C. C., and Vonk, J. E.: Climate change
29 and the permafrost carbon feedback, *Nature*, 520, 171-179, 10.1038/nature14338, 2015.

1 Segers, R.: Methane production and methane consumption: a review of processes underlying
2 wetland methane fluxes, *Biogeochemistry*, 41, 23-51, 1998.

3 Shakhova, N., Semiletov, I., Salyuk, A., Yusupov, V., Kosmach, D., and Gustafsson, O.:
4 Extensive Methane Venting to the Atmosphere from Sediments of the East Siberian Arctic Shelf,
5 *Science*, 327, 1246-1250, 2010.

6 Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Koch, D. M., Schmidt, G. A., Unger, N., and Bauer, S. E.:
7 Improved Attribution of Climate Forcing to Emissions, *Science*, 326, 716-718,
8 10.1126/science.1174760, 2009.

9 Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., Arneth, A., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., Kaplan, J. O., Levis,
10 S., Lucht, W., Sykes, M. T., Thonicke, K., and Venevsky, S.: Evaluation of ecosystem dynamics,
11 plant geography and terrestrial carbon cycling in the LPJ dynamic global vegetation model,
12 *Global Change Biology*, 9, 161-185, 2003.

13 Slater, A. G., and Lawrence, D. M.: Diagnosing Present and Future Permafrost from Climate
14 Models, *Journal of Climate*, 26, 5608-5623, 10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00341.1, 2013.

15 Smith, L. C., Sheng, Y., MacDonald, G. M., and Hinzman, L. D.: Disappearing arctic lakes,
16 *Science*, 308, 1429-1429, 2005.

17 Stieglitz, M., Déry, S. J., Romanovsky, V. E., and Osterkamp, T. E.: The role of snow cover in
18 the warming of arctic permafrost, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30, 1721,
19 10.1029/2003GL017337, 2003.

20 Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Wetterich, S., Borchers, A., and Davydov, S. P.: Grain-size
21 properties and organic-carbon stock of Yedoma Ice Complex permafrost from the Kolyma
22 lowland, northeastern Siberia, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 26, GB3003,
23 10.1029/2011GB004104, 2012.

24 Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Wetterich, S., Ulrich, M., Herzsuh, U., and
25 Hubberten, H.-W.: The deep permafrost carbon pool of the Yedoma region in Siberia and
26 Alaska, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40, [2013GL058088](https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL058088)~~6165-6170~~, 10.1002/2013GL058088,
27 2013.

1 Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Mangelsdorf, K., Eichhorn, L., Wetterich, S., and Herzsuh, U.:
2 Organic matter quality of deep permafrost carbon - a ~~case~~ study from Arctic Siberia,
3 Biogeosciences (~~under review~~), 2014, 12, 2227-2245, [10.5194/bg-12-2227-2015](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-2227-2015), 2015.

4 Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., and Meehl, G. A.: An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment
5 Design, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 93, 485-498, [10.1175/BAMS-D-11-](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1)
6 00094.1, 2011.

7 Turetsky, M. R., Kane, E. S., Harden, J. W., Ottmar, R. D., Manies, K. L., Hoy, E., and
8 Kasischke, E. S.: Recent acceleration of biomass burning and carbon losses in Alaskan forests
9 and peatlands, Nature Geoscience, 4, 27-31, 2011.

10 van Huissteden, J., Berrittella, C., Parmentier, F. J. W., Mi, Y., Maximov, T. C., and Dolman, A.
11 J.: Methane emissions from permafrost thaw lakes limited by lake drainage, Nature Clim.
12 Change, 1, 119-123, 2011.

13 van Huissteden, J., and Dolman, A.: Soil carbon in the Arctic and the permafrost carbon
14 feedback, Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 4, 545-551, 2012.

15 Velichko, A. A., Catto, N., Drenova, A. N., Klimanov, V. A., Kremenetski, K. V., and Nechaev,
16 V. P.: Climate changes in East Europe and Siberia at the Late glacial-holocene transition,
17 Quaternary International, 91, 75-99, [10.1016/S1040-6182\(01\)00104-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-6182(01)00104-5), 2002.

18 Walter Anthony, K. M., Anthony, P., Grosse, G., and Chanton, J.: Geologic methane seeps along
19 boundaries of Arctic permafrost thaw and melting glaciers, Nature Geoscience, 5, 419-426,
20 2012.

21 Walter Anthony, K. M., Zimov, S. A., Grosse, G., Jones, M. C., Anthony, P. M., Iii, F. S. C.,
22 Finlay, J. C., Mack, M. C., Davydov, S., Frenzel, P., and Frolking, S.: A shift of thermokarst
23 lakes from carbon sources to sinks during the Holocene epoch, Nature, 511, 452-456,
24 [10.1038/nature13560](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13560), 2014.

25 Walter, B. P., and Heimann, M.: A process-based, climate-sensitive model to derive methane
26 emissions from natural wetlands: Application to five wetland sites, sensitivity to model
27 parameters, and climate, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 14, 745-765, 2000.

28 Walter, K. M., Edwards, M. E., Grosse, G., Zimov, S. A., and Chapin, F. S.: Thermokarst lakes
29 as a source of atmospheric CH₄ during the last deglaciation, Science, 318, 633-636, 2007a.

1 Walter, K. M., Smith, L. C., and Chapin, F. S.: Methane bubbling from northern lakes: present
2 and future contributions to the global methane budget, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
3 Society a-Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences, 365, 1657-1676, 2007b.

4 [Wisser, D., Marchenko, S., Talbot, J., Treat, C., and Frohking, S.: Soil temperature response to](#)
5 [21st century global warming: the role of and some implications for peat carbon in thawing](#)
6 [permafrost soils in North America, Earth Syst. Dynam., 2, 121-138, 2011.](#)

7 Yi, S., Wischniewski, K., Langer, M., Muster, S., and Boike, J.: Freeze/thaw processes in
8 complex permafrost landscapes of northern Siberia simulated using the TEM ecosystem model:
9 impact of thermokarst ponds and lakes, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 1671-1689, 10.5194/gmd-7-
10 1671-2014, 2014.

11 Yoshikawa, K., and Hinzman, L. D.: Shrinking thermokarst ponds and groundwater dynamics in
12 discontinuous permafrost near council, Alaska, Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 14, 151-
13 160, 10.1002/ppp.451, 2003.

14 Zimov, S. A., Davydov, S. P., Zimova, G. M., Davydova, A. I., Schuur, E. A. G., Dutta, K., and
15 Chapin, F. S.: Permafrost carbon: Stock and decomposability of a globally significant carbon
16 pool, Geophysical Research Letters, 33, L20502, 10.1029/2006GL027484, 2006.

17 Zhang, T., Frauenfeld, O. W., and Barry, R. G.: Time series of active layer thickness in the
18 Russian Arctic, 1930-1990, Digital media, National Snow and Ice Data Center, Boulder, CO.
19 URL <http://nsidc.org/data/arcss160.html>, 2006

20

21

1 Table 1. Permafrost model parameters and uncertainties.

2 Some parameters are soil pool specific (MS: mineral soils, ORG: organic soils, Y: Yedoma,
 3 RTK: refrozen thermokarst deposits (separated into surface and taberal sediments), some
 4 parameters depend on hydrologic conditions (AER: aerobic, WET: wetland anaerobic, TKL:
 5 thermokarst lake anaerobic), and some parameters depend on organic matter quality (FAST and
 6 SLOW).

| Parameter | Unit | Default setting | Uncertainty range | References |
|---|------|----------------------|-------------------|---|
| Carbon inventory | | | | |
| Mineral soils (MS) 0-3m (orthels & turbels) | Pg-C | 540 | ±40% | Hugelius et. al (2014) |
| Organic soils (ORG) 0-3m (histels) | Pg-C | 120 | ±40% | Hugelius et. al (2014) |
| Yedoma (Y) 0-15m | Pg-C | 83 | ±75% | Strauss et al. (2013) |
| Refrozen thermokarst deposits | | | | |
| RTK _{Surface} (0-5m) | Pg-C | 128 | ±75% | Strauss et al. (2013) |
| RTK _{Taberal} (5-15m) | | 114 | ±75% | Walter-Anthony et al. (2014) |
| Fraction Fast Pool ^(a) | % | 2.5 | 1-4 | (Dutta et al. (2006);Burke et al. (2012);Schädel et al. (2014)) |
| Fraction Slow Pool | % | 45 | 30-60 | (Sitch et al. (2003);Koven et al. (2011);Burke et al. (2012)) |
| Carbon release | | | | |
| Turnover time of aerobic slow pool at 5°C ^(b) | yrs | 25 | 10-40 | Sitch et al. (2003), Burke et al. (2012), Dutta et al. (2006) |
| Ratio of production CH ₄ :CO ₂ ^{aerobic} | | 1:50 | ±50% | Lee et al. (2012);Schuur et al. (2008);Segers (1998) |
| Ratio of production CH ₄ :CO ₂ ^{anaerobic} ^(c) | | FAST 1:1 SLOW 1:7 | ±20% ±50% | Walter-Anthony et al. (2014) Lee et al. (2012) |
| Q ₁₀ sensitivity aerobic | | 2.5 | 1.5-3.5 | Schädel et al. (2013) and |

| | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | | | references therein |
| Q ₁₀ sensitivity anaerobic | | 3.0 | 2-6 | Walter and Heimann (2000) |
| CH ₄ oxidation rate | % | TKL 15 WET 40 | 10-20 20-60 | See Burke et al. (2012) and references therein |
| Permafrost thaw | | | | |
| Thaw rate (MS, AER) for warm and cold permafrost ^(d) | cm/yr/K | 1.0 0.1 | ±50% ±50% | Frauenfeld et al. (2004), Hayes et al. (2014), Schaphoff et al. (2013) |
| Scale factor thermal diffusivity WET:AER ^(e) | | 1/3 | ±30% | see ^(e) |
| Scale factor thermal diffusivity TKL:AER ^(e) | | 9.3 | ±30% | Kessler et al. (2012) |
| Wetland description | | | | |
| Wetland extent ^(f) (pre-industrial) | % | MS 2 ORG 60 Y, RTK 40 | ±50% ±10% ±10% | GLWD, Lehner and Döll (2004) Burke et al. (2012) |
| maximum increase in wetland extent ^(g) (above pre-industrial) | % | MS 30 ORG,Y,RTK 10 | ±50% ±50% | Gao et al. (2013) |
| Thermokarst description | | | | |
| Newly formed thermokarst lake fraction F^{TKLmax} | % (coverage per latitude) | MS 8 ORG 16 Y 40 RTK 25 | ±25% ±25% ±25% ±25% | see supplementary material |
| High latitude temperature anomaly dT^{TKLmax} at F^{TKLmax} ^(h) | °C | 5 | 4-6 | see supplementary material |

- 1 ^(a) For Yedoma deposits, we assume a doubled labile fraction (5±3%) as sedimentation of organic
2 material was rather fast and had favoured the burial of fresh organic carbon with little decomposition in
3 the past (Strauss et al., 2012). In contrast, we assume a reduced labile fraction in taberal sediments of
4 1% as these deposits had been thawed over long timescales in the past and are therefore depleted in
5 high quality organic matter (Walter et al., 2007b;Kessler et al., 2012).
6 ^(b) We assume the turnover time of the fast pool to be one year.
7 ^(c) We discard very small ratios of CH₄:CO₂^{anaerobic} inferred from incubation experiments as it is likely that
8 these ratios are strongly affected by a large CO₂ pulse during the initial phase of the incubation.

1 ^(d) Indicated thaw rates are exemplary for warm and cold permafrost (corresponding to a MAGT of just
2 below 0°C and -10°C). They were calculated based on equation (1) (supplementary material) by
3 assuming that above-zero temperatures prevail during four months per year and that thaw is driven by a
4 surface temperature warming anomaly of 1°C.

5 ^(e) We prescribe aggregated thermal diffusivities for soils under aerobic conditions and use scale factors
6 to determine modified thermal diffusivities under anaerobic conditions. Based on observational evidence
7 (Romanovsky et al., 2010), we assume reduced thaw rates for the wetland pools as water-saturated soils
8 require an increased latent heat input for thaw of ice-filled pore volumes. For the thermokarst soil carbon
9 pools, we tuned scaling factors to reproduce long-term behaviour of talik propagation as simulated by
10 Kessler et al. (2012).

11 ^(f) Based on the GLWD database, Burke et al. (2012) estimate an area coverage of 9% for wetlands and
12 3% for lakes for all permafrost regions. Based on calculated permafrost deposit extents (Hugelius et al.,
13 2014), we estimate an area weighting of 80%:15%:2.5%:2.5% for the permafrost extents of our four soil
14 pools (MS:ORG:Y:RTK). This results in a total weighted initial wetland extent of about 13%.

15 ^(g) The potential for increases in wetland extent in mineral soils is considered larger than for the other soil
16 pools because the initial assumed wetland fraction in mineral soils is rather small.

17 ^(h) Early Holocene warming by a few degrees Celsius in northern hemisphere land areas (Kaufman et al.,
18 2004; Velichko et al., 2002; Marcott et al., 2013) resulted in rapid and intensive thermokarst activity (Walter
19 et al., 2007a; Brosius et al., 2012).

1 Table 2.
 2 Cumulated carbon fluxes and increase in global average surface temperature through newly
 3 thawed permafrost in the years 2050, 2100, 2200 and 2300. Median and 68% ranges (in brackets)
 4 were calculated from an ensemble of 500 model runs which account for parameter uncertainty.

| | 2050 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| RCP2.6 | | | | |
| cumulated CO ₂ [Pg-C] | 17 (8 29) | 36 (20 58) | 56 (35 89) | 64 (40 98) |
| cumulated CH ₄ [Tg- CH ₄] | 173 (85 354) | 446 (218 921) | 818 (410 1753) | 1035 (539 2236) |
| dT (PF) [°C] | 0.03 (0.01 0.05) | 0.06 (0.03 0.10) | 0.10 (0.06 0.15) | 0.11 (0.06 0.18) |
| RCP4.5 | | | | |
| cumulated CO ₂ [Pg-C] | 18 (9 32) | 54 (28 92) | 118 (75 180) | 155 (104 216) |
| cumulated CH ₄ [Tg-CH ₄] | 227 (109 466) | 1126 (538 2356) | 3117 (1657 5969) | 4705 (2592 8449) |
| dT (PF) [°C] | 0.03 (0.01 0.05) | 0.08 (0.05 0.14) | 0.16 (0.10 0.25) | 0.19 (0.13 0.29) |
| RCP6.0 | | | | |
| cumulated CO ₂ Pg-C] | 18 (8 30) | 60 (29 101) | 156 (103 224) | 193 (134 270) |
| cumulated CH ₄ [Tg-CH ₄] | 201 (97 407) | 1270 (663 2440) | 3104 (1818 5372) | 4615 (2664 7778) |
| dT (PF) [°C] | 0.03 (0.01 0.05) | 0.08 (0.04 0.13) | 0.18 (0.11 0.29) | 0.24 (0.16 0.39) |
| RCP8.5 | | | | |
| cumulated CO ₂ [Pg-C] | 20 (9 36) | 87 (42 141) | 194 (136 270) | 228 (157 313) |
| cumulated CH ₄ [Tg-CH ₄] | 333 (154 665) | 1474 (836 2614) | 3592 (2141 6093) | 5877 (3644 9989) |
| dT (PF) [°C] | 0.03 (0.02 0.05) | 0.09 (0.05 0.14) | 0.14 (0.10 0.21) | 0.16 (0.11 0.23) |

5
 6
 7
 8

1
2 Figure 1. Schematic subdivision of permafrost soil carbon stocks into the four main pools
3 (mineral soils, organic soils, refrozen thermokarst deposits (including taberal), and Yedoma
4 deposits) and into aerobic (dark yellow) and anaerobic (blue: thermokarst lake, green: wetland)
5 fractions. Individual boxes indicate the vertical extent and overall soil carbon quantity, as well as
6 the aerobic and anaerobic fractions (not fully to scale). The dashed lines illustrate the model
7 resolution into latitudinal bands (only shown for the mineral soil carbon pool) and vertical layers.
8 Exemplarily, for the mineral soil carbon pool the North-South gradient of active layer depth (red
9 line) and soil carbon release as CO₂ and CH₄ are also shown (broad arrows). Not shown is the
10 additional differentiation into a fast and slow pool component.
11

1
2 Figure 2. Simulated changes in active layer depths ALD for mineral soils under moderate
3 (RCP2.6) and extensive (RCP8.5) warming (left and right panels). Shown is the deepening of the
4 active layer from the year 1900 until 2300 for a north-south gradient of different initial
5 permafrost temperatures (blue: MAGT_{t0}=-10°C, green: MAGT_{t0}=-5°C, red: MAGT_{t0}=-0.5°C)
6 and for different hydrologic conditions (a,b: aerobic, c,d: wetland, e,f: thermokarst lake). We
7 assume that newly formed lakes reach the critical depth which prevents winter refreeze by the
8 year 2000. Vertical bars illustrate the model spread inferred from an ensemble of 500 runs (68%
9 range). The horizontal dashed lines denote the near-surface permafrost boundary (3m). Note the
10 different y-axes scales.

11
12 Figure 3. Simulated increase in newly thawed permafrost carbon C and resulting rates of annual
13 CO₂ and CH₄ release under moderate (upper panels) and extensive (lower panels) global
14 warming for the years 1900 to 2300. CH₄ release is shown separately for fluxes from
15 wetlandwetlands (WET) and newly formed thermokarst lakelakes (TKL) pools. Blue lines
16 show ensemble simulation results based on 500 model runs which account for parameter
17 uncertainty. Black lines show statistical quantiles (solid line: median, dashed lines: 68% range,
18 dotted lines: 80% range). Shown are contributions aggregated over all individual pools, summed
19 over all latitudes and depths layers.

20

1
2 Figure 4. Contribution of deep permafrost carbon deposits to total carbon fluxes under aerobic
3 (upper panel) and anaerobic (lower panel) conditions. Shown is the contribution of cumulated
4 CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from deep deposits (3- to 15m) to total circumarctic carbon release (0- to
5 15m) under strong warming (RCP8.5). Solid lines represent median values, dashed lines 68%
6 ranges. ~~CH₄ release is shown separately for~~ The contribution of deep deposits to wetland-affected
7 sedimentsCH₄ release (green) and ~~for~~ to thermokarst-affected sedimentsCH₄ release (blue-) is
8 shown separately.
9

1
2 Figure 5. Increase in global average surface air temperature through newly thawed permafrost
3 carbon under various anthropogenic warming scenarios (RCP2.6 to RCP8.5). Blue lines show
4 ensemble simulation results based on 500 model runs which account for parameter uncertainty.
5 Black lines show statistical quantiles (solid line: median, dashed lines: 68% range, dotted lines:
6 80% range). Shown is the temperature feedback as a consequence of CO₂ and CH₄ release from
7 all individual pools.

8

9